

Detection of coherent airstreams using cluster analysis: application to an extratropical cyclone

Article

Accepted Version

Hart, N. C. G., Gray, S. L. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8658-362X and Clark, P. A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1001-9226 (2015) Detection of coherent airstreams using cluster analysis: application to an extratropical cyclone. Monthly Weather Review, 143 (9). pp. 3518-3531. ISSN 1520-0493 doi: 10.1175/MWR-D-14-00382.1 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/40113/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-14-00382.1 To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-14-00382.1

Publisher: American Meteorological Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Detection of coherent airstreams using cluster analysis: application to an

extratropical cyclone

Neil C. G. Hart * and Suzanne L. Gray and Peter A. Clark

Department of Meteorology, University of Reading

- ⁴ *Corresponding author address: Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Earley Gate,
- ⁵ Reading, United Kingdom

2

3

4

⁴ E-mail: n.c.hart@reading.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Flow in geophysical fluids is commonly summarized by coherent streams, 4 for example conveyor belt flows in extratropical cyclones or jet streaks in the 5 upper troposphere. Typically, parcel trajectories are calculated from the flow 6 field and subjective thresholds are used to distinguish coherent streams of in-7 terest. This methodology contribution develops a more objective approach to 8 distinguish coherent airstreams within extratropical cyclones. Agglomerative 9 clustering is applied to trajectories along with a method to identify the optimal 10 number of cluster classes. The methodology is applied to trajectories associ-11 ated with the low-level jets of a well-studied extratropical cyclone. For com-12 putational efficiency, a constraint that trajectories must pass through these jet 13 regions is applied prior to clustering; the partitioning into different airstreams 14 is then performed by the agglomerative clustering. It is demonstrated that the 15 methodology can identify the salient flow structures of cyclones: the warm 16 and cold conveyor belts. A test focusing on the airstreams terminating at the 17 tip of the bent-back front further demonstrates the success of the method in 18 that it can distinguish fine-scale flow structure such as descending sting jet 19 airstreams. 20

Keywords: Airstreams, Extratropical Cyclones, Warm Conveyor Belt, Cold Conveyor Belt,
 Ward's method, Trajectory Analysis

6 1. Introduction

The structure of a wide range of geophysical flows has often been analyzed in terms of distinct, 7 coherent airstreams, such as jet streams, jet streaks and conveyor belts. For example, a conveyor 8 belt view of flow within extratropical cyclones is widely accepted (Harrold 1973; Carlson 1980; 9 Browning and Roberts 1994; Wernli and Davies 1997; Schultz 2001). Though clearly defined fea-10 tures in satellite imagery or synoptic-scale analyses, the precise definition of these airstreams often 11 relies on a relatively arbitrary choice of threshold. The goal of this contribution is to demonstrate 12 that cluster analysis of flow trajectories is a successful method to automate the identification of 13 coherent airstreams in a more objective way. 14

Cluster analysis has gained wide use in geophysical sciences, particularly in applications where 15 identifying archetypes is useful. Cheng and Wallace (1993) identified large-scale atmospheric 16 flow regimes by applying a hierarchical clustering approach to 500 hPa geopotential height fields. 17 Hierarchical clustering has also been used to categorize synoptic-scale rainfall patterns from a 18 high-density rain-gauge network (e.g. Tennant and Hewitson 2002; Crétat et al. 2012). Fuzzy 19 clustering approaches such as the K-means algorithm have become the favored methods for de-20 termining weather regimes due to the variety of advanced statistical tests that can be used to test 21 the robustness of the regimes that are determined (e.g. Michelangeli et al. 1995; Fauchereau et al. 22 2009). 23

Application of cluster analysis to two-dimensional and later three-dimensional airflow trajectories was first carried out in research focusing on understanding variability in atmospheric composition at observations sites (eg. Moody and Galloway 1988; Harris and Kahl 1990). Both hierar-

chical and fuzzy clustering approaches have been used to characterize the trajectories computed 27 backwards from atmospheric composition observatories (eg. Dorling et al. 1992; Moody et al. 28 1995; Cape et al. 2000). Stohl (1998) summarised the strengths and shortcomings of these tra-29 jectory computations and classification techniques. Despite this wide use of cluster analysis, we 30 are unaware of an application to the flow in extratropical cyclones. There is an important differ-31 ence between the application we present and the literature noted above: most applications have 32 little a priori knowledge of the classifications cluster analysis may produce whereas, extratropical 33 cyclones have a wealth of literature describing airflow features. 34

The warm conveyor belt (WCB) is a warm moist (high-valued equivalent potential temperature) 35 rain-producing ascending airstream advancing polewards ahead of the cold front (Harrold 1973). 36 The cold conveyor belt (CCB) is a cool low-level airstream that forms on the cool side of the warm 37 front flowing rearwards in relation to cyclone motion (Carlson 1980; Schultz 2001). In extratrop-38 ical cyclones where the warm front bends cyclonically around behind the low pressure center of 39 the system, the CCB flow can wrap around to produce very strong earth-relative winds immedi-40 ately south of the cyclone center. This is common in Shapiro-Keyser type cyclones (Shapiro and 41 Keyser 1990), producing a "poisonous-tail" of damaging winds (Grønås 1995). In such cyclones, 42 finer-scale flow structures have sometimes been found associated with damaging winds ahead of 43 the CCB (Browning 2004). Termed "sting jets", since they occur near the tip of the poisonous tail, 44 these airstreams develop less frequently than the CCB and WCB (Martínez-Alvarado et al. 2012) 45 and can have a more transient nature when they do develop, persisting for periods of only several 46 hours (Clark et al. 2005; Baker 2009; Martínez-Alvarado et al. 2010). 47

⁴⁸ Conveyor belt and sting jet airstreams are often identified subjectively or with simple threshold ⁴⁹ ing techniques applied to Lagrangian trajectories describing these flows (Wernli and Davies 1997;
 ⁵⁰ Schultz 2001; Clark et al. 2005). This approach has proved particularly effective for automating

the identification of the WCBs in climatology studies of extratropical cyclones (Stohl 2001; Eck-51 hardt et al. 2004; Madonna et al. 2014; Pfahl et al. 2014). Trajectories that start near the surface, 52 and exceed a total ascent threshold (e.g. $\Delta p > 600$ hPa where p is pressure) can be retained as 53 the coherent ensembles of trajectories describing the WCB, as demonstrated by Wernli and Davies 54 (1997). Madonna et al. (2014) notes that a 600 hPa ascent criterion (within a two-day time period) 55 is "fairly strong" and found some changes in the spatial distribution of WCB starting points and 56 average evolution of parameter values (such as specific humidity and potential vorticity) along the 57 trajectories in sensitivity tests in which the ascent pressure change or time criterion were relaxed. 58 Applying thresholds to identify airstreams works well where the airstream is largely similar 59 across cyclone populations and has an easily identifiable characteristic such as strong ascent or 60 descent. Nevertheless, calculating statistics across the airstreams has the caveat that the thresh-61 olding may admit more or fewer trajectories in the WCB of each cyclone, resulting in statistical 62 artifacts. If a study focused on fine differences between WCB flow in, for example an ensemble 63 simulation of one storm, this caveat could become a serious issue. Furthermore, if the airstream 64 of interest exhibits wide variability in total ascent or descent, such as a sting jet, threshold criteria 65 may well prevent detection of valid coherent ensembles of trajectories. This contribution proposes 66 cluster analysis as an appropriate tool in such a situation. Clustering trajectories which pass near 67 the frontal structures of extratropical cyclones should naturally result in coherent ensembles of tra-68 jectories, based on similarities in their dynamical histories. These could then be classified based 69 on the a priori knowledge of conveyor belt flow; e.g. a coherent ensemble of trajectories would 70 be identified as a WCB if it was a near-saturated ascending airstream ahead of the cold front. The 71 WCB could thus be selected without the need to choose a threshold criterion other than a test of 72 ascent. 73

The clustering method is demonstrated here in application to the well-observed and well-studied 74 extratropical cyclone Friedhelm (2011). Fig. 1 provides schematic representation of where the 75 airstreams formed during the development of cyclone Friedhelm, which developed explosively and 76 produced very strong and damaging surface winds over Scotland on 8 December 2011 (Baker et al. 77 2013; Martínez-Alvarado et al. 2014, (MA14 hereafter)). During the early stages of development 78 the WCB was the primary coherent ensemble of trajectories associated with this cyclone (Fig. 1a). 79 The CCB became associated with strong Earth-relative winds as the warm front was cyclonically 80 bent-back around the low pressure center (Fig. 1b). By this stage the low-level jet associated with 81 the WCB was starting to weaken. A sting jet descended on the southern flank of the CCB as the 82 cloud head of the cyclone continued to wrap around with the bent-back warm front (Fig. 1c). While 83 the schematic shows the evolution of these flows for cyclone Friedhelm, this evolution generally 84 occurs for developing cyclones with diagnosed sting jets (Clark et al. 2005, e.g. the Great October 85 storm of 1997). 86

A general description of the method, independent of application to extratropical cyclones, is given in section 2. Section 3 describes the model simulation of Friedhelm, the cyclone used to demonstrate and test the methodology. The results of applying this method to the dominant lowlevel conveyor belt airstreams and the mesoscale jet structure near the bent-back front are described in sections 3a and 3b respectively. Section 4 provides a summary of these results and concludes this study.

2. Methodology: Agglomerative Clustering

Agglomerative (also termed hierarchical) clustering depends on assessing the similarity between many individual instances which are commonly referred to as observations in clustering algorithms. In this application each trajectory is one observation. These observations are stored in ⁹⁷ a matrix **W** containing *J* observations each described by *N* dimensions. A common measure of ⁹⁸ similarity is Euclidean distance, *d*, computed as the l^2 -norm. Between two observation vectors in ⁹⁹ **W** this would be

$$d_{jk} = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{W}_j(n) - \mathbf{W}_k(n))^2},\tag{1}$$

where *j* and *k* index the two observations under comparison and *n* indexes the dimension of each 100 observation. Clustering starts by agglomerating the most similar observations into new cluster 101 classes. The algorithm used here is Ward's variance minimization (Ward 1963); this has a straight-102 forward implementation, which lends itself to automation, a key goal of this study. Its primary 103 drawback is the tendency to produce cluster classes containing similar numbers of observations. 104 This produces the caveat that coherent streams containing many trajectories may be described by 105 more than one cluster class. The SciPy Hierarchical Clustering module for Python (Jones et al. 106 2001), used here, implements Ward's method with the widely-used approach of updating a matrix 107 storing the Euclidean distances between each cluster centroid (Wishart 1969). The algorithm 108 ensures that, at each iteration, new classes are created such that variance between members within 109 a cluster class is minimized across all possible combinations of members of a class, at that step. 110 Iteration continues until all observations are agglomerated into a single class. Cheng and Wallace 111 (1993) provide a detailed account of the algorithm with additional descriptions available in Crétat 112 et al. (2012) and Ramos (2001). The succession of agglomerations are represented graphically by 113 dendrograms, as shown in Fig. 2 (a and c). 114

Any distinguishing variable can be used in an observation vector. In the context of classifying atmospheric flows, building the observation vectors from positional information (x(t), y(t), z(t),where *t* is time) is appropriate (e.g. Dorling et al. 1992). Inclusion of an airmass tracer variable $(\gamma(t))$ can add further distinguishing information to the flows under consideration. Having computed trajectories for a given flow field (from start points at time t_0) a time period of interest can ¹²⁰ be chosen, e.g. $t = [t_0 - 3hrs, t_0 + 3hrs]$, and the observation vector for one of these trajectories ¹²¹ can be specified:

$$\mathbf{W}_j = [\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{z}_j, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_j], \tag{2}$$

where bold variables denote rows of values for this time period. This observation vector would have dimension N = 28, if the time period was over seven hourly positions.

Scaling of these variables is necessary due to the different units of the horizontal, vertical and airmass variables. We choose to scale the values of each variable by their respective standard deviations at time $t = t_0$, giving $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j = \mathbf{x}_j / \sigma[\mathbf{x}_{all}(t_0)]$ and similarly for $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \gamma$. The matrix \mathbf{x}_{all} represents a matrix of \mathbf{x} positional vectors for all trajectories and σ represents the standard deviation. Thus, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j$ is the *j*-th \mathbf{x} -coordinate vector scaled with the standard deviation of the *x* position of all trajectories at $t = t_0$. Scaling in such a manner for all variables in each observation produces the data matrix that is passed to the clustering algorithm:

$$\hat{\mathbf{W}}_j = [\hat{\mathbf{x}}_j, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_j, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_j, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_j]; j = 1 : J.$$
(3)

¹³¹ Two subtleties to these choices bear mention. First, the mean is not removed (if so this would ¹³² be a normalization) as this would remove the ability to distinguish the geographic locality of the ¹³³ trajectories, leaving only the shape of each trajectory as the distinction. Second, scaling by the ¹³⁴ standard deviation calculated only at the trajectory start time ensures that the relative time evolu-¹³⁵ tion of each variable is unmodified, i.e. a trajectory with substantial three-dimensional curvature ¹³⁶ retains its character in relation to more linear trajectory paths.

The nature of the task requires an approach to automatically decide on the number of clusters to retain. This decision would ideally result in a classification with coherent, dissimilar airstreams assigned to separate classes, and similar airstreams grouped in one class. This can be achieved by exploiting a feature of the clustering algorithm itself. As agglomerative clustering proceeds,

d between successive clusters joined at each iteration increases gradually. This distance d can be 141 averaged over all the clusters joined at one iteration step to give d. Fig. 2b shows this increase 142 in d as a function of agglomeration step. When notably distinct clusters start to be co-joined d143 rapidly increases. The last set of cluster classes present before this sudden jump represents the 144 classification of trajectories into classes most distinct from one another, as shown by curvature in 145 Fig. 2b. These classes are the classification retained as the salient airstreams in this methodology. 146 Dorling et al. (1992) used a similar technique in application of a fuzzy clustering method for 2-147 30 clusters. The number of cluster classes was chosen as that just before a sudden increase in 148 intra-cluster variance, as expected when classes contain very different class members. This was 149 decided by visual inspection (Dorling et al. 1992). In our method, the decision of cluster numbers 150 is automated which leads to the method admitting a caveat as follows. If a maximum in curvature 151 occurs two agglomerations or more before only one class is left, the method works as described; if 152 not, the last value of the curvature is the highest and the number of classes chosen is forced to be 153 the number of classes present in the third-last iteration. This is borne out in comparison of Fig. 2 154 (b) and (d). At worst, this caveat results in more cluster classes being selected than would have 155 been selected by visual selection. Therefore, no attempt is made to draw conclusions from the 156 number of classes. 157

Each of these automatically chosen classes contains a population of trajectories from which class-median trajectories are calculated by taking the median trajectory properties at each time in the coherent ensemble of trajectories. Trajectory classification can be repeated for trajectory populations calculated from start locations defined at consecutive times in the evolution of a weather system. Class-median trajectories from each consecutive time can be calculated relative to the position of the center of the weather system at the given time. This results in a population of system-relative class-median trajectories that summarize the weather system development. This ¹⁶⁵ summary class-median-trajectory population can then be classified with same clustering approach
¹⁶⁶ described above to obtain a "super" classification of airstreams that form as a weather system
¹⁶⁷ evolves. In a Shapiro-Keyser type extratropical cyclone, this super-classification should show the
¹⁶⁸ WCB flow during early cyclone development and the CCB in later stages (Shapiro and Keyser
¹⁶⁹ 1990). This is demonstrated in section 3a.

3. Coherent ensembles of trajectories in a test case cyclone

In this section we describe cyclone Friedhelm and demonstrate that the method of clustering 171 trajectories can identify both synoptic-scale and mesoscale structures in its flow field. Cyclone 172 Friedhelm was observed in-situ using a research aircraft during intensive observing period 8 of the 173 Diabatic Influences on Mesoscale Structures in Extratropical Storms (DIAMET) field campaign 174 (Vaughan et al. 2014). It was the subject of a detailed case study into the airstreams that that 175 constituted the low-level jet in the bent-back frontal region (MA14). MA14 analyzed a numerical 176 simulation which was compared to flight data. They defined the low-level jet in this region by the 177 45 m s⁻¹ isotach and found three constituent airstreams with distinct equivalent potential temper-178 atures and airflow histories: a primary CCB flow, a secondary CCB flow, and a sting jet descent. 179 Comparison with MA14 provides a stringent test for this clustering methodology. 180

The cyclone was simulated using the operational numerical weather prediction model used by the Met Office, the Unified Model (MetUM). Version 8.2 of the MetUM was used with the (until recently operational) North Atlantic and European domain configuration which extends from approximately 30° to 70°N in latitude and from 60°W to 40°E in longitude (figures in this paper show a subregion of this domain). This configuration has 0.11° (~ 12 km) grid spacing in the horizontal in both latitude and longitude on a rotated grid. The model lid is at ~80km with the 70 stretched vertical levels spaced such that slantwise circulations of slope 1:40, with absolute vertical level spacing of 300m, are resolvable near 600 hPa and slopes shallower than 1:50 are
 resolvable below 750hPa. This compares well with the 1 in 50 slope suggested as necessary to
 simulate the release of conditional symmetric instability by slantwise circulations (Persson and
 Warner 1993) and similarly slantwise sting-jet descents (Clark et al. 2005; Gray et al. 2011).

¹⁹² The simulation was initialized at 0000 UTC 8 December from the operational North Atlantic ¹⁹³ and European analysis, with boundary conditions provided from the operational global MetUM. ¹⁹⁴ This modeling setup is nearly identical to that used in MA14; the only differences are the updates ¹⁹⁵ due to the change in model version from 7.3 to 8.2. Simulations of Friedhelm from the two model ¹⁹⁶ versions compare very closely (not shown). Model data from the version 8.2 simulation were ¹⁹⁷ interpolated onto pressure levels ($\Delta p = 25$ hPa) before calculating the diagnostics used in this ¹⁹⁸ study.

The aim is to demonstrate that the clustering methodology can characterize airstreams that flow 199 through the low-level jet regions of this cyclone. In principle, the methodology could identify these 200 low-level jets from trajectories that describe the full flow around the cyclone. Jet regions of strong 201 wind speed would be distinguished by coherent classes of trajectories that trace greater distances 202 than those not associated with jet regions. To reduce the computational resources needed, includ-203 ing the computational challenge of clustering $\sim 10^5$ trajectories, we speed up this identification 204 process by pre-selecting gridpoints within strong wind regions. However, this pre-selection is a 205 practical step, not a necessary one. To this end we choose where to seed trajectories by identifying 206 grid points that lie within a threshold isotach in the lower troposphere (950-650 hPa). Considering 207 25 hPa pressure increments, trajectories identifying the conveyor belts at each level are started 208 within any 40 m s⁻¹ isotach that is vertically contiguous with a 40 m s⁻¹ isotach at 850 hPa, an ar-209 bitrary but reasonable wind speed for low-level jets (results shown in section 3a). For comparison 210 with the bent-back front jets studied in MA14, their threshold of 45 m s⁻¹ is chosen (results shown 211

in section 3b). Start points were thus selected hourly from the model output and used to initialize
both forward and backward trajectories. Trajectories were calculated with the Lagrangian Analysis Tool LAGRANTO (Wernli and Davies 1997; Sprenger and Wernli 2015) using the iterative
Euler scheme applied to hourly model output, with an iteration timestep of 5 minutes.

The observation vector (\mathbf{W}_i) for each trajectory is described by latitude, longitude, and pressure 216 coordinates with equivalent potential temperature (θ_e) providing the airmass characteristic. For 217 moist flows θ_e is a conserved variable; however, θ_e can evolve in time along the trajectories and 218 trajectories with similar θ_e will be preferentially clustered. While other airmass tracers could be 219 chosen, a priori knowledge of flow around extratropical cyclones suggests inclusion of a measure 220 of the moist entropy of air parcels is worthwhile: Browning and Roberts (1994) describe how the 221 warm and cold conveyor belts can be distinguished by their high and low θ_e values respectively 222 (after Carlson 1980); and Clark et al. (2005) show that θ_e is also approximately conserved during 223 the descent of air in sting jets. 224

a. Identification of conveyor belts

To identify the conveyor belts, each trajectory was calculated over the time period $[t_0 - 3hrs, t_0 +$ 226 3hrs where t_0 is the initialization time from when both forward and backward trajectories were 227 calculated. This six hour period was chosen as a minimum time span in which to capture the key 228 features of conveyor belt flows, i.e. location relative to the storm center, curvature, and ascending 229 or descending character. Tests with a longer time period $[t_0 - 6hrs, t_0 + 6hrs]$ produced very similar 230 results (not shown). As Wernli and Davies (1997) noted, these airstreams are coherent for the 231 duration of storm intensification, longer than 12 hours. However, these key features are also 232 present on shorter timescales (e.g. Fig. 10 in Schultz 2001). 233

The resulting classification for cyclone Friedhelm is shown for trajectories passing through low-234 level jet regions at 0600 UTC 8 December 2011 (Fig. 3). The full population of trajectories 235 is shown in Fig. 3a. Classes with both CCB (class #1) and WCB (class #5) characteristics are 236 identified (Fig. 3 b and c, respectively). At this time the cyclone structure was identified as cor-237 responding to stage three of the Shapiro-Keyser conceptual model (Shapiro and Keyser 1990) by 238 MA14: the fronts had formed a T-bone structure with the WCB still present and the CCB starting 239 to wrap around the cyclone center. Fig. 3d presents a WCB trajectory population obtained by 240 thresholding for saturated ascent. Due to the short 21 hr duration of these trajectories, a 600 hPa 241 ascent criterion (as applied in previous studies such as Wernli and Davies (1997) for a 48 hr period) 242 only admits 183 trajectories. So to provide a comparable population size for comparison to the 243 WCB cluster class, a 400 hPa ascent criterion is chosen. The WCBs obtained by the agglomerative 244 clustering and thresholding methods match closely (compare Fig. 3 c and d). Obtaining the WCB 245 by thresholding was almost instantaneous, whereas cluster analysis of the full 9738 trajectories 246 passing through the low-level jet region took ~ 10 min. However, the clustering of the 887 trajec-247 tories passing through the strong wind region at the tip of the bent-back front to produce Fig. 7 248 completes in order seconds; this issue is discussed in section 4. Median trajectories for the CCB 249 and WCB class populations are overlaid in Fig. 3 (b and c). These median trajectories, along with 250 the others resulting from the clustering for this start time, are mapped in Fig. 4a with Fig. 4b, c and 251 d displaying their evolution in pressure, relative humidity, and θ_e respectively. These are shown 252 for the full time period of the simulation but, following the previous discussion, classification was 253 only performed on trajectory histories from 0300 UTC to 0900 UTC. The dendrogram associated 254 with these clusters classes is found in Fig. 2a and shows the distance cutoff used to obtain these 255 six classes. 256

The sensitivity of the final classification to variables chosen for clustering was tested (not shown) by considering both the number of classes produced and comparison of resulting class-median trajectories. This showed that pressure evolution was a strongly distinguishing variable, with latitude and longitude less so. Inclusion of θ_e in the observation vector tended to increase the number of final clusters. This implies it assists in distinguishing different air masses constituting the airstreams.

The wind maximum directly south of and closest to the cyclone center is typical of the wrap 263 around of the CCB and the associated development of strong surface winds (Fig. 4a). The near-264 surface airstream describing the CCB flow is captured in class #1. This median trajectory remains 265 saturated and below 800 hPa while a drier airstream, class #2, follows a more zonal path above 266 700 hPa (Fig. 4b and c). Together with class #4, these three classes represent flow in the cool sector 267 of the cyclone, northwest of the cold front, as demonstrated in Fig. 4d. The wind maximum some 268 distance southeast of the cyclone center in Fig. 4a is associated with the cold front and attendant 269 WCB. The classification in Fig. 4 captures the WCB with class #5, as identified by a median 270 trajectory that starts near the surface and rises 100 hPa between 0300 UTC and 0900 UTC before 271 ascending more rapidly to about 350 hPa (Fig. 4b). Class #6 describes a similar airstream at an 272 elevated altitude. These median trajectories remain saturated, along with class #3 which captures 273 flow through a lower extension of the upper-level jet. Classes #3, #5, and #6 summarize flow in 274 the warm sector of this cyclone (Fig. 4d). 275

²⁷⁶ How coherent are the airstreams summarized by these class medians? Following from Wernli ²⁷⁷ and Davies (1997) who used variance, we quantify coherency by the standard deviations of the ²⁷⁸ trajectory observation variables at each time and then average this value for all times (Table 1). ²⁷⁹ Considering θ_e , trajectory class #5 (the WCB airstream) is the most coherent relative to other ²⁸⁰ classes. Likewise class #1, the CCB has small variance in θ_e . However, small standard deviations ²⁸¹ in pressure (~50 hPa, about 4 times the model vertical level spacing) and θ_e (~1 K) in all the ²⁸² classes support the assertion that this clustering methodology is capturing coherent ensembles of ²⁸³ trajectories. These results provide a characterization of airstreams constituting the low-level jets ²⁸⁴ at 0600 UTC in the evolution of Friedhelm. We now consider the later hours of development.

Agglomerative clustering of trajectories was applied separately to the model output for initial 285 times set to every hour of the simulation from 0500 UTC-1700 UTC. This resulted in a population 286 of class-median trajectories, i.e. the information shown in Fig. 4 for each time. The entire set (for 287 all initial times) of class-median trajectories can be classified as follows. Fig. 5a shows an illustra-288 tive selection those class-median trajectories with WCB characteristics. Before classification the 289 system-relative (relative to the storm center) class-median trajectories were calculated (Fig. 5b). 290 Classification was then performed on all system-relative class-median trajectories, which in this 291 case clustered these six trajectories and another seven (not shown for clarity) into a class capturing 292 WCB flow during the period 0500 UTC to 1200 UTC of this cyclone. This cluster class can be 293 summarized by the mean of all system-relative class-median trajectories. This "super-class" mean 294 is shown in Fig. 5b as the dashed black line. Note that for the super-classification the class median 295 of the few trajectories is noisy, hence the use of the class mean. 296

All the super-class means for this storm are presented in Fig. 6. Analysis of the times of the 297 trajectories in the classes (not shown) associated with each super-class mean in Fig. 6 enables 298 characterization of the evolution of the conveyor belt airstreams in cyclone Friedhelm. Super-299 class mean #1 contains a population of class-median trajectories present from 0500 UTC until 300 1200 UTC. This was the WCB while it was still a part of the low-level jet regions of this cyclone. 301 The elevation of this super-class mean (Fig. 6b) indicates that while containing obvious WCB 302 class-medians such as class #5 in Fig. 4, it also contains class-median trajectories of elevated 303 WCB-like flows such as class #6 in Fig. 4. Super-class mean #4 summarizes the population of 304

³⁰⁵ CCB class-medians present throughout the period analyzed (0500 UTC until 1800 UTC). The ³⁰⁶ weak, late ascent of this class and mean warming (Fig. 6b) of 5K (Fig. 6d) contrast with the ³⁰⁷ cooling (\sim 2K) and sharper ascent of class-mean #1. Classes #2 and #3 capture the low-level ³⁰⁸ extensions of upper-level jets above the cold front and bent-back warm front.

³⁰⁹ b. Identification of airstreams terminating at the tip of the bent-back front

For this comparison with airstreams classified in MA14, the focus is on the airstreams that 310 enter an intense low-level jet region near the tip of the bent-back front and positioned south of 311 the cyclone center (in the frontal fracture zone as defined in MA14). Start points for trajectories 312 in this low-level jet were only retained if they were within the isotach that was contiguous with 313 the near-surface jet, defined by wind speed exceeding 45 m s⁻¹ at 850 hPa. The trajectories were 314 classified based on their positional and thermodynamic histories in the time period $[t_0 - 5hrs, t_0]$. 315 MA14 describe descending sting jets arriving in this low-level jet at 0900 UTC, 1300 UTC 316 and 1600 UTC on 8 December and undercut by two distinct CCB flows. Further analysis of 317 these sting jets revealed mesoscale dynamical instabilities (conditional, conditional symmetric, 318 and inertial instabilities) associated with the sting-jet descent which were absent in the CCB flows. 319 Using convection-permitting (2.2 km horizontal grid spacing) ensemble simulations of cyclone 320 Friedhelm, Vaughan et al. (2014) reveal fine-scale banding in the wind and precipitation structure 321 in the region where the sting jet airstream emerges from the cloud head. 322

Having demonstrated that the clustering algorithm can distinguish conveyor belts in section 3a, the following question is now addressed: can the clustering methodology capture a mesoscale feature such as the sting jet with minimal use of threshold criteria? Figure 7 presents the results of the cluster analysis for trajectories arriving in the low-level jet in the frontal fracture zone of cyclone Friedhelm at 1600 UTC. For comparison, Fig. 8 (adapted from MA14) shows the pressure, relative humidity, and θ_e evolutions of the sting jet (S2) and CCB (S1 and S3) airstreams identified in MA14. In MA14, the trajectories were split into coherent ensembles of trajectories using subjectively chosen threshold values for θ_e (Fig. 8a shows the pressure evolutions for four arrival times; Fig. 8b shows the relative humidity evolution for arrival at 1600 UTC only). Cluster classes #2-5 distinguish nuances of the CCB (Fig. 7a). Together these classes describe similar evolutions to those of S1 and S3 in MA14. They remain saturated at low altitude while rising weakly and warming ~3K, characteristic of CCB flow.

In contrast to the other classes, the median trajectory in class #1 descended more than 150 hPa 335 during this period (Fig. 7b). This class-median is most similar to the median trajectory labeled 336 S2@16 by MA14 due to its descent from \sim 500 hPa to \sim 700 hPa, drying to about 50% relative 337 humidity and small change in θ_e (~1K). These features are characteristic of sting jet descents 338 and MA14 demonstrated the presence of mesoscale instability associated with the descent of the 339 trajectory population that this class summarizes. Although sting jets are often associated with 340 strong surface winds (e.g. in the Great October storm of 1987, Browning 2004), trajectory analysis 341 which uses the resolved model wind-field, cannot show the interaction of the sting jet with the 342 boundary layer. 343

Some differences in evolution of the ensemble median CCB and sting jet trajectories calculated 344 here and in MA14 are to be expected as the different clustering methods result in slightly different 345 apportioning of individual trajectories to each ensemble. For example, the inclusion into S2 of 346 some trajectories assigned to S3 would result in a moister S2 class median. Differences in the 347 ensemble-median trajectories would also be expected from slight differences in forecast evolution 348 due to the different model version used, the rejection of some trajectories in MA14 using a conser-349 vation of potential temperature criterion (but not in this study), and differences in the start points of 350 the trajectories (the windspeed threshold used to identify the start points is the same in this study 351

and MA14, but MA14 used all points exceeding this threshold within a specified box whereas in 352 this study points contiguous to the jet at 850 hPa were used). However, the differences are minor; 353 the overall resemblance between the full trajectory populations of class #1 and S2 (Fig. 9 a and b 354 respectively) is striking. Both contain trajectories that rose from near the surface up to ~ 600 hPa 355 before joining air parcels with a more westerly and elevated source. These parcels then descended 356 together as an airstream into the strong wind region above Scotland. This similarity demonstrates 357 that the agglomerative clustering methodology can distinguish mesoscale flow structures such as 358 sting-jet descents even in cases such as Cyclone Friedhelm in which the CCB undercuts the sting 359 jet. 360

361 4. Summary and conclusions

This study has demonstrated the ability of cluster analysis to identify the salient airstreams of an extratropical cyclone in an automated way. This was possible by making specific choices with regards to the time period, distinguishing variables, and cluster algorithm. These choices benefit from a priori knowledge of extratropical cyclone structure. Focus on strong wind regions introduced a wind magnitude threshold which was applied to limit the number of start points of trajectories to be passed through cluster analysis.

The first test was to identify the primary low-level flows of extratropical cyclone Friedhelm. With focus on the low-level jets, the CCB and WCB were identified at a specific time. The clusterdetermined WCB matched closely to a WCB obtained by thresholding for saturated ascent. The automated method was applied to the classification of trajectories passing through the low-level wind maxima during a period in which the cyclone continued to develop. Cluster analysis was performed on the population of class-medians from classifications at each hour in this period. This produced a "super-classification" which summarised the Lagrangian flow of this storm in a single system-relative figure.

Following the identification of conveyor belts the second test was to identify flows arriving in the strong (>45 m s⁻¹) low-level jet region in the frontal fracture zone, just south of the center of cyclone Friedhelm. We demonstrated that the clustering methodology was capable of distinguishing mesoscale flow structure comparable to that identified by careful case study analysis of this cyclone and clustering of trajectories using subjectively chosen θ_e thresholds (MA14). The success in passing this second test motivates the use of this clustering methodology in the study of mesoscale features of the flow such as sting jets in extratropical cyclones.

The cluster analysis method successfully passed both these tests and thus provides a more ob-383 jective way of identifying airstreams in extratropical cyclones than the use of threshold criteria. 384 We noted that identifying the WCB by an ascent threshold is substantially more computationally 385 efficient, but the cluster analysis will be computationally acceptable for many applications. The 386 main computational drawback of Ward's method is due to the implementation using a stored Eu-387 clidean matrix. This contains the distance between each possible pair of trajectories. Therefore, 388 the matrix size increases as the square of number of trajectories to be classified, which can lead to 389 large memory requirements. 390

The caveat of the presented clustering algorithm is that both the choice of number of cluster classes and Ward's clustering algorithm can result in more clusters than the data natural describes with large clusters classified into a few clusters of similar size. This may have occurred with the multiple CCB clusters in Fig. 7. Further work could experiment with alternative clustering methodologies such as, K-means (MacQueen 1967; Hartigan and Wong 1979) or Affinity Propagation (Frey and Dueck 2007), in order to address these issues. Some of these other clustering algorithms also have less computational memory demand, addressing the problem of clustering large numbers (> 10^4) of trajectories (see Dorling et al. 1992). They can however, demand more computational processing time.

In conclusion, an extratropical cyclone has been used to demonstrate this methodological approach to airstream classification. This method has application in studies where the caveats of thresholding are unacceptable. For example, sting jets may have strong variability in descent rates, so analysis of ensemble simulations of sting jet storms would be a natural application of the approach presented here. Furthermore, the method is sufficiently general to be used in other contexts where such distinguishing of flows is needed.

This work made use of the facilities of HECToR, the UK's national high-Acknowledgments. 406 performance computing service. The Met Office is thanked for making the MetUM and associated 407 operational start dump and boundary condition files available as is the NERC-funded National 408 Centre for Atmospheric Sciences (NCAS) Computational Modelling Services (CMS) for provid-409 ing computing and technical support. Two anonymous reviewers and D. Schultz and H. Sodemann 410 are thanked for their thorough reviews and suggestions. We especially appreciate the care taken 411 by one anonymous reviewer which identified a bug in the code. This work was supported by 412 AXA-Research Fund under the project 'Sting Jet Windstorms in Current and Future Climates'. 413

414 References

⁴¹⁵ Baker, L. H., 2009: Sting jets in severe Northern European wind storms. *Weather*, **64**, 143–148,
⁴¹⁶ doi:10.1002/wea.397.

⁴¹⁷ Baker, L. H., O. Martínez-Alvarado, J. Methven, and P. Knippertz, 2013: Flying through extrat-⁴¹⁸ ropical cyclone Friedhelm. *Weather*, **68**, 9–13, doi:10.1002/wea.2047.

- Browning, K. A., 2004: The sting at the end of the tail: Damaging winds associated with extrat-419 ropical cyclones. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 130, 375–399, doi:10.1256/qj.02.143. 420
- Browning, K. A., and N. M. Roberts, 1994: Structure of a frontal cyclone. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. 421 Soc., 120, 1535–1557. 422
- Cape, J. N., J. Methven, and L. E. Hudson, 2000: The use of trajectory cluster analysis to interpret 423 trace gas measurements at Mace Head, Ireland. Atmos. Environ., 34, 3651–3663, doi:10.1016/ 424 \$1352-2310(00)00098-4. 425
- Carlson, T. N., 1980: Airflow through midlatitude cyclones and the comma cloud pattern. Mon. 426 Wea. Rev., 108, 1498–1509. 427
- Cheng, X., and J. M. Wallace, 1993: Cluster analysis of Northern Hemisphere wintertime 500-hPa 428 height field: spatial patterns. J. Atmos. Sci., 50 (6), 2674–2692. 429
- Clark, P. A., K. A. Browning, and C. Wang, 2005: The sting at the end of the tail: Model diagnos-430 tics of the fine-scale three-dimensional structure of the cloud band. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 431 **131**, 2263–2292, doi:10.1256/qj.04.36. 432
- Crétat, J., Y. Richard, B. Pohl, M. Rouault, C. J. C. Reason, and N. Fauchereau, 2012: Recurrent 433 daily rainfall patterns over South Africa and associated dynamics during the core of the austral 434 summer. Int. J. Climatol., 32, 261–273, doi:10.1002/joc.2266. 435
- Dorling, S., T. Davies, and C. Pierce, 1992: Cluster analysis: A technique for estimating the 436 synoptic meteorological controls on air and precipitation chemistry - method and applications. 437 Atmos. Environ. A, 26 (14), 2575–2581, doi:10.1016/0960-1686(92)90110-7. 438
- Eckhardt, S., A. Stohl, H. Wernli, P. James, C. Forster, and N. Spichtinger, 2004: A 15-year 439 climatology of warm conveyor belts. J. Clim., 17, 218–237.

- Fauchereau, N., B. Pohl, C. Reason, M. Rouault, and Y. Richard, 2009: Recurrent daily OLR
 patterns in the Southern Africa / Southwest Indian Ocean region, implications for South African
 rainfall and teleconnections. *Clim. Dyn.*, **32**, 575–591.
- Frey, B. J., and D. Dueck, 2007: Clustering by passing messages between data points. *Science*,
 315, 972–976, doi:10.1126/science.1136800.
- Gray, S. L., O. Martínez-Alvarado, L. H. Baker, and P. A. Clark, 2011: Conditional symmetric instability in sting jet storms. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **137**, 1482–1500, doi:10.1002/qj.859.
- Grønås, S., 1995: The seclusion intensification of the New Year's day storm 1992. *Tellus A*, 47,
 733–746, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0870.1995.00116.x.
- Harris, J. M., and J. D. Kahl, 1990: A descriptive atmospheric transport climatology for the Mauna
 Loa Observatory, using clustered trajectories. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 95 (D9), 13 651–13 667,
 doi:10.1029/JD095iD09p13651.
- Harrold, T. W., 1973: Mechanisms influencing the distribution of precipitation within baroclinic
 disturbances. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **99**, 232–251.
- Hartigan, J. A., and M. A. Wong, 1979: Algorithm as 136: A k-means clustering algorithm. J. *Roy. Stat. Soc. C*, 28, 100–108.
- 457 Jones, E., T. E. Oliphant, P. Peterson, and Coauthors, 2001: SciPy: Open source scientific tools
- for Python. URL http://www.scipy.org/, [Online; accessed 2015-02-21].
- 459 MacQueen, J., 1967: Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observa-
- 460 tions. Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Proba-
- bility, Volume 1: Statistics, University of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 281–297, URL
- http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.bsmsp/1200512992.

463	Madonna, E., H. Wernli, H. Joos, and O. Martius, 2014: Warm conveyor belts in the ERA-Interim
464	dataset (1979-2010) Part I: Climatology and potential vorticity evolution. J. Climate, 27, 3–26,
465	doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00720.1.
466	Martínez-Alvarado, O., L. H. Baker, S. L. Gray, J. Methven, and R. S. Plant, 2014: Distinguishing
467	the cold conveyor belt and sting jet air streams in an intense extratropical cyclone. Mon. Wea.
468	<i>Rev.</i> , 142 , doi:10.1002/met.297.
469	Martínez-Alvarado, O., S. L. Gray, J. L. Catto, and P. A. Clark, 2012: Sting jets in intense North-
470	Atlantic windstorms. Environ. Res. Lett., 7, 024014, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/2/024014.
471	Martínez-Alvarado, O., F. Weidle, and S. L. Gray, 2010: Sting jets in simulations of a real cyclone
472	by two mesoscale models. Mon. Wea. Rev., 138, 4054–407, doi:10.1175/2010MWR3290.1.
473	Michelangeli, P., R. Vautard, and B. Legras, 1995: Weather regimes: Recurrence and quasi sta-
474	tionarity. J. Atmos. Sci., 52, 1237–1256, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1995)052(1237:WRRAQS)2.
475	0.CO;2.
476	Moody, J. L., and J. N. Galloway, 1988: Quantifying the relationship between atmospheric trans-
477	port and the chemical composition of precipitation on Bermuda. Tellus B, 40B (5), 463-479,
478	doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.1988.tb00117.x.
479	Moody, J. L., S. J. Oltmans, H. Levy, and J. T. Merrill, 1995: Transport climatology of
480	tropospheric ozone: Bermuda, 1988-1991. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 100, 7179-7194, doi:

⁴⁸¹ 10.1029/94JD02830.

Persson, P. O. G., and T. T. Warner, 1993: Nonlinear hydrostatic conditional symmetric instability:
Implications for numerical weather prediction. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **121**, 1821–1833, doi:10.1175/
1520-0493(1993)121(1821:NHCSII)2.0.CO;2.

485	Pfahl, S., E. Madonna, M. Boettcher, H. Joos, and H. Wernli, 2014: Warm conveyor belts in the
486	ERA-Interim dataset (1979-2010) Part II: Moisture origin and relevance for precipitation. J.
487	<i>Climate</i> , 27 , 27–40, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00223.1.

- Ramos, M. C., 2001: Divisive and hierarchical clustering techniques to analyse variability of
 rainfall distribution patterns in a Mediterranean Region. *Atmos. Res.*, 57, 123–138.
- 490 Schultz, D. M., 2001: Reexamining the cold conveyor belt. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **129**, 2205–2225,
 491 doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129(2205:RTCCB)2.0.CO;2.
- 492 Shapiro, M. A., and D. A. Keyser, 1990: Fronts, jet streams, and the tropopause. Extratropical
- Cyclones: The Erik Palmén Memorial Volume, C. W. Newton, and E. O. Holopainen, Eds.,
 American Meteorological Society, 167–191.
- Sprenger, M., and H. Wernli, 2015: The Lagrangian analysis tool LAGRANTO version 2.0.
 Geosci. Mod. Dev. Discuss., 8, 1893–1943, doi:10.5194/gmdd-8-1893-2015.
- Stohl, A., 1998: Computation, accuracy and applications of trajectories A review and bibliography. *Atmos. Environ.*, **32** (6), 947–966, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00457-3.
- Stohl, A., 2001: A 1-year Lagrangian "climatology" of airstreams in the northern hemisphere
 troposphere and lowermost stratosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, 30445–30462.
- ⁵⁰¹ Tennant, W. J., and B. C. Hewitson, 2002: Intra-seasonal rainfall characteristics and their impor-⁵⁰² tance to the seasonal prediction problem. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **22**, 1033–1048.
- Vaughan, G., and Coauthors, 2014: Cloud banding and winds in intense European cyclones: Re sults from the DIAMET project. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00238.1,
 in Press.

- Ward, J. H., 1963: Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 506 58, 236–244, doi:10.1080/01621459.1963.10500845. 507
- Wernli, H., and H. C. Davies, 1997: A Lagrangian-based analysis of extratropical cyclones. I: 508 The method and some applications. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 123, 467-489, doi:10.1002/qj. 509 49712353811.
- Wishart, D., 1969: An algorithm for hierarchical classification. *Biometrics*, 25, 165–170. 511

512 LIST OF TABLES

513	Table 1.	Average standard deviation (σ) of each variable for the ensemble of trajectories
514		in each class obtained from clustering trajectories passing through low-level
515		wind maxima at 0600 UTC (shown in Fig. 4) and 1600 UTC (shown in Fig. 7).
516		Average σ for each variable is computed as the mean of σ 's at each hour for
517		the classification period chosen: $[t_0 - 3hrs, t_0 + 3hrs]$ at 0600 UTC and $[t_0 - 3hrs, t_0 + 3hrs]$
518		$5hrs, t_0hrs$] at 1600 UTC

TABLE 1. Average standard deviation (σ) of each variable for the ensemble of trajectories in each class obtained from clustering trajectories passing through low-level wind maxima at 0600 UTC (shown in Fig. 4) and 1600 UTC (shown in Fig. 7). Average σ for each variable is computed as the mean of σ 's at each hour for the classification period chosen: [$t_0 - 3hrs, t_0 + 3hrs$] at 0600 UTC and [$t_0 - 5hrs, t_0hrs$] at 1600 UTC.

	0600 UTC Classes					1600 UTC Classes					
	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5
σ (Lon.)(deg)	0.53	0.62	0.98	1.43	0.52	0.93	0.35	0.22	0.24	0.31	0.27
$\sigma(Lat.)(\text{deg})$	0.70	0.80	0.34	0.63	0.71	0.80	0.27	0.16	0.25	0.22	0.21
$\sigma(\text{Pres.})(\text{hPa})$	52.99	45.21	39.78	32.20	43.14	46.40	33.66	39.88	49.09	35.17	47.11
$\sigma(\theta_{e})(K)$	0.50	1.12	0.90	1.13	0.40	1.18	0.31	0.24	0.30	0.21	0.39
no. trajs	1192	1847	1294	1742	1324	2339	249	89	188	133	228

519 LIST OF FIGURES

520 521 522 523 524	Fig. 1.	Schematic of the development of airstreams and front locations in extratropical cyclone Friedhelm during 8 December 2011 overlaid onto infrared imagery at (a) 0300 UTC (MODIS); (b) 1000 UTC (AVHRR); and (c) 1300 UTC (AVHRR). Light blue region de- notes approximate region of strongest low-level (eg. 850hPa) winds. (Courtesy: Dundee Satellite Receiving Station).	 . 30
525 526 527	Fig. 2.	(a) Dendrogram indicating the successive agglomeration of clusters from observations (x-axis) with increasing distance between clusters (y-axis). (b) \overline{d} as a function of agglomeration step (blue) with the peak value of curvature $(\frac{d^2\overline{d}}{dx^2}$, red) indicated (dashed). See text for	
528 529 530 531 532		definition of d . The mean distance at the agglomeration step when the peak curvature occurs is the classification cutoff distance as shown by horizontal dashed line in (a). The resulting 6 clusters are labeled in (a). (a and b) correspond to clustering of trajectories initialized at 06UTC (no. obs. = 9738) shown in Fig. 4. (c and d) correspond to super-clustering (no. obs. = 60) shown in Fig. 6	 31
533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541	Fig. 3.	(a) Full population of trajectories passing through low-level jet (windspeed exceeding 40 m s ⁻¹) at 0600 UTC 8 December 2011. (b) Trajectories assigned to cluster class 1 (CCB) with the class median overlaid (shaded and black-edged thick line). (c) As in (b) for cluster class 5 (WCB). (d) A WCB trajectory population obtained from thresholding for saturated ($RH > 90\%$) ascent ($\Delta p > 400$ hPa in 21 hours). Every 10 th trajectory is plotted in (a) with every 3 rd shown in (b-d). Dots indicate 0600 UTC initial locations of displayed trajectories, with insets showing vertical cross-sections of these start locations between 600hPa and 1000hPa through longitude drawn in bold. Trajectories are clustered over the period 0300-0900 UTC but trajectory histories are shown for the extended period 0100-2200 UTC.	 . 32
542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550	Fig. 4.	Classification of trajectories arriving in low-level jets (windspeed exceeding 40 m s ⁻¹) at 0600 UTC 8 December 2011 labeled in each panel by class number. (a) Class-median trajectories colored by pressure with the position of the minimum cyclone pressure marked by X at 0600 UTC on the smoothed cyclone track (black line). The direction of the trajectories can be determined from the numerical trajectory labels which are positioned near the beginning of the trajectories (at $t_0 - 3hrs$). Contours of 40 and 45 m s ⁻¹ windspeed are marked in gray. (b, c and d) Class-median evolutions of pressure (b) and relative humidity (c) and θ_e with the start and end times of the $[t_0 - 3hrs, t_0 + 3hrs]$ classification period denoted by squares and stars respectively.	 33
551 552 553 554 555 556 557	Fig. 5.	Illustration of the computation of a WCB super-class mean. (a) class-median trajectories with WCB characteristics, as in Fig. 4a, but only showing every second class-median trajectory to avoid clutter. Contours denote 40 m s^{-1} isotach at 850 hPa at each time, with the corresponding storm center marked on the storm track. (b) system-relative class-median trajectories and isotachs (as for (a) but in system-relative coordinates) and the super-class mean (dashed black line in (b)) computed from all system-relative class-median trajectories with WCB characteristics in the period 0500–1200 UTC.	 34
558 559 560	Fig. 6.	Super-classification of all trajectories arriving in the low-level jet between 0500 UTC and 1700 UTC 8 December 2011. The longitude and latitude coordinates in (a) are relative to the center of the cyclone, marked by 'L'. Otherwise the figure format is as in Fig. 4	 35
561 562 563	Fig. 7.	Classification of trajectories arriving in the low-level jet region (windspeed exceeding 45 m s^{-1}) in the frontal fracture zone at 1600 UTC 8 December 2011 labeled in each panel by class number. The format of the figure is as for Fig. 4.	 36

564 565 566 567 568 569	Fig. 8.	Evolution of (a) pressure and (b) relative humidity and (c) θ_e with respect to ice along the ensemble median trajectories of the subjectively clustered trajectories arriving in the low-level jet region in the frontal fracture zone at 1600 UTC 8 December 2011 in MA14. The ensemble median trajectories represent airstreams which are labeled as "SX@HH", where X indicates the airstream number and HH indicates the arrival hour. Pressure evolutions are shown for airstreams arriving at four times whereas relative humidity evolutions are	
570		only shown for those airstreams arriving at 1600 UTC. Figure adapted from MA14, Fig. 8	
571		(©American Meteorological Society. Used with permission.).	37
572	Fig. 9.	Positional evolution of the trajectories constituting (a) agglomeratively clustered class #1	
573	-	and (b) the subjectively clustered S2 airstream (from MA14, Fig. 5 © American Meteoro-	
574		logical Society. Used with permission.). The back trajectories are colored by pressure. (a)	
575		also shows the position of the minimum pressure marked by X at 1600 UTC on the smoothed	
576		cyclone track (black line) and (b) also shows mean sea level pressure at 1600 UTC (con-	
577		tours). Black dots in both panels represent the positions of the trajectories at 1500 UTC.	



FIG. 1. Schematic of the development of airstreams and front locations in extratropical cyclone Friedhelm during 8 December 2011 overlaid onto infrared imagery at (a) 0300 UTC (MODIS); (b) 1000 UTC (AVHRR); and (c) 1300 UTC (AVHRR). Light blue region denotes approximate region of strongest low-level (eg. 850hPa) winds. (Courtesy: Dundee Satellite Receiving Station).



⁵⁷⁹ FIG. 2. (a) Dendrogram indicating the successive agglomeration of clusters from observations (x-axis) with ⁵⁸⁰ increasing distance between clusters (y-axis). (b) \overline{d} as a function of agglomeration step (blue) with the peak value ⁵⁸¹ of curvature ($\frac{d^2\overline{d}}{dx^2}$, red) indicated (dashed). See text for definition of \overline{d} . The mean distance at the agglomeration ⁵⁸² step when the peak curvature occurs is the classification cutoff distance as shown by horizontal dashed line in ⁵⁸³ (a). The resulting 6 clusters are labeled in (a). (a and b) correspond to clustering of trajectories initialized at ⁵⁸⁴ 06UTC (no. obs. = 9738) shown in Fig. 4. (c and d) correspond to super-clustering (no. obs. = 60) shown in ⁵⁸⁵ Fig. 6.



FIG. 3. (a) Full population of trajectories passing through low-level jet (windspeed exceeding 40 m s⁻¹) at 579 0600 UTC 8 December 2011. (b) Trajectories assigned to cluster class 1 (CCB) with the class median overlaid 580 (shaded and black-edged thick line). (c) As in (b) for cluster class 5 (WCB). (d) A WCB trajectory population 581 obtained from thresholding for saturated (RH > 90%) ascent ($\triangle p > 400$ hPa in 21 hours). Every 10th trajectory 582 is plotted in (a) with every 3rd shown in (b-d). Dots indicate 0600 UTC initial locations of displayed trajectories, 583 with insets showing vertical cross-sections of these start locations between 600hPa and 1000hPa through longi-584 tude drawn in bold. Trajectories are clustered over the period 0300-0900 UTC but trajectory histories are shown 585 for the extended period 0100-2200 UTC. 586



FIG. 4. Classification of trajectories arriving in low-level jets (windspeed exceeding 40 m s⁻¹) at 0600 UTC 8 December 2011 labeled in each panel by class number. (a) Class-median trajectories colored by pressure with the position of the minimum cyclone pressure marked by **X** at 0600 UTC on the smoothed cyclone track (black line). The direction of the trajectories can be determined from the numerical trajectory labels which are positioned near the beginning of the trajectories (at $t_0 - 3hrs$). Contours of 40 and 45 m s⁻¹ windspeed are marked in gray. (b, c and d) Class-median evolutions of pressure (b) and relative humidity (c) and θ_e with the start and end times of the [$t_0 - 3hrs, t_0 + 3hrs$] classification period denoted by squares and stars respectively.



⁵⁷⁹ FIG. 5. Illustration of the computation of a WCB super-class mean. (a) class-median trajectories with WCB ⁵⁸⁰ characteristics, as in Fig. 4a, but only showing every second class-median trajectory to avoid clutter. Contours ⁵⁸¹ denote 40 m s⁻¹ isotach at 850 hPa at each time, with the corresponding storm center marked on the storm track. ⁵⁸² (b) system-relative class-median trajectories and isotachs (as for (a) but in system-relative coordinates) and the ⁵⁸³ super-class mean (dashed black line in (b)) computed from all system-relative class-median trajectories with ⁵⁸⁴ WCB characteristics in the period 0500–1200 UTC.



FIG. 6. Super-classification of all trajectories arriving in the low-level jet between 0500 UTC and 1700 UTC
8 December 2011. The longitude and latitude coordinates in (a) are relative to the center of the cyclone, marked
by 'L'. Otherwise the figure format is as in Fig. 4



⁵⁷⁹ FIG. 7. Classification of trajectories arriving in the low-level jet region (windspeed exceeding 45 m s⁻¹) in ⁵⁸⁰ the frontal fracture zone at 1600 UTC 8 December 2011 labeled in each panel by class number. The format of ⁵⁸¹ the figure is as for Fig. 4.



⁵⁷⁹ FIG. 8. Evolution of (a) pressure and (b) relative humidity and (c) θ_e with respect to ice along the ensemble ⁵⁸⁰ median trajectories of the subjectively clustered trajectories arriving in the low-level jet region in the frontal ⁵⁸¹ fracture zone at 1600 UTC 8 December 2011 in MA14. The ensemble median trajectories represent airstreams ⁵⁸² which are labeled as "SX@HH", where X indicates the airstream number and HH indicates the arrival hour. ⁵⁸³ Pressure evolutions are shown for airstreams arriving at four times whereas relative humidity evolutions are only ⁵⁸⁴ shown for those airstreams arriving at 1600 UTC. Figure adapted from MA14, Fig. 8 (©American Meteorolog-⁵⁸⁵ ical Society. Used with permission.).



FIG. 9. Positional evolution of the trajectories constituting (a) agglomeratively clustered class #1 and (b) the subjectively clustered S2 airstream (from MA14, Fig. 5 ©American Meteorological Society. Used with permission.). The back trajectories are colored by pressure. (a) also shows the position of the minimum pressure marked by **X** at 1600 UTC on the smoothed cyclone track (black line) and (b) also shows mean sea level pressure at 1600 UTC (contours). Black dots in both panels represent the positions of the trajectories at 1500 UTC. The trajectories in both panels extend backwards to 0100 UTC.