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Bacterial pathogens exhibit significant variation in their genomic content of virulence factors. This reflects the abundance of strategies pathogens evolved to infect host organisms by suppressing host immunity. Molecular arms-races have been a strong driving force for the evolution of pathogenicity, with pathogens often encoding overlapping or redundant functions, such as type III protein secretion effectors and hosts encoding ever more sophisticated immune systems. The pathogens’ frequent exposure to other microbes, either in their host or in the environment, provides opportunities for the acquisition or interchange of mobile genetic elements. These DNA elements accessorize the core genome and can play major roles in shaping genome structure and altering the complement of virulence factors. Here, we review the different mobile genetic elements focusing on the more recent discoveries and highlighting their role in shaping bacterial pathogen evolution.

Introduction

Bacteria were the earliest forms of life on earth and the evolution of other organisms opened up a plethora of new niches for bacterial exploitation. Selection likely favored mutational variants that were able to infect a host and tap into the host’s nutrients. In turn, this probably drove selection for hosts with enhanced resistance mechanisms. Over the course of millennia, many bacterial pathogens have evolved, and most, if not all, organisms have experienced bacterial infection and thus have been under selection pressure to evolve complex immune systems. Bacterial pathogens or pre-pathogens (strains that are close to gaining pathogenic potential) faced with evolving immune systems became under selection pressure themselves to rapidly adapt to—and break down—the defense barriers erected by their hosts. During this evolutionary arms race, many bacteria have accessorized their genomes with DNA from bacteria outside of their species or genus with the help of mobile genetic elements (MGE). The most common MGEs for horizontal transfer are plasmids, genomic islands and bacteriophages, most of which have strategies for enabling transfer between bacteria; consequently, these MGEs are relatively complex, usually encoding regulatory and structural mechanisms for replication and transfer. These MGEs can carry smaller and simpler insertion sequences (IS), transposons and integrons, which can facilitate genome rearrangements, gene duplications and deletions, and capture of new genes. The “accessory-metagenome” is therefore a massive resource for bacteria that provides unprecedented flexibility to improve their fitness and, potentially, pathogenicity and virulence. In this review, we illustrate the various MGEs that can influence changes in bacterial genomes with a focus on very recent discoveries on how MGEs influence bacterial pathogenicity. Finally, we outline the need to consider the wider ecology of bacterial pathogens in terms of other niches and alternative hosts that they inhabit, which leads to exposure to other MGEs that may contribute to bacterial pathogen evolution.

Mobile Genetic Elements Influence Bacterial Evolution

Plasmids: major gene movers between bacteria. Plasmids are circular or linear DNA molecules defined by their ability to autonomously replicate in the host cell; they can appear in all domains of life, and usually carry genes encoding adaptive traits, such as resistance to antibiotics or heavy metals, degradation of aromatics, pathogenicity or the ability to exploit particular environmental niches.1,2 A network analysis of MGEs from complete genomes and environmental metagenomes indicates that plasmids, and not viruses, have been the main factors in horizontal
gene transfer (HGT) between bacterial chromosomes, mostly because they can be transferred between distantly related organisms including transfer from bacteria to eukaryotes. It can accommodate large amounts of DNA, they code for important functions for survival, such as antibiotic resistance, and because they often impart the ability to interact with higher eukaryotes.

Plasmids not only carry genes for selectable phenotypes, but are also efficient vehicles for the dissemination of other MGEs (also see below “Genomic islands” and “Integrons”). The availability of a growing number of plasmid sequences reveals that 5–20%, and up to 40% in extreme cases, of the DNA in plasmids larger than 20 kb correspond to IS elements, with no ISs in the majority of the smaller plasmids. Among other functions, IS elements can serve as mobile recombination regions, facilitating the exchange of DNA with other replicons. Mirroring the apparent preference of plasmids to propagate within a specific host clade, a given type of IS element is only rarely shared by distantly related prokaryotic clades. Plasmids can also induce the mobilization of other MGEs: in diverse Salmonella enterica serovars, which cause a diversity of human intestinal diseases, conjugative plasmids of the IncA/C incompatibility group often carry multidrug resistance (MDR) determinants and can mobilize in trans the antibiotic resistance pathogenicity island (PAI) SGI-1 at frequencies ranging from 10^{-3} to 10^{-6}. Additionally, a new emerging genotype of S. enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium, causing human gastroenteritis), in Mexico, genotype ST213, contains an IncA/C plasmid carrying a gene conferring resistance to extended-spectrum cephalosporins and a Class 1 integron carrying additional antibiotic resistance determinants. Although the plasmid is mobilized with a very low frequency, it is likely that the ecological success of this genotype is related to the carriage of the IncA/C plasmid.

It is well established that plasmids in a variety of prokaryotes carry and distribute a plethora of genes conferring adaptation, such as resistance to antibacterial compounds against human, animal and plant pathogens, virulence genes, ultraviolet resistance genes, detoxifying enzymes, bacteriocins and enzymes for secondary metabolism. A remarkable aspect of plasmids as MGEs is that they can hold and transfer large amounts of DNA, allowing for quantum leap evolution and the acquisition of very complex phenotypes, including the transformation of non-pathogenic or low virulence bacteria into devastating pathogens of plants and animals. For example, the enterobacterium Pantoea agglomerans (syn. Enterobacter agglomerans) is a heterogeneous group including epiphytic (living on plant surfaces), commensal and opportunistic human pathogens. However, P. agglomerans pv. betae and pv. gypsophilae are plant pathogens that induce gall formation in several plant species due to the acquisition of a non-conjugative pathogenicity plasmid designated pPATH, which harbors most, if not all, of the genes required for tumorigenesis and host-specificity. The best studied pPATH, pPATHP (ca. 135 kb) from P. agglomerans pv. gypsophilae 824-1, carries a loosely defined PAI of about 75 kb. This PAI includes a complete type III protein secretion system (T3SS) that is very similar to the one described in the plant pathogen Erwinia amylovora, with six T3SS effector (T3SE) genes and genes for the biosynthesis of the phytohormones-3-indoleacetic acid and cytokinins. The PAI also includes six IS elements, five of which were present in pathogenic but not in non-pathogenic strains of P. agglomerans, as well as remnants of known gene sequences from diverse bacteria that include Yersinia pestis and Xylella fastidiosa. This may indicate that the plasmid has been disseminated through a variety of different pathogens that typically inhabit different hosts. Another example is Vibrio, which includes species that inhabit various aquatic environments, including fish symbionts and invertebrate pathogens, and carry diverse plasmids that are essential for their pathogenesis. V. anguillarum serotype O1 is part of the natural microbiota of aquatic habitats, although it can cause fatal haemorrhagic septicaemia in freshwater and marine fish; these strains causing disease carry a virulence plasmid, pJM1, encoding the siderophore anguibactin biosynthesis and transport proteins, which are essential for virulence and survival in its naturally iron-limited habitat.

Next generation sequencing is allowing the identification of the genetic changes behind plasmid adaptation to new hosts as well as the co-evolution of bacterial genomes with plasmids during the acquisition of new genes and capabilities. Concomitant with this genetic and potential phenotypic gain, the acquisition of a large amount of DNA with possibly a divergent base composition and an array of new genes can have a relevant impact in the cell metabolism, potentially imposing a hefty fitness cost that is solved by different evolutionary strategies to ensure stable plasmid maintenance. An interesting example is the conjugative plasmid pSF-R27 from S. Typhimurium. This plasmid has a 55% A + T content and titrates the cell global transcriptional repressor protein H-NS, which binds to A + T-rich sequences. This would normally cause a significant reduction in bacterial fitness, but this is avoided by the plasmid-encoded gene sfh, which is a paralogue of H-NS. Sfh binds to most of the H-NS targets in the chromosome, thus allowing pSF-R27 transfer with a minimal impact to the cell. In another case, controlled evolution and competition experiments showed that diverse IncP promiscuous plasmids can exhibit a shift in host range through changes in the replication protein gene, allowing the stable colonization of new bacterial hosts. Another study found that an IncQ plasmid has evolved to a lower copy number variant; this variant is more competitive within the bacterial population than a higher copy number variant because it places a lower metabolic load on the bacterial host. Likewise, transfer of the symbiotic plasmid from the β-rhizobium Cupriavidus taiwanensis to the taxonomically related plant pathogen Ralstonia solanacearum allowed the adaptive evolution of the latter, after the inactivation of the T3SS by a single or a double mutation, into a nodulating symbiont. Although these data resulted from artificial evolution settings, the experiments underscore the amazing plasticity of bacteria-plasmid associations and the potential for rapidly generating new phenotypes.

**Bacteriophages.** Bacteriophages are viruses that infect bacteria. That bacteriophages contribute to virulence was first suggested in 1951, when Freeman found that avirulent strains of Corynebacterium diphtheriae, the causal agent of diphtheria, could be turned into virulent strains through infection with a
bacteriophage. How a bacteriophage can turn a relatively harmless bacterium into a highly virulent pathogen became clear with the study of the cholera pathogen *Vibrio cholerae*, when it was found that the emergence of toxigenic strains of this species is the result of lysogenic conversion by a filamentous bacteriophage carrying the genes for cholera toxin.\(^{31}\) More recently, the emergence of the seventh pandemic clone of *V. cholerae* was probably due to the interaction with three filamentous bacteriophages and two helper bacteriophages.\(^{32}\) The most fascinating aspect of bacteriophages as MGEs is probably that they put their own pathogenicity on hold during lysogeny while contributing to the pathogenicity or virulence of their bacterial host, the lysogen. Brüssow\(^{33}\) reviews how bacteriophages might have evolved lysogeny because it allows them to lyse their hosts only when other susceptible host cells are present since otherwise lysis would lead to extinction of the host and the phage itself. Importantly, during lysogeny the prophage genome can be assumed to be under selection pressure to be eliminated since even its simple replication during cell division presents an energetic expense for the host. Therefore, Rankin et al.\(^{18}\) argue that only if the prophage provides a benefit to the lysogen, such as a virulence gene that enhances fitness, will selection favor prophage persistence in the host during lysogeny. This requires a tight integration of bacteriophage and lysogen gene regulation networks so that bacteriophage-encoded virulence genes are expressed only when needed during pathogenicity and bacteriophage lytic genes are only expressed when it is advantageous for the bacteriophage to lyse its host cell. For example, a previously unknown mechanism of sophisticated integration of lysogeny control with the host regulatory circuit was found in *Staphylococcus aureus* (a commensal and pathogen that can cause a variety of infections and diseases): the host sigma factor $\sigma^H$ binds the promoter of the bacteriophage integrase gene of several prophages and contributes to the maintenance of their lysogenic state.\(^{34}\) On the other hand, prophages have been found to encode repressors of host genes, possibly contributing to the fitness of the lysogen. For example, the principal repressor, cl, of several prophages not only maintains lysogeny but also downregulates host growth rate, possibly by directly binding the promoter of the host *pckA* gene.\(^{35}\) In another example, a prophage repressor, RepR, of *Clostridium difficile*, a common causal agent of nosocomial infections of the intestine, has been found to bind the promoter region of the gene *tdrR* of the PaLoc pathogenicity island modulating toxin production.\(^{36}\) In a different strategy that avoids host death, many of the prophage genomes in enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) strains and other bacteria are found to be missing genes or have mutations in genes known to be essential for a bacteriophage to enter the lytic cycle and to be horizontally transferred. These bacteriophage remnants were assumed to have in fact lost their ability to be transferred between cells. However, Asadulghani et al.\(^{37}\) experimentally determined that even bacteriophage remnants can be disseminated effectively to other cells, probably through various inter-prophage interactions. Moreover, the authors show that recombination between *Stx1* and *Stx2* genomes leads to new *Stx1* bacteriophages. Another assumption that was recently proven wrong is that bacteriophages cannot be transferred between distantly related strains: Chen and Novick\(^{38}\) experimentally determined that bacteriophages can be horizontally transferred from *S. aureus* to *Listeria monocytogenes* (the causal agent of listeriosis).

In the last few years progress has been made in our understanding of various aspects of virulence capabilities provided to pathogens by prophages. In particular, *E. coli* O55:O157 has become a model for studying the role of bacteriophages in the evolution of virulence. Correlating virulence gene repertoires between very similar strains of EHEC O55:O157 with their phylogeny and the symptoms they cause, it was found that phylogeny based on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) is predictive of toxin repertoires and symptom severity.\(^{39}\) Moreover, the clade that is associated with more severe symptoms also had a higher ability to attach to epithelial cells and had higher virulence gene expression.\(^{40}\) This suggests that bacteriophage repertoires are relatively stably associated with individual lineages within EHEC O55:O157.

Genome comparisons of more distantly related *E. coli* strains also gave new insight into the role of bacteriophages in virulence evolution. Comparison of EHEC O55:O157 with its closest non-EHEC relative O55:H7 revealed an extreme difference in bacteriophage repertoires: while O55:H7 has 19 intact or degraded prophage genomes and O157:H7 has 23, only three are present in both.\(^{41}\) Importantly, O55:O157 and O55:H7 have close to 100% DNA identity in housekeeping genes revealing the unbelievable speed at which the strain’s bacteriophage repertoires diverged. It is also important to point out that EHEC O55:O157 is not the only EHEC lineage within *E. coli* as at least 24 other *E. coli* lineages also acquired an enterohaemorrhagic lifestyle: the comparison of O55:O157 with three of these other lineages revealed that they independently acquired different bacteriophages that carry similar virulence gene repertoires.\(^{42}\) In conclusion, specific bacteriophage repertoires appear to be vertically inherited within specific bacterial lineages contributing to lineage-specific fitness and virulence while horizontal transfer of bacteriophages between phylogenetically quite distant groups allows for emergence of new pathogenic lineages with very similar phenotypes.\(^{42}\)

**Genomic islands—plasmid-bacteriophage hybrids.** Genomic islands (GIs) are a collection of large, potentially mobile regions of DNA that frequently carry virulence-related genes. There are a number of different types of GIs including PAIs and integrative and conjugative elements (ICE). In general, GIs are areas of the genome that are present only in certain strains of a bacterial species, which are often flanked by specific DNA sequences that contain direct repeats and are often inserted in highly conserved genes, e.g., tRNA genes. They also carry genes coding for genetic mobility such as plasmid and bacteriophage genes, IS elements, integrases and transposases; they may have evolved through bacteriophage and plasmid interchange leading to a hybrid structure.\(^{43-45}\) PAIs are present on the genomes of pathogenic strains, but absent from the genomes of nonpathogenic members of the same or related species.\(^ {33}\) ICEs are similar to PAIs but a number of them have been demonstrated to facilitate their own conjugative transfer between bacteria.\(^{46}\) GIs have important implications in human health; for example, the GI OI-57 has been described as part of the ‘virulome’ of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC)
which cause severe human disease, including STEC (also called EHEC) 0157, whereas this island is not present in less virulent strains.\(^{47}\) Additionally, ICEs have a suggested role in evolution of multidrug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Spain 23F ST81 lineage.\(^{48}\) For example, the ICESpFST81 carries a *tetM* gene, responsible for the Spain 23F ST81 strain’s tetracycline resistance. GIs are also important in the pathogenicity of plant pathogens: for example, *Clavibacter michiganensis*, a pathogen of tomato, contains a 129 kb island that is necessary for pathogenicity.\(^{49}\) Also, plant pathogenic Streptomyces species contain a large mobile PAI that encodes multiple virulence-associated genes, including the nitrated dipeptide phytotoxin thaxtomin biosynthetic genes and the virulence factor *necl*, whose transfer to other Streptomycetes species is responsible for the emergence of new pathogens in agricultural settings.\(^{50}\)

A common PAI found in a number of animal and plant pathogenic bacteria is the T3SS PAI. The T3SS is used to translocate T3SE proteins from the bacterial cell into eukaryotic host cells to interfere with host defenses, change the metabolism of the host and cause disease. The T3SS is carried by the chromosome in the plant pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae*\(^{51}\) and can be carried on plasmids such as plasmid pPATH described above.\(^{22}\) Salmonella has two T3SS PAIs (SPI-1 and SPI-2) that are used to modify the host cells response. Analysis of SPI-2 has recently shown that the genes on the island are under tight regulatory control as overexpression of them can lead to attenuation of virulence in mammalian cells. It was found that this PAI has integrated into the host regulatory network and is expressed only at the critical times of infection, but switched off at other times to avoid toxicity or energy burdens.\(^{52}\)

Investigations into GIs are helping to unravel host range restriction in a number of pathogens. *Salmonella enterica* Gallinarum is a pathogen with a host range specific to poultry, while *S. enterica* Enteritidis is a broad host range pathogen that is a leading cause of gastrointestinal salmonellosis in humans and many other species, but only colonizes poultry sub-clinically (i.e., is asymptomatic). The Gallinarum strain harbors a PAI (SPI-19) that carries a type VI secretion system (T6SS) which contributes to the colonization of the gastrointestinal tract and internal organs of chickens.\(^{53,54}\) SPI-19 appears to be degenerate in Enteritidis and it was postulated that the transfer of SPI-19 from Gallinarum to Enteritidis may have had a short initial positive effect on the ability of the bacterium to colonize chickens, but had a strong negative impact on its ability to colonize in the long term, leading to counter selection against components of SPI-19 and eventual degradation. This may reflect the different initial strategies the bacteria use to colonize their host and also the fact that, in the case of Gallinarum, having a fully functional SPI-19 is an advantage. It also implies a cooperative role of SPI-19: it is useful to the pathogen only when the pathogen has other particular virulence gene systems.

*Streptococcus equi* subspecies *equi* (*S. equi*) is a host-restricted pathogen of horses which appears to have evolved from the zoo- notic pathogen *S. equi* subspecies *zooepidemicus* and shares 80% genome sequence identity with the human pathogen *S. pyogenes*. Comparative genomics of strains of *S. equi* and *S. zooepidemicus* revealed events that led to the emergence of *S. equi*.\(^{55}\) Amongst other changes, *S. equi* has gained an ICE (ICESe2) carrying a novel iron acquisition system with similarity to the high PAI of *Yersinia pestis* and it was postulated that this was a key speciation event in the evolution of *S. equi*.\(^{55}\) Conversely, loss of a GI can lead to the expansion of host range as demonstrated by the loss of the GI PPHGI-1 from *P. syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* (*Pph*) strain 1302A. When *Pph* 1302A infects a bean plant carrying the *R3* resistance gene it triggers a resistance reaction in the plant known as hypersensitive response (HR) because of the plant’s recognition of the T3SE AvrPphB (now called HopAR1) by R3;\(^{56}\) *avrPphB* is carried on PPHGI-1.\(^{57}\) In the stressful environment caused by the HR, PPHGI-1 can be lost from the genome of *Pph* 1302A. Evolved strains lacking PPHGI-1 are able to cause disease on *R3*-expressing bean plants and thus *Pph* has expanded its host range.\(^{58}\) The fact that PPHGI-1 is maintained in the population even at a very low frequency, suggests that carriage of this island has an advantage to the pathogen in other niches.\(^{59}\) In fact it has been demonstrated that PPHGI-1 is mobile and can be acquired by another *Pph* strain via transformation in planta.\(^{60}\)

Bioinformatic analysis of whole genome sequences has revealed that a number of GIs are predicted to occur in any given strain and deciphering which ones are involved in virulence is a laborious task.\(^{61,62}\) Lloyd et al.\(^{61}\) investigated this by individually deleting 11 of the predicted 13 GIs in the uropathogenic *E. coli* strain CFT073. Three out of nine of these mutants were significantly outcompeted by the wild type following co-challenge in a mouse model for urinary tract infection, indicating a lower virulence. A focus on specific genes within these GIs showed that a number of them contributed to the fitness of the wild type. A simpler approach was taken by Diard et al.\(^{62}\) who characterised several mutants of the extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* strain 536 by either deletion of all seven PAIs individually or all together. They showed that although the PAIs where dispensable for growth in the absence of external stress, fitness was drastically reduced when the strain with all seven PAIs deleted was in competition with the wild-type in a mice intestine model. No reduction in virulence was observed with individual PAI deletions, suggesting that there is a redundancy of function between the PAIs. In summary, GIs can in some cases provide genes essential for pathogenicity or that enhance virulence and/or fitness, although they can have a detrimental effect if island products are recognised by the host immune system.

**IS elements and transposons—construction and deconstruction agents.** Some of the simplest MGEs found in bacteria are IS elements and transposons. In their most basic form, IS elements consist of a single gene coding for a site-specific recombinase (called a transposase) and short terminal inverted repeat sequences that are recognized by the transposase for transposition. Transposons are more complex than IS elements since they carry additional genes, including virulence genes. Transposons and IS elements are usually only mobile within their host genome although conjugative transposons and/or ICE (described above) have the ability to promote their own transfer into other bacterial cells by conjugation since they carry their own conjugation genes.
In recent years, it has become clear that IS elements play an important role in pathogen evolution through genome rearrangements and in genome reduction. Salzberg et al.\textsuperscript{63} reported that a strain of the rice pathogen *Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae* has an unusually long tandem duplication (each region is 212,087 bp long) with an IS element in between the two regions and two IS elements each flanking the other end of the two regions. The two regions are 100% identical besides one single mutation in one of the IS elements, suggesting a recent duplication involving homologous recombination likely mediated by the IS elements. *Burkholderia mallei*, causative agent of glanders, is an obligate intracellular pathogen of horses that evolved from the melioidosis pathogen, *B. pseudomallei*. The *B. mallei* genome is 20% smaller than the *B. pseudomallei* genome. Song et al.\textsuperscript{64} inferred from the comparison of multiple *B. mallei* and *B. pseudomallei* genomes that genome reduction largely occurred through homologous recombination via an IS element. A similar observation was made in *Francisella tularensis*, the causative agent of tularemia, where Larsson et al.\textsuperscript{65} found that IS elements provided the sites for genome rearrangements, duplications and deletions during evolution of *F. tularensis* subspecies, with one single IS element present up to 63 times in the same genome.

A second important role for IS elements and transposons is in disruption of virulence genes. This is important in bacterial plant pathogens where IS elements can disrupt a T3SE gene, whose product may be recognized by a plant disease resistance gene and trigger immunity.\textsuperscript{56-60} In these cases, IS elements can increase host range by abolishing T3SE-triggered immunity. Since T3SE genes are sometimes organized in operons, insertions within an operon can lead to inactivation of effectors downstream of the actual insertion. For example, an IS element in *P. syringae pv. tomato*, the causative agent of bacterial speck disease of tomato, inserted in the T3SE gene *hopAG1*, which also interfered with expression of the genes *hopAH1* and *hopAII* located downstream of *hopAG1* in the same operon.\textsuperscript{69}

**Integrons**—gene capture systems. Integrons are gene capture systems, most famous for their rapid acquisition and spread of antibiotic resistance genes.\textsuperscript{70-72} Their common association with plasmids means they can be particularly promiscuous.\textsuperscript{71-79} Integrons are essentially composed of: (1) a core stable platform of a site-specific tyrosine recombinase (integrate) gene (*intI*) with its own promoter (*P\textsubscript{int}*) and an outward facing promoter (*P\textsubscript{pro}*) within the *intI* coding sequence that can express captured cassettes of gene(s),\textsuperscript{80,81} and (2) an adjacent *attI* recombination site (Fig. 1). The recombinase facilitates integration and excision of specific gene cassettes (DNA elements comprising one or more genes and a recombination site, *attC*) into the *attI* site. Multiple cassettes can be captured by the integron leading to the construction of large cassette arrays (reviewed in ref. 82). There can be significant co-assortment of cassettes within environmental bacteria\textsuperscript{83} highlighting the significant interchanging of genes between integrons. The gene cassette closest to *intI* may reflect the most recent adaptation and can be identified with a PCR based assay.\textsuperscript{84} Many of the cassettes are promoterless and integrons with small numbers of cassettes may rely on the *P\textsubscript{C}* promoter, while larger cassette arrays encode cassette-specific promoters that can respond to environmental signals independently of *P\textsubscript{C}*.\textsuperscript{81,85} A recent key discovery of the activity of integrons is that *intI* expression is regulated by the SOS response (Fig. 2A and B).\textsuperscript{86} The promoter of *intI* contains binding motifs for the transcriptional repressor LexA. LexA is derepressed under SOS conditions and thus leads to activation of *intI* and consequently to potential gene capture. Remarkably, plasmid conjugation triggers the SOS response and thus leads to activation of *intI*. This highlights an effective gene delivery and capture system for bacteria.\textsuperscript{87} Moreover, it raises the question of whether other recombinases (e.g., those of ICEs, GIs, IS elements and transposons) are also affected by conjugation and regulated by LexA and the SOS response—certainly, stress affects *P. syringae* PPHGI-1 integrase expression.\textsuperscript{88-80,88,89}

Integrons have been found in a wide range of non-pathogenic and pathogenic bacteria in environments ranging from marine to terrestrial organisms and niches.\textsuperscript{73,77,83,90-101} Two types of integrons, the chromosomal integron (CI) and mobile integron (MI) have been identified:\textsuperscript{70} CIs appear to represent a “core” gene capture system in Gram negative bacteria to enable genome flexibility for adaptation to diverse environments. The five classes of MIs defined so far (based on *intI* sequence similarity) are associated with MGEs such as transposons and plasmids and thus provide a pool of elements for shuttling genes throughout microbial communities. MIs can rapidly transfer between members of a microbial community\textsuperscript{78} although the basis of transfer and selection are still unclear. For example, one study looking at the acquisition of antibiotic-resistance integrons by susceptible strains in the gut
The impact of integrons on pathogen virulence evolution is less clear. For the purposes of this review, we do not consider antibiotic resistance to be a virulence trait, as antibiotic resistance only enhances bacterial fitness. Bona fide virulence factors such as toxins (e.g., heat-stable toxin (sto) and mannose-fucose-resistant hemagglutinin (mrhA)) are associated with *Vibrio cholerae* repeated sequence (VCRs) islands, which are integron-like structures. A VCR region encoding a gene essential for capsular polysaccharide (CPS) biosynthesis has been identified in *V. vulnificus*, which causes food poisoning and sepsicaemia, and requires CPS for protection from the mammalian immune system. The location of this gene within an integron implies that the gene has been captured independent of the core CPS biosynthesis system and it may indicate that the gene product controls the CPS gene expression or CPS secretion or that it modulates the CPS structure.

T3SE genes *avrPpiA1* (*avrRpm1*) and *avrPpiB1* (*hopAM1-1*) have also been identified in integron-like elements in plant pathogenic *P. syringae*. T3SEs play a key role in suppressing plant immunity and thus capture of a T3SE by an integron could potentially enable a plant pathogen to instantaneously evade host resistance. Two interesting observations arise from the analysis of T3SE integrons: firstly, the T3SE gene is often orientated so that transcription is towards the 3' end of the integrase gene, suggesting that any integrase P C promoter would not be influencing the T3SE expression. This may be a mechanism to decouple the T3SE expression from the integrase (and LexA repression, see below) because the T3SE is only needed for overcoming the antimicrobial conditions of a plant host and expression from P C may lead to unwanted toxic effects. Secondly, the T3SE integrons appear to be inserted within the *rulAB* mutagenic DNA repair operon, which contains a LexA box within the promoter; a truncated 3' end of *rulB* flanks the other end of the putative T3SE integrons. We have observed that the integrase appears to be less than 100 nt downstream of the 5' part of *rulB* and that the integrase appears to lack an upstream LexA or RpoD binding site (unpublished results). We therefore postulate that the integrase is under the control of the *rulAB* promoter and, hence, regulated by LexA (Fig. 2C and D). In fact, association appears to be much more broad ranging, with similar disruptions occurring in related DNA repair genes (*rumAB, umuC*, *impAB, muaAB, samAB, rweAB*) in many other bacteria although not all carry virulence factors.

The host environment as well as the antibiotic treatment are likely to be influencing integron transfer on MGEs, a similar situation as seen with ICEs. An interesting observation by van der Veen et al. was that the prevalence of integrons within the intestinal microbial populations decreased despite continued antibiotic treatment. This may indicate that there is a cost to the bacteria of carrying either the integron or its shuttle element. It may also point to integron-based resistance playing a short term rescuer role, which is gradually superseded by the build up of antibiotic resistance through mutations elsewhere in the bacterial genome.
repair genes followed by insertion of the integron and the build up of gene cassettes. Evidence of this is clearly present within genome sequences, which can show substantial gene build up as well as what appears to be erosion, through loss of integron genes and loss of the DNA repair genes or parts thereof.

Taken together, integrons and gene cassettes are likely to be widely distributed within different environments and maintained in specific microbes through selection. They clearly represent a dynamic element for bacterial evolution and pose a major threat to animal and plant health through capture and proliferation of cassettes.

Alternative Hosts and Environments as MGE Reservoirs for DNA Exchange

We recently highlighted the diversity of environments in which bacteria can occur when not directly occupying their “host.” Plant and animal pathogens can be disseminated into the environment, which can potentially have an important influence on pathogen evolution (see also Morris et al.). For example, pathogens may be exposed to a variety of bacteriophages [e.g., vibriophages], and they frequently encounter other organisms that may act as alternative hosts and have resident microbial populations. Moreover, several virulence genes in human pathogens have been experimentally determined to provide resistance to grazing protozoa or to kill amoeba associated with them in biofilms. It has even been proposed that some virulence genes of human pathogens originally evolved as resistance mechanisms to protozoa and Brüssow goes so far as to propose that lysogeny itself evolved as an alliance between bacteria and phages “to fight grazing protists”.

The scope for HGT between bacteria is immense and plasmids provide an excellent example. Plasmids are most often transferred by conjugation and can be classified as: “conjugative”, when they contain all the necessary conjugation machinery; “mobilizable”, when they can be transferred by “piggybacking” and using the conjugation machinery of other plasmids and ICE; and “nonmobilizable”. The analysis of 1,730 plasmids indicates that, globally, half of the plasmids are either conjugative or mobilizable, whereas the other half is nonmobilizable. Mobilizable plasmids tend to be small (<30 kb), whereas conjugative plasmids are usually larger (15–500 kb); nonmobilizable plasmids come in all sizes, although most of the very large plasmids (>300 kb) are nonmobilizable, particularly those becoming a secondary chromosome and accumulating diverse essential genes, e.g., the 2.1 Mb plasmid of Ralstonia solanacearum, which causes bacterial wilt in many cultivated plants. Surprisingly, plasmids tend to preferentially persist in a given bacterial clade despite having a broader conjugative range, and the phylogeny of conjugation genes clearly show that mobility between distant clades, which implies transfer and stable maintenance of the plasmid—is sporadic. Nevertheless, exchange does occur among phylogenetically unrelated bacteria and in diverse habitats. As an example, resistance to antibiotics evolves in four main environments or genetic reactors: (1) human and animal microbiota, (2) places with crowds of susceptible individuals that favor cross-infection and bacterial gene exchange (e.g., hospitals, farms), (3) wastewater and biological residues originating from the second reactor and (4) soil and ground water environments, where bacteria from the previous reactors mix and interact with environmental microorganisms, with water environments being a major site for evolution and HGT. A network analysis integrating similarity data of resistance determinants and genes not prone to HGT, revealed that bacteria that are phylogenetically unrelated and/or inhabit distinct environments often shared common antibiotic resistance determinants. Importantly, we often have a narrow, human-centred view on the typical habitats colonized by microorganisms. For example, the plant pathogen Pseudomonas syringae is not restricted to agricultural contexts, and its life cycle is driven by the environmental cycle of water, where it can interact with a panoply of diverse microorganisms not linked to plants. Genome sequences from many pathogens highlight the presence of a wide range of genes that, on the basis of codon usage and low similarity to genes within the species, indicate they were probably horizontally acquired from other microbes. However, there needs to be more experimental studies that examine the source organism of the acquired genes and in which environment the genetic exchange occurred—only with this knowledge will we have a better grasp of the extent of HGT of MGEs within and without host organisms.

Concluding Comments

MGEs have played an important role in accessorising the genome of bacterial pathogens either by introducing new virulence factors into the genome or provisioning bacteria with new mechanisms for genome restructuring or gene capture. There clearly needs to be a greater consideration of the wider ecology of bacterial pathogens to understand the impact of the environments and the organisms and bacteriophages that reside in these environments in shaping pathogen evolution. While the primary host certainly shapes the direction of pathogen evolution through selection, the non-host environment can almost certainly shape the scope of it by provision of new genes and novel functions. A number of interesting questions are still outstanding:

(1) In what environments can bacterial pathogens be found when away from the primary host and what is the microbial ecology and MGE pool of these environments?

(2) What is the actual extent of MGE flux within and between bacterial genera—can modeling be used in conjunction with empirical studies to evaluate flux?

(3) To what extent do MGEs impose a cost to the host and if so, what genes are providing trade-offs?

(4) Does transduction and transformation trigger an SOS response as seen for conjugation and thus induce the movement of other MGEs within the cell?

(5) Are GI and bacteriophage integrases controlled by LexA and the SOS response?

By addressing these questions, it will be possible to gain a much clearer insight into how pathogens evolve and, potentially, into their mechanisms for spread and dissemination. The results may also provide an understanding of the triggers of HGT and help us to reduce activities that might be pushing pathogen evolution towards increased virulence.
Useful Resources for MGEs

Several websites, databases and software resources have recently become available for storing and analyzing DNA sequences of MGEs. These include ACID (annotation of cassette and integron data) and INTEGRALL for integrons; IS Finder (http://www-is.biotoul.fr/) for IS elements isolated from eubacteria and archaea, and ACLAME (a classification of mobile genetic elements; http://aclame.ulb.ac.be), comprising all known bacteriophage genomes, plasmids and transposons.
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Integron-like elements associated with DNA repair genes. (A) Type III protein secretion effector (T3SE) integron-like elements. The accession numbers refer to the source used for identifying these genes and for orientation, the locus tag for the first gene on the left of each diagram is: Ppi (ORFG); Pto DC3000 plasmid A (rulA); P. syringae pv. syringae B728a chromosomal site 1 (Pyr_0735) and site 2 (Pyr_1886); P. syringae pv. maculicola (Or2); P. syringae pv. phaseolicola chromosomal site 1 (PSPPH_0782) and 2 (avrRtuA-1). (B) Evidence of current or ancient integron associations with DNA repair genes such as umuDC and rumAB are seen in a wider range of bacterial genomes. The accession numbers refer to the source used for identifying these genes and for orientation, the locus tag or gene name of the first gene on the left of each diagram is: Marinomonas (MED121_23323); Marinobacter aquaeolei (1208); Proteus vulgaris (orf79); Acinetobacter b. site 2 (umuD) and site 1 (ruvA); Escherichia coli101 (sata), F1 (imuA), B7A (Ecb7A_1674); Salmonella R394 (muca); Xanthomonas campestris (KCV3904); Pseudomonas putida (ruvA); Vibrio cholerae V21 (rumA) and MO10 (rumA); Escherichia coli pAPEC (O2ColV155); Nitrobacter (NB311A_19467). Note that differences in arrow lengths and a dotted edging for rulB-like genes (red) represent either truncated coding sequences or orphan non-coding sequences.


