

Understanding the relationships between free asparagine in grain and other traits to breed low-asparagine wheat

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Oddy, J., Raffan, S., Wilkinson, M. D., Elmore, J. S. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2685-1773 and Halford, N. G. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6488-2530 (2022) Understanding the relationships between free asparagine in grain and other traits to breed low-asparagine wheat. Plants, 11 (5). e669. ISSN 2223-7747 doi: 10.3390/plants11050669 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/103962/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/plants11050669

Publisher: MDPI

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online





Understanding the Relationships between Free Asparagine in Grain and Other Traits to Breed Low-Asparagine Wheat

Joseph Oddy¹, Sarah Raffan¹, Mark D. Wilkinson¹, J. Stephen Elmore² and Nigel G. Halford^{1,*}

- ¹ Plant Sciences Department, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden AL5 2JQ, UK; joe.oddy@rothamsted.ac.uk (J.O.); sarah.raffan@rothamsted.ac.uk (S.R.); mark.wilkinson@rothamsted.ac.uk (M.D.W.)
- ² Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, P.O. Box 226, Reading RG6 6AP, UK; j.s.elmore@reading.ac.uk
- * Correspondence: nigel.halford@rothamsted.ac.uk; Tel.: +44-1582-938203

Abstract: Since the discovery of acrylamide in food, and the identification of free asparagine as the key determinant of acrylamide concentration in wheat products, our understanding of how grain asparagine content is regulated has improved greatly. However, the targeted reduction in grain asparagine content has not been widely implemented in breeding programmes so far. Here we summarise how free asparagine concentration relates to other quality and agronomic traits and show that these relationships are unlikely to pose major issues for the breeding of low-asparagine wheat. We also outline the strategies that are possible for the breeding of low-asparagine wheat, using both natural and induced variation.

Keywords: wheat; asparagine; breeding; acrylamide; protein; pre-harvest sprouting; nitrogen-use efficiency; senescence

1. Introduction

Wheat is one of the world's most important crops, contributing an estimated 18.6% to global daily calorie intake and 19.8% to global daily protein intake in 2018 [1]. The contribution of wheat to daily calorie and protein intake varies substantially by region though, with certain regions having greater dependence on wheat than others. For example, the contribution of wheat to daily calorie and protein intake was approximately double the global average at 39.1% and 38.4%, respectively, in Central Asia in 2018 (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan (as described by the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations) [1]). Consequently, it is essential to ensure that the supply and quality of wheat is safeguarded against emerging challenges. This can be achieved by the development of new crop management strategies and crop protection products, or through the breeding of new varieties.

Wheat breeding and research has been greatly facilitated in recent years by the sequencing of multiple wheat genomes [2], the development of numerous marker technologies [3], and the use of new gene editing technologies [4]. With the development of these technologies, we can begin to investigate and improve traits that may have been prohibitively costly or time consuming to improve in the past, and the free amino acid composition of wheat grain is one such trait.

The free (soluble, non-protein) amino acid content of wheat grain has been of most interest to wheat geneticists in recent years because of the food safety issues associated with free asparagine, the precursor to the 'probably carcinogenic' processing contaminant, acrylamide [5]. Free asparagine reacts with reducing sugars to form acrylamide [6,7], but free asparagine concentration has been shown to be the major determinant of acrylamide concentration in wheat products in several studies (see [8] for review). Halford et al. [9],



Citation: Oddy, J.; Raffan, S.; Wilkinson, M.D.; Elmore, J.S.; Halford, N.G. Understanding the Relationships between Free Asparagine in Grain and Other Traits to Breed Low-Asparagine Wheat. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 669. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/plants11050669

Academic Editors: Tika Adhikari and Alberto Gianinetti

Received: 20 December 2021 Accepted: 28 February 2022 Published: 28 February 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). for example, used data generated by Muttucumaru et al. [10], to plot free asparagine concentration against acrylamide formation in wheat flour heated for 20 min at 160 or 180 °C, and obtained coefficients of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) of 0.956 and 0.998 for pot- and field-grown plants, respectively. In contrast, there was no relationship between the concentration of reducing sugars and the amount of acrylamide that formed. Muttucumaru et al. had shown sulphur deficiency to cause very high concentrations of free asparagine to accumulate in wheat grain, and Granvogl et al. [11] obtained very similar results, with acrylamide formation closely related to asparagine concentration, except in flours from extremely sulphur-deprived plants, in which free asparagine concentration was so high that it was no longer limiting.



Figure 1. Timeline of asparagine research in wheat since the discovery of acrylamide in food [2,5–7,10,12–26]. Asn (asparagine), $G \times E$ (genotype-by-environment interaction), PHS (pre-harvest sprouting), GWAS (genome-wide association study), QTL (quantitative trait locus/loci), RNAseq (RNA sequencing).

Curtis et al. [15] also studied the effect of sulphur deficiency and showed acrylamide formation to rise with free asparagine concentration ($R^2 = 0.9945$), up to free asparagine concentrations of approximately 25 mmol/kg or higher, something only seen in flour from extremely sulphur-deprived plants.

Acrylamide also forms in potato products and the relationship between free asparagine and reducing sugar concentration and acrylamide formation for potato is very different. Potato tubers have higher concentrations of free asparagine than cereal grains and reducing sugar concentration is usually the limiting factor for acrylamide formation, although free asparagine concentration does contribute to the variance in some datasets [27]. Nevertheless, the clear relationship between free asparagine concentration and acrylamide formation in wheat products means that strategies to control acrylamide formation in wheat-based foods over the last 20 years have targeted free asparagine (Figure 1).

Although we now better understand the environmental and genetic factors that influence grain asparagine content, there are still many unanswered questions around how these factors interact and how they relate to other traits. Here, we summarise some of the research regarding the relationship between free asparagine concentration in the grain and other traits, and how genetic improvements might be made using this information.

2. Relationships between Free Asparagine, Quality and Agronomic Traits

2.1. Free Asparagine Concentration and Quality Traits

Quality traits in wheat are those that impact the functionality of the end product (i.e., the baking and nutritional quality of the grain), so encompass traits such as preharvest sprouting (PHS), protein content and hardness. Grain-free asparagine content has sometimes been found to correlate with some of these quality traits, but this differs greatly between studies (Tables 1 and 2). Few quality traits have been tested for a relationship with free asparagine in more than one study, and those that have often show different relationships across studies (Table 1), implying that free asparagine concentration is unlikely to correlate strongly with quality traits.

Malunga et al. [28] undertook the largest study of free asparagine in relation to quality traits, screening 42 quality traits and assessing their relationship with free asparagine, in both wholemeal and white flours. This analysis revealed that free asparagine in wholemeal samples did not correlate with any quality parameters, except for a weak correlation (r = -0.389, p = 0.0339) with the extensograph A parameter. Similarly, free asparagine in white flour only correlated weakly with extensograph R_{max} (r = -0.370, p = 0.0444), extensograph A (r = -0.378, p = 0.0394) and water dough colour b^* parameters (r = 0.373, p = 0.0426). Corol et al. [29] also performed correlation analyses of free asparagine with quality traits and did find some weak associations, but these have not been corroborated by further studies (Table 1).

One potentially interesting relationship is that between free asparagine and PHS, because of the potential for protein hydrolysis during PHS to release free asparagine. PHS negatively impacts wheat quality in a range of ways, reducing flour yield, the quality of baked products, and nutrient content [30]. Simsek et al. [20] reported a moderately strong (r = 0.6-0.7) positive correlation between free asparagine, sprouting score, and endoprotease activity in samples of sprouted wheat grain, suggesting that there was a relationship between asparagine and PHS at high levels of sprouting. Additionally, in a study designed to render the asparagine synthetase 2 genes (TaASN2) non-functional through gene editing, Raffan et al. [25] observed a poor germination phenotype that could be rescued through exogenous application of asparagine to the soil, implying that low-grain asparagine content may inhibit germination and could perhaps also affect PHS. Further research is required to confirm the germination phenotype, but asparagine synthetases are known to play important roles in germination in other species [31,32]. No correlation has been observed to date between asparagine and Hagberg falling number (HFN) (Table 1), which is indicative of α -amylase activity and, therefore, PHS. However, it is possible that a relationship between grain asparagine content, germination and PHS could exist when

Asn Measurement	Trait	r	p	Reference
Log _e transformation	Farinograph absorption	0.94	< 0.001	[33]
C .	Nitrogen: sulphur grain content	0.73	< 0.01	
	Nitrogen grain content	0.62	< 0.05	
Log _e transformation	Sprouting score	0.68	<0.001 [19]	
	Endoprotease activity (sprouted)	0.69	< 0.001	
	Endoprotease activity (ΔD)	0.60	< 0.01	
Untransformed	HFN	0.07	0.39	[29]
	Z-SDS	0.37	< 0.001	
	Gluten content	0.44	< 0.001	
	Starch content	-0.32	< 0.001	
	Water absorption	0.35	< 0.001	
	Hardness index	0.03	0.68	
Log _e transformation	Absorption	-0.03	>0.05	[34]
Untransformed	Hardness index	0.15	>0.05	[35]
Log_{10} back-transformed	Sulphur grain content	0.14	>0.05	[23]
	HFN	0.03	>0.05	
	Z-SDS	-0.29	< 0.001	
Untransformed	HFN	-0.17	0.36	[28]
	Gluten index	-0.36	< 0.05	
	Flour starch damage	-0.18	0.33	
	Farinograph absorption	-0.12	0.5436	

asparagine concentration is very low (e.g., in *TaASN2* edited lines) or very high (e.g., in artificially sprouted wheat samples).

Table 1. Association between free asparagine and selected quality traits.

Asn (asparagine), HFN (Hagberg falling number), Z-SDS (Zeleny sedimentation index).

In contrast to other quality traits, the relationship between grain asparagine content and protein has been tested numerous times and the results suggest that there is a positive correlation between the two traits, varying from weak to strong, under different conditions (Table 2). The protein content of wheat is important both for breadmaking functionality and for its nutritional quality, especially as the global agricultural system shifts towards the cultivation of more plant protein for sustainability reasons. A more detailed analysis of the relationship between protein and asparagine was undertaken by Simsek et al. [19], who found significant positive associations between asparagine and extractable F4 (albumin/globulin), F5, and F6 (hydrolysed polymeric/non-gluten protein) HPLC protein fractions. This is consistent with the release of free asparagine from the hydrolysis of proteins under PHS. Simsek et al. [19] also found significant negative associations between asparagine and unextractable F1 (HMW glutenin polymers) and F2 (LMW glutenin polymers) protein fractions. This was further supported by Ohm et al. [36], where significant negative (p < 0.05) genotypic and phenotypic correlations were found between free asparagine and unextractable F1 protein fractions, but not between free asparagine and extractable F1 fractions.

The contrasting relationships between free asparagine and the different protein fractions has interesting implications for quality, because the ratio of unextractable HMW polymeric proteins to extractable LMW polymeric proteins is a better determinant of quality than total protein measurements [37,38]. Consequently, lower free asparagine content in the grain may be associated with higher bread-making quality. This conclusion was drawn by Ohm et al. [36], who further suggested that measurements of unextractable polymeric protein may allow for selection of varieties that simultaneously have high-quality breadmaking potential and are low in free asparagine content. Such a correlation between free asparagine and bread-making quality has not been consistently observed across studies,

5 of 14

though (see Table 1), so the relationship is probably more complex than this. Higher protein content may also be desirable, independent of its effect on bread-making quality.

The complexity of the factors determining the free asparagine content of grain and protein can be illustrated by looking at soft wheat varieties. These varieties typically have lower protein content than hard wheats, making them unsuitable for bread-making but suitable for biscuits, breakfast cereals, pastries and other baked goods. Based on this, the grain of soft wheats might be expected to have lower free asparagine content than hard wheats, due to the positive correlations often found with protein (Table 2). Curtis et al. [39] did show that varieties with consistently low free asparagine concentration were often soft wheats, but the difference between hard and soft variety groups was not significant, with high and low-asparagine varieties in both groups. It is possible that the association of some soft wheat varieties with consistently low free asparagine content was due to the deletion of one of the asparagine synthetase 2 homeologues, *TaASN-B2*, which has been shown to be associated with lower grain asparagine content and was more common in the soft wheats used in the trial [26]. The effect of this deletion is only apparent when the plants have adequate sulphur, though, with the effect being overwhelmed by the huge increase in free asparagine concentration that occurs under sulphur deficiency [26], adding more complexity to the control of grain asparagine content.

Asparagine Measure	Protein Measure	\mathbb{R}^2/r	p	Reference
Untransformed	Crude protein	0.86 *	< 0.001	[14]
Untransformed	Protein content (2006 UN)	0.93	< 0.01	[16]
	Protein content (2006 T)	0.63	< 0.05	
	Protein content (2007 UN)	0.75	>0.05	
	Protein content (2007 T)	0.27	>0.05	
	Protein content (2006 N)	0.73	< 0.01	
	Protein content (2007 N)	0.89	< 0.01	
Log_e transformation	Protein content (non-sprouted)	NA	>0.05	[19]
-	Protein content (sprouted)	NA	>0.05	
	Protein content (ΔD)	NA	>0.05	
Untransformed	Total protein content	0.45	< 0.001	[29]
	Wholemeal protein content	0.51	< 0.001	
	Flour protein content	0.38	< 0.001	
Log_e transformation	Protein content	0.43	< 0.001	[34]
Log _e transformation	Protein content (r_p)	-0.03	>0.05	[36]
-	Protein content (r_g)	-0.37	>0.05	
Untransformed	Total protein content	0.52	<0.01	[35]
Log ₁₀ back transformed	Total protein content	0.23	<0.01	[23]
Untransformed	Crude protein	0.36 *	NA	[40]
Untransformed	Crude protein	0.04 *	NA	[41]
Untransformed	Wholemeal protein content	-0.08	0.66	[28]
	Flour protein content	-0.14	0.46	

Table 2. Associations between free asparagine and protein content.

* These values refer to \mathbb{R}^2 values, not *r* values. r_p (phenotypic correlation), r_g (genotypic correlation).

Although the relationship between free asparagine content and the protein composition of grain is complex, there are two factors that are well known to affect both: nitrogen and sulphur fertilisers. Nitrogen application increases both the free asparagine and protein content of grain, whereas sulphur application decreases free asparagine content and improves protein composition (see [42] for review). This is reflected in the correlation between free asparagine, nitrogen, and the nitrogen to sulphur ratio in wheat grain (Table 1), and implies that wheat uses free asparagine as a nitrogen store in the grain when sulphur is limiting (reviewed in [8]). Application of more sulphur is, therefore, desirable for both traits, except for its environmental pollution effects [43], whereas a balance between higher protein/higher free asparagine and lower protein/lower free asparagine must be struck when it comes to nitrogen application. Similar trade-offs arise because of the association of nitrogen with desirable agronomic traits, but there may be solutions in breeding, as discussed below. In the meantime, our advice is that nitrogen application should be accompanied with sufficient sulphur (typically 20 kg sulphur per hectare) to prevent the nitrogen ending up as free asparagine instead of protein.

2.2. Free Asparagine and Agronomic Traits

As a result of the positive association between free asparagine and nitrogen application, it might be expected that there would be a similar association between free asparagine and traits related to growth because of the positive relationship between plant growth and nitrogen. Positive correlations between free asparagine and yield have indeed been found (Table 3) but, perhaps surprisingly, these correlations have not been consistent across studies. Xie et al. [44], for example, found that free asparagine (measured in milligrams per gram of protein) was negatively correlated with grain yield in one year when the yield was low (between two and four tonnes per hectare), but positively associated in another year, when the yield was higher (between four and eight tonnes per hectare), suggesting a non-linear relationship. A reduction in plant stress could explain the negative correlation observed over lower yield values, whilst the positive correlation could be due to greater nitrogen availability in the soil. However, the authors note that the relationship between absolute free asparagine content (measured without normalisation to protein) and yield was not as strong as the relationship when the normalisation of free asparagine to protein was performed. The lack of comprehensive yield/free asparagine studies does not provide strong support for hypotheses linking the two traits, but it could be worthwhile investigating the nature of the relationship between free asparagine and yield in more detail in future studies.

Another interesting correlation shown in Table 3 is that between the asparagine response (measured as the ratio of asparagine in treated vs. asparagine in untreated plants) and the yield gap-based measure of drought tolerance (YDT), as studied by Yadav et al. [45]. YDT provides a measurement of how well a variety performs under drought stress relative to unstressed conditions. The negative correlation between the asparagine response and YDT in the study indicated that plants that were less tolerant to drought tended to accumulate more asparagine. This relationship is consistent with the general observation that free asparagine accumulates under stress (reviewed in [42]), and Yadav et al. [45] suggested that the relationship could be caused by the remobilisation of nitrogen during stress-induced senescence. Curtis et al. [46] also showed that asparagine metabolism is affected by drought stress in wheat, by constructing a detailed network describing the genes and other factors involved, using a Unique Network Identification Pipeline to show the inter-relationships between genes that changed in expression in response to drought stress, in both leaves and roots.

The relationship between free asparagine and senescence in wheat is not well understood, but Emebiri [20] did find a negative correlation between asparagine and flowering time (Table 3), which may reflect an association between senescence and asparagine. Senescence is known to cause the remobilisation of nitrogen via asparagine and the activation of asparagine synthetases in other species, including sunflower, tobacco, and barley [31,47–49], and early senescing barley lines show greater expression of asparagine synthetase in senescing tissues relative to later senescing lines [50]. Navrotskyi et al. [35] also found a positive correlation between free asparagine and the number of days until harvest (Table 3), again implying that longer periods of senescence might be responsible for this association.

Asparagine Measure	Agronomic Measure	r	p	Reference	
Log _e back-transformed	Flowering time	-0.67	< 0.001	[20]	
Untransformed	Plant height	0.41	< 0.001	[29]	
	TKW	0.03	0.75		
	Mean kernel diameter	0.13	0.11		
	Mean kernel weight	0.06	0.45		
	Yield	-0.14	0.09		
	Precipitation (HH)	-0.85	< 0.05		
	Temperature (HH)	0.74	0.10		
Log _e transformation	HLW	-0.40	< 0.001	[34]	
Untransformed	Mean kernel diameter	0.37	< 0.05	[35]	
	Mean kernel weight	0.37	< 0.05		
	Yield	-0.32	>0.05		
	Days to harvest	0.61	< 0.001		
Log ₁₀ back transformed	TKW	-0.24	< 0.01	[23]	
014	HLW	-0.21	< 0.01		
Untransformed	Nitrogen application	0.63	NA	[40]	
Untransformed	TKW	-0.27	0.15	[28]	
	HLW	-0.07	0.71		
Log _e transformed responses	YDT	-0.73	< 0.05	[45]	
Per unit protein	Yield (2018)	0.74	NA	[44]	
Ł	Yield (2019)	-0.56	NA		
Untransformed	Yield	0.75	< 0.001	[51]	

Table 3. Associations between free asparagine and agronomic measurements.

TKW (thousand kernel weight), HH (heading to harvest date), HLW (hectolitre weight), YDT (yield gap-based drought tolerance).

Further research in this area could be greatly facilitated by investigating free asparagine accumulation in stay-green varieties of wheat. These varieties show delayed senescence, leading to a prolonged green phenotype, and generally have higher yields and better stress tolerance, although this leads to a trade-off with protein and micronutrient content due to the yield dilution effect [52]. Heyneke et al. [53] undertook an experiment comparing the leaf metabolome of early and late senescing wheat lines and found that asparagine content in the leaf decreased as senescence progressed, but not significantly. The ratio of asparagine to aspartic acid (as well as the ratio of glutamine to glutamic acid) did increase significantly, though, in both early and late senescing lines, as senescence progressed. The authors of this study interpret the increase in nitrogen-rich amino acids (asparagine and glutamine) relative to their precursors (aspartic acid and glutamic acid, respectively) as being indicative of nitrogen remobilisation to other active organs. The remobilisation of free asparagine from senescing leaves to developing grain may, therefore, be a mechanism which connects senescence and grain-free asparagine content. Further investigation of early and late senescing lines should be undertaken to shed more light on the relationship between free asparagine and senescence.

The development of stay-green varieties is part of a larger effort to develop varieties with better nitrogen-use efficiency (NUE), in order to reduce agricultural inputs, since nitrogen fertilisers are a major source of environmental pollution [54]. NUE can be defined in many different ways but is commonly described as a productivity index measuring yield per unit of nitrogen (see [55] for review). Strategies to enhance NUE in wheat may impact grain asparagine content due to effects on nitrogen uptake and partitioning within the plant, especially those methods that modulate genes involved in amino acid synthesis and transport.

For example, Wan et al. [56] reported that overexpression of the starchy endosperm amino acid transporter, *TaAAP13*, in endosperm tissue increased grain size and grain

weight, but decreased grain yield and seed number per plant overall, as well as increasing free asparagine content. In another study, Tiong et al. [57] transformed rice, wheat, and barley plants with a stress-inducible barley alanine aminotransferase, OsAnt1:HvAlaAT, resulting in increased grain yield for some of the resulting lines. The authors also showed that asparagine content in the roots and shoots of the mutant rice plants was decreased relative to wild-type plants, but these measurements were not repeated in the mutant wheat plants and grain asparagine content was not measured. Hu et al. [58] also improved NUE using modulated amino acid transporters, but this time by overexpressing an isoform of glutamine synthetase 2. This increased grain yield under conditions of both high and low nitrogen, but total amino acid and glutamine content (with which asparagine is often strongly positively correlated) only increased significantly under high nitrogen conditions. These examples show that the many different strategies for improving NUE are likely to have different effects on grain asparagine content, based on their individual mechanisms, and in combination with different environments and management practices. It is, therefore, important that effects on free asparagine concentration (and, therefore, acrylamide-forming potential) are assessed in plants in which NUE has been improved.

The relationship between free asparagine, quality, and agronomic traits, as described above, is summarised in Figure 2, below.



Figure 2. Proposed relationship between agronomic factors, quality traits, and grain asparagine content. Agronomic factors influence both quality traits and grain asparagine content, whilst quality traits and grain asparagine are linked to one another. NUE (nitrogen-use efficiency), HMW (high molecular weight), LMW (low molecular weight).

3. Breeding Wheat with Low Free Asparagine

Selection for desirable traits in wheat (e.g., disease resistance and increased yield) has occurred since humans first started cultivating diploid and tetraploid wheats, approximately 10,000 years ago [59,60]. A historical analysis of varieties, registered from the late 1800s to the present day, indicates that commercial plant breeding has altered the amino acid composition of wheat grain, along with many other agronomic and quality traits [61]. However, free asparagine showed no discernible change across the measured period and another study by Rapp et al. [23] also did not find any temporal trend in free asparagine content across the varieties screened in that study. This is in contrast with Corol et al. [29], who detected a weak negative correlation between variety release year

and grain asparagine content (r = -0.255, p = 0.0019). This slight negative correlation may be due to the decreasing protein content of varieties, as a result of selection for increasing yields and the yield-dilution effect.

The lack of any strong correlation between variety release year and grain asparagine content reflects that free asparagine concentration is not strongly linked to any other traits that have been selected for, over the course of commercial wheat breeding history. However, free asparagine concentration does display a moderate heritability in some studies (Table 4), with the study that used one of the more robust estimates of heritability (Piepho and Möhring method), estimating heritability at 0.65, similar to the heritability estimates obtained for protein content and falling number from the same study [23]. Further accurate measurements of grain asparagine heritability are required to corroborate this, as well as measurements taken across multiple environments, but this indicates that there is scope for reducing the free asparagine content of wheat grain through breeding. However, there is undoubtedly a substantial environmental (E), as well as genetic (G), effect on free asparagine concentration, together with a G × E interaction, which may have discouraged breeders from attempting to develop low-asparagine varieties to date.

Heritability Method	h^2	Reference	
Broad-sense	0.31	[20]	
Surrogate method	0.13	[29]	
Piepho and Möhring	0.65	[23]	
Broad-sense	0.41	[61]	

Table 4. Heritability estimates of asparagine in wheat (given to 2 significant figures).

Breeding low-asparagine wheat could potentially be achieved in three main ways: directly, by using either existing or induced variation, or indirectly, through selection for related traits (Figure 3). New wheat varieties are commonly developed using existing variation; however, the only multi-environment quantitative trait locus (QTL) for low-asparagine known at present is the one in which the *TaASN-B2* gene is either present or deleted, which has been shown to affect the free asparagine content of grain in two different field trials [26]. Selection for the *TaASN-B2* deletion represents an easy gain for breeders, but further trials testing the effect of the deletion should be performed to confirm the stability of the effect across more environments. Other QTL controlling grain asparagine content have also been identified, but these have not yet been verified across more than one environment [20,23]. Identification of multi-environment QTL, in combination with genomic and marker assisted selection [23], could enable low-asparagine wheat to be developed, without the time-consuming or expensive need to screen large numbers of plants for asparagine concentration.

Relying on natural variation is limited by the availability of existing variation, whereas techniques that induce or increase variation in the wheat genome could generate new variants with free asparagine content below the normal range. This has been demonstrated by the use of CRISPR/Cas9 technology to 'knock out' the *TaASN2* genes, reducing grain asparagine content by up to 90% in glasshouse experiments [25]. The edited lines still need to undergo trials to confirm the stability of this phenotype in the field, but the stability of the 'natural' *TaASN-B2* deletion phenotype under field conditions [26] is encouraging, suggesting that the *TaASN2*-edited phenotypes may be similarly stable. However, the interaction between the *TaASN-B2* deletion and sulphur deficiency implies that *TaASN2* variants may not be sufficient to control grain asparagine content during sulphur deficiency or other stresses, again highlighting the effects of E and G × E. On the other hand, the varieties carrying the *TaASN-B2* deletion have intact *TaASN-A2* and *TaASN-D2* genes, whereas the edited lines lack any functional *TaASN2* genes, so the edited lines will be valuable for investigating whether this prevents free asparagine accumulation under conditions of sulphur deficiency or other stresses.



Figure 3. Strategies for the breeding of low-asparagine wheat.

The benefits of inducing variation in candidate genes was also recently demonstrated in a preprint by Alarcón-Reverte et al. [62], in which wheat plants possessing EMS-induced null *TaASN-A2* alleles were grown in the field and tested for grain-free asparagine content. Reductions of between 9% and 34% were achieved, without any negative side effects on quality traits, demonstrating again the utility of induced variation and the lack of strong associations between free asparagine and quality traits.

As a result of the potential loss or partial loss of the low-asparagine phenotype of *TaASN2* knockouts under stress, a third, complementary option for controlling grain asparagine content can also be adopted: breeding for stress tolerance. As discussed above, stress and grain asparagine content are closely linked, and it is often during stress that the highest grain asparagine contents are observed [39,63]. Breeding for stress tolerance could, therefore, ensure that a low-asparagine phenotype would be retained under stress. Selection for other related traits, such as those discussed above (e.g., PHS resistance, delayed senescence), could also provide indirect selection for lower-grain asparagine, but these traits are not as clearly linked with asparagine as asparagine is with stress.

4. Conclusions

Asparagine is, of course, an important plant metabolite, and since the discovery that it can be converted to acrylamide during the cooking and processing of food, there has been debate over how much its concentration could be reduced before effects were seen on other important traits. It was also recognized by the food industry that the production of fried, roasted, toasted and baked coffee, potato and cereal products containing no acrylamide at all was not possible, and that they should aim to reduce acrylamide to levels 'as low as reasonably achievable' [64]. More recently, at least in the European Union, it has become a regulatory compliance issue, with manufacturers striving to keep the acrylamide levels in their products below benchmark levels set by the European Commission [65]. The European Commission is currently considering replacing benchmark levels (described as 'performance indicators') for some products with maximum levels; i.e., levels of acrylamide above which it would be illegal to sell a product [66]. If maximum levels were set at or lower than the current benchmark levels, it would have serious implications for the food industry. There is a paucity of data in the public domain on acrylamide levels in cereal products, but a recent study in Spain found that 15% of breakfast cereals contained acrylamide above the benchmark level, which for wheat-based breakfast cereals is 300 parts per billion (ppb) [67]. Manufacturers could, therefore, face the prospect of product recalls and even prosecution if a maximum level of 300 ppb was imposed. This makes it more important than ever that wheat breeders engage on the acrylamide issue, especially as many strategies involving agronomy and food processing technology have already been implemented [64] and the opportunities for further gains involving those approaches may be limited.

The strategies outlined here show that the breeding of low-asparagine wheat, using natural and induced variation, is feasible and unlikely to negatively impact other traits, with the exception of germination, which may be affected, but only if free asparagine concentration is reduced to very low levels [25]. Furthermore, breeding solutions stand to be more sustainable, cost-effective, and less impactful on flavour than the solutions provided by agronomic and food sciences, and could make additional agronomic or food industry modifications unnecessary. Consequently, development of low-asparagine phenotypes in elite wheat varieties should be considered in future wheat breeding programs.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, J.O.; writing: original draft preparation, J.O. and N.G.H.; writing: review and editing, J.O., S.R., M.D.W., J.S.E. and N.G.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: JO is supported by a BBSRC Collaborative Training Partnership Studentship with partners: University of Reading and Mondelez UK R&D Ltd (BB/T50838X/1). SR is supported by a BBSRC SUPER Follow on Fund grant (BB/T017007/1). NGH is supported at Rothamsted Research by the BBSRC via the Designing Future Wheat Programme (BB/P016855/1). BBSRC is part of UK Research and Innovation.

Acknowledgments: We thank Isabel Moreira de Almeida and Nicholas Cryer of Mondelez International for their support of JO's studentship.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders listed above had no role in the writing of this review, or in the decision to publish.

Abbreviations

As (asparagine), $G \times E$ (genotype-by-environment interaction) PHS (pre-harvest sprouting), GWAS (genome-wide association study), QTL (quantitative trait locus/loci), RNAseq (RNA sequencing), HFN (Hagberg falling number), Z-SDS (Zeleny sedimentation index), HMW (high molecular weight), LMW (low molecular weight), r_p (phenotypic correlation), r_g (genotypic correlation), TKW (thousand kernel weight), HH (heading to harvest date), HLW (hectolitre weight), YDT (yield gap-based drought tolerance), nitrogenuse efficiency (NUE), YDT (yield gap-based drought tolerance).

References

- FAO. FAOSTAT: Food Balances (2014-). 2021. Available online: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/ (accessed on 16 November 2021).
- Walkowiak, S.; Gao, L.; Monat, C.; Haberer, G.; Kassa, M.T.; Brinton, J.; Ramirez-Gonzalez, R.H.; Kolodziej, M.C.; Delorean, E.; Thambugala, D.; et al. Multiple wheat genomes reveal global variation in modern breeding. *Nature* 2020, 588, 277–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wilkinson, P.A.; Allen, A.M.; Tyrrell, S.; Wingen, L.U.; Bian, X.; Winfield, M.O.; Burridge, A.; Shaw, D.S.; Zaucha, J.; Griffiths, S.; et al. CerealsDB—New tools for the analysis of the wheat genome: Update 2020. *Database* 2020, 2020, baaa060. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Smedley, M.A.; Hayta, S.; Clarke, M.; Harwood, W.A. CRISPR-Cas9 Based Genome Editing in Wheat. *Curr. Protoc.* 2021, 1, e65. [CrossRef]
- Tareke, E.; Rydberg, P.; Karlsson, P.; Eriksson, S.; Törnqvist, M. Analysis of acrylamide, a carcinogen formed in heated foodstuffs. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 4998–5006. [CrossRef]
- Mottram, D.S.; Wedzicha, B.L.; Dodson, A.T. Food chemistry: Acrylamide is formed in the Maillard reaction. *Nature* 2002, 419, 448–449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stadler, R.H.; Blank, I.; Varga, N.; Robert, F.; Hau, J.; Guy, P.A.; Robert, M.C.; Riediker, S. Food chemistry: Acrylamide from Maillard reaction products. *Nature* 2002, 419, 449–450. [CrossRef]
- Raffan, S.; Halford, N.G. Acrylamide in food: Progress in and prospects for genetic and agronomic solutions. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 2019, 175, 259–281. [CrossRef]
- 9. Halford, N.G.; Muttucumaru, N.; Curtis, T.Y.; Parry, M.A.J. Genetic and agronomic approaches to decreasing acrylamide precursors in crop plants. *Food Addit. Contam.* 2007, 24 (Suppl. S1), 26–36. [CrossRef]

- Muttucumaru, N.; Halford, N.G.; Elmore, J.S.; Dodson, A.T.; Parry, M.; Shewry, P.R.; Mottram, D.S. Formation of high levels of acrylamide during the processing of flour derived from sulfate-deprived wheat. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 8951–8955. [CrossRef]
- Granvogl, M.; Wieser, H.; Koehler, P.; Von Tucher, S.; Schieberle, P. Influence of sulphur fertilization on the amounts of free amino acids in wheat. Correlation with baking properties as well as with 3-aminopropionamide and acrylamide generation during baking. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 4271–4277. [CrossRef]
- 12. Surdyk, N.; Rosén, J.; Andersson, R.; Åman, P. Effects of Asparagine, Fructose, and Baking Conditions on Acrylamide Content in Yeast-Leavened Wheat Bread. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 2047–2051. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Claus, A.; Schreiter, P.; Weber, A.; Graeff, S.; Herrmann, W.; Claupein, W.; Schieber, A.; Carle, R. Influence of agronomic factors and extraction rate on the acrylamide contents in yeast-leavened breads. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 8968–8976. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Weber, E.A.; Graeff, S.; Koller, W.D.; Hermann, W.; Merkt, N.; Claupein, W. Impact of nitrogen amount and timing on the potential of acrylamide formation in winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Field Crops Res.* **2008**, *106*, 44–52. [CrossRef]
- Curtis, T.Y.; Muttucumaru, N.; Shewry, P.R.; Parry, M.A.J.; Powers, S.J.; Elmore, J.S.; Mottram, D.S.; Hook, S.; Halford, N.G. Effects of genotype and environment on free amino acid levels in wheat grain: Implications for acrylamide formation during processing. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2009, 57, 1013–1021. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Martinek, P.; Klem, K.; Váňová, M.; Bartáčková, V.; Večerková, L.; Bucher, P.; Hajšlová, J. Effects of nitrogen nutrition, fungicide treatment and wheat genotype on free asparagine and reducing sugars content as precursors of acrylamide formation in bread. *Plant Soil Environ.* 2009, 55, 187–195. [CrossRef]
- 17. European Commission. *Commission Recommendation of 10.1.2011 on Investigations into the Levels of Acrylamide in Food;* European Commission: Brussels, Belgium, 2011.
- Byrne, E.H.; Prosser, I.; Muttucumaru, N.; Curtis, T.Y.; Wingler, A.; Powers, S.; Halford, N.G. Overexpression of GCN2-type protein kinase in wheat has profound effects on free amino acid concentration and gene expression. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2012, 10, 328–340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Simsek, S.; Ohm, J.B.; Lu, H.; Rugg, M.; Berzonsky, W.; Alamri, M.S.; Mergoum, M. Effect of pre-harvest sprouting on physicochemical changes of proteins in wheat. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2014, 94, 205–212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Emebiri, L.C. Genetic variation and possible SNP markers for breeding wheat with low-grain asparagine, the major precursor for acrylamide formation in heat-processed products. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2014**, *94*, 1422–1429. [CrossRef]
- Lukaszewski, A.J.; Alberti, A.; Sharpe, A.; Kilian, A.; Stanca, A.M.; Keller, B.; Clavijo, B.J.; Friebe, B.; Gill, B.; Wulff, B.; et al. A chromosome-based draft sequence of the hexaploid bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genome. *Science* 2014, 345, 1251788. [CrossRef]
- 22. Xu, H.W.; Curtis, T.Y.; Powers, S.J.; Raffan, S.; Gao, R.H.; Huang, J.H.; Heiner, M.; Gilbert, D.R.; Halford, N.G. Genomic, Biochemical, and Modeling Analyses of Asparagine Synthetases from Wheat. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *8*, 2237. [CrossRef]
- Rapp, M.; Schwadorf, K.; Leiser, W.L.; Würschum, T.; Longin, C.F.H. Assessing the variation and genetic architecture of asparagine content in wheat: What can plant breeding contribute to a reduction in the acrylamide precursor? *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2018, 131, 2427–2437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Curtis, T.Y.; Raffan, S.; Wan, Y.; King, R.; Gonzalez-Uriarte, A.; Halford, N.G. Contrasting gene expression patterns in grain of high and low asparagine wheat genotypes in response to sulphur supply. *BMC Genom.* **2019**, *20*, 628. [CrossRef]
- Raffan, S.; Sparks, C.; Huttly, A.; Hyde, L.; Martignago, D.; Mead, A.; Hanley, S.J.; Wilkinson, P.A.; Barker, G.; Edwards, K.J.; et al. Wheat with greatly reduced accumulation of free asparagine in the grain, produced by CRISPR/Cas9 editing of asparagine synthetase gene TaASN2. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2021, 19, 1602–1613. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Oddy, J.; Alarcón-Reverte, R.; Wilkinson, M.; Ravet, K.; Raffan, S.; Minter, A.; Mead, A.; Elmore, J.S.; de Almeida, I.M.; Cryer, N.C.; et al. Reduced free asparagine in wheat grain resulting from a natural deletion of TaASN-B2: Investigating and exploiting diversity in the asparagine synthetase gene family to improve wheat quality. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2021, *21*, 1–17. [CrossRef]
- 27. Muttucumaru, N.; Powers, S.J.; Briddon, A.; Elmore, J.S.; Mottram, D.S.; Halford, N.G. Evidence for the complex relationship between the concentrations of free amino acids, sugars and acrylamide-forming potential in potato. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2014**, *164*, 286–300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Malunga, L.N.; Ames, N.P.; Masatcioglu, M.T.; Khorshidi, A.S.; Thandapilly, S.J.; Cuthbert, R.D.; Sopiwnyk, E.; Scanlon, M.G. Free asparagine concentrations in Canadian hard red spring wheat cultivars. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 2019, 99, 338–347. [CrossRef]
- Corol, D.I.; Ravel, C.; Rakszegi, M.; Charmet, G.; Bedo, Z.; Beale, M.H.; Shewry, P.R.; Ward, J.L. 1H-NMR screening for the high-throughput determination of genotype and environmental effects on the content of asparagine in wheat grain. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2016, 14, 128–139. [CrossRef]
- Simsek, S.; Ohm, J.B.; Lu, H.; Rugg, M.; Berzonsky, W.; Alamri, M.S.; Mergoum, M. Effect of pre-harvest sprouting on physicochemical properties of starch in wheat. *Foods* 2014, *3*, 194–207. [CrossRef]
- 31. Herrera-Rodríguez, M.B.; Maldonado, J.M.; Pérez-Vicente, R. Role of asparagine and asparagine synthetase genes in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) germination and natural senescence. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2006**, *163*, 1061–1070. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Canales, J.; Rueda-López, M.; Craven-Bartle, B.; Avila, C.; Cánovas, F.M. Novel insights into regulation of asparagine synthetase in conifers. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2012, *3*, 100. [CrossRef]

- 33. Liu, Y.; Ohm, J.B.; Hareland, G.; Wiersma, J.; Kaiser, D. Sulfur, protein size distribution, and free amino acids in flour mill streams and their relationship to dough rheology and breadmaking traits. *Cereal Chem.* **2011**, *88*, 109–116. [CrossRef]
- 34. Ohm, J.B.; Mergoum, M.; Simsek, S. Variation of free asparagine concentration and association with quality parameters for hard red spring wheat grown in North Dakota. *Cereal Chem.* **2017**, *94*, 712–716. [CrossRef]
- 35. Navrotskyi, S.; Baenziger, P.S.; Regassa, T.; Guttieri, M.J.; Rose, D.J. Variation in asparagine concentration in Nebraska wheat. *Cereal Chem.* **2018**, *95*, 264–273. [CrossRef]
- Ohm, J.B.; Simsek, S.; Mergoum, M. Variation of protein MWD parameters and their associations with free asparagine concentration and quality characteristics in hard red spring wheat. J. Cereal Sci. 2018, 79, 154–159. [CrossRef]
- Ohm, J.B.; Hareland, G.; Simsek, S.; Seabourn, B. Size-exclusion HPLC of protein using a narrow-bore column for evaluation of breadmaking quality of hard spring wheat flours. *Cereal Chem.* 2009, *86*, 463–469. [CrossRef]
- Tsilo, T.J.; Ohm, J.B.; Hareland, G.A.; Anderson, J.A. Association of size-exclusion HPLC of endosperm proteins with dough mixing and breadmaking characteristics in a recombinant inbred population of hard red spring wheat. *Cereal Chem.* 2010, *87*, 104–111. [CrossRef]
- 39. Curtis, T.Y.; Powers, S.J.; Wang, R.; Halford, N.G. Effects of variety, year of cultivation and sulphur supply on the accumulation of free asparagine in the grain of commercial wheat varieties. *Food Chem.* **2018**, 239, 304–313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Stockmann, F.; Weber, E.A.; Schreiter, P.; Merkt, N.; Claupein, W.; Graeff-Hönninger, S. Impact of nitrogen and sulfur supply on the potential of acrylamide formation in organically and conventionally grown winter wheat. *Agronomy* **2018**, *8*, 284. [CrossRef]
- 41. Stockmann, F.; Weber, E.A.; Merkt, N.; Schreiter, P.; Claupein, W.; Graeff-Hönninger, S. Impact of row distance and seed density on grain yield, quality traits, and free asparagine of organically grown wheat. *Agronomy* **2019**, *9*, 713. [CrossRef]
- 42. Oddy, J.; Raffan, S.; Wilkinson, M.D.; Elmore, J.S.; Halford, N.G. Stress, nutrients and genotype: Understanding and managing asparagine accumulation in wheat grain. *CABI Agric. Biosci.* **2020**, *1*, 10. [CrossRef]
- Hinckley, E.L.S.; Crawford, J.T.; Fakhraei, H.; Driscoll, C.T. A shift in sulfur-cycle manipulation from atmospheric emissions to agricultural additions. *Nat. Geosci.* 2020, 13, 597–604. [CrossRef]
- Xie, Y.; Malunga, L.N.; Ames, N.P.; Waterer, J.; Khorshidi, A.S.; Scanlon, M.G. Effects of growing environment, genotype, and commercial fertilization levels on free asparagine concentration in Western Canadian wheat. *Cereal Chem.* 2021, *98*, 89–99. [CrossRef]
- 45. Yadav, A.K.; Carroll, A.J.; Estavillo, G.M.; Rebetzke, G.J.; Pogson, B.J. Wheat drought tolerance in the field is predicted by amino acid responses to glasshouse-imposed drought. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2019**, *70*, 4931–4948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Curtis, T.Y.; Bo, V.; Tucker, A.; Halford, N.G. Construction of a network describing asparagine metabolism in plants and its application to the identification of genes affecting asparagine metabolism in wheat under drought and nutritional stress. *Food Energy Secur.* 2018, 7, e00126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Masclaux-Daubresse, C.; Reisdorf-Cren, M.; Pageau, K.; Lelandais, M.; Grandjean, O.; Kronenberger, J.; Valadier, M.H.; Feraud, M.; Jouglet, T.; Suzuki, A. Glutamine synthetase-glutamate synthase pathway and glutamate dehydrogenase play distinct roles in the sink-source nitrogen cycle in tobacco. *Plant Physiol.* 2006, 140, 444–456. [CrossRef]
- Avila-Ospina, L.; Marmagne, A.; Talbotec, J.; Krupinska, K.; Masclaux-Daubresse, C. The identification of new cytosolic glutamine synthetase and asparagine synthetase genes in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), and their expression during leaf senescence. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2015, *66*, 2013–2026. [CrossRef]
- 49. Bovet, L.; Cheval, C.; Hilfiker, A.; Battey, J.; Langlet, D.; Broye, H.; Schwaar, J.; Ozelley, P.; Lang, G.; Bakaher, N.; et al. Asparagine synthesis during tobacco leaf curing. *Plants* **2019**, *8*, 492. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jukanti, A.K.; Heidlebaugh, N.M.; Parrott, D.L.; Fischer, I.A.; McInnerney, K.; Fischer, A.M. Comparative transcriptome profiling of near-isogenic barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) lines differing in the allelic state of a major grain protein content locus identifies genes with possible roles in leaf senescence and nitrogen reallocation. *New Phytol.* 2008, 177, 333–349. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Malunga, L.N.; Ames, N.; Khorshidi, A.S.; Thandapilly, S.J.; Yan, W.; Dyck, A.; Waterer, J.; Malcolmson, L.; Cuthbert, R.; Sopiwnyk, E.; et al. Association of asparagine concentration in wheat with cultivar, location, fertilizer, and their interaction. *Food Chem.* 2021, 344, 128630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Distelfeld, A.; Avni, R.; Fischer, A.M. Senescence, nutrient remobilization, and yield in wheat and barley. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2014, 65, 3783–3798. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Heyneke, E.; Watanabe, M.; Erban, A.; Duan, G.; Buchner, P.; Walther, D.; Kopka, J.; Hawkesford, M.J.; Hoefgen, R. Effect of senescence phenotypes and nitrate availability on wheat leaf metabolome during grain filling. *Agronomy* **2019**, *9*, 305. [CrossRef]
- 54. Cameron, K.C.; Di, H.J.; Moir, J.L. Nitrogen losses from the soil/plant system: A review. Ann. Appl. Biol. 2013, 162, 145–173. [CrossRef]
- 55. Hawkesford, M.J.; Riche, A.B. Impacts of $G \times E \times M$ on Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Wheat and Future Prospects. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 1157. [CrossRef]
- Wan, Y.; Wang, Y.; Shi, Z.; Rentsch, D.; Ward, J.L.; Hassall, K.; Sparks, C.A.; Huttly, A.K.; Buchner, P.; Powers, S.; et al. Wheat amino acid transporters highly expressed in grain cells regulate amino acid accumulation in grain. *PLoS ONE* 2021, *16*, e0246763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tiong, J.; Sharma, N.; Sampath, R.; MacKenzie, N.; Watanabe, S.; Metot, C.; Lu, Z.; Skinner, W.; Lu, Y.; Kridl, J.; et al. Improving Nitrogen Use Efficiency Through Overexpression of Alanine Aminotransferase in Rice, Wheat, and Barley. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, 12, 29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 58. Hu, M.; Zhao, X.; Liu, Q.; Hong, X.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, L.; Li, H.; Tong, Y. Transgenic expression of plastidic glutamine synthetase increases nitrogen uptake and yield in wheat. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2018**, *16*, 1858–1867. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Giles, R.J.; Brown, T.A. GluDy allele variations in *Aegilops tauschii* and *Triticum aestivum*: Implications for the origins of hexaploid wheats. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2006, 112, 1563–1572. [CrossRef]
- 60. Zohary, D.; Hopf, M.; Weiss, E. Domestication of Plants in the Old World: The Origin and Spread of Domesticated Plants in Southwest Asia, Europe, and the Mediterranean Basin, 4th ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2013.
- 61. Poudel, R.; Bhinderwala, F.; Morton, M.; Powers, R.; Rose, D.J. Metabolic profiling of historical and modern wheat cultivars using proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Alarcón-Reverte, R.; Xie, Y.; Stromberger, J.; Cotter, J.D.; Mason, R.E.; Pearce, S. Induced mutations in TaASN-A2 reduce free asparagine concentration in wheat grain. *bioRxiv* 2021. [CrossRef]
- 63. Curtis, T.Y.; Powers, S.J.; Halford, N.G. Effects of fungicide treatment on free amino acid concentration and acrylamide-forming potential in wheat. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2016**, *64*, 9689–9696. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Food Drink Europe. Acrylamide Toolbox 2019; Food Drink Eur.: Brussels, Belgium, 2019.
- 65. European Commission. Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/2158 of 20 November 2017 Establishing Mitigation Measures and Benchmark Levels for the Reduction of the Presence of Acrylamide in Food; European Commission: Brussels, Belgium, 2017.
- 66. European Commission. Food Safety: Acrylamide. 2021. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/food/safety/chemical-safety/ contaminants/catalogue/acrylamide_en (accessed on 22 February 2022).
- 67. Mesías, M.; Sáez-Escudero, L.; Morales, F.J.; Delgado-Andrad, C. Reassessment of acrylamide content in breakfast cereals. Evolution of the Spanish market from 2006 to 2018. *Food Control* **2019**, *105*, 94–101. [CrossRef]