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ABSTRACT

An aquaplanet model is used to study the nature of the highly persistent low-frequency waves that have been observed in models forced by zonally symmetric boundary conditions.

Using the Hayashi spectral analysis of the extratropical waves, the authors find that a quasi-stationary wave 5 belongs to a wave packet obeying a well-defined dispersion relation with eastward group velocity. The components of the dispersion relation with \( k \geq 5 \) baroclinically convert eddy available potential energy into eddy kinetic energy, whereas those with \( k < 5 \) are baroclinically neutral. In agreement with Green’s model of baroclinic instability, wave 5 is weakly unstable, and the inverse energy cascade, which had been previously proposed as a main forcing for this type of wave, only acts as a positive feedback on its predominantly baroclinic energetics. The quasi-stationary wave is reinforced by a phase lock to an analogous pattern in the tropical convection, which provides further amplification to the wave. It is also found that the Pedlosky bounds on the phase speed of unstable waves provide guidance in explaining the latitudinal structure of the energy conversion, which is shown to be more enhanced where the zonal westerly surface wind is weaker. The wave’s energy is then trapped in the waveguide created by the upper tropospheric jet stream. In agreement with Green’s theory, as the equator-to-pole SST difference is reduced, the stationary marginally stable component shifts toward higher wavenumbers, while wave 5 becomes neutral and westward propagating.

Some properties of the aquaplanet quasi-stationary waves are found to be in interesting agreement with a low frequency wave observed by Salby during December–February in the Southern Hemisphere so that this perspective on low frequency variability, apart from its value in terms of basic geophysical fluid dynamics, might be of specific interest for studying the earth’s atmosphere.

1. Introduction

Understanding the mechanisms that generate and maintain the atmospheric extratropical low-frequency variability (LFV) is of primary importance for studying the basic properties of the climate system (Benzi et al. 1986; Vautard and Legras 1988; Benzi and Speranza 1989; Branstator 1992; Haines 1994; Feldstein 1998; Itoh and Kimoto 1999; D’Andrea 2002; Ruti et al. 2006), for evaluating the atmospheric predictability and extended weather range forecasts (Palmer 1999), and for detecting climate change signals as perturbations in the frequency of occurrence of the weather regimes (Corti et al. 1999).

The development of a self-consistent theory of the LFV is complicated by the number of different, but interacting, processes that underlie its dynamics so that simplified models have been introduced in order to cope with only a limited number of mechanisms (Swanson...
2002). Many of these mechanisms, such as the baroclinic–orographic resonance via form drag (Benzi et al. 1986; Ruti et al. 2006), the barotropic instability of the stationary waves (Simmons et al. 1983), and the Rossby wave radiation from anomalous tropical convection (Hoskins and Karoly 1981), require the presence of zonal asymmetries in the forcing of the mean state. LFV, however, is observed also in aquaplanets, which consist of GCMs set in a zonally symmetric ocean-covered world.

In typical extratropical settings, aquaplanets have been observed to feature a strong and persistent quasi-stationary zonal wavenumber 5 (Watanabe 2005), which has recently been found (D. Williamson 2009, personal communication) in most of the models participating in the Aquaplanet Intercomparison Project (Neale and Hoskins 2001). The matter is of interest because wave-5 quasi-stationary circumpolar patterns are also observed along the jet stream during summer in the SH (Salby 1982; Kidson 1999) and in the NH during both boreal winter (Branstator 2002; Chen 2002) and summer (Ding and Wang 2005; Blackburn et al. 2008) seasons. While the strong wave guiding effect provided by the jet is known to be responsible for the zonal orientation of these teleconnection patterns, their dynamics is still not well understood, and the leaky normal mode theory proposed for the SH (Salby 1982; Lin and Chan 1989) has no clear counterpart in the NH. The attractive possibility to use aquaplanet models as interpretative tools of these low frequency modes calls for a deeper understanding of their properties.

A low-frequency wavenumber 5 had been previously observed by Hendon and Hartmann (1985, hereafter HH) in a two-level dry primitive equation model with zonally symmetric boundary conditions and forced by Newtonian relaxation. The constant presence of a dominant low-frequency wave 5 in a variety of models and for different boundary conditions suggests that there must be a fundamental atmospheric process leading to its formation. HH proposed a quasigeostrophic (QG) inverse turbulent energy cascade (Charney 1971; Rhines 1975; Basdevant et al. 1981; Larichev and Held 1995), feeding energy at the latitude of the jet in a slowly propagating Rossby wave. The mechanism, which has been verified in observations (Lau 1988; Kug et al. 2010), simplified models (Vautard and Legras 1988; Cai and Mak 1990; Robinson 1991), and full GCMs (Branstator 1992), relies on the organization of high frequency transients by the low frequency wave in such a way that vorticity fluxes due to wave–wave interactions provide a positive feedback to the low frequency flow itself. In a QG model, Cai and Mak (1990) showed that low frequency variability was entirely maintained by inverse energy cascade whereas, when more realistic GCMs and observations are considered, its energetic contribution appears weaker than that provided by baroclinic and barotropic instability (Sheng and Hayashi 1990a,b).

Schneider et al. (e.g., Schneider 2004; Schneider and Walker 2006) pointed out that in dry primitive equations models the heat vertically redistributed by the baroclinic eddies can reequilibrate the system by lifting the tropopause so that the atmosphere remains in a state of weak eddy–eddy interaction where the inverse energy cascade becomes inhibited. The two-level primitive equations model of HH is likely to behave as a QG one because the “tropopause” coincides with the top of the model and cannot be adjusted by the dynamics. Moreover, GCMs feature an $\epsilon$-folding damping time due to horizontal diffusion in the free troposphere, orders of magnitude bigger than in the HH model, and cumulus convection schemes that can provide vorticity sources interacting with the low frequency wave (Sardeshmukh and Hoskins 1988). Therefore, the dynamics and the energetics of the quasi-stationary waves in an aquaplanet could require a different interpretation from what was proposed in HH.

If the persistent wave 5 could be satisfactorily interpreted as a neutral Rossby wave, an equivalent barotropic vertical structure would be expected and an external energy source would be needed to maintain it against dissipation (Hoskins and Karoly 1981; Held et al. 2002) while, if it were an unstable baroclinic wave (Eady 1949), a westward tilt with height of the geopotential and a phase speed equals to the zonal wind at a certain level of the basic flow would be expected instead. It is possible to cast simple models in a common framework of Rossby-like waves and unstable baroclinic waves. The Green (1960) model, which describes the linearized dynamics of a stratified rotating fluid with vertical shear, shows that marginally stable waves, associated with the transition between the two regimes, have a phase speed equal to the zonal surface wind speed, so LFV may not be incompatible with a stationary baroclinic process.

This paper will show that baroclinic energetics and kinematics typical of equivalent barotropic waves are both needed in order to explain the features of the observed quasi-stationary wave. Its wavenumber is controlled, in agreement with Green’s theory, by the averaged baroclinicity of the system so that shorter quasi-stationary waves are generated as the equator-to-pole SST difference is decreased. Moreover, the role of the coupling of the extratropical dynamics with phase-locked tropical convection will be analyzed in detail.

The paper is organized in the following way. In section 2 the model and the set of forcing SST distributions are introduced. In section 3 the kinematic properties of wave 5 are described, while in section 4 the tropical–extratropical interaction is discussed. An analysis of the
wave’s vertical structure and energetics is performed in section 5, and a subsequent physical interpretation is proposed. In section 6, we further extend our analysis by varying the baroclinicity of the system through changes in the meridional SST temperature gradient. A summary is given in section 7, and the main conclusions are presented in section 8.

2. Model and experiments

With the expression “aquaplanet model” we refer to a general circulation model of the atmosphere whose lower boundary is given by a swamp ocean (motionless and of infinite heat capacity). The incoming radiation and the prescribed SST field, which through bulk formulae determine the surface heat flux, are zonally symmetric and constitute the two independent forcings of the system.

The simulations have been performed with an aquaplanet setup of the ECHAM5 model (Roeckner et al. 2006) according to the parameters and suggestions given in the Aquaplanet Intercomparison Project (hereafter APE). The resolution T31L19 has been adopted, but sensitivity runs at T63L31 have been also performed and are briefly discussed in section 7. The model is run in its standard configuration except for the cloud cover that is determined by the diagnostic scheme of Lohmann and Roeckner (1996).

In addition to a standard incoming radiation pattern, the model is forced by the following set of SST distributions:

\[
\text{SST}(\lambda, \phi) = \begin{cases} 
T_e - \Delta_T \sin^2\left(\frac{3\phi}{2}\right), & \text{if } -\frac{\pi}{3} < \phi < \frac{\pi}{3} \\
T_e - \Delta_T, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

where \(T_e\) is the equatorial SST, \(\Delta_T\) is the equator-to-pole SST difference, and the control experiment of the APE project is recovered for \(T_e = \Delta_T = 27^\circ\text{C}\). The SST distribution is zonally and hemispherically symmetric and the prescribed temperature is constant on latitudes poleward of 60\(^\circ\).

We have performed and analyzed simulations with \(T_e = \Delta_T = 27^\circ\text{C}\) (sections 3–5), and later examined the impact of varying \(\Delta_T\) in 2\(^\circ\text{C}\) steps from 27\(^\circ\) to 5\(^\circ\) (section 6). The global mean temperature, which is increased by the lapse rate and the midtropospheric temperature, less than the surface air temperature, is a quantity of secondary relevance for the topic addressed in the paper, so the choice of fixing the equatorial SST is justified. Moreover, this choice makes basic features of the tropical atmosphere, such as the lapse rate and the midtropospheric temperature, less affected by changes in \(\Delta_T\). The effect of changing the convective scheme and \(T_e\) is briefly discussed in section 7.

Ten years of 6-hourly sampled data have been gathered after one year of spinup, which allows for a sufficiently accurate estimation of the spectral power density of the atmospheric waves. The analysis is done on daily mean data and, given the symmetry of the aquaplanet model, it is separately performed on the specular latitudes of the two hemispheres and the averaged result is presented.

3. The quasi-stationary wavenumber 5

The simulation performed with the setting of the control experiment of the APE project shows a pattern dominated by the zonal component \(k = 5\), which persists over time scales much longer than the atmospheric internal low-frequency variability (Blackmon et al. 1984). To give a qualitative picture of the feature, Fig. 1a shows, as an example, a typical 6-month average of the meridional velocity at 200 mb. A wave 5 of striking intensity peaks around 30\(^\circ\)N/S and extends over the latitude band 20\(^\circ\)–50\(^\circ\). During the same time period, an analogous pattern is found in the tropical convecive precipitation, featuring a deviation from the zonal mean on the order of 30\% (Fig. 1b). The two hemispheres appear to be coupled with an upper tropospheric equatorial outflow (inflow) just west of the longitudes where tropical precipitation is enhanced (reduced). Selecting a different 6-month time period would have given us an average field with a dominating wave-5 pattern with similar amplitude but, in general, different phase.

Therefore, an ultra-low-frequency wave 5 is present in both the tropics and the extratropics despite very different dynamical constraints governing the atmosphere at low and high latitudes. We have thus introduced two bidimensional fields that allow us to separately analyze the wave motions in the tropical and in the extratropical regions:

\[
\hat{\Omega}(\lambda, t) = \langle \omega(p_v, \lambda, \phi, t) \rangle_{\lambda=0}^{15},
\]

and

\[
\hat{V}(\lambda, t) = \langle v(p_v, \lambda, \phi, t) \rangle_{\lambda=20},
\]

where \(\omega\) is the vertical velocity (Pa s\(^{-1}\)), \(v\) is the meridional velocity (m s\(^{-1}\)), \(p_v = 500\) mb and \(p_v = 200\) mb are the pressure levels at which the variables are respectively
evaluated, and \( \langle \cdots \rangle_{\phi_i} \) is an area-weighted average between latitudes \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \). The latitudinal bands (both hemispheres are considered) and the levels have been chosen in order to contain the bulk of the low frequency power for all the set of simulations realized by varying \( \Delta_T \) and \( T_e \).

(a) Wave persistence

A quantitative evaluation of the persistence of a wave can be obtained by the plot of the mean amplitude of the zonal Fourier components of the wave averaged over different time windows. The calculation is performed by partitioning the time domain in \( M \) nonoverlapping blocks of length \( \tau \) days and by averaging over all the blocks the amplitude of the mean wave component on each block. The averaging time \( \tau \) has been chosen equal to the powers of 2, ranging from 1 to 256 days, and the results plotted in a bilog scale. Therefore, the most persistent component will appear as having the slowest average amplitude decrease as \( \tau \) is increased.

This method has been applied to the zonal wave-numbers 3–7 in \( \vec{V} \), and results are shown in Fig. 2. The variance of the unfiltered (daily mean, \( \tau = 1 \)) \( \vec{V} \) has an equal contribution from wavenumbers 5 and 6, but as the averaging time is increased the amplitude of wave 6 decreases, leaving wave 5 notably stronger than all other components on time scales longer than 10 days. A sharp drop in the wave amplitude over the synoptic time scales is visible for all waves with \( k > 5 \), while for \( k \leq 5 \) a smoother decrease is observed, with wave 5 featuring the smallest decrease for increasing \( \tau \). When \( \tau = 32 \) is considered, the amplitude of wave 5 is \( \sim 70\% \) of its \( \tau = 1 \) value, whereas for \( k = 6 \) the relative value realized for \( \tau = 32 \) is just 10\% of the \( \tau = 1 \) value. Such a strong persistence can be only realized if wave 5 features very low frequency and high temporal coherence. The amplitude of \( \Omega \) as a function of \( \tau \) leads to similar conclusions and has not been plotted.

(b) Spectra

The Hayashi spectra (Hayashi 1971) of \( \vec{V} \) and of \( \vec{\Omega} \) have been calculated and results are presented in Fig. 3. This technique, which is briefly described in the appendix, allows one to represent the variance of a longitude–time field as a function of the zonal wavenumber and the frequency of the eastward and westward propagating waves composing it. Hayashi spectra have been used to analyze and compare atmospheric variability in GCMs and reanalyses (Hayashi and Golder 1977; Fraedrich and Böttger 1978; Hayashi 1982; Dell’Aquila et al. 2005;
The power spectral density (PSD) of $\bar{V}$ shows that clear peaks in zonal wavenumbers 3–7 are organized along a nondispersive dispersion relation, which is shown in Fig. 3a by an ellipse enclosing the bulk of its spectral power. At the reference latitude of 30°, it corresponds to a group speed of about +40 m s$^{-1}$. Specifically, we observe a spectral peak centered at $k = 5$ and frequency close to zero, which is consistent with the picture of a quasi-stationary wave provided before. Spectral peaks with $k = 5$ ($k > 5$) are westward (eastward) propagating. When inspecting the time evolution of the wave-5 phase (not shown), we discover that the wave alternates coherent periods of slow eastward and westward propagation, which are the main causes of the slow loss of coherence of the wave presented in Fig. 2. The distribution of spectral power for higher frequencies as the zonal wavenumber increases is a typical feature of extratropical wave spectra (Dell’Aquila et al. 2005), and it is usually interpreted as the signature of baroclinic unstable waves.

As expected from the inspection of Fig. 3b, a quasi-stationary wave 5 appears as a dominant feature also when considering the spectrum of $\bar{V}$. Relevant low-frequency spectral density is as well observed at wavenumbers $k = 2$–3, which are typical of the Madden–Julian oscillation (Madden and Julian 1994), while the dispersion relation on wavenumbers 1–5, underlined by the dotted line in Fig. 3b, can be explained in terms of Kelvin waves propagating at a group speed $\sim$20 m s$^{-1}$. This is in agreement with the speed observed in the actual climate system (Kiladis et al. 2009). As opposed to the extratropical case, spectral features for wavenumbers $k > 5$ are barely present, consistent with the fact that baroclinic active waves are absent in the tropics.

To test the presence of some coherence between the dominating patterns observed for the two fields described above, we estimate the probability distribution function (PDF) of the phase difference between the wave-5 components in $\Omega$ and $\bar{V}$. The PDF that results is bell shaped and peaks around zero. This clarifies the presence of a phase lock between the wave 5 in $\Omega$ and $\bar{V}$. For $k \neq 5$ the PDF is, instead, roughly flat. We can point to two possible distinct interpretations of the phase locking, which differ in the location of the forcing process:

- The stationary extratropical wave is maintained by eminently local extratropical processes and induces a tropical convective pattern that acts as a positive feedback on the extratropical wave.
- The source of energy is eminently tropical with the stationary convective pattern forcing the extratropical stationary wave by generating vorticity.

The experiment described in the next section is designed to identify the right picture of the process.

4. The role of tropical convection

To clarify the location of the wave’s energy source, we perform an experiment where the nonzonal atmospheric forcing due to tropical convection is suppressed and the zonal mean state of the atmosphere is not substantially altered. If the energy source were entirely in the tropics we would expect the low frequency mode to disappear while, if the tropical convection acts just as a feedback, a change in the amplitude would be observed.

This experiment is realized by zonally redistributing at every time step the tendencies produced by the convection scheme in the tropical region:

$$\frac{\partial \phi^R}{\partial t_{\text{conv}}} = \alpha(\phi) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}_{\text{conv}} + \{\alpha(\phi) - 1\} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_{\text{conv}}},$$

where $\phi$ represents a generic variable, namely $q$, $u$, $v$, or $T$, and conv refers to the contribution to the tendency given by the mass flux convective scheme; the square brackets stand for a zonal average, and $\alpha(\phi)$ is the degree of redistribution. A complete zonal redistribution ($\alpha = 1$) has been applied between 0° and 15°N/S, followed by a linear decrease to reach $\alpha = 0$ at 20°N/S, where the minimum in convective precipitation is located for all of the experiments. The linear decrease has been introduced in order to reduce dynamical shocks due to discontinuities in the forcing. It must be remarked that we
have redistributed only the tendencies due to the parameterized moist convection so that the dynamical tendencies are still locally determined at every longitude and zonally asymmetric tropical motions are still generated but are heavily reduced. The terms SC and CTL will be used to refer to the experiment with and without the symmetrized tropical convection, respectively.

The difference in the zonal mean zonal wind $u$ between the SC and CTL experiments (contours) and the $u$ of the CTL experiment (shaded) are plotted in Fig. 4. We choose to compare the two experiments by using $u$ diagnostics because of its relevance as a parameter controlling Rossby wave propagation and the link of its vertical shear to the baroclinicity of the system. Except for the upper equatorial region, the differences in $u$ are smaller than 5%, and to a first order of approximation the mean state of the atmosphere remains reasonably unchanged by redistributing the convection.

We now use the same analysis performed in Fig. 2 but compare the amplitude of the low frequency waves in $\Omega$ and $V$ between the two experiments. Figure 5 shows that in the SC experiment the tropical wave 5 is highly damped, while a persistent extratropical wave 5 still remains. Remembering that the vertical velocity is also a proxy for the forcing of extratropical Rossby waves by tropical divergent flow (Sardeshmukh and Hoskins 1988), it is concluded that an extratropical energy source must be maintaining the low frequency mode, and the first hypothesis proposed in section 3 is found to be appropriate.

The lower values in the extratropical wave amplitude for $\tau \sim 15$ days in the SC experiment (Fig. 5b) are consistent with the picture of a positive feedback generated by the interaction with the tropical convection, which is confirmed by an analysis of the SC experiments over the whole set of $\Delta T$ values (not shown). Moreover, the synchronization in the wave-5 activity between NH and SH (see Fig. 1) is weaker in the SC experiments (not shown) so that the organization of tropical convection on $k = 5$ is one of the mechanisms linking the two hemispheres. The dynamics of the tropical–extratropical interaction and a detailed description of the feedback will be reported elsewhere.

5. Energetics of the quasi-stationary wave

a. Vertical wave structure

As confirmed in the previous section, the source of energy for the quasi-stationary extratropical wave has to be found in extratropical processes. Previous analyses have proposed that barotropic mechanisms should maintain the wave against dissipation (HH; Robinson 1991; Watanabe 2005). Instead, we propose that baroclinic energy conversion is responsible for feeding energy into the wave. We then test the very basic ingredient of baroclinicity—the presence of a phase tilt with height.
We have verified that the results, which are plotted in Fig. 6, are not sensitive to the choice of the reference level.

We first observe that the \( \nu \) and \( T \) waves have opposite tilt, as is typical of baroclinic unstable waves. The baroclinic wedge is especially evident in region A where baroclinicity is apparent throughout the troposphere, with vertical phase differences (about \( \frac{1}{12} \) of a cycle; i.e., \( 6^\circ \)) corresponding to about half of what is observed in the dominant earth’s baroclinic waves (Lim and Wallace 1991). As expected, in region C the baroclinicity is lower since we are close to the tropical region, and almost all of the phase tilt for the \( \nu \) and \( T \) fields is located in the lowest levels. Surprisingly, the baroclinicity is even weaker in region B, which corresponds to the latitude band where the wave peaks: this will be addressed in a later section.

A more quantitative analysis of the baroclinic conversion processes requires estimating the various terms relevant for the Lorenz energy cycle (Lorenz 1967). Using a spectral approach, we have been able to highlight the contributions to the heat transport and energy conversion terms projecting on the various frequencies and wavenumbers.

b. Heat transport

The eddy contributions to the meridional heat transport \( [\overline{\nu T}] \) at 750 hPa and to the vertical heat transport \( [-\omega T] \) at 500 hPa (where we have neglected \( C_p \)) have been calculated by the cospectra technique introduced by Hayashi (1971), which is reviewed in the appendix. A meridional average in the \( 20^\circ-50^\circ \) latitude band has been preliminarily applied to \( \nu \), \( T \), and \( \omega \). In Fig. 7 we show the positive values of the two cospectra, \( \overline{P_{\nu}^k(v, T)} \) and \( \overline{P_{\omega}^k(\omega, T)} \) using a logarithmic scale. The spectral components that feature negative values significantly different from zero at the 5\% confidence level have been simply indicated by dots. Their values, however, are at least an order of magnitude smaller than the plotted positive ones.

Cospectra \( P_{\nu}^k(v, T) \) and \( P_{\omega}^k(\omega, T) \) show an overall similarity on a large part of the spectrum, whose positive valued part can be regarded as the region of active baroclinic waves. In fact, following the theory of the Lorenz energy cycle, baroclinic unstable waves convert mean available potential energy into eddy potential energy, at a rate proportional to \( P_{\nu}^k(v, T) \) times the meridional temperature gradient, whereas eddy potential energy is converted into eddy kinetic energy, at a rate proportional to \( P_{\omega}^k(\omega, T) \) (Lorenz 1967).

The analysis will now focus on the region delimited by the continuous ellipse drawn in Figs. 7a and 7b, which corresponds to the area where the spectral power in \( \hat{V} \) is preferentially distributed (see Fig. 3a). All spectral peaks of the selected region with \( k > 5 \) feature positive values in
both $P_k^v(\nu, T)$ and $P_k^v(-\omega, T)$. This proves rigorously their baroclinic nature. By contrast, the part of the region with $k > 5$ features weak or negative energy conversion so that the spectral peaks there seen in Fig. 3a are energetically equivalent to neutral Rossby waves. The low-frequency wave 5, found close to the neutral boundary separating unstable and neutral waves, is the longest wave of the region that converts energy via baroclinic processes.

Outside of the main dispersion relation delimited by the continuous ellipse, a spectral region characterized by intense meridional and vertical heat transport, delimited by the dotted ellipse drawn in Figs. 7a,b, is observed on $k = 2–5$ and frequencies 0.05–0.2 day$^{-1}$. This secondary dispersion relation, which does not dominate the PSD function of $V$ shown in Fig. 3a, corresponds to baroclinic active, shallow, and meridionally narrow waves, as will be clarified by the arguments given in section 5d.

To investigate the dependence on latitude of the baroclinic energy conversion performed by the low-frequency wave 5, $P_k^v(-\omega, T)$ has been separately computed in the three previously defined latitudinal bands. The three resulting spectra are shown in Figs. 8a–c, and dotted circles have been drawn on the figures to indicate the spectral peak corresponding to the low frequency wave 5. While in region A its energy conversion is comparable to the one performed by the other spectral peaks—and the same applies for region C even if the baroclinic processes are overall much weaker—a reduced conversion is observed in region B. In particular, the energy conversion is completely suppressed on the negative frequencies, which suggests that baroclinic energy conversion is realized there only during periods of eastward propagation. This latitudinal structure is in agreement with the tilts in the $\nu$ wave observed in Fig. 6. A similar analysis for $P_k^v(\nu, T)$ (not shown) reveals that the low frequency wave 5 transports heat meridionally in all latitude bands. Therefore, wave 5 is an active baroclinic wave, but its energy conversion is concentrated at some latitudes, and it is sensitive to the zonal phase speed of the wave. Whether baroclinic conversion is really the leading process maintaining the wave will be clarified by the energy balance presented in the next subsection.

c. Spectral energy balance

Barotropic instability and forcing by transient eddy fluxes are the atmospheric processes—other than the aforementioned direct baroclinic energy conversion—that could feed kinetic energy in the ultra-low-frequency wave 5. The energetic contributions due to these processes have been quantified by the cross-spectral method proposed by Hayashi (1980), which essentially provides a spectral picture of the Lorenz energy cycle. For each spectral component this method estimates the linear energy transfers between the eddy kinetic and the potential energy reservoirs, which results from barotropic and baroclinic processes, and the redistribution of eddy kinetic energy among spectral components due to nonlinear wave interactions, which includes the low frequency forcing by transient eddy fluxes.

Using the Hayashi (1980) notation, the kinetic energy’s prognostic equation for the spectral component $(k, \nu)$, after integration over the whole atmosphere, can be written as

$$\frac{\partial K_k^{\nu}(\nu, T)}{\partial t} = \langle K \cdot K \rangle_k^{\nu} + \langle K_0 \cdot K_k \rangle^{\nu} + \langle -P_k^v(\alpha, \omega) \rangle + D_k^{\nu},$$

FIG. 5. As in Fig. 2, but comparing the amplitude of the waves as a function of the averaging time between the CTL (solid line) and the SC (dotted line) experiments. The comparison is performed on (a) $\Omega$ and (b) $V$. The symmetrization of convection inhibits wave 5 in the tropical convection while it just weakens its persistence in the extratropics.
where \( K_k^t \) is the total kinetic energy on the spectral component \((k, \nu)\), and \( \langle K \cdot K \rangle_k^t \) stands for the redistribution of energy into spectral component \((k, \nu)\) as a result of nonlinear interactions between different waves. 

In particular, the energy transfer occurs among triads of waves which are related in frequencies (and in wave-numbers) as \( \nu, \mu, \nu \pm \mu \left( k, l, k \pm l \right) \). The redistribution \( \langle K_0 \cdot K \rangle_k^t \) stands for the transfer of energy between the wave of spectral component \((k, \nu)\) and the kinetic energy of the time mean flow by barotropic processes. 

Here \( K_k^t \) and \( K_k^0 \) have been respectively computed by the formulas (3.12a), (3.12b), and (3.14a) of Hayashi (1980) and consist in the sum of terms involving cospectra between momentum and the convergence of momentum (see Hayashi 1980 for details). Here \( \alpha \) is the specific volume and, as previously introduced, \( P_k^0(., \omega) \) indicates a 2D space–time cospectrum. Therefore, the term \( -P_k^0(\alpha, \omega) \) gives the spectral estimation of the direct baroclinic energy conversion performed by the spectral component \((k, \nu)\). The last term refers to the kinetic energy lost on \((k, \nu)\) by dissipative processes. The only difference from the method described in Hayashi (1980) is that 1D space or time cospectra have been substituted by 2D space–time cospectra computed as in Eq. (A2).

We have directly computed the first three terms on the rhs of Eq. (6). Global mean values are obtained by a vertical integration over eight pressure levels, ranging from 1000 to 100 hPa, and a meridional area-weighted average over all the latitudes of the model. Because we adopt a space–time decomposition, the lhs of Eq. (6) is zero, and dissipation can be estimated as the residual of the energy balance. The resulting spectral contributions to the energetics of the waves with \( k = 5 \) are plotted in Fig. 9 as a function of frequency. Figure 9 clearly reveals that baroclinic conversion is the dominant process feeding kinetic energy in the \([-0.1, 0.1]\) (cpd) frequency range, where the power of the low-frequency wave 5 is distributed. As typical of inverse energy cascades, high frequency transients, through the wave–wave interaction term, force positive kinetic energy in the low frequency band, but this represents just a minor contribution compared to the predominant baroclinic energetics of the wave 5. Barotropic wave–mean flow interactions are, instead, on average draining kinetic energy out of wave 5 and are reinforcing the time mean jet. Representative values for the three processes, obtained by integrating the spectra over the \([-0.1, 0.1]\) frequency band, are reported in Table 1.

Another peak in baroclinic energy conversion is present at \( \nu \sim 0.2 \) cpd. This is due to a fast propagating wave 5 that belongs to the secondary dispersion relation already mentioned when discussing Fig. 7.

d. Interpretation

These results lead us to introduce a new paradigm that describes the extratropical quasi-stationary wave 5 as a marginally stable baroclinic wave and to look for theoretical models to justify its low phase speed and the latitudinal dependence of the efficiency of the energy conversion.

Green (1960) analyzed the linear stability properties of an infinitely meridional extended wave \((l = 0)\) when the beta effect is added to the Eady model. Due to the long wave stabilization provided by the beta effect, his model contains both neutral Rossby waves for \( k < K_c \), and baroclinically unstable waves for \( k > K_c \), where \( K_c \) is
the critical total wavenumber separating the two regimes. A marginally stable wave of phase speed equal to the surface zonal wind is found for $k = K_c$ (see Fig. 2 in Green 1960) so that quasi-stationarity and marginal stability are two properties that coexist in the same wave. Components with $k < K_c$ ($k > K_c$) are respectively westward (eastward) propagating. These features are in agreement with the previously described kinematic and energetic properties of the waves in the main dispersion relation around the marginal condition $k = 5$.

The fixed ($l = 0$) meridional wavenumber of Green’s model does not limit its interpretative value since the waves in the main dispersion relation feature a meridional scale $L_b$ close to the width of the jet stream, thus nearly independent of $k$. The width of the baroclinic zone is, indeed, a favorite meridional scale for the most unstable wave (Hoskins and Revell 1981), provided that the zonal wavenumber is sufficiently high to satisfy the necessary condition for baroclinic instability:

$$k^2 + l_b^2 > K_c^2,$$

where $l_b$ is a meridional wavenumber associated with $L_b$.

The presence of the secondary streak of peaks in Fig. 7 (see the dotted ellipse) can also be explained in terms of linearized baroclinic models. As $k$ is decreased below 5, relation (7) is no longer satisfied, and the meridional scale of the most unstable baroclinic wave has to become smaller than $L_b$ to allow further baroclinic conversion. As a result, the secondary dispersion relation can be interpreted in terms of active baroclinic waves that are zonally longer and meridionally narrower with respect to the active waves in the main dispersion relation (Hoskins and Revell 1981).

In Fig. 8 we see that the energy conversion by the low-frequency wave 5 has a peculiar latitudinal structure with relevant inhibition in the 30°–40° latitudinal band. An explanation is provided considering the bounds on the zonal phase speed $c_r$ of unstable baroclinic waves calculated by Pedlosky (1979) in QG $\beta$-channel conditions:

$$U_{\text{min}} - \beta \left[ 2 \left( \frac{\pi^2}{4L^2} + k^2 \right) \right] \leq c_r \leq U_{\text{max}},$$

where $U_{\text{min}}$ and $U_{\text{max}}$ are respectively the minimum and maximum of the zonal wind in the meridional plane, and $L$ the width of the channel. Owing to the $\beta$ effect, relation (8) states that in the presence of a westerly vertical shear unstable waves can propagate even slower than the minimum zonal surface wind. If a channel 30° wide centered at 35° of latitude is taken as representative of the extratropical baroclinic zone of the aquaplanet, the correction due to the $\beta$ effect for $k = 5$ is approximately 6 m s$^{-1}$. Subtracting this value from the zonal-mean...
zonal wind at the lowest model level, which is shown in Fig. 10, reveals that a quasi-stationary wave 5 can be unstable thanks to the presence of the weak westerly winds on the northern and southern flank of the surface jet stream, which is the latitude range where baroclinic conversion indeed occurs. On the contrary, in the 30°–40° latitude band the lower bound on the phase speed implies that waves with frequency \( \leq +0.025 \) should be stable, consistent with the weak conversion observed in Fig. 8b.

The weak baroclinic structure of wave 5 in the 30°–40° latitude band suggests that its kinematics could be explained in terms of barotropic Rossby wave propagation. This is coherent with the previous energetic interpretation based on Green’s model because marginally stable waves constitute the transition between Rossby and baroclinic active wave regimes. Both Rossby and Eady models are essentially contained in Green’s. The sharp 200-hPa jet, which can be seen in Fig. 4, creates an upper tropospheric waveguide where a stationary Rossby wave 5 can be channeled (Hoskins and Ambrizzi 1993). This is demonstrated in Fig. 11, where the stationary Rossby wavenumber at 200 hPa (Hoskins and Karoly 1981) is plotted against latitude. The channel delimited by the latitudes at which \( k = 5 \) is stationary is centered at 30°, in qualitative agreement with the latitude where the amplitude of wave 5 peaks. This interpretation may be improved noting that the wave is more meridionally extended than the channel (≈30° for the wave, against ≈15° for the channel), so wave 5 may rather see the climatological mean jet as a potential vorticity (PV) jump. Under this condition, Rossby waves are trapped and their propagation is nondispersive (Schwierz et al. 2004), in agreement with the observed main dispersion relation.

6. Sensitivity to baroclinicity of the system

Changing \( \Delta_T \), as presented in section 2, amounts to altering the mean baroclinicity of the system. Analyzing how the properties of the low frequency variability of the system depend on the temperature gradient allows us to test the robustness of our interpretation based on the Green model.

In Fig. 12 we plot the mean amplitude of \( \dot{V} \) for zonal waves 5, 6, and 7 at the averaging time of 1 day (Fig. 12,
left) and 32 days (Fig. 12, right) as a function of \( \Delta_T \). The two time scales have been chosen to measure the total and the low-frequency mean wave amplitude, respectively. As \( \Delta_T \) is decreased, the total wave amplitude decreases, in agreement with the reduced baroclinicity of the system (Stone 1978), while the dominant low frequency mode drifts toward higher wavenumbers. Waves 5, 6, and 7 reach their optimal stationary condition for \( \Delta_T = 27^\circ \text{C} \), \( \Delta_T = 15^\circ \text{C} \), and \( \Delta_T = 11^\circ \text{C} \), respectively. This shift is in agreement with the displacement of the Green’s model marginally stable baroclinic wave toward higher wave numbers as the vertical shear of the basic state is decreased.

The stabilized waves 6 and 7 feature a structure closely resembling the one already described for wave 5. As an example, Fig. 13 shows the 6-month average of the experiment \( \Delta_T = 15^\circ \text{C} \) (cf. the case \( \Delta_T = 27^\circ \text{C} \) shown in Fig. 1). An equatorward displacement of \( \sim 5^\circ \) of the peak in the extratropical \( V \) wave, linked to the displacement of the jet stream itself, and a different tropical convective pattern of precipitation are the only two remarkable differences of an otherwise similar pattern projecting on the zonal wavenumber 6 instead of 5. The SC experiments verified that the tropical convection is again responding to, and not forcing, the extratropical wave.

The cospectra \( P_x(u, T) \) and \( P_x(-\omega, T) \) are shown in Fig. 14 for the selected simulations \( \Delta_T = 21^\circ \text{C} \), \( \Delta_T = 17^\circ \text{C} \), and \( \Delta_T = 11^\circ \text{C} \). The waves considered in the following discussion are those identified by the spectral power contained in the main dispersion relation of each experiment, which have been for clarity indicated in Fig. 14 by ellipses. For \( \Delta_T = 21^\circ \text{C} \) wave 5 is still both the dominant low frequency wave and an active baroclinic wave vertically and meridionally transporting heat but, as \( \Delta_T \) decreases, the wave 5 energy is reduced and it becomes completely suppressed for \( \Delta_T = 17^\circ \text{C} \). Wave 5 has become a neutral westward propagating Rossby wave, only meridionally transporting heat and mainly forced by an inverse energy cascade. But, at this stage wave 6, which is both meridionally and vertically transporting heat, is the dominant low frequency wave and the new marginally stable baroclinic component, instead of wave 5. By further decreasing \( \Delta_T \) also wave 6 becomes neutral, and wave 7 takes its place as the marginally stable quasi-stationary baroclinic component (Figs. 14e,f).

In all of the experiments, a weak but significant counterbaroclinic energy conversion is observed on the westward propagating components of the main dispersion relation (see Figs. 14b,d,f and Fig. 7b). This inversion of the Lorenz energy cycle may not be surprising because those components, which are baroclinically neutral, are principally forced by an inverse energy cascade of kinetic energy, so they have a source of kinematic energy but miss a source of potential energy. Therefore, a counterbaroclinic energy conversion is necessary to produce the eddy available potential energy required to maintain thermal wind balance.

### 7. Summary

This paper has been devoted to studying the statistical properties of the ultra-low-frequency variability observed in many aquaplanet models and to identifying the physical mechanisms responsible for its maintenance. Past experiments performed with a variety of atmospheric models have shown that, when zonally symmetric boundary conditions are considered for the top-of-atmosphere incoming radiation and swamp ocean SST fields, the extratropical atmospheric variability features an extraordinary strong signature of quasi-stationary waves featuring impressive temporal coherence well beyond typical atmospheric time scales. In the parametric

---

**Table 1.** Kinetic energy sources (+) and sinks (−) on the low-frequency \( k = 5 \) wave due to fundamental atmospheric processes. Dissipation has been estimated as the residual closing the energy balance. The energetic contributions have been computed by integrating the unsmoothed energy transfer spectra over the \([-0.1, 0.1]\) (cpd) frequency band.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Energetic contributions (W m(^{-2}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baroclinic</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave–wave</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave–mean</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissipation</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 9.** Spectral distribution of kinetic energy (W m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) sources for waves with \( k = 5 \) as a function of frequency by fundamental atmospheric processes. Contributions by direct baroclinic energy conversion (heavy solid line), nonlinear wave–wave interactions (heavy dashed line), and barotropic wave–mean flow processes (light solid line) are plotted in the figure. See the text for a description of the methodology.
range roughly corresponding to the present climate—as that considered in the Aquaplanet Intercomparison Project—ultra-low-frequency variability manifests itself in the form of a wave with characteristic wavenumber 5.

A previous study (Hendon and Hartmann 1985), based on a simplified two-level primitive equation model, explained this feature using the paradigm of a Rossby wave forced by a turbulent inverse energy cascade. Nevertheless, the properties of the wave 5 have never been analyzed in detail in more complete GCMs, even though the topic is of interest considering the resemblance to some quasi-stationary wave patterns observed in the earth’s atmosphere.

In the simulations that we have performed with an aquaplanet setup of the ECHAM5 model, using boundary conditions analogous to those in the APE project, wave 5 peaks in the subtropics where it has a weak baroclinic vertical structure, it extends in the 20°–50° latitudinal band, and it features a very high temporal persistence. A wave-5 pattern in tropical convection is found to be phase-locked with the extratropical wave, so an experiment in which the forcing of extratropical waves by tropical convection is suppressed has been realized to investigate the nature of the interaction. It has been shown that the tropics are just responding as a positive feedback, and a prevalent extratropical dynamics maintains the wave 5.

After performing a spectral analysis of the meridional velocity field, and of the meridional and vertical heat transports, wave 5 results as a spectral peak of nearly zero frequency belonging to a well-defined dispersion relation on zonal wavenumbers $k = 3$–7, which corresponds to a nondispersive wave packet propagating eastward at about 40 m s$^{-1}$. Moreover, wave 5 is the longest component of the dispersion relation, which is converting available into kinetic energy through baroclinic processes. Green’s model of baroclinic instability has been found of great guidance in evaluating these results and has lead us to introduce a new paradigm for describing the low-frequency wave 5 as a marginally stable baroclinic wave. A spectral analysis of the kinetic energy balance on $k = 5$ reveals that an inverse barotropic energy cascade provides kinetic energy to the low frequency wave, but this is about just one-fourth of the energy converted by baroclinic processes.

The Green model and Pedlosky bounds on the phase speed of unstable waves in QG $\beta$-channel conditions show that marginally stable waves feature a zonal phase speed close to the zonal surface wind speed so that the low-level mean wind becomes one of the main parameters controlling the stability of the wave. Therefore, in the 30°–40° latitude band, where the mean surface jet peaks, wave 5 features a limited energy conversion and propagates as an almost barotropic equivalent wave meridionally trapped by the upper tropospheric PV jump created by the jet stream. Baroclinic conversion is, instead, enhanced on the southern and northern flank of the jet where low-level winds are closer to zero, and baroclinic energy conversion of a quasi-stationary wave becomes possible.

The proposed interpretation has been tested in a set of experiments in which the baroclinicity of the system has been changed by decreasing $\Delta_T$. In this previously unexplored parametric range, quasi-stationary waves of wavenumber 6 and 7, featuring a structure analogous to the wave 5, are respectively found for $\Delta_T = 15^\circ$C and

**Fig. 10.** Meridional cross section of $[u]$ at the lowest model level. The maximum in the surface zonal wind corresponds with the latitudes where wave 5 has a more barotropic vertical structure and a weaker baroclinic energy conversion.

**Fig. 11.** Stationary Rossby wavenumber at 200 hPa as a function of the latitude. The increase observed around 30° is due to the meridional curvature of the zonal flow at the jet stream, which creates an upper tropospheric waveguide for a stationary Rossby wave 5.
$\Delta_T = 11 ^\circ C$. This shift in the wavenumber is consistent with the displacement of the marginally stable wave of Green’s model as the vertical shear is decreased, so the interpretation is found to hold over the whole parametric range.

Other experiments (not discussed) proved that the selection of the stationary zonal wavenumber by $\Delta_T$, as well as the interpretation of the LFV as a marginally stable wave, is robust against changes in the equatorial SST, in the closure of the convective scheme, and in the model’s resolution. The organization of the tropical convection on the quasi-stationary wavenumber is instead highly sensitive to changes in the resolution and in the convective scheme. Nonetheless, the pattern remains visible in monthly mean averages for those values of $\Delta_T$ associated with optimal stationary conditions.

8. Conclusions and discussion

The main findings of this paper are as follows.

- Aquaplanet models, set up according to the APE project, feature strong quasi-stationary waves trapped along the jet stream, which are mainly maintained against dissipation by direct baroclinic energy conversion. The forcing by high frequency transients, which had been previously observed by Watanabe (2005), only provides a positive feedback to the wave.
- The Hayashi spectral analysis of the energetics of the extratropical waves is a powerful technique to identify the stability of the different waves and their organization along preferential dispersion relations. The theoretical dispersion relation linking Rossby waves to baroclinic unstable waves has been easily identified by this technique in the aquaplanet.
- The Green model has been found of valid guidance to interpret the dispersion relation and to identify the quasi-stationary waves as marginally stable baroclinic waves. As far as we know, it is the first time that the transition between long Rossby waves and short unstable baroclinic waves, passing through the low-frequency marginally stable wave, has been observed in a nonlinear GCM.
- According to Green’s model, the wavenumber of the stationary component is controlled by the average baroclinicity of the system, which can be changed by manipulating the equator-to-pole SST difference. Barotropic reasoning, based on the Rossby model, could explain the right kinematics and stationary wavenumber, but it would never be able to represent the right energetics, which needs to consider the baroclinic nature of the wave.
- The marginally stable baroclinic waves organize tropical convection so that it provides a positive feedback on the baroclinic wave itself. The classical framework of anomalous tropical convection forcing stationary Rossby waves is therefore here reversed.

This perspective on LFV generation is not necessarily exclusive of aquaplanet models, but it may be appropriate every time a marginally stable baroclinic wave and an upper tropospheric waveguide are present. Our interpretation of the aquaplanet’s wave 5 is, indeed, comparable to the leaky normal mode theory proposed by Salby (1982) to explain the December–February (DJF) SH wave-5 mode, whose properties were found by the author as “not inconsistent with features of baroclinically unstable mode.” In particular, the nearly barotropic phase structure in the amplified region, which...
becomes more baroclinic on the two sides, is a remarkably similar characteristic between the two waves. Even though the zonal asymmetries of the NH boundary conditions make a direct comparison with the circumglobal low-frequency patterns individuated by Branstator (2002) and Ding and Wang (2005) more difficult, the participation of a marginally stable wave in their energetics should not be excluded and may be worth further research. Particularly interesting are those cases, such as the NH summer 2007 (Blackburn et al. 2008), when a dynamical similarity with aquaplanet models is realized because a closed jet stream pattern is observed as a result of a negative North Atlantic Oscillation pattern.

The misrepresentation of extratropical quasi-stationary baroclinic processes could also contribute to the systematic error of climate models. In particular, it might help to explain the large differences in meridional enthalpy transport observed between state-of-the-art climate models of the SH (Lucarini and Ragone 2011).

Further investigations will deal with quantitatively comparing the dispersion relation observed on the aquaplanet with that predicted by the linearized model of baroclinic instability, and with computing the normal modes of the time mean state. Moreover, the dynamics of the LFV will be further investigated with respect to the feedback of tropical convection, the interaction with the high frequency transients and the sensitivity to the zonal symmetry of the jet stream. This knowledge will clarify the extent to which the interpretation of LFV as marginally stable baroclinic waves can be relevant in the earth’s atmospheric dynamics.
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APPENDIX

Hayashi Spectra

Hayashi (1971) proposed a generalized method to calculate the spectrum of eastward and westward propagating waves of a longitude–time field $u(l, t)$. The method is a generalization of the Deland (1964) quadrature spectral analysis and is based on a zonal Fourier transform followed by a quadrature spectral analysis.
between the temporal series of sine and cosine coefficients of the zonal expansions. The Hayashi power spectrum is defined as

\[ H_k^{\pm\nu}(u) = \frac{1}{4} \left( P^\nu(C_k, C_k) + P^\nu(S_k, S_k) \pm 2Q^\nu(C_k, S_k) \right), \]

where \( H_k^{\pm\nu} \) is the spectral power of the eastward \((+\nu)\) and westward \((-\nu)\) propagating waves, and \(P^\nu\) and \(Q^\nu\) are respectively the 1D cospectrum and the quadrature spectrum on the time variable of the cosine and sine zonal Fourier coefficients of \(u(\lambda, t)\):

![Diagram](image-url).
\[ u(\lambda, t) = u_0(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} C_k(t) \cos(k\lambda) + S_k(t) \sin(k\lambda). \] (A1)

The spectral contributions to the longitude–time covariance \([\overline{uu'}]\) between two fields \(u\) and \(u'\) can be computed by the 2D cospectrum \(P_k^{uu'}\):

\[
P_k^{uu'}(u,u') = \{P^p(C_k, C'_k) + P^s(S_k, S'_k)\} \mp Q^s(S_k, C'_k)/4. \] (A2)

Each spectrum is obtained as an average of 40 spectra computed on nonoverlapping 6-month-long time windows (both hemispheres are considered) and by further averaging over three neighboring frequency bins. The variance of the estimator of the power spectral density (PSD) is computed from the standard deviation of the 120 \((3 \times 40)\) spectral amplitudes that are averaged in the estimation of the PSD at each spectral bin. The one-dimensional quadrature and cospectrum have been calculated by the FFT method.

Hayashi’s formulas have been criticized by Pratt (1976) because standing waves are not resolved but are seen as a couple of eastward and westward propagating waves of equal amplitude, thus limiting the only meaningful quantity to the difference between the power in the eastward and westward components. A variety of different approaches were thus developed to overcome this problem (Pratt 1976; Hayashi 1977; Fraedrich and Böttger 1978). Nevertheless, we decided to follow the first Hayashi formulation because in aquaplanet models there is no preferential phase and standing wave activity is of limited interest. Standing variance would just contain, depending on the formulation, noise or spectral power of quasi-stationary waves alternating an eastward to westward propagation.
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