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Recent observations of ionospheric flows by ground-based radars, in particular by the European Incoherent Scatter (EISCAT) facility using the "Polar" experiment, together with previous analyses of the response of geomagnetic disturbance to variations of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), suggest that convection in the high-latitude ionosphere should be considered to be the sum of two intrinsically time-dependent patterns, one driven by solar wind-magnetosphere coupling at the dayside magnetopause, the other by the release of energy in the geomagnetic tail (mainly by dayside and nightside reconnection, respectively). The flows driven by dayside coupling are largest on the dayside, where they usually dominate, are associated with an expanding polar cap area, and are excited and decay on ~10-min time scales following southward and northward turnings of the IMF, respectively. The latter finding indicates that the production of new open flux at the dayside magnetopause excites magnetospheric and ionospheric flow only for a short interval, ~10 min, such that the flow driven by this source subsequently decays on this time scale unless maintained by the production of more open flux tubes. Correspondingly, the flows excited by the release of energy in the tail, mainly during substorms, are largest on the nightside, are associated with a contracting polar cap boundary, and are excited on ~1-hour time scales following a southward turn of the IMF. In general, the total ionospheric flow will be the sum of the flows produced by these two sources, such that due to their different response times to changes in the IMF, considerable variations in the flow pattern can occur for a given direction and strength of the IMF. Consequently, the ionospheric electric field cannot generally be regarded as arising from a simple mapping of the solar wind electric field along open flux tubes.

1. INTRODUCTION

The ionospheric flows which result from coupling between the solar wind and the magnetosphere have been the object of intensive study in recent years (see reviews by Cowley [1986], Lockwood and Cowley [1988], and Lockwood and Freeman [1989]). These flows have been observed directly using polar-orbiting spacecraft [Reiff et al., 1981; Wygant et al., 1983; Heelis, 1984; Heppner and Maynard, 1987] and ground-based radars [Willis et al., 1986; Holt et al., 1987; de la Beaujardiere et al., 1987; Clauer and Friis-Christensen 1988], and have also been inferred from ground-based magnetometer data [Friis-Christensen et al., 1985; Reiff et al., 1985]. Recent studies have attempted to combine data from these sources to obtain "snapshots" of the flow pattern throughout the high-latitude ionosphere [Heelis et al., 1983; Richmond et al., 1988]. The results of these studies have shown that the high-latitude flow is strongly modulated by the direction and strength of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF). Indeed, in many of the above studies the flow pattern is taken to be directly parameterized by components of the IMF vector, such that it is implicitly assumed that for given values of these components, a given flow pattern will prevail (see also, for example, the review by Schunk [1988] and references therein). Theoretically, the ionospheric flow in the polar cap has been modeled by mapping the interplanetary electric field associated with the solar wind flow along model steady state open magnetospheric field lines into the ionosphere, with the assumption that field-aligned voltages are small compared with the total voltage imposed across the magnetosphere [Stern, 1973; Lyons, 1985; Rycroft, 1987; Toffoletto and Hill, 1989].

However, it is probable that the magnetosphere may never be adequately described in terms of steady state convection. For steady state circulation to occur, the rate at which the dayside flux tubes are transported into the tail by coupling at the magnetopause to the solar wind flow (e.g., by dayside reconnection) would have to be exactly balanced by the return of flux from the tail (e.g., resulting from reconnection in the plasma sheet) for an extended period. In general, we should not expect these rates of flux transport to be equal. Indeed, imbalances are inherent in the concepts of the growth and expansion phases of substorms [Russell and McPherron, 1973; Hones, 1979] during which the area of the polar caps grows and shrinks, respectively [e.g., Hones et al., 1986]. This concept of substorms thus involves periods when flow in the magnetosphere and ionosphere is dominated by dayside reconnection (growth phase) followed by intervals when the flow is dominated by flux return from the tail (expansion phase). In the general, non steady case there will therefore exist no simple relationship between the electric field (and hence flow) on an open flux tube in the ionosphere, and that on the same flux tube in the solar wind. It should be noted that this statement need not imply the presence of a field-aligned voltage along the open flux tubes, but only that the magnetic field configuration is changing with time.
model developed by Siscoe and Huang to include effects due has been discussed, for example, by Southwood and Hughes ously to zero at midnight. The role of field-aligned currents in reconnection neutral line at the dayside magnetopause), and that no flux exits the polar cap elsewhere. Consequently, the area of the polar cap must increase with time, such that the rate of change of the polar cap magnetic flux is equal to the voltage along the dayside reconnection neutral line, $\Phi_D$, in accordance with Faraday's law. In the absence of significant field-aligned voltages, the voltage along the merging gap, in the rest frame of the gap, is also equal to that along the dayside reconnection line. However, since the merging gap will generally be moving equatorward under these circumstances, the voltage along the gap in the Earth's frame, $\Phi_D'$, will be smaller than this. Siscoe and Huang assumed that the polar cap boundary remains circular as it expands, in which case $\Phi_D' = \Phi_D(1-f)$, where $f$ is the ratio of the length of the merging gap to the circumference of the polar cap perimeter, which is small if the gap is relatively narrow. In this case $\Phi_D'$ and $\Phi_D$ will not be significantly different. Of course, departures of the polar cap boundary from circularity may certainly occur. In particular, as recently discussed by Freeman and Southwood [1988], the merging gap may initially move rapidly equatorward following a sudden increase in the dayside reconnection rate, in association with an erosion of the dayside magnetosphere. In this case $\Phi_D'$ will be significantly less than $\Phi_D$. However, these conditions cannot persist for prolonged periods in the absence of more general expansions of the polar cap boundary, which will return the configuration toward that assumed by Siscoe and Huang, and $\Phi_D'$ toward $\Phi_D(1-f)$.

The ionospheric flow pattern which Siscoe and Huang derived on the basis of these assumptions is sketched in Figure 1a. In this figure, the thin solid lines indicate plasma streamlines (equipotentials), the dashed lines are merging gaps and the thick solid lines denote the remaining portions of the polar cap boundary which Siscoe and Huang termed "adiaric" (meaning "not flowing across"). The adiarcic boundaries move with the local plasma flow velocity, as denoted by the large solid arrows. It can be seen that even with completely unbalanced dayside reconnection, a large-scale, twin-vortical convection pattern is driven in the ionosphere, but with high-speed flows generally confined to the dayside. The "region 1" field-aligned currents which are associated with this flow pattern, and which flow at the polar cap boundary, also maximize on the dayside (at the ends of the merging gap) and fall continuously to zero at midnight. The role of field-aligned currents in transmitting stress between the magnetosphere and ionosphere has been discussed, for example, by Southwood and Hughes [1983] and Southwood [1987, 1989]. We also note that in subsequent work Moses et al. [1987] have generalized the model developed by Siscoe and Huang to include effects due to spatial variations in the ionospheric conductivity, and the flow effects associated with IMF $B_y$, the latter being incorporated via changes in the orientation of the dayside merging gap.

In Figure 1b we similarly sketch the flow pattern which would be excited by wholly unbalanced reconnection on the nightside, i.e., with no dayside reconnection ($\Phi_D = 0$). The dashed portion of the polar cap boundary again represents the "merging gap" where flux now exits the polar cap, which is connected magnetically to the nightside neutral line. The voltage along the gap, in its rest frame, will then be equal to the voltage along the nightside neutral line, $\Phi_N$ (assuming field-aligned voltages to be small), while in the Earth's frame the voltage $\Phi_N'$ will be somewhat smaller than this if the gap is moving poleward, as will generally be the case under these conditions, and as assumed in Siscoe and Huang's circular polar cap model. A twin-celled convection pattern will again be generated, but in this case the fastest flows will occur on the nightside, while the polar cap area will decrease with time. Siscoe and Huang argue that the total large-scale convection pattern will, in general, be a superposition of the two basic patterns shown in Figures 1a and 1b. Lockwood and Freeman [1989] have given two examples of such patterns, sketched here in Figures 1c and 1d. In Figure 1c the dayside voltage $\Phi_D$ is taken to be twice the nightside voltage $\Phi_N$, whereas in Figure 1d $\Phi_D$ is half of $\Phi_N$. These are examples of the general case, being neither steady-state, nor showing wholly unbalanced reconnection. Moses et al. [1987] used flow configurations with $\Phi_D > \Phi_N$ to model satellite flow data during substorm growth phases, while similarly Moses et al. [1989] have modelled the flows observed during substorm expansions by taking $\Phi_N > \Phi_D$.

Figure 1 thus illustrates how the convection at all locations in the polar cap and auroral oval is influenced by both dayside and nightside reconnection. However, flows on the dayside will be predominantly controlled by dayside reconnection, whereas flows on the nightside will be mainly controlled by reconnection in the tail. It should be noted that it is implicit in this picture that the flow pattern shown in Figure 1a should be excited only when dayside reconnection has recently been in progress, and that in Figure 1b only when nightside reconnection has recently been present. The implication is that if both processes cease to occur, then so will the ionospheric flow, at least after some short interval, irrespective of the continued existence of open flux in the polar cap.

The schematics shown in Figure 1 show only the flows driven in the ionosphere by reconnection at the low-latitude dayside magnetopause and in the tail. Two other sources of ionospheric flow are believed to contribute, namely "viscous-like" interactions at the low-latitude magnetopause which transfer momentum from the magnetosheath to closed magnetospheric flux tubes, and reconnection at the high-latitude tail lobe magnetopause which may occur predominantly during periods of northward IMF. These processes generate additional "viscous" and "lobe" cells of ionospheric flow, respectively [Reiff and Burch, 1985], as will now be briefly discussed.

Antisunward flow on closed field lines has been observed directly in the low-latitude boundary layer on the dayside and also on the flanks of the tail [Mitchell et al., 1987; Williams et al., 1985; Mozer, 1984; Richardson et al., 1989]. Such "viscously-driven" flows have also been inferred from the residual antisunward convection observed in the ionosphere when the IMF is northward [Reiff et al., 1981; Cowley, 1982, 1984; Doyle and Burke, 1983; Wygant et al., 1983; Reiff and Luhmann, 1986]. More direct observations of this interaction have been made in the ionosphere by polar-orbiting satellites [Coley et al., 1987] and by ground-based radar [Lockwood et
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Fig. 1. Sketches of ionospheric convection patterns due to magnetic reconnection at the dayside magnetopause and in the geomagnetic tail. The polar cap boundary is shown as circular for simplicity, the solid lines indicating an "adiabatic" boundary, while the dashed lines are the "merging gaps", i.e., the ionospheric projections of the reconnection neutral lines. Motions of the polar cap boundary are denoted by solid arrows. In the rest frame of the "gaps" the voltage along them is equal to the voltage along respective neutral lines, $\Phi_d$ and $\Phi_n$ on the dayside and nightside, respectively (assuming field-aligned voltages are small). When $\Phi_d > \Phi_n$ the polar cap expands, while when $\Phi_d < \Phi_n$ it contracts. Figures 1a and 1b are for the limiting cases of wholly unbalanced dayside and nightside reconnection respectively, i.e., $\Phi_d = 8\Delta$ and $\Phi_n = 0$ in Figure 1a, and $\Phi_d = 0$ and $\Phi_n = 8\Delta$ in Figure 1b, where $\Delta$ is an arbitrary unit of voltage, approximately the voltage drop between the streamlines shown in the sketches. Figures 1c and 1d represent more general intermediate cases, with $\Phi_d = 8\Delta$ and $\Phi_n = 4\Delta$ in Figure 1c, such that the polar cap expands, while $\Phi_d = 2\Delta$ and $\Phi_n = 4\Delta$ in Figure 1d, such that the polar cap contracts. It may be noted that due to boundary motion, in the Earth's frame (as shown in the sketch) the voltages along the "gaps", $\Phi_d'$ and $\Phi_n'$ differ moderately from $\Phi_d$ and $\Phi_n$, such that $\Phi_d' < \Phi_d$ and $\Phi_n' > \Phi_n$ when the polar cap boundary is expanding, while $\Phi_d' > \Phi_d$ and $\Phi_n' < \Phi_n$ when the polar cap boundary is contracting.

Observations indicate that as in the case of reconnection-driven convection there will usually be an imbalance between sunward and antisunward flux transfer rates driven by viscous interaction [Lockwood et al., 1988; Richardson et al., 1989] and hence the principles described by Figure 1 will still apply. To allow for viscous interaction, Figure 1 could be generalized by the inclusion of a more general distribution of potential around the convection reversal boundary. However, because the voltage associated with reconnection is generally considerably larger than that due to viscous-like mechanisms (see the references given above), the simplified potential distributions...
used in Figure 1 (i.e. for purely reconnection-driven flows) give the important features of the behavior of high-latitude convection.

The second source of flow excluded from Figure 1 arises when the IMF is strongly northward and is believed to be caused by reconnection at the high-latitude magnetopause of one or both tail lobes [Dungey, 1963; Russell, 1972; Reiff, 1982]. This process generates sunward flow at high latitudes [Burke et al., 1979; Heelis, 1984; Clauer and Friis-Christensen, 1988]. If a given interplanetary flux tube reconnects with one tail lobe only, then the amount of open flux is unchanged, but an additional circulation ("lobe cell") is introduced into the flow in the corresponding polar cap ionosphere. This can be accommodated in Figure 1 by superposing such circulation within the polar cap on the flows shown in Figures 1b and 1d. However, if the same interplanetary flux tube is reconnected at both tail lobes (as in the original suggestion by Dungey), then the amount of open flux, and the polar cap area, is decreased. Russell [1972] pointed out that this second situation is unlikely to occur, except perhaps when the IMF is directed almost due north, but even so could in principle be included in Figure 1 by allowing $\Phi_B$ to become negative (i.e., flux exits the polar cap on the dayside). The data to be presented below do not bear upon these two further sources of ionospheric flow, and they will not be further discussed in this paper. They have been introduced here simply to point out that actual geophysical conditions may often be more complex than can be wholly accommodated within the main discussion given in this paper (and, e.g., by Siscoe and Huang [1985]), but that such effects can in principle be incorporated within the general framework, at the expense of further elaboration.

Rather, the purpose of the present paper is to point out that recently published observations of ionospheric flows, obtained in the dayside auroral oval by the EISCAT "Polar" experiment, are very well explained by the two-source flow excitation model illustrated by Figure 1. In particular, these concepts provide an appropriate framework for understanding the observed short (minute) time scales for excitation and decay of dayside flows and their direct modulation by variations in the north-south ($B_N$) component of the IMF, a topic not explicitly addressed by Siscoe and Huang [1985]. In the following section, we briefly review the evidence concerning the time scales for excitation and decay of auroral convection (and the associated current systems). In section 3, we present additional evidence for the short decay constant of the dayside flow system, but unlike the observations reviewed in section 2, these European Incoherent Scatter Facility (EISCAT) data are for open field lines within the polar cap. In section 4 we discuss these observations and their implications for how the solar wind couples to the magnetosphere-ionosphere system, and in section 5 we make some predictions which should be tested to confirm the proposed view of the excitation of ionospheric convection

2. The nature of high-latitude flows

Indirect evidence for the bimodal nature of high-latitude convection has, in fact, been available for some considerable time. Geomagnetic disturbances at high latitudes have been divided into two main morphological types, DP-1 and DP-2, where DP-2 is believed to correspond to the currents driven by the "growth phase" twin-vortical flows (and hence by dayside reconnection), while DP-1 is associated with substorms and the nightside "current wedge" (and hence with tail reconnection). Studies have shown that these two current systems respond to changes in the north-south component of the IMF with markedly different response times. Nishida [1968a,b] examined the behavior of the DP-2 current system and found that the current enhancements occurred 7 ± 1 min after southward turnings of the IMF had impinged upon the subsolar bow shock. Since the IMF disturbance would have taken at least 5 min to propagate from the bow shock to the magnetopause, the implied ionospheric response time is very short indeed, no greater than about 2 min. Similarly short response times have also been reported in subsequent case studies [Pellinen et al., 1982; Nishida and Kamide, 1983; McPherron and Manka, 1985; Clauer and Kamide, 1985].

On the other hand, analyses of geomagnetic indices such as $AE$, which are influenced primarily by nightside currents and in particular by the DP-1 substorm current system, have indicated much longer response delays to southward turnings of the IMF. These delays are typically found to be 30-60 min [Schatten and Wilcox, 1967; Arnoldy, 1971; Baker et al., 1981, 1983]. We interpret these times as being the response time of the nightside flow system, set up by the release of tail stress during substorms.

The presence of two separate response time scales has also emerged from studies of the response of geomagnetic indices to the IMF using the linear prediction filter technique. Clauer et al. [1981] and Bargatze et al. [1985] found both a short (< 15 min) and a longer (< 60 min) response lag to be present. Correspondingly, a recent study of a limited quantity of flow data obtained in the nightside auroral oval by EISCAT has identified flows which are modulated by the $B_N$ component of the IMF with a 15- to 20-min lag, while other flows appear to be related to substorms [Williams et al., 1989].

The results which we wish to review here in greater detail, however, were obtained by the EISCAT "Polar" experiments conducted during 1984 and 1985 in conjunction with simultaneous measurements of the IMF by the Active Magnetospheric Particle Tracer Explorers (AMPTE-UKS and AMPTE-IRM) satellites [Willis et al., 1986]. Constraints set by satellite tracking meant that simultaneous IMF data were obtained only while the radar was observing dayside flows. In the light of the above discussion, these results should therefore relate mainly to the excitation and decay of flows driven by momentum transfer across the dayside magnetopause, the dominant mechanism for which is reconnection. These combined EISCAT-AMPTE observations allowed the delay in the response of the ionospheric flows to changes in the IMF to be quantified with very low errors, for two reasons. First, the EISCAT Polar experiment allows determination of the flows over a range of latitudes with relatively high time resolution (1.5 s for line-of-sight velocities, and 2.5 min for field-perpendicular vectors). Second, the location of the AMPTE spacecraft immediately upstream of the bow shock and close to the Sun-Earth line, allowed the propagation time of IMF variations from the spacecraft to the magnetopause to be determined with low errors.

Initial inspection of these EISCAT-AMPTE data revealed clear and sudden enhancements in sunward flow following southward turnings of the IMF [Rishbeth et al., 1985; Willis et al., 1986]. A cross-correlation analysis of all the data, carried out subsequently by Etemadi et al. [1988], has shown that the response lag of auroral zone flows in the early afternoon sector to changes in the IMF $B_N$ component is only 3.9 ± 2.2 min. Considering that the Alfvén wave propagation time from a point on the subsolar magnetopause to its ionospheric footprint
Lockwood et al. [1986a] contain an important implication. The patch of newly opened flux, generated following the southward for nightside currents and flows and are well explained by the shorter of the response times of -15 rain determined polar cap will have been formed by reconnection during inter-

Auroral observations and particle precipitation data indicate the ionospheric flow in the polar cap simply results from a projection of the solar wind electric field down open field lines cannot be correct in the general time-dependent case.

Hence the EISCAT-AMPTE data require us to adopt the concept that newly opened flux tubes excite considerable flow in the dayside high-latitude ionosphere, whereas those which have been opened for longer periods are ineffective in driving convection. An estimate of the length of time that a flux tube is effective in driving ionospheric flow following its intercon-

connection with the IMF can be obtained by studying the decay time constant for ionospheric flows following a northward turning of the IMF. Such results have been presented by Todd et al. [1988a] who found that after a delay of a few minutes (depending on the MLT of the radar observations), the flow speeds decayed rapidly initially (within 2-3 min), followed by a more gradual decline on a time scale of order 10 min. If it is assumed that dayside reconnection ceases immediately when the magnetosheath field turns northward at the magnetopause, it follows from these observations that the ionospheric flow excited by the transfer of dayside flux tubes into the tail declines within about 10 min. We thus conclude that in effect open flux tubes are effective in exciting ionospheric convection for an interval of only -10 min following their production at the dayside magnetopause. This time constant is an order of magnitude shorter than the time taken for open flux tubes to traverse the entire polar cap from the dayside cusp to the nightside auroral zone, which is typically between 2 and 4 hours. Indeed, on a time scale of 10 min the newly opened flux tubes traverse a distance of only ~5ø poleward of the dayside cusp, if they move with a typical poleward speed of ~1 km s⁻¹. We thus infer that elsewhere within the polar cap the flows occur as an essentially passive response to concurrent dayside conditions (and to concurrent conditions in the near-Earth tail), rather than in response to the dayside conditions which prevailed when these tubes themselves were opened. This inference leads to interesting predictions concerning the rapidity with which polar cap flows respond to sharp changes in the IMF, as will be discussed further in section 5. In particular, we conclude that the EISCAT-AMPTE data require us to adopt the concept that newly opened flux tubes excite considerable flow in the polar cap simply results from a projection of the solar wind electric field down open field lines cannot be correct in the general time-dependent case.

3. EISCAT Observations of Flows Within the Polar Cap

The concept of the excitation of ionospheric convection advanced in the previous section was based on EISCAT observations made in the dayside auroral oval. There are a number of predictions implicit in this conceptual model, the most
important of which is that dayside open field lines can cease moving antisunward on short (few minute) time scales. In this section we present some EISCAT observations of dayside polar cap flow which confirm that this does indeed occur.

Under usual conditions the EISCAT Polar field of view (invariant latitude ~ 71°-75°) lies within the polar cap only before dawn and after dusk [Lockwood et al., 1986b, 1988]. Near noon very low flows are usually observed as the field of view is well equatorward of the cusp and lies between the dawn and the dusk cells [Willis et al., 1986; Todd et al., 1988b]. However, on rare occasions the dayside polar cap has been observed within the Polar field of view. On January 12, 1988, the polar cap was sufficiently expanded that the cusp was immediately poleward of the field of view at noon [Lockwood et al., 1989a, b; Sandholt et al., 1990], and the polar cap was entered by mid afternoon. Unfortunately, it is not possible to relate all the variations of the flow which were observed during this interval to the concurrent behavior of the IMF, due to the lack of continuous coverage of data from the IMP-8 spacecraft during the interval studied. Nevertheless, important conclusions can still be drawn from the EISCAT data, and the inferences which are made are based on the behavior of the IMF during the data gap are supported by some simultaneous flow measurements from the Sondrestrom fjord radar.

On this day EISCAT was operating in Common Programme CP-4 mode, an experiment which is identical to Polar except that line-of-sight velocities are recorded every 10 s, rather than 15 s (see van Eyken et al. [1984] and Willis et al. [1986] for a complete description of Polar). The radar beam is pointed at a low elevation (21.5°) to the north of the transmitter site at Tromsø and is swung between two azimuths 12° to either side of the local L shell meridian. The radar dwells for 2 min at each azimuth and takes 30 s to move between them, giving a full cycle time of 5 min. The return signal from the F region ionosphere is divided into range gates covering the range of invariant latitude 70.8° to about 75° (depending on signal strength) in 0.6° steps. To give field-perpendicular convection vectors, the 12 10 s-line-of-sight velocities for each dwell are averaged, and the value of one azimuth is combined with a value for the other azimuth which is linearly interpolated from the values for the preceding and following dwells. This application of the beam-swinging technique gives a vector every 2.5 min for each range gate but introduces some spurious flows and smoothing when large spatial and/or temporal gradients are present (see Lockwood et al. [1988] and Etemadi et al. [1989], respectively). Spurious vectors can be examined by examining the temporal variation of derived flows from one gate and by comparing with results from adjacent gates to check, respectively, for the changes and shears which introduce errors. A further check is to consider the line-of-sight velocities [Todd et al., 1988b; Lockwood and Cowley, 1988]. Note, however, a smoothing effect is always present. As a result of these checks we can confirm that the data described below represent 3-point running means of valid 2.5-min flow vectors.

The top panel of Figure 2 shows flow data for 0914 UT on January 12, 1988, obtained by the above procedure. As MLT ~ UT +2.75 hours for the field of view, these observations cover the afternoon sector from near noon to just before dusk. In order to reduce congestion of the largely west-east directed flows, each vector has been rotated clockwise by 90° (such that westward flows, along the L shell, are plotted pointing to the top of the figure, whereas northward flows are to the right). Hence the vectors shown correspond to the ionospheric electric field which is associated with the flow. Also shown in the upper panel of Figure 2 is the approximate location of the poleward edge of 630-nm auroral emissions, as determined from simultaneous observations by a meridian scanning photometer at Ny-Alesund, Spitzbergen (P. E. Sandholt, private communication, 1988). These positions are calculated from the zenith angle above which the 630-nm emission intensity falls to the background level (below 1 kR) for an assumed emission altitude of 250 km. (See Sandholt [1988] for a review of photometer observations of the cleft/cusp.

The middle panel of Figure 2 shows the potential observed between radar gates 1 and 7 [the nearest and furthest gates shown in Figure 2a, Φ17]. This value is obtained by integrating the observed northward electric field across the north-south dimension of the radar field of view. Positive Φ17 indicates that the potential in gate 1 exceeds that in gate 7 such that the flow between them is predominantly westward. The bottom three panels of Figure 2 show the magnitude (Bp), azimuth (θ) and elevation (φ) of the IMF, in GSE coordinates, observed simultaneously by the IMF-8 spacecraft (R. P. Lepping, private communication, 1988). At this time, IMF-8 was located near the dawn meridian at GSE coordinates X = -4.5 Rg, Y = -33.0 Rg and Z = 8.0 Rg.

It can be seen that prior to about 1120 UT, the flows are consistently westward within the radar field of view but variable in magnitude. We thus infer that during this interval the radar is predominantly observing the auroral zone flow in the dusk convection cell. However, it should be noted that the auroral cusp/cleft emissions lie just poleward of the radar field of view, which may therefore at times also contain open field lines. The IMF Bp component was continuously positive during this period as can be seen from the azimuth of the IMF vector (Bp = 10 nT in GSM coordinates), so that westward flows are expected to be present on newly reconnected northern hemisphere open cusp field lines, due to the tension of the magnetic field [Jorgensen et al., 1972; Cowley, 1981; Saunders, 1989]. The detailed relationship of the flow bursts (seen most clearly in the peaks in Φ17), to transient dayside aurorae and the IMF during this period has been discussed recently by Lockwood et al. [1989a, b] and Sandholt et al. [1990], and will not be repeated here, other than to note that the combined data sets provide very strong evidence that these bursts represent the ionospheric signatures of flux transfer events at the magnetopause. Figure 3a provides a simple sketch of the inferred convection pattern at this time. The thin solid lines show flow streamlines and the heavy lines the open/closed field line boundary, the dashed part of which is the ionospheric projection of the magnetopause neutral line (i.e., the merging gap). The pair of short lines drawn from the point marked T (for Tromsø) represent the two beam directions of the Polar experiment, and the shaded region gives the latitude range covered by gates 1 to 7.

The major concern of this section is the sequence of events after 1120 UT, which commences with a rotation of the vectors derived for gate 7 from westward to eastward via northward. It should be noted that the beamswinging technique will tend to give rise to such a rotation of the derived vectors, even if the reversal which moves over the field of view is, in reality, a shear. Lockwood et al. [1988] have modeled this effect and have shown that an apparent slowing of the flow and a spurious northward flow at the boundary will be caused by a moving shear reversal. The data shown in Figure 2a are consistent with such a shear (adiabatic) reversal moving equatorward over gate.
Fig. 2. Data from the EISCAT Common Programme CP-4 (Polar) experiment on January 12, 1988. The top panel shows flow vectors, which have been rotated clockwise by 90° to avoid congestion, such that northward flow points to the right and westward flow up the page (i.e., the vectors have been drawn in the direction of the convection electric field). Vectors are shown for gates 1 to 7 as a function of invariant latitude and UT (MLT + UT + 2.75 hours). The dashed line gives the location of the poleward edge of the 630-nm cleft aurora (intensity 1 kR), as observed from Ny Alesund, Spitzbergen, for an assumed emission altitude of 250 km. The middle panel shows the electric potential between radar gates 1 and 7, obtained by integrating the north-south electric field, associated with the east-west flow, across the field of view. A positive value indicates predominantly westward flow. The three bottom panels give the magnitude (|B|), azimuth (φ), and elevation (θ) angles (GSE), respectively, of the IMF vector observed simultaneously by the IMP-8 spacecraft located at GSE (X,Y,Z) = (-4.5, -33.0, 8.0) R_E. The times marked a-d refer to the flow schematics shown in Figure 3.

7 at about 1125 UT. Similar reversals were subsequently observed at nearer range gates as the boundary moved across the entire field of view in 15 min, giving eastward flow at all range gates by about 1140 UT. This motion of the boundary, and the direction of the flow observed within the polar cap, is consistent with a change in the IMF By component which was observed by IMP-8 at 1126 UT (see Lockwood et al. [1989b] for calculations of the satellite-to-radar propagation delays on
For $B_y < 0$ the magnetic tension effect on open flux tubes produces eastward flow in the northern hemisphere cusp, and spacecraft observations have shown that the polar cap boundary is displaced toward dusk under these conditions [Cowley, 1981; Cowley and Hughes, 1983]. Hence we interpret the flows seen after the change in IMF $B_y$ with Figure 3b, where the eastward flows in all range gates at 1140 UT are depicted as being wholly on open field lines. In principle, a viscous-like interaction could allow at least some of this flow to be located on closed field lines. However, it should be noted that the voltage across the radar field of view is $\Phi_{\perp} = -55$ kV at this time. We are not aware of any observations which suggest that viscous-like mechanisms can generate a voltage this large across closed boundary layers. Measured values indicate that the total viscously-driven voltages are no more than 1-10 kV [Mozer, 1984], consistent with ground-based observations indicating potential differences of 3.5 kV over 1 hour MLT segments of the polar cap boundary [Lockwood et al., 1988].

Shortly after the eastward flow is established in all range gates, a rapid slowing of the flow was observed, which occurred approximately simultaneously in all range gates. From peak eastward flow speeds of 2-3 km s$^{-1}$, the flow decayed to a few hundred m s$^{-1}$ northward in only 5-10 min. During this decline the flux tubes in the poleward part of the radar field of view (inferred from the above discussion to be open) generally moved east by a distance which is less than the distance between the two radar beams. For example, for gate 7 the two scattering volumes are 410 km apart, whereas the flux tubes moved only 300 km eastward during the decline in flow. In effect, therefore, individual flux tubes slowed from 2 to 3 km s$^{-1}$, almost to rest in about 5-10 min, whilst mainly remaining within the radar field of view. Hence these observations show in a direct way that the flow of open field lines in the ionosphere can decay from large values to essentially nothing within 5-10 min. This time scale is, of course, close to the resolution limit of the vector radar data. However, examination of the 10-s line-of-sight velocities (not shown here) gives a similar time scale for the decay as for the vectors shown in Figure 2.

Because of the gap in the IMF data, we cannot be certain of the cause of this change in the flow. By the time IMF data become available again (1315 UT) both IMF $B_y$ and $B_z$ had changed sense (see IMF $\phi$ and $\theta$ angles in Figure 2). From the EISCAT data we infer that the flow change was in fact due to a change in IMF $B_y$, because westward flow persisted in range gate 1 after the slowing of the flow in the polar cap, thus suggesting the flow pattern shown in Figure 3c. The cap boundary at the MLT of the observations is shown as adiabatic because the northward flow observed in gates 4 to 7 was roughly 300 m s$^{-1}$ which is close to the velocity of 200 m s$^{-1}$ with which the boundary returned poleward over gates 1 and 2.

The interpretation given by Figure 3c is supported by simultaneous data taken by the Sondrestrom radar (O. de la Beaujardiere and C. R. Clauer, private communications, 1988). These
observations commence at 1213 UT (i.e., 20 min after the decay of polar cap flow observed by EISCAT) and show flows near 0930 MLT which are consistent with Figure 3c, with the sunward apex of the dawn cell lying equatorward of strong (2-3 km s$^{-1}$) north westward flow.

This flow pattern was then maintained until roughly 1250 UT, when we infer that a northward turning of the IMF reached the magnetopause. Subsequently, the polar cap boundary moved smoothly poleward, and by 1315 UT (when the IMF is known to be northward), weaker (<1 km s$^{-1}$) westward (auroral zone) flow was established in all range gates. During this period, Sondrestrom observed a series of flow decays and enhancements as it scanned through invariant latitudes below 78°, but by 1315 UT the flow had all but disappeared. At latitudes above 78° the flow speeds were maintained but rotated from north-westward to westward during this period. We conclude that Sondrestrømfjord, like EISCAT, observed the decay of the convection flow cells driven by dayside reconnection at the subaural magnetopause (as shown schematically in Figure 3d), but also observed the establishment of a "lobe" cell at the highest latitudes (also shown in Figure 3d), due to the onset of reconnection at the northern tail lobe magnetopause following the northward turning of the IMF; the westward flows are consistent with the positive IMF $B_y$ observed.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

The principal aim of this paper is to point out that a change is required in the paradigm used to interpret observations of high-latitude ionospheric convection. In recent years, the recognition of the dominant role played by the orientation and reconnection at the northern tail lobe magnetopause following the northward turning of the IMF; the westward flows are consistent with the positive IMF $B_y$ observed.

The decoupled nature of the two flow systems is principally made manifest in ground-based data in the different time scales with which they respond to changes in the IMF. High-resolution studies of the dayside flow system using the EISCAT Polar and CP-4 experiments have shown that these flows respond rapidly to changes in the IMF, with both their excitation and decay. For example, following a southward turning of the magnetic field at the subaural magnetopause, a new flow pattern is excited near noon within a few minutes and has been observed to expand, establishing large-scale flow of the type shown in Figure 1a within 10-15 min.

The magnitude and detailed form of these flows are determined by the IMF $B_z$ and $B_y$ components. Similarly, following a northward turning of the IMF, this flow pattern is found to decay on a time scale of about 10 min. Bearing in mind that some open flux is always present in the polar cap and that a typical transit time of an open flux tube across the polar cap is 2-4 hours, we must conclude that only newly opened flux tubes are effective in generating flow in the ionosphere. The data indicate that the production of newly opened flux tubes at the dayside magnetopause generates flow only for a period of about 10 min. During this interval the open tubes typically move only ~ 600 km in the ionosphere, i.e. to an invariant latitude about 5° poleward of the merging gap. Also during this interval the point where the same flux tube threads the magnetopause will move a total distance of only ~ 30 $R_E$ from the reconnection line at the nose of the magnetosheath, to an X coordinate of about -10 $R_E$. This distance compares with a total tail length of typically ~ 500 to 1000 $R_E$. Clearly, such transfers of magnetic flux from the dayside magnetosphere into the tail will disturb the equilibrium of the near-Earth field and plasma configuration and will excite large-scale flows in this region as observed, as the system adjusts to the new flux configuration. However, the inference appears to be that once an open tube has been carried into the near-Earth tail lobe, its further stretching downstream by the magnetosheath flow produces little additional change in the near-Earth configuration, and thus, of itself, little further near-Earth flow. The subsequent motion of these open tubes in the near-Earth regime will then mainly be in response to subsequent flux transfers to and from the tail due, for example, to dayside and nightside reconnection, respectively, rather than being due in any direct way to the fact that the magnetosheath "ends" of these tubes are being carried continu-
ously in the antisolar direction by the magnetosheath flow. In particular, if no further flux transfers to or from the tail occur, then convective flows will cease in the near-Earth magnetosphere and ionosphere, even though open flux continues to be present. In this situation a large electric field will of course be present transverse to the open field lines in the magnetosheath and solar wind, yet the electric field in the ionosphere on the same field lines will be essentially zero. The implication is just that the electric field has a curl such that the tail magnetic field configuration is changing with time. This change corresponds simply to the continuous antisol lar stretching of the open flux tubes by the solar wind flow.

Because it is associated with the storage and release of energy in the geomagnetic tail, the nightside flow and current system should be less directly controlled by the IMF. From studies of isolated substorms, however, it has been found that there is a characteristic response delay of ~30-60 min after a southward turning of the IMF, compared with the 1-2 min for the dayside response near noon. Rapid reconnection in the tail which occurs in the expansion phase of a substorm thus typically begins after a growth phase lasting several tens of minutes during which only the dayside flow pattern is enhanced, the polar cap expands and there is a net transfer of flux into the tail. During the expansion phase the nightside flow pattern is enhanced and the polar cap contracts. To date, most studies of the response of the nightside system have employed geomagnetic disturbance data. It is therefore highly desirable that in future the high-time resolution radar flow measurements be extended to also cover the nightside, as has recently been initiated by Williams et al. [1989].

The present state of knowledge, however, is sufficient to show that a sequence of flow patterns ordered by the strength and orientation of the IMF, is an inherently inadequate description of high-latitude convection. Rather, the flow should be viewed as the superposition of two inherently time-dependent patterns, one of which dominates the dayside high-latitude ionosphere and responds to the IMF on time scales of about 10 min while the other is dominant in the nightside high-latitude ionosphere, is less directly related to the IMF and has response lags of about an hour. (Note, however, that the "nightside" pattern still has some influence on dayside flows and vice versa). The former pattern is associated with expansion of the polar cap, and the latter with its contraction. In the general case, both flow systems will be present, and the variation of the polar cap area with time will be determined by the difference between the voltages across the dayside and nightside merging gaps in their respective rest frames, in accordance with Faraday's Law. The voltages along the merging gaps (in the Earth's frame) also determine the relative strengths of the dayside and nightside flow patterns. With this view of convection, it is clear that no unique flow pattern can be associated with any given IMF vector, since even if the dayside flow pattern can be taken to adjust rapidly to the prevailing IMF conditions, the overall flow will still depend on the history of the IMF for two reasons. First, the nightside flows have a much longer response time to IMF changes, and second, the flow locations will depend upon the absolute size (and shape) of the polar cap. The first of these two effects may account for at least some of the scatter in the cross-cap voltage measurements obtained for a given IMF $B_y$ (see the reviews by Cowley [1982, 1984, 1986], and Reiff and Luhmann [1986]). In the study by Wygant et al. [1983], the residual cross-cap voltage showed considerable scatter at a given time following a northward turning of the IMF. This scatter is readily explained by the variety of satellite orbits included in their study (covering roughly 20° about the center of the polar cap in the X direction); the decay time will be longer for passes across the nightside polar cap, where the nightside system may be maintained by release of energy stored in the geomagnetic tail.

5. Predictions

In the previous sections we have shown how the proposed concept of high-latitude convection readily accounts for the observed properties of high-latitude flows and current systems and their response to changes in interplanetary conditions. However, a number of predictions are also suggested by this picture, as enumerated below:

1. The region 1 currents should show two distinct parts, with peaks near the ends of the dayside and nightside merging gaps. These two systems should show very different response times to changes in IMF $B_y$.

2. The decay time of the cross-cap voltage following a northward turning of the IMF, as estimated from low-altitude spacecraft data, will vary from a few minutes for passes just poleward of the dayside merging gap to an hour or more for passes just poleward of the nightside merging gap, due to continuing flows associated with nightside reconnection.

3. Bursts of dayside reconnection in "flux transfer events" will only be visible in the ionosphere while they are generating flows which are enhanced relative to the background flow [Southwood, 1987, 1989]. Our results indicate that this situation will persist for at most ~10 min and hence that such transients will be short-lived and confined to a narrow region just poleward of the cleft. Their displacement in the ionosphere during this interval will thus generally be by an amount which is not much greater than their own spatial extent.

4. The last prediction concerns the rapidity of the response of flows in the central polar cap to changes in IMF $B_y$. The response to IMF $B_y$ near the pole will be complex as the nightside and dayside patterns will have roughly equal influence. However, it is well known that the flow in the central polar cap exhibits dawn-dusk asymmetries which depend upon the magnitude and sense of the IMF $B_z$ component. On the basis of the concepts presented here we predict that this asymmetry should respond directly and rapidly (within 10-15 min) to changes in the $B_z$ component. Hence, following a change in sense of IMF $B_z$, the polar cap flow will exhibit the asymmetry associated with the new sense of $B_z$ within this period, even though most of the polar cap flux tubes remain connected to an IMF of the opposite polarity (because they were connected before the IMF $B_y$ change).
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