

Phenolic contents of lettuce, strawberry, raspberry, and blueberry crops cultivated under plastic films varying in ultraviolet transparency

Article

Ordidge, M., García-Macías, P., Battey, N. H., Gordon, M. H., Hadley, P., John, P., Lovegrove, J. A., Vysini, E. and Wagstaffe, A. (2010) Phenolic contents of lettuce, strawberry, raspberry, and blueberry crops cultivated under plastic films varying in ultraviolet transparency. *Food Chemistry*, 119 (3). pp. 1224-1227. ISSN 0308-8146 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.08.039> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/4635/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.08.039>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

DRAFT**Phenolic contents of lettuce, strawberry, raspberry, and blueberry crops cultivated under plastic films varying in ultraviolet transparency**

Matthew Ordidge¹, Paulina García-Macías², Nicholas H. Battey¹, Michael H. Gordon², Paul Hadley¹, Philip John*,¹ Julie A. Lovegrove², Eleni Vysini¹ and Alexandra Wagstaffe¹

¹School of Biological Sciences, Harborne Building, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AS, UK

²Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition, School of Chemistry, Food Biosciences and Pharmacy, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AP, UK

Keywords: anthocyanins, blueberries, flavonoids, lettuce, polythene tunnels, strawberries, raspberries, ultraviolet

*Corresponding author (telephone +44-1183788098; fax 44-118378160; email p.john@reading.ac.uk)

Abstract/Summary (to be done)

Introduction

In temperate climates soft fruit and salad crops are increasingly being grown commercially under the protective cover provided by plastic tunnels. For example in the UK, 80% of the strawberry crop is now grown under polythene (Wagstaffe et al, 2008). The quality of light reaching plants is well known to affect plant growth and development, and the employment of plastic films would therefore be expected to affect crop quality and production.

While the standard polythene film used for tunnels screens a proportion of the UV, films which differ in their ability to transmit UV light whilst retaining unaltered the available photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) are now available. The effect of altering the level of UV light in growing systems has been found to affect the pigment and colourless phytochemical contents of some crop plants. For example, the total phenolic and phenolic acid contents of tomato fruits are 20% higher when plants are cultivated under UV transparent compared with UV blocking plastic films (Luthria et al., 2006).

Soft fruit and salads are significant sources of anthocyanins and other flavonoids, which are important dietary components helping to reduce the risk of chronic disease (Hannum 2004; Beattie et al, 2005). For example, in France, based on average content and on consumption data, it has been shown that strawberry and lettuce are among the main sources of polyphenols from fruit and vegetables respectively (Brat et al, 2006). The levels of secondary compounds in soft fruit have previously been shown to be influenced by environmental factors including temperature (Wang and Zheng, 2001), carbon dioxide

47 concentration (Gil Et al, 1997; Wang et al., 2003), climate (Kalt et al, 2001), growing
 48 media (Wang and Lin, 2003), ripening stage and genotype (Wang and Lin, 2000; Moyer
 49 etal, 2002). However the effect of cultivation under different UV regimes has not been
 50 determined.

51
 52 The main aim of the present paper is to determine the levels of health-related
 53 phytochemicals in strawberry, raspberry and blueberry fruit grown under plastic films of
 54 three different UV transparencies. We also extend previous work on the phenolic
 55 composition of lettuce (Garcia-Macias et al., 2007; Tsormpatsidis et al., 2008) grown
 56 under these conditions, comparing red with non-red leaf lettuce. All crops were grown
 57 and harvested in near commercial conditions in order to make the results relevant to
 58 commercial production.

59

60

61 **Materials and Methods**

62

63 **Plant Material and growing conditions.** All plants were grown in 2006 (year 1) and
 64 2007 (year 2) at the Shinfield Field Unit (University of Reading, UK). Lettuce (*Lactuca*
 65 *sativa* L. Lollo Rosso type, red leaf, cv. Revolution; and Lollo Biondo type, green leaf,
 66 cv. Bergamo) was grown from seed as described previously (Garcia Macias et al, 2007).
 67 Strawberries (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch. cvs. Elsanta, Everest) were grown in peat bags
 68 and raspberries (*Rubus idaeus* L. cvs. Tulameen, Joan Squire) and blueberries (*Vaccinium*
 69 *corymbosum* L. cv. Bluecrop) were grown in pots of substrate specific to each crop
 70 (Bulrush Horticulture Ltd, UK). Strawberry and raspberry plants were irrigated using a
 71 fertiliser mix optimised for peat based soft fruit growing and blueberries were fed with a
 72 standard commercial blueberry fertiliser (Hortifeeds, Lincoln, UK). The irrigation timing,
 73 fertiliser concentration and pH were all controlled automatically. Harvesting was carried
 74 out based on commercial picking standards. Strawberries were picked on a three day
 75 cycle (picking on day 3), raspberries were picked on a two day cycle and blueberries
 76 were picked on a seven day cycle.

77

78 All plants were grown in blocks in a multi-span, open-sided tunnel (7 spans of 6.5 x
 79 75m). Three experimental blocks per treatment were laid out as equally sized areas down
 80 the length of each of three tunnel spans. The spectral properties of the films were as
 81 described previously (Garcia-Macias et al., 2007). All three films contained the infra-red
 82 reducing and light diffusing components of Luminance THB (British Polythene
 83 Industries PLC, Greenock, UK). The UV Block film blocked between 94% and 99% of
 84 light in UV-B wavelengths and 96-99% of light in UV-A wavelengths up to 380nm. The
 85 UV Low film, which represented standard polythene film used commercially, blocked
 86 between 74% and 87% of light in UV-B wavelengths and between 23% and 78% of light
 87 in UV-A wavelengths. The UV Window film transmitted between 60% and 78% of UV
 88 wavelengths between 260 and 400nm.

89

90 **Chemicals.** Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, quercetin, ellagic acid, quercetin, gallic acid and
 91 caffeic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd. (Poole, UK), and
 92 anthocyanidins from Polyphenols Laboratories (Sandnes, Norway).

Comment [a1]: Matt correct ?

Comment [a2]: Matt can you add something about the commercial and fully ripe criteria for picking in year 1 then about whole crop in year 2

93

94 **Extraction.** Lettuce was extracted on the day of harvest as described (Garcia-Macias et
95 al, 2007). Soft fruit was blended in a food processor on the day of harvest and kept at -
96 20°C until extraction. Frozen purée was thawed and 1 g was extracted with 20 mL of
97 acidified methanol (1% HCl). The mixture was left for 20 h at 6°C in the dark, the
98 extracts vacuum filtered through Whatman No.1 (11 µm), and kept at -20°C until
99 analysis.

100

101 **Determinations.** The total phenolic content, expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE),
102 was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Singleton and Rossi, 1965) and
103 flavonoids and phenolic acids by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography, all as
104 described previously (Garcia-Macias et al, 2007).

105

106 **Statistical Analysis.** The program used for statistical analysis was Genstat. Differences
107 among the means were compared between treatments using one-way analysis of variance.
108 Differences at $P < 0.05$ were considered to be significant.

109

110

111 **Results and Discussion**

112

113 **Lettuce.** In the red lettuce, Lollo Rosso, as found previously (Garcia-Macias et al, 2007)
114 total phenolics, anthocyanin, luteolin and quercetin levels were all raised by moving from
115 UV Block to UV Low and to UV Window (Table 1). On the other hand, the related green
116 lettuce, Lollo Biondo, cultivated under the same conditions, showed virtually no
117 phytochemical responses to the same variation in UV levels (Table 1). The fact that Lollo
118 Biondo lettuce does not greatly raise phenolic levels in response to UV conditions that
119 enormously raise phenolic levels in Lollo Rosso lettuce suggests that the phenolics in
120 Lollo Rosso are not accumulated as a protection against UV damage, but are the
121 manifestation of an unspecified adaptive response to non-damaging levels of UV. The
122 commercial implication of this suggestion is that the dramatic increase in health-
123 beneficial phenolic compounds noted previously with Lollo Rosso grown under UV-
124 transparent plastic (Garcia-Macias et al, 2007; Tsormpatsidis et al, 2007) may not be
125 apparent among other lettuce cultivars, either of the green or red types.

126

127 **Soft fruit.** Overall, the phenolic levels of strawberries, raspberries, and blueberries were
128 unresponsive to the UV transparency of the plastic film under which the crops were
129 grown (Table 2). With both cultivars of strawberry tested, the June-bearer Elsanta and the
130 ever-bearer Everest, a reduction in total phenolics, anthocyanin and ellagic acid could be
131 observed when crops were grown under UV blocking film, but the effect was not
132 observed with all crops under all conditions. With neither of the two cultivars of
133 raspberry, the summer-fruiting cv Tulameen, and the fall-fruiting cv Joan Squire, did the
134 UV transparency of the films have any effect on the phenolic contents of the fruit (Table
135 2). Again with blueberry, there was no consistent effect of the UV transparency of the
136 plastic film (Table 2).

137

Comment [a3]: Paulina: still correct ?

Comment [a4]: Paulina correct ?

138 Thus we find that the soft fruit tested resemble the green lettuce type Lollo Biondo and
 139 differ from the red lettuce type Lollo Rosso and the tomato fruits examined elsewhere
 140 (Luthria et al, 2006), in that the soft fruit are unresponsive to the range of ambient UV
 141 levels provided by plastic films of differing UV transparency. The generality of this
 142 finding needs to be qualified by two considerations. First, the cultivars chosen for the
 143 present study are commercial varieties, which are likely to have been bred *inter alia* for
 144 stability of colour (and thus anthocyanin levels) under a variety of climatic conditions,
 145 and other varieties, more variable and therefore less useful commercially, may be more
 146 responsive to UV. Second, the UK climate is not noted for high solar UV levels, and
 147 higher UV irradiance levels than those experienced in the present experiments may lead
 148 to enhanced phenolic contents in soft fruit.

149 The soft fruit has a much lower surface area: volume ratio than the lettuce, and this may
 150 at least partly explain the lack of effect in soft fruit compared to Lollo Rosso lettuce. The
 151 depth of penetration of UV light into strongly coloured fruit is probably very limited, and
 152 consequently anthocyanin biosynthesis in most of the fruit would be unaffected by UV
 153 light even if it has an effect on the outer tissues of the fruit. The situation with Lollo
 154 Biondo is different since the lack of response indicates that the lettuce lacks the required
 155 enzymes for anthocyanin biosynthesis.

156
 157 The implication of the present experiments for commercial production of soft fruit is that,
 158 at least for temperate climates such as that of the UK, crops grown in tunnels under
 159 polythene film that partially (or completely) blocks UV are as rich in health-beneficial
 160 phenolics as crops grown under UV transparent film. Cultivation of soft fruit crops under
 161 polythene films provides advantages of extended season and enhanced crop quality
 162 (Wagstaffe et al, 2008), and we now show that these advantages are not accompanied by
 163 a phytochemical penalty.

166 **Acknowledgements**

167
 168 We thank British Polythene Industries Plc, and the Research Councils UK for funding
 169 under the Rural Economy and Land Use Programme.

171 **References** (not in order yet)

- 172
 173
 174 1. Luthria, DL, Mukhopadhyay, S, Krizek, DT (2006) Content of total phenolics and
 175 phenolic acids in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) fruits as influenced by
 176 cultivar and solar UV radiation. *Journal of food composition and analysis*, 19 771-
 177 777.
 178 2. Wagstaffe A, Hadley P and Battey, NH, Tunnel production of strawberry in the
 179 UK: a review, in *Proceedings of the 2007 North American Strawberry*
 180 *Symposium*, ed by Takeda F, Handley DT and Poling EB, Kemptville, Canada.
 181 North American Strawberry Growers Association. pp 23-28 (2008).
 182 3. Tsormpatsidis E, Henbest RGC, Davis FJ, Battey NH, Hadley P and Wagstaffe A,
 183 UV irradiance as a major influence on growth, development and secondary
 184 products of commercial importance in Lollo Rosso lettuce 'Revolution' grown

- 185 under polyethylene films. *Environ Exp Bot* doi:10.1016/j.envexbot.2007.12.002
186 (2008).
- 187 4. Hannum, SM (2004) Potential impact of strawberries on human health: a review
188 of the science. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 44, 1-17.
- 189 5. Beattie, J, Crozier, A and Duthie, GG (2005) Potential health benefits of berries.
190 *Current Nutrition & Food Science* 1, 71-86
- 191 6. Wang SY and Zheng W, Effect of plant growth temperature on antioxidant
192 capacity in strawberry. *J Agr Food Chem* 49: 4977-4982 (2001).
- 193 7. Wang SY, Bunce JA, Maas JL, Elevated carbon dioxide increases contents of
194 antioxidant compounds in field-grown strawberries. *J Agr Food Chem* 51: 4315-
195 4320 (2003).
- 196 8. Wang SY and Lin HS, Compost as a soil supplement increases the level of
197 antioxidant compounds and oxygen radical absorbance capacity in strawberries. *J*
198 *Agr Food Chem* 51: 6844-6850 (2003).
- 199 9. Singleton, VL and Rossi JA, Colorimetry of total phenolics with
200 phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic reagents, *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 16: 144-158
201 (1965).
- 202 10. Gil MI, Holcroft DM and Kader AA, Changes in strawberry anthocyanins and
203 other polyphenols in response to carbon dioxide treatments. *J Agr Food Chem* 45:
204 1662-1667(1997).
- 205 11. Wang SY and Lin HS, Antioxidant activity in fruits and leaves of blackberry,
206 raspberry, and strawberry varies with cultivar and developmental stage. *J Agr*
207 *Food Chem* 48: 140-146 (2000).
- 208 12. Wagstaffe A, Hadley P and Battey, NH, Tunnel production of strawberry in the
209 UK: a review, in *Proceedings of the 2007 North American Strawberry*
210 *Symposium*, ed by Takeda F, Handley DT and Poling EB, Kemptville, Canada.
211 North American Strawberry Growers Association. pp 23-28 (2008).
- 212 13. García-Macias P, Ordidge M, Vysini E, Waroonphan S, Battey NH, Gordon MH,
213 Hadley P, John P, Lovegrove JA and Wagstaffe A, Changes in the flavonoid and
214 phenolic acid contents and antioxidant activity of red leaf lettuce (Lollo Rosso)
215 due to cultivation under plastic films varying in ultraviolet transparency. *Journal*
216 *of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 55: 10168-10172 (2007).
- 217 14. Tsormpatsidis E, Henbest RGC, Davis FJ, Battey NH, Hadley P and Wagstaffe A,
218 UV irradiance as a major influence on growth, development and secondary
219 products of commercial importance in Lollo Rosso lettuce 'Revolution' grown
220 under polyethylene films. *Environ Exp Bot* doi:10.1016/j.envexbot.2007.12.002
221 (2008).
- 222 15. Moyer, RA, Hummer, KE, Finn, CE, Frei, B and Wrolstad, RE (2002)
223 Anthocyanins, phenolics, and antioxidant capacity in diverse small fruits:
224 *Vaccinium, Rubus* and *Ribes*, *J Agric, Food Chem.* 50, 519-525
- 225 16. Kalt, W, Howell, A, Duy, JC, Forney, CF, and McDonald, JE (2001) Horticultural
226 factors affecting antioxidant capacity of blueberries and other small fruit.
227 *HortTechnology* 11, 523-528
17. Brat, P, George, S, Bellamy, A, Du Chaffaut, L, Scalbert, A, Mennen, L, Arnault,
N, and Amiot, MJ (2006) Daily polyphenol intake in France from fruit and
vegetables. *Journal of Nutrition* 136): 2368-2373

228
229

230 Table 1 Phenolic content of lettuce

Filter	Total Phenolics mg GAE / g FW	Anthocyanin μg cyanidin / g FW	Luteolin μg / g FW	Quercetin μg / g FW
Lollo Rosso				
26 July				
Block	0.75 ± 0.03^a	15.71 ± 0.89^a	4.47 ± 1.63^a	33.18 ± 2.27^a
Low	0.88 ± 0.06^a	33.50 ± 1.53^b	8.05 ± 0.24^b	56.08 ± 7.51^a
Window	1.50 ± 0.13^b	127.09 ± 21.05^c	26.42 ± 3.43^c	213.03 ± 34.6^b
Lollo Biondo				
25 July				
Block	0.62 ± 0.06^a	n.d.	n.d.	16.49 ± 3.33^a
Low	0.66 ± 0.02^a	n.d.	n.d.	$17.58 \pm 2.11^{a,b}$
Window	0.65 ± 0.03^a	n.d.	n.d.	27.44 ± 2.26^b

231

232

233

n.d. = not detected

234 Table 2. Phenolic contents of strawberry, raspberry and blueberry grown under plastic
 235 films of three different UV transparencies. The crops were harvested at the dates shown,
 236 as described in Materials and Methods

	Film	Total Phenolics	Anthocyanin ¹	Phenolic acid ²
Strawberry				
Elsanta		mg GAE / g FW	µg / g FW	µg / g FW
Year 1				
Crop 1 15 June Commercial ripeness	Block	2.98 ± 0.12 ^a	146.5 ± 3.0 ^a	198.5 ± 25.9 ^a
	Low	3.46 ± 0.14 ^b	170.1 ± 7.4 ^b	263.6 ± 27.1 ^{b,c}
	Window	3.36 ± 0.03 ^{b,d}	162.9 ± 8.6 ^b	239.2 ± 3.4 ^b
Fully ripe	Block	2.77 ± 0.21 ^c	209.4 ± 1.5 ^c	255.4 ± 31.6 ^{b,c}
	Low	3.28 ± 0.05 ^d	249.0 ± 10.7 ^d	346.6 ± 40.0 ^d
	Window	3.01 ± 0.11 ^a	219.0 ± 2.8 ^{c,d}	295.7 ± 9.2 ^c
Year 2				
Crop 2 3 July Commercial ripeness	Block	2.83 ± 0.15 ^a	202.9 ± 7.8 ^a	425.7 ± 17.3 ^a
	Low	2.96 ± 0.03 ^b	187.4 ± 6.0 ^b	490.8 ± 7.8 ^b
	Window	3.28 ± 0.18 ^c	199.5 ± 16.6 ^{a,b}	534.5 ± 57.6 ^b
Fully ripe	Block	2.34 ± 0.05 ^d	261.9 ± 8.7 ^c	312.2 ± 12.2 ^c
	Low	2.88 ± 0.10 ^{a,b}	297.5 ± 5.5 ^d	392.8 ± 31.0 ^d
	Window	2.64 ± 0.06 ^e	268.7 ± 8.8 ^c	351.2 ± 30.0 ^d
Year 2				
Crop 1 25 June	Block	2.80 ± 0.14 ^a	220.5 ± 2.0 ^a	406.6 ± 19.7 ^a
	Low	3.07 ± 0.09 ^{a,b}	226.63 ± 3.8 ^{a,b}	501.8 ± 1.0 ^b
	Window	3.24 ± 0.05 ^b	241.3 ± 7.9 ^b	510.8 ± 23.6 ^b
Crop 2 23 July	Block	2.89 ± 0.13 ^a	298.1 ± 16.8 ^a	583.6 ± 45.7 ^a
	Low	2.99 ± 0.02 ^a	296.6 ± 1.8 ^a	640.6 ± 18.0 ^a
	Window	3.16 ± 0.13 ^b	315.5 ± 28.9 ^a	646.4 ± 73.6 ^a
Everest 13 August	Block	3.07 ± 0.06 ^a	329.6 ± 16.7 ^a	172.0 ± 12.7 ^a
	Low	3.47 ± 0.14 ^b	343.8 ± 10.8 ^a	187.7 ± 10.8 ^{a,b}
	Window	3.67 ± 0.06 ^b	353.3 ± 9.3 ^a	199.5 ± 15.1 ^b
Raspberry				
Year 1				
Tulameen 11 July	Block	1.34 ± 0.07 ^a	357.0 ± 8.3 ^a	485.8 ± 13.4 ^a
	Low	1.45 ± 0.10 ^{a,b}	311.2 ± 20.9 ^b	489.8 ± 9.5 ^a
	Window	1.35 ± 0.10 ^b	364.4 ± 31.2 ^a	483.6 ± 22.3 ^a
Joan Squire 7 September	Block	2.13 ± 0.21 ^a	384.7 ± 13.5 ^a	554.7 ± 111.3 ^a
	Low	2.17 ± 0.29 ^a	362.9 ± 7.1 ^b	603.0 ± 144.5 ^a
	Window	2.25 ± 0.22 ^a	393.5 ± 16.7 ^a	606.3 ± 92.7 ^a

Blueberry				
Year 1	Block	2.65 ± 0.17 ^d	1052.3 ± 154.5 ^a	1510.1 ± 30.6 ^a
31 July	Low	3.07 ± 0.08 ^b	1132.7 ± 113.2 ^a	1524.6 ± 26.8 ^a
	Window	3.25 ± 0.07 ^c	1507.9 ± 54.4 ^b	1543.4 ± 26.6 ^a
Year 2	Block	2.93 ± 0.19 ^a	553.9 ± 53.6 ^a	833.0 ± 20.9 ^a
17 July	Low	3.46 ± 0.17 ^b	778.4 ± 123.7 ^b	861.9 ± 14.5 ^{a,b}
	Window	2.88 ± 0.29 ^a	596.4 ± 151.9 ^a	872.3 ± 23.5 ^b

237 ¹ Anthocyanin was determined as µg pelargonidin / g FW in strawberry, µg cyanidin / g
 238 FW in raspberry and µg malvidin / g FW in blueberry.

239 ² Phenolic acid was determined as ellagic acid in strawberry and raspberry, and is
 240 expressed as µg ellagic acid / g FW in strawberry and raspberry, and determined as
 241 hydroxycinnamic acids in blueberry, and expressed as µg caffeic acid equivalents/g FW
 242 in blueberry.