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## PREFACE

These notes have been issued on a small scale in 1983 and 1987 and on request at other times.

This issue follows two items of news. First, Walter Colquitt and Luther Welsh found the 'missed' Mersenne prime $M_{110503}$ and advanced the frontier of complete $M_{p}$-testing to 139,267 . In so doing, they terminated Slowinski's significant string of four consecutive Mersenne primes. Secondly, a team of five established a non-Mersenne number as the largest known prime. This result terminated the 1952-89 reign of Mersenne primes.

All the original Mersenne numbers with $p<258$ were factorised some time ago. The Sandia Laboratories team of Davis, Holdridge \& Simmons with some little assistance from a CRAY machine cracked $M_{211}$ in 1983 and $M_{251}$ in 1984. They contributed their results to the 'Cunningham Project', care of Sam Wagstaff. That project is now moving apace thanks to developments in technology, factorisation and primalitytesting.

New levels of computer power and new computer architectures motivated by the open-ended promise of parallelism are now available. Once again, the suppliers may be offering free buildings with the computer. However, the Sandia ' 84 CRAY-1 implementation of the quadratic-sieve method is now outpowered by the number-field sieve technique. This is deployed on either purpose-built hardware or large syndicates, even distributed world-wide, of collaborating standard processors.

New factorisation techniques of both special and general applicability have been defined and deployed. The elliptic-curve method finds large factors with helpful properties while the number-field sieve approach is breaking down composites with over one hundred digits.

The material is updated on an occasional basis to follow the latest developments in primality-testing large $M_{p}$ and factorising smaller $M_{p}$; all dates derive from the published literature or referenced private communications. Minor corrections, additions and changes merely advance the issue number after the decimal point.

The reader is invited to report to the address below any errors and omissions that have escaped the proof-reading, to answer the unresolved questions noted and to suggest additional material associated with this subject.

Guy Haworth
33, Alexandra Rd., Reading, Berkshire England, RG1 5PG

Issue 10.2 of $22 / 01 / 90$
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The number system has been studied since the earliest times and this history begins with Pythagoras and Euclid.

One of the earliest interests was the concept of the 'perfect' number - a number equal to the sum of its proper divisors. Here, ' 1 ' but not the number itself is regarded as a proper divisor.

Such numbers are rare and the earliest examples, 6 and 28 , were invested with mystical significance by numerologists and philosophers.

The major moments in the history of the search for perfect numbers have been provided by Euclid (275BC), Mersenne (1644), Lucas (1876) and by the advent of the electronic computer in the 1950 s.

Euclid showed that $2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)$ was perfect if $2^{n}-1$ was a prime. Again the early $2^{n}-1$ primes, 3 and 7 , were specific objects of numerological interest. Supplementary results have shown that $2^{n}-1$ is prime only if ' $n$ ' is a prime ' $p$ ', that all even perfect numbers are of Euclid's form, and that the factors of $2^{p}-1$ are of a specific form.

No odd perfect numbers are known. As successive papers add to the conditions which such numbers must satisfy, their existence looks increasingly unlikely. Had '1' not been regarded as a proper divisor, the story might well have been different.

Mersenne took a specific interest in numbers of the form $2^{p-1}$ and incorrectly stated which $p<258$ led to perfect numbers. He provided no proofs and it might be generous to regard his statement as a conjecture. Unwittingly or not, he contributed no results but threw down a challenge in 1644 which has been taken up ever since. Rouse Ball dubbed the $2^{\mathrm{p}-1}$ 'Mersenne Numbers' in 1911 , thereby creating the first nine Mersenne primes at a stroke. Some thousands of computational hours have been expended on the "Mersenne Numbers" $M_{p}=2^{p-1}$ either to find their prime/composite status or to find their factors.

Lucas provided a convenient primality test for the $M_{p}$. D H Lehmer gave a full proof of a refined version of the test in 1930. The Lucas-Lehmer test was manually applied to 19 of the "original" $M_{p}(p<258)$ though correct computations were not always the result.

The status of Mersenne's statement - five errors - is commonly thought to have been resolved by Uhler's work in 1946. However, this is not so because the contributions of Fauquembergue $\left(M_{101}, M_{137}\right)$ and Barker $\left(M_{167}\right)$ were found in 1952 to be incorrect by Robinson's SWAC program. The SWAC results put on file for the first time a sufficient set of correct Lucas computations, correcting those errors and filling in for previous unpublished results. Robinson also ratified a number of Lucas computations; all Lucas results have been independently checked for these notes.

Before turning to the electronic computer, we should note the 'pre-history' work done with a variety of computational aids. These included factor stencils, mechanical or electro-mechanical calculators and D H Lehmer's various sieves which were specifically produced to attack residue problems. DHL's first sieve in 1927 relied on bicycle chains and pins attached to the links signalled a result. The second sieve in 1932 substituted holed gear-wheels for bicycle-chains and pins; a sensitive amplifier magnified the minute signal from a photo-electric cell when a ray of light fleetingly shone through the aligned holes in the wheels. An electronic sieve in 1965 continued the line.

The late 1940 s provided a quantum jump in computational capability. Lehmer's 700 hour calculation on $M_{257}$ was confirmed in 48 seconds by the SWAC machine in 1952; the phrase "a month a minute" even then understated the ratio between manpower and computer power. Man was liberated from the drudgery of calculation. By the early 1970s, the computer could put away a lifetime's calculations in a second. Today, the latest supercomputers are equivalent to $10^{7}$ SWACs on the $M_{p}$ benchmark and we are only just beginning to exploit mass parallelism in our computer architectures.

Progress on primality-testing the $M_{p}$ themselves has been governed by the increasing power of computers though the latest approaches to multiplication have contributed The Schonhage-Strassen technique reduces the squaring of an $n$-bit number to $0(n . \operatorname{logn})$ as compared to the $0\left(n^{2}\right)$ of the schoolboy technique and makes a real contribution when $n$ is of the order of 100000 .

Considerable mathematical progress has been achieved on factorisation and general primality-testing since 1970. The complete factorisation of Mersenne's original numbers was achieved in February 1984 and the smallest unfactorised $M_{p}$ is now $M_{449}$.

These notes tabulate the results in various ways and provides a full though inevitably incomplete reference to the relevant literature. The 'errors' section shows the difficulties of proof-reading and the desirability of automating the publication process.

The observations also tells a cautionary tale to those organising future computations for as noted above, occasional Lucas results connected with the $M_{p}$ have later been revealed as incorrect. Computer programs are becoming increasingly important in our lives and their results, which cannot be checked manually, must as far as possible be self-checking or confirmed by independent program.

Mersenne requires no successor today but the 'Cunningham Project' [B17] provides the motivation and focus for current work aiming to advance the state of the art in factorisation and primality-testing. With Slowinski's code active on current and future CRAYs and with the advent of other supercomputers, we may anticipate further discoveries of Mersenne primes.


The original Mersenne numbers are the $55 M_{p}=2^{p-1}$ with $p<258$ and prime which were the subject of Mersenne's 1644 conjecture:

| $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Status |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 31 | 12 prime $M_{p}$ |
| 61 | 89 | 107 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 23 | 29 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 | 43 composite and |
| 59 | 67 | 71 | 73 | 79 | 83 | 97 | 101 | completely factorised $M_{p}$ |
| 103 | 109 | 113 | 131 | 137 | 139 | 149 | 151 |  |
| 157 | 163 | 167 | 173 | 179 | 181 | 191 | 193 |  |
| 197 | 199 | 211 | 223 | 227 | 229 | 233 | 239 |  |
| 241 | 251 | 257 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

See [B17 Edition 2]. For further $M_{p}$ :

| $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 521 |  | 607 |  | 1279 |  | 2203 | 19 prime $M_{p}$ |
| 2281 |  | 2217 |  | 4253 |  | 4423 |  |
| 9689 |  | 9941 |  | 1213 |  | 9937 |  |
| 21701 |  | 209 |  | 4497 |  | 6243 |  |
| 110503 |  | 049 |  | 6091 |  |  |  |
| 263269 | 271 | 277 | 281 | 283 | 293 | 307 | 46 composite and |
| 311313 | 317 | 331 | 337 | 347 | 349 | 353 | completely factorised $M_{p}$ |
| 359367 | 373 | 379 | 383 | 389 | 397 | 401 |  |
| 409419 | 421 | 431 | 433 | 439 | 443 | 457 |  |
| 461463 | 487 | 491 | 499 | 503 |  | 547 |  |
| 577701 |  |  | 1049 | 1063 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 449 467 479 541 557 563 569 571 First 39 partially <br> 587 593 599 601 613 617 619 631  <br> 641 643 647 653 659 661 673 677  <br> 683 691 719 733 739 743 757 761 factorised $M_{p}$ <br> 769 773 787 797 811 821 827   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 523 727 751 809 823 971 983 997 First 9 <br> 1061 <br> 10 $\ldots$       with <br> no known factor         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

See [B17 Edition 2 \& update 2.2] and [K31] for the 'probably' factorised $M_{p}$.

|  | DATE | $p$ | $m_{p}$ | $e_{p}$ | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ca 275 BC | 2 | 1 | 1 | Euclid (2758C) [H3]; Nicomachus (ca 100AD) |
| 2 | ca 275 BC | 3 | 1 | 2 | Euclid; Nicomachus [c D1 p3 n2] |
| 3 | ca 275 BC ? | ? 5 | 2 | 3 | Euclid (?); Nicomachus |
| 4 | ca 275 BC ? | ? 7 | 3 | 4 | Euclid (?); Nicomachus |
| 5 | 1456 | 13 | 4 | 8 | Manuscript Codex lat. Monac [C26] |
| 6 | 1588 | 17 | 6 | 10 | Cataldi [C2; c D1 p10 n44] |
| 7 | 1588 | 19 | 6 | 12 | Cataldi [C2; c D1 p10 n44] |
| 8 | 1772 | 31 | 10 | 19 | Euler [E2 p584; E6 p35; c D1 p18 n95] |
| 9 | 1883 | 61 | 19 | 37 | Pervouchine [P13; P14; P16; C D1 p25 n140] |
| 10 | 6/1911 | 89 | 27 | 54 | Powers [P15]; independently, Fauquembergue |
| 11 | 6/1914 | 107 | 33 | 65 | Powers [P2]; independently, Fauquembergue |
| 12 | 1876 | 127 | 39 | 77 | Lucas [L16; L17]; confirmed, Fauquembergue |
| 13 | 30/1/1952 | 521 | 157 | 314 | Robinson (SWAC) [L3; R2] |
| 14 | 30/ 1/1952 | 607 | 183 | 366 | Robinson (SWAC) [L3; R2] |
| 15 | 25/6/1952 | 1279 | 386 | 770 | Robinson (SWAC) [L4; R2] |
| 16 | 7/10/1952 | 2203 | 664 | 1327 | Robinson (SWAC) [L5; R2] |
| 17 | 9/10/1952 | 2281 | 687 | 1373 | Robinson (SWAC) [L5; R2] |
| 18 | 8/ 9/1957 | 3217 | 969 | 1937 | Riesel (BESK) [R5; R1] |
| 19 | 3/11/1961 | 4253 | 1281 | 2561 | Hurwitz \& Selfridge (IBM 7090) [H1; H2] |
| 20 | 3/11/1961 | 4423 | 1332 | 2663 | Hurwitz \& Selfridge (IBM 7090) [H1; H2] |
| 21 | 11/5/1963 | 9689 | 2917 | 5834 | Gillies (Illiac II) [G1; G5; G7] |
| 22 | 16/5/1963 | 9941 | 2993 | 5985 | Gillies (Illiac II) [G1; G5; G7; M9] |
| 23 | 2/ 6/1963 | 11213 | 3376 | 6751 | Gillies (Illiac II) [G1; G7; M9] |
| 24 | 4/3/1971 | 19937 | 6002 | 12003 | Tuckerman (IBM 360/91) [T1; T3] |
| 25 | 30/10/1978 | 21701 | 6533 | 13066 | Nickel \& Noll (CDC CYBER-174) [N5; N7; S4] |
| 26 | 9/2/1979 | 23209 | 6987 | 13973 | Noll (CDC CYBER-174) [N6; N7; S13] |
| 27 | 8/ 4/1979 | 44497 | 13395 | 26790 | Nelson \& Slowinski (CRAY-1) [N1; S1; S13] |
| 28 | 25/ 9/1982 | 86243 | 25962 | 51924 | Slowinski (CRAY-1) [N21] |
| 29 | 29/1/1988 | 110503 | 33265 | 66530 | Colquitt \& Welsh (NEC SX-2/400) [C32] |
| 30 | 20/ 9/1983 | 132049 | 39751 | 79502 | Slowinski (CRAY-XMP) [C33; D4; N25] |
| 31 ? | 6/9/1985 | 216091 | 65050 | 130100 | Slowinski (CRAY-XMP) [D6] |
|  | 6/8/1989 | ------ | 65087 |  | 391581.2216193-1 Brown, Noll, Parady, Smith Smith and Zarantonello (Amdahl 1200E) [D7] ) |

The prime $M_{p}$ in the 'original Mersenne number' range $p<258$ were discovered without the aid of electronic computers. Prime $M_{p}$ beyond that range were discovered with the aid of electronic computers.

An independent computation on the ICL 2900 DAP has confirmed the Lucas residues for all $M_{p}$ in the range $p<50024$ where no factor was known. A factor or $L R$ has been calculated on the DAP for all $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ in the range p < 100000 [H18] and by Colquitt/Welsh on the NEC SX/2 [C32-C34] for all p in range $100000<p<139267$.

Assuming Pomerance's conjecture on the distribution of Mersenne Primes, a computer using FFNT (or 'schoolboy') multiplication will spend twice (or four times) as long discovering the next Mersenne Prime as confirming all previous results. FFNT algorithms been implemented on the ICL DAP, CRAY-XMP, CYBER-205 and NEC SX-2/400.

Slowinski has not filed all the required $M_{p}{ }^{-f} 1 /$ LRs for $139267<p<216092$ and there may be further prime $M_{p}$ in this range.


INCIDENCE OF MERSENNE PRIMES

$$
N=a \log _{e} P+c
$$

Best Gillies-fit:
a c
Best Pomerance-fit:
$2.88539-3.61278$

Optimal fit:
$2.56954-1.46586$
$2.56560-1.43906$

This section lists the major tabulations of $M_{p}$-factors.
1925 Cunningham \& Woodall [C16]
1929 Kraitchik [K12]
1938 Kraitchik [K20]
1947 Lehmer [L6]: 32 factors of $M_{n}, n<490$
1952 Ferrier [F4]: table of Factors of $M_{n}, n=3(2) 499$
1957 Robinson [R3]: some Factorizations of Numbers of the Form $2^{\text {n }} \pm 1$
1958 Riesel [R1]: first factors $f_{1}<10 * 2^{20}$ of $M_{p}: p<10,000$
1960 Brillhart \& Johnson [B2]: some factors q of $M_{p}: p<1,194$
1961 Karst [K4]: 19 new factors of $M_{p}: 3,036<p<3,434$
1961 Kravitz [K5]: first factors $f_{1}<10,485760$ of some $M_{p}: 10,000<p<15,000$
1961 Karst [K2]: some factors $q$ of $M_{p}$ [NB especially $p=10,009$ ]
1962 Karst [K23]: new divisors: $10,006<p<10,458$ \& 5,500,224<p<5,501,708
1962 Karst [K24]: synopsis of factors and search ranges
1962 Riesel [R4]: factors $q<10^{8}$ of $M_{p}: p<10^{4}$
1963 Brillhart [B3]: some miscellaneous factorizations
1963 Gillies [G1]: $2^{34}<q<2^{36}$ of $M_{p}: 5,000<p<17,000$
1963 Karst [K27]: factors $q=2 k p+1, k<10$, of $M_{p}, p<15,000$
1964 Karst [K6]: miscellaneous
1964 Brillhart [B4]: remaining $q<2^{34}$ of $M_{p}: 258<p<20,000$
1965 Kravitz \& Madachy [K8]: the factors $q<2^{25}$ of $M_{p}: 20,000<p<100,000$
1966 Ehrman [E9]: factors $q<2^{31}$ of $M_{p}: 100,000<p<300,000$
1975 Brillhart, Lehmer \& Selfridge [B6]: some factorizations of $2^{n} \pm 1$
1976 Wagstaff's factor-table [W8]:
factors $q<2^{35}$ of $M_{p}: 17,000<p<50,000$
further $f_{1}<10^{11}$ of $M_{p}$ : $21,000<p<50,000$
1977 Keller [K30]: factors $q<\max \left(2^{36}, 10^{7} p\right)$ of $M_{p}, p<10^{5}$
1978 Ehrman's factors of $M_{p}$ : factors $q<2^{31}$ for $p<1,000,000$ [c N3; N10]
1981 Brent [B23]: factors $q$ of $M_{p}, p<1,000$
1981 Lake [L45]: first factors $f_{1}<2^{40}$ for $50,000<p<100,000$
1982 Wagstaff [W12]: factors $2^{31}<q<2^{34}, 20000<p<10^{5}+$ others
1983 The 'Cunningham Project' [B17]: factors of $M_{p}, p<1200$

## Factorisation

This section lists $M_{p}$ 'status' (prime or completely factorised), the number of known factors, discovering authorities and dates. References, confirmation results, negative results, errors and further details are included in the fuller section 6 .

| p | Status | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | PR | ? Pythagoras (500BC ?); ? Euclid (275BC ?); <br> In earliest tables (250BC ?); Nicomachus (100AD) |
| 3 | PR | ? Euclid (275BC ?); Earliest tables (250BC ?); Nicomachus (100AD) |
| 5 | PR | ? Euclid (275BC ?); Earliest tables (250BC ?); Nicomachus (100AD) |
| 7 | PR | ? Euclid (275BC ?); ? Earliest tables (250BC ?); Nicomachus (100AD) |
| 11 | FACT | Manuscript Codex lat. Monac. 14908 (1456); $f_{1}$ \& $f_{2}$ - Regius (1536) |
| 13 | PR | Manuscript Codex lat. Monac. 14908 (1456) |
| 17 | PR | Cataldi (1588) |
| 19 | PR | Cataldi (1588) |
| 23 | FACT | $f_{1}$ - Fermat (1640); $f_{2}$ - Euler (1733) |
| 29 | FACT | $f_{1}$ (?) \& $f_{2}$ - Euler (1733); $f_{3}$ - Euler (1750) |
| 31 | PR | Euler (1772) |
| 37 | FACT | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ - Fermat (1640); $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ - Landry (1867) |
| 41 | FACT | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ \& $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ - Plana (1859) |
| 43 | FACT | $f_{1}$ - Euler (1733); $f_{2}$ \& $f_{3}$ - Landry (1869) |
| 47 | FACT | $f_{1}$ - Euler (1741); $f_{2}$ - Reuschle (1856); $f_{3}$ - Landry (1869) |
| 53 | FACT | $f_{1}, f_{2}$ \& $f_{3}$ - Landry (1869) |
| 59 | FACT | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ \& $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ - Landry (1869) |
| 61 | PR | Pervouchine by ZLR (1883) |
| 67 | FACT | ```COMP (?) - Lucas by NZLR (1876); COMP (?) - Fauquembergue (1894); f``` |
| 71 | FACT | $f_{1}$ - Cunningham (1909); $f_{2}$ \& $f_{3}$ - Ramesam (1912) |
| 73 | FACT | $f_{1}$ - Euler (1733); $f_{2}$ \& $f_{3}$ - Poulet (1923) |
| 79 | FACT | $f_{1}$ - Reuschle (1856); $f_{2}$ \& $f_{3}$ - Lehmer (1933) |
| 83 | FACT | $f_{1}$ - Euler (1733); $f_{2}$ - Ferrier (1950) |
| 89 | PR | ```Independently by ZLR - Powers (June 1911), Tarry (?) (November 1911) and Fauquembergue (1912)``` |
| 97 | FACT | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ - Le Lasseur (1881); $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ - Ferrier (1952) |
| 101 | FACT | COMP - Robinson by NZLR (1952); <br> $f_{1}$ \& $f_{2}$ - Brillhart, Lehmer \& Johnson (1967) |
| 103 | FACT | ```COMP (?) - Powers by NZLR (1914); COMP - Robinson by NZLR (1952); f``` |
| 107 | PR | Powers by ZLR (1914) and independently Fauquembergue by ZLR (1914) |
| 109 | FACT | ```COMP (?) - Powers by NZLR (1914); COMP - Robinson by NZLR (1952); f}1\mathrm{ - Robinson (1957); f2 - Gabard (1958)``` |
| 113 | FACT | ```f f4 & f5 - Lehmer (1946)``` |
| 127 | PR | Lucas by ZLR (1876) |
| 131 | FACT | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ - Euler (1733); $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ - Brillhart (1966) |
| 137 | FACT | COMP - Robinson by NZLR (1952); $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ \& $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ - Schroepepel (1971) |
| 139 | FACT | COMP - Lehmer by NZLR (1926); $f_{1}$ \& $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ - Brillhart (1974) |
| 149 | FACT | COMP - Lehmer by NZLR (1927); $f_{1}$ \& $f_{2}$ - Schroepepel (1972) |
| 151 | FACT | $f_{1}$ - Le Lasseur (1881); $f_{2}$ - Cunningham (1909); $f_{3}$ - Kraitchik <br> (1921); f4 - Lehmer (1946); f5 - Gabard (1952) |


| $p$ | Status | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157 | FACT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMP - Uhler by NZLR (1944); } f_{1} \text { - Robinson (1957); } \\ & f_{2}, f_{3} \& f_{4}-\text { Brillhart (1974) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 163 | FACT | ```f f}4\mathrm{ & f f5 - Brillhart (1963)``` |
| 167 | FACT | ```COMP - Uhler by NZLR (1944); f f}2\mathrm{ - Brillhart (1974)``` |
| 173 | FACT | ```f f}3&&\mp@subsup{f}{4}{}-Naur (1979``` |
| 179 | FACT | $f_{1}$ - Euler (1733); $f_{2}$ - Reuschle (1856); $f_{3}$ - Brillhart (1963) |
| 181 | FACT | $\begin{aligned} & f_{1}-\text { Woodall (1911); } f_{2}-\text { Lehmer (1946); } f_{3}-\text { Brillhart (1960); } \\ & f_{4}-\text { Brillhart (1963) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 191 | FACT | $\begin{aligned} & f_{1} \text { - Euler (1733); } f_{2} \text { - Brillhart (1963); } \\ & f_{3}, f_{4} \& f_{5}-\text { "Cunningham Project" (1974) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 193 | FACT | ```COMP - Uhler by NZLR (1947); f f}2& & f3 - Naur (1981``` |
| 197 | FACT | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ - Cunningham (1895); $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ - Brillhart (1974) |
| 199 | FACT | COMP - Uhler by NZLR (1946); $f_{1}$ \& $f_{2}$ - Schroepepel (1976) |
| 211 | FACT | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ - Le Lasseur (1881); $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ \& $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ - Davis \& Holdridge (1983) |
| 223 | FACT | ```f f}3& & f4 - Lehmer (1946) f5 & f6 - "Cunningham Project" (1981)``` |
| 227 | FACT | COMP - Uhler by NZLR (1947); $f_{1}$ \& $f_{2}$ - Brent (1982) |
| 229 | FACT | ```COMP - Uhler by NZLR (Feb. 1946); ff - Lehmer (0ct. 1946); f}2\mathrm{ - Brillhart (1960); f}3&&\mp@subsup{f}{4}{}-\mathrm{ Brent (Aug. 1981)``` |
| 233 | FACT | ```f f4 - Brillhart (1974)``` |
| 239 | FACT | ```f f4 - Kraitchik (1921); f5 - Brillhart (1960); f6 - Brillhart (1974)``` |
| 241 | FACT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMP - Powers by NZLR (1934); } f_{1} \text { - Brillhart (1960); } \\ & f_{2} \text { - Brillhart (1974) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 251 | FACT | $f_{1}$ (?) - Euler (1733); $f_{1}$ - Lucas (1878); $f_{2}$ - Cunningham (1909); $f_{3}, f_{4} \& f_{5}$ - Davis, Holdridge \& Simmons (1984) |
| 257 | FACT | ```COMP (?) - Kraitchik by NZLR (1922); COMP - Lehmer (1927); f f2 & f3 - Baillie (1980?) [c B16, B17, B19]``` |

## Lucas-Lehmer Test Calculations

The last octal digits of the LR are listed for the original LLT primality tests on the 'original' $M_{p} ; ~ ' ~+'$ denotes tests with $S_{1}=3$. This collection compensates for the fact that many of the LRs [G7; H8; N2; R10; T11; T12] have not been published.

Gillies' and Nelson's 1979 results confirmed that Robinson's 1952 results completed a correct set of LRs. Residual calculations in the 1980's second-sourced and sometimes corrected the other original LLT results:

```
1947 Uhler contributed last of 6 LRs ( p = 157, 167, 193, 199, 227, 229)
1952 Robinson corrected 5 LRs (p = 101, 103+, 109, 137, 167\dagger)
    contributed 2 further LRs (p = 103, 199)
    filled in for 2 unpublished LRs ( }p=109,139+
    confirmed 10 LRs (p = 139+, 149, 157, 167, 193, 199+, 227, 229, 241, 257)
1963 Gillies contributed 1 LR ( p = 139)
    confirmed 5 LRs (p = 101, 103, 137, 199, 227)
1979 Nelson confirmed 3 LRs (p = 109, 139, 229)
1981 Thomason ratified 2 LRs ( p = 167t, 199t) in decimal & octal
1984 Haworth [H17] confirmed 2 Thomason LRs (p = 67t, 103\dagger)
```

| $p$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | Date | Residue (oct, $\bmod 2^{60}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 4 | 1883 |  |  | ZERO |
| 67 | 3 | 1876 |  |  | UNKNOWN |
|  |  | 1894 |  |  | UNKNOWN |
|  |  | 1981 | 54316 | 42002 | 0434462606 |
|  | -- | 1903 |  |  | FACTORISED |
| 89 | 4 | 1911 |  |  | ZERO |
| 101 | 4 | 1913 |  |  | INCORRECT |
|  |  | 1952 | 03353 | 51067 | 2740272066 |
| 103 | 3 | 1914 |  |  | UNKNOWN |
|  |  | 1914 |  |  | INCORRECT |
|  |  | 1981 | 74422 | 12107 | 1252517576 |
|  | 4 | 1952 | 24114 | 55042 | 5215655476 |
| 107 | 3 | 1914 |  |  | ZERO |
| 109 | 4 | 1914 |  |  | UnKNOWN |
|  |  | 1914 |  |  | INCORRECT |
|  |  | 1952 | 42137 | 07051 | 4407717542 |
| 127 | 3 | 1876 |  |  | ZERO |
| 137 | 4 | 1920 |  |  | INCORRECT |
|  |  | 1952 | 10134 | 33201 | 7273377550 |
| 139 | 3 | 1926 | 26402 | 01452 | 6535123053 |
|  | 4 | 1963 | 72153 | 37573 | 3774453004 |
| 149 | 4 | 1927 | 16542 | 63652 | 2567604577 |
| 157 | 4 | 1944 | 06164 | 72124 | 5740052105 |
| 167 | 3 | 1945 |  |  | INCORRECT |
|  |  | 1952 | 55023 | 73422 | 3411366527 |
|  | 4 | 1944 | 03606 | 22171 | 2712624024 |
| 193 | 4 | 1947 | 03252 | 67125 | 3663606362 |
| 199 | 3 | 1946 | 76417 | 74230 | 4616134351 |
|  | 4 | 1952 | 12500 | 24134 | 5507467307 |
| 227 | 4 | 1947 | 76675 | 34333 | 5311563716 |
| 229 | 4 | 1946 | 43244 | 27335 | 0010653763 |
| 241 | 4 | 1934 | 21746 | 40770 | 3671262747 |
| 257 | 4 | 1922 |  |  | UNKNOWN |
|  |  | 1927 | 53356 | 13134 | 2020635250 |

Notes
Pervouchine [P13; P14; P16]; [H5; L38]
Lucas [C D1 p22 n115]
Fauquembergue [F8; F9; c D1 p27]
Thomason [T12]; [H17]
Cole [C17; c D1 p29]
Powers [C12; P9; P15; c D1 p30]; [F11]
Fauquembergue [F12; c D1 p32; R2]
Robinson [R2; R10; U9]; [G7]; [N2]
Powers [P1]
Fauquembergue [F1; R2]
Thomason [T12]; [H17]
Robinson [R2; R10]; [G7]; [H8; N2]
Powers [P2]; Fauquembergue [F1]
Powers [P1]
Fauquembergue [F1; R2]
Robinson [R2; R10]; [N2]; [H8]
Lucas [L16; L17; C D1 p22]; [F1]
Fauquembergue [F10; R2]
Robinson [R2; R10]; [G7]; [H8; N2]
Lehmer (unpub.) [L1; C A1]; [R2; R10]; [T12] Gillies [G7]; [N2]; [H8]
Lehmer [L2]; [R2; R10]; [G7; H8; N2; T11]
Uhler [U1; U2; U4]; [R2; R10]; [H8; N2; T11]
Barker [B1; R2]
Robinson [R2; R10]; [T11]
Uhler [U3; U4]; [R2; R10]; [H8; N2; T11]
Uhler [U5]; [R2; R10]; [G7; H8; N2; T11]
Uhler [U5; U6]; [R2]; [T11]
Robinson [R2; R10]; [G7]; [H8; N2]
Uhler [U5; U7]; [R2]; [G7]; [H8; N2; T11]
Uhler [U5; U8]; [R2]; [N2]; [H8; T11]
Powers [P3]; [R2; R10]; [G7; H8; N2; T11]
Kraitchik [L2]
Lehmer [L2; L26]; [R2; R10]; [G7; N2; T11]

## $\mathrm{p}=2:$ 1st MERSENNE PRIME

```
-500 2) PRIME (?): Pythagoras [c D1 p4 n4] regarded E E as 'marriage, health,
        beauty'
-275
-250 4) PRIME: Included in the earliest known tables of primes [D1 p347]:
    Eratosthenes may have recorded such a table
Nicomachus [c D1 p3 n2] implied prime (by Euclid Book IX Prop.36)
6) Lucas-Lehmer test not applicable as '2' is an even number
```


## $p=3: \quad$ 2nd MERSENNE PRIME

```
    1) }\mp@subsup{m}{3}{}=1;\quad\mp@subsup{e}{3}{}=2;\quadM3=7;\quadE\mp@subsup{E}{3}{}=2
-275 2) PRIME (?): Euclid [H3] presumably knew of E E 
-250 3) PRIME: Included in the earliest known tables of primes [D1 p347]:
        Eratosthenes may have recorded such a table
    100 4) Nicomachus [c D1 p3 n2] implied prime (by Euclid Book IX Prop.36)
    5) Confirmed (!) prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1]
```


## $\mathrm{p}=5$ : 3rd MERSENNE PRIME

-250 3) PRIME: Included in the earliest known tables of primes [D1 p347]:
Eratosthenes may have recorded such a table
100 4) Nicomachus [c D1 p3 n2] implied prime (by Euclid Book IX Prop.36)
5) Confirmed (!) prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1]

## $\mathrm{p}=7$ : $\quad$ 4th MERSENNE PRIME

1) $m_{7}=3 ; \quad e_{7}=4 ; \quad M_{7}=127 ; \quad E_{7}=8128$
-250 2) May have been in earliest known prime-tables [D1 p347]
100 3) PRIME: Nicomachus [c D1 p3 n2] implied prime (by Euclid Book IX Prop.36)
2) Confirmed (!) prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1]

## $p=11$

1456 1) COMPOSITE: The authors of Codex lat. Monac. 14908 are thought by Curtze to have known that $M_{11}$ had the factor 23 [C26; c D1 p6 n14]
2) ERROR: Carollus Bovillus [c D1 p7 n20] thought $M_{n}$ prime for all odd $n$; an error repeated by others. Not true (e.g. 11, any composite ' $n$ ')
3) COMPOSITE: Regius [c D1 p7 n26] found complete factorisation: $M_{11}=23 * 89$

1638 5) Stanislaus Pudlowski is credited with full factorisation by Broscius [c A1]
1640 6) Fermat [c D1 p12 n59] found full factorisation
1935 7) Archibald [A1] did not note Regius' or Cataldi's work

## $p=13:$ 5th MERSENNE PRIME

1) $m_{13}=4 ; \quad e_{13}=8 ; \quad M_{13}=8191 ; \quad E_{13}=33,550336$

1456 2) PRIME: Manuscript Codex lat. Monac. 14908 [C26; c D1 p6 n14] correctly gave $E_{13}$ as 5 th Perfect Number, implying that $M_{13}$ is prime.
1536 3) Regius [c D1 p7 n26] also declared $E_{13}$ Perfect
4) Confirmed prime by Cataldi (1588), Pauli (1678), Euler (1733)
[c D1 Ch1 ns44, 70 \& 83 respectively]
5) Confirmed prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1]

## $p=17:$ 6th MERSENNE PRIME

1) $m_{17}=6 ; \quad e_{17}=10 ; \quad M_{17}=131071 ; \quad E_{17}=8589,869056$

1588 2) PRIME: Cataldi [C2; c D1 p10 n44] tested with all 72 primes to 359
1750 3) Confirmed prime by Euler [E3 p27; E2 p104; c D1 p18 n89]
4) Confirmed prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1]

## $p=19: \quad 7$ th MERSENNE PRIME

1) $m_{19}=6 ; \quad e_{19}=12 ; \quad M_{19}=524287 ; \quad \mathrm{E}_{19}=137438,691328$ [T3; T11; U11]
2) PRIME: Cataldi [C2; c D1 p10 n44] tested with all 128 primes to 719

1752 3) Confirmed prime by Euler [E3 p27; E2 p104; c D1 p18 ns 89 \& 92]
4) Confirmed prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1; H8]
$p=23$
1588 1) ERROR: regarded by Cataldi [C2; c D1 p10 n44] as prime
1640 2) COMPOSITE: Fermat [F5 p210; c D1 p12 n56] found $f_{1}=47$
1733 3) Euler [E3 p27; E2 p104; c D1 p18 n89] completed factorisation:

$$
M_{23}=47 * 178481
$$

$p=29$
1588 1) ERROR: regarded by Cataldi [C2; c D1 p10 n44] as prime
1644 2) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1733 3) COMPOSITE: Euler [E1 p106; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83]: 1103 is a factor
1750 4) Euler [E3 p27; E2 p104; c D1 p18 n89] completed the full factorisation:
$M_{29}=233 * 1103 * 2089$
1935 5) Archibald [A1] credited Euler with 233, Dickson [D1] did not

## $\mathrm{p}=31$ : 8th MERSENNE PRIME

1) $m_{31}=10 ; e_{31}=19 ; \quad M_{31}=2147,483647 ; \quad E_{31}=2,305843,008139,952128$
[T3; T11; U11]
1644 2) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be prime
1733 3) Conjectured by Euler [E1 p103; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83] as prime
1751 4) Regarded by de Winsheim [W5; c D1 p18 n90] as prime
1752 5) Euler [E8; c D1 p18 n92]: no factor < 2000
1772 6) PRIME: Euler [E6 p35; E2 p584; c D1 p18 n95] tried the 84 eligible primes
2) Confirmed prime by Landry (1859), Seelhoff (?) [c D1 p25 n142] (1887), Lucas (1876), Moret-Blanc (1881)
3) Confirmed prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1; H8]

## $p=37$

1588 1) ERROR: regarded by Cataldi [C2; c D1 p10 n44] as prime
1640 2) COMPOSITE: Fermat [F5 p199; c D1 p12 n59] found $f_{1}=223$
1867 3) Landry [c D1 p21 n112] claimed full factorisation
1869 4) Landry [L19; c D1 p22 n113] published full factorisation:

$$
M_{37}=223 * 616,318177
$$

## $p=41$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1678 2) ERROR: Pauli [P11; c D1 p15 n70] gave 83 as a factor
1733 3) Euler [E1 p106; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83] wrongly conjectured prime
1859 4) COMPOSITE: Plana [P12; c D1 p21 n110] gave full factorisation:
$M_{41}=13367 * 164,511353$
1888 5) ERROR: Christie [C27; C28; c D1 p27 n155] thought $M_{41}$ prime

## $p=43$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1733 2) COMPOSITE: Euler [E1 p106; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83]: $f_{1}=431$
1867 3) Landry [L20; c D1 p21 n112] claimed full factorisation
1869 4) Landry [L19; c D1 p22 n113] published full factorisation: $M_{43}=431 * 9719 * 2,099863$

## $p=47$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1733 2) Euler [E1 p106; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83] wrongly conjectured prime
1741 3) COMPOSITE: Euler [K18; c D1 p19 n93] found $f_{1}=2351$
1751 4) De Winsheim [W5; c D1 p18 n90] independently (?) found $f_{1}=2351$
1856 5) Reuschle [R8; c D1 p21 n108] found $f_{2}=4513$ (note $f_{3}<f_{2} * f_{2}$ )
1867 6) Landry [L20; c D1 p21 n112] claimed full factorisation
1869 7) Landry [L19; c D1 p22 n113] published full factorisation:
$M_{47}=2351 * 4513 * 13,264529$
1888 8) ERROR: Christie [C27; C28; c D1 p27 n155] thought $M_{47}$ prime

## $p=53$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1859 2) ERROR: Plana [P12; c D1 p21 n110] found no factor < 50033
1867 3) Landry [L20; C D1 p21 n112] claimed full factorisation
1869 4) COMPOSITE: Landry [L19; c D1 p22 n113] published full factorisation: $M_{53}=6361 * 69431 * 20,394401$

## $p=59$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1867 2) Landry [L20; c D1 p21 n112] claimed full factorisation
1869 3) COMPOSITE: Landry [L19; c D1 p22 n113] published full factorisation: $M_{59}=179951 * 3,203431,780337$

## $p=61: \quad 9$ th MERSENNE PRIME

1) $m_{61}=19 ; \quad e_{61}=37 ; \quad M_{61}=2,305843,009213,693951$; $E_{61}=2,658455,991569,831744,654692,615953,842176$ [H3; T3; T11; U11]
1644 2) ERROR: stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1869 3) Landry [L14; c D1 p22 n113] conjectured prime
1881 4) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1883 5) PRIME: Pervouchine [P13; P14; P16; c D1 p25 n140] computed a ZLR
1886 6) ERROR: Seelhoff [S12; c D1 p25 n141] wrongly stated $M_{61}$ prime having only found it pseudoprime (base 3)
1887 7) Hudelot [H5; L38; c D1 p25 n144] confirmed prime by ZLR (54 hours work)
1903 8) Cole [C17; c D1 p29 n173] criticised Seelhoff's 'proof' of primality
1927 9) Lehmer [L11] indicated error in Seelhoff's 'proof' of primality
2) Confirmed prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1; H8]

## $p=67$

1644 1) ERROR: stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be prime
1876 2) COMPOSITE (?): Lucas [c D1 p22 n115] computed NZLR (correctly?)
1881 3) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1894 4) COMPOSITE (?): Fauquembergue [F8; F9; c D1 p27 n160] - NZLR (?)
1895 5) Cunningham [C7; C D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1903 6) COMPOSITE: Cole [C17; c D1 p29 n173] found the full factorisation: $M_{67}=193,707721 * 761838,257287$
1935 7) Archibald [A1] did not cite Lucas or Fauquembergue (2 and 4 above)
1981 8) Thomason [T12] computed NZLR as 6754316420020434462606 ( $S_{1}=3$ ) [H17]

## $p=71$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1909 5) COMPOSITE: Cunningham [C10; c D1 p30 n181] found $f_{1}=228479$
1912 6) Ramesam [R9; B8; c D1 p31 n191] completed the full factorisation:
$M_{71}=228479 * 48,544121 * 212,885833$
$p=73$
1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1733 2) COMPOSITE: Euler [E1 p106; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83] found $f_{1}=439$
1923 3) Poulet [P7; C A1 n12] completed the factorisation: $M_{73}=439 * 2,298041 * 9,361973,132609$
$p=79$
1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1856 2) COMPOSITE: Reuschle [R8; c D1 p21 n108] found $f_{1}=2687$
1933 3) D H Lehmer [L7; c A1 n13] found $f_{2}$ \& $f_{3}$ to complete the factorisation: $M_{79}=2687 * 202,029703 * 1,113491,139767$

## $p=83$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1733 2) COMPOSITE: Euler [E1 p105; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83] found $f_{1}=167$ (theorem)
1946 3) D H Lehmer [L6] found no further factor < 4,538800
1950 4) Ferrier [F3] used method [F2] to complete the full factorisation:
$M_{83}=167 * 57912,614113,275649,087721$

## $\mathrm{p}=89: \quad$ 10th MERSENNE PRIME

1) $m_{89}=27 ; \quad e_{89}=54 ; \quad M_{89}=618,970019,642690,137449,562111$;
$E_{89}=191561,942608,236107,294793,378084,303638,130997,321548,169216$
[T11; U11] - [T3] is incorrect
1644 2) ERROR: stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1876 3) ERROR: Lucas [L13 p376; c D1 p22 n115] computed a NZLR
1881 4) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 5) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 6) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 7) PRIME: Powers [C12; P9; P15; c D1 p30 n185] computed ZLR (June)
1911 8) PRIME (?): Tarry [T4; c C12 \& D1 p30 n186] completed (?) calculation
1912 9) PRIME: Fauquembergue [F11; c D1 p30 n187] found ZLR independently (base 2)
2) Confirmed prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1; H8]

## $p=97$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) COMPOSITE: Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found $f_{1}=11447$
1935 3) Archibald [A1] recorded that only $f_{1}$ had been found
1946 4) D H Lehmer [L6] found no further factor < 4,538800
1952 5) Ferrier [F4; K7 p13; K17 p48] found $f_{2}$ to complete the factorisation:
$M_{97}=11447 * 13,842607,235828,485645,766393$
$p=101$
1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000,000
1913 8) ERROR: Fauquembergue [F12; c D1 p32 n192c] computed incorrect NZLR
1946 9) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1952 10) COMPOSITE: Robinson [R2; R10; U9] computed NZLR - not Fauquembergue's
1957 11) Robinson [R3] on IBM701 found no factor < $2^{30}$
1960 12) Brillhart [B2] on IBM701 found no factor < $2^{31}$
1963 13) Brillhart [B4] found no factor < $2^{35}$
1963 14) Gillies [G1; G7] confirmed (last 5 octal digits of) Robinson's NZLR
1967 15) Brillhart, Lehmer \& Johnson [B5; C K26 p354, B19] found full factorisation: $M_{101}=7,432339,208719 * 341117,531003,194129$

## $p=103$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000000
1914 8) ERROR: Fauquembergue [F1] computed incorrect NZLR [R2] ( $S_{1}=3$ )
1914 9) COMPOSITE (?): Powers [P1] computed unpublished NZLR (correctly?) ( $S_{1}=3$ )
1946 10) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1952 11) COMPOSITE: Robinson [R2; R10; U9] computed NZLRs ( $S_{1}=3 \& 4$ )
1957 12) Robinson [R3] on IBM701 found no factor < $2^{30}$
1960 13) Brillhart [B2] found no factor < $2^{31}$
1963 14) Brillhart [B3] found complete factorisation:
$M_{103}=2550,183799 * 3976,656429,941438,590393$
1963 15) Gillies [G1, G7] confirmed (last 5 octal digits of) Robinson's NZLR ( $\mathrm{S}_{1}=4$ )
1981 16) Thomason [T12] computed NZLR .. 74422121071252517576 ( $\mathrm{S}_{1}=3$ ) [H17]

## $\mathrm{p}=107$ : 11th MERSENNE PRIME

1) | $\mathrm{m}_{107}=$ | $33 ; \quad \mathrm{e}_{107}=65 ;$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{M}_{107}=$ | $162,259276,829213,363391,578010,288127 \quad[\mathrm{R} 6]$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{107}=$ | $13164,036458,569648,337239,753460,458722,910223,472318,--->$ |
|  | $\quad-->386943,117783,728128 \quad[\mathrm{~T} 11]-[\mathrm{T} 3 ;$ U11] are incorrect |

1644 2) ERROR: stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 3) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 4) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 5) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 6) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 7) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 8) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < $1,000,000$
1914 9) PRIME: Powers [P2; P6; P10] computed ZLR ( $S_{1}=3$ ) (11th June)
1914 10) PRIME: Fauquembergue [F1; c D1 p32 n200] independently computed ZLR (June)
11) Confirmed prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; T1; N1; H8]

## $p=109$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000,000
1914 8) ERROR: Fauquembergue [F1] computed incorrect NZLR (cf notes 11, 17)
1914 9) COMPOSITE (?): Powers [P1] computed (unpublished) NZLR (correctly?)
1946 10) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1952 11) COMPOSITE: Robinson [R2; R10; U9] computed NZLR - not Fauquembergue's
1957 12) Robinson [R3] found one factor $\left\langle 2^{30}: f_{1}=745,988807\right.$
1958 13) Gabard [G2; C B5] found the unresolved part prime:
$M_{109}=745,988807 * 870035,986098,720987,332873$
1960 14) Brillhart [B2] not knowing of [G2] found no $f_{2}<2^{31}$
1963 15) Brillhart [B4] not knowing of [G2] found no $f_{2}<2^{35}$
1966 16) Brillhart [B5] confirmed Gabard's factorisation
1979 17) Nelson [N1; N2] confirmed (last 24 octal digits of) Robinson's NZLR

## $p=113$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1] to be composite
1856 2) COMPOSITE: Reuschle [R8; c D1 p21 n108] found $f_{1}=3391$
1909 3) Cunningham [W1; c D1 p31 n192a] noted $f_{2}=23279$ and $f_{3}=65993$
1935 4) Archibald [A1 ns 7, 10] cited Reuschle and Cunningham for $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$
1946 5) D H Lehmer [L6] completed the full factorisation:
$M_{113}=3391 * 23279 * 65993 * 1,868569 * 1066,818132,868207$

## $\mathrm{p}=127$ : 12th MERSENNE PRIME

1) $m_{127}=39 ; \quad e_{127}=77$; $M_{127}=170,141183,460469,231731,687303,715884,105727$ [01 p73; B11]
$E_{127}=14474,011154,664524,427946,373126,085988,481573,677491, \cdots$ ---> 474835,889066,354349,131199,152128 [T3; T11] - [U11] is incorrect
1644 2) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be prime
1876 3) PRIME: Lucas [L16; L17; c D1 p22 n116, A1 n17] computed ZLR ( $S_{1}=3$ )
1881 4) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 5) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1914 6) Fauquembergue [F1; c D1 p32 n200] confirmed prime by $\operatorname{ZLR}\left(S_{1}=3\right)$
2) Confirmed prime by ZLR [R1; H1; G1; $\mathrm{T} 1 ; \mathrm{N} 1 ; \mathrm{H} 8]$

## $p=131$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1733 2) COMPOSITE: Euler [E1 p105; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83] found $f_{1}=263$ by theorem
1946 3) D H Lehmer [L6] found no further factor < 4,538800
1957 4) Robinson [R3] found no further factor < $2^{30}$
1960 5) Brillhart [B2] found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 6) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1966 7) Brillhart [B5] found $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ prime to complete the factorisation:
$M_{131}=263 * 10,350794,431055,162386,718619,237468,234569$

## $p=137$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000,000
1920 8) ERROR: Fauquembergue [F10] computed incorrect NZLR (cf ns 10, 14)
1946 9) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1952 10) COMPOSITE: Robinson [R2; R10; U9] computed NZLR - not Fauquembergue's
1957 11) Robinson [R3] found no factor < $2^{30}$
1960 12) Brillhart [B2] found no factor < $2^{31}$
1963 13) Brillhart [B4] found no factor < 235
1963 14) Gillies [G1; G7] confirmed (last 5 octal digits of) Robinson's NZLR
1971 15) Schroepepel [B7 p13; c B6 p645; B19] found full factorisation (cf):
$M_{137}=32,032215,596496,435569 * 5439,042183,600204,290159$

```
p = 139
```

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000,000
1926 8) COMPOSITE: D H Lehmer [L1; c A1 n13] computed (unpublished) NZLR ( $S_{1}=3$ )
1946 9) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1953 10) Robinson [R2; R10] on SWAC confirmed Lehmer's NZLR ( $S_{1}=3$ )
1957 11) Robinson [R3] found no factor < $2^{30}$
1960 12) Brillhart [B2] found no factor < $2^{31}$
1963 13) Brillhart [B4] found no factor < $2^{35}$
1963 14) Gillies [G1; G7] computed NZLR ( $S_{1}=4$ )
1972 15) Brillhart [B6; S28] found full factorisation (cf):
$M_{139}=5,625767,248687 * 123876,132205,208335,762278,423601$
1979 16) Nelson [N2] confirmed Gillies' NZLR
1981 17) Thomason [T12] confirmed Robinson's NZLR ( $S_{1}=3$ )

## $p=149$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c 01 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < $1,000,000$
1927 8) COMPOSITE: D H Lehmer [L2; L27; c A1 n13] computed correct NZLR [R2; T11]
1946 9) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1952 10) Robinson [R2; R10] confirmed Lehmer's NZLR on SWAC
1957 11) Robinson [R3] found no factor < $2^{30}$
1960 12) Brillhart [B2] found no factor < $2^{31}$
1963 13) Brillhart [B4] found no factor < $2^{35}$
1972 14) Schroepepel [C B6 p645, B16, B17, B19] found full factorisation (cf):
$M_{149}=86,656268,566282,183151 * 8,235109,336690,846723,986161$

## $p=151$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) COMPOSITE: Le Lasseur [L18; c D1 p24 n131] found $f_{1}=18121$
1909 3) Cunningham [W1; c D1 p31 n192a] found $f_{2}=55871$
1921 4) Kraitchik [K3; K16; c A1 n18] found $f_{3}=165799$
1946 5) DH Lehmer [L6] found $f_{4}=2,332951$ and no other factor < 4,538800
1952 6) Gabard [G12] found the unresolved part prime:
$M_{151}=18121 * 55871 * 165799 * 2,332951 * 7,289088,383388,253664,437433$

## $p=157$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000,000
1944 8) COMPOSITE: Uhler [U1; U2; c A3] computed correct NZLR [R2; R10; T11]
1945 9) Barker [U4] confirmed Uhler's NZLR
1946 10) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1952 11) Robinson [R2; R10] confirmed Uhler's NZLR on SWAC
1957 12) Robinson [R3] found $f_{1}=852,133201$ below search-1imit $2^{30}$
1960 13) Brillhart [B2] found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 14) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 15) Brillhart [B6] found $f_{2}, f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ to complete the full factorisation:
$M_{157}=852,133201 * 60726,444167 * 1,654058,017289 *$ 2134,387368,610417

## $p=163$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) COMPOSITE: Cunningham [C8; C9; c D1 p30 n180] found $f_{1}=150287$
1946 5) D H Lehmer [L6] found $f_{2}=704161$ and no other factor < 4,538800
1960 6) Brillhart [B2] found $f_{3}=110,211473$ below search-limit $2^{31}$
1963 7) Brillhart [B3] found $f_{4}$ and $f_{5}$ to complete the factorisation:
$M_{163}=150287 * 704161 * 110,211473 * 27669,118297 *$ $36,230454,570129,675721$

$$
p=167
$$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < $1,000,000$
1944 8) COMPOSITE: Uhler [U3; U4; C A3] computed correct NZLR [R2; T11] $\left(S_{1}=4\right)$
1945 9) ERROR: Barker [B1] computed incorrect NZLR [R2; T11] ( $S_{1}=3$ )
1946 10) D H Lehmer [L6] found $f_{1}=2,349023$ and no further factor < 4,538800
1952 11) Robinson [R2; R10] computed NZLRs ( $S_{1}=3 \& 4$ ) confirming Uhler's NZLR
1960 12) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1}$ and found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 13) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 14) Brillhart [ $B 6$ p645] found $f_{2}$ prime to complete the factorisation:
$M_{167}=2,349023$ * 79,638304,766856,507377,778616,296087,448490,695649
1981 15) Thomason [T11] confirmed Robinson's NZLR $\left(S_{1}=3\right)$

## $p=173$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) COMPOSITE: Cunningham [C1; c D1 p31 n190]: $f_{1}=730753$ (with Gerardin)
1946 7) D H Lehmer [L6] found $f_{2}=1,505447$ and no further factor < 4,538800
1960 8) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1} \& f_{2}$ and found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 9) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 10) Brillhart [B6] found the unresolved part composite
1979 11) Naur [ N 20 ] found $\mathrm{f}_{3}(\mathrm{Pp})$ \& $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ prime to complete the factorisation:
$M_{173}=730753 * 1,505447 * 70084,436712,553223 *$ $155285,743288,572277,679887$

## $p=179$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1733 2) COMPOSITE: Euler [E1 p105; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83] found $f_{1}=359$ (theorem)
1856 3) Reuschle [R8; c D1 p21 n108] found $f_{2}=1433$
1946 4) D H Lehmer [L6] found no further factor < 4,538800
1960 5) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1} \& f_{2}$ and found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 6) Brillhart [B3] found $f_{3}$ prime to complete the factorisation:
$M_{179}=359 * 1433 *$
$1,489459,109360,039866,456940,197095,433721,664951,999121$

## $p=181$

1644 1) Stated by Mersene [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) ERROR: Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) ERROR: Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) COMPOSITE: Woodall [C11; W1; c D1 p30 n184] found $f_{1}=43441$
1946 6) D H Lehmer [L6] found $f_{2}=1,164193$ and no further factor < 4,538800
1960 7) Brillhart [B2] found $f_{3}=7,648337$ and no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 8) Brillhart [B3] found $f_{4}$ prime to complete the factorisation:
$M_{181}=43441 * 1,164193 * 7,648337 *$
$7,923871,097285,295625,344647,665764,672671$

## $p=191$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; C D1 p13] to be composite
1733 2) COMPOSITE: Euler [E1 p105; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83] found $f_{1}=383$ (theorem)
1946 3) D H Lehmer [L6] found no further factor < 4,538800
1960 4) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1}$ and found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 5) Brillhart [B3] found $f_{2}=7068,569257$ (TD)
1963 6) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 7) Brillhart [B6] found the unresolved part composite
1974 8) "Cunningham Project" [c B16; B17; B19; R12] found $\mathrm{f}_{4}=332,584516,519201$ (Pp)
1974 9) "Cunningham Project" [c B16; B17; B19; R12] completed the factorisation (cf); note the four different factorisation methods used on $\mathrm{M}_{191}$ :
$M_{191}=383 * 7068,569257 * 39940,132241 * 332,584516,519201 *$ 87,274497,124602,996457

## $p=193$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000,000
1946 8) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1947 9) COMPOSITE: Uhler [U5; c A3] computed a NZLR
1952 10) Robinson [R2; R10; T11] on SWAC confirmed Uhler's NZLR
1960 11) Brillhart [B2] found $f_{1}=13,821503$ only below search-limit $2^{31}$
1963 12) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1963 13) Gillies [G1; G7] confirmed (last 5 octal digits of) Robinson's NZLR
1974 14) Brillhart [B6] found the the unresolved part composite
1981 15) Naur [N18; N19] found primes $f_{2}(c f) \& f_{3}$ to complete the factorisation: $M_{193}=13,821503 * 61654,440233,248340,616559 *$ $14732,265321,145317,331353,282383$

## $p=197$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) ERROR: Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) COMPOSITE: Cunningham [C3; C6; c D1 p28 n164] found $f_{1}=7487$
1946 4) D H Lehmer [L6] found no further factor < 4,538800
1960 5) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1}$ and found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 6) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 7) Brillhart [B6] found $f_{2}$ prime to complete the factorisation:
$M_{197}=7487$ *
$26,828803,997912,886929,710867,041891,989490,486893,845712,448833$
[S18; T10]

## $p=199$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c 01 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000,000
1946 8) COMPOSITE: Uhler [U5; U6] computed correct NZLR [R2; T11] ( $\left.S_{1}=3\right)$
1946 9) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1952 10) Robinson [R2; R10] computed NZLRs ( $S_{1}=3$ \& 4) confirming Uhler's NZLR
1960 11) Brillhart [B2] found no factor < $2^{31}$
1963 12) Brillhart [B4] found no factor < $2^{35}$
1963 13) Gillies [G7] confirmed Robinson's NZLR ( $S_{1}=4$ )
1976 14) Schroepepel [C B16; B17; B19; C R12] found the factorisation (rho):
$M_{199}=164504,919713 * 4,884164,093883,941177,660049,098586,324302, \cdots$ ---> 977543,600799 [S18; T10]
1981 15) Thomason [T11] confirmed Uhler's NZLR $\left(S_{1}=3\right)$

## $p=211$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) COMPOSITE: Le Lasseur [L18; c D1 p24 n131] found $f_{1}=15193$
1946 3) D H Lehmer [L6] found no further factor < 4,538800
1960 4) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1}$ and found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 5) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 6) Brillhart [B6] found the unresolved part composite, c60
1983 7) Davis \& Holdridge found $f_{2}(q s) \& f_{3}$ to complete the factorisation:
$M_{211}=15193 * 60,272956,433838,849161$ * $3593,875704,495823,757388,199894,268773,153439$

## $p=223$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) COMPOSITE: Le Lasseur [L18; c D1 p24 n131] found $f_{1}=18287$
1921 3) Kraitchik [K3 p24; K16; c A1 n18] found $f_{2}=196687$
1946 4) D H Lehmer [L6] added just $f_{3}=1,466449$ and $f_{4}=2,916841$ below 4,538800
1960 5) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1}$ to $f_{4}$ and found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 6) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 7) Brillhart [B6] found the unresolved part composite
1981 8) "Cunningham Project" [B22] completed the factorisation (cf):

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{223}= & 18287 * 196687 * 1,466449 * 2,916841 * \\
& 1469,495262,398780,123809 * 596242,599987,116128,415063
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p=227$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c 01 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000,000
1946 8) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1947 9) COMPOSITE: Uhler [U5; U7; C A3] computed correct NZLR [R2; T11]
1952 10) Robinson [R2] on SWAC confirmed Uhler's NZLR
1960 11) Brillhart [B2] found no factor < $2^{31}$
1963 12) Brillhart [B4] found no factor < $2^{35}$
1982 13) Brent [B30] found primes $f_{1}$ (rho) \& $f_{2}$ to complete factorisation: $M_{227}=26986,333437,777017 *$

7992,177738,205979,626491,506950,867720,953545,660121,688631

## $p=229$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < $1,000,000$
1946 8) COMPOSITE: Uhler [U5; U8; c A3] computed correct NZLR [R2; T11] (February)
1946 9) D H Lehmer [L6] found $f_{1}=1,504073$ and no other factor < 4,538800 (Oct.)
1952 10) Robinson [R2] on SWAC confirmed Uhler's NZLR
1960 11) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1}$, added $f_{2}=20,492753$ and found NFF < $2^{31}$
1963 12) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 13) Brillhart [B6] found the unresolved part composite
1981 14) Brent [B24; B27; B28] found $f_{3}$ (rho) \& $f_{4}$ to complete the factorisation:
$M_{229}=1,504073 * 20,492753 * 59833,457464,970183 *$ $467,795120,187583,723534,280000,348743,236593$

## $p=233$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [c D1 p13] to be composite
1856 2) COMPOSITE: Reuschle [R8; c D1 p21 n108] found $f_{1}=1399$
1921 3) Kraitchik [K3 p24; K16; c A1 n18] found $f_{2}=135607$
1946 4) D H Lehmer [L6] found $f_{3}=622577$ and no further factor < 4,538800
1960 5) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ above and found NFF < $2^{31}$
1963 6) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 7) Brillhart [B6; B16] found f4 prime by Corollary 11 [B6]:
$M_{233}=1399 * 135607 * 622577 *$ $116,868129,879077,600270,344856,324766,260085,066532,853492,178431$ [S18; T10]

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1733 2) COMPOSITE: Euler [E1; E2 p2; c D1 p17 n83] found $f_{1}=479$ by observation
1856 3) Reuschle [R8; c D1 p21 n108] found $f_{2}=1913$
1896 4) Bickmore [B12; c D1 p28 n166] confirmed $f_{2}$ and added $f_{3}=5737$
1921 5) Kraitchik [K3 p24; K16; c A1 n18] found $f_{4}=176383$
1946 6) D H Lehmer [L6] found no further factor < 4,538800
1960 7) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1}-f_{4}$; added $f_{5}=134,000609$; found NFF < $2^{31}$
1963 8) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 9) Brillhart [B6] found $f_{6}$ prime to complete the factorisation:
$M_{239}=479 * 1913 * 5737 * 176383 * 134,000609 *$ $7,110008,717824,458123,105014,279253,754096,863768,062879$
[S18; T10]

## $p=241$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1908 4) Cunningham [C8] found no factor < 200,000
1911 5) Cunningham [C4; W1] found no factor < 500,000
1912 6) Cunningham [C1] found no factor < 800,000 (working with Gerardin)
1912 7) Gerardin [G6; c D1 p31 n192b] found no factor < 1,000,000
1934 8) COMPOSITE: Powers [P3] computed correct NZLR [R2; T11]
1946 9) D H Lehmer [L6] found no factor < 4,538800
1952 10) Robinson [R2; R10] on SWAC confirmed Powers' NZLR
1960 11) Brillhart [B2] found $f_{1}=22,000409$ and no further factor $<2^{31}$
1963 12) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 13) Brillhart [B6] found $f_{2}$ prime to complete the factorisation:
$M_{241}=22,000409 * 160619,474372,352289,412737,508720,216839,-->$ ---> $225805,656328,990879,953332,340439$

## $p=251$

1644 1) Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be composite
1733 2) An observation of Euler gives $f_{1}=503$; did Euler state this explicitly?
1878 3) COMPOSITE: Lucas [L14 p236; c D1 p23 n123] found $f_{1}=503$
1909 4) Cunningham [W1; c D1 p31 n192a, A1 n10] found $f_{2}=54217$
1946 5) D H Lehmer [L6] found no further factor < 4,538800
1960 6) Brillhart [B2] confirmed $f_{1} \& f_{2}$ and found no further factor < $2^{31}$
1963 7) Brillhart [B4] found no further factor < $2^{35}$
1974 8) Brillhart [B6] found the unresolved part composite, c69
1984 9) Davis et al found $f_{3}, f_{4}$ (qs) \& $f_{5}$ prime [T14], completing the factorisation: $M_{251}=503 * 54217 * 178,230287,214063,289511 *$
$61676,882198,695257,501367$ * $12,070396,178249,893039,969681$

## $p=257$

1644 1) ERROR: Stated by Mersenne [M3; c D1 p13] to be prime
1881 2) Le Lasseur [c D1 p24 n131] found no factor < 30,000
1895 3) Cunningham [C7; c D1 p28 n165] found no factor < 50,000
1911 4) Powers [C15; P8] found no factor < 10,017000
1922 5) COMPOSITE (?): Kraitchik [L2] computed a NZLR - lost in Gerardin's files
1927 6) COMPOSITE: D H Lehmer [L2; L26] computed correct NZLR [R2; R10; T11]
1936 7) ERROR: Krieger [K19] thought M257 prime
1952 8) Robinson [R2; R10] confirmed Lehmer's NZLR
1960 9) Brillhart [B2] found no factor < $2^{31}$
1963 10) Brillhart [B4] found no factor < $2^{35}$
1979 11) Penk [C B16; B17; B19] found $f_{1}=535,006138,814359$ (rho) to be prime
1980 12) Baillie [c B16; B17; B19] found $f_{2}(P p) \& f_{3}$ to complete the factorisation:
$M_{257}=535,006138,814359 * 1,155685,395246,619182,673033 *$ $374,550598,501810,936581,776630,096313,181393$ [S18; T10]

A trivial computation will satisfy the reader that the above statements $M_{p}=\prod_{i} f_{i}$ are correct. The confirmation, if required, that the $f_{i}$ are prime is a much more ${ }^{*}$ significant computation which could be simplified by the provision of supporting evidence in the form of a primality-certificate; Vaughn Pratt [P5] proved that succinct certificates exist in all cases. The author [H2O] has compiled certificates using factorisations by Brent, Davis \& Holdridge, Naur, Pollard and Wagstaff. These certificates minimise the verifier's work and 'go down' the 'p-1 route'.

Results are grouped in line with the ranges of prime indexes of "original" computations. All prime-indexes ' $p$ ' have been accounted for by Lucas Residue (LR) or prime factor for $p<100,000$.

## $258<p<2304$

1949 NEWMAN, KILBURN \& TOOTILL [H21; N16; T13]

1) Computed LRs for all (?) p < 354
2) Confirmed prime/composite pattern for $p<258$
3) Did not publish p or LRs

1952 LEHMER \& ROBINSON [L3; L4; L5; R2; R3]

1) Lehmer eliminated $M_{p}$ where a factor was known
2) Robinson computed $L R$ for all (sic) remaining $M_{p}$ in this range
3) PRIME: 13th Mersenne Prime $M_{521}$ discovered on $30 / 1 / 1952$ [L3]
4) PRIME: 14th Mersenne Prime $M_{607}$ discovered on $30 / 1 / 1952$ [L3]
5) PRIME: 15th Mersenne Prime $M_{1279}$ discovered on $25 / 6 / 1952$ [L4]
6) PRIME: 16th Mersenne Prime $M_{2203}$ discovered on $7 / 10 / 1952$ [L5]
7) PRIME: 17th Mersenne Prime M2281 discovered on $9 / 10 / 1952$ [L5]
8) Checked with identical runs on different days until two results agreed
9) Used an alternative starting value, $S_{1}=10$, for the Lucas test
10) Made residues available to subsequent workers (Selfridge \& Hurwitz)
11) ERROR: incorrect NZLR for $M_{1889}$. Found by Hurwitz' IBM7090 [S3]
12) Did not use modulus check on the computation [R10]
13) Did not publish $p$, LRs, $M_{p}$-factors and factor-table sources
14) Did not remark on the frequency of residue disagreements (8 above)

1961 SELFRIDGE \& HURWITZ [H1; H2; S3]

1) Computed LR for all (sic) $M_{p}$ where no $M_{p}$-factor was known
2) Found SWAC LR for M1889 incorrect; SWAC confirmed error [S3]
3) Did not publish $p$, LRs, $M_{p}$-factors and factor-table references

1963 GILLIES [G1; G7]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}$ where no $M_{p}$-factor was known [G7]
2) Tabled last 5 octal digits of LRs [G7]

## 1971 TUCKERMAN [T1]

1) Computed $L R$ for all (sic) $M_{p}$ where no $M_{p}$-factor was known
2) Did not publish $p$, LRs, $M_{p}$-factors and factor-table references

1979 NELSON \& SLOWINSKI [N1; N12; S1]

1) Computed LR for all p < 16310, 16400-17188, 18020-24000 et al [N12]
2) Second-sourced all LRs in the above three ranges [N12]
3) Confirmed prime/composite-M pattern for $p<21000$
4) Deposited LRs in Maths. Comp. UMT file for p < 50024 [N12]

1982 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15]

1) Computed 2828 LRs for $p<50024$
2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] where no factor was known with 16 corrections
4) Deposited LRs for $p<62982$ in MC UMT file [H15]

## $2304<p<3300$

1957 RIESEL [R5; R1]

1) Examined all $M_{p}, p<10000$, for a factor $q<10.2^{20}$
2) Computed LR for all (sic) remaining $M_{p}$ in this range
3) PRIME: 18th Mersenne Prime $M_{3217}$ discovered on $8 / 9 / 1957$
4) Checked with second run that $M_{3217}$ is prime
5) Checked all previously known prime $M_{p}$ for zero residue
6) Checked factor values against other sources: Lehmer, Kraitchik, ...
7) Double-checked that (all?) factors are of form ' $2 \mathrm{kp}+1$ '
8) Published first factor of $M_{p}$ where known
9) Cautioned that 'factors' tabled may not be true divisors of $M_{p}$
10) Cautioned that BESK only did one run on 'composite' $M_{p}$
11) Made the LRs available (in hexadecimal) to Selfridge \& Hurwitz [S3]
12) ERRORS: Two proof-preparation errors in factor table; corrected [S5]
13) ERRORS (?): 4 (?) NZLRs ( $p=2957,2969,3049,3109$ ) incorrect [S3]
14) Did not use modulus check on the calculation [R12]
15) Did not use alternative starting value $S_{1}=10$ for Lucas test
16) Did not residue test for $p<2304$ and check against SWAC LRs
17) Did not publish the computed LRs

1961 SELFRIDGE \& HURWITZ [H1; H2; S3]

1) Computed LR for all (sic) $M_{p}$ where no $M_{p}$-factor was known
2) Disagreed with Riesel's LRs for 4 indexes ' p ', see note 13 above [S3]
3) ERRORS: 4 incorrect NZLRs originally computed; later corrected [S3]
4) Did not publish $p$, LRs, $M_{p}$-factors and factor-table references

1963 GILLIES [G1; G7]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}$ where no factor was known for $p<12124$
2) Tabled last 5 octal digits of LRs [G7]

1971 TUCKERMAN [T1]

1) Computed LR for all (sic) $M_{p}$ where no factor was known for $p<21000$
2) Did not publish $p$, LRs, $M_{p}$-factors and factor-table references

1979 NELSON \& SLOWINSKI [N1; N12; S1]

1) Computed LR for all p < 16310, 16400-17188, 18020-24000 et al [N12]
2) Second-sourced all LRs in the above three ranges [N12]
3) Confirmed prime/composite-M pattern for $p<21000$
4) Deposited LRs in Maths. Comp. UMT file for p < 50024 [N12]

1982 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15]

1) Computed 2828 LRs for $p<50024$
2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] where no factor was known with 16 corrections
4) Deposited LRs for $p<62982$ in MC UMT file [H15]

1961 SELFRIDGE \& HURWITZ [H1; H2; S3]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}$ in this range where no factor was known
2) PRIME: 19th Mersenne Prime M4253 discovered on or before $3 / 11 / 1961$
3) PRIME: 20th Mersenne Prime $M_{4423}$ discovered on or before $3 / 11 / 1961$
4) Used Lucas test with both $S_{1}=4$ and $S_{1}=10$ on prime $M_{p}$
5) Used Brillhart's factors to eliminate some composite $M_{p}$
6) Published last 5 octal digits of LRs
7) Published sign of $S_{p-2}$ for prime $M_{p}$
8) ERRORS : 4 incorrect NZLRs ( $p=3637,3847,4397,4421$ ) [S3]
9) Did not check Brillhart's factors
10) Did not modulus-check the computation

1963 GILLIES [G1; G7]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}$ where no factor was known [G7]
2) Corrected Hurwitz' four errors [G7; G1], see note 7 above
3) Confirmed (last 5 octal digits of) all Hurwitz' remaining LRs in this range
4) Tabled last 5 octal digits of LRs [G7]

1971 TUCKERMAN [T1]

1) Computed LR for all (sic) $M_{p}$ where no $M_{p}$-factor was known
2) Did not publish $p$, LRs, $M_{p}$-factors and factor-table references

## 1979 NELSON \& SLOWINSKI [N1; N12; S1]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}, p<16310$, $16400-17188,18020$ - 24000 et al [N12]
2) Second-sourced all LRs in the above three ranges [N12]
3) Confirmed prime/composite- $M_{p}$ pattern for $p<21000$
4) Deposited LRs in Maths. Comp. UMT file for p < 50024 [N12]

1982 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15]

1) Computed 2828 LRs for $p<50024$
2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] where no factor was known with 16 corrections
4) Deposited LRs for $p<62982$ in MC UMT file [H15]

1963 SELFRIDGE \& HURWITZ [S3]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}$ where no $M_{p}$-factor was known
2) Published last 5 octal digits of LRs
3) Checked both $S_{i}$ squaring and mod $M_{p}$ reduction modulo $2^{35}-1$

1963 GILLIES [G1; G7]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}$ where no $M_{p}$-factor was known [G7]
2) Tabled last 5 octal digits of LR [G7]
3) Found factor and did not compute NZLR for $p=5387,5591,5641,5987$
4) Confirmed (last 5 octal digits of) Selfridge/Hurwitz's remaining NZLRs

## 1971 TUCKERMAN [T1]

1) Computed LR for all (sic) $M_{p}$ where no $M_{p}$-factor was known
2) Did not publish $p$, LRs, $M_{p}$-factors and factor-table references

1979 NELSON \& SLOWINSKI [N1; N12; S1]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}, p<16310$, 16400-17188, 18020-24000 et al [N12]
2) Second-sourced all LRs in the above three ranges [N12]
3) Confirmed prime/composite- $M_{p}$ pattern for $p<21000$
4) Deposited LRs in Maths. Comp. UMT file for p < 50024 [N12]

1982 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15]

1) Computed 2828 LRs for $p<50024$
2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] where no factor was known with 16 corrections
4) Deposited LRs for $p<62982$ in MC UMT file [H15]

1963 KRAVITZ \& BERG [K1]

1) Computed $L R$ for all $M_{p}$ in this range where no factors was known
2) Published last 5 octal digits of LRs: last 12 octal digits tabled [B14]
3) ERROR: originally computed incorrect NZLR for $10 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (asterisked [K1])
4) Corrected these errors after Gillies' letter and before publication
5) Did not modulus-check the computation [B14; K21; K22]

1963 GILLIES [G1; G7]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}$ where no factor was known, $p<12124$
2) Computed extended factor-table after LR computations
3) Tabled last 5 octal digits of LRs
4) Did not table computed $L R s$ for $p=6089,6661,6779,6907$

1971 TUCKERMAN [T1]

1) Computed LR for all (sic) $M_{p}$ where no. $M_{p}$-factor was known
2) Did not publish $p$, LRs, $M_{p}$-factors and factor-table references

1979 NELSON \& SLOWINSKI [N1; N12; S1]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}, p<16310,16400-17188,18020-24000$ et al [N12]
2) Second-sourced all LRs in the above three ranges [N12]
3) Confirmed prime/composite- $M_{p}$ pattern for $p<21000$
4) Deposited LRs in Maths. Comp. UMT file for $p<50024$ [N12]

1982 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15]

1) Computed 2828 LRs for $p<50024$
2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] where no factor was known with 16 corrections
4) Deposited LRs for $p<62982$ in MC UMT file [H15]

1963 GILLIES [G1; G7]

1) Computed $M_{p}$-factors < $2^{36}$ to eliminated some composite $M_{p}$ [B16]
2) Computed $L R$ for all remaining $M_{p}$ in this range
3) PRIME: 21st Mersenne Prime Mg689 discovered on or before $11 / 5 / 1963$ [G5]
4) PRIME: 22nd Mersenne Prime Mg941 discovered around 16/5/1963 [G5; M9]
5) PRIME: 23rd Mersenne Prime $M_{11213}$ discovered on $2 / 6 / 1963$ [M9]
6) Checked calculation modulo $2^{44}-1$
7) Published $p$, LRs and $M_{p}$-factors discovered and/or used to eliminate $M_{p}$
8) ERROR: NZLR for $p=12143$ corrected by Tuckerman [T2]
9) Did not use Lucas test with $S_{1}=10$ or do a confirmation run
10) Did not check available residues of composite $M_{p}, p<3300$

## 1971 TUCKERMAN [T1; T2]

1) Computed LR for all (sic) $M_{p}$ where no factor was known, $p<21000$
2) Corrected Gillies' NZLR for $p=12143$ [ 72 ]
3) Did not publish p, LRs, $M_{p}$-factors and factor-table references

## 1979 NELSON \& SLOWINSKI [N1; N12; S1]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}, p<16310,16400-17188,18020-24000$ et al [N12]
2) Second-sourced all LRs in the above three ranges [N12]
3) Confirmed prime/composite-Mp pattern for $p<21000$
4) Deposited LRs in Maths. Comp. UMT file for p < 50024 [N12]

1982 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15]

1) Computed 2828 LRs for $p<50024$
2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] where no factor was known with 16 corrections
4) Deposited LRs for $p<62982$ in MC UMT file [H15]

## $12144<p<21000$

1971 TUCKERMAN [T1; T6]

1) Eliminated some composite $M_{p}$ using factor-tables
2) Computed $L R$ for remaining $M_{p}$ in this range
3) PRIME: 24 th Mersenne Prime $M_{19937}$ discovered on $4 / 3 / 1971$
4) Checked calculation-steps modulo $2^{24}-1$ and $2^{24}-3$
5) Confirmed known factors of these $M_{p}$ before eliminating them
6) Checked zero residue for $M_{19937}$ with altered program
7) Communicated result to MIT; it was confirmed by Speciner \& Schroepepel
8) Tabled last 5 octal digits of LRs [T3]
9) Did not use Lucas test with $S_{1}=10$

1979 NELSON \& SLOWINSKI [N1; N12; S1]

1) Computed LR for all $M_{p}, p<16310$, 16400 - 17188, 18020-24000 et al [N12]
2) Second-sourced all LRs in the above three ranges [N12]
3) Confirmed prime/composite-M pattern for $p<21000$
4) Deposited LRs in Maths. Comp. UMT file for p < 50024 [N12]

1982 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15]

1) Computed 2828 LRs for $p<50024$
2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] where no factor was known with 16 corrections
4) Deposited LRs for $p<62982$ in MC UMT file [H15]
```
1979 NICKEL & NOLL [N5; N6; N7; S4; S13]
    1) Eliminated some composite Mp
    2) Computed LR for remaining Mp
    3) PRIME: 25th Mersenne Prime M21701 discovered on 30/10/1978
    4) PRIME: 26th Mersenne Prime M M 23209 discovered on 9/2/1979
    5) Checked results with second computation
    6) Submitted the prime M21701 to Lehmer & Tuckerman for checking [N5]
    7) Published p, LRs, M
    8) ERROR: omitted "22501 67260" from first table [N7]: f
    9) No modulus check included in the code [N4]
1979 NELSON & SLOWINSKI [N1; N2; N12; S1]
    1) Computed LR for all M M, p < 16310, 16400-17188, 18020-24000 et al [N2]
    2) PRIME: independently discovered M23209 on 23/2/1979
    3) Confirmed prime/composite-Mp pattern for p < 21000
    4) Deposited LRs in Maths. Comp. UMT file [N12]
    5) Did not compare NZLR values for all computed tests [N2]
1981 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15]
    1) Computed 2828 LRs for p < 50024
    2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
    3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] where no factor was known with 16 corrections
    4) Deposited LRs for p<62982 in MC UMT file [H15]
```

$24500<p<50024$
1979 NELSON \& SLOWINSKI [N1; N12; N14; N15; S1; S13]
1) Computed LR for all p < 16310 [N2]
2) Eliminated some composite $M_{p}$ using Wagstaff's factor-table [W8]
3) Computed LR for remaining $p, 30000<p<50024$
4) PRIME: 27 th Mersenne Prime $M_{44497}$ discovered on $8 / 4 / 1979$
5) Noll confirmed M44497 prime [N9]
6) Checked the squaring modulo $2^{24}-1$ [N1]
7) Deposited LRs in Maths. Comp. UMT file [N12]
8) Did not confirm the $M_{p}$-eliminating factors used
9) Did not check against many known Lucas residues
10) Did not use Lucas test with $S_{1}=10$
11) ERROR: omitted indexes 24733, 40639 and 44623
12) ERROR: wrong residue on 32831 due to ' $\mathrm{p}=23 \bmod 24$ ' error [N12; N14; N15]
13) ERROR: wrong residue on 43793 due to transient fault during (?) mod-reduction
14) ERROR: wrong residues on 14 indexes due to possible code-experimentation:
46399, 47137, 48079, 48119, 48157, 48164, 48193, 48409, 48413, 48437,
48449, 48473, 48481, 50021
15) Corrected errors above given ICL DAP results below [N14; N15]
1981 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15]
1) Computed 2828 LRs for $p<50024$
2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] where no factor was known with 16 corrections
4) Deposited LRs for $p<62982$ in MC UMT file [H15]

```
1982 ICL 2900 DAP [H8 - H15; L45]
    1) Computed }2828\mathrm{ LRs for p < 50024
    2) Confirmed all LRs or corrected LRs in [G1; G7; H2; K1; N7; R10; S3; T6]
    3) Confirmed all LRs in [N12] after 16 corrections and 3 additions
    4) Checked the squaring modulo 2 2 -1 and computed on }32\mathrm{ numbers in parallel
    5) Computed factor-table and checked against others [K30; L45; W8; W12]
    6) Deposited last }15\mathrm{ octal digits of LRs and factor-table in MC UMT file [H15]
```


## $62982<p<216092$

At this point, the previous strict chronology breaks down. Isolated $M_{p}$ have been tested, a number of computer codes are simultaneously active and slowinski's testing is both non-sequential and unfiled.

1978 NOLL [N10]

1) Computed NZLR (25/12/1978) for M65537 in $168^{\circ}$ on CDC CYBER-174
2) NZLR for $M_{65537}$ is .... 56172704547775045726

## 1979 NELSON [N17]

1) Confirmed NZLR (13/3/1979) for $M_{65537}$ in $1^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$
2) Computed NZLR (29/4/1979) for $M_{131071}$ as $\ldots 216735375740460$ in $7^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$

## 1981 NELSON [N17]

1) Computed NZLR for $M_{65539}$ as .... 216160546450663
2) Computed NZLR for $M_{65543}$ as .... 024051672260672
```
1982 SLOWINSKI [N21; N23]
```

1) Computed factor or LR for 'most' $M_{p}$ in $75000<p<90000$ [N21]
2) PRIME: 28th known Mersenne prime M86243 discovered on $25 / 9 / 1982$ in $1^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$
3) Nelson confirmed M86243 prime using the CRAY/1 '1979' code
4) McGrogan \& Noll confirmed M86243 prime using a CYBER-205 in $1^{\circ}$ [N23]
5) Holmes et al confirmed M86243 prime using an ICL-DAP on $22 / 12 / 1982$ in $38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$

1983 ICL 2900 DAP [B32; B33; B34; H15]

1) Tabulated the $1913 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{f}_{1}<2^{40}$ for $62982<\mathrm{p}<100000$
2) Confirmed factor-table against those of Keller and Wagstaff [K30; W14]
3) Code C confirmed 520 known LRs
4) Computed NZLR for the 397 remaining $p, 62982<p<73180$
5) Computed NZLR for the 339 remaining p, $90534<p<100000$
6) Checked the squaring modulo $2^{16}-1$ and computed $16 M_{p}$ in parallel

1983 SLOWINSKI

1) PRIME: 29th known Mersenne prime M132049 discovered on 20/9/83 in $32^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$
2) Reportedly computed LR for all p<103,000 [D4]

1984 ICL 2900 DAP [H18; H19]

1) Computed LR for the $626 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}, 73180<\mathrm{p}<90534$
2) Confirmed M86243 as the 28th Mersenne Prime in order of size
3) Deposited LRs for the complete range, 50024 < p < 100000 [H19] in MC UMT

1985 SLOWINSKI [D6]

1) PRIME: 30th known Mersenne prime $M_{216091}$ discovered on $6 / 9 / 86$ in $3^{\circ}$
2) McGrogan confirmed prime prior to publication

1988 COLQUITT \& WELSH [C32; C33]

1) PRIME: 31st known Mersenne prime $M_{110503}$ discovered on $29 / 1 / 88$
2) NEC $S X-2$ program included a modulus-check on the squaring
3) $M_{110503}$ confirmed prime by MCGrogan (ELXSI), SLowinski (CRAY XMP), Young (CRAY XMP) and Colquitt (NEC SX-2, 'schoolboy multiplication' code) [C33]
4) Computed an $M_{p}-f 1$ or $M_{p}-L R$ for all $p, 10^{5}<p \leq 132049$ [C33]

1989 COLQUITT \& WELSH [C34; H22]

1) Computed an $M_{p}-f 1$ or $M_{p}-L R$ for all $p, 10^{5}<p \leq 139267$ [C34; H22]
2) Confirmed 1134 of 2828 LRs with p < 50000 [H19] with no disagreements [H22]

This section does not claim to be complete as the errors have been noticed 'en passant' rather than as a result of deliberate proof-reading. Published errata and corrigenda have been included here.
R. C. ARCHIBALD

A1 1) Attributions in doubt or incomplete: $M_{11}, M_{13}, M_{23}, M_{37}$
2) Note 6: Lucas authored Amer. J. Math. v1 p240 - table is 'apres Landry'
3) Note 10: On M 163 , for "30th April 1908" read "7th May 1908"

The date was incorrectly printed on that page of 'Nature'.
4) Note 11: For "p80" read "p86" - unclear 'Nature' typeface
5) Note 13: end of 2nd paragraph: "p118" may be incorrect
6) Note 14: for "p383" read "p883"
7) Euler's "Opuscula": On p113, "2 ---> 36"; on p116, " ---> 37"
C. B. BARKER

1) NZLR incorrect even though he used modulus checks [R2; T11]
A. H. BEILER
2) p18, ending paragraph 1: Uhler found that none of the numbers corresponding to the six indices (157, 167, 193, 199, 227, 229) were perfect.
3) p247: references 5 \& 6 are by D H Lehmer, not D N Lehmer
C. E. BICKMORE
4) p17, line 10: for " $2^{31}-1$ " read " $237-1$ "

## R. P. BRENT

B26 1) Against $k=337$, for "prp67" read "prp68", later proved "p68" [B28]

## J. BRILLHART

B2 1) p366, 3A: for " 55 " read " 47 "
2) $p 368$ : remove the " $*$ " against all $f_{i}<10^{6}$ except for $p=1049$, ie for $p=571,641,719,761,883,967,1019,1093$. See MR23\#A832. Source of factors for $p=719,967,1019,1093$ unknown to this author.

B4 1) Tenth reference needed - should be to [K2]
[K2] on p85 has a reference to 3 factors, namely:
$f_{2}$ of $M_{10,007} ; \quad f_{1}$ of $M_{10,009 ;} f_{2}$ of $M_{10,091}$
B6

1) p644: for "The eight new" read "The nine new" and insert " 233 " in the list. $f_{3}$ of M233 was found prime by Corollary 11 [B16]
2) p645: Schroeppel did not publish $\mathrm{M}_{149}$ 's factorisation in AIM 239 (ref [1]), but communicated it privately to the author [B16]
3) see MC v39 (1982) p747
P. A. CATALDI

C2 1) Regarded $M_{23}, M_{29}$ and $M_{37}$ as primes
A. J. C. CUNNINGHAM

C7 1) Found no factor $<50,000$ for $M_{181}$; in fact $f_{1}=43441$ [C11; W1]
2) $14831 \nmid M_{1483}$ but $14591 \mid M_{1459}$ [B29; K30]

1) For "Only 18 Mersenne's numbers remain unverified" read
"Only 18 Mersenne's numbers stated to be composite by Mersenne remain unverified. $M_{257}$, stated by Mersenne to be prime, also remains unverified."
Evidence of Cunningham's concentration on 'composite $M_{p}$ ' comes from [C11; C4; C29]
2) Found no factor $<200,000$ for $M_{181}$; in fact $f_{1}=43441$ [C11; W1]

C16 1) Various errors; see original copy \& Thorkil Naur's letter
L. E. DICKSON

1) p18 n89: for "p25" read "pp26-7". Euler did not claim all factors prime.
2) p30 n184: for "BAMS v16" read "BAMS v17"
3) p31 n191: for "p87" read "p86"
4) $p 31$ n192b: $" d=1 \bmod 24 "$ is incorrect for $p=31,61$ (Gerardin's error?)
5) p31 n192c: Fauquembergue's NZLR for M101 was found incorrect in 1952 [R2]
6) p32 n199: for " 31 " read " 131 " in the reference to Lucas' test
7) p32 n200: Fauquembergue's NZLRs for $M_{103}$ \& $M_{109}$ were also found to be incorrect in 1952 [R2]
L. EULER
8) p27, against 221: for "3 2389 " read "3.23.89"
9) p27, against 3: for " 2 " read " 2 "
10) p27, against $3^{3}:$ for " 2 " read " $2^{3 "}$
11) p27, against $5^{5}$ : for " $3^{3 "}$ read " $3^{2}$ "
12) p27, against 710 : for "329554457" read "1123.293459" [B29]
13) p28, against 373: for "2603" read "19.137"
14) $p 28$, against $41^{3}$ : for " 292 " read " $29^{2}$ "
15) p28, entries for $79,79^{2}$ and $79^{3}$ have been omitted. They should read [E4]:
$79:: 2^{4} .5, \quad 79^{2}:: 3.7^{2} .43, \quad 79^{3}:: 2^{5} \cdot 5.3121$
16) p 28 , against $137^{3}$ : for " 2 " read " 2 " "
17) p 28 , against $149^{3}$ : for " 11.101 " read " $17.653^{\prime \prime}$
18) p28, against 1573: for "29 79" read " 29.79 "
19) p28, against 1673: for "3 5.7 2789" read "3.5.7.2789"
20) p28, against 1732: for " 67.449 " read " 30103 "
21) p28, against 1932: for " 37 " read " 3.7 "
22) p29, against 2572: for "43 1321" read "43.1321"
23) p29, against $283^{2}$ : for " $2^{2 "}$ read " $2^{3 "}$
24) p29, against $311^{3}$ : for " $2^{4} 3$ " read " $2^{4} \cdot 3$ "
25) p29, against $347^{3}$ : for $" 2^{3} 3^{\prime \prime}$ read " $2^{3} .3$ "
26) p29, against $353^{3}$ : for " $517^{\prime \prime}$ read " 5.17 "
27) p30, against 4613: for "11106261" read " 11.106261 "
28) p30, against $523^{3}$ : for " 7 " read " 17 "
29) p30, against $563^{3}$ : for "3 5 29" read "3.5.29"
30) p30, against 5713: for "163041" read "163021"
31) p30, against 6132: for "125461" read "7.17923"
32) p31, against 7693: for " 71 " read " 17 "
33) p31, against 811: for " 2 " read " 2 "
34) p31, against 827: for " $3^{3 "}$ read " $3^{2 \text { " }}$
35) p31, against 863: for " 25 " read " 2 "
36) p31, against $907^{3}$ : for " $23^{\prime \prime}$ read " $2^{3 "}$
37) p31, against 9293: for "31 431521" read " 31.431521 "

E2 1) The errors in [E3] listed above as 4-6, 8, 10, 13, 16, 20, 21, 23-27 are reproduced here.
2) p104, against 17: for " $3^{3 "}$ read " $3^{2 \text { " }}$
3) p105, against 413: for " 29 " read " $29^{2 \text { " }}$
4) p106, against $359^{3}$ : for " $3^{3 "}$ read " $3^{2 \text { ". }}$
5) p 109 , below "929", for "9192" read "9292" and for "9193" read "9293"

E4 1) p90, against 710: for "329554457" read "1123.293459" [B29]

## E. FAUQUEMBERGUE

F12

1) Incorrect NZLR for M $_{101}$ : discovered by Robinson on SWAC in 1952 [R2]

F1 1) Incorrect NZLR for $\mathrm{M}_{103}$ : discovered by Robinson on SWAC in 1952 [R2]
2) Incorrect NZLR for M $_{109}$ : discovered by Robinson on SWAC in 1952 [R2]

F10 1) Incorrect NZLR for $\mathrm{M}_{137}$ : discovered by Robinson on SWAC in 1952 [R2]

## A. FERRIER

F4 1) p5, against $p=359$ : for "855851" read "855857" [K30]

## D. B. GILLIES

1) NZLR for M12143 incorrect [H8; T2]. For " 27361 " read " 71510 ".
2) (Author's copy) $M_{12641}, f_{4}$ : for " 4124,947915 " read "41249,479151" [G1]
3) (Author's copy) M $\mathrm{M}_{14593}, \mathrm{f}_{5}$ : for " 6336,911017 " read "63369,110177" [G1]

G1 1) NZLR for M12143 incorrect [H8; T2]. For "27361" read "71510".
V. A. GOLUBEV

G11 1) p258: add two columns to the table of Seredinskij:
(130 .. 23 .. 5197 .. 31183) and (50 .. 47 .. 10357 .. 62143) [K28]
2) p 259 : In Theoreme II, for " $212 n+1-1 \ldots 12 n+1$ " read " $2^{2 n+1}-1 \ldots 2 n+1$ "
3) p 259 : In Theoreme II, for " $=2^{12 n+1}$ " read " $=2^{2 n+1}$ "
4) p259: In the 5th row of the table, $x$, for " 36 " read " 86 "
5) p259: In the 7th row of the table, $p_{1}$, for " 1692 " read " 1693 "
6) p 260 : Theoreme IV. For " $2^{\mathrm{n}}-1$ " read " $2 \mathrm{p}-1$ "
7) p260: In the 3rd row of the first table, p, for "1365" read "1367"
8) p260: Delete the 14 th column of the first table because $19337=61 * 317$
9) $p 260$ : Exchange the " $x$ " and " $y$ " in the labellings of the second table
10) p260: In the 1st row of the second table, for " 15 " read " 25 "
11) p261: Add to the first table the column (13 .. $31 \ldots 4447 \ldots 71153$ )
G. H. HARDY \& E. M. WRIGHT

## A. HURWITZ

E. KARST

K2 1) p80: proof that $\nexists$ prime q s.t. $q^{2} \mid M_{p}$ is fallacious [K8]
D. E. KNUTH

1) NZLRs found incorrect [S3] for $4 M_{p}$ with $p<3300$
2) NZLRs found incorrect [G1; G7; N2; N3] for $4 M_{p}$ : for $M_{3637}$ 's "67413" read "53313", for M3847's "57652" read "14400", for $M_{4397}$ 's "40174" read "44327", for $M_{4421}$ 's "25131" read "03013"
3) p391: credited Lucas with showing $M_{67}$ composite. NZLR unconfirmed
4) p391: credited Kraitchik with showing M 257 composite. NZLR unconfirmed
5) p391: for "CRAY-I" read "CRAY-1"
6) p394: "The world's largest explicitly known prime numbers have always been Mersenne primes, at least from 1772 until 1980" is incorrect.
In 1867 [L20; C D1] and 1869 [L19 p4; c D1], Landry preceded Lucas' prime $M_{127}$ of 1876 by listing 14 primes $>M_{31}$. Landry's work may be regarded as reliable although he pronounced one composite number prime in those tables. The two 1867 primes of the 14 are asterisked below:

$$
\begin{array}{rrr|c|c|c|c|}
2931,542417 \mid 2^{44}+1 & 77158,673929 \mid 2^{63}+1 & 4,363953,127297 \mid 2^{49}+1 \\
4278,255361 \mid 2^{40+1} & 165768,537521 \mid 2^{47}+1 & 4,432676,798593 \mid 2^{49}-1 \\
4562,284561 \mid 2^{60+1} & 168749,965921 \mid 2^{69}+1^{*} & 3,203431,780337 \mid 2^{59}-1 \\
8831,418697 \mid 2^{41}+1 & 1,133836,730401 \mid 2^{75}+1^{*} & 28,059810,762433 \mid 2^{53}+1 \\
54410,972897 \mid 2^{56}+1 & 2,932031,007403 \mid 2^{43+1} &
\end{array}
$$

In 1951-2, the primes of Miller \& Wheeler and of Ferrier [M2; M5] superceded $M_{127}$ and preceded $M_{521}$.

## M. KRAITCHIK

1) Chapter 3, p24, Section 65 table: against $n=163$, for " 160287 " read " 150287 "

K13

1) p756, table 1, against $n=67:$ for "19,370721" read "193,707721"
2) $p 756$, table 2, against $n=163$ : for "160287" read " 150287 "

K32

1) $p 756$, against $n=67$ : for " 19,370721 " read " 193,707721 "
2) $p 756$, against $n=67$ : for " $7,618388,257287$ " read " $761838,257287^{\prime \prime}$
3) $p 756$, against $n=87$ : for "1107" read "1103" [B29; F4]
4) $p 756$, against $n=127$ : for "...864..." read "...884..."
S. KRAVITZ
5) After $p=13049$, for " 12063 " read " 13063 "

K1

1) For ten asterisked $M_{p}$, incorrect NZLRs were corrected before publication. These were caused by an inadmissable value of $S_{1}$ being introduced by a card-punch error while making up three 'identical' program-decks.

Le LASSEUR de SANZY
L25 1) Found no factor $<30,000$ for $M_{197}$ [c D1 p24 n131]; $f_{1}=7487$ [C3; C6]
D. H. LEHMER

L2 1) $M_{233}$ is listed as "only one factor known". N G W H Beeger noted [L7] that $f_{2}$ was known at that time.

L3 1) For $" k=744$ " read $" k=774$ ": corrected by T Wilcox [W4]

## E. LUCAS

1) p 283 : for "177951" read "179951"
2) p376: the prime $M_{89}$ was pronounced composite following NZLR computation

Several historical misattributions; unsubstantiated claims about machines [A1]
M. MERSENNE

M3 1) Stated $M_{67}$ to be prime; it is composite [F8; F9; C17]
2) Stated $M_{257}$ to be prime; it is composite [L2]
3) Stated $M_{61}$ to be composite; it is prime [P13; P14; P16]
4) Stated $M_{89}$ to be composite; it is prime [C12; P9; P15]
5) Stated $M_{107}$ to be composite; it is prime [P2; P6; P10]
6) Stated in effect that $M_{p}$ was composite for $17000<p<32000$ :
$M_{p}$ is prime for $p=19937$ [T1; N1], 21701 [S4; N5; N1; T6]
and 23209 [N6; N1; S13; S1] and only for those p [N1]
M6

1) $" \mathrm{p}=2^{2 \mathrm{n}}+k ; k=1,2$ or $3 \Rightarrow M_{p}$ prime"

Correct for $p=2,3,5,7,17,19$ (known to Mersenne)
Incorrect for $p=67,257 \& 4099$
H. L. NELSON

1) Credited Mersenne with a knowledge of $M_{29}$ 's $f_{2}$
2) Did not credit Mersenne with the knowledge of $M_{37}$ 's $f_{1}$
3) p266: for " 2100 by 1971 " read " 21000 by 1971 "
4) p266: for " $2,3,4,5$ " read $" 2,3,5,7 "$
C. L. NOLL
5) Credited Gillies with search-range $p<11400$ and not $p<12144$
6) Omitted " 2250167260 " from first table: $M_{22501-f}=3026,834521$
7) Reference 2 - Knuth: for "1963" read "1973"
J. W. PAULI

P11 1) Gave 83 as a factor of $M_{41}$

## J. PLANA

H. RIESEL

## R. M. ROBINSON

## P. SEELHOFF

## W. SIERPINSKI

1) p341, 2nd para: for "r $\mathrm{r}_{101}$ " read " $\mathrm{r}_{100}$ "
2) p341, 4th para: for " 376 digits" read " 386 digits"
3) p 341 , 6th para: for "M 941 " read "M9941"
4) p341, 6th para: for " 3381 digits" read " 3376 digits"; see Lal [L12]
5) p341, 6th para: for "Gilles" read "Gillies"
D. SLOWINSKI

The "TIMES"

1) $\mathrm{p9}$ : for "221701" read "221701 - 1": corrected [ T 9 ]

## J. TRAVERS

T3 1) Against $E_{89}$, for ". .378082.." read "..378084.." [T11; U11]
2) Against $E_{107}$, for "..975360.." read ". .9753460.." [T11; U11]
H. S. UHLER

U2 1) For "page iii" read "page xxxvi"

U11 1) $\mathrm{v}_{5}$ : for " 3335 " read " 3355 "
2) $\mathrm{v}_{11}$ : for "14 13164" read " 13164 " (there are 65 digits not 67) [T3; T11]
3) $\mathrm{v}_{12}$ : for " 47401 " read " 1447401 " (there are 77 digits not 75 ) [T3; T11]

In these notes, 'conjecture' is interpreted in the widest sense to include explicit conjectures, observations and statements not backed by proof whose status is lost in the mists of time.

1) " $2^{n-1} * M_{n}$ is perfect for all odd $n$ " [c D1 Ch1 ns 20, 24, 38, 42, 43]

FALSE: $n$ composite $==\Rightarrow M_{n}=\left(2^{a}-1\right)\left(2^{b}-1\right) c==\Rightarrow 2^{n-1} *\left(2^{n}-1\right)$ not perfect. $M_{p}$ composite $=\Rightarrow 2^{p-1} * M_{p}$ is not perfect, the case also for most prime $p$.
2) " $E_{p}$ ends alternately in 6 and $8 "$
[c D1 Ch1 ns $4,6,15-20,25,26,28,38,42,43,45]$
FALSE: $E_{p}$ ends in $6,8,6,8,6, \underline{6}, 8, \underline{8}, 6, \underline{6}, 8, \underline{8}, 6,8, \underline{8}, \underline{8}, 6, \underline{6}, \underline{6}, 8$, $6, \underline{6}, \underline{6}, \underline{6}, \underline{6}, \underline{6}, \underline{6}, 8, \underline{8}, 6,8$
Thus, " 6 \& 8 alternate" is so far ( $31 E_{p}$ ) true 15 times, false 15 times, assuming $M_{216091}$ is 31 st in order of size. It's likely that this conjecture arises from observation and the mistaken belief that $E_{n}$ is perfect for all odd $n$.
3) "E exists with any number of decimal digits" [D1 Ch1 ns 4, 27, 29, 33, 45, 53]

FALSE: The $E_{p}$ sequence begins 6; 28; 496; 8128; 33,550336 The 28 th $E_{p}$ has 51,924 decimal digits Would not be true even if $2^{n-1} * M_{n}$ were perfect for all odd ' $n$ '
4) MERSENNE: [M3; c D1 p12 n60]

Effectively, "For $28<p<258, M_{p}$ is prime only for $p=31,67,127,257$ "
FALSE: Incorrect on $p=61,89 \& 107$ (later found prime) and on $p=67 \& 257$ (later found composite)
Mersenne knew the status of $M_{p}$ for $p<24$ and $p=37$ (10 of $55 M_{p}$ ) His statement was correct on the remaining $40 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$
5) MERSENNE: [c D1 p13 n60]
"There is no perfect number from the power 17000 to 32000"
FALSE: Let us assume this means " $M_{p}$ is composite for $17000<p<32000$ ". There are 3 prime $M_{p}(p=19937,21701 \& 23209)$ in this range. This conjecture is perhaps based on the belief that ' $M_{p}$ prime $==\Rightarrow p$ near $2^{k}$, the relevant $2^{k}$ here being 16,384 and 32,768 .
6) MERSENNE: " $\mathrm{p}=2^{2 n}+k ; k<4==\Rightarrow M_{p}$ prime" [M6; c D1 p13 n61]

FALSE: Correct for $\mathrm{p}=2,3,5,7,17,19$, all known to Mersenne. Incorrect for $p=67,257,4099,65537 \& 65539$ Suggests that '67' was not a misprint of '61' - Conjecture 4 above [B10 p316; B11]
7) MERSENNE (according to Lucas \& Tannery) [c D1 p28 n162]:
$" M p$ prime $\Leftrightarrow=\Rightarrow p$ prime and $p=2^{2 n}+1,2^{2 n} \pm 3$ or $2^{2 n+1}-1^{1 "}$
FALSE: Correct only for (known) $p=2,5,7,13,-17,19$ and $p=31,61,127$ $==\Rightarrow$ incorrect for $p=3,89,107$ and the next 16 prime $M_{p}, p>257$ <== incorrect for $p=67,257,1021,4093,4099,8191,16381,65537$, 65539 \& 131071.
These are the counterexamples for $p<262140$.
This attribution explains four out of five of Mersenne' errors BUT

1) Clearly, Mersenne knew $M_{3}=7$ to be prime
2) Mersenne regarded $M_{61}$ as composite (prime by this conjecture)
3) MERSENNE (according to Drake) [D2]:
"p prime, $p=2^{n} \pm k, k<4 \Leftrightarrow==\Rightarrow M_{p}$ prime"
FALSE: Correct for $p=2,3,5,7,13,17,19,31,61,127$
$===>$ incorrect for $p=67,257,1021,4093,4099,8191,16381,65537$, 65539 \& 131071. <== incorrect for $p=89,107$ and the 13th-31st prime $M_{p}$. These are the counterexamples for $p<262140$.
4) CATALAN: $" q=M_{p}$ prime $==\Rightarrow M_{q}$ prime" $\left[\begin{array}{llll}c & \text { D1 } & p 24 & \text { n135 }\end{array}\right]$ :

FALSE: Correct for $p=2,3,5,7$. Incorrect for $p=13,17,19$ and 31.
Catalan knew only of the cases $p=2$ and 3 . Let $\widetilde{M}$ represent $M_{M}$.
NZLR for $M_{13}=M_{8191}$ computed by Wheeler et al [G1; H2; H14; N12; T1]
$2 * 20,644229 * M_{13}+1=338193,759479 \mid \widetilde{M}_{13} \quad[\mathrm{~K} 31]$
$2 * 884 * M_{17}+1=231,733529 \mid \tilde{M}_{17} \quad[R 3]$
$2 * 245273 * M_{17}+1=64296,354767 \mid \widetilde{M}_{17} \quad[K 31]$
$2 * 60 * M_{19}+1=62,914441 \mid \bar{M}_{19} \quad[R 3]$
$2 * 68745 * M_{31}+1=295,257526,626031 \mid \widetilde{M}_{31} \quad[K 31]$
10) CUNNINGHAM: "Mprime $==\Rightarrow p=2^{n} \pm 1$ or $2^{n} \pm 3^{\prime \prime}[C 5 ; C 7]$

FALSE: Correct for $p=2,3,5,7,1 \overline{3}, 17,19,-31,61,127$, all known to Cunningham
Incorrect for $p=89,107$ and the known 19 prime $M_{p}$ after $M_{127}$ Retracted by Cunningham [C12] when Powers announced the primality of M89
11) GERARDIN [G6]:
"a) If $p=43 \bmod 60$, the first factor of $M_{p}, f_{1}=47 \bmod 96$
b) If $p=33 \bmod 40$, the first factor of $M_{p}, f_{1}=7 \bmod 24$
c) If $p=1 \bmod 30$, the first factor of $M_{p}, f_{1}=1 \bmod 24$

- with the exception (Euler) cases where $p=4 n+3$ and $2 p+1$ is prime"

FALSE: a) Correct for $p=43,163,223$ [B3], three cases known to Gerardin Incorrect for 291 of 319 known cases with $p<10^{5}$, for example $p=103\left(f_{1}=2550,183799[B 3]\right)$ and $p=283\left(f_{1}=9623\right.$ [B2])
b) Correct for $p=73,113,233$ [B3], three cases known to Gerardin Incorrect for 230 of 348 known cases with $p<10^{5}$, for example $p=193\left(f_{1}=13,821503\right.$ [B2]), $p=313\left(f_{1}=10,960009\right.$ [B2])
c) Correct for $p=151,181,211$ [B3], three cases known to Gerardin Incorrect for 573 of 672 known cases with $p<10^{5}$, for example $p=31 \& 61$ for which $M_{p}$ is prime,
$p=241\left(f_{1}=22,000409\right.$ [B2]) and $p=571\left(f_{1}=5711\right.$ [B2])
Analysis [H22] based on merge of results [C34; H19]
12) GERARDIN: "q divides $M_{p}$ and $q \neq 2^{r}-1 \Rightarrow==>M_{q}$ is composite" [c D1 p30 n188b]
FALSE: $M_{11}=23 * 89: M_{89}$ is prime [C12; P3; c D1 p30 n185] $M_{967}=23209 * 549257 *$ c281 [B2; B17]: M23209 is prime [S13; S1] Presumably this was posed just before Powers found $M_{89}$ prime ' $q \neq 2^{r}-1$ ' excludes the (Catalan) cases $q=3,7,31,127$
13) TARRY: "If $q$ is the least factor of a composite $M_{p}, M_{q}$ is composite" [c D1 p30 n188b $]$
FALSE: $M_{967}=23209 * 549257 *$ cofactor [B2]: $M_{23209}$ is prime [S13; S1]
14) KNUTH: [K26 p394]
"One day, the largest explicitly-known prime will not be a Mersenne prime"
TRUE: $\quad \mathrm{p} 65050=M_{216091}<391581.2^{216193}-1=p 65087$, found 6/8/89 [D7]
15) NAUR: Meta-conjecture on reading previous version of this section: "All Mersenne-number conjectures are false".
FALSE: See resolution of conjecture 14 above.

1) MERSENNE: " $M_{p}$ is composite for $1,050,000<p<2,090,000$ " [M3; c D1 p13 n60] This statement is apparently based on the belief that $M_{p}$ is prime only when $p$ is near $2^{k}$, the relevant $2^{k}$ here being $1,048576 \& 2,097152$. Based on Pomerance's conjecture on the distribution of prime $M_{p}$ and current knowledge, the 'likelihood' of this conjecture being true is 0.15649 .
2) MERSENNE: "No interval of powers can be assigned so great but that it can be given without perfect numbers" [M3; c D1 p13 n60]
This is interpreted as $" \forall N, \exists n(N)$ s.t. $p \in[n, n+N]==\Rightarrow M_{p}$ composite" This statement is perhaps based on a belief that ' $M_{p}$ prime $\Rightarrow=\Rightarrow p$ near $2^{k}$. This conjecture is wrongly motivated but probably correct - see 8 below.
3) CATALAN: $" p_{1}=3$ and $p_{n+1}=2^{p_{n}}-1 \Rightarrow p_{n+1}$ is prime for all $n "$

True for $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} ; M_{p}=3,7,127 \& M_{127}$ are prime The generalisation, replacing ' $p_{1}=M_{2}$ ' by ' $p_{1}=M_{q}$ ' is false:

For $p_{1}=M_{5}=31, p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are prime but $M_{q}$ is composite for $q=M_{31}$
For $p_{1}=M_{q}=r=M_{13}, M_{17}$ or $M_{19}, M_{r}$ is composite
See Section 9, Conjecture 9 for the first $M_{p}$-factors.
For $p_{1}=M_{q}=r=M_{61}, M_{89}$ or $M_{127}$, the status of $M_{r}$ is unknown.
4) SCHINZEL: "There are an infinite number of Mersenne composites" [S2 p29] This is likely to be correct; for stronger versions - see $6,8-10$ below.
5) "There are an infinite number of Mersenne primes" [s2 p29] For a stronger version of this conjecture, see 8 and 9 below. Golubev [G11] alone says "There are serious reasons for believing that the number of prime $M_{p}$ is finite."
6) "There are an infinite number of prime $p=4 k+3$ such that $2 p+1$ is prime" [ $\$ 2 p 29]$ For such primes $p, M_{p}$ has the factor $2 p+1$ by a theorem of Euler. This conjecture therefore implies Conjecture 4 above.
7) JAKOBEZYK: "There is no prime $q$ such that $q^{2}$ is a factor of some $M_{p}$ " [S10 p92] Karst's alleged proof [K2 p80] is incorrect [K8].
Brillhart [B4; B16] has checked this conjecture for

$$
q<2^{35}, 102<p<258 \& q<2^{34}, 258<p<20,000
$$

$q^{2} \mid M_{p}==\Rightarrow 2^{q-1}=1\left(\bmod q^{2}\right)$ [W11]
There are no such $M_{p}$-factors $q<6.10^{9}$ [L46]
More generally, this is incorrect for $M_{n}=2^{n-1}$ with $n$ composite [B17; R6]:
first examples: $3^{2}\left|M_{6}, 5^{2}\right| M_{20}, 7^{2}\left|M_{21}, 11^{2}\right| M_{110}, 13^{2}\left|M_{156}, 17^{2}\right| M_{136}$ $31^{2} \mid M_{155}$
later examples: $3^{5}\left|M_{162}, 5^{3}\right| M_{100}, 7^{3} \mid M_{147}$
8) GILLIES: [G7; G1]
"a) The probability that $M_{p}$ is prime $\sim\left(2 \log _{e} 2 p\right) /\left(p \log _{e} 2\right)$,
b) The expected number of prime $M_{p}$ s.t. $x<M_{p}<2 x$ is
$2+2 \log _{e}\left(\log _{e} 2 x / \log _{e} x\right)$,
c) The number of prime $M_{p}<x \sim 2 *\left(\log _{e} \log _{e} x\right) / \log _{e} 2^{\prime \prime}$
ie the number of prime $M_{p}, p<y \sim 2 \log _{e} y / \log _{e} 2 \sim 2.8853901 \log _{e} y$
9) POMERANCE \& LENSTRA: [P24]
"The number of prime $M_{p}$ with $p<y \sim e^{\gamma} \log _{e} y / \log _{e} 2 \sim 2.5695442 \log _{e} y$ " As seen in the Section 3 graph, this is a much better fit to the data than Gillies' conjecture above. Euler's constant, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}=0.577215665$
10) SHANKS \& KRAVITZ: [S6]

Let $f_{k}(x)$ be the number of $M_{p}(p<x)$ such that $d=2 k p+1$ is a prime
divisor of $M_{p}$
Let $Z^{\prime}(x)$ be the conjectured estimate for the number of twin-prime pairs < $x$ Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{k}(x)=Z^{\prime}(x) & {\left[\cos ^{2}(k \pi / 4) / k\right] \prod[(q-1) /(q-2)] * } \\
& {\left[1-[\log (2 k) / \log x\}+0\left(\log ^{2} x\right)^{-1}\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

This conjecture accords with the known result " $k=4 m+2===>f_{k}(x)=0$ " This conjecture implies $f_{1}(x)=Z^{\prime}(x) / 2$ and $f_{3}(x)=Z^{\prime}(x) / 3$ see Conjectures 4 and 6 above.
11) SELFRIDGE: [N10]
"If two of the following statements are true, the third is also true"
a) $p=2^{m} \pm 1$ or $p=2^{2 m} \pm 3$
b) $M_{p}$ is prime
c) $\left(2^{p}+1\right) / 3$ is prime

If ' $p$ ' is not prime, then statements $b$ and $c$ are false [B35]
Each statement defines a set of primes ' $p$ ' to test the conjecture.
Bateman et al [B35] find the conjecture true for 56 ' $p$ ' in these ranges:
'a' primes p < 1,000000
'b' primes p < 132050
'c' primes p < 4000
Prior to [N10], it was known that $a^{\wedge} b^{\wedge} c$ was true 9 times; what was the probability of this being true 'at random'. It is unlikely to be true [B35] again on a random basis.
Statements a, b \& c are separately true 12,21 \& 14 times respectively.

This condition is proposed [B35] as a neat way to discriminate between the Mersenne conjecture 'hits' $(31,61,127)$ and 'misses' $(67,89,107,257)$. There is no evidence that Mersenne considered numbers of form $\left(2^{\mathrm{p}}+1\right) / 3$. Knowing that $M_{11}$ is composite, he may have chosen not to speculate that $M_{29}$ and $M_{131}$ were prime.

| k | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Key: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2-1$ | 0 | 1 | $\underline{3}$ | 7 | 15 | 31 | 63 | 127 | 255 |  | composite $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ |
| $2^{k}+1$ | 2 | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{5}$ | 9 | 17 | 33 | 65 | 129 | 257 $\dagger$ | p | prime Mp |
| $2^{k}-3$ | -2 | -1 | 1 | (5) | 13 | 29 | 61+ | 125 | 253 | , | M conjecture |
| $2^{\text {k }}+3$ | 4 | (5) | 7 | 11 | $\underline{19}$ | 35 | $67+$ | 131 | 259 |  | boundary |

12) SLOWINSKI - Meta-conjecture: [S1]
"There will always be more conjectures concerning Mersenne primes than there are known Mersenne primes".
This is trivially true if we allow the class of untested statements ' $M_{p}$ is prime'. Therefore, slowinski must be assuming some process for admitting statements as 'worthy' conjectures. Shanks [S2, 3rd Edition] proposes such a process but it has not been used here.
A formal definition of 'conjecture' must precede formal decidability.
Let us delete 'always' and substitute:
'Mersenne numbers' for the first 'Mersenne primes', 'unresolved conjecture' for 'conjecture'.
Slowinski has done more than most to make this meta-conjecture false. Interpreting 'conjecture' in its widest reasonable sense above, the resulting list of unresolved conjectures makes the score $31: 12$ in favour of the primes. Further submissions are invited.
If the word 'always' is heeded, this meta-conjecture is false.

These are classified below and some sections are expanded.
11.1 EARLY RESULTS ON PERFECT AND MERSENNE NUMBERS
11.1.1 Euclid's Proposition 36: $2^{n}-1$ prime $\Rightarrow 2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)$ perfect
11.1.2 $\quad 2^{n}-1$ prime $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow$ n prime
11.1.3 Even Perfect numbers are of Euclid's form
11.2 FACTORISATION TECHNIQUES
11.2.1 Pre-1970 factorisation methods
11.2.1.1 $q \mid M_{p} \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow q=2 k p+1$
11.2.1.2 $q \mid M_{p} \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow q=8 r \pm 1$
11.2.1.3 $p=4 k+3 \& q=2 p+1: q$ prime $\Leftrightarrow=\Rightarrow q \mid M_{p}[K 27]$
11.2.1.4 $p=4 k+1 \& q=6 p+1=u^{2}+27 v^{2}$ prime, $u=12 m+2, v$ odd $\Rightarrow==q \mid M_{p}[K 27]$
11.2.1.5 $q=8 p+1=u^{2}+64 v^{2}$ prime, $v$ odd, $3 \nmid u, 3 \nmid v \Rightarrow q \mid M_{p}[K 27]$
11.2.1.6 $p=30 k+11, q=8 p+1=u^{4}+8 v^{4}, v$ odd $\Rightarrow q \mid M_{p}[S 21]$
11.2.1.7 $p=4 k+3 \& q=10 p+1$ prime $\Rightarrow=\Rightarrow q \mid M_{p}$ or $q \mid 2^{5 p-1}$ [K27]
11.2.1.8 $p=4 k+1 \& q=14 p+1$ prime $\Rightarrow q \mid M_{p}$ or $q \mid 2^{7 p-1}$ [K27]
11.2.1.9 $q=16 p+1=u^{2}+256 v^{2}=w^{2}+32 x^{2}$ prime, $v+x$ even, $3|w \Rightarrow q| M_{p}[K 27]$
11.2.1.10 $p=4 k+3 \& q=18 p+1$ prime $\Rightarrow q \mid M_{p}$ or $q \mid 2^{3 p-1}$ or $q \mid 2^{9 p}-1$ [K27]
11.2.1.11 $q=24 p+1=u^{2}+27 v^{2}=w^{2}+64 x^{2}$ prime, $x$ odd $\Rightarrow=\Rightarrow q \mid M_{p}[G 11]$
11.2.1.12 $q=48 p+1=u^{2}+27 v^{2}=w^{2}+256 x^{2}=y^{2}+32 z^{2}, x+z$ even $\Rightarrow==q \quad q \mid M_{p}$ [G11]
11.2.2 Pollard's Monte-Carlo method [B18; P22]
11.2.3 Pollard's P-1 method [P21]
11.2.4 The Continued Fraction method [B15; W10]
11.3 PRIMALITY TESTING
11.3.1 The Lucas-Lehmer test on $M_{p}[L 8 ; L 24]$
11.3 .2
11.3 .
11.3 .
11.3 .5

On the Converse of Fermat's theorem [B6; L11; L33; L36; L43; P17; R11]
The general ' $N+1$ ' Lucas test [B6]
Combined ' $\mathrm{N}-1, \mathrm{~N}+1$ ' methods [B6]
Adleman-Pomerance-Rumely's 'ARPCL' method [A4; C31]
11.4
11.4 .1

MISCELLANEOUS RESULTS
The sum of the reciprocals of the divisors of a perfect number is 2
Composite $M_{p}$-factors are pseudoprime base 2
11.4.3 $q^{2} \mid M_{p} \Rightarrow \Rightarrow 2 q^{-1}=1 \bmod q^{2}$ [L46; W11]
11.4.4 q pseudoprime base $2 \Rightarrow M_{q}$ pseudoprime base 2
11.4.5 All $E_{n}$ are both triangular and hexagonal numbers
11.4.6 For $n$ odd, $E_{n}=1 \bmod 9$
11.4.7 For $n \geq 3$ and odd, $E_{n}=8 / 6 \bmod 10$ alternately
11.4.8 For $n$ odd, $E_{n}$ is a partial sum of $(2 i-1)^{3}$
11.4.9 Mersenne numbers $M_{p}$ are coprime
11.4.10 $\left(2^{n}+1\right) / 3$ prime, $n$ odd $\Rightarrow=\Rightarrow$ n prime
11.1.1 Euclid's Proposition 36: $2^{n}-1$ prime $===>2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)$ perfect

Let $q=2^{n}-1$ be prime and let $E_{n}=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)=2^{n-1} q$.
The set of factors of $E_{n}$ is precisely $\left\{2^{i} q^{j} \mid i=0, \ldots, n-1 \& j=0\right.$ or 1$\}$
Let $s(N)=$ the sum of the factors of $N$.
$s\left(E_{n}\right)=\left(1+2+\ldots+2^{n-1}\right) *(1+q)=\left(2^{n}-1\right) * 2^{n}=2 * E_{n} \# \#$
Euclid did not prove the converse, $E_{n}$ perfect $\Rightarrow=\Rightarrow 2^{n}-1$ prime:
Let $E_{n}=2^{n-1} a b=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n-1}\right)$.
Then $s\left(E_{n}\right) \geq\left(2^{n}-1\right) *(1+a+a b)=\left(2^{n}-1\right) *\left(1+a+2^{n}-1\right)=\left(2^{n}-1\right) *\left(2^{n}+a\right)>2 * E_{n}$
Therefore, $2^{n}-1$ composite $===>E_{n}$ not perfect
Therefore $E_{n}$ perfect $===>2^{n}-1$ prime \#\#

### 11.1.2 $\quad 2^{n}-1$ prime $==\Rightarrow$ n prime

We will prove by induction on 'a' that $2^{b}-1\left(2^{a b}-1\right.$. This is clearly true for $a=1$. $2^{a b-1}=2^{b} *\left(2^{(a-1) b-1)}+\left(2^{b}-1\right)\right.$
Therefore $2^{b-1}\left|2^{(a-1) b-1} \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow 2^{b-1}\right| 2^{a b-1}$.
Therefore, $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{ab}$ composite, $\mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}>1 \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow 2^{\mathrm{a}}-1 \mid 2^{\mathrm{n}}-1$ and $2^{\mathrm{b}}-1 \mid 2^{\mathrm{n}}-1$.
Therefore $2^{n}-1$ prime $==\Rightarrow n$ prime \#\#

### 11.1.3 Even Perfect Numbers are of Euclid's form

Let $\mathrm{E}=2^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{q}$ ( q odd) be a perfect number.
Let $s(x)=$ the sum of the divisors of $x$
Then $s(E)=s\left(2^{n-1}\right) s(q)=\left(2^{n}-1\right) s(q)$ and $s(E)=2 E=2^{n} q$.
$\left(2^{n}-1\right) s(q)=2^{n} q$. Letting $M_{n}=2^{n}-1$, we have $M_{n} Q=\left(2^{n}-1\right) Q=q$
$s(q)=2^{n} Q>q+Q=2^{n} Q$
$\mathrm{Q}=1$ and $\mathrm{q}=2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ is prime \#\#

### 2.1.1 $q \mid M_{p}==\Rightarrow q=2 k p+1$

First, let q be a prime.
$q \mid M_{p}==\Rightarrow 2^{p-1}=0 \bmod q \quad==\Rightarrow \quad 2^{p}=1 \bmod q$.
Let $s$ be the smallest integer $i$ such that $2^{i}=1 \bmod q$.
$2^{t}=1 \bmod q==\Rightarrow t=r s$.
Therefore $2^{p}=1 \bmod q$ with $p$ prime $==\Rightarrow p$ is that smallest integer 's'.
But by Fermat's 'little' theorem, $q$ prime $==\Rightarrow 2^{q-1}=1 \bmod q$
Therefore $(q-1)=r p=2 k p$ and $q=2 k p+1$.
If $Q \mid M_{p}$, then $Q=q_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} * \ldots * q_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}=\prod_{i} q_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}=\prod_{i}\left(2 k_{j} p+1\right)^{\alpha_{i}}=2 K p+1 \# \#$
11.4.1 The sum of the reciprocals of the divisors of a perfect number is 2

Let $D=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}d \mid & d \mid M\end{array}\right\}$
$E_{p}$ perfect $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow 2 E_{p}=\sum_{D} d \Rightarrow 2=\sum_{D} d / E_{p} \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow 2=\sum_{D} 1 / d \quad \# \#$

### 11.4.2 Composite $M_{p}$-factors are psp(2)

The term 'pseudoprime' is reserved here for composite numbers $N$ satisfying Fermat's equation $a^{N-1}=1 \bmod N$ for some base $a$. Therefore, let $q$ be a composite factor.
$q \mid M_{p}=\Rightarrow 2^{p-1}=0 \bmod q$ and $q=2 k p+1 \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow 2^{p}=1 \bmod q$ $\Rightarrow=2^{k p}=1^{2 k}=1 \bmod q==\Rightarrow 2^{q-1}=1 \bmod q$ ===> q pseudoprime base 2 \#\#
$11.4 .3 \quad q^{2} \mid M_{p}==\Rightarrow 2 q^{-1}=1 \bmod q^{2}$
$q \mid M_{p}==\Rightarrow q=2 k p+1$, see 11.2.1.1.
Therefore $2^{(q-1) / 2}-1=2^{k p-1}=\left(2^{p}-1\right) * a$, see 11.1 .2 .
Therefore $q^{2}\left|M_{p} \Rightarrow \Rightarrow q^{2}\right| 2^{p-1} \Rightarrow \Rightarrow q^{2}\left|2^{(q-1) / 2}-1 \Rightarrow q^{2}\right| 2^{q-1}-1$
Therefore $q^{2} \mid M_{p}==\Rightarrow 2^{q-1}=1 \bmod q^{2}$
This provides a test that $q^{2}+M_{p}$ independent of $p$ and of any factorisation. This
test also relates to Fermat's last theorem [W11]. However, for small q it is quicker
to factorise $(q-1) / 2$ and test-divide candidate $M_{p}$.
11.4.4 q pseudoprime base $2==\Rightarrow M_{q}$ is $p s p(2)$
q pseudoprime $==>$ q composite $===>M_{q}$ composite
q pseudoprime base $2 \Rightarrow 2^{q-1}=1 \bmod q \Rightarrow 2 q=2 \bmod q$
$==\Rightarrow 2 \mathrm{q}-2=0 \bmod \mathrm{q}==\Rightarrow 2 \mathrm{q}-2=\mathrm{kq}$
$M_{q}=2^{q}-1 \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow 2^{q}=1 \bmod M_{q}==\Rightarrow 2^{k q}=1^{k}=1 \bmod M_{q}$
$\Rightarrow \quad \Rightarrow 2^{2^{q}-2}=1 \bmod M_{q} \Rightarrow 2^{M_{q}-1}=1 \bmod M_{q}$
$\Rightarrow=\Rightarrow M_{q}$ pseudoprime base 2 \#\#
11.4.5 All $E_{n}$ are both triangular and hexagonal numbers

The mth triangular number is $S_{1, m}=\sum_{i}=m(m+1) / 2$
The sequence starts $1,3,6,10, \ldots$.
If $m=2^{n}-1, s_{1, m}=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)=E_{n} \quad \# \#$
The mth hexagonal number is $H_{m}=m(2 m-1)$
The sequence starts $1,6,15,28,45, \ldots$ [K29 p67]
If $m=2^{n-1}, H_{m}=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)=E_{n}^{\# \#}$

### 11.4.6 For n odd, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=1 \bmod 9$

$E_{n}=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right): E_{1}=1, E_{3}=28$ and $E_{5}=496$. Therefore $E_{1}, E_{3} \& E_{5}=1 \bmod 9$.
Compare $E_{n}$ and $E_{n+6}: 2^{6}=64 \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow 2^{6}=1 \bmod 9$
Therefore $2^{n-1}=2^{n+5} \bmod 9,2^{n}=2^{n+6} \bmod 9$ and $2^{n-1}=2^{n+6}-1 \bmod 9$.
Therefore $E_{n}=E_{n+6} \bmod 9$ and $E_{n}=1 \bmod 9$ for all odd $n$.

### 11.4.7 For $n \geq 3$ odd, $E_{n}=8 / 6 \bmod 10$ alternately

$E_{n}=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right): E_{3}=28=8 \bmod 10$ and $E_{5}=496=6 \bmod 10$.
By induction, we show that $E_{n}=E_{n+4} \bmod 10$.
$2^{n+4}=2^{n} \bmod 10 \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow 2^{n+3}=2^{n-1} \bmod 10$ and $2^{n+4}-1=2^{n-1} \bmod 10$.
Therefore $E_{n+4}=2^{n+3}\left(2^{n+4}-1\right)=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)=E_{n} \bmod 10$
Therefore $E_{4 k+3}=E_{3}=8 \bmod 10$ and $E_{4 k+5}=E_{5}=6 \bmod 10$

### 11.4.8 For $n$ odd, $E_{n}$ is a partial sum of $(2 i-1)^{3}$

$S_{2, m}=\sum i^{2}=m(m+1)(2 m+1) / 6$ may be proved by induction
$s_{3, m}=\sum i^{3}=m^{2}(m+1)^{2} / 4=s_{1, m}^{2}$ may be proved by induction
$S_{m}=\sum(2 i-1)^{3}=\sum\left(8 i^{3}-12 i^{2}+6 i-1\right)=m^{2}\left(2 m^{2}-1\right)$
If $n=2 k+1$ and $m=2^{k}$ then $S_{m}=2^{2 k}\left(2^{2 k+1}-1\right)=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n}-1\right)=E_{n} \# \#$
First proved by Heath [c K29 p72]

### 11.4.9 Mersenne numbers $M_{p}$ are coprime

Let $b=k_{0} a+r_{1}$ with $0 \leq r_{1}<a$. We first prove that $q\left|M_{a}, q\right| M_{b} \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow q \mid M_{r_{1}}$
$M_{b}=M_{r}+M_{a} \quad 2^{a i+r} \Rightarrow q \mid M_{r}$
Let $(a, b)=c$ be the GCD of $a \& b$. We prove that $q\left|M_{a}, q\right| M_{b} \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow q \mid M_{c}$.
$b=k_{0} a+r_{1}$ and $0<r_{1}<a$
$a=k_{1} r_{1}+r_{2}$ and $0<r_{2}<r_{1}$
$r_{i}=k_{i} r_{i+1} \quad$ and $(a, b)=c \Rightarrow \quad=\Rightarrow \quad r_{i+1}=c$
But from the first proof: $q\left|M_{a}, q\right| M_{b} \Rightarrow q \mid M_{r}$ for $j=1, \ldots, i+1$

$$
==\Rightarrow q \mid M_{C} \# \#
$$

Now we prove that $M_{p_{1}}$ and $M_{p_{2}}$ are coprime if $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are distinct prime indexes.
$\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=1$. Thus: $q\left|M_{p_{1}}, q\right| M_{p_{2}}==\Rightarrow q \mid M_{1} \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow q=1 \quad \# \#$

### 11.4.10 (2 $\left.2^{n}+1\right) / 3$ prime, $n$ odd $===>n$ prime

This is relevant in the context of unresolved conjecture 11 [B35, N10].
We will prove by induction on 'a' that $2^{b}+1 \mid 2^{a b}+1$. This is clearly true for $a=1$.
$2^{a b}+1=\left(2^{b}+1\right) *\left(2^{(a-1) b-2(a-2) b}\right)+\left(2^{(a-2) b+1)}\right.$
Therefore $2^{b+1}\left|2^{(a-2) b}+1 \Rightarrow=\Rightarrow 2^{b}+1\right| 2^{a b}+1$.
Therefore, odd $n=a b$ composite, $a \& b>1==\Rightarrow 2^{a}+1 \mid 2^{n}+1$ and $2^{b}+1 \mid 2^{n}+1$.
Note that this proof applies for $b=1$. Therefore, $2^{1}+1=3 \mid 2^{n}+1$ for all odd $n$.
Therefore $\left(2^{n}+1\right) / 3$ prime $===>n$ prime \#\#

### 12.1 LLT Modulus-checks

This section concerns modulus checks in Lucas-Lehmer-Test computations. These show the efforts made to ensure the correctness of NZLRs which are not self-evidently correct and the extent to which these efforts succeeded.

### 12.1.1 Modulus-check(s) included: residues confirmed correct

| 1926 | Lehmer | $M_{139}$ | Mod $10^{3}+1 \quad[\mathrm{~L} 1 ; \mathrm{R} 2 ; \mathrm{R} 10 ; \mathrm{T} 12]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1927 | Lehmer | $\mathrm{M}_{149}$ | Mod $10^{8}+1,10^{9}+1 \quad$ [L2; R2; R10] |
| 1927 | Lehmer | $\mathrm{M}_{257}$ | Mod $10^{8+1}, 10^{9}+1$ [L2; R2; R10] |
| 1934 | Powers | M241 | Mod 9, $10^{3}+1,10^{4}+1,10^{7}+1 \quad[\mathrm{P} 3]$ |
| 1944 | Unler | M 157 | Mod $10^{3}+1,10^{4}+1,10^{7}+1 \quad[\mathrm{U} 1 ; \mathrm{R} 2]$ |
| 1946 | Uhler | M199 | Mod $10^{5}+1,10^{8+1} \quad[\mathrm{U6}$; R2; T11] |
| 1947 | Unler | M 227 | Mod $10^{5}+1,10^{6}+1,10^{8+1}$ [U7; R2] |
| 1947 | Unler | M193 | Mod $10^{7}+1 \quad$ [U5; R2; R10; G7; T11] |
| 1953 | Wheeler | M8191 | Mod $2^{39-1}$ [H2; W7] |
| 1961 | Selfridge/Hurwitz | $5000<p<6000$ | Mod $2^{35-1}$ [G7; H8; S3] |
| 1963 | Gillies | $2<p<4734$ | Mod $2^{44-1}$ [G7; H2; N2; N3; N11] |
|  |  | $4734<p<7000$ | [G7; H2; H8; K1; N11; S3] |
|  |  | $7000<p<12142$ | [G7; G1; H2; H8; T1] |
| 1971 | Tuckerman | $12142<p<21000$ | " $2^{24}-1,2^{24}-3$ [H8; T1] |
| 1979 | Nelson/Slowinski | $4<p<32830$ | Mod $2^{24-1}$ [N1; N2; N12] |
| 1982 | ICL DAP | $18<p<50024$ | Mod $2^{3}-1$ [H14] |

### 12.1.2 Modulus-check included: residues presumed correct

```
1982 ICL DAP 50024<p<62982 Mod 2 3-1 [H14]
1984 ICL DAP 62982< p< 100000 Mod 2'16-1 [H18]
```

12.1.3 Modulus-check(s) included: residue found incorrect

| 1945 | Barker | $\mathrm{p}=167$ | $\operatorname{Mod} 10^{5}+1,10^{7}+1 \quad[\mathrm{B1} ; \mathrm{U4}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | Gillies | $p=12143$ | Mod $2^{44-1}$ [G1; G7; T2] |
| 1979 | Nelson/Slowinski | 16 values of $p$ | Mod 23-1 [H10; N11; N12; N14] |
|  |  |  | Corrected, 1982 [N14] |

12.1.4 Modulus-check not included: residues found correct
1979 Nickel \& Noll $21000<\mathrm{p}<24500$ [H8; N7]
12.1.5 Modulus-check not included: residues found incorrect

| 1876 | Lucas | $M_{89}$ | [L13 p376; c D1 p22 n115] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1914 | Fauquembergue | $M_{101} M_{103} M_{109} M_{137}$ | [F1; F10; F12] |
| 1952 | Robinson | $M_{1889}$ | [S3] |
| 1957 | Riesel | 4 (?) values of $p$ | [R13; S3] |
| 1961 | Hurwitz | 8 values of $p$ | 4 published [G1; G7; H2; S3] |
| 1963 | Kravitz/Berg | 10 values of $p$ | Corrected before publication [K1] |
|  |  | Wrong value of $\mathrm{S}_{1} ;$ card-punch error |  |

A comparison of one computer code with another cannot necessarily be made given the timings for primality-testing just one $M_{p}$. For example, the practice of comparing codes on the number M8191 is now out of date. Different codes for the same algorithm have different break-points at which new efficiencies or inefficiencies are introduced. Different algorithms have very different computational characteristics.

All Lucas-Lehmer primality-testing was carried out until 1981 using 'schoolboy' multiplication which gives an $O\left(p^{3}\right)$ algorithm for the LLT. The parallel lines on the following graph have a slope of about 3 and suggest this. Since 1981, new codes have been run using more efficient multiplication algorithms. Slowinski on the CRAY/1 used the 'divide-and-conquer' idea. Holmes et al on the ICL DAP used the Fast-Fermat transform idea which made the LLT linear over finite ranges and asymptotically $0\left(p^{2} \log _{e} p\right)$.

Some miscellaneous details on computation times:

| 1) | Lehmer: | $60^{\circ}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{139}$ [L1], $70^{\circ}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{149}$ [L2] and $700^{\circ}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{257}$ [R7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2) | ILLIAC-1: | $100^{\circ}$ on M ${ }_{8191}$ [W7] |
| 3) | SWAC: | $13^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{1279}$ [L4], 59' on $M_{2203}$ and $66^{\prime}$ on $M_{2281}$ [L5; U10] The profile of $0.25 p^{3}+125 p^{2}$ [R2] $\mu$ secs for $M_{p}$ underestimates the actual times but with a least-squares-fit multiplier of 1.0882 gives model times of $1^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{521}, 1^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{607}$, $13^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{1279}$, $59^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{2203}$ and $65^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{2281}$ Store-1imited SWAC was actually faster than BESK or ILLIAC I. |
| 4) | BESK : | $5^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ on M3217 [R1] |
| 5) | IBM7090: | $50^{\prime}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{4423}$ [H2] and $5.2^{\circ}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{8191}$ [G1] |
| 6) | ILLIAC II: | $49^{\prime}$ on M8191, $1^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ on M9689, $1^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ on M9941 and $2^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{11213}$ [G1] |
| 7) | IBM 360/91: | $3^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{8191}$, $7^{\prime} 044^{\prime \prime}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{11213}$ and $35^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{19937}$ [T1] |
| 8) | CYBER-174: | $7^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ on M21701 and $8^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ on M23209 [N7] |
| 9) | CRAY-1 '79: | $0.179^{\prime \prime} \text { on } M_{1279}, 1.054^{\prime \prime} \text { on } M_{3217}, 23^{\prime \prime} \text { on } M_{11213} \text {, }$ <br> $1^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{19937}, 2^{\prime} 52.766^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{23209}, 18^{\prime} 39.579^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{44497}$, $2^{\circ} 9^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ on M $_{86243}$ and by extrapolation $7^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{132049 .}$ <br> A model of this computation which fits closely on large $p$ is: $T=a_{1} c w^{2}+a_{2} c w v+a_{4} c w+a_{6} c+a_{7} \text { seconds }$ <br> $M_{p}$ is stored in ' $v$ ' vectors of 128 words or ' $w$ ' words holding 24 bits each. $c=p-2$ cycles. <br> Possible $\mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{cv}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{5} \mathrm{cv}$ terms were set to zero by the model: $\begin{array}{ll} a_{1}=0.661889 * 10^{-8}, & a_{2}=0.113741 * 10^{-7}, \\ a_{4}=0.101383 * 10^{-5}, & a_{6}=0.166363 * 10^{-3}, \\ a_{7}=0.210205 \end{array}$ |
| 10) | ICL DAP: | ```Code A - 2'22' on M31487; Code B - 9'22' on M62929; Code C - 38'38' on M86243 [H14; H15]``` |
| 11) | CRAY-1 '82: | $1^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ on M86243 [N23] and $2^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ on M89137 [N21] |
| 12) | CYBER-205: | $1^{\circ}$ on M86243 [N24] |
| 13) | CRAY-XMP '83: | ```32'30' on M132049 [D4; N25] (M132049 confirmed prime in 3}\mp@subsup{3}{}{\circ}\mp@subsup{5}{}{\prime}10'0' by CRAY-XMP '79 code [N26]``` |
| 14) | CRAY-XMP '85: | $3^{\circ}$ on M216091 [D6] |
| 15) | NEC SX-2 '88: | 7.5091" on $M_{11213}, 3^{\prime} 13.61^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{73709}, 9^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{100069}$ and $11^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ on $M_{110503}$ [C32; C33] |

Times for ICL DAP and CRAY-XMP are not elapsed times but represent the effective throughput on those processors. The ICL DAP was testing 16 or $32 \mathrm{Mp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ in parallel and therefore elapsed times were 16 or 32 times longer. The CRAY-XMP ' 83 code was testing $2 M_{p}$ in parallel.


This section defines the current state of the art in primality-testing and factorising the $M_{p}$. It also lists some questions raised but not answered by this collection of notes.

### 13.1 The Status-Quo

1) $M_{449}=p 7 . p 13 . p 22 . c 95=$ smallest unfactorised $M_{p}$ [B17 Edition 2]
2) $M_{523}=c 158=$ smallest $M_{p}$ with no known factor [B17]
3) $M_{1063}=$ largest fully-factorised composite $M_{p}$ [B17]
4) $M_{7673}=$ largest 'probably' fully-factorised $M_{p}$ [Keller?]
5) $M_{50069}=$ smallest $M_{p}$ without twin-sourced LR [H15]
6) $M_{139273}=$ first $M_{p}$ of unknown prime/composite status [C34]
7) $M_{216091}=$ largest known Mersenne prime.[D6]
8) $391581.2^{216193}-1=p 65087=$ the largest known non-Mersenne prime [07]
9) 391581. $2^{216193-1=}$ largest known prime [D7]
p65087 found by Brown, Noll, Parady, Smith, Smith and Zarantonello on 6/8/89 in $33^{\prime}$ using an Amdahl 1200E. Confirmed by Cray Research
1) $M_{p}$ with $p=4 k+3=39051.2^{6001}-1$ is the largest known composite $M_{p}$ [ Y 1 ] $q=2 p+1=39051.2^{6002}-1$ prime $==\Rightarrow q \mid M_{p}$ by Euler's theorem (Germain, 1987)
2) $M_{277}-f_{2}=p 38=$ largest non-algebraic/cofactor $M_{p}$-factor found [B17]
3) $M_{1063}-\mathrm{f}_{2}=p 311=$ largest proper $M_{p}$-factor proved prime [B17, Ed 2, Morain]
4) $p=\operatorname{prp2298} \mid M_{7673}: p=$ largest known 'prp' $M_{p}$-factor, found by Keller
5) $p=p 26 \mid M_{241}-f_{2}-1: p=$ largest prime, other than algebraic factors and cofactors, used to create an Mp PPL-pf certificate (Brent, ecm, 1986)
6) $M_{349}=$ smallest $M_{p}$ lacking a PPL-pf certificate
7) $M_{607}=$ largest prime featuring in an $M_{p}$ PPL-pf certificate [B23]

### 13.2 General Primality-testing Progress

A 'probably-prime' test demonstrates that a number is probably prime and is ideally one which no composite number is known to have passed. The "Cunningham Project" [B17, IIIB3a.1] uses one such, the Baillie-PSW test, suggested by Baillie [P27] and published by Pomerance [P26, p1024]. It follows a 'Fermat' sprp(a) test with a 'Lucas' lprp(p, q) test.

A primality test proves that a number is prime; the latest tests are more efficient, rely less on factorisation results and are almost polynomial in complexity. None the less, new algorithms have been needed to test the largest [B17] numbers.

In 1981, some proofs on 70 -digit numbers took several hours [B17, Update 1]. In 1984, the advent of codes based on the radically better 'ARPCL' test [A4; B17 Ed 2] enabled 100 -digit numbers to be tested in less than a minute and 200-digit numbers to be tested in a reasonable time. In 1988, Morain's implementation of Atkin's elliptic curve primality-test [B17 IVA3c] cleared the last "Cunningham" prp, a prp343.

The "Cunningham Project" [B17] illustrates the impact of new algorithms in converting its Appendix A residue of prpn into pn. Against the dates below and B17 updates, in brackets, are tabulated the smallest prpn and the number of prpn remaining.

| $8 / 81$ | prp73 | 322 | $(1.0)$ | $8 / 84$ | prp228 | 24 | $(1.2)$ | $6 / 87$ | $\operatorname{prp222}$ | 35 | $(1.5)$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 82$ | prp54 | 355 | $(1.0)$ | $6 / 85$ | prp213 | 31 | $(1.3)$ | $1 / 88$ | $\operatorname{prp228}$ | 36 | $(2.1)$ |
| $7 / 83$ | prp51 | 405 | $(1.1)$ | $7 / 86$ | $\operatorname{prp213}$ | 36 | $(1.4)$ | $6 / 88$ | $\cdots--$ | 0 | $(2.2)$ |

### 13.3 General Factorisation Progress

Brillhart et al [B6] saw c50s as the largest composite it was feasible to approach in 1975. No computation longer than twenty hours was thought worthwhile.

Around the dates below, the smallest 'cn' relevant to the Cunningham Project [B17] in Wagstaff's files increased to the size shown. This is a measure of progress in general factorisation methods (eg cf-ea \& mp-qs) but is to some extent influenced by the priority given to factorising record-breaking rather than 'smallest' cn.

| $c 47$ | $31 / 8 / 81$ | $c 54$ | $8 / 8 / 83$ | $c 72$ | $4 / 8 / 86$ | $c 81$ | $29 / 9 / 87$ | $c 88$ | $27 / 5 / 89$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $c 48$ | $27 / 3 / 82$ | $c 55$ | $25 / 10 / 85$ | $c 75$ | $21 / 11 / 86$ | $c 82$ | $19 / 11 / 87$ | $c 90$ | $13 / 6 / 89$ |
| $c 49$ | $26 / 6 / 82$ | $c 60$ | $16 / 11 / 85$ | $c 76$ | $10 / 2 / 87$ | $c 83$ | $27 / 1 / 88$ | $c 91$ |  |
| $c 50$ | $8 / 8 / 82$ | $c 61$ | $29 / 11 / 85$ | $c 77$ | $22 / 4 / 87$ | $c 84$ | $27 / 11 / 88$ | $c 92$ |  |
| $c 51$ | $10 / 9 / 82$ | $c 64$ | $28 / 1 / 86$ | $c 78$ | $29 / 4 / 87$ | $c 85$ | $25 / 1 / 89$ | $c 93$ |  |
| $c 52$ | $14 / 6 / 83$ | $c 70$ | $14 / 5 / 86$ | $c 79$ | $2 / 6 / 87$ | $c 86$ | $17 / 2 / 89$ | $c 94$ |  |
| $c 53$ | $1 / 8 / 83$ | $c 71$ | $13 / 6 / 86$ | $c 80$ | $10 / 6 / 87$ | $c 87$ | $23 / 4 / 89$ | $c 95$ |  |

If computers double in speed every three years, then the length of numbers which it is feasible to factorise would increase by one decimal digit each year. The 43 digit advance in 8 years indicates greater progress in algorithms and technology.

### 13.4 Outstanding Questions

Pre-history:
a) Where does the 'prime number' concept surface in Greece, Egypt, China, Pythagoras and Euclid?
b) Can we infer that Euclid knew " $M_{p}$ not prime $==\Rightarrow E_{p}$ not perfect"?
c) $M_{11}$ : did the authors of Codex lat. Monac 14908 record the factors of $M_{11}$ ? Curtze [C26] reasonably infers that they knew $M_{11}$ to be composite.
d) Did Euler enumerate $M_{251}-f_{1}=503$ or check it as a factor?
e) Are there sources for the ' $*$ ' entries, especially for Sphinx-0edipe?

Pre-computer:
a) $M_{31}$ : what did Seelhoff actually achieve; cf his incomplete effort on $M_{61}$ ? [S14; S15; c D1 p25 n142]
b) $M_{61}$ : what did Seelhoff prove and where did he go wrong? [S12]
c) $M_{71}$ : How did Ramesam factorise this number?
d) $M_{73}$ : How did Poulet factorise this number?
e) $M_{113}$ : how did D H Lehmer check primality of $f_{5}$ [L6]?
f) How did Gillies [G6] get his interpretation of Shanks' argument [S2 p192]?

Are the, currently presumed lost, print-outs available for the following NZLRs:
a) $\mathrm{M}_{67}$ : Lucas' [L13; L15] and Fauquembergue's [F8; F9]
b) $M_{89}$ : Tarry's result [T4; T5]
c) $M_{103}$ and $M_{109}$ : Powers' NZLRs
d) $M_{257}$ : Kraitchik's NZLR
e) The Lehmer/Robinson SWAC NZLRs
f) The Riesel BESK NZLRs

When were the following results achieved?
a) Wunderlich's $M_{173}$ factorisation
b) Penk's discovery of $M_{257^{-f}}$ and Baillie's discovery of $M_{257^{-f}}$ and $M_{257^{-f}}$ a

Other:
a) Do the incorrect residues of Hurwitz, Gillies, Noll correspond to interim (or subsequent) residues or to the wrong starting value for $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ?

| Adleman, L M | Gillies, D B | McDonnell, J | Schinzel, A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Archibald, R C | Golubev, V A | McGrogan, S K | Schonfelder, J L |
| Ball, WWR | Good, I J | McWhirter, N D | Schroepepel, R C |
| Barker, C B | Hall, J A | Mersenne, M | Seelhoff, P |
| Bateman, P T | Hardy, G H | Metropolis, N | Selfridge, J L |
| Beeler, M | Haworth, G M ${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{C}$ | Miller, GL | Servais, C |
| Beiler, A H | Heath, T L | Miller, J C P | Shanks, D C |
| Berg, M | Holdridge, D | Morrison, M A | Sierpinski, W |
| Bickmore, C E | Holmes, S M | Naur, T | Simmons, G J |
| Bray, H G | Holte, R | Nelson, HL | Slowinski, D A |
| Brent, R P | Hudelot, J | Newman, M H A | Smith, H V |
| Brillhart, J D | Hurwitz, A | Nickel, L A | Solovay, R M |
| Carmichael, R D | Isemonger, K R | Niewiadomski, R | Storchi, E |
| Cataldi, P A | Johnson, G D | Noll, C L | Strassen, V |
| Christie, R W D | Jones, J P | Ondrejka, R | Suyama, H |
| Cohen, E L | Judd, J S | Ore, 0 | Tarry, H |
| Cohen, H | Karst, E | Pauli, J W | Thomason, J T |
| Cole, F N | Keller, W | Pepin, $P$ | Touchard, J |
| Colquitt, W N | Knuth, D E | Pervouchine, I M | Travers, J |
| Cunningham, A J C | Kraft, G W | Plana, J | Tuckerman, B |
| Curtze, M | Kraitchik, M B | Pocklington, H C | Turing, A M |
| Davis, J | Kravitz, S | Pollard, J M | Uhler, H S |
| Devlin, K | Kronsjo, L I | Pomerance, C | Valentin, G |
| Dickson, L E | Lake, T W | Poulet, P | Wagstaff, S S, Jr. |
| Drake, S | Lal, M | Powers, R E | Warren, Le Roy J |
| Ehrman, J R | Landry, F | Pratt, V R | Western, A E |
| Euler, L | Le Lasseur | Proth, M E | Wheeler, D J |
| Ewing, J | Legendre, A M | Ramesam, V | Wilcox, T |
| Fauquembergue, E | Lehmer, D H | Reid, C | Williams, HC |
| Fermat, P de | Lehmer, D N | Reuschle, K G | Winsheim, de |
| Ferrier, A | Lenstra H W, Jr. | Riesel, H | Woodall, H J |
| Gabard, E | Lucas, F E A | Robinson, R M | Wright, EM |
| Gardner, M | Macdivitt, A R G | Rumely, R S | Wunderlich, M C |
| Gerardin, R A P | Mason, T E | Scheffler, D | Yates, S |


| V |  | ITEM | REF | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | p | 57 | [U1] | Uhler's NZLR for M157 |
| 6 | p | 255 | [B1] | Barker's incorrect NZLR for M167 |
| 7 | p | 273 | [U4] | Uhler's note on $\mathrm{M}_{157}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{167}$ |
| 7 | p | 413 | [U8] | Uhler's NZLR for M229 |
| 8 | p | 368 | [U6] | Uhler's NZLR for M199 |
| 8 | p | 441 | [L6] | Lehmer's factors of $2^{\mathrm{n}} \pm 1$ |
| 9 | p | 410 | [U5] | Review of Uhler's work on six Mpwith p < 258 including $\mathrm{M}_{1} 93$ |
| 9 | p | 410 | [U7] | Uhler's NZLR for M227 |
| 10 | p | 100 | [01] | Ore's book on "Number Theory and its History" |
| 10 | p | 681 | [L43] | Lehmer on the converse of Fermat's 'little' theorem, II |
| 11 | p | 11 | [F2] | Ferrier's note on factors of $2^{n+1}$ and the prime ( $\left.2^{92}-1\right) / 17$ |
| 13 | p | 436 | [M5] | Miller \& Wheeler's large primes including $180\left(2^{127}-1\right)^{2}+1$ |
| 14 | p | 121 | [K17] | Kraitchik's review of factorisations of $2^{\mathrm{n}} \pm 1$ |
| 14 | p | 343 | [U9] | Uhler's history on the $M_{p}$ and latest primes |
| 14 | P | 535 | [K7] | Kraitchik's "Introduction a la Theorie des Nombres" |
| 14 | p | 1063 | [T7] | Touchard on prime and perfect numbers |
| 14 | p | 1063 | [W9] | Wright's theorem on the primality of $\mathrm{kp}^{3}+1$ |
| 15 | p | 199 | [U10] | Uhler on the values of the 16th and 17th perfect numbers |
| 15 | p | 933 | [G12] | Gabard's two factorisations including $\mathrm{M}_{109}$ |
| 16 | p | 335 | [R2] | Robinson's SWAC computations on $M_{p}$ and $F_{n}$ |
| 16 | p | 447 | [U11] | Uhler on the values of the first 17 perfect numbers |
| 16 | p | 673 | [H6] | Hardy \& Wright's "Introduction to the Theory of Numbers" |
| 17 | p | 127 | [G4] | Good's conjectures on Mp |
| 17 | $p$ | 127 | [S21] | Storchi's theorems and criteria for $M_{p}$ factorisation |
| 20 | \# | 832 | [R3] | Robinson: some factorisations of $2^{n} \pm 1$ |
| 20 | \# | 4520 | [R11] | Robinson: the converse of Fermat's theorem |
| 21 | \# | 28 | [G11] | Golubev's review of factorisation theorems with enumeration |
| 21 | \# | 657 | [R1] | Riesel's $M_{p}$-factors and the prime $M_{321}$ |
| 22 | \# | 22 | [I1] | Isemonger's complete factorisation of $2^{132}+1$ |
| 22 | \# | 3093 | [S8] | Scheffler \& Ondrejka's evaluation of E3217 |
| 22 | \# | 7268 | [K2] | Karst's Mp-factors for $3000<p<3500$ |
| 22 | \# | 10949 | [K2] | Karst's review of $M_{p}$-factors including the range $10^{5}<p<10^{8}$ |
| 23 | \# | A 832 | [B2] | Brillhart and Johnson's Mp-factors: $p<1200$ |
| 23 | \# | A 833 | [K5] | Kravitz' $M_{p}$-factors for $10,000<p<15,000$ |
| 23 | \# | A1577 | [12] | Isemonger's complete factorisation of $2^{159}-1$ |
| 26 | \# | 3684 | [H2] | Hurwitz' LRs for $3000<p<5000$ and two prime $M_{p}$ |
| 26 | \# | 6139 | [B9] | Bateman and Horn's heuristic formula for prime distribution |
| 27 | \# | 2462 | [R4] | Riesel's $M_{p}$-factors: $p<10^{4}, q<10^{8}$ |
| 27 | \# | 3609 | [S9] | Schinzel's remark on the paper of Bateman and Horn |
| 28 | \# | 1152 | [K1] | Kravitz' LRs for $6000<p<7000$ |
| 28 | \# | 2990 | [G1] | Gillies's LRs for $7000<p<12124$, three prime $M_{p}$ and factors |
| 28 | \# | 2991 | [S3] | Selfridge and Hurwitz' LRs for $5000<p<6000$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$-factors |
| 28 | \# | 2992 | [B4] | Brillhart's $M_{p}$-factors: $p<20,000$ and $q<234$ |
| 28 | \# | 3952 | [S2] | Shanks' "Solved and Unsolved Problems in Number Theory" |
| 29 | \# | 1169 | [K6] | Karst's Mp-factors |
| 29 | \# | 3422 | [K24] | Karst's review of search-limits on $M_{p}$-divisors |
| 30 | \# | 1106 | [K27] | Karst's list of $M_{p}$-factors $q=2 K p+1(K<10)$ for $p<15000$ |


| V | ITEM | REF | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 \# | 3717 | [S6] | Shanks' analysis of $M_{p}$-factor distribution |
| 36 \# | 6368 | [E5] | Ehrman's analysis of $M_{p}$-factor distibution |
| 37 \# | 131 | [B5] | Brillart and Selfridge's factors of some $M_{n}$ |
| 40 \# | 84 | [L9] | Lehmer's review of computers as applied to number theory |
| 41 \# | 1675 | [K28] | Kravitz' study of Lucas-Lehmer-test cycles |
| 42 \# | 4507 | [R6] | Riesel's "En Bok om Primtal" |
| 44 \# | 3531 | [K26] | Knuth's "The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 2" |
| 45 \# | 166 | [T1] | Tuckerman's announcement of the prime $\mathrm{M}_{1} 9937$ |
| 45 \# | 3314 | [P20] | Pollard's algorithm for primality-testing any integer |
| 47 \# | 3285 | [L23] | "Computers in Number Theory " including D H Lehmer article |
| 47 \# | 4932 | [S19] | Shanks' "Class Number, Theory of Factorisation and Genera" |
| 47 \# | 8407 | [S7] | Selfridge \& Guy's "Primality testing on small machines" |
| 50 \# | 4229 | [B11] | Rouse Ball's "Mathematical Recreations and Essays", 12th Ed. |
| 50 \# | 6992 | [P22] | Pollard's Monte-Carlo factorisation technique |
| 51 \# | 8017 | [B15] | Brillhart and Morrison's factorisation technique and $\mathrm{F}_{7}$ |
| 52 \# | 5546 | [B6] | Brillhart etc's primality criteria and factorisations of $2^{n} \pm 1$ |
| 53 \# | 4461 | [L44] | Lehmer's corrigenda to MR10\#681 [L36; L43] |
| 55 \# | 2732 | [S20] | Solovay and Strassen's fast Monte-Carlo Primality test |
| 56 \# | 233 | [T2] | Tuckerman's corrigendum to MR28\#2990 [G1] |
| 57 \# | 5885 | [S22] | Solovay \& Strassen's correction to MR55\#2732 [S20] |
| 58 \# | 470a | [M10] | Miller's primality test assuming the Riemann Hypothesis |
| 58 \# | 10681 | [R12] | Riesel's supplement to "En Bok Om Primtal" |
| 58 \# | 26870 | [D2] | Drake's analysis of Mersenne's "rule" |
| 58 \# | 27706 | [L31] | Lehmer on the exploitation of parallelism in number theory |
| 80e: | 10003 | [S2] | Shanks' "Solved \& Unsolved Problems in Number Theory" 2nd Edition |
| 80 g : | 10013 | [S1] | Slowinski's announcement of the prime $\mathrm{M}_{44497}$ |
| 80m: | 68004 | [K15] | Kronsjo's "Algorithms - their complexity and efficiency" |
| 81a: | 10020 | [J1] | Jones' Diophantine representation of $M_{p}$ and $E_{p}$ |
| 81f: | 10011 | [W10] | Wunderlich's performance analysis of Brillhart's CF-factorisation |
| 81i: | 10002 | [H6] | Hardy \& Wright's "Introduction to the Theory of Numbers" 5th Ed. |
| 81k: | 10010 | [N7] | Nickel \& Noll on the 25 th and 26th Mersenne primes |
| 82a: | 10007 | [B18] | Brent's improved Monte-Carlo factorisation algorithm |
| 82e: | 10004 | [L46] | Lehmer on Fermat's quotient, base two |
| 83h: | 10015 | [P24] | Pomerance's review of recent developments in primality-testing |
| 84e: | 10006 | [A4] | Adleman et al's almost-polynomial primality test |
| 85b : | 11117 | [K33] | Keller's table of Fermat factors and large k. $2^{n}+1$ primes |
| 86 g : | 11078 | [C31] | Cohen and Lenstra's practical primality test |

The author has not seen those references marked with a ' $*$ '.
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