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A strategy is presented that exploits the ability of synthetic polymers of different nature to disturb the strong self-assembly capabilities of amyloid based β-sheet forming peptides. Following a convergent approach, the peptides of interest were synthesised via solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) and the polymers via reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation, followed by a copper(I) catalysed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) to generate the desired peptide-polymer conjugates. The particular interest lays in a modified version of the core sequence of the β-Amyloid peptide (Aβ), Aβ(16-20) (KLVFF). The influence of attaching short poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) and poly(hydroxyethylacrylate) to the peptides sequences on the self-assembly properties of the hybrid materials were studied via Infrared Spectroscopy, TEM and circular dichroism. The findings indicate that attaching these polymers disturb the strong self-assembly properties of the biomolecules to a certain degree and allow to influence the aggregation of the peptides based on their β-sheets forming abilities. This study presents an innovative route towards targeted and controlled assembly of amyloids like fibres to drive the formation of polymeric nanomaterials.

Introduction

Inspiration from nature and improved synthetic strategies have triggered dramatic advances in the development of multifunctional materials over the past two decades. The combination of biological materials such as proteins and peptides with synthetic polymers is of particular interest since the resultant conjugates benefit from the properties of both components.\textsuperscript{1} These hybrid materials have found many diverse applications, such as tissue engineering\textsuperscript{2}, drug delivery\textsuperscript{3} and structured nanomaterials\textsuperscript{4}. Nanomaterials in particular benefit greatly from the self-organisation properties of peptides, since they lead to hierarchical nanostructures of much higher complexity than those achievable with synthetic polymers.\textsuperscript{5} For instance,
nanostructures such as nanofibres or nanotubes based on self-assembled polypeptides can be applied in regenerative medicine or nanoelectronics. Various approaches to design peptide based nanomaterials, how to control and trigger their self-assembly has been described including their use to guide the formation of supramolecular structures and related functionalities. The challenge has now shifted to the incorporation of additional functional molecules such as polymers for the generation of highly functional nanostructured materials. The self-organisation properties of peptides or proteins can be used to encode structural information in the polymeric nanomaterials at the molecular level. In addition the synthetic polymer part of these nanomaterials can also affect the self-organisation properties of the peptides or proteins thus changing their reactivity. Peptides and proteins are well known to strongly self-assemble into β-sheets, which can further aggregate into ribbons and fibrils. Indeed, β-sheet forming peptides have been intensively discussed in the past decade in the context of protein misfolding diseases, such as Alzheimer’s (AD) and Parkinson’s (PD) diseases. In the case of AD, the β-Amyloid peptide (Aβ) is believed to aggregate into fibrils and form amyloids, and several studies suggest that a critical sequence for fibrillisation is Aβ(16-20), KLVFF. It has also recently been shown that addition of two more phenylalanine residues to that core sequence (FFKLVFF) permits even stronger aggregation via hydrophobic and aromatic interactions. Recent work has focused on attaching synthetic polymers to these β-sheets forming peptide sequences to investigate the influence on the β-sheet forming properties. Synthetic strategies for peptide/protein-polymer conjugates are well described, and the properties of the peptides polymer conjugates have been examined. Pochan et al. used ethylene glycol modified amino acids to synthesise polypeptides which retain the α-helical structure of the amino acids. In some cases the self-assembly abilities of the conjugates and corresponding properties such as gel formation can be controlled by the degree of polymerisation and the balance between α-helical and β-sheet structures. Studies on the influence of conjugated synthetic polymeric chains to β-sheets forming peptides and their β-sheets forming properties in particular have been reported as well. For instance, Adams et al. have used polyethylene oxide (PEO) with side chain conjugated peptides to form vesicles, and Tzokova et al. have demonstrated the importance of the nature of the peptide and the relative balance between polymer and peptide for the self-assembly process. However there are limited precise investigation on the self-assembly properties of the resultant conjugates and there is still a high demand on understanding this process to exploit the self-assembly properties with full capacity. Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) is the most common polymer used in
research on peptide-polymer conjugates aggregation because it improves biocompatibility and decreases immunoresponse. To date PEG is the only polymer considered in studies involving the core sequence of the β-Amyloid peptide (Aβ16-20), and it has been reported that hydrophobically modified Aβ(16-20) sequences (FFKLVFF) form very strong self-assembled structures which are retained even after PEGylation. These initial results suggest that the nature of the peptide may dictate the suitability of a given conjugate polymer to alter the strong self-assembly properties of the β-sheet forming peptides. Herein, we describe a systematic investigation on the capability of a polar polymer (poly(hydroxylethyl acrylate), PHEA) and a less polar polymer (poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide), PNIPAAM) to influence the self-assembly properties of a β-sheet forming peptide. A modified Aβ(16-20) sequence with two additional phenylalanine units (FFVLKFF) was used as a model system. In addition, Aβ(16-19) sequence, similarly modified with one phenylalanine units, (FVLKFF) was also investigated to assess the importance of phenylalanine in the peptide sequence. We opted for a convergent approach, in which the peptides and polymers were first synthesised via solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) and reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation respectively, followed by a copper(I) catalysed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) to generate the desired peptide-polymer conjugates (Scheme 1).
**Scheme 1:**

- **a)** Solid phase supported peptide synthesis of N\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{4}-FVLKFF-OH (1) and N\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{4}-FFVLKFF-OH (2);
- **b)** RAFT polymerisation to yield PNIPAAM\textsubscript{20} (5) and PHEA\textsubscript{20} (6);
- **c)** Microwave assisted CuAAC reaction to generate the FFVLKFF conjugates 8 (PNIPAAM\textsubscript{20}) and 10 (PHEA\textsubscript{20}) and the FVLKFF conjugates 7 (PNIPAAM\textsubscript{20}) and 9 (PHEA\textsubscript{20}).

**Materials and Methods**

Fmoc-Phe-OH, Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH, Fmoc-Leu-OH, Fmoc-Val-OH, 2-(1Hbenzotriazole-1-yl)-1,3,3-tetramethyluronium (HBTU) were obtained from GL Biochem and used as supplied. Butyl-trithiocarbonate propanoicacid (BTPCA) was obtained from Dulux and used as supplied, and azoisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was obtained from Aldrich and precipitated from methanol prior to use. Hüning’s base N,N,-diisopropylethylamine, DIPEA, trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), Thioansiol, Triisopropysilane, sulfurylchloride, and all solvents were ordered through Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAaM) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and recrystallised before usage. Hydroxyethyl acrylate (HEA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and was deinhibited before polymerisation. All other chemicals were ordered through Sigma-Aldrich and used without any further purification.
**Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR).** NMR analyses were carried out on Bruker Ultra Shield Avance Spectrometers (200 or 300 MHz). For all NMR analyses deuterated solvents as stated were used.

**Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC).** SEC analyses were performed at 50°C using a Agilent SEC system (PL-GPC50 Plus), equipped with a guard column and two Polar Gel-M columns (300 x 7.5 mm) and a PL-RI detector. The system was running at 50°C with DMF using 0.1 wt% LiBr, 0.05g/L hydroquinone on a flowrate of 0.7 mL/min. For sample analysis a poly-Styrene calibration has been used.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).** Samples were prepared by placing a drop of sample solution on a carbon coated copper grid covered with thin film of pioloform. Samples were air dried for at least 24 hours before the analysis. TEM images were obtained using a JOEL1400 electron microscope.

**Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FT-IR).** FT-IR spectra were obtained from a Bruker ISF66v FT-IR from dried film of sample solution. The numbers of scans were set at 32.

**Electrospray Ionisation Mass Spectroscopy.** ESI analysis was performed using a Finnigan LCQ mass spectrometer.

**Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy (CD).** CD spectra were recorded using a Chirascan spectropolarimeter (Applied Photophysics, UK). Solutions of the peptides and conjugates in methanol were loaded in parallel plaque cells (Hellma quartz Suprasil®), with a 0.1 or 1 mm pathlength. The CD data were measured using 1 sec acquisition time per point and 0.5 nm step. The post-acquisition smoothing tool from Chirascan software was used to remove random noise elements from the averaged spectra. A residual plot was generated for each curve in order to verify whether or not the spectrum has been distorted during the smoothing process. The CD signal from the methanol was subtracted from the CD data of the peptide solutions.

**Small-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS).** Experiments were performed on beamline ID02 at the ESRF, Grenoble, France. Samples, dissolved in methanol, were placed in a glass capillary mounted in a brass block for temperature control. Micropumping was used to minimise beam damage, by displacing a drop of the sample by 0.01-0.1 mm for each exposure. The sample-to-detector distance was 1.2 m, and the x-ray energy was 12.46 keV. The $q = 4\pi\sin(\theta)/\lambda$ (where $\theta$ is the scattering angle and $\lambda$ is the wavelength) range was calibrated using silver behenate. Data processing (background subtraction, radial averaging) was performed using the software SAXSUtilities.
General procedure for the synthesis of peptides 1 and 2.

The azide modified peptides N$_3$C$_4$-FVLKFF (1) and N$_3$C$_4$-FFVLKFFC$_4$N$_3$ (2) have been synthesized via standard solid-phase 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) peptide synthesis on a 2-chlorotrityl resin. 2 g (1.5 mmol g$^{-1}$) 2-chlorotrityl resin was suspended in 5 mL DCM for 30 min. in a fritted syringe (10 mL). After the solvent has been filtered off, a solution of Fmoc-L-Phenylalanin-OH (6.0 mmol, 2.322 g) and N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, Hüning’s Base) (12 mmol, 3.08 g) in DMF (5 mL) was added and shaken for 2 h. The solvent was filtered off and the resin was washed with DCM : MeOH : DIPEA 17 : 2 : 1 (3x10 mL) to cap any unreacted peptide chains. After washing the resin with DCM (3x10 mL), DMF (3x10 mL) and DCM (3x10 mL) it was dried in vacuum to be used for further SPPS. UV-Vis was used to determine a loading of 1.06 mmol Fmoc-L-Phenylalanin-OH per gramm resin. 0.5 g of the resin was used for further SPPS. For coupling of each Fmoc-protected L-amino acid, the resin was swollen in 5 mL DCM for 30 min. and deprotected with 20% solution piperidin in DMF (2x5 mL) for 3 min. After washing the resin with DMF (3x10 mL), DCM (3x10 mL) and DMF (3x10 mL) a solution of Fmoc-L-amino acid-OH (1.5 eq.), HBTU (2 eq.) to activate the N-terminus and DIPEA (5 eq.) in DMF (5 mL) was added and shacked over night (16 h). The solvent has been filtered off and the resin washed with DMF (5x10 mL) and unreacted chains capped with DCM : MeOH : DIPEA 17 : 2 : 1 (3x10 mL) for 2x3 min. After washing with DMF (5x10 mL) the next coupling step was performed. Upon addition of the last amino acid, the azide linker C$_4$N$_3$ 3 which was synthesised according to previous work by our group (Hamilton ChemComm) was couple under the same reaction conditions. A mixture of TFA : Thioanisol : Trisopropylsilane : H$_2$O = 88 : 5 : 2 : 5 (10 mL for 3 h) was used to isolate the desired peptide sequences 1 and 2 from the solid phase. The obtained solution was concentrated to near dryness, dissolved in a small amount of MeOH and precipitated from ice-cold Et$_2$O. If necessary, preparative HPLC (ACN, H$_2$O, TFA) was performed for purification. Drying in vacuum yielded the opaque solids 1 and 2 (0.127 g, 41%).

Analysis of 1:

$^1$H-NMR (d-TFA, 300MHz) δ = 7.223-7.393 (m, 15H, Har), 6.860 (s, br, NH, NH$_2$), 4.994-5.060 (d, 3H, 19.8 Hz, CH$_2$NHbackbone), 4.668-4.745 (d, J = 23.1Hz, 2H, CH$_2$NHbackbone), 4.467 (s, br, 1H, CH$_2$N$_3$), 3.442 (s, br, 2H, CH$_2$N$_3$), 3.176-3.324 (d, br, J = 44.4 Hz, 6H, CH$_2$Phe), 2.597 (s, br, 2H, CH$_2$NH$_2$), 2.144-2.212 (m,
3H, COCH₂-, CH(CH₃)₂CH), 1.379-2.024 (m, br, 13H, CH₂CH₃N₃, CH₂CH₂CH₂N₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂N₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂N₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂N₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂N₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂N₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂N₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂N₂, CH₂CH₂CH₂N₂, 1.056-1.119 (m, br, 12H, CH₃ peptide side chains) ppm.

¹³C-NMR (d-TFA, 400MHz) δ = 178 (COOH), 177 (NHCO-), 175 (NHCO-), 136 (Car-CH₂), 131 (Car, Car-CH₂), 129 (Car-CH₂), 120 (Car), 118 (Car), 116 (Car), 113 (Car), 19.5-62.5 (CH₃, CH₂, CH, 24C) ppm. MS (ESI⁺) m/z = 925.25 (M+H⁺, 100). IR (ATR FT-IR) ν = 3282 (m, NH-H), 2153 (w, N₃), 1679 (s, C=O, amide I), 1631 (m, C=O, amide I) cm⁻¹. HighRes MS: found = 925.52944 (M+H⁺), calculated = 924.52.

Analysis of 2:
¹H-NMR (d-TFA, 300MHz) δ = 7.143-7.280 (m, 20H, Har), 6.775 (s, br, NH, NH₂), 4.933-4.977 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 4H, CHNHbackbone), 4.574-4.666 (d, J = 27.6 Hz, 2H, CHNHbackbone), 4.322 (s, br, 1H, CHNHbackbone), 3.077-3.359 (m, 10H, CH₂N₃, 14, CH₂(Phe), 2.490 (s, br, 2H, CH₂NH₂), 1.441-1.837 (m, 16H, CH, CH₂), 0.862-1.031 (m, 12H, CH₃ peptide side chains) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (d-TFA, 300MHz) δ = 181.05 (COOH), 178.54 (NHCO-), 176.12 (NHCO-), 175.43 (NHCO-), 175.12 (NHCO-), 175.07 (Car-CH₂), 131.20 (Car, Car-CH₂), 129.92 (Car-CH₂), 120.15 (Car), 118.62 (Car), 115.07 (Car), 112.36 (Car), 18-62.27 (CH₃, CH₂, CH, 26C) ppm. MS (ESI⁺) m/z = 1072.80 (M+H⁺, 100). IR (ATR FT-IR) ν = 3420 (m, NH-H), 2113 (w, N₃), 1681 (s, C=O, amide I), 1629 (m, C=O, amide I) cm⁻¹. HighRes MS: found = 925.59785 (M+H⁺), calculated = 927.59.

Synthesis of 5-azido pentanoic acid 3.

The synthesis of the C₄N₃ linker is followed a procedure from Srinivasan⁴⁰ and Kakwere³³. Under inert conditions, bromovaleric acid (40 mmol, 7.24 g) was dissolved in 8 mL MeOH and cooled down to 0°C. Thionylchloride (120 mmol, 14.27 g) has been added dropwise under N₂ atmosphere within 45 min. and stirred for 30 min. at 0°C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and was stirred for 19 h. After solvent evaporation, the residue was suspended in 50 mL ethylacetate and extracted with NaHCO₃ (3 x 30 mL), H₂O (3 x 30 mL) and brine (1 x 30 mL). Drying over Na₂SO₄ and removing the solvent under reduced pressure lead to a brown liquid.

Upon addition of 30 mL DMSO, NaN₃ (77 mmol, 5 g) was added under rapid stirring. This solution has been stirred at 50°C for 24 h and the resultant white suspension
has been taken up with 20 mL H$_2$O and was extracted with Et$_2$O (4 x 40 mL). Washing with brine, drying over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and removing the solvent under reduced pressure yield in a brown oil. After dissolving in 30 mL THF : H$_2$O = 3 : 1 (v : v), 20 mL aqueous LiOH (73 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. THF was removed under reduced pressure and the aqueous phase was combined with 50 mL ethylacetate. Washing with 1N HCl (3 x 50 mL), H$_2$O (3 x 50 mL) and brine (2 x 50 mL) and drying the combined organic phases over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and removing the solvent under reduced pressure yielded in 5 as brown oil (70.1%, 4.01 g).

$^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300MHz) $\delta$ = 11.442 (s, 1H, OH), 3.233-3.276 (t, J=12.9MHz, 6.6MHz, 2H, CH$_2$N$_3$), 2.328-2.375 (t, J=1.41MHz, 6.9MHz, 2H, CH$_2$(CH$_3$)$_3$N$_3$), 1.547-1.726 (m, 4H, CH$_2$CH$_2$N$_3$) ppm. $^1$H-NMR data are in agreement with literature results.$^{33}$

**Synthesis of (prop-2-ynyl propanoate)yl butyltrithiocarbonate (PPBTC, 4):**

The alkyne modified RAFT agent PPBTC 4 was synthesised as described by Konkolewicz et al.$^{41}$ Butyltrithiocarbonate propanoic acid (BTCPA) (2.06 g, 8.60 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL DCM and cooled down to 0°C. Propargyl alcohol (2.42 g, 23.02 mmol), EDCI (3.02 g, 12.62 mmol) and DMAP (0.13 g, 1.1 mmol) was added and stirred at 0°C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and was stirred for additional 16 h. Washing with H$_2$O (5 x 20 mL), drying over MgSO$_4$ and removing the solvents yielded to a yellow oil. Purification was achieved via passing over a silica pad using toluene : ethylacetate 9 : 1. Removing solvents and drying in vacuum gave the desired product 4 as yellow oil (91.7%, 2.16 g). $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300MHz) $\delta$ = 4.802-4.876 (q, J = 7.5Hz, 1H, SCHCH$_3$CO), 4.726 (t, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H, CH$_2$CCH), 3.30-3.379 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_2$CH$_2$S-), 2.482-2.498 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, CH$_2$CCCH), 1.595-1.725 (m, 5H, CH$_3$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$S-, SCHCH$_3$CO), 1.385-1.484 (m, 2H, CH$_3$CH$_2$(CH)$_2$S-), 0.901-0.949 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_3$S-). Data are in agreement with results previously reported by Konkolewicz et al.$^{41}$

**General procedure for the synthesis of polymers.**

The RAFT agent 4 was prepared according previously reported by our group.$^{34,41,42}$ A mixture of monomer, removed from inhibitors, AIBN (AIBN : RAFT = 0.1 : 1 eq.)
and solvents were added. The reaction vessel has been purged with N₂ for 15 min. and left under a nitrogen atmosphere of 1 atm for the polymerisation. N-isopropylacrylamide polymerisation was performed in dioxane at 60°C. Hydroxyethyl acrylate in tert-butanol at 70°C and purified via precipitation from ice-cold hexane:Et₂O (4:1) or Et₂O. The molecular weight of the polymers have been characterised by NMR and SEC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>[M]: [RAFT]</th>
<th>Time / h</th>
<th>Conversion₁</th>
<th>DP₁</th>
<th>Ḍn₂</th>
<th>Ḍb₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 PNIAPA₉₉₂₀</td>
<td>33 : 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>81 %</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2540</td>
<td>5400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 PHEA₉₉₂₀</td>
<td>33 : 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2950</td>
<td>4600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**: Synthetic details and characterisation for polymers.₁ Determined via ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. ² Determined via SEC. SEC results uncorrected relative to PS standards.

Analysis of 5:
¹H-NMR (d-TFA, 300MHz) δ = 4.805 (s, 2H, CH₂-CH₂-CCH), 4.142 (s, 22H, CH, -NH-CH(CH₃)₂), 3.460 (s, 2H, CH₂, CH₃(CH₂)₂-CH₂-S-), 2.533, 2.378, 1.934, 1.769, 1.290-1.406 (polymer backbone, CH₃-CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-S-), 0.856-0.987 (m, 5H, CH₂, CH₃-CH₂(CH₂)₂-S-, CH₃, CH₂(CH₂)₂-S-) ppm.

Analysis of 6:
¹H-NMR (d₆-DMSO, 300MHz) δ = 4.769 (s, 23H, OH), 4.675 (s, 1H, -O-CH₂-CCH), 4.008 (s, 41H, -O-CH₂-CH₂-OH), 3.553 (s, 46H, -O-CH₂-CH₂-OH), 3.402 (s, 2H, CH₃-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-S-), 1.066-2.335 (m, polymer backbone, CH₃-CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-S-, CH₃-CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-S-), 0.863-0.901 (t, 3H, CH₂(CH₂)₃-S-) ppm.

**General procedure for the synthesis of peptide-polymer conjugates 7, 8, 9, 10.**

The azide peptide sequences 1 and 2 were combined with the correspondent alkyne polymers 5 and 6, CuSO₄·5H₂O, Sodiumascorbate and 5 mL DMF. After vortex mixing, the reaction was carried out in a microwave reactor at 100°C, 200W, for 15 min. under continuous stirring and N₂ cooling.
All four peptide polymer conjugates were purified by a second microwave assisted click reaction at 80°C using an azide resin to remove any unreacted polymers. The azide resin was synthesised according to procedure previously used in this group.\textsuperscript{34} Excess of copper was removed via filtration through neutral alumina. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure; the resultant brown residue was washed with H\textsubscript{2}O to remove any remains of sodium ascorbate. After centrifugation and drying in vacuum a brown solid could be isolated (64 mg, 43%).

The conjugates have been characterised using \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR. The disappearance of the peak for the alkyne proton at and the methyl group next to the triple bond indicated a complete reaction of the alkyne polymer. In addition, ESI-MS has been used but none of the starting materials were detected, meaning peptide and polymer have been completely consumed by the Click reaction. Furthermore, the FT-IR spectra do not show any peaks corresponding to the azide, concluding that all azide has been completely reacted in the Click reaction.

**Analysis of 7.**

\textsuperscript{1}H-NMR (d-TFA, 300MHz) \( \delta = 8.587 \) (s, 1H, CH\textsubscript{triazole}), 7.257-7.395 (d, br, 15H, Har), 5.599 (s, 2H, OCH\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{triazole}), 4.758-5.095 (m, 10H, OH polymer, CH\textsubscript{NH}backbone, NH), 0.974-4.502 (PNIPAAM backbone, RAFT side chain, Peptide side chains) ppm.

**Analysis of 8.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molar equivalents</th>
<th>mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>polymer</td>
<td>Azide peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 PNIPAAM\textsub{20}</td>
<td>1.2 N\textsub{2}C\textsub{4}-FVLKFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 PNIPAAM\textsub{20}</td>
<td>1.2 N\textsub{2}C\textsub{4}-FFVLKFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 PHEA\textsub{20}</td>
<td>1.2 N\textsub{2}C\textsub{4}-FVLKFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 PHEA\textsub{20}</td>
<td>1.2 N\textsub{2}C\textsub{4}-FFVLKFF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of 9.

$^1$H-NMR (d-TFA, 300MHz) $\delta = 8.902$ (s, 1H, CCH$_2$triazole), 7.646-7.778 (d, br, 1H, Har), 5.976 (s, 2H, OCH$_2$triazole), 0.501-5.131 (CH$_2$N backbone, PNIPAAM backbone, RAFT side chain, Peptide side chains) ppm.

Analysis of 10.

$^1$H-NMR (d-TFA, 300MHz) $\delta = 8.614-8.690$ (d, br, 1H, CCH$_2$triazole), 7.341-7.486 (d, br, 20H, Har), 5.683 (s, 2H, OCH$_2$triazole), 0.501-5.131 (CH$_2$N backbone, PNIPAAM backbone, RAFT side chain, Peptide side chains) ppm.

Results and Discussion

The azide and alkyne functionalities were introduced in the peptide and polymer segment, respectively, following procedures previously published by our group.$^{43}$ Azide-modified FVLKFF (1) and FFVLKFF (2) were synthesised via solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) and the azide group was introduced at the N terminal. The polymers were synthesised via reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation. The synthesis of the azide modified peptides 1 and 2 via SPPS leads to white solids in 41% yield (scheme 1a). Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (NMR), Electro Spray Ionisation Mass Spectrometry (ESI-MS), High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (High Res MS), Fourier Transformation Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR) (SI, fig. 1-4).Polymerisation of hydroxyethyl acrylate and N-isopropyl acrylamide via reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation$^{44,46}$ using chain transfer agent (CTA) 4 gave the functional polymers PHEA$_{20}$ 5 and PNIPAAM$_{50}$ 6 (scheme 1b) with low polydispersities of 1.1 and 1.2, respectively (SI, fig. 5) and were verified via $^1$H (NMR) (SI, fig. 6). The desired peptide-polymer conjugates 7, 8, 9 and 10 were synthesised via a convergent approach$^{42,47}$ using microwave assisted copper-catalysed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) (scheme 1c). Successful cycloaddition reaction was confirmed via 1H NMR (fig. 1a; SI, fig. 7-8), FTIR (fig. 1b) and SEC (SI, fig. 9).
Figure 1: a) $^1$H NMR spectrum of PNIPAAM$_{20}$-FVLKFF conjugate 7 confirming the successful click reaction. b) FT-IR spectrum from dried films of 1wt% methanol solutions of PHEA$_{20}$-FVLKFF conjugate 10, showing the disappearance of the azide peak at 2153 cm$^{-1}$ (black box) as a result of the successful click reaction.

The $^1$H NMR spectrum (fig. 1a) of PNIPAAM$_{20}$-FVLKFF conjugate 7 reveals the characteristic peak for the proton (H13) at the newly triazole ring formed during the CuAAC at 8.587 ppm. The proton next to the ester functionality (H12) has been shifted downfield from 4.805 ppm to 5.590 ppm (H12') which confirms the successful coupling reaction. The disappearance of the azide band at 2153 cm$^{-1}$ in the FT-IR spectrum (fig. 1b) of PHEA$_{20}$-FVLKFF conjugate 10 verifies a successful conjugate formation. A shift of the SEC traces for PNIPAAM$_{20}$ and PHEA$_{20}$ indicates the successful coupling between the azide and alkyne. The SEC traces of the PNIPAAM conjugates 7 and 8 (SI, fig. 9) are shifted towards a longer retention time which indicates a higher hydrodynamic volume compared to the pure PNIPAAM$_{20}$. For both PHEA$_{20}$ conjugates 9 and 10 the SEC traces are shifted to shorter retention times due to a lower hydrodynamic volume of the conjugates compared to the pure PHEA$_{20}$ (SI, fig. 9b).

Self-assembly studies

The self-assembly properties of peptides 1 and 2 and of the correspondent PNIPAAM$_{20}$ and PHEA$_{20}$ conjugates 7, 8, 9 and 10 have been studied in 1wt% methanol solutions or as the related dried films of 1wt% or 5wt% methanol solutions. FT-IR spectroscopy of dried films (1 wt% solutions in methanol) of 1 and 2 all show the characteristic bands for β-sheet assemblies at around 1631 cm$^{-1}$ (parallel β-sheets) and 1679 cm$^{-1}$ (antiparallel β-sheets) indicating that the additional azide C$_4$-
linker does not disturb the ability to form β-sheet assemblies (fig. 2a). Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of peptides 1 and 2 also reveal characteristic β-sheet features\textsuperscript{48}. A negative band around 230 nm and a positive band at 218 nm is observed for 1 and a negative band at 230 nm and a positive band at 220 nm for 2 (fig. 2b).

**Figure 2:** a) FT-IR spectrum of amino peptides and correspondent azide modified peptides 1 and 2 displaying the characteristic bands for β-sheets around 1679 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1631 cm\(^{-1}\). B) Circular dichroism spectrum of azide peptides 1 and 2 showing the characteristic negative and positive bands for β-sheet structures.

The classical β-sheet circular dichroism spectrum has a minimum at 216-220 nm.\textsuperscript{5, 50} The red-shift for this minimum to 230 nm and 235 nm respectively is due to the formation of J-aggregates from a head-to-tail stacking of the aromatic units\textsuperscript{51} and is a known feature for fibre formation\textsuperscript{49}. These findings imply the presence of β-sheet structures in both azide peptides 1 and 2. A strong band at 225 nm observed for 2, which results from the fourth phenylalanine residue, shows the influence of these additional π-π stacking on the secondary structure of the peptide. Measurements using different concentrations display a concentration dependency of the formation of β-sheet structures. At low concentration (0.16 wt%) only a weak band around 230 nm could be observed, but upon increasing the concentration to 0.63 wt% and 0.9 wt% clear features for β-sheet structures could be obtained (SI, fig. 10). That confirms that the addition of the azide linker does not destroy the β-sheet forming abilities.

TEM and SEM images were obtained from dried films of 1wt % methanol solutions on carbon coated copper TEM grids. One of the advantages of applying CuAAC to generate the desired conjugates is no additional staining of the TEM samples is required.\textsuperscript{42} The azide peptides 1 and 2 clearly show strong entangled networks (fig. 3) which points out the strong ability of 1 and 2 to not only form β-sheet assemblies, as supported by FT-IR and CD data, but also to aggregate in larger structures.
The aggregation properties of the conjugates were investigated by FT-IR, CD, TEM and SAXS. FT-IR spectra show that FFVLKFF conjugates 8 (PNIPAAM<sub>20</sub>) and 10 (PHEA<sub>20</sub>) and FVLKFF conjugates 7 (PNIPAAM<sub>20</sub>) and 9 (PHEA<sub>20</sub>) are still able to form β-sheet assemblies (fig. 4). The FT-IR spectrum of FVLKFF PNIPAAM<sub>20</sub> conjugates 7 displays a slight shoulder at 1691 cm<sup>-1</sup> (fig. 4a, black arrow) which implies the presence of antiparallel β-sheets. The correspondent band around 1630 cm<sup>-1</sup> from the parallel β-sheets is covered under the broad band from the PNIPAAM residue at 1652 cm<sup>-1</sup>. We conclude the broadness of the band for conjugate 7 around 1652 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the appearance of the shoulder at 1691 cm<sup>-1</sup> is evidence for the presence of β-sheet structures which overlaps with the C=O stretching band of the PNIPAAM part of the molecule. The FT-IR spectrum of the FFVLKFF-PHEA<sub>20</sub> conjugate 9 (fig. 4b) shows a strong band around 1733 cm<sup>-1</sup> resulting from the C=O stretching band from the ester group of the PHEA side chain. At 1637 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1685 cm<sup>-1</sup> appear two bands which are assigned to β-sheet structures (β-sheet and antiparallel β-sheet respectively). From these results we assume that the attachment of PHEA<sub>20</sub> does prevent the formation of strong fibre like networks but β-sheets are still formed. For FFVLKFF PNIPAAM<sub>20</sub> conjugates 8 two bands at 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1652 cm<sup>-1</sup> (fig. 4c) were observed resulting from the PNIPAAM residue. No shoulder around 1691 cm<sup>-1</sup> could be obtained. But the broadness of the band at 1652 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 8 is much more pronounced than the band for the pure PNIPAAM (fig. 4c, indicated with arrows). We conclude that the C=O stretching band from PNIPAAM overlay the bands from parallel and antiparallel β-sheets. Further proof for the existence of β-sheet structures will be discussed below. The FT-IR spectrum of the FFKLKFF-PHEA<sub>20</sub> conjugate 10 shows also strong band around 1733 cm<sup>-1</sup> from the ester group of the PHEA side chain. In addition a band 1687 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1644 cm<sup>-1</sup> are obtained (fig. 4d, marked with arrows), which are assigned to antiparallel and respectively parallel β-sheets.
In order to investigate whether the FT-IR features are due to the conjugate 7, 8, 9 and 10 FT-IR mixing experiments were performed using peptide 1 and 2 and PNIPAAM$_{20}$ and PHEA$_{20}$ in a 1:1 mixture (SI, fig. 11 and fig. 12). For all four conjugates a clear red-shift of the amide bands is obtained, which is indicative of the formation of sheet-like aggregates. Moreover the mixture of FVLKFF 1 and PNIPAAM$_{20}$ 5 displays a distinct shoulder in the related amide band around 1650 cm$^{-1}$ indicating the presence of two different species in the solution with overlapping FT-IR bands. The FT-IR spectrum of the mixing experiment with FFVLKFF 2 and PNIPAAM$_{20}$ 5 in a 1:1 mixture (SI, fig. 11b) shows a splitting of the band at 1652 cm$^{-1}$ which is associated with the presence of two discrete compounds.

SAXS measurements on the FFVLKFF conjugates 8 (PNIPAAM$_{20}$) and 10 (PHEA$_{20}$) and on the FVLKFF conjugates 7 (PNIPAAM$_{20}$) and 9 (PHEA$_{20}$) in methanol (1wt%) do not show any aggregation for conjugates 7, 8, 9 and 10 under the conditions modelled by SAXS (fig. 5). In fact the SAXS data for conjugates the FFVLKFF conjugates 8 (PNIPAAM$_{20}$) and 10 (PHEA$_{20}$) can be modelled as Gaussian coil structures with a radius of gyration 2-2.5 nm, which is reasonable considering the polymer chain dimensions, thus no aggregation occurs under the SAXS conditions.
In addition no aggregation of the FVLKFF conjugate 7 could be detected. However, SAXS experiments for FVLKVV-PHEA 9, it was also possible to fit the data to a Gaussian coil model. However, the obtained radius of gyration (4.4 nm) is larger than for the FFVLKFF conjugates. Gaussian coil form factor fitting was less successful for the SAXS data for conjugate 7 since the SAXS intensity exhibits an extended range (including low q) with a $q^{-2}$ dependence. This indicates aggregation, consistent with the TEM images, and this intensity scaling is in fact expected for a sheet-like structure. Therefore, the SAXS data for conjugate 7 was fitted to a model of a homogeneous sheet (i.e., a planar object with extended lateral dimensions but finite thickness and a uniform electron density) of thickness 1 nm (30% thickness polydispersity) confirm the presence of aggregates which are modelled as sheet-like aggregates due to an observed $q^{-2}$ regime of intensity decay. The model of sheet-like structures is consistent with the TEM images, and data on related FFKLVFF-PEG conjugates.

Fig. 5 shows representative profiles. For 9, form factor fitting was possible using a model of a homogeneous sheet of thickness 1 nm (30% thickness polydispersity) and this model describes the intensity profile very well.
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**Figure 5**: SAXS data for conjugates 7, 8, 9 and 10 (1 wt% in methanol). The solid line through the data for conjugate 8 and 10 is the model form factor fit for the Gaussian coil structure described in the text.

Circular dichroism spectra were obtained from 1wt% solutions of the conjugates in methanol. The CD spectra of PNIPAAM 90 conjugates 7 and 8 and PHEA 90 conjugates 9 and 10 (fig. 6b and 6c) in methanol display bands for β-sheet assemblies and confirm the FT-IR results. PNIPAAM 90 conjugates 7 and 8 display a negative
maximum at 235 nm and a positive maximum at 220 nm (fig. 6a). The corresponding PHEA<sub>20</sub> conjugate 9 displays a minimum at 235 nm and a dominating maximum at 225 nm, confirming the presence of β-sheets and aromatic stacking (fig. 6b). For conjugate 10 a broad minimum around 215-230 nm is observed as a result of a strong β-sheet component and a small band at 225 nm for the π-π stacking from the aromatic residues (fig. 6b). The observed red-shift of the minimum around 218 nm to 230 nm in all four spectra are induced by strong maximum from the n-π* aromatic interactions.<sup>53</sup>

**Figure 6:** a) Circular dichroism spectrum of azide peptides 1 and 2 showing the characteristic negative and positive bands for β-sheet structures (1wt% methanol); b) Circular Dichroism spectrum of PNIPAAM<sub>20</sub> conjugates 7 and 8 (1wt% methanol); c) Circular Dichroism spectrum of PHEA<sub>20</sub> conjugates 9 and 10 (1wt% methanol).

The CD spectra also support the conclusion by SAXS experiments that the peptide aggregation is disturbed by PNIPAAM<sub>20</sub>. Indeed, FVLKFF conjugate 7 shows a red shift of the negative band from 230 nm to 235 nm which indicates a coiled structure for the PNIPAAM conjugate (fig. 7a).<sup>49</sup>

**Figure 7:** a) Circular Dichroism spectrum of FVLKFF 1 and correspondent PNIPAAM<sub>20</sub> and PHEA<sub>20</sub> conjugates 7 and 9 from 1wt% methanol solution; b) Circular Dichroism spectrum of FFVLKFF 2 and correspondent PNIPAAM<sub>20</sub> and PHEA<sub>20</sub> conjugates 8 and 10 from 1wt% methanol solution.
The CD spectrum of FFVLKFF conjugate 8 shows similar bands to the unconjugated peptide, indicating that the additional fourth phenylalanine residue strengthen the self-assembled structure, despite the presence of PNIPAAM. CD spectra of the PHEA conjugates 9 and 10, (fig. 7a and 7b) show typical β-sheet bands with a maximum at 195 nm and a minimum at 218 nm. We rationalise these observations by the ability of the polymer chain to alter the aggregation of the peptide segment depending on the nature of the polymer conjugate. PNIPAAM chains interact with the peptide segment, possibly as it is less favoured by the solvent (methanol) and / or via H-bond formation between the amide groups found in the peptide and the acrylamide repeating units. Such interactions do not completely prevent β-sheet assemblies, but strongly disturb aggregation in larger structures. The introduction of a fourth phenylalanine residue in the peptide segment strengthens the peptide assemblies and allows for the formation of larger aggregates, despite the presence of the PNIPAAM chain. The more polar PHEA chains have greater interactions with the solvent, and do not affect to the same extent β-sheet formation. The PHEA conjugate only partially prevents aggregation and favour dispersion in the solvent, thus leading to the formation of smaller structures. We have previously observed that conjugating PHEA to the β-sheet forming peptide P11-2 prevents the assembly of the peptidic segment.\textsuperscript{33} This highlights the much stronger tendency of peptides 1 and 2 compared to peptide P11-2 to aggregate into β-sheet-like structures and the importance of the functionality of the polymer to interfere with the peptide fibrillization.

TEM images of all four conjugates (fig. 8) reveal a small number of large aggregates, and confirm that attaching the polymers to peptide 1 and 2, although not extensively disturbing β-sheet formation, prevents the formation of strongly entangled networks.

**Figure 8:** Typical TEM images of aggregates obtained from self-assembly of the conjugates (dried films of 1wt% methanol solutions): a) FVLKFF PNIPAAM\textsubscript{10}, b) FFVLKFF PNIPAAM\textsubscript{10}, c) FVLKFF PHEA\textsubscript{10} and d) FFVLKFF PHEA\textsubscript{10} conjugates. Magnification is displayed on the top right corner of each image.
TEM also confirmed that the self-assembly process of the conjugates into sheet-like aggregates is time and concentration dependent, an observation also made by CD spectroscopy.

Figure 9: TEM images of self-assembled aggregates (dried films of 1wt% methanol solution); a) freshly prepared PHEA_{20}-FVLKFF-OH 9 (1 wt%); b) incubated PHEA_{20}-FVLKFF-OH 9 (1 wt%); c) incubated PHEA_{20}-FVLKFF-OH 9 (5 wt%); incubated PHEA_{20}-FVLKFF-OH 10 (5 wt%)

Indeed, TEM images from freshly prepared conjugate solutions (1 wt% in methanol) show only small and thin aggregates (fig. 9a; SI, fig. 13-14, left). However, allowing the aggregates to self-assemble for 66 hrs lead to an increased length (~50-200 nm) and diameter (~10-20 nm) in the range expected for amyloidal structures\(^5\) (fig. 9b; SI, fig. 13-14, right) indicating that the peptide part of the conjugate still has an impact on the overall properties of the conjugate and that the peptide properties become more pronounced after a period of time. However even after 66 hrs of incubation of the self-assembly process the entanglement of the aggregates are much less compared to the peptides 1 and 2 it selves. Increasing the concentration from 1 wt% to 5 wt% in PHEA_{20} conjugates 9 (fig. 9c) and 10 (fig. 9d) led to the formation of larger and more structured aggregates. This gives the opportunity to influence the formation of the aggregates.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have described a synthetic strategy and self-assembly studies for novel peptide-polymer conjugates, utilizing the manipulation of the self-assembly process via modified sequences from the β-amyloid peptide. Attaching short polymers of different functionality, PNIPAAM\(_{20}\) and PHEA\(_{20}\), to these peptide sequences disturbs their strong fibrillisation properties and offers some degree of control over their aggregation. Our findings point out that a sensible choice in the nature of polymer conjugate in relation to the solvent may allow control over the self-assembly process. This approach is a promising way to address the challenging task to control the strong aggregation of β-sheet forming peptides and their use for controlled synthesis of functionalised supramolecular polymeric structures.
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