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This note includes some endgame reflections on the last World Chess Championship, an update on the search for the
decisive games between computers, and a brief mention of the sets of endgame table (EGT) statistics
recently received from Yakov Konoval (2013) and from Victor Zakharov (2013) for the Lomonosov team.

Following hard on the heels of Nunn’s (2013) review of instructive errors in the analysis of KRPPKRP, Carlsen-
Anand, FCWM 2013 game 5, arrived at this very ending with position 53w, 8/8/2p1k3/P6R/1K6/6rP/8 w. The
Lomonosov EGTs say ‘mate in 33m’ starting 53. a5”” (only winning move) Kd6’ (equi-DTM-optimal) 54.
Rh7” (unique optimal) Kd5” 55. a6”” c4”, all duly played. After 56. Kc3 Ra2” 57. a7”, Black hastened the
end with 57. … Kc5 (-8m; Ra4”) and resigned after 58. b4”. A likely continuation was the DTM-optimal 59.
h5? Ra3’ 60. Kc2’ c3’ 61. h6’ Ra2” 62. Kxc3’ with a new Queen coming onboard around move 70.

Anand later defined game 5 as a turning point in the match, and immediately went two down after game 6 where
he strayed in a 10-man R-endgame with 60. Ra4? and resigned with nine men on the board. Game 10 ended in
KKN with bare Kings alongside an echo of Carlsen’s Knight sacrifice. Needing only a draw, he had untypically
ignored a somewhat more promising line at 8/1p2k3/p3n1p/P1K2pp1/2P2P2/1P2n1PP/8/8 w: 46. Nh5 Kf7 47.
Kb6 Kg6 48. Kxh7 Kxh5 49. c5 gx4 50. gx4 e5 51. c6 exf4 52. c7 f3 53. c8=Q f2 54. Qe8+ Kh4 55. Qxe3 f1=Q

Carlsen points out that computers and computer databases have made opening theory more widely available,
levelling the initial playing field and leading to only marginal advantage in the middlegame by the first time-
control. If so, we may look forward to many more games where subtle advantages are accumulated slowly and
result in a display of fine endgame technique and a hard-earned victory.

Hernandez (2013) notes some decisive computer games which nudge up the length-records (Haworth, 2013a/c)
and/or break the record for games extended by DTM-minimaxing play inferred from available EGTs:

a) STRELKA-v-SCORPIO (2013-04-09, E15): ending at KQPPKQN position 301w, theoretically drawn:
the indicated ‘0-1’ result may be an error, another reason to ignore a long game as a record-holder,
b) NAUM_4.2-v-TORNADO_4.25 (2011-01-08, A84): ending at KPPKPP position 300w (dtm = 15m):
thus, the extrapolated length (to mate) from p300w is 314m/627p,
c) HOUDINI_3.PRO-v-KOMODO_6 (2013-06-11, D23): ending at KQRKPQ p296b (dtm = -36m):
the extrapolated length (to mate) from p296b is 332m/663p.

It seems clear that there has been and perhaps still is a ceiling imposed by technology, including that of Chessbase,
which makes it difficult if not impossible to record games of more than 300 moves. A pity, as they have surely
been played between computers and may be classic battles with interesting endgames.

Yakov Konoval (2014) has filed three sets of Depth to Conversion (DTC) statistics with the author:
- a complete set of statistics for the 645 ‘White win’ EGTs of 6-man chess;2
  n.b., the maxDTC 6m decisive position is not in KRKNKN but a KRRPKQ loss in 486 plies,
- statistics for ‘White win’ 7m chess covering all 680 P-less and 460 of the 1,070 P-ful EGTs3 and
- statistics for a further 285 7m sub-endgames with specific square-colour profiles for the Bishops.

The EGTs themselves are not available via a query-service on the web and his work with Marc Bourzutschky,
dating from 2004, deserves to be more available and better known. This journal has frequently reviewed the
results they have highlighted in some six articles in EG, the endgame studies magazine.

1 The University of Reading, Berkshire, UK, RG6 6AH. email: guy.haworth@bnc.oxon.org.
2 295 P-less and 350 P-ful EGTs, with the usual caveat - positions with non-null casting rights not included.
3 There are 140 5-2, 200 4-3, 210 5-2p and 325 4-3p endgames. The caveat here - P-promotion was restricted to P=Q.
Chess Endgame Records (CER) is an evolving, annotated dataset (Haworth, 2013b) and summarises, for example as in Figure 1, the known and candidate maxDTx records to date. It now includes the 6-man maxDTC records established by Konoval and the 7m maxDTM records established by the Lomonosov team (Zakharov, 2013). The Lomonosov DTA data reinforces the author’s belief (Haworth, 2013d) that there is a discernable trend in the growth of maxDTM as the number of men on the board grows. There are also confirmed and candidate DTC/Z records for some parts of 7-man chess.

The dataset is also a partial reconstruction of the history of EGT generation since the concept was first formulated (Bellman, 1964; Knuth, 1968). It notes record achievements of the past, many of which exploited the available technology of the time to the limit. Given that thirty years have produced computers with a million times more power and memory, it is easy to forget this.

The table below shows maxDTv wins for White (Haworth, 2013b), "-" indicating 'loser to move'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m</th>
<th>wb</th>
<th>DTC: depth in plies</th>
<th>P-less</th>
<th>P-full</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>KPK</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>KPK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>KPK</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>KPK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>KPK</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>KPK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** maxDTx wins for White (Haworth, 2013b), '-' indicating 'loser to move'.
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