

# *Atmospheric electric field measurements in urban environment and the pollutant aerosol weekly dependence*

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Silva, H. G., Conceição, R., Melgão, M., Nicoll, K. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5580-6325>, Mendes, P. B., Tlemçani, M., Reis, A. H. and Harrison, R. G. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0693-347X> (2014) Atmospheric electric field measurements in urban environment and the pollutant aerosol weekly dependence. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9 (11). 114025. ISSN 1748-9326 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/11/114025> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/38555/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/11/114025>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/11/114025>

Publisher: Institute of Physics

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

## Atmospheric electric field measurements in urban environment and the pollutant aerosol weekly dependence

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2014 Environ. Res. Lett. 9 114025

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-9326/9/11/114025>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 134.225.109.63

This content was downloaded on 15/01/2015 at 11:26

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Atmospheric electric field measurements in urban environment and the pollutant aerosol weekly dependence

H G Silva<sup>1,2</sup>, R Conceição<sup>1</sup>, M Melgão<sup>1</sup>, K Nicoll<sup>3</sup>, P B Mendes<sup>4</sup>,  
M Tlemçani<sup>1</sup>, A H Reis<sup>1</sup> and R G Harrison<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Física, ECT, Centro de Geofísica de Évora, IIFA, Universidade de Évora, Rua Romão Ramalho 59, 7002-554 Évora, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> Atmospheric Chemistry Research Group, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 1TS, UK

<sup>3</sup> Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Berkshire, RG6 6BB, UK

<sup>4</sup> Landscape, Environment and Planning Department, University of Évora, Portugal

E-mail: [hgsilva@uevora.pt](mailto:hgsilva@uevora.pt)

Received 2 September 2014, revised 28 October 2014

Accepted for publication 29 October 2014

Published 26 November 2014

## Abstract

The weekly dependence of pollutant aerosols in the urban environment of Lisbon (Portugal) is inferred from the records of atmospheric electric field at Portela meteorological station (38°47'N, 9°08'W). Measurements were made with a Bendorf electrograph. The data set exists from 1955 to 1990, but due to the contaminating effect of the radioactive fallout during 1960 and 1970s, only the period between 1980 and 1990 is considered here. Using a relative difference method a weekly dependence of the atmospheric electric field is found in these records, which shows an increasing trend between 1980 and 1990. This is consistent with a growth of population in the Lisbon metropolitan area and consequently urban activity, mainly traffic. Complementarily, using a Lomb–Scargle periodogram technique the presence of a daily and weekly cycle is also found. Moreover, to follow the evolution of these cycles, in the period considered, a simple representation in a colour surface plot representation of the annual periodograms is presented. Further, a noise analysis of the periodograms is made, which validates the results found. Two datasets were considered: all days in the period, and fair-weather days only.

Keywords: atmospheric electric field, urban environment, pollutant aerosols


## 1. Introduction

The fair weather atmospheric electric field is dominated by the vertical component that is usually referred to as the vertical potential gradient (PG), defined in such a way that PG is positive in these conditions. In clean air, the global effect of thunderstorm activity can be apparent in the PG in the daily cycle known as the *Carnegie curve* (see for instance, Harrison 2013). Local effects arising from aerosol pollution contribute to additional variation in PG that may be very

significant. Thus, in an urban environment it is expected that the PG at the ground, where the measurements are carried out, to be predominantly influenced by the combination of the effects of local pollution with those of the global atmospheric electric circuit. Pollution affects the PG through the following mechanism: pollutant aerosols remove small ions from the air that are very important to the atmospheric electric conductivity because they have high electric mobility; this removal causes the conductivity to decrease, therefore Ohm's law implies that the PG must increase (assuming that a fairly constant electric current is present between the ionosphere and the surface). In fact, for a constant aerosol size distribution it is shown that the PG is positively and linearly related with the aerosol particle mass concentration (Harrison and Aplin 2002). Such relation was further confirmed with



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



**Figure 1.** Left figure, geomorphology of Lisbon region with three main features marked: Serra de Monsanto, Baixa (city centre), and Portela Airport (location of the PG sensor). Right figure, the rectangle marks the geographical location of Lisbon in Portugal.

measurements at Kew Observatory (Harrison 2006), where there is a long record of simultaneous measurements of PG and pollution levels. Baring this mind, it was discussed the possibility of using PG data to retrieve pollution levels (Manes 1977). Actually, such possibility is of great importance in sites that have historical PG data sets, but no pollution records are available, like the case of Western Scotland (Aplin 2012), where smoke emissions from industrial activity was inferred from Lord Kelvin’s atmospheric electricity measurements. A similar situation occurs with the city of Lisbon, Portugal (figure 1), where PG measurements were made at Portela meteorological station from 1955, but reliable records of gas and particulate pollution started only in the 1990s. PG measurements were made from 1955 until 1990, but due to the radioactive fallout in the 1960s and 1970s (Pierce 1972) only the period of 1980–1990 can be correctly used in studies related with pollution. In fact, Lisbon is a polluted city and has a characteristic Mediterranean climate with fresh/rainy winter and a drought period during the summer (Andrade 1996). It is geographically located near the coast and presents topographical features, marked by a slightly rugged relief, associated with *Serra de Monsanto* with altitude of order 200 m on the west side, marked in figure 1, and the urban perimeter that shapes its climate (Alcoforado 1987). The surface wind regime is dominated by a northern strong flow (50% of observations), although it shows some annual variability, namely during the summer months while the winter records a greater dominance of NE, E, SW winds (Lopes 2003, Alcoforado *et al* 2006, 2008). At

Portela (marked in figure 1) N, NW and NE winds prevail from May to September. The analysis of the summer winds frequency at the Portela airport for the period 1981–2000 shows that the winds blow from the NE, E, SE, S and SW, approximately 40% of the cases at midday; and at 18:00 h. Furthermore, N and NW winds, which together reach 52% at midday, increase their frequency up to 77% at 18:00 h. This is probably a consequence of the Tagus/Ocean breeze system due to temperature differences that develop between the continental atmosphere and estuarine or marine air (Alcoforado 1987) and Western sea breezes. Considering the air mass trajectory, the sea and estuary breezes transport, in most cases, cool and moist air with small ions (Serrano *et al* 2006a).

Moreover, to consider the effect of pollution in urban measurements of PG, as it is the objective of the present paper, it is important to clarify the main cycles that affect pollutant aerosols. These cycles are imposed by the city dynamics and are expected be present in the PG records too. Three main possible cycles are listed below:

*Daily cycle:* two maxima are expected to appear, which correspond to the combined influences of urban pollution daily cycle and planetary influences (Harrison and Aplin 2002, Harrison 2009). One maximum shall develop in the early morning with the steep increase in city daily activities, followed by a decrease with the development of the boundary layer (intensification of the convective structures, ascending currents), while another shall occur in the evening, when the planetary influences intensify and then boundary

layer convection weakens. Because the local PG is affected by the global variation of the electric field, it is necessary to remove this variation in order to properly observe the effect of the urban daily cycle.

*Weekly cycle:* at weekends (Saturdays and Sundays) urban activities decrease causing less pollution than in workdays (Mondays to Fridays). This notation will be used throughout the paper. Thus on weekends the PG is expected to be lower as compared with workdays, especially on Sundays (because pollutant aerosols generated during the workdays are significantly reduced by dry and wet depositions). In this way, this cycle can be linked exclusively to urban activities and for that reason it can be most useful to retrieve pollution historical records in the location where the PG measurements are made (Manes 1977).

*Annual cycle:* the spring/summer months are expected to have lower concentration of pollution near the ground, because of the reduction in traffic levels (especially during the holiday time), but also the increase of altitude of the convective boundary layer. In fact, this cycle is dominated by seasonal variations (Reddell *et al* 2004) related with the development and weakening of the convective boundary layers in spring/summer and fall/winter, respectively. Such variations mask the effect of urban activity changes and one way of overcoming this is to look for seasonal variation in the weekly cycle.

In fact, the influence of pollution weekly dependence in urban environmental processes is now receiving a significant research effort (Williams and Mareev 2014). The verification of the weekend effect in lightning (Bell *et al* 2009), rainfall (Bell *et al* 2008), both hail and tornadoes (Rosenfeld and Bell 2011), and diurnal temperature (Forster and Solomon 2003) reveals the importance of studying this cycle and the necessity of further research.

With that purpose this paper investigates the signature caused by the weekly cycle in pollution on the PG recorded at Portela. Other effects have been previously studied in this set of data: cosmic radiation, artificial radioactivity, and aerosol concentration (Serrano *et al* 2006a); relative humidity and wind intensity (Serrano *et al* 2006b); seismic activity (Silva *et al* 2012); but, this is the first study addressing pollution.

## 2. Data

Mean hourly PG values were registered at the Portela meteorological station (Lisbon, Portugal; 38°47'N, 9°08'W) in the period from 1955 to 1990. These measurements were made using a Bendorf electrograph coupled to a radioactive probe (securing equality of potential between the sensor and the air; improving the time response) installed at 1 m height from a cement base on the ground. The measurements were interrupted from 1975 to 1977 when the electrometer was stopped for maintenance. Its sensitivity was checked using an electronic electrometer with standard voltage source between  $\pm 200$  V and the same calibration procedure was used in all periods of operation. A report made by the National Service of Meteorology compiles this information


(Figueira 1965). In the present study only the period from 1980 to 1990 is considered and two situations are studied: all weather PG (AW), which includes the entire PG dataset; and fair-weather PG (FW), selected as those hours with cloudiness less than 0.3, wind speed less than 20 km h<sup>-1</sup> and absence either of both fog or precipitation. More details of the dataset can be found in the study of Serrano *et al* 2006a.

Figure 2(a) shows the monthly mean values and the corresponding standard deviations of the PG in AW and FW conditions for the period considered. Figures 3(a) and (b) show boxplots for these data (marked as 'whole week') without outliers. Moreover, a summary of statistical parameters is presented in table 1. It is clearly seen that the PG for AW (mean, 84.73 V m<sup>-1</sup>) tends to be lower than for FW (mean, 94.27 V m<sup>-1</sup>), most probably because of the influence of the negative outliers as is clear from the significant kurtosis value for AW, 13.365, as compared with the FW, 7.710. This is also consistent with the skewness parameters found, as AW values are less positively skewed, 1.031, than FW, 1.725. The annual behaviour of the PG for AW and FW conditions (shown in figure 4(a)) demonstrate that summer months have the lowest mean values and standard deviations (August for AW and June for FW); January has the highest for both AW and FW. Moreover, there is no visible trend in the mean PG values per year for AW and FW (empty circles), shown in figure 5(a). It is important to mention that FW dataset has two drawbacks: it has significantly less data than the AW dataset (number of days given in table 1), and it is difficult to find FW values of PG for a complete week and for this reason comparison is made between values for workdays and weekends that do not necessarily correspond to the same week. This implies that the results for FW data are not so statistically reliable compared with AW in respect to the study of the weekly cycle.

## 3. Methodology

*Relative difference method:* an initial comparison is made between the normalized distributions of the PG for workdays and weekends for both AW and FW datasets. Furthermore, to quantify the effect of the weekly cycle the relative difference between the mean PG for workdays (Mondays to Fridays),  $PG_{\text{workdays}}$ , and for weekends (Saturdays and Sundays),  $PG_{\text{weekends}}$ , is calculated using the equation:  $\Delta PG (\%) = (PG_{\text{workdays}}/PG_{\text{weekends}} - 1) \times 100\%$ . This formula is similar to the one used by Sheftel *et al* 1994 that considers the ratio of the PG for workdays and for Sundays. Such a formulation was used previously to study the weekly effect on the electric conductivity of air (Tammert 2009). Three average procedures for  $PG_{\text{workdays}}$  and  $PG_{\text{weekends}}$  are made: average per month (monthly means); average values that correspond to the same month for all years, e.g. the values of all Januaries in 1980–1990 (annual behaviour); average per year (annual means).

*Lomb–Scargle periodogram (LSP) technique:* was developed for interrupted data sets in astrophysics (Lomb 1976, Scargle 1982), and has been extensively used in



**Figure 2.** Mean monthly values of PG measured from Portela during the period from 1980 until 1990: (a) PG for AW and FW (error bars represent standard deviations); (b) AW workdays and weekends PG; (c) FW workdays and weekends PG; (d) AW and FW-PG relative difference between workdays and weekends,  $\Delta PG$ .


atmospheric science (Schulz and Stattegger 1997, Hocke and Kampfer 2009). The LSP is similar to the Fourier transform, but it estimates the frequency spectrum based on a least squares fit of the sinusoids. In fact, the LSP spectrum converges to Fourier transform spectrum in the limit of evenly spaced observations. The LSP provides the significant frequencies and their respective amplitudes (in a statistical sense) enabling a proper evaluation of the dominant periods that influence the data. In this context, fair-weather PG is an unevenly distributed time series and for that reason its spectral analysis must be made using the LSP technique, e.g. (Xu *et al* 2013). The programme used in the present study is an LSP implementation (Press *et al* 1992), which was developed in MATLAB® (Brett 2001). The periodogram for the entire data sets has been calculated. Moreover, to follow the evolution of the dominant periods (less than a year) the LSP is calculated for each year (with a 2 h resolution that is twice the sampling time, according to Nyquist theorem), and the periodograms plotted in a colour surface plot. To do this, estimation of the spectral amplitudes with respect to the same periods for all the years was considered. Thus a vector was created with logarithmically spaced values from the initial period (always above the inverse of the Nyquist frequency)

until the final period (always below one year) and interpolated the values of the smoothed periodograms (moving averages of five values) using cubic spline interpolation. In this way, dominant periods appeared as horizontal lines across the plot. The results were further confirmed by a noise analysis.

#### 4. Results and discussion

Figures 2(b) and (c) demonstrate that PG values at the weekends tend to be lower than the values for workdays, both for AW and FW. Actually, the monthly values of  $\Delta PG$ , figure 2(d), are generally positive. This observation is confirmed by the distributions of PG values for workdays and weekends. The boxplots, figures 3(a) and (b), show that the weekends have inter quartile ranges smaller than the ones for workdays, for both AW and FW. Descriptive statistical parameters are presented in table 1. These show the following:

- (1) AW, mean values of PG for workdays,  $90.87 \text{ V m}^{-1}$ , is higher than for weekends,  $69.39 \text{ V m}^{-1}$ , the relative



**Figure 3.** Boxplot of hourly PG values for AW (blue): whole week, workdays, and weekend; boxplot for FW (red): whole week, workdays, and weekends. A black line in all boxes marks median and the outliers are not presented.

**Table 1.** Mean, median, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis, and number of days for the period between 1980 and 1990. The atmospheric electric field measurements are divided in: AW whole week, AW workdays, AW weekends, FW whole week, FW workdays, and FW weekends.

	AW whole week	AW workdays	AW weekends	FW whole week	FW workdays	FW weekends
Mean ( $V\ m^{-1}$ )	84.73	90.87	69.39	94.27	101.72	73.49
Median ( $V\ m^{-1}$ )	72.32	80.00	60.00	80.00	90.00	70.00
Standard deviation ( $V\ m^{-1}$ )	54.81	58.64	39.88	54.96	59.35	32.11
Skewness	1.031	1.039	-0.1790	1.725	1.549	1.023
Kurtosis	13.364	11.180	29.25	7.710	6.671	4.972
Number of days	4018	2870	1148	356	262	94

difference is 31.00%. PG for workdays has a broader distribution, is more positively skewed and less prone to outliers than weekends.

- (2) FW, PG shows a similar tendency, mean values for workdays,  $101.72\ V\ m^{-1}$ , higher than for weekends,  $73.49\ V\ m^{-1}$ , the relative difference is 38.41%. Workdays PG has a boarder distribution, is more positively skewed and more prone to outliers than weekends.

The high relative differences statistically prove the existence of a week dependence of the PG, both for AW and FW. Actually, Israelsson and Tammet (2001) at Marsta Observatory, located in a rural region (10 km North of Uppsala, Sweden), measured air conductivities and calculated the relative differences using Sheftel formulation (Sheftel

*et al* 1994). The relative differences they found are much lower than the relative differences found here for PG. Such disparity reveals the importance that urban pollution has on PG measurements.

Additionally, figure 4(b) reveals that  $\Delta PG$  has an annual behaviour different from PG (AW and FW). Interestingly,  $\Delta PG$  shows a minimum in December which is the month of the year with less urban activity (specially traffic) because of Christmas holidays. During the rest of the year,  $\Delta PG$  behaviour for AW appears to be more consistent with urban activity. Actually, it decreases from January until August (summer holidays) and increases again until October (a month with significant urban activity) decreasing again until December. On the contrary,  $\Delta PG$  for FW has an increasing tendency from January until August and after that decreases.




Figure 4. Annual behaviour of: (a) PG for AW and FW; (b)  $\Delta$ PG for AW and FW. Error bars represent standard deviations.





Figure 5. Annual averages from 1980 until 1990: (a) PG for AW and FW;  $\Delta$ PG for AW and FW. Error bars represent standard deviations.




**Figure 6.** Lomb–Scargle periodograms calculated using the LSP implementation in MATLAB (Brett 2001) for 1980–1990: (a) AW; (b) FW. The following parameters were used  $hifac = 1$  (that defines the frequency limit as  $hifac$  times the average Nyquist frequency),  $ofac = 4$  (oversampling factor), and no calibration is done to the data.

The reason for this is probably lack of FW data, as discussed above.

Finally, the trend for annual averages of  $\Delta PG$  (AW and FW) is shown in figure 5(b). Again, the behaviour of AW and FW values of PG differ; AW shows an increasing trend and no trend is evident for FW. The tendency of  $\Delta PG$  for AW is in accordance with the population growth in the metropolitan area of Lisbon in the considered period. According to the Portuguese National Statistics Institute (INE 2011), the population in the metropolitan area of Lisbon has grown until the 1980s and stabilized in the 1990s. Actually, the most significant population growth occurred in the 1970s and the reason for that was the Portuguese democratic revolution of 25 April 1974. Thus after it and until 1976 an important growth in the Portuguese population occurred due to the return of many immigrants from the former Portuguese colonies of Africa, as those nations claimed their independence (Moreira and Rodrigues 2008). As a consequence of such increase in population there was an increase in urban pollution; which was mainly due to traffic intensification and industrial activity. In fact, the social processes involved in this relation of population growth and pollution level was intensively studied, e.g. (Constant *et al* 2014) and links the demographic growth of the 1980s in Lisbon region with the development of the weekly cycle in the PG values. This relation adds a socio-economic dimension to the studies of PG in urban regions as discuss in other works (Aplin 2012).

Figures 6(a) and (b) show LSPs calculated from PG data for AW and FW, respectively. As expected the periodogram for AW contains more harmonic components than the FW one, especially for lower periods. The occurrence of two main cycles, daily, and weekly cycles, is evident in both data sets. Additionally, PG for AW shows two other cycles: an annual cycle caused by the seasonal meteorological variations, and half-day cycle (12 h period) likely to be related to traffic pollutant aerosols. Tchepel and Borrego (2010) have shown the presence of a significant influence of short-term fluctuations (12 h and 24 h periods) to the variance of both CO and PM<sub>10</sub> measured in urban environments. Those authors confirmed the contribution of traffic as the pollutant source using cross-spectrum analysis; which showed a correlation percentage from 45% to 70% between the pollutant concentrations and traffic dynamics (Tchepel and Borrego 2010). Besides the main peaks mentioned, LSP in the AW case presents a myriad of other smaller peaks between the main ones; which are their sub-harmonics (Xu *et al* 2013).

To further clarify the evolution of the weekly cycle during the period of study, figures 7(a) and (b) show colour surface plots of the LSP using the procedure described previously. It is important to mention that due to the variation in the number of FW days, the band of periods observable in each year varies considerably; in the worst case, the periods accessible were above 1.63 days. For that reason the colour surface plot for FW, figure 7(b), is restricted to periods above 3.162 days. In the AW case periods start at  $\sim 0.316$  days (an



**Figure 7.** Colour surface plot of Lomb–Scargle periodograms for each year: (a) AW; (b) FW.


order of magnitude lower). The amplitude is represented in a colour map as a function of the period (y axis) for the 11 years of analysed data (x axis). For AW, figure 7(a), the daily and weekly cycles are clearly seen by two horizontal red lines (labelled with the respective cycle) and confirm the persistence of the weekly cycle during the 1980s and beginning of the 1990s. In contrast, the colour surface plot for FW, figure 7(b), does not show the weekly cycle so clearly. Nevertheless, it is seen that the spectrum for 1986 responds most appreciably to low periods as compared to the other years (red blurs below the weekly cycle). This behaviour can be tentatively related with the impact of Chernobyl nuclear accident (26 April 1986) on the global electric circuit (Takeda *et al* 2011); study of this effect is out of the scope of the present work.

The consistency of the colour surface plots is confirmed by noise analysis of the LSP (Tuzlukov 2002). This calculates, for each LSP, the  $n$ -exponent defined as a power law dependence of the power spectral density,  $S(T)$ , with the period,  $T$ , of the type,  $S(T) \propto T^n$ . The spectra are split into two parts: periods shorter than two days (twice the dominant 1 day period), and periods longer than two days. The exponents for periods shorter than  $T_c = 2$  days are shown to be higher than exponents that correspondent to longer periods. For AW, figure 8(a), the  $n$ -exponents for  $T < T_c$  are close to the  $1/f$  noise (pink noise); this type of noise is present in many systems in nature and is of special interest (Dutta and Horn 1981). Complementary, the  $n$ -exponent for  $T > T_c$

diminishes over the studied years down to 0.5. It is argued that such decreasing tendency (the opposite trend of  $\Delta PG$  for AW) must be related with the increase in Lisbon pollution levels. Seen in this way, pollution causes a spread of spectral power for periods longer than  $T_c$  and tends to flatten the spectra. In fact, it is known that long-range transport of the pollution contributes to pollutant aerosols spectra at high periods (Tchepel and Borrego 2010). Additionally, because of the mentioned variation in the number of FW days per year it is difficult to properly study the evolution of the  $n$ -exponent for periods shorter than  $T_c = 2$  days in this case. For these periods  $n$  tends to be negative, around  $-1$  (blue noise), and has an anomalously low value for 1986. The negative values of  $n$  reveals that the PG responds better to processes with lower-periods and contradicts the behaviour found for AW; this could be related with local perturbations that have typically small periods, e.g. the half-day cycle (Xu *et al* 2013). Nevertheless, the analysis of  $n$  for periods above  $T_c$  is found to have values near from, but not zero (white noise,  $n = 0$ ). This is consistent with the behaviour found in the AW case for periods above  $T_c$ . Again this fact can be interpreted by a spread of power in the complete spectral band.

## 5. Conclusions

This work clearly demonstrates the existence of a weekly dependence on the PG recorded at Portela (Lisbon, Portugal)



**Figure 8.** Noise upper panel: evolution of the  $n$ -exponent from the Lomb–Scargle periodograms shown in figures 6 and 7, along the years for period below  $T_c=2$  days (empty circle) and above 2 days (full circle): (a) AW; (b) FW.

that is related with the weekly cycle of urban pollution, mainly due to traffic. This dependence was confirmed through a statistical analysis that shows a relative difference of PG values for working days and weekends of 31.00% and 38.41% for AW and FW, respectively. The annual average of the relative difference shows an increasing trend in the period studied. Additionally, the spectra show a significant peak for the weekly period that confirms the existence of the weekly cycle, as well as a 12 h periodicity present in the PG data, attributed to traffic pollution. Spectral noise shows that spectra tend to flatten along the studied period. These aspects are consistent with the evolution of the weekly cycle of pollution due to the rise in pollutant aerosol concentrations caused by the increase of traffic in Lisbon.

As a final remark, it is important to mention that this 7-day periodicity is in the range of periods of the known seismic precursors (Silva *et al* 2012) and for that reason the effect of urban pollution should be carefully taken into account in those studies.

### Acknowledgments

Hugo Silva acknowledges the support of two Portuguese institutions: the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) for the Post-Doctoral fellowship, SFRH/BPD/63880/2009, and the Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian for the award *Estímulo à Criatividade e à Qualidade na Actividade de Investigação* in the science program of 2010. Marta Melgão

also thanks the support of FCT through the PhD fellowship, SFRH/BD/89218/2012. Researchers from the Geophysics Centre of Évora acknowledge the funding provided by the contract with FCT, Pest/OE/CTE/UI0078/2014. The authors are grateful to Claudia Serrano, Mourad Bezzeghoud, Maria João Costa, Luísa Nogueira, and Manuela Oliveira for their support in many aspects that contributed to this study, and are in indebted to Samuel Bárias for his technical support on the data usage. Especially acknowledgments are given to Doctor Mário Figueira for recording the PG for more than 30 years; without him this study would not be possible.

### References

Alcoforado M J 1987 Brisas estivais do Tejo e do Oceano na região de Lisboa *Finisterra—Rev. Portuguesa Geografia, Lisboa*, **XXII** 71–112 (in Portuguese)

Alcoforado M J, Andrade H, Lopes A, Vasconcelos J and Vieira R 2006 Observational studies on summer winds in Lisbon (Portugal) and their influence on day time regional and urban thermal patterns *Merhavim* **6** 90–112

Alcoforado M J, Andrade H, Lopes A and Vasconcelos J 2008 Application of climatic guidelines to urban planning. The example of Lisbon (Portugal) *Landscape Urban Plan.* **90** 56

Andrade H 1996 A qualidade do ar em Lisboa *Valores médios e situações extremas, Finisterra* **61** 43–66 (in Portuguese)

Aplin K 2012 Smoke emissions from industrial western Scotland in 1859 inferred from Lord Kelvin’s atmospheric electricity measurements *Atmos. Environ.* **50** 373

Bell T L, Rosenfeld D, Kim K-M, Yoo J-M, Lee M-I and Hahnenberger M 2008 Midweek increase in summer rain and

- storm heights suggests air pollution invigorates rainstorms *J. Geophys. Res.* **113** D02209
- Bell T L, Rosenfeld D and Kim K-M 2009 Weekly cycle of lightning: evidence of storm invigoration by pollution *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **36** L23805
- Brett 2001 ([www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/993-lombscargle-m](http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/993-lombscargle-m)) (retrieved on 2014-01-11)
- Constant K, Nourry C and Seegmuller T 2014 Population growth in polluting industrialization *Resour. Energy Econ.* **36** 229
- Dutta P and Horn P M 1981 Low-frequency fluctuations in solids:  $1/f$  noise *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53** 497
- Figueira M 1965 Instruções para os apuramentos de electricidade atmosférica *Serviço Meteorológico Nac., Lisboa* (in Portuguese)
- Forster P M F and Solomon S 2003 Observations of a 'weekend effect' in diurnal temperature range *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **100** 11225
- Harrison R G and Aplin K L 2002 Mid-19th century smoke concentrations near London *Atmos. Environ.* **36** 4037
- Harrison R G 2006 Urban smoke concentrations at Kew, London, 1898–2004 *Atmos. Environ.* **40** 3327–32
- Harrison R G 2009 Two daily smoke maxima in 18th century London air *Atmos. Environ.* **43** 1364
- Harrison R G 2013 The carnegie curve *Surv. Geophys.* **34** 209
- Hocke K and Kampfer N 2009 Gap filling and noise reduction of unevenly sampled data by means of the Lomb–Scargle periodogram *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **9** 4197
- INE 2011 *Portuguese National Census* (<http://censos.ine.pt>) (retrieved on 2014-01-11)
- Israelsson S and Tammet H 2001 Variation of fair weather atmospheric electricity at Marsta Observatory, Sweden, 1993–1998 *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.* **63** 1693
- Lomb N R 1976 Least-squares frequency analysis of unequally spaced data *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **39** 447–62
- Lopes A 2003 Modificações no clima urbano de Lisboa como consequência do crescimento urbano. Vento, ilha de calor de superfície e balanço energético *PhD Thesis* Faculty of Humanities University of Lisbon (in Portuguese)
- Manes A 1977 Particulate air pollution trends deduced from atmospheric electrical conductivity measurements at Bet-Dagan (Israel) *Electrical Processes in Atmosphere* ed H Dolezalek and R Reiter (Darmstadt: Springer) pp 109–18
- Moreira M J G and Rodrigues T F 2008 The Demographic Regionalities of Contemporary Portugal, CEPESE Working paper (in Portuguese), ([www.cepese.pt](http://www.cepese.pt)) (retrieved on 2014-01-11)
- Pierce E T 1972 Radioactive fallout and secular effects in atmospheric electricity *J. Geophys. Res.* **77** 482
- Press W H, Teukolsky S A, Vetterling W T and Flannery B P 1992 *Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Computing* 2nd Edn (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- Reddell B D, Benbrook J R, Bering E A, Cleary E N and Few A A 2004 Seasonal variations of atmospheric electricity measured at Amundsen–Scott South pole station *J. Geophys. Res.* **109** A09308
- Rosenfeld D and Bell T L 2011 Why do tornados and hailstorms rest on weekends? *J. Geophys. Res.* **116** D20211
- Scargle J D 1982 Studies in astronomical time series analysis: II—statistical aspects of spectral analysis of unevenly spaced data *Astrophys. J.* **263** 835
- Schulz M and Statterger K 1997 Spectrum: spectral analysis of unevenly spaced paleoclimatic time series *Comput. Geosci.* **23** 929
- Serrano C, Reis A H, Rosa R and Lucio P S 2006a Influences of cosmic radiation, artificial radioactivity and aerosol concentration upon the fair-weather atmospheric electric field in Lisbon (1955–1991) *Atmos. Res.* **81** 236
- Serrano C, Reis A H, Rosa R and Lucio P S 2006b Wind induced anomalies on the fair weather atmospheric electric field in Lisbon *Proc. of 6th Annual Meeting of the EMS, Lubljana, Slovenia, EMS2006-A-00071*
- Silva H G, Oliveira M M, Serrano C, Bezzeghoud M, Reis A H, Rosa R N and Biagi P F 2012 Influence of seismic activity on the atmospheric electric field in Lisbon (Portugal) from 1955 to 1991 *Ann. Geophys.* **55** 193
- Sheftel V M, Chernyshev A K and Chernysheva S P 1994 Air conductivity and atmospheric electric field as an indicator of anthropogenic atmospheric pollution *J. Geophys. Res.* **99** 10793–5
- Takeda M, Yamauchi M, Makino M and Owada T 2011 Initial effect of the Fukushima accident on atmospheric electricity *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **38** L15811
- Tammet H 2009 A joint dataset of fair-weather atmospheric electricity *Atmos. Res.* **91** 194
- Tchepele O and Borrego C 2010 Frequency analysis of air quality time series for traffic related pollutants *J. Environ. Monit.* **12** 544–50
- Tuzlukov V 2002 *Signal Processing Noise (Electrical Engineering & Applied Signal Processing Series)* (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press) 1st edn
- Xu B, Zou D, Chen B Y, Zhang J Y and Xu G W 2013 Periodic variations of atmospheric electric field on fair weather conditions at YBJ, Tibet *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.* **97** 85
- Williams E and Mareev E 2014 Recent progress on the global electrical circuit *Atmos. Res.* **135–136** 208–27