

Student projects: plagiarism and assessment

Conference or Workshop Item

Presentation

Haworth, G. M. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9896-1448 (2006) Student projects: plagiarism and assessment. In: JISC 2nd International Plagiarism Conference 2006, 19th - 21st May, 2006, The sage, Gateshead, Newcastle. Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/4558/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: http://www.jisc.ac.uk/events/2006/06/event_plagiarism_0606.aspx

Publisher: JISC

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Reading

Student Projects

Plagiarism and Assessment

g.haworth@reading.ac.uk

© University of Reading

Guy Haworth UoR.SSE v4 2006-06-26

www.reading.ac.uk

The Information Technology Project

- customer-centred project delivered by student
- 20%+ of study programme by weight, ~400 hrs work
- 40% = pass, a hurdle for the B.Sc. (Hons.) degree
- conducted in a 'Virtual Company' regime
 - projects awarded on a competitive basis
 - senior PM (mentor), QA (convenor), colleagues (students)
- assessment areas, largely based on final report
 Investigation, analysis and design, implementation, PM
- looking for judicious mix of 'own' and 'other' voices

First Cohort, 2004-5

- regime in which the module was conducted
 - 'virtual company' v 'academic' experience
 - nature of support provided
 - level of mentoring and monitoring
- Project Reports provided the major feedback
- one student's report
 - semi-detached from project
 - references somewhat out of line with the core text
 - smoking gun: one incongruous phase
- response: Google, turnitin ... University process

Guy Haworth UoR.SSE v4 2006-06-26

turnitin on the 2004-5 Reports





turnitin on the 2005-6 Reports



Analysis of turnitin 2005-6 results

- 2004-5 reports reviewed in 2005:
 6.4% without turnitin exclusions
- 2005-6: 6.9%, and 3.3% with turnitin exclusions
- 2004-5 reports reviewed again in 2006:
 - now 10.6% and 7.2%, without and with turnitin exclusions
- observations
 - inflation in Similarity Scores from 6.4 % to 10.6%
 - 6.9% is an improvement against a contemporaneous 10.6%
 - 2005-6 reports are less resistive to turnitin exclusions
 - encouraging signs of better referencing by students

Guy Haworth UoR.SSE v4 2006-06-26

JISC PAS and the turnitinUK service

- service and support have been good
 - turnround time: instant to 36 hours
 - response to queries last year: quick and sympathetic
 - colleagues find quantification usefully backs up judgement
- the 6.4% \rightarrow 10.6% inflation seems to indicate that
 - 'background radiation' in the universe is increasing
 - Inevitable? An infinite number of monkeys ...
 - plagiarism signal accompanied by more 'similarity noise'
 - increasing percentage of 'false positive' matches
 - increasing workload ignoring these ignorable matches
 - service will become decreasingly attractive to users

Suggested software improvements

- attach more significance to courser-grain matching
 - an MT four times longer is more than 4 times as significant
 - current similarity index = SI% \propto sum(length of MT)
 - let T = sum(length^k) with k > 1: k = 1.5 is proposed here
 - then T/S indicates the granularity of the matched text
- focus on Statistically Improbable Phrases (SIPs)
 - a 'My turnitin' would ignore your domain's lingua franca
- deletable MTs added to an 'Acceptable text' file
 - one source may match both significant and ignorable MTs
 - a deleted source can be replaced by another
 - an 'ignorable match' may indicate part of the lingua franca

Guy Haworth UoR.SSE v4 2006-06-26

Proposed Matching Process



Assessment and the 'target student'

Two key Reading Information Technology programme aims

- students should be socially mature
 - able and willing to help and be helped by colleagues
 - aware of the needs of the project team they are in
- students should build on the established 'state of the art'
 - 'reuse': key engineering discipline, specifically taught
 - Object Orientation is central to the Information Systems industry
- assessment methods should encourage this
- society needs honest team-players, reusing wisely

Guy Haworth UoR.SSE v4 2006-06-26

11

Summary

- plagiarism case in 2005 led to:
 - reactive use of turnitin in 2005, advertised use in 2006
 - increased measures to prevent plagiarism in 2006

signs of

- improving standard of referencing by students
- increasing level of time-wasting turnitin 'false positives'
- danger of reducing value from turnitin
- scope for improvement in 'Matching Services'
 - ignorable 'Matched Texts' as well as ignorable 'Sources'
 - a non-linear measure indicating granularity of matching
 - focus on Statistically Improbably Phrases