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The Chaos Machine
Analogue Computing Rediscovered (1)

By Maarten H. P. Ambaum and R. Giles Harrison
(Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, UK)

Analogue computers provide actual rather than virtual representations of model systems. They are powerful and engaging computing machines that are cheap and simple to build. This two-part Retronics article helps you build (and understand!) your own analogue computer to simulate the Lorenz butterfly that’s become iconic for Chaos theory. First, however, some history and background.

For some of us it may be surprising that, before the mid sixties, hardly any computing in real-time applications was done by a digital computer. Instead, analogue computers were used because of their speed and relative reliability. Analogue computers are machines that are built to behave as the system we want to compute. A famous example is the Phillips MONIAC computer from the 1950s [1] (Figure 1) which used water flow through Perspex pipes to model the flow of money in an economy. However, in most practical applications, electronic analogies were used. The word analogue refers to the behaviour of the computer being analogous to that of the system we want to simulate. In contrast, the word digital refers to the process of transforming the behaviour of a system to a stream of numbers or digits calculated by a numerical algorithm. Although this is the origin of the word analogue, its meaning has now evolved to describe anything that is not digital.

Modern analogue computers
In the sixties it became clear that the digital computer would rapidly overtake the analogue computer. Advances in chip technology made the digital computer reliable and available to a large num-
ber of people and organisations. However, precisely because of the advances in chip technology driven by the digital revolution, we can now build very cheap and very accurate analogue computers as well.

As part of an art-science collaboration in our Department, we decided to exploit the accuracy of modern analogue electronics in an exhibit of an analogue computer for the Lorenz model which produces the butterfly that became the iconic cartoon for the science of chaos and the unpredictability of weather (See, for example, James Gleick’s *Chaos: Making a new science* for a wonderful introduction to chaos theory and its history).

Our building of the analogue computer turned out to be an inspiring and illuminating experience. Here we discuss some of the remarkable properties of analogue computers, perhaps no longer widely appreciated. In next month’s instalment we will also describe how to make the analogue computer that simulates the Lorenz model. We call it the *Chaos Machine*.

**Butterflies; poltergeists; mathematics**

The Lorenz equations were developed in 1963 by the meteorologist Ed Lorenz to mimic the flow of air heated from below [2]. They are a set of three coupled equations that describe the time evolution of three variables $X$, $Y$, and $Z$,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dX}{dt} &= \sigma(Y-X) \\
\frac{dY}{dt} &= \rho X - Y - XZ \\
\frac{dZ}{dt} &= XY - \beta Z
\end{align*}
\]

The link of these three equations to actual air flow is rather obscure, and they do not work very well anyway. What Lorenz did discover was that when he chose the three tuneable parameters ($\sigma$; $\rho$; $\beta$) in his model carefully it would behave in an erratic and unpredictable way: chaos. This was completely unexpected and paved the way to a revolution in science. If the three variables $X$, $Y$, and $Z$ are plotted as a moving point in three-dimensional space, we get the famous Lorenz butterfly, a fractal floating in three-dimensional space, see Figure 2.

In our Chaos Machine we can feed two of the voltages that represent the $X$, $Y$, and $Z$, to an oscilloscope in XY-display mode to see it draw an electronic version of the butterfly. We can tune the three variables to produce various shapes of the butterfly. We can also feed the channels to an audio amplifier to hear the sound of chaos. This turns out to be a remarkably unsettling experience: the *Chaos Machine* screeches and screams in the most bizarre ways with the soul of an electronic poltergeist.

**How do analogue computers work?**

An electronic analogue computer solves equations by representing values of variables by voltages in a circuit. Wires connect modules that perform specific arithmetic operations. For example, a subtraction module will have two input connectors and one output con-
The integrator circuit comprising R, C and A2. The output voltage \( V_2 \) is applied to A1, which drives the integrator circuit comprising R, C and A2. The output voltage \( V_2 \) is (minus) the time integral of the input voltage, scaled by \( 1/(1/RC) \). A1 is a unit gain buffer stage, included solely to prevent loading of the originating voltage source but permitting a wide choice of values for R. The additional resistor with A2 is for compensation and does not form part of the functional circuit.) For clarity, the necessary power supplies are not shown.

Other arithmetic operations can also be performed with the help of operational amplifiers. For example, subtracting two voltages is achieved using a differential amplifier of unit gain. Multiplication and other related operations are more complicated to implement, requiring many op amp stages.

Analogue computers do not require a memory to work. This makes them essentially equivalent to the systems we try to simulate. A swinging pendulum does not have a memory of its previous states. One could connect an analogue computer to analogue–to–digital converters if digital storage or exact measurements are required. This construction can also be used to build a hybrid analogue–digital computer. A purist who wants to stay away from any digital technique can use a tape recorder or chart recorder for storage, also circumventing the difficulties of aliasing which arise in a sampled system.

Analogue computers are relatively hard to program: programming the computer is the same as building the computer. Clearly this flexibility is where digital computers are far superior. Also, in a digital computer it is easy to allocate memory spaces to store a set of variables, while in an analogue computer each variable is associated with a separate signal wire. Although a digital computer requires much more complex hardware for variable storage, it can use the same hardware configuration to tackle different virtual problems. A digital computer is a universal Turing machine, that is, a machine that can be used to solve different problems; an analogue computer can only solve one single problem. Another fundamental difference between analogue and digital computers is that a digital computer calculates an approximated virtual representation of the model system, whereas an analogue computer is an actual electronic copy of the system. If we want to simulate a swinging pendulum with an analogue computer, we build an electronic system that oscillates exactly like the swinging pendulum. The computer becomes an electronic version of the swinging pendulum itself. This is a very appealing property of analogue computers. Think of the Lorenz system that we use in our Chaos Machine. Apart from a very artificial set-up, there is no actual physical representation of the system; it was designed as a mathematical system. Analogue computers are the only way we can get genuine physical representations of such mathematical systems.

**How fast are they?**

People who see an analogue computer for the first time often ask: how fast is it compared to a modern digital computer? In fact, their speeds are hard to compare. In a digital computer speed is limited by the clock speed of the processor and the speed at which variables can be loaded into and out of the processor. One such calcu-
lation may typically take a nanosecond or so (one thousand millionth of a second). In an analogue computer the speed is limited by the speed at which the operational amplifiers, the key building blocks of analogue computers, can follow changes in input voltages (the *slew rate*). Operational amplifiers can change over time scales of a few nanoseconds and for most practical purposes this does not limit the computer’s operation. However, analogue computers do not perform calculations as such; they perform simulations. Asking how fast an analogue computer calculates is the same as asking how fast the swinging pendulum calculates its motion.

Nevertheless, the ‘speed’ comparison can be made more precise. An analogue time integration module has an intrinsic timescale set by $R \times C$, the resistance and capacitance, respectively, of two components in the module. In other words, speed in a digital computer is limited by its clock speed, while ‘speed’ in an analogue computer can be arbitrarily defined by choosing different components. There is a practical limit to which we can increase the speed of the analogue computer set by the finite slew rate of the operational amplifiers and the stray capacitances in the system, both of which act to damp away the very highest frequencies.

Fortunately, dedicated analogue function chips are cheaply available which contain optimized log and antilog converters, providing multiplication, divisions, and square roots with excellent accuracy and temperature stability — and truly enormous speed.

**Operational amplifiers**

Advances in electronic components have had major benefits for many scientific activities, but they also now make the implementation of analogue computing straightforward. The key building block of an electronic analogue computer is the operational amplifier, a general purpose electronic device which can be configured to perform the different mathematical operations (integration, addition, multiplication, scaling) required. General purpose amplifiers originated in the 1940s from military applications, particularly in anti-aircraft gunnery (although a mechanical analogue computer was used as recent as the Vietnam war in the ‘Norden’ bombsight to target bombs dropped from aircraft). The description operational amplifier (or *op amp*), appeared in 1947, and the first commercial op amp — type K2-W — was produced by Philbrick in 1953, based on two dual triode valves, see Figure 4 and [3,4]. Solid state op amps followed in the 1960s, with the first integrated circuit op amp in 1965 (Jung’s *Op Amp Applications Handbook* provides a good overview of the history and use of op amps).

Comparison between early and modern op amps illustrates how the steady improvements have made analogue computing ever more practical. The thermionic K2-W had a specified drift of $\pm 5 \text{ mV}$ per day, whereas the integrated circuit OP97, used in our Lorenz design, has drift dominated by thermal changes, at $0.6 \mu\text{V/°C}$. The power requirements are also dramatically different. A K2-W required power supplies of $\pm 300 \text{ V}$ and $6.3 \text{ V}$, at about $10 \text{ mA}$ and $0.6 \text{ A}$, whereas the OP97 requires $\pm 15 \text{ V}$ at $0.6 \text{ mA}$. Early analogue computers therefore had a substantial physical volume associated with each computing stage, as well as power dissipation and heat generation.

Integrated circuit analogue computers are now compact, and drift is no longer a characteristic feature. Individual op amp stages are also relatively cheap, allowing complex systems to be readily simulated. A benefit of their low cost is that extra stages can easily be included, which although not essential to the computing function, may relax constraints on the components required.

The final analogue computer is an assembly of independent circuit modules, combined to solve one specific problem, but reusable for other applications. With such a modular approach the ‘programming’ of the computer is a fairly simple job which requires hardly any knowledge of the electronics involved.

Next month’s second and final instalment discusses the elements that go into building the *Chaos Machine*.
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