Identification and Validation of Candidate Genes Associated with Domesticated and Improved Traits in Soybean
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Abstract

Soybean, an important source of vegetable oils and proteins for humans, has undergone significant phenotypic changes during domestication and improvement. However, there is limited knowledge about genes related to these domesticated and improved traits, such as flowering time, seed development, alkaline-salt tolerance, and seed oil content (SOC). In this study, more than 106,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified by restriction site associated DNA sequencing of 14 wild, 153 landrace, and 119 bred soybean accessions, and 198 candidate domestication regions (CDRs) were identified via multiple genetic diversity analyses. Of the 1489 candidate domestication genes (CDGs) within these CDRs, a total of 330 CDGs were related to the above four traits in the domestication, gene ontology (GO) enrichment, gene expression, and pathway analyses. Eighteen, 60, 66, and 10 of the 330 CDGs were significantly associated with the above four traits, respectively. Of 134 trait-associated CDGs, 29 overlapped with previous CDGs, 11 were consistent with candidate genes in previous trait association studies, and 66 were covered by the domesticated and improved quantitative trait loci or their adjacent regions, having six common CDGs, such as one functionally characterized gene Glyma15 g17480 (GmZTL3). Of the 68 seed size (SS) and SOC CDGs, 37 were further confirmed by gene expression analysis. In addition, eight genes were found to be related to artificial selection during modern breeding. Therefore, this study provides an integrated method for efficiently identifying CDGs and valuable information for domestication and genetic research.

During the approximate 10,000-yr period of domestication, many morphological and physiological traits in wild species of plants and animals have undergone dramatic modification to meet human needs. In plants, these traits include more or larger seeds, reduced seed dispersal, more robust plants, and decreased chemical and morphological defenses. Studying these domestication traits (DTs) is a useful way to identify key genes in their wild ancestors. Extensive studies have been...
conducted in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), maize (*Zea mays* L.), rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), and sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.), and domestication genes (DGs) and their associated DTs were summarized by Doebley et al. (2006), Gross and Olsen (2010), and Olsen and Wendel (2013). These studies have provided useful resources for understanding the domestication process and artificial selection during domestication. However, for a considerable proportion of plants, including soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.), there is still little understanding of the DGs responsible for DTs during domestication.

Soybean was first domesticated by Chinese farmers between 6000 and 9000 yr ago (Carter et al., 2004). However, cultivated soybeans went through a genetic bottleneck of reduced genetic diversity during domestication (Guo et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2010). For example, soybean landraces exhibit only 41.9% of the allelic diversity found in wild soybean (Guo et al., 2010); with 50% of the genetic diversity and 81% of the rare alleles having been lost during domestication (Hyten et al., 2006), nucleotide diversity in cultivated soybean is lower than that in wild soybean (Lam et al., 2010). Moreover, many morphological and physiological traits have undergone significant changes to meet the specific needs of humans during domestication. These needs include alterations in flowering time, SS, tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses, SOC, and shattering (Broich and Palmer, 1980), resulting in a loss of important traits that are still preserved in wild relatives. Therefore, identification of major novel genes responsible for DTs would facilitate genetic improvement in this crop.

Linkage and association analyses in populations derived from *G. max* (cultivated) and *G. soja Siebold & Zucc. (wild) has previously identified many quantitative trait loci (QTL) for DTs, such as determinate habit (Liu et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2010), pod dehiscence (Bailey et al., 1997; Funatsuki et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007; Kang et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2014; Van et al., 2014), flowering time (Keim et al., 1990; Liu et al., 2011), seed yield (Concibido et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2007; Li et al., 2008), and stem diameter (Keim et al., 1990). Some loci, such as *Dt1* for determinate growth habit (Tian et al., 2010), *E1-E4* for flowering time (Liu et al., 2008; Watanabe et al., 2009, 2011; Xia et al., 2012), and *SHAT1-5* gene for pod shattering (Dong et al., 2014) have been fine mapped and functionally characterized. In addition, evidence has shown that many DTs in soybean were controlled by one or two major QTL or genes (Liu et al., 2007), indicating that useful genes from wild soybean can be easily introgressed into cultivated soybean.

To date, whole genome sequences of >10 soybean accessions have been released, including Williams 82 (*G. max*; Schmutz et al., 2010), IT182932 (*G. soja*; Kim et al., 2010), and seven other wild accessions (Li et al., 2014). The nucleotide sequence of the *G. soja* genome (IT182932) is ~0.31% different from that of *G. max*, and the percentage increased to 3.76% when the 32.4 Mb of *G. max*-specific sequences in 712 genes, partially or completely absent in IT182932, were considered (Kim et al., 2010). Approximately 80% of the pan-genome was present in all seven wild accessions, whereas the rest was dispensable, and some genes with *soja*-specific presence-absence variations may contribute to the variation in defense response, cell growth, and photosynthesis (Li et al., 2014). To conduct a more in-depth study of soybean domestication, additional resequencing and microarray studies have been reported. Lam et al. (2010) resequenced a total of 17 wild and 14 cultivated soybean genomes and found higher allelic diversity in wild soybean, and a high level of linkage disequilibrium (LD) in the soybean genome; and some genes identified in the 470 domestication regions (DRs) were associated with stem elongation-related traits, disease resistance, and metabolism. Song et al. (2013) identified a total of 620 DRs by genotyping 96 landraces and 96 wild soybeans with the SoySNP50K Illumina Infinium BeadChip. Li et al. (2013) found that 60 genes from 21 CDGs and 106 genes from 20 regions associated with soybean improvement were related to several important agronomic traits, such as yield, plant height, lodging, maturity time, seed weight, seed hardness, seed-coat color, and flower color, by analyzing the whole genome sequence from 25 accessions and sequence data of the 30 accessions deposited at NCBI website. Chung et al. (2014) identified 3068 DGs in 206 DRs by analyzing sequences from 10 cultivated and six wild soybeans. However, the above studies were based on limited sample size, although Zhou et al. (2015b) identified eight genes by associating 48 domestication-related loci with flowering time and SS in 286 soybean accessions.

In this study, a total of 286 soybean accessions were resequenced by restriction site associated DNA (RAD) sequencing technology to investigate genetic diversity, and to mine CDGs during domestication. An effort was made to relate to all the CDGs to DTs by the GO enrichment, gene expression, and pathway analyses. These CDGs were further verified by the trait-gene association studies and RNA-seq (sequenced) analysis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Germplasm for RAD-Sequencing and Their Phenotypic Measurements**

A total of 286 soybean accessions, including 14 wild, 153 landrace, and 119 cultivars from six geographic regions in China, were grown in Nanjing, China, with a complete randomized design from 2008 to 2012. The plots were 1.5 m wide and 2 m long, and a list of the accessions was described by Zhou et al. (2015b).

Seeds from five plants in the middle row of each plot were measured for SS. Seed length (SL), seed width (SW), and seed thickness (ST) were measured using digital vernier calipers, and 100 seeds from dried samples were weighted (100-seed weight, 100SW) from 2008 to 2012. The SL, SW, and ST for each accession were averaged based on 20 seeds and 100SW for each accession was averaged based on three replicates.
The first and full flowering times were the days from the date of emergency to the date of the first flower and 75% plant flowering, respectively, and both traits were observed in the field from 2010 to 2012.

A salt-water flooding method was used to evaluate the alkaline and salt tolerances of 286 soybeans (Sobhanian et al., 2010). In brief, 12 seeds for each accession were sown in a 30 × 20 × 15 cm plastic container with 3.5-cm-deep sand, and then treated with control (CK; pH 7.0), 100 mM NaCl (pH 7.0), and 10 mM Na2CO3 (pH 11.1), each with two 7-d replications. A 350-mL aliquot of the appropriate solution for each treatment was applied to each plastic container filled with sand. Twelve soybean seeds for each treatment were grown in a growth chamber under white fluorescent light (600 µmol m−2 s−1; 14 h light/10 h dark) at 25 ± 1°C. Two alkaline-salt tolerance traits, length of main root (LR) and length of hypocotyls (LH) for healthy seedlings, were measured from 5 plants 7 d after sowing from 2009 to 2010. To measure the degree of salt-alkaline tolerance, original trait observations were transferred into salt-alkaline tolerance index using the following equations

\[ STI = \left( x_{CK} - x_{NaCl} \right)/x_{CK} \times 100\% \]

\[ ATI = \left( x_{CK} - x_{Na2CO3} \right)/x_{CK} \times 100\% \]

where STI is salt tolerance index, ATI is alkaline tolerance index, and \( x_{CK}, x_{NaCl}, \) and \( x_{Na2CO3} \) stand for phenotypic values in control, saline, and alkaline treatments, respectively.

Approximately 10 g of seeds was collected from five plants per accession. Based on the method of Baydar and Akkurt (2001), five fatty acids for each accession were measured by gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector and a Permabond FFAP stainless steel column (50 m × 0.2 mm × 0.33 μm, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) at the Wuhan Research Branch of the National Rapeseed Genetic Improvement Center in 2015. Using methyl heptadecanoate (C17) as internal standard, SOC was calculated by

\[ SOC(\%) = \frac{TPA \text{ for 5 FA}}{ISPA} \times \frac{2 \text{ mg/mL} \times 0.5 \text{ mL}}{30 \text{ mg}} \times 100\% \]

where TPA is total peak area, FA is fatty acids, and ISPA is internal standard peak area.

**RAD-Sequencing and Sequence Alignment**

DNA for the 286 accessions were extracted from fresh leaves of multiple plants per accession and digested with the EcoRI restriction enzyme. The sequence library was prepared by Baird et al. (2008), and 50 bp at each end of each fragment was sequenced. The reads were aligned to the Glyma1.1 of Williams 82 (Schmutz et al., 2010; http://www.jgi.doe.gov, verified 3 Mar. 2016) using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment Tool (http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net/bwa.shtml, verified 3 Mar. 2016) after elimination of low quality reads and trimming of adaptors. The SNP alleles were called using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK; http://www.broadinstitute.org/gatk/index.php, verified 3 Mar. 2016) as described by Zhou et al. (2015b).

**Population Structure Analyses**

**Population Structure Determination**

Based on the 106,013 SNPs, the population structure of the 286 soybean accessions was determined using the STRUCTURE 2.2 software (Pritchard et al., 2000; Falush et al., 2003, 2007). The number of subgroups (K) was set from 2 to 7. In the Markov chain Monte Carlo Bayesian analysis for each K, the length of a Markov chain consisted of 100,000 sweeps. The first 10,000 sweeps (the burn-in period) were deleted, and thereafter, the chain was used to calculate the mean of log-likelihood. This process was repeated five times, and the total average of log-likelihood at fixed K was used. The ad hoc statistic ΔL, based on the rate of change in the log-likelihood of data between successive K values, was used to determine the suitable value of K (Evanno et al., 2005). The Q matrix at the estimated K was calculated based on all SNPs.

A SNP was described as rare if minor allele frequency (MAF) was <5%, or as specific if an allelic variant was identified in one subgroup but not in the others. In contrast, a SNP was defined as common if allelic variations were observed in all the subgroups.

**Principle Component Analysis**

As stated by Patterson et al. (2006), principle component analysis (PCA) was conducted using sample covariance matrix \( X = MM^T / n \) of the accessions, where no. of SNPs (S) = 106,013, T is matrix transposition, and \( M = (d_{ik})_{n \times S} \) was the normalized genotypic information matrix (i = 1, ..., n; k = 1, ..., S). \( d_{ik} \) was defined by

\[ d_{ik} = \frac{d_{ik} - E(d_{ik})}{\sqrt{E(d_{ik})[1-E(d_{ik})]}} \]

where \( E(d_{ik}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{ik} \), and \( d_{ik} \) for the kth SNP genotype of the ith accession was defined as \( d_{ik} = 0 \) for homozygous of the reference allele, \( d_{ik} = 1 \) for heterozygous, and \( d_{ik} = 2 \) for homozygous of the nonreference allele. The eigenvector decomposition was performed in the R function eigen, and the significance of the eigenvectors was determined with a Tracey-Widom test implemented in the program twstats that was provided with the EIGENSOFT software (Patterson et al., 2006).

**Phylogeny Tree Construction**

Pair-wise distance among all accessions was calculated based on the p-distance (proportion [p] of amino acid sites at which the two sequences to be compared are different) model, and a neighbor-joining method (Saitou and
The software PHYLIP 3.68 (Retief, 2000) was used for the above analysis. The p-distance $D_y$ between two individuals $i$ and $j$ was defined as $D_y = \sum_{l=1}^{L} d_{ij} / L$, where $L$ is the length of regions where high quality SNPs were identified, and $c_{ij}$ was defined as $c_{ij} = 0$ if the genotypes at position $l$ for the two accesses were AA and AA, $c_{ij} = 0.5$ if the genotypes at position $l$ were AC and AC (or AA and AC), and $c_{ij} = 1$ if the genotypes at position $l$ were AA and CC.

**Linkage Disequilibrium Analysis**

To measure LD levels in wild, landrace, and bred soybeans, the software Haploview (Barrett et al., 2005) was used to calculate the correlation coefficient ($r^2$) of alleles at any two SNP loci by setting maxdistance to 200, dprime-minMAF to 0.1, and hwcutoff at 0. The curves and plot of average $r^2$ against pair-wise marker distances were drawn with R scripts.

**Genetic Diversity, and Candidate Domestication-Related Regions and Genes**

**π, θ, and Tajima’s D Estimation**

Tajima’s D was calculated by the difference between the average pairwise nucleotide diversity ($\pi$), and the number of segregating sites as measured by $\theta$, while $\pi$ and $\theta$ were calculated using the definition of Xu et al. (2012). The $\pi$, $\theta$, and Tajima’s D were calculated for nonoverlapping 20-kb sliding windows across the genome. The size of the window was selected from 10, 20, 50, and 100 kb. Because genomic regions with artificial selection are nonneutral in the D test of Tajima (1989), a criterion of $|D| > 2$ was adopted in the determination of CDRs (Varshney et al., 2013). The Tajima’s D statistic is expected to be zero under the neutral equilibrium model and the departure from the standard neutral model will lead to nonzero values (Tajima, 1989).

**Fixation Index Calculation**

The fixation index ($F_{ST}$) is a measure of population differentiation due to genetic structure. The $F_{ST}$ value was calculated by the software Genepop v.4.2 (http://kimura.univ-montp2.fr/~rousset/Genepop.htm, verified 3 Mar. 2016) in 20-kb nonoverlapping sliding windows along the entire genome among 119 bred, 153 landrace, and 14 wild soybeans (Xu et al., 2012). On the basis of the threshold of $F_{ST} > 0.45$ (Lam et al., 2010), the CDRs were identified.

**Reduction of Diversity Estimation**

The reduction of diversity (ROD) was defined as $ROD = 1 - \frac{\pi_{bred \ or \ landrace}}{\pi_{wild}}$, based on the ratio of diversity in bred (or landrace) soybean vs. wild soybean ($\pi_{bred}$/$\pi_{wild}$, $\pi_{landrace}$/$\pi_{wild}$) in 20-kb nonoverlapping sliding windows along the entire genome (Xu et al., 2012). The value of ROD > 0.98 (Chung et al., 2014) was adopted in the identification of CDRs.

The genes in the above CDRs were defined as CDGs.

**Gene Ontology Enrichment Analysis**

The GO annotations of the CDGs, including molecular function, molecular location and biological process, were conducted using the online tool Goanna (McCarty et al., 2006). The GO enrichment analysis was performed using GOstats at the 0.05 significance level (Falcon and Gentleman, 2007).

**Gene Expression Data and Trait-associated Analysis**

Two transcriptome datasets of soybean (Jones and Vodkin, 2013) were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus database at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo (verified 3 Mar. 2016). Dataset I (accession number GSE29163) included transcriptome sequences from six tissues: leaf (SRX062330), root (SRX062331), floral bud (SRX062333), seedling (SRX062334), globular stage whole seed (SRX062325), heart stage whole seed (SRX062326), cotyledon stage whole seed (SRX062327), early-maturation stage whole seed (SRX062328), and dry whole seed (SRX062329). Dataset II (accession number GSE42871) included transcriptome sequences from seven stages of seed development: 4 d after flowering (DAF) whole seed (SRX212244), 12 to 14 DAF whole seed (SRX212246), 22 to 24 DAF whole seed (SRX212248), 5 to 6 mg whole seed (SRX212250), 100 to 200 mg cotyledon (SRX212252), 400 to 500 mg cotyledon (SRX212254), and dry whole seed (SRX212256). Seed lipids are generated during the developmental stages of R4 to R7 (Pedersen, 2009; Jones and Vodkin, 2013). Using the quite stringent threshold of “> twofold” above the median, most genes significantly expressed in floral bud, all the seed development stages, or in the R4-R7 development stages can be found (Barnes and Derwent, 2007).

**Coexpression Analysis and Identification of Important Genes in Networks**

Using the Pearson correlation coefficient, coexpression of each flowering time candidate gene with the others in soybean was examined, and the significant $P$-value threshold was set at 1E-09.

Based on the gene expression profile, two genes were considered to be linked in a gene network if their correlation coefficient was significant at the 0.01 level. If the number of links with a gene in the network was more than one constant, the gene was considered as important. The analysis was conducted among candidate flowering-time genes identified in this study, and between the candidate flowering-time genes identified in this study and the genes reported by Jung et al. (2012). SAS 9.3 software was used for the analysis. The networks were visualized using the BioLayout Expression3D v.3.1 (www.biolayout.org, verified 3 Mar. 2016).

**Candidate Gene Association Study**

All the candidate genes for each trait in the domestication, GO enrichment, gene expression, and pathway
analyses were used to be associated with the corresponding trait using the mixed linear model (MLM) method in TASSEL v.5.0 (www.maizegenetics.net/tassel, verified 3 Mar. 2016) with the population average and population structure (Q matrix) as covariates. Using the same TASSEL v.5.0, the kinship coefficient matrix for polygenic background control was calculated from the 106,013 SNPs. The P-value was used to determine the association significance in the candidate gene association study, and the critical value for significance was set at the 0.01 level.

Differential Expression Genes Analysis Based on RNA-Sequenced Data

One cultivated soybean (No. 101) and two wild soybean accessions (No. 265 and 272) were chosen for RNA-seq analysis. The No. 101 is a cultivar with early flowering (days to first flower: 44), large seed (100SW: 11.81 g), middle tolerance to salt (tolerance index for LR: 0.50) and high SOC (20.9%), while No. 265 and 272 are of late flowering (67 and 54 d, respectively), small seed (100SW: 2.35 and 2.62 g, respectively), high tolerance to salt (tolerance index for LR: 0.22 and 0.31, respectively), and low SOC (11.9 and 12.5%, respectively). Two plants from each accession were planted in a soil-filled plastic pot (35 × 28 cm) and grown under controlled environment conditions in 2014, and seed were collected at five seed development stages (15, 25, 35, 45, and 55 d after first flower) for RNA extraction.

Total RNA was extracted using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA was analyzed in an Illumina Hiseq 2500 Sequencer. Sequence reads were aligned using SAM format (Li et al., 2009), a generic alignment format for storing read alignments against reference sequence, supporting short and long reads produced by different sequencing platforms. The obtained sequence reads were subsequently aligned to the soybean reference genome (Glyma2.0, http://www.phytozome.net/soybean, verified 3 Mar. 2016; Schmutz et al., 2010). TopHat (http://tophat.cbcb.umd.edu, verified 3 Mar. 2016) was used to identify splice junctions (Trapnell et al., 2009).

Differential expression genes (DEGs) between the cultivated (No. 101) and two wild soybean accessions (No. 265 and 272) at the five development stages after first flower were identified by the statistical R package DEGseq (https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DEGseq.html; verified 8 Mar. 2016) with a random sampling model (Wang et al., 2010), and at the adjusted significance level of 0.001. The purpose was to further confirm the CDGs obtained from the above analyses.

Results and Discussion

Identification and Distribution of SNPs

Using the RAD-sequencing approach, at least 400 Mb (×0.35) of sequence were generated for each of the 286 soybean accessions except for No.70, where the average amount of sequence was >1 G (×0.85).These sequences were aligned to the whole genome sequence of Williams 82 (Glyma1.1, Schmutz et al., 2010), and approximately 0.24 million candidate SNPs were identified among the 286 accessions. The GATK was used to detect the missing genotypes for each SNP, the frequent distribution for the missing proportion was presented in Supplemental Fig. S1, and the average plus standard deviation were 2.8% ± 2.7%. Using the quality control criterion described in Zhou et al. (2015b), a total of 106,013 high-quality SNPs were identified.

Of the 106,013 SNPs, a total of 31,945, 9249, 16,030, 95, 93, 2616, and 3862 were located in genes, coding DNA sequence (CDS), introns, CDSStart, CDSEnd, 5’UTR, and 3’UTR, respectively (Table 1); and a total of 16,642 and 16,613 were within 2 kb upstream and downstream of genes, respectively. Overall, 65,200 (61.50%) SNPs showed that 50% genetic diversity and 81% of rare alleles have been lost during domestication. In addition, new alleles were observed in bred soybean, although the proportion is only 2.80%. The possible reason is strong

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>CDSStart</th>
<th>CDS</th>
<th>CDSEnd</th>
<th>Intron</th>
<th>5’UTR</th>
<th>3’UTR</th>
<th>Nongenic sequence</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4,227</td>
<td>5,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>3,703</td>
<td>5,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>3,496</td>
<td>5,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>3,743</td>
<td>5,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>3,047</td>
<td>4,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>4,063</td>
<td>5,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>3,576</td>
<td>5,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>3,683</td>
<td>5,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>3,449</td>
<td>4,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>3,405</td>
<td>5,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>2,913</td>
<td>4,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>3,122</td>
<td>4,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>3,764</td>
<td>6,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>3,902</td>
<td>5,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>4,522</td>
<td>6,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>3,415</td>
<td>5,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td>4,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>5,474</td>
<td>7,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>3,643</td>
<td>5,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>3,707</td>
<td>5,236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Distribution of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the soybean genome.
within a 50 kb window, the rate of LD decay in wild soybean was significantly faster than those in landrace and bred soybeans (Fig. 1B). This result was similar to that reported by Lam et al. (2010). The slower decay rate in bred soybean may be caused by the limited number of elite bred cultivars or by other factors such as a bottleneck (Barecki and Suarez, 2001). The $r^2$ values in wild soybean were significantly lower than those in cultivated soybean, which may result from the loss of genetic diversity during domestication. The $D’$ values were larger than the $r^2$ values in each of the wild, landrace, and bred soybeans, larger $D’$ values in the >250 kb window in wild soybean relative to landrace or bred soybean were partly due to the smaller sample size in wild soybean (Xu, 2010).

**Population Structure**

Analysis of population structure of the 286 accessions based on the 106,013 SNPs showed that the maximum peak value of $\Delta k$ appeared at $K = 4$ when the number of subpopulations increases from 2 to 7. When $K = 2$, wild and cultivated soybeans were separated, whereas when $K = 3$, the cultivated soybean was further divided into two groups: landrace and bred soybeans (Fig. 2A). When $K = 4$, 106 accessions were assigned to Subgroup 1 (bred), 134 to Subgroup 2 (mainly landrace), 12 to Subgroup 3 (mainly wild), and 34 to Subgroup 4 (mixture; Fig. 2A).

In the PCA, four principle components explained 41.11% of the total SNP variance, while the first and second principle components explained 13.63 and 12.58% of the total SNP variance, respectively. Based on the analysis of the first and second principle components, wild, landrace, and bred soybeans were clustered into different groups, except a few cultivated soybeans were assigned to the subgroup with mixture (Fig. 2B). This finding was also consistent with the Structure result.

Neighbor-joining analysis showed similar results (Fig. 2C), with the largest genetic distance between wild and bred soybeans, and the intermediate genetic distance between landrace and wild (or bred) soybeans.

**Genetic Diversity and Candidate Domestication Regions (Genes)**

$\pi$, $\theta$, and Tajima’s $D$

To measure the genetic diversities in wild, landrace, and bred soybeans, two commonly used metrics of genetic diversity, namely the average pairwise nucleotide diversity ($\pi$) and the number of segregating sites as measured by $\theta$, were calculated based on the 106,013 SNPs. The estimates of $\pi$ and $\theta$ in bred, landrace, and wild soybeans were 0.1030 and 0.1044, 0.1049 and 0.1046, and 0.2147 and 0.2377, respectively. The genetic diversity was two-fold lower in cultivated soybean than in wild soybean. This result is similar to those of Chung et al. (2014) and Lam et al. (2010).

To further dissect genome-wide pattern of the nucleotide diversity among wild, landrace, and bred soybeans, the Gaussian kernel smoothing function with a step length of 20 kb across each chromosome was applied. As shown in Fig. 3, the ranges for estimates of $\pi$ in wild, landrace, and bred soybeans were 0.0344 to 0.3996, 0 to 0.3724, and 0 to 0.3788, respectively; and the averages plus standard deviations were $0.2160 \pm 0.0233$, $0.1055 \pm 0.1058$, and $0.1035 \pm 0.1078$, respectively. The ranges for estimates of $\theta$ in the above three soybeans were $0.0786$
to 0.3311, 0 to 0.1818, and 0 to 0.1887, respectively; and the averages plus standard deviations were 0.2393 ± 0.0029, 0.1055 ± 0.0273, and 0.1044 ± 0.0280, respectively. The above estimates for $p$ and $q$ were used to calculate Tajima’s $D$. The ranges for $D$ in wild, landrace, and bred soybeans were –2.6324 to 1.9218, –2.5195 to 4.4259, and –2.6500 to 3.7322, respectively; and the averages plus standard deviations were –0.4007, 0.1185, and –0.0746, respectively. Based on a threshold of Tajima’s $|D| > 2$, which are generally considered to be CDRs (Varshney et al., 2013), 487 and 616 CDRs were identified in landrace and bred soybeans, respectively, indicating artificial selection in cultivated soybean. A total of 166 (3.1%) common CDRs between landrace and bred soybeans were identified genome-wide. In these common CDRs, 1344 CDGs were identified (Supplemental Table S1).

**Differentiation Coefficient $F_{ST}$ and ROD**

Average $F_{ST}$ estimates between landrace and wild soybeans, between bred and wild soybeans, and between landrace and bred soybeans were 0.155, 0.1908, and 0.0267, respectively, indicating high differentiation between wild and cultivated soybeans and low differentiation between landrace and bred soybeans.

---

**Fig. 2.** Population structure of 286 soybean accessions. (A) Structure analysis. The wild (green) and cultivated (pink) soybeans were separated (number of subgroups $= K = 2$), cultivated soybean was further split into landrace (blue) and bred (red) soybeans ($K = 3$), and some cultivated soybeans were mixture (yellow; $K = 4$). (B) Principle component analysis. (C) Neighbor-joining tree analysis. In the phylogenetic tree, wild, landrace, and bred soybeans were represented by pink, green, and blue shadows, respectively.
Fig. 3. Genetic diversity parameters $\pi$, $\theta$, and Tajima’s $D$ in the nonoverlapping 20-kb sliding windows across the genome in (A) wild, (B) landrace, and (C) bred soybeans.
For the purpose of detecting selective sweeps from domestication, regions with high differentiation between wild and cultivated soybeans were identified by calculating the differentiation index \( F_{ST(bred–wild)} \) and \( F_{ST(landrace–wild)} \) and regions with significantly lower levels of polymorphisms were identified by calculating the ROD (Xu et al., 2012):

\[
ROD = 1 - \frac{\pi_{bred}}{\pi_{wild}} \text{ or } 1 - \frac{\pi_{landrace}}{\pi_{wild}}.
\]

As a result, 45 common CDRs were identified (Fig. 4) by the \( F_{ST} \) and ROD approaches. In these regions, 241 genes were found and considered to be CDGs. Among these genes, 61 genes were common to those identified by Chung et al. (2014).

Based on the results from the Tajima’ D, \( F_{ST} \) and ROD analyses, 13 common CDRs were determined and these CDRs contained 96 genes. A total of 1489 candidate genes in the 198 CDRs which were determined by at least one of the three analysis methods were identified and subjected to further analysis.

Based on GO enrichment analysis, we identified 252 significantly overrepresented GO categories, which were related to flowering time, seed development, tolerance/resistance, and oil biosynthesis (Supplemental Table S2).

**Flowering Time**

Through GO enrichment analyses, nine significantly enriched terms \( (P < 0.05) \) for the biological processes related to flowering time were identified (Supplemental Table S3), including GO:0009909 (regulation of flower development), GO:0009911 (positive regulation of flower development), GO:0009640 (photomorphogenesis), GO:0009649 (entrainment of circadian clock), GO:0048573 (photoperiodism, flowering), and GO:0009910 (negative regulation of flower development). Among the 96 candidate genes involved in these terms, 54 genes showed high expression in flower tissue and were viewed as flowering-time-related genes (Supplemental Table S4). In these highly expressed genes, Glyma05 g05620, Glyma09 g00346, Glyma09 g1600, Glyma10 g36720, Glyma15 g17480, and Glyma17 g06950 were homologous to the Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. flowering-time genes CKB1, FAR1, PHYB (PHYE), ASHH1, FKF1, and ZTL, respectively. These genes are different from those in Zhou et al. (2015b), because only 48 domestication-related loci were used to perform association studies in Zhou et al. (2015b). In Arabidopsis, the gene CO is associated with flowering time (Putterill et al., 1995) and regulated by six genes, five of which, CKB1 (Sugano et al., 1998; Lu et al., 2012), FAR1 (Hudson et al., 1999; Yanovsky and Kay, 2002), PHYB (PHYE; Inigo et al., 2012), FKF1 (Boss et al., 2004; Putterill et al., 2004; Song et al., 2012), and ZTL (Somers et al., 2004; Kiba et al., 2007; Ito et al., 2008, 2012b; Kim et al., 2013) were in the light-signaling pathway and one gene ASHH1 was in the vernalization or autonomous pathway (Michaels and Amasino, 1999; Hepworth et al., 2002; He and Amasino, 2005; Xu et al., 2008; Fig. 5). Co-expression analysis of these six genes with all the other genes in soybean showed that four of the six genes were co-expressed with 12 genes at the 1E-9 level: Glyma09 g00346 was co-expressed with Glyma02 g46570, Glyma03 g31560, Glyma06 g19190, Glyma10 g28950, Glyma14 g24910, and Glyma17 g37470, Glyma10 g36720 with Glyma01 g30320 and Glyma03 g07790, Glyma15 g17480 with Glyma08 g39830 and Glyma08 g25750, and Glyma17 g06950 with Glyma17 g12590 and Glyma19 g43700 (Supplemental Fig. S2A, Supplemental Table S5).

A co-expression network analysis showed that six genes (Glyma02 g40400, Glyma04 g01140, Glyma06 g34850, Glyma08 g01100, Glyma08 g03980, and Glyma09 g00346) among the above 54 genes had 20 or more
significant expression links at the 0.01 level (Supplemental Fig. S2B, Supplemental Table S4); and 14 genes (Glyma02 g40400, Glyma04 g01140, Glyma06 g34850, Glyma08 g01100, Glyma08 g03980, Glyma09 g00346, Glyma10 g36720, Glyma14 g16040, Glyma14 g36100, Glyma15 g17030, Glyma15 g17710, Glyma15 g19450, Glyma18 g07830, and Glyma18 g13250) had 50 or more significant expression links with 185 Arabidopsis homologous flowering-time genes at the 0.01 level (Jung et al., 2012; Supplemental Fig. S2C, Supplemental Table S6).

**Seed Development**

GO enrichment analysis identified 12 enriched terms, with 101 candidate genes, in the biological process that were related to seed development, such as GO:0048580 (regulation of postembryonic development) and GO:2000241 (positive regulation of reproductive process; Supplemental Table S3). Using comparative genome analysis, a total of 73 CDGs in this study were found to be homologous to the seed development genes in Arabidopsis, including seed coat development (2 genes), embryo development ending in seed dormancy (59), seed germination (2), ovule development (3), endosperm development (1), and other seed development processes (6; Supplemental Table S7). Among the 59 genes of embryo development ending in seed dormancy, 25 embryo-defective genes were likely to encode chloroplast-localized proteins that result in embryo lethality (Tzfarrir et al., 2003; www.seedgenes.org, verified 3 Mar. 2016). Between the above 101 and 73 genes, 15 common genes were identified. Among all the 159 genes, 112 genes were highly expressed during the seed development process (Supplemental Table S7). These genes are different from those in Zhou et al. (2015b). The reason is also because only 48 domestication-related loci were used to perform association studies in Zhou et al. (2015b).

In Arabidopsis, **AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR2** (ARF2) has been identified to be associated with SS (Okushima et al., 2005) and was homologous to Glyma08 g01100, which was highly expressed during seed development. The gene Glyma08 g01100 may regulate SS in soybean. Although **HAIKU2** (IKU2) has been identified to be associated with SS in Arabidopsis (Garcia et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2005), its homolog Glyma14 g21901 was not expressed during seed development in soybean.

The soybean gene Glyma15 g09500, which was highly expressed during seed development, was homologous to the three genes, **SHATTERPROOF1** (SHP1), **SHP2** (Liljegren et al., 2000), and **SEEDSTICK** (STK; Pinyopich et al., 2003), which have been identified to be associated with seed dispersal and shattering in Arabidopsis (Martínez-Andújar et al., 2012). The Glyma15 g09500 gene may regulate seed shattering in soybean but be different from the **SHAT1–5** gene controlling soybean shattering (Dong et al., 2014).

**Tolerance and Resistance**

GO enrichment analysis showed that 21 enriched terms, with 169 candidate genes, in the biological process were assigned to tolerance or resistance in soybean, such as GO:0071214 (cellular response to abiotic stimulus) and GO:0009870 (defense response signaling pathway, resistance gene-dependent; Supplemental Table S3). Based on functional annotation and domain conservation, 37 candidate genes were identified to be associated with tolerance or resistance in soybean, including the adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, abiotic tolerance genes, and disease resistance genes (Supplemental Table S8). Between the 169 and 37 genes mentioned above, 10 common genes were identified. Among all the 196 CDGs, 7, 3, and 27 belonged to ABC transporters, abiotic tolerance genes, and disease resistance genes, respectively (Supplemental Table S8).

In Arabidopsis, ABC transporters, including pleiotropic drug resistance (PDR), multidrug resistance, and multidrug resistance associated protein (MRP) subfamilies, are important for defense and normal growth and development (Kang et al., 2011). **AtPDR1** (Alejandro et al., 2012; Sibout and Höfte, 2012), **AtPDR11** (Xi et al., 2012) and **AtPDR12** (Lee et al., 2005; Kang et al., 2010) are associated with PDR and have been identified to be associated with tolerance in Arabidopsis. Glyma13 g19920 was homologous to AtPDR1/AtABCG29; Glyma02 g35841 and Glyma03 g32530 to AtPDR11; Glyma03 g32520 and Glyma03 g32450 to AtPDR12/AtABCG40. Glyma10 g17300 and Glyma03 g32500 were homologous to AtMRP3 and AtMRP5. **AtMRP5** (Bovet et al., 2003, 2005; Zientara et al., 2009) and **AtMRP5** (Klein et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004) have been identified to be associated with tolerance in Arabidopsis.

Abiotic stresses, such as high salinity, drought, and low temperature, substantially affect plant growth. Based...
Association Studies of Domesticated and Improved Traits with Candidate Genes

All the SNPs within or adjacent to 330 CDGs were selected for the association studies with DTs (flowering times [first and full] and SS [SL, SW, ST, and 100SW]) and improvement traits (alkaline-salt tolerances [LR and LH] and SOC) in this study (Supplemental Table S10). As a result, 18 of the 54 flowering time CDGs, 60 of the 112 seed development CDGs, 66 of the 196 biotic and abiotic resistance or resistance CDGs, and 10 of the 48 oil biosynthesis CDGs were found to be associated with the corresponding traits. Among these trait-associated CDGs, 17 were common across various traits, for example, Glyma05 g06070 was associated with tolerance and SS, Glyma20 g10240 with SOC and SS, Glyma15 g17480 with flowering time and tolerance, and Glyma14 g16040 with flowering time, SS, and tolerance (Supplemental Table S11). Therefore, a total of 134 trait-associated CDGs were identified.

Common CDGs for Domesticated and Improved Traits

Of the 134 trait-associated CDGs, 29 overlapped with previous CDGs, 11 were exactly the same as candidate genes in previous trait association studies, and 66 were covered by previous domesticated and improved quantitative trait loci or their adjacent regions (Table 2 and Supplemental Table S12). Among the 29, 11, and 66 CDGs, six were common (Table 2), including the SOC gene Glyma20 g10240 (Zhou et al., 2015a), the SS gene Glyma08 g09310 (Bolon et al., 2014), the alkaline-salt tolerance (AST) gene Glyma05 g06070 (Mochida et al., 2010), and the FT genes Glyma14 g16040 and Glyma15 g17480 (Jung et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2012b; Xue et al., 2012; Chung et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2015b) (Table 2). More importantly, Glyma15 g17480 (GmZTL3) has been functionally characterized in soybean (Xue et al., 2012).

Of the above 29 common CDGs, 15 were found to be associated with the above domesticated and improved traits. For example, Glyma14 g02210 (Joshi et al., 2013) and Glyma20 g10240 (Zhou et al., 2015a) with SOC, Glyma02 g41700 (Li et al., 2013), Glyma02 g41710 (Li et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015), Glyma07 g39770, Glyma20 g10600 (Zhou et al., 2015a), Glyma13 g10260 (Chung et al., 2014), Glyma20 g12720 (Li et al., 2014) and Glyma19 g39960 (Han et al., 2015) with AST, Glyma08 g05550 (Li et al., 2013), Glyma07 g34530, Glyma09 g03490, Glyma20 g10360 and Glyma20 g10380 (Zhou et al., 2015a) with SS, and Glyma14 g16040 (Chung et al., 2014) with FT, SS, and AST, although some traits associated are different from those previously reported.

Differential Expression Analysis

With differential expression analysis, the RAN-seq data were used to identify DEGs between wild and cultivated soybeans in this study. Among 56,044 mapped soybean genes, a total of 22,659 and 18,024 DEGs were differentially expressed between No. 101 and 265 (DE101–265), and between No. 101 and 272 (DE101–272), respectively, at the 0.001 significance level (Supplemental Table S11). These
Candidate Artificial Selection Genes (CASGs) in Soybean

We detected the selective sweeps of modern breeding practice by calculating $\text{ROD} = 1 - \frac{p_{\text{bred}}}{p_{\text{landrace}}}$ (Xu et al., 2012). Although no genomic regions with significant difference were found at the ROD critical value of 0.98, 47 candidate artificial selection genes in nine regions were identified at the value of 0.90, and were close to QTL responsive for important agronomic traits such as seed oil, flood tolerance, drought index, first flower, and plant height (Supplemental Table S13).

Among these candidate genes, Glyma02g29830 was homologous to flowering time-related gene FBH in rice and Arabidopsis (Ito et al., 2012a), Glyma02g30885 was homologous to LOC_Os11g31450 strongly expressed in a susceptible cultivar for rice stripe virus (Kwon et al., 2012), and Glyma20g08560 was homologous to Medtr6g086560 regulating drought in Medicago (Zhang et al., 2014; Supplemental Table S13).

Enrichment analysis identified 39 significantly over-represented GO categories (Supplemental Fig. S3, Supplemental Table S14). Among these GO categories, three, one, three, and two significantly enriched terms ($P < 0.05$) for the biological processes were related to SOC (four genes), flowering time (six genes), tolerance (five genes), and seed development (four genes), respectively (Supplemental Table S13). Further association analysis showed that two of the four oil biosynthesis candidate genes, six of the six flowering time candidate genes, three of the five tolerance candidate genes, and four of the four seed development candidate genes were associated with the corresponding trait. Interestingly, the Glyma20g05170, Glyma20g05360, and Glyma20g05420 genes were associated with all the above four traits (Supplemental Table S14). Therefore, eight candidate artificial selection genes were confirmed by association studies.
Table 3. Validation of candidate domestication genes for two domestication traits in soybean using association studies and RNA-seq (sequenced) differential expression analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Chr.</th>
<th>No. of SNPs</th>
<th>Trait †</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>log2Fod</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>log2Fold</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glyma01g05820</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.33E-03 to 8.80E-03</td>
<td>3.71 to 4.23</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.22E-03</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma02g4310</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>5.84E-05 to 2.92E-03</td>
<td>3.79 to 6.67</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.08E-15</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma02g4320</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>2.43E-03</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>5.94E-16</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma03g41460</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>2.94E-03</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>3.93E-30</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma04g01130</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.34E-03 to 9.68E-03</td>
<td>4.63 to 5.22</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>4.66E-12</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma04g01140</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.72E-05</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>4.51E-07</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma05g6070</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>2.46E-03 to 9.68E-03</td>
<td>3.77 to 5.72</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>5.98E-11</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma05g17900</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>9.26E-07 to 8.22E-03</td>
<td>3.91 to 13.05</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.60E-10</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma06g34850</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.81E-05 to 7.17E-03</td>
<td>2.99 to 7.71</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>8.88E-15</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma06g02150</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.92E-03 to 5.43E-03</td>
<td>3.78 to 4.33</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>1.64E-09</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma06g08910</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.13E-03 to 5.70E-03</td>
<td>3.48 to 5.98</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.21E-12</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma06g09310</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>2.27E-04 to 7.69E-03</td>
<td>3.72 to 6.45</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>4.42E-14</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma06g28320</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.22E-03 to 5.15E-03</td>
<td>3.25 to 4.41</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>5.59E-09</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma06g53380</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>5.90E-05 to 9.29E-03</td>
<td>3.85 to 6.51</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>4.53E-11</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma09g0310</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.73E-04 to 8.88E-03</td>
<td>2.87 to 6.80</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>3.61E-15</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma09g3490</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>5.48E-03</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>4.35E-21</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma09g10560</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>2.50E-04 to 4.91E-03</td>
<td>7.94 to 9.72</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>8.00E-10</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma09g11740</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>3.99E-04 to 9.93E-03</td>
<td>3.31 to 5.11</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>3.59E-08</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma09g12420</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>6.98E-03</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>9.07E-07</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyma09g36720</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.77E-03 to 9.17E-03</td>
<td>3.75 to 5.01</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.31E-04</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† SOC, seed oil content; SS, seed size.

Conclusion

A total of 106,013 SNPs were identified by RAD-sequencing of 286 soybean accessions. The analyses of these SNPs revealed a high degree of differentiation between wild and cultivated soybeans; for example, the number of rare SNPs reduced gradually from wild to bred soybeans during domestication and genetic diversity was twofold larger in wild soybean than in cultivated soybean. A total of 330 CDGs were identified by the genetic diversity, domestication, GO enrichment, and gene expression analyses, and were homologs controlling flowering time, SS, and shattering, tolerance or resistance, and oil biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Of 134 trait-associated CDGs, 29 and 11 were consistent with previous CDGs.
and candidate genes in previous trait association studies, respectively, and 66 were close to previously identified domesticated and improved QTL, having six common CDGs, such as one functionally characterized gene *Glyma15 g17480* (*GmZTL3*). Of the 68 SS and SOC CDGs, 37 were further confirmed by gene expression analysis. In addition, eight genes were found to be related to artificial selection during modern breeding. This study provides an integrated approach for efficiently identifying CDGs and valuable information for evolution, molecular biology, and breeding in soybean.
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