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[ Ritual] is less about giving voice to shared values than about opening fields of argument; about providing the terms and tropes, that is, through which people caught up in changing worlds may vex each other, question definitions of value, form alliances, and mobilize oppositions. (Comaroff & Comaroff 1993: xxiii).

ABSTRACT

In the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa, chronic economic uncertainty has seen social relations stretched to breaking point. Informants speak of a ‘war between men and women’. While grinding poverty, death in the shape of the ‘axe’ (HIV/AIDS) and suspicion stalk the land, and the project of building the umzi (homestead) falters, hope for the future and with it, trust between people, leaches away. One response to such uncertainty is a turn to ritual. Through a nearly relentless schedule of ritual activity which invokes the ancestors and the Christian deity in various forms, Xhosa people attempt to dam up trust, secure ongoing investment in the rural homestead and sustain ties of reciprocity both among rural people and between them and their urban kin. It is also through the staging of these rituals that women, acting together and in support of each other, are increasingly assertive – often in the face of a violent, rearguard opposition from men - in their efforts to exercise agency over the differentiated, fragmented and fragile social and economic relationships within their homesteads and across their villages.

INTRODUCTION

My overriding ethnographic research interest for over a decade has been to explore the myriad ways in which cattle are practically and discursively enmeshed in the everyday livelihoods, accumulation strategies and domestic struggles of the Xhosa-speaking people who live in the 70 or so villages of Peddie District (officially known as Ngqushwa Municipality) in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa. In what follows I explore how rural and urban-based men and women - through their selective engagement with specific cultural norms – contest the spaces opened up by their shared desire to fund, prepare for and perform rituals. I show how, as a whole, people across Peddie District rhetorically and practically link ritual to the central cultural tropes that stress mutual support and reciprocity at two levels, i.e. intra-homestead through ukwakh’umzi [to build the home] and inter-homestead masincedisane [let us help each other]. I suggest that their activities and interactions in the consumption of traditional beer, brandy and the meat of ritually slaughtered animals are geared towards performing and contesting these two cultural scripts.

The late Colin Murray’s (1981) penetrating analysis of the contested social reproduction of migrant-dominated households in rural Lesotho of nearly forty years ago, bears a certain resemblance to the situation in the rural Eastern Cape in the period ca.2001-2012. However, some things are rather different: for the people of Hobana2 village, situated along the coast an hour’s drive south-west by road from the port city of East London and some three hours from Port Elizabeth further to the west, a decade of
dramatic economic and social change, which has had overwhelmingly adverse impacts on the surety of migrant labour and thus on the embattled rural homestead, quite fundamental uncertainties abound.

One significant point of social fracture is between senior men and women. A significant proportion of the energies of rural, especially senior men (i.e. in terms of age and as intsika/entloko yekhaya, [lit. the pillar/head of the home]), has been directed at maintaining their position in the social life of the home and the village. One way to ensure this is through performing the culturally normative connection between slaughter rituals for ancestor veneration (or for Christians – for the ‘purposes of feeding those attending the funeral’) and the cattle that men control, that is, forging regularly and repeatedly in everyone’s minds the connection between cattle ownership, ritual slaughter to the ancestors and good fortune/well-being. Thus slaughter rituals go some way towards shoring up this enclave position (or in Appadurai’s [1986] terminology, to continuously (re)construct and delimit the ‘commodity candidacy’) of the ‘things of men’ in the rural economy: en bloc, senior men seek to retain the position of cattle (and goats), which they control and from which women are actively excluded, as goods that are symbolically central to the male-interceded and ancestor-endorsed, ritual well-being of the homestead and also of the wider community.

But the first decade of the twenty-first century, surprisingly perhaps - given the stark material deprivations and psychological indignities wrought by apartheid - more so than the preceding one, has thus far been unkind to these men. For the most part, people in rural South Africa are poor and getting poorer. Their homestead units are getting smaller and their offspring who are highly mobile between town and country, cannot be relied upon to support their natal homes (cf. Posel and Marx 2013). Except for a tiny minority, agriculture and livestock rearing in rural Peddie District is not a viable livelihood option, and a growing reliance on the post 1994 social grant ‘economy’ has emerged and rapidly become entrenched (Seekings 2011).³

For the majority of these men, in their increasingly atomised, desperate shuttling between town and rural areas, their shifting in and (increasingly permanently) out of stable employment, and in their struggles with alcohol, women, money, with their offspring and with each other, the hardship of life on the periphery is unrelenting and becoming ever more difficult (Ainslie 2005). The main source of cash income in the majority of their homesteads nowadays is the government old age pension or disability grant rather than the wages for what was in decades past onerous, often dangerous labour. In consequence, the economic position of senior men and thus to an increasing degree, also their social position, is under incredible strain (Bank 2002). It is so that some of these men prove to be unreliable, duplicitous and generally unequal to the task of individually shouldering the responsibilities they must in order to maintain their social status – in their homesteads and in the village - as intsika yekhaya and collectively as the voices of their communities. As a result, their corporate, patriarchal control of ritual performance is itself threatened. This further hampers their efforts to temper the chronic uncertainty of the times, and, in the process, to exercise control over women and young people in the fragmented, contested and thus fragile social and economic relationships and configurations that constitute homesteads, village community life and the ‘inbetween’ spaces that are ‘not village-not town’ (Ngwane 2003:696; White, 2011).
If senior men find themselves emasculated and their social position under threat, ‘their’ women are more assertive than ever – wives, unmarried women, widows and daughters, differ markedly from their menfolk in that they frequently find ways to act in mutual groups to support and drive a common social project (Bank 2001; Jones 1996). Many of these co-operative projects involve the performance of ritual, including the initiation ritual which transforms boys into men, for which the single mothers of initiates turn for financial support to their mutual group. Also in the case of funerals and other significant rituals, which may attract between 30 and 300 or more people, it is the cultural memory, the practical knowledge and the substantial labours of women working together, that underpin the funding, the extensive planning and preparations, and the successful performance of rituals.

In the section that follows, I briefly situate in both time and space the practice of rituals in rural places like Peddie District, showing how – when compared to McAllister’s work in the same province (a mere 250kms east of Peddie) - geography and the shifting nature of economic marginalisation shape different people’s commitment to ritual practice (Bank and Minkley 2005; cf. Boissevain 1984).

In the second and main section of this paper, I explore the reciprocities which exist in all villages to distribute the relatively high costs associated with hosting rituals across local social networks. These ‘masincedisane’ mechanisms include local burial societies and buying clubs which are almost exclusively the preserve of women. These groups play an important role in building and maintaining relations between homesteads and wider kin in rural areas, in the overall context of increased residential fluidity and urban-rural straddling. In the case of better-resourced and better-educated households, these societies and clubs themselves tend to straddle rural and urban areas, and constitute an important economic and social bridge between the rural village and the town(s) and city beyond.

In the third section of this paper, I briefly examine the rituals that were conducted in Hobana village over a 12 month period during the calendar year of 2001. I consider which rituals were performed most frequently and what role women working together played in them.

I conclude the paper with the observation that the hosting of rituals serves multiple purposes, not least of which is to underscore the position of the host homestead within the networks of kinship, reciprocity and social affiliation/distance in the village. Hosting a ritual has probably always been a finely balanced social, economic and cultural performance that must, on the one hand, be carefully orchestrated to achieve its effects (see De Wet 1995; cf. Hughes-Freeland & Crain 1998; Crain 1998), but on the other hand, it is a political act of asserting control over the work and attention of others. Increasingly, it is women who, working in close co-operation with each other, are asserting greater control over this culturally vital space.

SITUATING RITUAL PRACTICE

For understanding ritual practice, as in all things social, history matters. Without the space here to review the lasting impact of the devastating colonial and apartheid history of this region (see Mager 1992, 1999; Switzer 1993; Ainslie 1999), I present a potted
history of ritual in the Eastern Cape Province by revisiting McAllister’s useful distinction between what he calls ancestor or kinship rituals on the one hand, and beer drinks on the other hand (McAllister 1997). Based on fieldwork conducted over more than 25 years in the agriculturally active Shixini area of Willowvale District in the former Transkei, McAllister (1980:211; 2001: 183) argued that ancestor rituals were based on clan and kinship ties, with significant elements played out in the cattle enclosure (widely referred to as the ‘kraal’) of each homestead (‘umzi’ pl. imizi). He claimed that these rituals ‘had little relevance for the nature of everyday social interaction…[and had] a relatively atemporal and formal character’ (see also McAllister 1997). Unlike beer drinks, these ancestor rituals had a fairly fixed structure in which oratory and a predetermined spatial sequence featured prominently so as to

‘…stress genealogical order, the unity of the kin group and the relationship between living and dead, and [had] a timeless quality, largely unrelated to the actual process of everyday life, which [was] based on territorial relations and co-operation between neighbours.’ (McAllister 2001: 183).

More significantly, McAllister (1997: 282) also argued that the oratory at these rituals not only ‘communicates information about the ritual, but also about values and norms, group boundaries, hierarchies [including gender hierarchies] and social distance, and degrees of inclusion and exclusion’. These rituals were concerned more with normative structural relationships and tended to exclude, or at least clearly delineate, non-kin who may nonetheless be important to the host homestead in everyday situations.

In contrast, the beer drinks he proceeded to study in considerable detail ‘differ[ed] from ancestor rituals…[especially with regard to] the active role of neighbours and local territorial groups’ in the ritual. It was these non-kin participants, McAllister argued, who were more important than kin and clanspeople in overcoming the practical problems of everyday rural life in Shixini, and this was what made beer drinks so interesting. He suggested that, in sharp contrast to ancestor rituals, beer drinks were fora for discussing community affairs, and as manifestations of the local community in action, they allowed for the expression of important social principles, including ‘locality, neighbourliness, co-operation, sharing and the interdependence of homesteads’ (McAllister 1997: 299, 303).

Why should this apparently sharp structural disjuncture between ancestor rituals and beer drinks have existed in people’s ritual practice in Shixini? McAllister argued that it had to do with the important change, since about the 1920s, in the ways in which the maintenance of local economic activity in Shixini had come to depend less on kinship and more on co-operative labour and the pooling of resources between neighbouring homesteads. He linked this to the break-up of what were previously larger, extended homesteads which, coupled with the increase in male labour migration around that time, meant that individual homesteads became more dependent on others, especially on their immediate neighbours, for their economic survival (McAllister 1997: 296; Manona 1999).

McAllister expounded upon what he saw as a more generalised transition from ancestor rituals to beer drinks that followed from a shift from kinship to neighbourhood and a sense of community as ‘the major organising principle’ (McAllister 1997: 305-6; cf. Bell 1997: 255).
When applied to the ethnographic data I have collected in Peddie District over the period 2001-2012 on the performance of rituals, McAllister’s binary distinction between ancestor rituals (stressing the kin group) and beer drinks (emphasising the more economically significant, everyday relations based on neighbourhood) seems to work less well. I identify four reasons why this might be so. First, in relation to agrarian production, I found that there is far less ‘agrarian-based’ co-operation and interdependence between homesteads in rural Peddie District than McAllister found in Shixini. This relates to the dramatic decline in agricultural activity, especially in field cultivation, across Peddie District since the early 1950s, so that by the mid-1970s, there was virtually no field cultivation except along the coast where the rainfall is higher. In fact, because of population pressure, there is widespread (arable) landlessness among rural homesteads. This, I suggest, undermined any vestige of an agrarian interdependence between rural people.

By comparison to this alarming decline in agrarian production, the coastal village of Hobana has managed to retain a relatively buoyant agricultural sector. This is partly because (some) households were allocated two-hectare plots of land, which were large enough to be subdivided for residential and arable purposes. In consequence, during the 2000/01 growing season as many as 39% of the 254 homesteads in Hobana village ploughed and planted their arable ‘allotments’ (often equivalent in size to a home garden) to maize, other field-crops (oats, legumes, etc.) and vegetables (beans, potatoes, etc.). However, only a small number of these homesteads did so by participating in ploughing companies and other co-operative work parties that McAllister found to be common in Shixini. Thus even in Hobana, with its higher levels of agrarian activity than elsewhere in Peddie District, the tasks relating to agrarian cultivation are individualised and commoditised. While I found a small number of homesteads that practise forms of non-monetised exchange of factors of production, specifically isahlulo [share-cropping], the majority of households pay to have their fields ploughed and planted by ox or tractor-drawn plough. Most people also pay for weeding services that are provided by women who, while they often work in groups, are paid a daily rate on an individual basis.

To summarise then, the emphasis in agrarian production in rural Peddie District has shifted in two respects (cf. Bank & Qambata 1999; Ainslie 2005). First, in line with a generalised pattern in the rural Eastern Cape, a shift has taken place towards homestead autonomy in terms of engagement in productive activities. Indeed, most cultivation occurs in the more private space of people’s home gardens, some of which were barely big enough to warrant ploughing with oxen or by tractor (cf. Bank 2002: 637). Second, a more significant shift is evident in which most rural homesteads have moved from being sites of productive agrarian activity to constituting sites of consumption (Ainslie 1998; Ngwane 2003: 699). These two factors taken together mean that I found that the kinds of beer drinks that are so vividly described by McAllister as an integral part of co-operative agrarian activities in Shixini were virtually non-existent in Peddie District, because the co-operative element itself is lacking.4

A second significant difference when compared to Shixini, and allied to the decline in field-scale agricultural activity, is the fact that in Peddie District there was an earlier decline in the proportion of men engaged in classic, long-distance migrant labour. Instead, men as household heads are either relatively close at hand, being residents of towns and cities in the region or are resident in the village. Unlike the many men (and
women) in places like Shixini who continue – against the odds - to be employed as long-distance migrants in urban centres and distant mines, and who are thus still plugged into the migrant labour system, town-based Peddie people are less inclined to enter into formal arrangements with their neighbours and kinsmen to keep an eye on their homesteads, arable lands and livestock. This more local habitation, coupled to their almost inevitable reliance on the post-1994 social welfare economy (see below), has fostered an emphasis on economic independence and autonomy at the homestead level, with negative implications for ‘everyday’ mutual assistance and reciprocity between homesteads, at least as far as men are concerned. The subsequent substantial outmigration of women and younger people to regional towns and cities from the late 1990s has in part shifted this balance, as homesteads with insufficient child labour to carry out domestic chores (firewood collection, cooking and herding) are forced to turn for assistance to homesteads with an excess of such labour.

A third reason is that while , the local economy in Peddie District is heavily reliant on sources of income derived from outside the district, investing in one’s home village is not as attractive as it might once have been (Ainslie 2005, 1999). Primary among the sources of income are state-provided old age pensions, disability and child support grants, and grants for people infected with HIV/AIDS. Remittances – although not always regular - from those family members employed in urban centres are important ‘top-ups’ for many rural households. With most reliable, longer-term employment opportunities – which are in diminishing supply - in the region being town-based, people have had to invest in their extended social networks. There exist elaborate and long-standing patterns of rural-urban oscillation between rural Peddie and especially the cities of Port Elizabeth and East London. Mobility between town and country plays a critical role in the circulation of resources, people and information about job opportunities and social news, including of deaths, funerals, weddings and other ritual events to be held in rural villages or in town. While, as White (2011:109) puts it, ‘men and women alike are propelled into highly mobile quests for private income,’ as far as rural villagers are concerned, the village homestead remains an anchor, a reference point, and thus the ultimate purpose of this mobility is to provide a steady stream of resources in cash and in kind to rural homesteads. Their aim is to constantly seek to bring the town closer to the village (Ainslie 2005; Bank 2002). For village-based homesteads, there are thus powerful economic, cultural and social reasons for seeking to keep town-based household and lineage members involved in the affairs of the rural umzi.

Economic downturn has had a differential impact on rural homesteads in Peddie District. On the one hand, it has widened socio-economic differences between homesteads in the villages and put further pressure on the homestead economic independence that is a feature of people’s livelihoods in rural Peddie District. This has generated social tensions as poorer individuals and homesteads apply moral pressure on wealthier homesteads to assist them materially, principally by invoking the masincedisane norm. Compared to the situation in other Peddie villages, and for reasons I won’t explore here, these socio-economic cleavages in Hobana are particularly marked and this has found expression in the relatively higher number of slaughter rituals – which on an individual basis have a higher redistributive effect than beer drinks – conducted by wealthier homesteads in Hobana. Not that beer drinks are unimportant in respect of the commensality they enact, and in supplementing the diets of poorer people. In Hobana, there are a number of poorer people for whom survival
from week to week is partly dependent on attending every beer drink held in the village, where they consume significant amounts of nutritious mqombothi [home-brewed sorghum beer] and might be fortunate enough to be served a meal, sometimes in exchange for providing a minor task of one sort or another.

A fourth feature of life in Peddie (and presumably this is also the case in Shixini) is something which is part of a longer pattern that has been in evidence since at least the mid-1980s and earlier (see Mager 1999), but which has become even more accentuated following the 1994 democratic transition. This is the steady rise in the economic independence and growing assertiveness of especially younger, better-educated women, which has reached a social tipping point. These are women who have found skilled employment as teachers, nurses and government employees, i.e. positions of real social authority, and have increasingly sought to challenge the patriarchal power of senior men in the private sphere of the homestead and the local public sphere of the village. Older women who, since the mid-1990s, have qualified for old age pensions at age 60, i.e. five years earlier than men (who, until very recently, only qualified at 65 years of age), have also found their social position bolstered vis-à-vis men in general. It becomes clear that these developments – coupled with the tensions caused by the relentless spread of HIV/AIDS - have thrown gender and generational relations in the household and the village, already fractured, into further and significant disarray (cf. Ngwane 2003; Daily Dispatch August 2007).

These serious disruptions in normative relations between differently situated people that are played out socially and economically, appear to require some form of public expression. One unfortunate form is that of widespread, searing domestic and social violence. In 1996-1997, in the other village in which I conducted doctoral fieldwork, four elderly women accused of being witches and responsible for the suspicious death of a ‘healthy’ young woman, were severely beaten by a group of young men in the community and then held hostage in a hut until they were ‘ready’ to confess to their supposed crime. The young men were finally persuaded, through the intercession of the police, to release the terrified women. Witchcraft beliefs remain a serious matter and a source of anxiety for many people in the villages of Peddie and indeed across the province as they go about their daily lives (on witchcraft in South Africa, see Comaroff & Comaroff 1999; Ashforth 1998; Niehaus, 2001, 2005). Rape and domestic violence against women have reached truly appalling levels.

NEW SPACES FOR WOMEN, STASIS FOR MEN

In her incisive study of the Marakwet of Kenya, Henrietta Moore noted that ‘spatial representations express in their own logic the power relations between different groups; they [spatial representations] are therefore active instruments in the production and reproduction of the social order’ (Moore 1986:89). Elsewhere she argues that meanings are not inherent in the organisation of domestic space, but must be continuously ‘invoked through the activities of social actors’, an observation which resonates with the approach put forward by the Comaroffs quoted earlier (see Moore 1986:9; see also Ferguson 1992) and which is evident in the emerging residential arrangements in Hobana village.

The Xhosa umzi (homestead, pl. imizi) is, first and foremost, the (residential) site on which the rituals of an agnatically bounded group of patrilineally related kin are
conducted, always under the watchful eye of a male homestead head and his agnatic elders. What this definition means is that many of the woman-headed households (except those headed by widows), are disqualified from being recognised socially as imizi. A 34-year-old single mother, Nomakutele, who was a resident of a newer section of Hobana village put it plainly when she told me that she went to her father’s family home in Hobana to conduct rituals (her father himself had relocated to another village after he divorced her mother). ‘Any cow that I [caused to be] slaughtered at my mother’s home would not bellow’, she told me, ‘because those [people] are not my ancestors.’

Whilst town-based umzi members are not reluctant participants in village-centred ritual practice, there are differences in their levels of engagement: the unmarried/separated ‘daughters’ of village homesteads identify most strongly with their rural umzi and value highly their association with their home village. This rests partly on their need to maintain a rural base for young children of school-going age, but it is clear that women continue to do much of the kin- and community-building work. This is demonstrated through their regular visits and their material contributions to their rural homes.

‘Feminised’ residential spaces

Over the past 20 years, women and some economically and socially marginal, unmarried or separated men had set up their own households in ever-increasing numbers in ‘inbetween spaces’ in Hobana village. Often this has consisted of nothing more than a one-roomed, corrugated tin shack and a minimally fenced ‘site’, with improvements gradually being undertaken as or if, income streams improve. The ‘informal’ settlement area to the west of the village, known as eNdlovini [lit. the place of the elephant, i.e. a place taken by force], is very much in this mould, and has existed since local people ‘invaded’ there in 1993 and erected their shacks on this land. In contrast, and indeed partially in response to the social and infrastructural unruliness of eNdlovini, the small, 33-unit ‘Reconstruction and Development Programme’ (RDP) block-plan housing development, (known as Phola Park, after the infamously violent township settlement in Gauteng Province) was completed in 1999, right in the centre of the village.

On the face of it, what these two settlements represent is a significant ‘decompression’ of the congestion hitherto experienced within the established homesteads in Hobana. This was what everyone I interviewed about these housing developments in Hobana told me. Within the 245 occupied households in the five established village sections, long-fraught social relations were reaching breaking point in overcrowded, economically insecure and thus tense domestic units. Those who could find work in the cities had left the village and the local economic situation was so dire that the local municipal council applied for ‘RDP’ funding to initiate a housing project that would address this problem, and create some employment in construction in the process.

Both eNdlovini and Phola Park threw a lifeline to the many local people, especially single and separated women, but also young men. The upshot was a small but significant rise in households that were not only smaller in terms of their numbers of household members in these new settlements, but were also often socially and economically more precariously placed. The establishment of both settlements were resisted by senior men who recognised in them their further loss of control over once
subordinate categories of people – women and young men. In both cases, they couched their objection in the language of the loss of grazing land for their cattle – when what they really meant was that their control over the labour and incomes of the members of their *imizi* was being further undermined and diminished.

Senior men complained bitterly yet perceptively of the inappropriateness of ‘bringing the township to the village’ and about a loosening of morals, and in a sense, they were vindicated: a number of the women, upon being handed the keys to their new RDP houses, promptly opened *shebeens*, while a few were kept in pocket by what were euphemistically called ‘boyfriends’, i.e. relationships based on varying degrees of sex-for-money, favours or presents. One issue that men pointed to, certainly in Phola Park although less so in *eNdlovini*, is that the sites are so small that there is no space to construct a cattle byre (kraal or enclosure) and therefore no place to keep cattle. This meant that the houses here are unlikely to ever be regarded as *imizi*, i.e. real homesteads – the sorts of places where senior men would attend, and through their attendance endorse, the rituals of the members of that homestead. Be that as it may, there is also plenty of evidence of women, in their newfound freedom, co-operating with each other to get by, to the virtual exclusion of men.

When I interviewed her, Nomakutele lived with her 10-year old daughter in her two-roomed ‘RDP’ house in *Phola Park*. She told me that prior to moving to there, they had lived with her mother in a one-roomed mud-brick house in the village. She still visited her mother daily to assist her with domestic chores. Nomakutele said that there was ‘no space to conduct *imisebenzi* (rituals) at my new house’ and nor was the place where her mother lived a ‘home’. By this she meant that these places do not constitute recognised Xhosa homesteads. For these reasons, she said, once she got the ‘title’ for her new house, her plan was to sell it and use the proceeds to build another house in the village, on her mother’s site, which was adjacent to her mother’s family’s site. Crucially, this would allow them to enter into the formal network in of *imizi*-centred reciprocal relations of hosting, and assisting with, rituals.

*Women’s greater capacity to socialise the flows of money*

This phenomenon of an increasing number of (smaller) households within rural village communities has become common in much of Peddie District (see Ainslie 1998). Some of this was undoubtedly driven by the government’s use of the nuclear (or single mother headed) household as the unit of choice for social welfare and development programmes. Thus the delivery of ‘RDP’ houses to individual households resulted in bigger family units breaking up in respect of their residential arrangements, i.e. atomising expressly to gain access to the housing benefits on offer. The women that were striking out independently included unmarried, separated and sometimes long-widowed women, emerging from unequal, patriarchal marital and extended social relations in both rural villages and urban settings. They frequently had different social and economic priorities to men and were less inclined to ‘waste money’, as they saw it, on liquor for instance, but also on livestock that ‘died in the drought’. Indeed, many people, both men and women, in rural Peddie District claimed that women ‘held onto’ money better and made it ‘stretch’ further than men.

Although some women succumb to alcoholism and wastefulness, it would seem that, on the whole, they are more inclined than men to be thrifty and socially and
entrepreneurially minded. Perhaps this is because they take greater responsibility as the primary/only providers for their dependent children. Certainly it is because, on the whole, women tend to display greater interest in apparently ‘feminised’ forms of saving and are comfortable dealing in cash, such that, by working together in church groups, rotating credit associations (imigalelo), burial societies and other savings clubs, women both encourage and enforce a discipline upon each other into acting with a remarkable level of financial probity.

Wherever practicable, women rely on formal bank accounts in which to hold their money. Rather than invest in cattle, their patterns of consumption indicate an altogether more cash-based approach through which they are more integrated into the market and banking system of the ‘formal’ economy than many men, who tend to harbour a distrust of banks and dislike having to talk to each other about money. Women are conversant with, and less inclined to be suspicious of, new ‘technologies’, such as automated bank tellers and mobile telephone technology. Women who hail from villages in Peddie District, but who work in towns and cities in the region, are often members of burial societies and imigalelo there, something that contributes to women’s greater financial literacy even though their formal education levels do not differ markedly from men.

The policing of ritual spaces

Hosting a ritual, especially one which explicitly invokes the ancestors, acts as a rallying call for the extended patrilineally related kin group. Family members, no matter how geographically dispersed they may be (as far afield as Johannesburg and Cape Town), ignore this call to assist and to participate at their peril. Performing a ritual allows for assessments of where the often dispersed members of this ‘agnatic cluster’ currently stand in relation to each other. In the process, social constructs that are mobilised during ritual practice include notions about the nature of the ‘family’, ‘tradition’ and ‘home’, and associated expectations of appropriate behaviour and support on the part of the individuals or groups are variously delineated by these constructs (Ngwane 2003; White 2011:110-1).

Perhaps not surprising, given what I have suggested above, two readily discernible fault-lines of these attempts at ‘naturalisation through ritual’ are those of gender and generation. I found that a strict adherence to a gender division of labour in the preparation and performance of rituals to be common in rural Peddie District. Moreover, a constant regulation of space during all rituals, in the sense of policing which people are occupying what spaces, is a feature of this ‘enactment of power’.

Why should this be so important? It is because each slaughter ritual must be sanctioned by the ancestors, something which is signalled by the bellowing of the sacrificial beast just before it is killed. The ever-present threat that the beast will not bellow, because the ancestors are displeased about something or someone’s behaviour, is enough to stop the ritual in its tracks, putting paid to the many months of planning, days of preparation and extensive travel by many of those present. In consequence of this stipulation, which is scrupulously adhered to, each instance of a slaughter ritual provides a potent, heightened sense of drama and an arena for potential public shaming and serious social consternation.

Meticulous differentiation of people in respect of what space they occupy during rituals is undertaken on the basis of several criteria: kinship, affiliation to the homestead
conducted the ritual, age and gender. Senior men, for instance, consistently dominate the formal speeches given during rituals. Speakers always stand to address those present, while everyone else in the kraal or rontawu (round hut, often reserved for ritual observances) is expected to be seated. Where a man who is not the eldest non-kin man rises to respond to a speech, he will be admonished and told to sit down. Sometimes, there is a long interruption to proceedings while people wait for the appropriate man to arrive who will address the gathering. The youngest man present has to surrender his seat immediately when an older man enters the kraal or beer drink venue, or find himself berated by the other men present. All other men younger than the person entering have to shuffle along to make available an appropriate place, gauged in terms of the newcomer’s position in the age-ranking and kinship system. In the cattle kraal, members of the agnatic cluster hosting the ritual and their fellow clansmen sit to the right of the kraal entrance while other men sit to the left. At an indoor beer drink, men are always seated on the right and the women on the left, as seen from outside, facing the entrance to the rontawu.

The same principle applies to the seating arrangements of women which also follow generational seniority, although women often sit on their mats on the floor. Women are not allowed to enter the kraal during a ritual, except if they are patrilineally related to, i.e. are considered ‘daughters’ of, the host family. No amankazana [unmarried mothers] are supposed to attend a beer drink, unless they are older women (younger amankazana do attend, of course, but are expected to stay out of view). I was told that women are not allowed to stay indoors once the meat has been cooked and served at a slaughter ritual. Instead, they have to congregate outside in the courtyard, where they eat their food.

The division of meat, brandy, mqombothi and purchased/bottled beer, is done on the basis of age and gender, overseen by a respected man who holds this position of injoli [apportioner]. Amaxhego [old men] get the biggest share of the available brandy and mqombothi. In general, abafazi [women] are treated as an undifferentiated group when the injoli makes his allocations. The women are seated around the home, not near the kraal. Once the women’s allocation is brought over to them, senior women will oversee the allocations among the different age categories of women present.

Although Moore (1986:171) argues that ‘[t]he ability of the dominant model to reproduce itself [rests on] its ability to retain control over the principles of the construction of reality, to frame all competing constructions within its own definitions, [and] to maintain and control the socially dominant representations’, slippages in this ‘ritualised enactment of power’ are also apparent. As soon as they can, young men will move to a different room or flat on the same property, away from the attentions of their fathers and senior kinsmen, to consume their share of the mqombothi, brandy and bottled beer. By removing themselves from the site of the ritual, they are also circumventing the scrutiny of their every move by the senior men. Once isolated, there often emerge additional supplies of lager and brandy, as well as gin, for their private consumption.

Similarly, younger unmarried girls may be allocated a bottle or two of brandy or gin to share among themselves. They are required to consume this share discreetly, behind the main house and without inconveniencing the women working there or drawing undue male attention to themselves. The reason that they are given some alcohol, I was told, is
that they might refuse to attend rituals in future if their repeated requests for alcohol are ignored.

The work of ritual

Besides ritual occasions, three other important sites for social interactions at the community level were community meetings (including school meetings), church services and weekly oomanyano (women’s prayer groups), but all of these generally only involve certain categories of people in the village. I contend that it is precisely the hosting of rituals, rather than co-operative agricultural production, that has become the quintessential ‘co-operative’ work that people constituting dispersed rural and urban households share as they seek to overcome the ‘tyranny of distanced separation’ (Sansom 1981:98). In this context, the ritual has become the key performance, with the ancestors themselves harnessed to ensure that the mystique of tradition and otherworldliness is invoked to influence and mould the present.

While rooted in older, more normative, cultural and ritual forms, what the current pattern of ritual practice in rural Peddie District demonstrates is that the need exists for regular opportunities to enact social relations between kin and between neighbours and other villagers, resident and absent from the village, that will build reciprocity and trust between imizi [homesteads] – what I call the ritual as work party. Socially, ancestor rituals, beer drinks and other ceremonies are about making use of, and in the process building and re-affirming, bonds of kinship, neighbourliness and reciprocity. But it is the relative absence of both (i) overlapping relationships predicated on everyday co-operative, agrarian production and (ii) of a residentially stable rural population, that marks rituals as distinct here. Rituals in Peddie are themselves harnessed to do the work of instructing a specially constituted group of people, one that is ordinarily dislocated and fragmented, how they should act towards each other. Rituals also do the work of defining and redefining kinship, clanship, neighbourliness, in short, community, in relation to the past, the present and the future.

There is a further angle to this notion of ‘ritual as work party’. As slaughter rituals became more elaborate and costly, it has become necessary to engage other households in their preparation. Both the costs and the labour required to host what many rural people regard as important slaughter rituals are increasingly difficult for individual homesteads to fund and organise alone. This is particularly so for funerals which, by their nature, can seldom be planned in advance and which can attract people from far and wide. In the village context, this makes the actual work of hosting rituals a necessarily co-operative undertaking by local and even town-based people, most of them women, who are assembled for the task of successfully planning and undertaking all the preparations for the ritual.

The frequent enlisting of local kinswomen and neighbours from poorer households to help with the preparations for a ritual plays an important role in both recognising and subverting economic (and class) distinctions between individuals and households. By enlisting the unpaid help of neighbours, it could be said that wealthier host imizi are exploiting their labour in pursuit of conspicuous consumption for their own benefit. However, such co-operation also provides valuable opportunities for women not only to work together both intensively and on a relatively equal footing, but also affords them opportunities, through their conversations and interactions over the course of several
days, to exchange information, to discuss local problems and to mull over the stories and scandals that have come to light in other villages or in ‘town’. In this way, they actively share and reconstitute common, locally-informed understandings of social practice, all the while strengthening networks of mutual support across the very real cleavages of socio-economic difference, both within the village and between town and village-based women. Thus ritual ‘work parties’ served as important sites of sociality by providing the space, especially for women, to socialise and to work together (cf. Hughes-Freeland & Crain 1998: 6).

The presence and participation of other villagers at a ritual is no a small matter either. Critically, other villagers are implicated in many of the ritual practices of each homestead in the village. Important to the success of the ritual are the contributions of alcohol and money from extended family members, from *imigalelo* [rotating credit clubs] and, in the case of funerals, from burial societies. *Imigalelo* clubs, virtually the exclusive preserve of women, are prominent during particular phases of women, are prominent during particular phases of rituals.

A key principle with regard to the role of other villagers during rituals is that of *ukuzimasa* [to participate] and *ukungqina* [to witness], which means to endorse and legitimise the ritual by attending the ritual event, and crucially through commensality, by consuming some of the drink and food prepared for the occasion. Witnessing involves listening to the male host’s (sometimes cryptic) explanation of what event has given rise to this ritual and giving a formal response to this explanation. This response is given by the most senior man present who is not related to the host *umzi*.

Through their attendance and participation, non-kin local people thus contribute to ‘building the homestead’ by recognising the host homestead (and its ancestors) as one of their own, legitimising its members, and their activities and bearing witness to its ritual and moral projects (cf. Wilson *et al.* 1952: 206). For this reason, neighbours and other villagers are not expressly invited to a ritual. They are simply expected to attend.

The offerings of *mgombothi* [sorghum beer] and meat, besides their ritual purpose, are a means of encouraging attendance by other people who are not involved in the work of organising and hosting the ritual. Critically, it is the attendance by large numbers of other people, especially members of ‘respectable’ local families and town-based people, that provide the sought after ‘noise’ generated when many people socialise together. This ‘noise’ is an indication of the status of the host *umzi* and shows the ancestors that the host homestead is respected and being ‘built’ by the entire village. In contrast, the clearest sign of a socially marginal homestead is the small number of people, and the virtual absence of non-kin people, at the rituals they host.

**RITUAL PRACTICE IN HOBANA VILLAGE**

None of the above should be taken to suggest that the hosting of rituals among Xhosa-speaking rural people is timeless and unchanging since, as I have argued above, it clearly is not (Wilson 1978). Indeed, ritual hybridisation and innovation, as well as contingent adjustments, are all in evidence here (Mtuze 2003: 88ff). As Bell (1997: 256) suggests, what people do ritually and regard as tradition is ‘usually a rather new synthesis of custom and accommodation’ (see White 2011).

*Building the home* in a resource-poor rural environment requires the continued flow and investment of material resources, people and information from town to rural villages.
The sprucing-up of the homestead (additional building, renovating and painting) prior to hosting a ritual is a clear, if superficial, expression of this investment. Building the home also requires maintaining relationships between kin and wider social networks and between town and country, thereby ensuring that one’s rituals are well-attended. In the village context, building the home requires engaging one’s neighbours in cooperative projects. This was because Xhosa people say that ‘akukho isiko elinako kuqhubeke abantu bengekho’ [if there are no people present, a ritual cannot proceed/succeed]. These days, such projects place an emphasis on the display, sharing and highly repetitive consumption of the same, specified material resources. As recurring consumptive events, rituals fulfill this role well. The only households that seldom conduct rituals – other than funerals which few people attend – are those who constitute the ‘very poor’.

A significant number of homesteads in Hobana are involved in conducting or materially supporting a wide range of rituals in their respective villages and beyond. While many of these rituals exhibit a dominant Christian character, some indicated the continued relevance of ancestor veneration (Mtuze 2003: 84; cf. Pauw 1974: 433,436-7). Pauw (1974: 437-8) discusses the complementarity, or at least, the lack of conceptual conflict, that existed between Christian and ‘traditional’ religious/ritual practices. The most important of the ancestor rituals, from the point of view of my informants (both men and women) in Hobana, are mortuary rituals. Besides the actual funeral, the two main mortuary rituals that involved the ancestors are ukukhapa [to accompany] and ukubuyisa [to bring home]. Both of these related to the death of the male – and only the male – homestead head and are generally not conducted openly by Christian families. I found that Christian belief did not always mean people felt barred from conducting the rituals that are specifically linked to the ancestors, just that they had to finesse their reasons for doing so. For the members of some denominations, notably the Seventh Day Adventists, hosting slaughter rituals was unacceptable (see below).

The rituals that people perform include the slaughter rituals that mark rites of passage (especially the rather fraught male circumcision ritual – see Kepe 2010) or other stages in the life-cycle of individuals, and beer-drinks through which people communicate with their ancestors, marked transitions in their life-cycles, or ‘just socialise’ with their neighbours (cf. Hammond-Tooke 1985: 55). Other ‘feasts’ and ceremonies, such as weddings, graduation parties and the unveiling of tombstones, are generally the preserve of a far smaller group of wealthier households. Here, the norm is to slaughter a cow or ox (and sometimes one or more sheep), to ‘feed people’, i.e. without an express or explicit invocation of the patrilineal ancestors. While they are not strictly ancestor rituals, these ceremonies nevertheless follow much the same pattern, in terms of a gendered and generational mobilisation and division of labour during the preparatory, performative and consumptive phases of the ritual. Also, it is worth noting that not all rituals require that cattle specifically be slaughtered in order to communicate with the ancestors. Beer drinks are also ritual occasions at which, for a variety of reasons, supplication of the ancestors is sought. Also, people adapt the actual performance of normative ritual practices to what is affordable and manageable at the current time and, as long as this is communicated to the ancestors during proceedings, all should be well (cf. White 2011). Furthermore, most rural people readily accept that different clans and families have slightly different ritual practices and ways of conducting what is a common set of rituals. Needless to say, there is no textbook which lists the precise procedures that should be followed during the conducting of rituals. Rather, older
people (specifically older men) are the repositories of knowledge about how the family rituals should be conducted (Wilson 1978: 158).

Other rituals that involve propitiating the ancestors in times of distress might also require the slaughtering of a cow or ox, although substitution with a goat, as directed by an izzazione [diviner], is more common here. In fact, these rituals are often done at the behest of amagqirha [diviners] who have been consulted in connection with a specific problem (which often presents with physical symptoms and afflictions) experienced by a member or members of the household (Hirst 1997).

My research points to an increase in the number of rituals that younger, employed women are instigating these days by, for example, dreaming of their ancestors who claim to be thirsty, or by organising a beer drink to thank their ancestors for their success in securing employment. Once their families have agreed to host a ritual, these women often take the lead in the preparations and purchases for and the hosting of the subsequent rituals (especially beer drinks, but also slaughter rituals). Bank (2002: 648) observed a similar pattern emerging in Mooiplaas Location near East London, and has argued that by taking the lead in ritual and custom, ‘[Xhosa] women are seeking to authenticate their new-found material power by embedding it in a deeper set of meanings, values and activities that increasingly locate these women at the social centre of the community’. Women must, however, still defer to a male relative since a senior man must conduct the ancestral invocation, communicate with the people assembled for the ritual and lead the men who will conduct the actual slaughter, cooking and carving up of the meat.

While recognising that women and young people increasingly contest the dominance of senior men in ritual practice, we should take care to delineate the limits of this: we should ask which women are involved, and in relation to which aspects and types of ritual practice such challenges occur. I suggest that we should be careful not to lump all women together, not least because this downplays important social differences that exist between women, such as differences in age, marital status, kinship affiliation in relation to the ritual at hand, their main, current place of residence (rural or urban) or their social standing in other ways. Similarly, economic standing, centred on educational and employment status, and religious affiliation, are important in plotting the different roles that women are able to take up in the context of ritual and social life more generally. We thus need to clearly delineate and assess the different trajectories of change and upward mobility – and indeed impoverishment - between women. Many if not all of these social differences must also be applied to a nuanced analysis of the role of men in ritual.

More than 103 separate rituals and ceremonies were held in Hobana village during the 12-month-period November 2000 to October 2001. This means that a significant proportion of the homesteads in the village hosted a ritual in that period. Clearly, however, not every household conducted a ritual during the course of the year in question. In fact, since some rituals commonly take place in succession, in a number of instances it was the same subset of households that was responsible for organising a particular sequence of rituals that were explicitly linked to each of their own preceding rituals. These rituals ranged from only brewing mqombothi to the slaughter of an animal, the provision of mqombothi and brandy and the preparation and consumption of other food. Certain rituals had the appearance of discrete occasions, but were in fact linked to other rituals that had been conducted before and still other rituals that were
The rituals conducted in Hobana included 28 different types of ritual (or distinct phases of a ‘multi-stage’ ritual), with the most commonly held ancestor rituals centred on the complex of mortuary rites, including *mingcwabo* [funerals], and the *ukukhapa* and *ukubuyisa* ancestor rituals mentioned above (see Mtuze 2003: 25). Other rituals that were part of this complex were *ukutshayelela inkundla* [to sweep the courtyard] ritual, *ukunxiba/ukukulula izila* [to wear/remove mourning clothes], and *ukucitha impahla* [to disperse the clothes of the deceased]. These various rituals associated with mortuary rites took place on 29 different occasions during the 12-month period. Other rituals, which usually involved only the brewing of *mqombothi* and the consumption of brandy, included *ukuphuma* [to come out, to graduate], which informed the wider community about a particular person’s change in social status, *ukwazisa* [to make known] a new home to the ancestors and community, *ukuvula mzi* [to open a new home], *umtshato* and *umendo* [wedding ceremonies] and *ukucitha iintsimbi* [to cast aside the beads of an ancestor who was a diviner].

In 43 cases out of the 103 rituals I recorded, the ritual consisted only of the provision of *mqombothi* and brandy (and generally some bottled ‘SAB’ [South African Breweries] lager as well). This meant that 42% of all the rituals did not involve the slaughter of an animal. Of the 29 rituals conducted in Hobana at which at least one cow or ox was slaughtered during the 12-month period in question, 14 (or 48%) involved mortuary rituals. Nine of the 14 mortuary rituals were funerals, comprising a Christian service, with a cow or ox slaughtered on the pretext of ‘feeding the mourners’ present. On two separate occasions, two cows were slaughtered for this purpose, such were the numbers of people who attended the funeral. Seven of the nine funerals held over the period recorded were to bury elderly women and two were for men (one of these was a man in his early forties). The remaining five rituals that involved slaughtering an ox comprised the ancestor rituals, *ukukhapa* (two occasions) and *ukubuyisa* (three occasions), which were hosted by widows for their deceased husbands.

People in rural Peddie District do indeed place great emphasis on mortuary rituals, whether these were done in terms of Christian burials or more ‘traditional’ Xhosa burial rites, or commonly as a hybrid of these two forms. Funerals are important social occasions and people attend from far and wide. The funeral of an elderly person from a well-known family might be attended by a few hundred people and involve considerable expense for the bereaved family. This has given rise to an industry in which funeral policies and membership of local burial societies provide some financial security and solace to the bereaved family, and have come to feature prominently in the livelihood decisions and social activities of many households (see Lee 2011).

There is widespread preference for burying deceased family members in their home villages wherever this is possible and practicable, even if they have spent most of their adult lives living away from the village. The village is where their ancestors are believed to reside, specifically in the kraal of their home *umzi*, and this is where their cultural roots are. This practice makes funerals an especially important element in the transfer of building materials, foodstuffs and money from towns to the countryside and gives rise to the movement of large numbers of people between town and countryside every weekend.
CONCLUSIONS

The contemporary salience of ritual practice as people’s central means of communicating with their patrilineal ancestors – and crucially, with each other - is everywhere apparent in rural Peddie District. I have suggested that, at least in part because of the widening socio-economic differences and economic uncertainty both within the village and between town and country, rural people’s commitment to conducting a wide range of rituals (including beer drinks) appears to be virtually unassailable for the foreseeable future. The very activities and processes involved in planning, organising and co-operating to successfully host a wide range of rituals are key sites for negotiating the tensions and reconstituting the moral and material relations within and between the dislocated members of homesteads in villages like Hobana (Ngwane 2003; Ngwenya 2002).

To conclude, gender relations and family life in rural Peddie District are not imploding across the board, but they are undeniably fraught. This is not new: social relations, including marriage, have been unsettled since at least the 1950s, in response to broader changes in the economy and political landscape of the country and countryside (Mager 1998; Morrell 2001). One of the significant ways in which women currently seek to deal with profound changes in the status of men is to be far more selective in the relationships they build and seek to sustain with the significant men in their lives. An increasing number of women appear to be steadily erasing men out of their more feminised, co-operative social spaces and networks. Female-centred, multi-generational families headed by women and women assuming greater control of associations and local organisations are further indications of these changes.

The many and varied future implications of these changes are not clear, but the searing ‘gender-based’ violence that characterises the rural Eastern Cape Province is evidence that the patriarchal order, personified and carried forward by younger men, is fighting a desperate rearguard action. This violence will likely only succeed in further alienating women from their menfolk. As men and women, young and old drift apart, not least through the development of new settlements of ‘matchbox’ houses that are not homesteads in the ritual sense, rituals are significant sites of social interaction and contestation that still bring the genders and generations together in highly charged, vital spaces.

1 I have conducted ethnographic research into rural livelihoods and agrarian change in villages across Peddie District/Ngqushwa Municipality since 1994 (see Ainslie 1999, 2005). Although I have undertaken research and initiated development work in ten different villages in the District over this period, I have focused my ethnographic research in three of these villages. In total, I have resided in these three villages for 22 months – first conducting research towards my MA (Ainslie 1998) and then my doctoral fieldwork (2000-2001). Until Dec 2008, I lived and worked about 80kms from these three key fieldwork sites, and I was to visit them intermittently over the course of the subsequent decade, i.e. 2001-2010. I returned to stay in one village (Hobana) for two weeks in 2009 and for three weeks in 2012. I also conducted further research in 2012 in the second village site of my doctoral research.

2 This is a pseudonym for one of the two villages, this one consisting of 254 homesteads, in which I have conducted the bulk of my fieldwork over the past decade.

3 Social grants (old age, disability, child support) have paradoxically reinstated certain kin as key to rural livelihoods (Bähre 2011, Bank and Minkley, 2005). Bähre (2011: 381) notes that ‘of the total number of social grants that the state distributed in 2006, almost 90 per cent were for pensioners (old age grants) and young women (child support grants).

4 Many beer drinks were in fact held in Hobana, but they were not linked to co-operative, agrarian activities.
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