

Profile of European adults interested in internet-based personalized nutrition: The Food4Me Study

Article

Accepted Version

Livingstone, K., Celis-Morales, C., Navas-Carretero, S., San-Cristobal, R., O'Donovan, C., Forster, H., Woolhead, C., Marsaux, C., Macready, A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0368-9336, Fallaize, R., Kolossa, S., Tsirigoti, L., Lambrinou, C., Moschonis, G., Godlewska, M., Surwiłło, A., Drevon, C., Manios, Y., Traczyk, I., Gibney, E., Brennan, L., Walsh, M., Lovegrove, J. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7633-9455, Martinez, J., Saris, W., Daniel, H., Gibney, M. and Mathers, J. (2016) Profile of European adults interested in internet-based personalized nutrition: The Food4Me Study. European Journal of Nutrition, 55 (2). pp. 759-769. ISSN 1436-6215 doi: 10.1007/s00394-015-0897-y Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/39976/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00394-015-0897-y

Publisher: Springer



All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

| 1 | Titl | e |
|---|------|---|
|---|------|---|

2 Profile of European adults interested in internet-based personalized nutrition: The

3 Food4Me Study

4

5 Author names

- 6 Katherine M. Livingstone, Carlos Celis-Morales, Santiago Navas-Carretero, Rodrigo San-
- 7 Cristobal, Clare B. O'Donovan, Hannah Forster, Clara Woolhead, Cyril F.M. Marsaux, Anna L.
- 8 Macready, Rosalind Fallaize, Silvia Kolossa, Lydia Tsirigoti, Christina P. Lambrinou, George
- 9 Moschonis, Magdalena Godlewska, Agnieszka Surwiłło, Christian A. Drevon, Yannis Manios,
- 10 Iwona Traczyk, Eileen R. Gibney, Lorraine Brennan, Marianne C. Walsh, Julie A. Lovegrove, J.
- 11 Alfredo Martinez, Wim H. Saris, Hannelore Daniel, Mike Gibney, John C. Mathers, on behalf
- 12 of the Food4Me Study.
- 13

14 Author affiliations

- 15 Human Nutrition Research Centre, Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University,
- 16 Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK (KML, katherine.livingstone@newcastle.ac.uk; CCM,
- 17 carlos.celis@newcastle.ac.uk; JCM, John.Mathers@newcastle.ac.uk)
- 18 Center for Nutrition Research, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain; CIBER Fisiopatología
- 19 Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBERobn), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain (SNC,
- 20 snavas@unav.es; RSC, rsan.1@alumni.unav.es; JAM, jalfmtz@unav.es)
- 21 UCD Institute of Food and Health, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Republic of
- 22 Ireland (CBD, cbhodonovan@hotmail.com; HF, hannah.forster@ucdconnect.ie; CW,
- 23 clara.woolhead@ucdconnect.ie; EG, eileen.gibney@ucd.ie; LB, lorraine.brennan@ucd.ie;
- 24 MCW, marianne.walsh@ucd.ie; MG, mike.gibney@ucd.ie)

- 25 Department of Human Biology, NUTRIM School for Nutrition, Toxicology and Metabolism,
- 26 Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, the Netherlands (CFMM,
- 27 c.marsaux@maastrichtuniversity.nl; WHMS, w.saris@maastrichtuniversity.nl)
- 28 Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition and Institute for Cardiovascular and Metabolic
- 29 Research, University of Reading, Reading, UK (ALM, a.l.macready@reading.ac.uk; RF,
- 30 R.Fallaize@pgr.reading.ac.uk; JAL, j.a.lovegrove@reading.ac.uk)
- 31 ZIEL Research Center of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Biochemistry Unit, Technische
- 32 Universität München, Germany (SK, silvia.kolossa@tum.de; HD, hannelore.daniel@tum.de)
- 33 Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Harokopio University, Athens, Greece (LT,
- 34 tsirigoti.lydia@gmail.com; CPL, cplambrinos@gmail.com; GM, gmoschi@hua.gr; YM,
- 35 manios@hua.gr)
- 36 National Food & Nutrition Institute (IZZ), Poland (MG, mgodlewska@izz.waw.pl; AS,
- 37 asurwillo@izz.waw.pl; IT, itraczyk@izz.waw.pl)
- 38 Department of Nutrition, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University
- 39 of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (CAD, c.a.drevon@medisin.uio.no)

40

- 41 **Pubmed indexing:** Livingstone; Celis-Morales; Navas-Carretero; San-Cristobal; O'Donovan;
- 42 Foster; Woolhead; Marsaux; Macready; Fallaize; Kolossa; Tsirigoti; Lambrinou; Moschonis;
- 43 Godlewska; Surwiłło; Drevon; Manios; Traczyk; Gibney; Brennan; Walsh; Lovegrove,
- 44 Martinez; Saris; Daniel; Gibney; Mathers

45

- 46 **Corresponding author; request for reprints**
- 47 Professor John C. Mathers
- 48 Human Nutrition Research Centre

- 49 Institute of Cellular Medicine
- 50 Newcastle University
- 51 Biomedical Research Building
- 52 Campus for Ageing and Vitality
- 53 Newcastle upon Tyne
- 54 NE4 5PL
- 55 john.mathers@newcastle.ac.uk
- 56 Tel: +44 (0) 1912481133 Fax: +44 (0) 1912481101
- 57 **Running title:** Individuals interested in personalized nutrition
- 58

59

I

1 Abstract (words count= 250)

2 Purpose

3 Personalised intervention may have greater potential for reducing the global burden of non-4 communicable diseases and for promoting better health and wellbeing across the life-span 5 than the conventional "one size fits all" approach. However, the characteristics of 6 individuals interested in personalised nutrition (PN) are unclear. Therefore, the aim of this 7 study was to describe the characteristics of European adults interested in taking part in an 8 internet-based PN study. 9

10 Methods

Individuals from seven European countries (UK, Ireland, Germany, the Netherlands, Spain, 11 12 Greece and Poland) were invited to participate in the study via the Food4Me website 13 (<u>http://www.food4me.org</u>). Two screening questionnaires were used to collect data on 14 socio-demographic, anthropometric and health characteristics as well as dietary intakes.

15

Results 16

17 A total of 5662 individuals expressed an interest in the study (mean age 40 ± 12.7; range 15-18 87 years). Of these 64.6% were female and 96.9% were Caucasian. Overall, 12.9% were 19 smokers and 46.8% reported the presence of a clinically diagnosed disease. Furthermore, 20 46.9% were overweight or obese and 34.9% were sedentary during leisure time. Assessment of dietary intakes showed that 54.3% of individuals reported consuming at least 5 portions 21

- of fruit and vegetables per day, 45.9% consumed more than 3 servings of wholegrains and
- 23 37.2% limited their salt intake to less than 5.75g per day.
- 24

25 Conclusions

- 26 Our data indicate that individuals volunteering to participate in an internet-based PN study
- are broadly representative of the European adult population, most of whom had adequate
- 28 nutrient intakes but who could benefit from improved dietary choices and greater physical
- 29 activity. Future use of internet-based PN approaches is thus relevant to a wide target
- 30 audience.
- 31
- 32 Trial registration Clinicaltrials.gov NCT01530139
- 33 (http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01530139)
- 34 Key Words Personalised nutrition, European profile, tailored intervention, internet-based,
- 35 randomized controlled trial.

Non-communicable diseases (NCD), are the leading cause of death and are responsible for 37 38 36 million global deaths annually [1]. With modifiable risk factors estimated to account for 39 over 80% of premature deaths from CVD and cerebrovascular disease [2], lifestyle-based interventions, including diet and physical activity, have been identified as an effective 40 strategy for minimising the burden of NCD [3]. However, realising this potential will require 41 42 the development, testing and implementation of much more effective behaviour change interventions than are used conventionally [4-6]. To achieve such changes, interventions will 43 need to move from a conventional "one size fits all" approach to more predictive, 44 personalised, preventive and participatory interventions [7]. The concept of personalised 45 nutrition (PN) has been developed based on emerging understanding of the interactions 46 47 between diet, phenotype and genes on health [8]. In contrast with conventional 'one-size fits all' approaches to dietary intervention, PN aims to provide advice on an individual (or 48 49 group) basis that is tailored to specific needs based on knowledge of current diet and phenotypic and/or genotypic information. However, public acceptability will be a key 50 prerequisite for the successful implementation of PN [9]. A survey of 6000 individuals across 51 eight European countries found that 27% of individuals were willing to undertake genetic 52 53 testing for the purpose of PN [10]. The internet offers substantial opportunities for cost-54 effective implementation of PN intervention strategies with the potential for scalability and 55 reach [6]. With an estimated 85% of the European population now using the internet[11], knowledge of the characteristics of individuals who would be interested in receiving PN 56 57 advice via the internet would be valuable for planning future lifestyle-based interventions aiming to reduce health inequalities and to improve overall public health. 58

The Food4Me Proof of Principle (PoP) Study is an internet-based randomized controlled trial conducted across seven European countries designed to compare the effects of different levels of PN on dietary behaviour and other health-related outcomes [12]. The present paper describes the characteristics of individuals interested in internet-based PN advice who were screened for inclusion in the Food4Me PoP Study.

64

65 Materials and methods

The present paper outlines responses to the screening questionnaires provided by
individuals who indicated an interest in participating in the Food4Me PoP randomized
controlled trial. The protocol for the Food4Me PoP Study has been published elsewhere
[12].

70

71 Participant recruitment

72 Recruitment was conducted between July 2013 and February 2014 across seven European 73 countries, via the internet, to emulate an internet-based PN service. Participants indicated 74 their interest in joining the study by voluntarily registering their details on the Food4Me 75 website (<u>http://www.food4me.org/</u>), which was set up for the purposes of the study (see 76 Online Resource 1, Figure S1). The Food4Me PoP recruitment sites were as follows: University College Dublin (Ireland); Maastricht University (the Netherlands); University of 77 Navarra (Spain); Harokopio University (Greece); University of Reading (United Kingdom; UK); 78 79 National Food and Nutrition Institute (Poland); Technische Universität München (Germany).

| 80 | Local and national advertising of the study via the internet, radio, posters, e-flyers, social |
|-----|---|
| 81 | media and word of mouth were used to aid recruitment (see Online Resource 1, Figure S2). |
| 82 | |
| 83 | Screening Questionnaires |
| 84 | Once participants registered their details on the Food4Me website and consented to take |
| 85 | part in the study, they were assigned a unique username and password and asked to |
| 86 | complete two online screening questionnaires. |
| 87 | |
| 88 | First Screening Questionnaire |
| 89 | The first screening questionnaire contained nine items on one screen. Individuals were |
| 90 | asked to provide their age and sex, as well as information on internet access, pregnancy, |
| 91 | food intolerances and allergies, since these data were used as exclusion criteria for the later |
| 92 | randomized controlled trial (RCT). |
| 93 | |
| 94 | Second Screening Questionnaire |
| 95 | Participants eligible for inclusion in the RCT completed a second online questionnaire. The |
| 96 | primary purpose of this questionnaire was to collect detailed socio-demographic, health, |
| 97 | anthropometric and dietary data. Following completion of this questionnaire, participants |
| 98 | were asked to complete a screening food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) to estimate |
| 99 | habitual dietary intake. The online Food4Me FFQ included 157 food items consumed |
| 100 | frequently in each of the seven recruitment countries and intakes of foods and nutrients |
| 101 | were computed in real time using a food composition database. The FFQ and food |
| 102 | composition database were developed and validated specifically for the Food4Me PoP study |

l

[13,14]. In the present analysis, dietary intakes of foods and food groups were assessed
against six dietary recommendations: eat at least 5 portions of fruit and vegetables every
day; eat at least 3 portions of wholegrain products every day; eat at least 1 portion of oily
fish per week; eat less than 3 portions of red meat and processed meat per week; consume
less than 5.75g/day of salt and consume less than 10% energy from sugars.

108

109 Anthropometric measurements and physical activity

Body weight and height were self-measured and self-reported by participants via the 110 111 internet. Occupational and non-occupational physical activity were self-reported via the internet prior to completion of the FFQ. Participants were asked to categorise their 112 113 occupational physical activity as light (e.g. administrative and managerial), moderate (e.g. 114 sales worker) or heavy (e.g. equipment operator) and their non-occupational physical 115 activity as sedentary (little walking/cycling/exercise), moderately active (intense exercise 116 lasting 20-45 minutes at least twice per week) or very active (intense exercise lasting at least 117 an hour per day).

118

119 Ethical approval and participant consent

The Research Ethics Committees at each University or Research Centre delivering the intervention granted ethical approval for the study. The Food4Me trial was registered as a Randomized Clinical Trial (NCT01530139) at Clinicaltrials.gov. All participants who expressed an interest in the study were asked to sign online consent forms at two stages in the screening process: prior to submitting any details and prior to the screening FFQ. These consent forms were automatically directed to the local study investigators to be countersigned and archived. All Ethical Committees accepted an online informed consent procedure, except for The Netherlands and Germany whose ethics committees requested an additional written informed consent form for participants who registered to participate in the study. In the latter countries, hard copy consent forms were sent by post to the respective recruitment centres. Personal information from respondents was stored on a secure, password-protected server.

132

133 Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using Stata (version 13; StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA). Results from descriptive analyses are presented as means and SD for continuous variables or as percentages for categorical variables. Chi squared tests and multinomial regression analyses were used to test for significant differences across categorical variables. For multinomial comparisons across countries, the overall average was used as the reference group. ANOVA and Fisher-Hayter pairwise comparisons were used for continuous variables. Results were deemed significant at P<0.05.

141

142 Results

143 Participant characteristics at first screening

144 A total of 5562 individuals registered their name and contact details on the Food4Me

145 website (<u>http://www.food4me.org/</u>) and a total of 5442 individuals completed the first

screening questionnaire (Tables 1 and 2). The completion rate for this questionnaire was

147 88.6% with 120 Dutch participants choosing to not proceed to the first screening

questionnaire. Of the individuals who consented to participate in the study, 64.6% were
female and 64.0 % were below 45 years of age.

150

| 151 | A total of 1631 individuals were ineligible for the subsequent RCT based on the first |
|-----|---|
| 152 | screening questionnaire. This was due mainly to having a food allergy or intolerance and/or |
| 153 | not completing the second screening questionnaire (Figure 1). Reported food allergies and |
| 154 | intolerances were more common among females than males (Table 1). Inter-country |
| 155 | differences for the prevalence of therapeutic diets, food allergies and intolerances are |
| 156 | presented in Table 2. The most common means of recruitment to the study was through |
| 157 | magazines and newspaper articles, followed by word of mouth, but this varied by country |
| 158 | and age group. Social media were responsible for recruiting more than three times as many |
| 159 | individuals under, than over, the age of 45 years (Table 1). |

160

161 Participant characteristics at second screening

Characteristics of the 3811 subjects who completed the second screening questionnaire are 162 163 summarised in Tables 3 and 4. The completion rate for this questionnaire was 68.5% with 1751 individuals choosing to not proceed to the second screening questionnaire. The profile 164 165 of these participants was similar to that of the whole cohort who expressed an initial 166 interest in the Food4Me study: 62.4% were female and 62.8% were younger than 45 years of age. The percentage of females at this screening stage was more comparable across 167 countries (range 56.6-73.8%) than at the initial screening (range 48.7-77.3%). We observed 168 that 96.9% of the participants were Caucasian. 169

170

171 Obesity prevalence and reported health status

Nearly half (46.9%) of participants were classified as overweight or obese but this 172 173 proportion varied considerably by sex, age and country (Table 3 and 4). As summarised in 174 Tables 5 and 6, nearly half (44.6%) of individuals reported that they were on medication: 33.2% on prescribed and 11.5% non-prescribed medication. Prescribed and non-prescribed 175 medication use was higher in females than males (38% vs. 25% and 13.1% vs. 8.7% 176 177 respectively) and higher in individuals over the age of 45 years (44.8% vs. 26.3% and 14.5% vs. 9.6% respectively; see Online Resource 1 Table S1). Prescribed medication use was 178 179 higher in Germany (38.5%) and The Netherlands (47.4%) and lower in Spain (28.4%), 180 compared with overall, whereas non-prescribed medication use was higher in Poland (17.9%) and Germany (16.3%), compared with overall (see Online Resource 1 Table S2). In 181 182 addition, 47.3% of individuals indicated that they were suffering from one or more clinically diagnosed diseases. Overall, 19.9% of individuals reported having an allergy, with the 183 184 highest prevalence in Spain (26.9%) and lowest in Ireland (13.3%). Furthermore, 9.3% of 185 individuals reported high blood pressure which was more common in males than in females (12.6% vs. 7.3%), and among individuals over, than under, the age of 45 years (18.9% vs. 186 3.6%; see Online Resource 1 Table S1). The prevalence of type I or type II diabetes was only 187 188 0.9 %, but was higher in individuals over, than under, the age of 45 years (1.8% vs. 0.4%). On 189 average, 12.9% of individuals were current smokers and smoking prevalence was more than 190 five times higher in Greece than in the UK (see Online Resource 1 Table S1).

191

192 Reasons for interest in the Food4Me PoP Study

193 Nearly three quarters of the individuals (75.4%) indicated an interest in the Food4Me study 194 because they were interested in PN, while 80.7% were interested in learning about what 195 foods were best for them (Table 4). These results varied little by sex but slightly more 196 individuals under, than over, the age of 45 years were interested in PN (Table 3). Just over 197 half of individuals (50.6%) indicated that their reason for registering with the study was due 198 to a desire to lose (48.8%) or, much less commonly, gain (1.9%) weight. When asked if their 199 interest was due to concerns for their health and well-being, up to 87.5% of the participants 200 selected this option (Table 4). The proportion of individuals interested in health and wellbeing did not vary much by sex but was slightly higher in individuals over, than under, the 201 202 age of 45 years (Table 3).

203

204 Dietary intake and physical activity characteristics

205 A total of 2764 individuals provided complete data on dietary intake and PA at screening. 206 The completion rate for this questionnaire was 77.3%, with 811 individuals choosing not to 207 complete the screening FFQ after providing a second consent. Comparisons of screenees' 208 dietary intakes with current dietary recommendations in Europe that were used in this study are presented in the Online Resource 1 Table S3, Figure S3-S6. Regarding fruit and 209 210 vegetables intake 54.3% of individuals reported consuming at least five portions per day and the mean intake of the cohort (651.4g, SD 488.6) was greater than the WHO/FAO 211 recommended minimum of 400g per day [15]. Just under half of participants (45.9%) 212 213 consumed at least three portions of wholegrains per day. A third of participants (36.3%) consumed more than one portion of oily fish per week. Two thirds (66.2%) of individuals 214 215 consumed less than three portions (450g) of red or processed meat weekly. Furthermore,

only 37.2% of individuals consumed less than 5.75g of salt per day (mean 7.56 g, SD 4.88).

Overall, only 2.1% of participants consumed less than 10% energy from sugars. Nearly three

218 quarters (72.9 %) of individuals reported being in light/sedentary occupations, whereas only

219 34.9% of individuals were sedentary during leisure time (Table 3 and 4).

220

221 Discussion

222

223 Main findings

The present paper characterised the 5562 individuals who registered interest in

225 participating in the Food4Me PoP PN intervention. Our main findings are that the European

individuals interested in participating in an online PN study were not restricted to one

227 specific group of individuals. Potential volunteers in the Food4Me PoP Study were broadly

228 representative of the European adult population, most of whom had adequate nutrient

intakes but could benefit from improved dietary choices and more physical activity to

reduce their risk of common non-communicable diseases [16].

231

232 Comparison with other studies

The Food4Me PoP study is the first pan-European internet-based PN intervention study to collect detailed characteristics of individuals who would be interested in using such a service. However, a recent study across six European countries indicated that individuals over 65 years of age would be more interested in undertaking a genetic test for the purpose of PN than adults aged 25 to 34 years (55% vs. 28.5%) [10]. Our findings identified that interest in PN was a strong motivator for participating in the study, and that this was comparable across ages, sexes and countries. Stewart-Knox *et al.* [10] found that slightly
more (2.2%) females than males would be interested in having a genetic test done for the
purposes of PN. Our findings confirm these results and suggest that females are more
interested in participating in nutrition interventions [17], including those delivered via the
internet [18]. Furthermore, we observed that females were more likely than men to be
interested in participating in this study because of a desire to lose weight.

The percentage of overweight adults in different European countries ranges between 30% and 70% [19] and the prevalence of obesity in Europe is between 4% and 36.5%, with higher prevalence in Central, Eastern, and Southern Europe than in Western and Northern Europe [20]. Recent estimates from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development suggest that the average prevalence of obesity among EU adults is 16.6% [21], which is similar to the prevalence of obesity in individuals who registered to participate in the present study (15.7%).

There is strong evidence in support of an inverse relationship between PA and CVD risk [22]. Despite this, according to the WHO, 69% of European adults fail to achieve at least half an hour of moderate-intensity PA on most days of the week [23]. Our data confirm these trends in the work place, with only 27.1% of individuals being moderately active at work, but suggest that during leisure time, 65.1% of individuals undertake intense exercise lasting at least 20-45 minutes at least twice per week.

258 Our results suggest that less than half of screenees adhered to most of the major European 259 food-based dietary recommendations. This is consistent with the most recently available 260 EU-wide data which show that mean intakes of fruit and vegetables in Germany (371g/day), 261 Ireland (355g/day), the Netherlands (359g/day) and the UK (343g/day) [24] are less than the 262 recommended 400g/day (equivalent to 5 portions). Although we found that mean fruit and 263 vegetable intake was greater than 400g, it should be noted that these estimates of fruit and vegetable intakes were obtained using a 157 item FFQ [14] and there is evidence that FFQs 264 may over-estimate dietary intakes [25], especially when they include larger numbers of food 265 266 items [26]. Importantly, the FFQ used in the present study was validated against a four-day 267 weighed record [13,14]. Recent country-specific Nutrition, Physical Activity and Obesity 268 reports by the WHO suggest that, with the exception of Greece (data unavailable), mean salt intake is in excess of 5.75g per day across all six countries that provided participants for the 269 270 present study[16]. These data are in line with our findings, where reported mean salt intakes ranged between 6g in Greece and 8.3g in The Netherlands. The current UK and WHO 271 272 draft recommendations to limit sugars intake to less than 10% energy [27,28] were met by very few of our participants and only one individual out of the 5562 screenees would meet 273 274 the recent proposal to limit sugars intake to less than 5% energy. In addition, the reported mean percentage energy from sugars in our study (21.4%) is comparable with the recent 275 estimate of 19.1% for the UK from the National Diet and Nutrition Survey [29]. Overall, our 276 277 observations suggest that the dietary inadequacies of the individuals interested in PN in the 278 present study are comparable with those of the wider European population. 279 Individuals with ill-heath, or with a food allergy or intolerance, may benefit from PN [10].

280 We found that 12.4 % of the screenees declared a food allergy or intolerance. This

281 prevalence is comparable with a recent finding that, among European adults, 11.5 % self-

reported the presence of a food allergy (cow's milk, egg, wheat, soy, peanut, tree nuts, fish
and shell-fish) [30]. Furthermore, a large proportion of our participants reported being on

284 medication (44.6%) or suffering from a disease (47.3%). These results are in line with data

from Stewart-Knox et al. [10], which showed that interest in having a genetic test performed

for the purposes of PN is higher in individuals with central obesity (38.4 %) and high blood

16

pressure (38.1 %), than individuals with no signs of the metabolic syndrome (22.1 %). These
findings confirm that those interested in PN include those for whom an effective dietary

and/or physical activity intervention is likely to improve their health.

- 290

289

291 Strengths and limitations

292 The Food4Me PoP Study data were collected from a relatively large number of European 293 adults, with a wide age range, who demonstrated their interest in PN by registering to join 294 the Food4Me PoP Study. The two stage consenting process enabled the capture of data on 295 individuals who were interested in a PN service but were not necessarily eligible to be 296 included in the subsequent RCT. These characteristics included demographic information, 297 adiposity, habitual physical activity, disease status, prevalence of food allergies and 298 intolerances and dietary intake. A potential limitation of the study is that our data were 299 obtained by self-report via the internet, which may have introduced measurement error. 300 However, there is no reason to believe that such data are more likely to be mis-reported 301 than data collected by conventional face-to-face interview or by paper-based questionnaires 302 [31]. The validity of internet-based, self-reported anthropometric data is high [32] and this 303 been confirmed in the present study (Celis-Morales C et al., paper submitted).

304

305 Implications for health professionals

Improving diet and lifestyle behaviours is a key element in national and international
strategies for reducing the risk of NCDs and improving overall health across the life-span.
However, realising this potential will require the development, testing and implementation
of much more predictive, personalised, preventive and participatory interventions to
achieve effective behavioural changes. Moreover, using the internet as a delivery method is

311 likely to be an important route to scalable and sustainable interventions [6]. Characterising those individuals who are interested in PN and its delivery via the internet will be valuable 312 information for the future design and implementation of PN interventions aiming to 313 314 improve health and to reduce health inequalities. The present results suggest that those who registered to participate in this internet-based PN study were broadly representative of 315 the European adult population in terms of demographic, anthropometric and health 316 characteristics. Our findings provide strong evidence for the use of internet-based PN for 317 engaging individuals who would benefit from improved lifestyle behaviours and a reduction 318 319 in risk of obesity and NCDs.

| 320 | Abbreviations |
|-----|--|
| 321 | Cardiovascular disease (CVD); Food frequency questionnaire (FFQ); Non-communicable |
| 322 | diseases (NCD); Personalized nutrition (PN); Proof of Principle (PoP); Randomized controlled |
| 323 | trial (RCT) |
| 324 | |
| 325 | Competing interests |
| 326 | None of the authors had a personal or financial conflict of interest. |
| 327 | |
| 328 | Authors' contributions |
| 329 | The authors' responsibilities were as follows: YM, IT, CAD, ERG, LB, JAL, JAM, WHMS, HD, |
| 330 | MG and JCM contributed to the research design. JCM was the Proof of Principle study |
| 331 | leader. CCM, CFMM, HF, CBO, CW, AM, RF, SNC, RSC, SK, LT, CPL, MG, AS, MCW, ERG, LB |
| 332 | and JCM contributed to the developing the Standardised Operating Procedure for the study. |
| 333 | CCM, SNC, RSC, CW, CBO, HF, CFMM, AM, RF, SK, LT, CPL, MG, AS, MCW and JCM conducted |
| 334 | the intervention. CCM, CFMM and WHMS contributed to physical activity measurements. |
| 335 | KML and CCM drafted the paper and performed the statistical analysis for the manuscript |
| 336 | and are joint first authors. All authors contributed to a critical review of the manuscript |
| 337 | during the writing process. All authors approved the final version to be published. |
| 338 | |
| 339 | Acknowledgments |
| 340 | Study approval: The Foo4Me study has been reviewed and approved by seven independent |
| 341 | ethical research committees in each of the countries involved in the trial. Patient consent: |
| 342 | All participants, both screened and randomized, have given consent to take part in this |
| 343 | study. Funding statement: This work was supported by the European Commission under the |

- 344 Food, Agriculture, Fisheries and Biotechnology Theme of the 7th Framework Programme for
- 345 Research and Technological Development [265494]

I

346 **References**

- 1. Alwan A (2010) Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2010.
- 348 2. Nichols M, Townsend N, Luengo-Fernandez R, Leal J, Gray A, Scarborough P, Rayner M
- 349 (2012) European Cardiovascular Disease Statistics.
- 350 3. Ketola E, Sipilä R, M. M (2000) Effectiveness of individual lifestyle interventions in
- reducing cardiovascular disease and risk factors. Ann Med 32 (4):239-251
- 4. Health and Social Care Information Centre NHS (2012) Health Survey for England 2011,
- 353 Trend tables. <u>http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB09302</u>. Accessed 20 August 2014
- 354 5. Lara J, Hobbs N, Moynihan PJ, Meyer TD, Adamson AJ, Errington L, Rochester L, Sniehotta
- 355 FF, White M, Mathers JC (2014) Effectiveness of dietary interventions among adults of
- 356 retirement age: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. BMC
- 357 Med 8 (12):60
- 358 6. Celis-Morales C, Lara J, Mathers JC (2014) Personalising nutritional guidance for more
- effective behaviour change. Proc Nutr Soc 12:1-9. doi:doi:10.1017/S0029665114001633
- 360 7. Hood L, Friend SH (2011) Predictive, personalized, preventive, participatory (P4) cancer
- 361 medicine. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 8 (3):184-187
- 362 8. Gibney MJ, Walsh MC (2013) The future direction of personalised nutrition: my diet, my
- 363 phenotype, my genes. Proc Nutr Soc 72 (02):219-225. doi:doi:10.1017/S0029665112003436
- 364 9. Dar-Nimrod I, Cheung BY, Ruby MB, Heine SJ (2014) Can merely learning about obesity
- 365 genes affect eating behavior? Appetite 81 (0):269-276.
- 366 doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.06.109</u>
- 10. Stewart-Knox BJ, Bunting BP, Gilpin S, Parr HJ, Pinhão S, Strain JJ, de Almeida MDV,
- 368 Gibney M (2009) Attitudes toward genetic testing and personalised nutrition in a

- representative sample of European consumers. Br J Nutr 101 (07):982-989.
- 370 doi:doi:10.1017/S0007114508055657
- 371 11. Eurostat (2010) Life Online.
- 12. Celis-Morales C, Livingstone K, Marsaux CM, Forster H, O'Donovan C, Woolhead C,
- 373 Macready A, Fallaize R, Navas-Carretero S, San-Cristobal R, Kolossa S, Hartwig K, Tsirigoti L,
- 374 Lambrinou C, Moschonis G, Godlewska M, Surwiłło A, Grimaldi K, Bouwman J, Daly EJ,
- 375 Akujobi V, O'Riordan R, Hoonhout J, Claassen A, Hoeller U, Gundersen T, Kaland S,
- 376 Matthews JS, Manios Y, Traczyk I, Drevon C, Gibney E, Brennan L, Walsh M, Lovegrove J,
- 377 Alfredo Martinez J, Saris WM, Daniel H, Gibney M, Mathers J (2014) Design and baseline
- 378 characteristics of the Food4Me study: a web-based randomised controlled trial of
- personalised nutrition in seven European countries. Genes Nutr 10 (1):1-13.
- 380 doi:10.1007/s12263-014-0450-2
- 13. Fallaize R, Forster H, Macready LA, Walsh CM, Mathers CJ, Brennan L, Gibney RE, Gibney
- JM, Lovegrove AJ (2014) Online Dietary Intake Estimation: Reproducibility and Validity of the
- 383 Food4Me Food Frequency Questionnaire Against a 4-Day Weighed Food Record. J Med
- 384 Internet Res 16 (8):e190. doi:10.2196/jmir.3355
- 14. Forster H FR, Gallagher C, O'Donovan CB, Woolhead C, Walsh MC, Macready AL,
- Lovegrove JA, Mathers JC, Gibney MJ, Brennan L, Gibney ER (2014) Online Dietary Intake
- 387 Estimation: The Food4Me Food Frequency Questionnaire. J Med Internet Res 16 (6):e150
- 15. World Health Organization (2003) Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases.
- Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation. (WHO Technical Report Series, No. 916).
- 16. World Health Organization (2013) Country profiles on nutrition, physical activity and
- 391 obesity.

- 392 17. French SA, Jeffery RW, Wing RR (1994) Sex differences among participants in a weight-
- 393 control program. Addict Behav 19 (2):147-158. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0306-</u>

<u>4603(94)90039-6</u>

- 18. Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S, Horikawa C, Fujiwara K, Hirasawa R, Yachi Y, Iida KT,
- 396 Shimano H, Ohashi Y, Yamada N, Sone H (2012) Effect of web-based lifestyle modification on
- 397 weight control: a meta-analysis. Int J Obesity 36 (5):675-685
- 19. World Health Organization (2014) The challenge of obesity quick statistics.
- 399 http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/noncommunicable-diseases/obesity/data-and-
- 400 statistics. Accessed 28 June 2014
- 401 20. Berghofer A, Pischon T, Reinhold T, Apovian C, Sharma A, Willich S (2008) Obesity
- 402 prevalence from a European perspective: a systematic review. BMC Public Health 8 (1):200
- 403 21. OECD (2012) Health at a Glance: Europe 2012.
- 404 22. Mora S, Cook N, Buring JE, Ridker PM, Lee IM (2007) Physical Activity and Reduced Risk
- 405 of Cardiovascular Events: Potential Mediating Mechanisms. Circulation 116 (19):2110-2118.
- 406 doi:10.1161/circulationaha.107.729939
- 407 23. Physical activity and health in Europe: evidence for action (2006)
- 408 <u>http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-prevention/physical-</u>
- 409 <u>activity/publications/2006/physical-activity-and-health-in-europe-evidence-for-action</u>.
- 410 Accessed 28 June 2014
- 411 24. European Fresh Produce Association (2012) A Review of the EU regime for the fruit and
- 412 vegetables sector.
- 413 25. Krebs-Smith SM, Heimendinger J, Subar AF, Patterson BH, E. P (1995) Using food
- 414 frequency questionnaires to estimate fruit and vegetable intake: association between the
- 415 number of questions and total intake. Journal of Nutrition Education 27:80-85

- 416 26. Cade JE, Burley VJ, Warm DL, Thompson RL, Margetts BM (2004) Food-frequency
- 417 questionnaires: a review of their design, validation and utilisation. Nutr Res Rev 17 (01):5-
- 418 22. doi:doi:10.1079/NRR200370
- 419 27. SACN (2014) Draft Carbohydrates and Health Report.
- 420 28. World Health Organisation (2014) WHO opens public consultation on draft sugars
- 421 guideline. <u>http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/notes/2014/consultation-sugar-</u>
- 422 guideline/en/. Accessed 1 October 2014
- 423 29. Bates B, Lennox A, Prentice A, Bates C, Page P, Nicholson SK, Swan G (2014) National
- 424 Diet and Nutrition Survey Results from Years 1, 2, 3 and 4 (combined) of the Rolling
- 425 Programme (2008/2009–2011/2012).
- 426 30. Nwaru BI, Hickstein L, Panesar SS, Roberts G, Muraro A, Sheikh A, the EFA, Anaphylaxis
- 427 Guidelines G (2014) Prevalence of common food allergies in Europe: a systematic review
- 428 and meta-analysis. Allergy:12423. doi:10.1111/all.12423
- 429 31. Cook C (2010) Mode of administration bias. J Man Manip Ther 18 (2):61-63.
- 430 doi:doi:10.1179/106698110X12640740712617
- 431 32. Pursey K, Burrows LT, Stanwell P, Collins EC (2014) How Accurate is Web-Based Self-
- 432 Reported Height, Weight, and Body Mass Index in Young Adults? J Med Internet Res 16
- 433 (1):e4. doi:10.2196/jmir.2909
- 434 33. Institute of Medicine (2010) Strategies to reduce sodium intake in the United States.
- 435 34. Goldberg GR, Black AE, Jebb SA, Cole TJ, Murgatroyd PR, Coward WA, Prentice AM
- 436 (1991) Critical evaluation of energy intake data using fundamental principles of energy
- 437 physiology: 1. Derivation of cut-off limits to identify under-recording. Eur J Clin Nutr 45:569-
- 438 581

439

| | Sex | | Р | A | ge | Р |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|------------|-------------|--------|
| | Male | Female | | <45 y | ≥45 y | |
| Total (n) | 1971 | 3591 | - | 3484 | 1956 | - |
| Sex - female (%) | - | - | - | 67.5 | 35.5 | <0.001 |
| Age (years) ² | 41.6 (13.1) | 39.2 (12.4) | <0.001 | 32.1 (7.0) | 54.2 (6.98) | 0.001 |
| Age range (years) | 15-87 | 15-76 | - | 15-44 | 45-87 | - |
| Pregnant (%) | - | 5.0 | - | 5.1 | 0.2 | <0.001 |
| Therapeutic diet (%) | 6.4 | 6.7 | 0.609 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 0.434 |
| Food allergy/intolerance (%) | 8.3 | 14.5 | <0.001 | 12.8 | 11.7 | 0.239 |
| Internet access (%) | 99.5 | 99.4 | 0.642 | 99.7 | 99.0 | 0.002 |
| Heard about Food4Me | | | | | | |
| Word of Mouth | 30.0 | 30.4 | 0.762 | 35.9 | 20.7 | <0.001 |
| Internet Search | 8.5 | 8.2 | 0.671 | 9.4 | 6.6 | 0.001 |
| Food4Me Website | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.188 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 0.349 |
| Social Media | 3.4 | 5.5 | 0.001 | 6.5 | 1.9 | <0.001 |
| Magazine/Newspaper | 50.0 | 45.8 | 0.005 | 41.4 | 57.2 | <0.001 |
| TV/radio advert | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.758 | 1.4 | 3.1 | <0.001 |
| Poster/leaflet | 2.2 | 2.5 | 0.477 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 0.001 |
| Other | 9.5 | 10.2 | 0.397 | 9.6 | 10.6 | 0.228 |

Table 1 Characteristics of individuals by sex and age; data obtained from the first screening questionnaire¹

¹Chi squared tests and ANOVA were used to test for significant differences across categorical and continuous variables respectively.

²Values are means ± SDs

I

| | All | | | | Country | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | UK | IRE | GER | NED | ESP | POL | GRE |
| Total (n) | 5562 | 599 | 586 | 788 | 721 | 1839 | 458 | 571 |
| Sex - female (%) | 64.6 | 70.6** | 65.0 | 70.6** | 48.7*** | 61.9* | 77.3*** | 68.0 |
| Age (years) ² | 40.0 (12.7) | 37.2 (9.6)* | 38.0 (12.4)* | 44.5 (13.9)* | 49.3 (13.9)* | 38.4 (9.6)* | 36.0 (12.6)* | 37.7 (11.5)* |
| Age range (years) | 15-87 | 17-87 | 15-72 | 15-80 | 18-79 | 18-78 | 17-73 | 18-70 |
| Age categories | | | | | | | | |
| <45 years (%) | 64.0 | 70.3** | 68.3* | 44.5*** | 45.0*** | 76.1*** | 71.0** | 70.6** |
| ≥45 years (%) | 36.0 | 29.7** | 31.7* | 55.5*** | 55.0*** | 23.9*** | 29.0** | 29.4** |
| Pregnant (%) | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.3*** | 4.4* | 4.2*** | 4.9 |
| Therapeutic diet (%) | 6.6 | 3.7** | 6.0 | 2.4*** | 4.3* | 3.7*** | 5.7 | 9.6** |
| Food allergy/ | 12.4 | 15.7* | 12.5 | 17.1*** | 12.8 | 10.1** | 12.5 | 9.3* |
| Intolerance (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Internet access (%) | 99.5 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 97.2*** |
| Heard about Food4Me | (%) | | | | | | | |
| Word of Mouth | 30.3 | 41.8*** | 43.5*** | 15.9*** | 15.8*** | 17.3*** | 67.5*** | 59.7*** |
| Internet Search | 8.3 | 15.4*** | 10.1 | 2.9*** | 1.7*** | 10.6** | 12.0** | 4.9** |
| Food4Me Website | 2.1 | 4.1** | 1.3 | 1.0* | 3.7* | 1.0** | 4.4** | 2.6 |
| Social Media | 4.8 | 8.8*** | 1.6* | 1.7*** | 1.5*** | 5.2 | 3.1 | 10.5*** |
| Magazine/ | 47.3 | 7.3*** | 3.6*** | 73.5*** | 76.8*** | 68.8*** | 5.7*** | 4.6*** |
| Newspaper | | | | | | | | |
| TV/radio advert | 2.0 | 0.6* | 24.8*** | 0.4** | 1.3 | 0.7*** | 0.2* | 0.0 |
| Poster/leaflet | 2.4 | 5.4*** | 8.5*** | 0.5** | 2.2 | 0.0 | 4.8** | 4.7** |
| Other | 9.9 | 26.6*** | 12.1 | 11.2 | 5.5*** | 3.9*** | 9.2 | 16.5*** |

Table 2 Characteristics of individuals by country; data obtained from the first screening questionnaire¹

¹Multinomial regression analyses were used to test for significant differences across categorical variables. For multinomial comparisons across countries, the overall average was used as the reference group. ANOVA and Fisher-Hayter pairwise comparisons were used for continuous variables. Results were deemed significant at * P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001.

²Values are means ± SDs

| | Sex | | Р | Aį | ge | Р |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | Male | Female | | <45 | ≥45 | |
| Total (n) | 1432 | 2379 | - | 2395 | 1416 | - |
| Sex - female (%) | - | - | - | 63.6 | 60.4 | 0.005 |
| Age (years) ² | 41.6 (13.1) | 39.4 (12.7) | < 0.001 | 31.9 (7.06) | 54.2 (7.04) | <0.001 |
| Age range (years) | 18-80 | 17-76 | - | 17-44 | 45-80 | - |
| Ethnicity (%) | | | | | | |
| Caucasian | 96.6 | 97.1 | 0.410 | 96.3 | 97.8 | 0.011 |
| Asians-Chinese | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.478 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.016 |
| Black | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.622 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.273 |
| Mixed | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.841 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.558 |
| Other | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.040 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.014 |
| Anthropometrics | | | | | | |
| Height (m) ² | 1.8 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.1) | < 0.001 | 1.7 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.1) | 0.7592 |
| Weight (kg) ² | 85.2 (15.0) | 68.5 (14.2) | <0.001 | 73.0 (16.6) | 77.7 (16.1) | <0.001 |
| BMI (kgm ²) ² | 25.0 (4.9) | 26.5 (4.9) | < 0.001 | 26.7 (4.5) | 24.9 (5.1) | <0.001 |
| BMI Classification (%) | | | | | | |
| Under weight | 0.5 | 3.2 | < 0.001 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.071 |
| Normal Weight | 40.5 | 57.2 | < 0.001 | 56.8 | 41.0 | <0.001 |
| Overweight | 41.2 | 25.3 | < 0.001 | 27.6 | 37.3 | <0.001 |
| Obese | 17.8 | 14.4 | 0.006 | 13.1 | 20.1 | <0.001 |
| Physical Activity (%) ³ | | | | | | |
| Occupational | | | | | | |
| Light | 73.9 | 72.3 | 0.382 | 69.3 | 78.9 | <0.001 |
| Moderate | 22.1 | 26.7 | 0.007 | 28.8 | 18.7 | <0.001 |
| Heavy | 4.0 | 0.1 | < 0.001 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 0.375 |
| Non-Occupational | | | | | | |
| Sedentary | 28.2 | 38.9 | < 0.001 | 35.8 | 33.6 | 0.235 |
| Moderately active | 54.5 | 51.9 | 0.182 | 50.9 | 56.1 | 0.008 |
| Active | 17.3 | 9.2 | < 0.001 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 0.020 |
| Reason for interest (%) | | | | | | |
| Personalised nutrition | 76.4 | 73.6 | 0.051 | 76.9 | 72.7 | 0.004 |
| Knowing what foods are best | 82.9 | 79.3 | 0.026 | 81.8 | 78.8 | 0.007 |
| Losing weight | 42.6 | 52.5 | <0.001 | 46.4 | 52.9 | <0.001 |
| Gaining weight | 3.3 | 1.1 | <0.001 | 2.5 | 0.9 | <0.001 |
| Concerns for health | 88.0 | 87.2 | 0.465 | 88.9 | 85.1 | 0.001 |

Table 3 Characteristics of individuals by sex and age group; data obtained from the second screening questionnaire¹

¹Chi squared tests and ANOVA were used to test for significant differences across categorical and continuous variables respectively.

²Values are means ± SDs

³Physical activity was estimated from the food frequency questionnaire in 2763 individuals

⁶Compared with less than 1 hour

|

 Table 4 Characteristics of individuals by country; data obtained from the second screening questionnaire¹

| | All | | Country | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| | | UK | IRE | GER | NED | ESP | POL | GRE |
| Total (n) | 3811 | 413 | 405 | 535 | 511 | 1206 | 340 | 401 |
| Sex - female (%) | 62.4 | 66.8 | 62.7 | 66.4 | 56.6* | 57.2** | 73.8*** | 65.8 |
| Age (years) | 40.2 (12.9) | 37.0 | 37.9 | 44.9 | 49.2 | 38.3 | 36.3 | 37.4 |
| | | (13.3) | (12.4) | (13.9) | (14.2) | (9.47) | (12.8) | (11.6) |
| Age range (years) ² | 17-80 | 18-72 | 18-72 | 17-80 | 18-79 | 18-70 | 17-73 | 18-70 |
| Age categories (%) | | | | | | | | |
| <45 years | 62.8 | 70.5** | 67.7 | 44.5*** | 31.5*** | 76.0*** | 69.7* | 69.3* |
| ≥45 years | 37.2 | 29.5** | 32.3 | 55.5*** | 68.5*** | 24.0*** | 30.3* | 30.7* |
| Ethnicity (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Caucasian | 96.9 | 89.6*** | 97.5 | 96.8 | 96.5 | 97.8 | 100 | 99.0* |
| Asians-Chinese | 0.6 | 3.2*** | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Blacks | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Mixed | 1.4 | 3.2** | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.9 | 3.6*** | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Anthropometrics | | | | | | | | |
| Height (m) ² | 1.7 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.1)* | 1.7 (0.1)* | 1.7 (0.1)* | 1.7 (0.1)* | 1.7 (0.1)* |
| Weight (kg) ² | 74.8 (16.6) | 73.4 | 75.2 | 73.2 | 77.3 | 74.8 | 72.1 | 76.4 |
| | | (15.6) | (16.9) | (14.2) | (15.0)* | (17.9) | (16.3) | (17.8) |
| BMI (kgm ²) ² | 25.6 (5.0) | 25.5 (5.0) | 25.7 (4.9) | 24.4 (3.9)* | 25.4 (4.6) | 25.9 (5.2) | 25.1 (4.9) | 26.7 (5.8)* |
| BMI Classification (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Underweight | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 1.5 |
| Normal Weight | 50.9 | 53.0 | 49.1 | 59.1*** | 52.6 | 49.0 | 51.2 | 42.7** |
| Overweight | 31.2 | 31.0 | 31.2 | 28.1 | 32.1 | 31.6 | 29.3 | 35.2 |
| Obese | 15.7 | 14.0 | 17.4 | 10.2** | 13.4 | 17.5 | 16.0 | 20.6* |
| Physical Activity (%) ³ | | | | | | | | |
| Occupational | | | | | | | | |
| Light | 72.9 | 69.5 | 69.6 | 79.0* | 62.5*** | 82.2*** | 66.8* | 70.6 |
| Moderate | 25.0 | 27.5 | 28.1 | 20.4 | 34.1*** | 16.8*** | 31.2* | 26.0 |
| Heavy | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 |
| Non-Occupational | | | | | | | | |
| Sedentary | 35.0 | 25.5** | 21.7*** | 31.5 | 23.4*** | 40.7** | 48.6*** | 50.2*** |
| Moderately active | 52.9 | 55.0 | 67.6*** | 61.3** | 64.8*** | 46.6** | 42.1** | 35.3*** |
| Active | 12.2 | 19.5*** | 10.7 | 7.3** | 11.8 | 12.7 | 9.3 | 14.6 |
| Reason for interest (% |) | | | | | | | |
| Personalised nutrition | 75.4 | 83.3*** | 82.0** | 77.2 | 78.7 | 78.7* | 55.6*** | 60.6*** |
| Knowing what | 80.7 | 73.1*** | 76.8 | 74.6** | 81.0** | 87.7*** | 86.8** | 73.6** |

| foods are best | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------|--------|------|---------|---------|--------|------|---------|
| Losing weight | 48.8 | 44.6 | 47.7 | 45.2 | 36.6*** | 51.2 | 53.2 | 63.3*** |
| Gaining weight | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Concerns for health | 87.5 | 92.5** | 90.6 | 81.1*** | 76.5*** | 91.0** | 90.6 | 88.5 |

¹Multinomial regression analyses were used to test for significant differences across categorical variables. For multinomial comparisons across countries, the overall average was used as the reference group. ANOVA and Fisher-Hayter pairwise comparisons were used for continuous variables. Results were deemed significant at * P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001.

²Values are means ± SDs

³Physical activity was estimated from the food frequency questionnaire in 2763 individuals



* Total number of participants reporting one or more exclusion criteria

Fig 1. Food4Me Proof of Principle Study flow-chart



Fig 2. Percentage of participants meeting food-based dietary recommendations.

¹Equivalent to 48g/day; ²More than 150g/week of oily fish; ³Consume less than 450g/week of red or processed meat; ⁴Based on IoM recommendations[33]; ⁵Based on draft Scientific Advisory Committee for Nutrition (SACN) recommendations [27]

Online Resource 1 (Supplementary material)



1.1

Figure S1. Screen shots of the Food4Me 1.1 Website; 1.2 Facebook and 1.3 Twitter pages





Are you interested in receiving personalised dietary advice?

We need healthy volunteers aged 18 and older to take part in a study examining the concept of personalised nutrition.

What will the study involve?

- Individuals will be given healthy eating advice tailored specifically to their <u>personal health status</u>, <u>lifestyle</u> and <u>genetic make-up</u>.
- The latest cutting edge technology will be used to measure <u>dietary</u> <u>intake</u>, <u>biomarkers of health</u> and <u>genes</u> related to nutrition.
- This innovative study will be conducted through the internet modelling a personalised nutrition service.

If you are interested in the study. please go to the volunteer section of our website at: <u>www.food4me.org</u>



| www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org gro.antboot3www gro.antboot3.www www.food4me.org gro.antboot3.www www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org gro.anthood4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org | www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org www.food4me.org |

Figure S2. Examples of UK poster advertisements used during recruitment





Reading

fB

Are you interested in receiving free personalised dietary advice?

If you are aged 18 and above, and you want to improve your diet and health, sign up and make a change!

What will the study involve?

- ✓ Individuals will be given healthy eating advice tailored specifically to their personal health status, lifestyle and genetic make-up.
- ✓ The latest cutting edge technology will be used to measure your <u>dietary intake</u>, <u>biomarkers of health</u> and <u>genes</u> related to nutrition.
- ✓ This innovative study will be conducted through the <u>internet</u> and you will receive <u>free personalised dietary advice</u> during 6 months.

If you are interested in taking part, please go to the volunteer section of our website at: <u>www.food4me.org</u>

Any queries contact: food4me@reading.ac.uk

Methods: Screening Questionnaires

Unlike in other countries, Dutch individuals had the opportunity to register and then choose whether or not to complete the first screening questionnaire. IP addresses and cookies were not used to identify individuals, as unique usernames prevented participants from entering duplicate entries from the same user and allowed individuals using the same computer, e.g. family members, to register for the study. No monetary incentives were offered for completing the screening questionnaires. Participants were informed that, if they were randomised into the intervention study, they would receive healthy eating and lifestyle advice, as well as non-diagnostic information relating to their health status during, or on completion of, the study. No randomization of adaptive questioning was employed. Automated completeness checks prevented participants from submitting incomplete questionnaire responses. Completion rates were estimated as the ratio of users who finished the survey to users who provided their consent to participate. Once questionnaires were submitted, participants could not change their responses and these responses were later extracted from the server into databases for statistical analysis. Under-reporting of dietary intakes via the FFQ was identified from a comparison between expected energy expenditure, based on a multiple (1.1) of predicted basal metabolic rate and reported energy intakes [34]. Participants who under-reported in their FFQ were asked to repeat the FFQ up to two times before being excluded. Participants had 7 days to complete the screening questionnaires. A reminder was sent at day 5; if they did not complete the screening FFQ by day 7, they were excluded from the study. Individuals who were deemed unsuitable for the study received an email notification that they did not match the inclusion criteria and so were excluded from further elements of the study.

Table S1 Health-related characteristics by sex and age group; data obtained from the second screening questionnaire¹

| | Sex | | Р | A | Р | |
|---------------------|------|--------|--------|------|------|--------|
| | Male | Female | | <45 | ≥45 | |
| Total (n) | 1432 | 2379 | - | 2395 | 1416 | - |
| Medication use | I | | | | 1 | |
| Prescribed | 25.0 | 38.0 | <0.001 | 26.3 | 44.8 | <0.001 |
| Non-prescribed | 8.7 | 13.1 | <0.001 | 9.6 | 14.5 | <0.001 |
| Diseases | | | 1 | | | |
| Cancer | 1.8 | 2.6 | 0.085 | 1.1 | 4.3 | <0.001 |
| High blood pressure | 12.6 | 7.3 | <0.001 | 3.6 | 18.9 | <0.001 |
| Heart disease | 3.4 | 0.9 | <0.001 | 0.7 | 3.8 | <0.001 |
| Liver disease | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.007 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.083 |
| Kidney disease | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.708 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.033 |
| Arthritis | 2.1 | 3.2 | 0.046 | 0.8 | 6.1 | <0.001 |
| Osteoporosis | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.004 | 0.3 | 2.8 | <0.001 |
| Ulcers | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.114 | 1.2 | 3.0 | <0.001 |
| Fibromyalgia | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.001 | 0.3 | 1.5 | <0.001 |
| Diabetes | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.230 | 0.4 | 1.8 | <0.001 |
| Lung disease | 3.0 | 2.7 | 0.519 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 0.742 |
| Allergies | 20.9 | 19.3 | 0.235 | 20.7 | 18.6 | 0.118 |
| Epilepsy | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.759 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.095 |
| Thyroid disease | 1.5 | 11.3 | <0.001 | 5.4 | 11.3 | <0.001 |
| Diagnosed anaemia | 1.5 | 9.8 | <0.001 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 0.357 |
| Blood disorders | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.005 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.248 |
| Alcoholism | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.008 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.060 |
| Drug addiction | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.071 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.651 |
| Depression | 5.2 | 8.4 | <0.001 | 6.0 | 9.3 | <0.001 |
| Smoker | 13.5 | 12.6 | 0.417 | 15.0 | 9.46 | <0.001 |
| Ex-smoker | 37.0 | 33.9 | 0.083 | 26.1 | 49.5 | <0.001 |

¹Chi squared tests and ANOVA were used to test for significant differences across categorical and continuous variables respectively. ²Values are means ± SDs

| | All | | Country | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | UK | IRE | GER | NED | ESP | POL | GRE | | | |
| Total (n) | 3811 | 413 | 405 | 535 | 511 | 1206 | 340 | 401 | | | |
| Medication | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prescribed | 33.2 | 30.8 | 32.6 | 38.5* | 47.4*** | 28.4** | 29.4 | 28.7 | | | |
| Non-prescribed | 11.5 | 8.5 | 10.9 | 16.3** | 9.6 | 10.7 | 17.9*** | 8.0* | | | |
| Clinically diagnosed diseases | | | | | | | | L | | | |
| Cancer | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 4.5** | 1.2* | 0.9 | 1.8 | | | |
| High blood pressure | 9.3 | 5.1** | 6.7 | 9.9 | 15.3*** | 9.0 | 12.9* | 5.5 | | | |
| Heart disease | 1.9 | 0.2* | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.9** | 1.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 | | | |
| Liver disease | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4* | 0.6 | 3.2** | 3.2* | 0.8 | | | |
| Kidney disease | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.5 | | | |
| Arthritis | 2.8 | 3.6 | 4.9* | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 0.6* | 3.0 | | | |
| Osteoporosis | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 2.9** | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | | | |
| Ulcers | 1.9 | 0.7* | 3.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 4.4** | 2.5 | | | |
| Fibromyalgia | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.8* | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | | | |
| Diabetes | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.8 | | | |
| Lung disease | 2.8 | 1.0* | 0.7* | 1.7 | 5.5** | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.7 | | | |
| Allergies | 19.9 | 15.3* | 13.3** | 20.6 | 18.8 | 26.9*** | 14.1* | 15.7* | | | |
| Epilepsy | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Thyroid disease | 7.6 | 4.8* | 3.7** | 11.6** | 4.3** | 5.7* | 9.1 | 11.6** | | | |
| Diagnosed anaemia | 6.7 | 6.1 | 3.2** | 0.6*** | 9.8* | 8.3 | 8.8 | 8.7 | | | |
| Blood disorders | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4* | 0.6 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.2 | | | |
| Alcoholism | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | | | |
| Drug addiction | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | | | |
| Depression | 7.2 | 12.6*** | 9.9 | 3.6** | 8.0 | 7.6 | 2.7** | 5.2 | | | |
| Smoker | 12.9 | 5.6*** | 8.9* | 9.0* | 6.9*** | 16.5** | 8.8* | 30.2*** | | | |
| Ex-smoker | 35.1 | 20.6*** | 30.3 | 37.4 | 48.5*** | 39.4* | 19.2*** | 31.8 | | | |

Table S2 Health-related characteristics of individuals by country; data obtained from the second screening questionnaire¹

¹Multinomial regression analyses were used to test for significant differences across categorical variables. For multinomial comparisons across countries, the overall average was used as the reference group. ANOVA and Fisher-Hayter pairwise comparisons were used for continuous variables. Results were deemed significant at * P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001.

²Values are means ± SDs

I

Table S3. Mean intakes of key foods and food groups¹

| Dietary target | Fruit and vegetables | Wholegrain products | Oily fish | Red meat | Salt | Sugars |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| | g/day (SD) | g/day (SD) | g/week (SD) | g/week (SD) | g/day (SD) | % energy (SD) |
| All | 651.4 (488.6) | 173.0 (208.5) | 171.0 (236.3) | 573.0 (516.9) | 7.56 (4.9) | 21.4 (6.6) |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Male | 645.2 (410.8) | 188.6 (237.7) | 200.0 (237.2) | 713.0 (597.3) | 8.56 (4.79) | 20.4 (6.3) |
| Female | 655.0 (528.7) | 163.9 (188.8) | 154.2 (234.2) | 491.4 (443.8) | 6.97 (4.83) | 21.4 (6.6) |
| Р | 0.611 | 0.003 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Age category | | | | | | |
| <45 years | 633.4 (519.6) | 156.7 (198.9) | 169.8 (222.8) | 594.5 (548.4) | 7.64 (4.50) | 21.5 (6.5) |
| ≥45 years | 681.3 (430.5) | 200.2 (220.9) | 173.1 (257.5) | 537.2 (457.5) | 7.43 (5.45) | 21.2 (6.6) |
| Р | 0.013 | <0.001 | 0.719 | 0.005 | 0.272 | 0.238 |
| Country | | | | | | |
| UK | 687.0 (425.3) | 176.2 (192.5) | 168.2 (191.6) | 466.7 (392.2)* | 7.3 (4.1) | 22.9 (6.4)* |
| Ireland | 696.7 (881.9) | 222.7 (152.8)* | 163.0 (224.7) | 592.4 (492.0) | 7.7 (3.70) | 21.3 (6.3) |
| Germany | 675.8 (398.4) | 182.2 (161.6) | 104.9 (142.6)* | 445.1 (599.3)* | 6.9 (4.1) | 21.6 (6.3) |
| The Netherlands | 647.5 (351.3) | 319.2 (290.2)* | 152.0 (213.8) | 482.3 (445.8)* | 8.3 (4.4)* | 20.6 (6.1) |
| Spain | 641.8 (419.9) | 73.6 (110.6)* | 260.3 (249.8)* | 746.1 (537.3)* | 7.9 (6.3) | 21.3 (6.9) |
| Poland | 595.8 (436.8) | 214.9 (268.7)* | 132.8 (176.9) | 536.1 (538.7) | 8.2 (4.8) | 21.8 (7.0) |

¹Multinomial regression analyses were used to test for significant differences across categorical variables. For multinomial comparisons across countries, the overall average was used as the reference group. ANOVA and Fisher-Hayter pairwise comparisons were used for continuous variables. Results across countries were deemed significant at * P<0.05



■ Less than 5.75g/day of salt

Less than 3 portions of red meat per week





Figure S3. Percentage of individuals meeting the dietary recommendations for 3.1 At least 5 portions of fruit and vegetables a day (400g/day); 3.2 At least 3 portions of whole grain per day (48g/day); 3.3 At least 1 or more servings of oily fish per week (150g/week); 3.4 Less than 3 portions of red or processed meat per week (450g/week); 3.5 Less than 5.75g salt per day; 3.6 Less than 10% energy from sugars by age and sex.





Figure S4. Percentage of individuals meeting the dietary recommendations for 4.1 At least 5 portions of fruit and vegetables a day (400g/day); 4.2 At least 3 portions of whole grain per day (48g/day); 4.3 At least 1 or more servings of oily fish per week (150g/week); 4.4 Less than 3 portions of red or processed meat per week (450g/week); 4.5 Less than 5.75g salt per day; 4.6 Less than 10% energy from sugars by country



Figure S5. Cumulative (.1) and relative percentage (.2) of portion consumption of 1 fruit and vegetables and 2 wholegrain by country. The recommended number of portions is indicated by the dotted line.



Figure S6. Cumulative (.1) and relative percentage (.2) of portion consumption of 1 fruit and vegetables and 2 wholegrain by country. The recommended number of portions is indicated by the dotted line.