

# *A reversal of climatic trends in the North Atlantic since 2005*

Article

Accepted Version

Robson, J. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3467-018X>, Ortega, P. and Sutton, R. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8345-8583> (2016) A reversal of climatic trends in the North Atlantic since 2005. *Nature Geoscience*, 9 (7). pp. 513-517. ISSN 1752-0894 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2727>  
Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/65519/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2727>

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



1 A reversal of climatic trends in the North Atlantic  
2 since 2005

3 Jon Robson\*, Pablo Ortega, Rowan Sutton

*NCAS-Climate, Department of Meteorology, University of Reading*

4 April 20, 2016

5 In the mid-1990s the North Atlantic subpolar gyre warmed rapidly (1), which  
6 had important climate impacts, such as increased hurricane numbers (2),  
7 and changes to rainfall over Africa, Europe and North America (3; 4). Ev-  
8 idence suggests that the warming was largely due to a strengthening of the  
9 ocean circulation, particularly the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circu-  
10 lation (AMOC) (1; 5; 6; 7). Since the mid-1990s direct and indirect mea-  
11 surements have suggested a decline in the strength of the ocean circulation  
12 (8; 9), which is expected to lead to a reduction in northward heat trans-  
13 port (10; 11). Here we show that since 2005 a large volume of the upper  
14 North Atlantic Ocean has cooled significantly by approximately  $-0.45\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  or  
15  $-1.5 \times 10^{22}\text{ J}$ , reversing the previous warming trend. By analysing observations  
16 and a state-of-the-art climate model, we show that this cooling is consistent  
17 with a reduction in the strength of the ocean circulation and heat transport,  
18 linked to record low densities in the deep Labrador Sea (9). The low density  
19 in the deep Labrador Sea is primarily due to deep ocean warming since 1995,  
20 but a long-term freshening also played a role. The observed upper ocean  
21 cooling since 2005 is not consistent with the hypothesis that anthropogenic  
22 aerosols directly drive Atlantic temperatures (12).

---

\*Corresponding author

23 Over the past 100 or so years the North Atlantic has experienced substantial multi-  
24 decadal changes in temperature (4), which have been linked to important climate impacts  
25 (2; 4; 3). It is widely hypothesised that changes in strength of the ocean circulation, and  
26 related heat transports, have been important for driving these changes in temperature  
27 (1; 5; 10; 11; 13; 14). However, the relative role of ocean circulation compared to other  
28 factors, such as anthropogenic aerosol forcing or surface flux changes, is still questioned  
29 (12; 15). Observations, direct and indirect, now suggest that the strength of the AMOC  
30 has declined recently (8; 9), and some studies have suggested a large-scale cooling of  
31 the North Atlantic should be expected over the current decade (11; 14; 16). Such a  
32 cooling would be a major contrast to the rapid warming that occurred in the 1990s. In  
33 this study we investigate recent changes in the North Atlantic Ocean state, and we use  
34 climate model simulations to interpret the processes involved.

35 Figures 1 and 2 show recent trends in the North Atlantic Ocean and atmosphere: Over  
36 the period 1990-2004 the upper ocean (0-700m) warmed significantly, particularly the  
37 subpolar gyre (SPG; 60-10°W, 50-65°N), and it also became more salty (figure 1 a-c),  
38 consistent with an increase in the AMOC and related heat and salt transports (10).  
39 Sea Surface Temperature (SST) warmed across the whole North Atlantic, including in  
40 the subtropical gyre. In the atmosphere, there was a trend towards a negative North  
41 Atlantic Oscillation (NAO (17)) pattern and a reduction in the strength of the westerlies  
42 and surface heat loss over the SPG (figure 2 a and c). There was also a decrease in  
43 windstress curl (and hence in Ekman upwelling) in the northeast SPG and northeast of  
44 Iceland, but an increase in the southeast SPG (figure 2 b). The atmospheric trends in  
45 figure 2 a-c are therefore consistent with some contribution from reduced surface heat  
46 flux (SHF) cooling and Ekman upwelling to the warming over the period 1990-2004 (1).  
47 However, previous modelling experiments indicate that the warming, particularly in the  
48 eastern SPG (35-10°W), was dominated by a strengthening of the AMOC and related  
49 ocean heat transport (1; 5; 6).

50 Over the period 2005-2014 a substantial cooling and freshening of the upper ocean in the  
51 North East Atlantic (50-10°W, 35-65°N) is evident (Figure 1 d-f). A significant cooling  
52 of SST is also observed centred on  $\sim 50^\circ\text{N}$  (fig. 1d). Along the western boundary south  
53 of Newfoundland a large warming and salinification trend is seen. The SST cooling



54 trend exhibits some sensitivity to the end-points of the trend analysis, but the heat and  
55 salinity content trends are not sensitive (figure S1). Therefore, we have confidence that  
56 the observed upper ocean cooling is a decadal time-scale change.

57 In the atmosphere, the 2005-2014 period shows a trend to lower pressure over the Eastern  
58 SPG (figure 2 d), and an increase in heat loss from the Labrador Sea and along the western  
59 boundary is also observed (see figure 2 f). The trends in windstress curl imply increased  
60 Ekman upwelling in the northeast SPG (see figure 2 e). Although the changes in surface  
61 fluxes and Ekman upwelling would both contribute a cooling, the spatial pattern of  
62 cool anomalies is more extensive and quite different (compare figures 1 e and 2 e and  
63 f). Additionally, the trend in SLP is sensitive to the inclusion of winter 2013/2014 (see  
64 supplementary figure S1), whereas the heat content trends are not (i.e. suggesting that  
65 SLP trends are not responsible for the cooling). Further, a quantitative estimate of the  
66 anomalous heat budget also suggests that SHFs and Ekman upwelling cannot account  
67 for the observed cooling (see supplementary figure S2). Taken together, the evidence  
68 suggests that the observed cooling of a large region of the upper North Atlantic Ocean  
69 since 2005 cannot be explained as a direct response to changes in atmospheric circulation  
70 over the same period.

71 The simultaneous cooling and freshening of the upper ocean is, however, consistent with  
72 a reduction in ocean circulation impacting on both northward heat and salt transport  
73 (e.g. (10)). Furthermore, the concurrent increases in heat and salt content seen along  
74 the western boundary are also consistent with a declining AMOC (18). We thus turn our  
75 attention to ocean circulation changes. Density in the deep Labrador Sea (i.e. averaged  
76 between 1000-2500m over 60-35°W, 50-65°N; see box on figure 1 a) has previously been  
77 postulated to be an important proxy of ocean circulation changes and northward heat  
78 transport in the North Atlantic (9; 19). In the late 1980s to mid 1990s density anomalies  
79 in the deep Labrador Sea increased significantly (figure 1 g) consistent with the anoma-  
80 lously strong local surface flux forcing by the persistent positive NAO trend (7). The  
81 peak in density anomalies led the rapid warming of the upper ocean in the SPG after  
82 1995, consistent with an important influence of the deep Labrador Sea density on the  
83 ocean circulation (1; 5; 7; 9; 7; 19).

84 Following the peak in the mid 1990s the deep Labrador Sea density index has decreased

85 dramatically (see figure 1g and (9)). Subsequently, beginning in 2005, the upper ocean  
86 temperature in the Eastern North Atlantic (50-10°W, 35-65°N; shown by the box on  
87 fig. 1 e) cooled significantly (see figure 1g). The change in 0-700m heat content over  
88 2005-2014 (assuming a linear trend) is equivalent to an average cooling of  $\sim 0.45^\circ\text{C}$  or  
89 a total cooling of  $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{22}\text{J}$ . Such a cooling is equivalent to a sustained surface flux  
90 cooling of  $\sim 4.5\text{Wm}^{-2}$  for a decade or sustained heat-budget deficit of  $\sim 0.05\text{PW}$  (for  
91 context, this corresponds to a sustained  $\sim 0.7\text{Sv}$  ( $1\text{Sv} = 10^6\text{m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ ) weakening of the  
92 AMOC at 26.5N for a decade (20))

93 To further investigate the role of ocean circulation in explaining the recent trends, we  
94 examine the relationship between the upper ocean state and the index of deep Labrador  
95 Sea density in a state-of-the-art model, HadGEM3-GC2, which is able to capture similar  
96 events to that observed (see fig 3 d). Figure 3 shows that, in the model, a cooling and  
97 freshening of the North Atlantic SPG follows a reduction in the deep Labrador Sea  
98 density. The cooling and freshening is especially strong in the eastern SPG (ESPG,  $\sim 38$ -  
99  $10^\circ\text{W}$ ,  $50$ - $62.5^\circ\text{N}$ ; see box on figure 3 b), and is also present in SSTs. Along the North  
100 American coast and in the Gulf Stream Extension a warming and salinification is also  
101 seen, similar to the observed 2005-2014 trend (fig 1 e). These changes in upper ocean  
102 heat content are associated with a decrease in the AMOC that occurs approximately  
103 simultaneously with the decrease in deep Labrador Sea density anomalies in this model  
104 (see figure 3 e), which is consistent with other high-resolution models (13). The evolution  
105 of upper ocean heat content anomalies is consistent with (but opposite sign to) the impact  
106 of increased ocean circulation and associated heat transport following an increase in deep  
107 Labrador Sea density seen in previous studies (10; 13).

108 The relationships simulated in the model are summarized in figure 3 e, which shows the  
109 cross-correlation of moving 15-year trends in deep Labrador Sea density with moving  
110 15-year trends of other key variables. 5-10 years before the maximum reduction in the  
111 Labrador Sea density there is a warming trend in the ESPG, and a trend to more negative  
112 NAO. The warming of the ESPG is followed, by a few years, by warming in the upper (i.e.  
113 0-700m) Labrador Sea, consistent with ocean advection and NAO-related local surface  
114 fluxes both playing a role (21; 22). The upper ocean (0-700m) in the Labrador Sea  
115 leads the deeper ocean (i.e. 1000-2500m) by a few years, consistent with lighter waters

116 in the upper Labrador Sea, and a reduction in deep convection (13; 22). A reduction  
117 in deep Labrador Sea density is then associated with a simultaneous weakening of the  
118 AMOC, which precedes a cooling and freshening of the ESPG by 5-10 years. Note that  
119 the cooling of the ESPG in the model is also associated with a strengthening of the NAO  
120 index towards more positive values, which peaks at lag 5. This trend in the NAO could  
121 act to amplify the cooling of the ESPG through increased turbulent heat loss (21; 22)  
122 but does not dominate the cooling of the ESPG in the model (see supplementary figure  
123 S6).

124 Although there is broad agreement between the model and observations, not surprisingly  
125 there are some differences. The observed trends are comparable with the largest trends  
126 found in the model. Thus, some of the difference between figures 1 and 3 could be due to  
127 comparing a composite of 9 events with a single extreme event (see figures S4 and S5).  
128 However, there is also uncertainty in the relationship between deep Labrador Sea density  
129 and ocean circulation. For example, the strength of the link between the overturning  
130 circulation at subpolar and subtropical latitudes (23), the role of spatial shifts in surface  
131 currents in the observed ocean heat-content trends (18; 24), and the relative roles of  
132 wind stress curl and buoyancy forcing for driving ocean circulation change (1; 25) are  
133 still not fully understood. Thus, further in-depth observational and model analyses, and  
134 advances, will be needed to tease apart the important processes.

135 In this paper we have shown that a large volume of the North Atlantic has cooled sig-  
136 nificantly since 2005, reversing the large warming seen in this region since 1990. Several  
137 lines of evidence suggest that the explanation for this reversal lies in significant changes  
138 in ocean circulation and associated transports. First, the magnitude and spatial pat-  
139 tern of the observed ocean changes cannot readily be explained as a local response to  
140 anomalous surface heat fluxes and Ekman pumping associated with concurrent trends  
141 in atmospheric circulation. Secondly, the spatial pattern of observed changes in salinity  
142 as well as in temperature - involving cooling and freshening in the North East Atlantic  
143 and warming and salinification along the western boundary - are consistent with the ex-  
144 pected fingerprint of changes in large scale ocean circulation as found in previous studies  
145 (10; 22), and further supported by specific analyses of model simulations presented in  
146 this study.

147 An interesting question is to what extent external forcings may have contributed to shap-  
148 ing the recent trends, and trend reversals in the North Atlantic. The observed cooling is  
149 *not* consistent with a dominant role for surface heat flux changes due to anthropogenic  
150 aerosols (12). Anthropogenic aerosol loads have decreased in the North Atlantic region  
151 since the 1990s, and would therefore be expected to have induced warming of Atlantic  
152 SSTs (26) in contrast to the observed cooling. The evidence we have presented *is* consis-  
153 tent with decadal variability in the NAO being a major driver of Atlantic Multidecadal  
154 Variability (1; 10; 22) through its important role in driving deep Labrador Sea density  
155 (7). However, the attribution of this NAO variability to external or internal factors  
156 remains very uncertain (27). It has also been hypothesised recently that Greenland Ice  
157 melt may be playing an important role in forcing a slowdown of the AMOC over the 20th  
158 Century (28). The decomposition of recent changes in deep Labrador Sea density into  
159 temperature and salinity contributions (see SI figure S7) shows - perhaps surprisingly  
160 - that, although a deep ocean warming is dominating the low density anomalies in the  
161 deep Labrador Sea since 1995, the waters here are not (yet) warmer than in the 1970s.  
162 However, the waters are fresher, supporting a small, but important, role for the accumu-  
163 lation of additional freshwater in the North Atlantic SPG (29; 28) in generating record  
164 low densities in the deep Labrador Sea, and hence a slowdown in AMOC. However, the  
165 magnitude of any anthropogenic contribution to this freshening is an open and important  
166 question (29; 28).

167 Finally, the deep Labrador Sea density is still anomalously low and has decreased over the  
168 past decade (see fig. 1), albeit at a slower rate. Given the lag between the deep Labrador  
169 Sea density and the upper ocean (i.e. figure 3) we would expect some further cooling of  
170 the North Atlantic to take place in agreement with other studies (11; 16; 14). If the North  
171 Atlantic cools further this would likely favour reduced rainfall in the Sahel region (3) and  
172 drier summers in Northern Europe (4), as well as a continued suppression of hurricane  
173 numbers (2). Additionally, the ongoing cooling could have important implications for the  
174 Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation and possibly global mean temperatures (30). Looking  
175 further ahead, the EN4 analyses also suggest that the observed cooling of the upper SPG  
176 is associated with a small increase in upper-ocean density (not shown). This increase  
177 could be the first stage in the next phase reversal of Atlantic Multidecadal Variability,  
178 as suggested by simulated mechanisms of natural internal variability (10; 22). Therefore,

179 monitoring and predicting the ongoing changes in the Atlantic Ocean, and the links to  
180 other regions, remains a key priority.

## 181 **1 Methods**

182 In this study we analyse recent changes in the North Atlantic in observed fields. Ocean  
183 temperatures (T) and salinity (S) are taken from the EN4.0.2 data set (31). Sea Surface  
184 Temperature (SST) is taken from HadISST (32). Surface pressure (SLP) and surface  
185 heat fluxes (SHF) are taken from NCEP reanalysis (33). Ocean potential density is  
186 calculated from the seasonal-mean EN4 data and is referenced to 2000m (i.e.  $\sigma_2$ ). The  
187 deep Labrador Sea density index is calculated by averaging density over 1000-2500m in  
188 the Labrador Sea (60-35°W,50-65°N, see box in figure 1 a). Note that, although the  
189 integral (in time) of anomalous surface heat fluxes (SHF) is related to the change in heat  
190 content, there remain substantial difficulties with calculating ocean heat budgets with  
191 the surface flux products available (34). Therefore, in figure 2 we focus on trends in  
192 SHF, which we assume are less sensitive to biases and uncertainties in SHF products.  
193 A more rigorous quantification of the role of SHFs is presented in the Supplementary  
194 Information.

195 We also analyse data from the latest coupled climate model from the UK Met Office,  
196 HadGEM3 - Global Configuration v2 (HadGEM3-GC2, (35)). This version of HadGEM3  
197 has an atmospheric resolution of  $\sim 60$  km in the extra-tropics and a vertical resolution  
198 of 85 levels. The ocean model is based on NEMO, and has a resolution of  $0.25^\circ$  and 75  
199 vertical levels. We use 300 years of annual-mean data taken from a control run (i.e. with  
200 no changes to external forcings) to focus on the models internal variability. Model drift  
201 is removed through linear detrending at each grid point.

202 For figure 3 we perform a composite trend analysis based on periods in the model's control  
203 simulation which show the largest reductions in deep Labrador Sea density. Specifically,  
204 we use a composite of 9 events which were defined by finding the 9 largest independent  
205 (i.e. the trends are not allowed to overlap) 15-year trends in  $\sigma_2$  averaged over the 1000-  
206 2500m in the Labrador Sea (60-35°W,50-65°N). Note that no smoothing is applied to

207 the data before trends are calculated, and an example of the variability (i.e. before  
208 calculating 15-year trends) in the Labrador Sea density and ocean heat content in the  
209 eastern SPG is shown in figure 3 d. We analyse 15-year trends in order to focus on decadal  
210 time-scale changes.

211 Composite spatial trends (i.e. figs. 3 a-c) for SST and upper-ocean (0-700m) temperature  
212 and salinity (T700 and S700, respectively) are offset from the trends in Labrador Sea  
213 density by a lag of 5 years (which is the lag with the largest significant correlation,  
214 see fig 3 e) in order to highlight changes that follow decreases in Labrador Sea density.  
215 The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index used in figure 3 e is calculated based on a  
216 pressure difference between Iceland and the Azores (17). The AMOC index is defined at  
217 the depth of maximum overturning from the climatological stream-function ( $\sim 1100\text{m}$ ).  
218 Not the Ekman variability is removed from the AMOC index (36) in order to focus on the  
219 geostrophic AMOC variability of the model. Note that figure 3 e is not sensitive to the use  
220 of rolling 15-year trends; the results are similar when calculating the cross-correlation with  
221 rolling 10-year trends or low-pass filtered time-series (i.e. where time-periods between  
222 10-60 years are retained).

223 Finally, to find if the trends in figure 3 are significantly different to zero, we perform  
224 a Monte Carlo significance test. Specifically, we compare the specific average of 9 15-  
225 year trends computed for figure 3 to a distribution representing all possible averages of  
226 the 9 15-year trends available from the control run. We compute this distribution at  
227 each grid-point by meaning 9 independent 15-year trends which are drawn at random,  
228 a total of 1000 times. The significance test applied to the observations in figure 1 and  
229 figure 2 simply shows where the magnitude of the linear trend is larger than two times  
230 the standard error of the residuals (i.e. the difference between the linear-trend and  
231 original time-series. These 'residuals' represent the variance not explained by the linear  
232 trend over the time period for which the trend is fitted.), assuming that the residuals are  
233 independent.

## 234 **2 Data Sources**

235 EN4 and HadISST data are provided by the UK Met Office (<http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/>).

236 NCEP reanalysis is provided by the USA National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Ad-  
 237 ministration's (NOAA) Earth System Research Laboratory (<http://www.esrl.noaa.gov>).

238 ERA-interim data is provided by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Fore-  
 239 casts (<http://www.ecmwf.int/en/research/climate-reanalysis/era-interim>). Finally, the  
 240 climate model data for HadGEM3-GC2 was provided to us by the UK Met Office.

## 241 **3 Code availability**

242 The code and scripts used to analyse the data are based on widely available tools, in-  
 243 cluding IDL, Ferret (available from NOAA, <http://www.ferret.noaa.gov/Ferret/>) and  
 244 the Climate Data Operators (available from the Max-Planck Institute for Meteorology,  
 245 <https://code.zmaw.de/projects/cdo>). Specific codes can be requested from the corre-  
 246 sponding author.

## 247 **References**

- 248 [1] Robson, J., Sutton, R., Lohmann, K., Smith, D. & Palmer, M. Causes of the Rapid  
 249 Warming of the North Atlantic ocean in the mid 1990s. *J Clim.* **25**, 4116–4134  
 250 (2012).
- 251 [2] Smith, D. M. *et al.* Skilful multi-year predictions of Atlantic Hurricane frequency.  
 252 *Nature geoscience* **3**, 846–849 (2010).
- 253 [3] Zhang, R. & Delworth, T. Impact of Atlantic multidecadal oscillations on india/sahel  
 254 rainfall and Atlantic hurricanes. *Geophys. Res. Lett* **33** (2006).
- 255 [4] Sutton, R. T. & Dong, B. Atlantic Ocean influence on a shift in European climate  
 256 in the 1990s. *Nature Geoscience* **5**, 788–792 (2012).
- 257 [5] Yeager, S., Karspeck, A., Danabasoglu, G., Tribbia, J. & Teng, H. A Decadal Pre-

- 258 diction Case Study: Late Twentieth-Century North Atlantic Ocean Heat Content.  
259 *Journal of Climate* **25**, 5173–5189 (2012).
- 260 [6] Robson, J. I., Sutton, R. T. & Smith, D. M. Initialized predictions of the rapid  
261 warming of the North Atlantic Ocean in the mid 1990s. *Geophys. Res. Lett* **25**,  
262 L19713 (2012).
- 263 [7] Yeager, S. & Danabasoglu, G. The origins of late-twentieth-century variations in the  
264 large-scale North Atlantic circulation. *Journal of Climate* **27**, 3222–3247 (2014).
- 265 [8] Smeed, D. *et al.* Observed decline of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation  
266 2004 to 2012. *Ocean Science Discussions* **10**, 1619–1645 (2013).
- 267 [9] Robson, J., Hodson, D., Hawkins, E. & Sutton, R. Atlantic overturning in decline?  
268 *Nature Geoscience* **7**, 2–3 (2014).
- 269 [10] Dong, B. & Sutton, R. T. Mechanism of Interdecadal Thermohaline Circulation  
270 Variability in a Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere GCM. *Journal of Climate* **18**, 1117–  
271 1135 (2005).
- 272 [11] Hermanson, L. *et al.* Forecast cooling of the Atlantic subpolar gyre and associated  
273 impacts. *Geophysical research letters* **41**, 5167–5174 (2014).
- 274 [12] Booth, B., Dunstone, N., Halloran, P., Andrews, T. & Bellouin, N. Aerosols im-  
275 plicated as a prime driver of twentieth-century North Atlantic climate variability.  
276 *Nature* **484**, 228–232 (2012).
- 277 [13] Hodson, D. L. & Sutton, R. T. The impact of resolution on the adjust-  
278 ment and decadal variability of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation  
279 in a coupled climate model. *Climate Dynamics* **39**, 3057–3073 (2012). URL  
280 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00382-012-1309-0>.
- 281 [14] McCarthy, G. D., Haigh, I. D., Hirschi, J. J.-M., Grist, J. P. & Smeed, D. A. Ocean  
282 impact on decadal Atlantic climate variability revealed by sea-level observations.  
283 *Nature* **521**, 508–510 (2015).
- 284 [15] Clement, A. *et al.* The Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation without a role for ocean  
285 circulation. *Science* **350**, 320–324 (2015).



- 286 [16] Kloewer, M., Latif, M., Ding, H., Greatbatch, R. J. & Park, W. Atlantic meridional  
287 overturning circulation and the prediction of North Atlantic sea surface temperature.  
288 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **406**, 1–6 (2014).
- 289 [17] Hurrell, J. W. Decadal Trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation: Regional Temper-  
290 atures and Precipitation. *Science* **269**, 676–679 (1995).
- 291 [18] Zhang, R. & Vallis, G. The role of bottom vortex stretching on the path of the  
292 North Atlantic western boundary current and on the northern recirculation gyre.  
293 *Journal of Physical Oceanography* **37**, 2053–2080 (2007).
- 294 [19] Roberts, C. D., Garry, F. K. & Jackson, L. C. A Multimodel Study of Sea Sur-  
295 face Temperature and Subsurface Density Fingerprints of the Atlantic Meridional  
296 Overturning Circulation. *Journal of Climate* **26**, 9155–9174 (2013).
- 297 [20] Johns, W. *et al.* Continuous, Array-Based Estimates of Atlantic Ocean Heat Trans-  
298 port at 26.5 n. *Journal of Climate* **24**, 2429–2449 (2011).
- 299 [21] Visbeck, M. *et al.* The ocean’s response to North Atlantic Oscillation variability. In  
300 *The North Atlantic Oscillation: Cinematic Significance and Environmental Impact*,  
301 113–146 (Amer. Geophys. Union, 2003).
- 302 [22] Menary, M. B., Hodson, D. L., Robson, J. I., Sutton, R. T. & Wood, R. A. A Mech-  
303 anism of Internal Decadal Atlantic Ocean Variability in a High-Resolution Coupled  
304 Climate Model. *Journal of Climate* **28**, 7764–7785 (2015).
- 305 [23] Lozier, M. S., Roussenov, V., Reed, M. S. & Williams, R. G. Opposing decadal  
306 changes for the North Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Nature Geoscience*  
307 **3**, 728–734 (2010).
- 308 [24] Hátún, H., Sandø, A. B., Drange, H., Hansen, B. & Valdimarsson, H. Influence of the  
309 Atlantic Subpolar Gyre on the Thermohaline Circulation. *Science* **309**, 1841–1844  
310 (2005).
- 311 [25] Barrier, N., Cassou, C., Deshayes, J. & Treguier, A.-M. Response of North Atlantic  
312 Ocean Circulation to Atmospheric Weather Regimes. *Journal of Physical Oceanog-  
313 raphy* **44**, 179–201 (2014).

- 314 [26] Gettelman, A., Shindell, D. & Lamarque, J. Impact of aerosol radiative effects on  
315 2000–2010 surface temperatures. *Climate Dynamics* 2165–2179 (2015).
- 316 [27] Pinto, J. G. & Raible, C. C. Past and recent changes in the North Atlantic oscillation.  
317 *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* **3**, 79–90 (2012).
- 318 [28] Rahmstorf, S. *et al.* Exceptional twentieth-century slowdown in Atlantic Ocean  
319 overturning circulation. *Nature Climate Change* **5**, 475–480 (2015).
- 320 [29] Curry, R. & Mauritzen, C. Dilution of the Northern North Atlantic Ocean in Recent  
321 Decades. *Science* **308**, 1772–1774 (2005).
- 322 [30] McGregor, S. *et al.* Recent walker circulation strengthening and Pacific cooling  
323 amplified by Atlantic warming. *Nature Climate Change* **4**, 888–892 (2014).
- 324 [31] Good, S. A., Martin, M. J. & Rayner, N. A. En4: Quality controlled ocean tempera-  
325 ture and salinity profiles and monthly objective analyses with uncertainty estimates.  
326 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **118**, 6704–6716 (2013).
- 327 [32] Rayner, N. *et al.* Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night  
328 marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century. *Journal of Geophysical*  
329 *Research-Atmospheres* **108**, 4407 (2003).
- 330 [33] Kalnay, E. *et al.* The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bulletin of the*  
331 *American Meteorological Society* **77**, 437–471 (1996).
- 332 [34] Josey, S., Gulev, S. & Yu, L. Exchanges through the ocean surface. In Sidler, G.,  
333 Griffies, S., Gould, J. & Church, J. (eds.) *Ocean Circulation and Climate: A 21st*  
334 *Century Perspective* (Academic Press, 2013).
- 335 [35] Williams, K. *et al.* The Met Office Global Coupled model 2.0 (GC2) configuration.  
336 *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions* **8**, 521–565 (2015).
- 337 [36] Baehr, J., Hirschi, J., Beismann, J. & Marotzke, J. Monitoring the meridional  
338 overturning circulation in the North Atlantic: A model-based array design study.  
339 *Journal of Marine Research* **62**, 283–312 (2004).

## 340 **4 Corresponding author**

341 Correspondence to Jon Robson

## 342 **5 Acknowledgements**

343 We thank the UK Met Office, and particularly Martin Andrews, for providing the model  
344 data used in this study. J.R. was supported by the Seasonal-to-Decadal Climate Pre-  
345 diction for the Improvement of European Climate Service project (SPECS, GA 308378)  
346 and J.R. and P.O were supported by the Dynamics and Predictability of the Atlantic  
347 Meridional Overturning and Climate project (DYNAMOC, NE/M005127/1). R.S. was  
348 supported by NERC via the National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS).

## 349 **6 Author contributions**


350 J.R. and R.S. jointly conceived the study. J.R. and P.O. analysed the observational and  
351 model data. J.R. led the writing of the manuscript with contributions and input from  
352 all authors.

Figure 1: Recent upper ocean trends in the North Atlantic. a) shows the linear trend in SST calculated over 1990-2004 [ $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{Decade}$ ] from HadISST. The stippling shows where the fitted trend is larger than  $2\sigma$  error in the residuals (see methods). b) and c) show the same as a) but now for 0-700m temperature and salinity (T700 [ $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{Decade}$ ] and S700 [PSU/Decade] respectively) as calculated from the EN4 data set. d)-f) the same as a)-c) but now for the 2005-2014 period. g) shows the time-series of T700 and  $S700 \times 10$  averaged over the Eastern North Atlantic ( $50\text{-}10^{\circ}\text{W}$ ,  $35\text{-}65^{\circ}\text{N}$ , which is shown on panel e); black and blue respectively), and the deep Labrador Sea density (DLS density, red) which is the 1000-2500m average density ( $\sigma_2$ ) in the Labrador Sea ( $60\text{-}35^{\circ}\text{W}$ ,  $50\text{-}65^{\circ}\text{N}$ , which is shown on panel a)). Anomalies in g) are made relative to 1961-1990.


Figure 2: The role of the atmosphere in recent changes in the North Atlantic. a) shows the linear trend in SLP calculated over 1990-2004 [hPa/Decade], from NCEP reanalysis. The stippling shows where the fitted trend is larger than 2 standard deviations of the residual errors (see methods). b) and c) show the same as a) but now for wind stress curl and annual-mean net surface fluxes (WSC [ $10^{-7} \text{ N m}^{-3}/\text{decade}$ ] and SHF [ $\text{W m}^{-2}/\text{decade}$ ] respectively) as calculated from the NCEP reanalysis data set. Note that positive windstress curl anomalies in b) represents increased Ekman upwelling, and positive SHF anomalies in c) represents a warming of the ocean. d)-f) the same as a)-c) but now for the 2005-2014 period.

Figure 3: Simulated ocean trends following a reduction in deep Labrador Sea density. a) shows the a composite of 15-year linear-trends in SST following the 9 strongest trends in Labrador Sea Density [ $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{Decade}$ ] where SST trends are offset by 5 years (i.e. the first year used to compute the SST trend lags the first year used to calculate the deep Labrador Sea density index by 5 years). Stippling shows where trends are significant at the  $p \leq 0.1$ , see methods for details. b) and c) show the same as a) but now for 0-700m average temperature anomaly (T700 [ $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{Decade}$ ]) and 0-700m average salinity anomaly S700 [PSU/Decade]). d) shows the standardized time-series of deep Labrador Sea density (DLS density, green), and the Eastern SPG [ $\sim 38\text{-}10^{\circ}\text{W}$ ,  $50\text{-}62.5^{\circ}\text{N}$ ; see box on 3 b] 0-700m temperature (ESPG T700) anomaly for a portion of the simulation. e) shows the lead/lag relationship between rolling 15-year trends in deep Labrador Sea (DLS) density, and the 15-year trends in AMOC at  $40^{\circ}\text{N}$  (with Ekman component removed - see methods, magenta), NAO index (red), Labrador Sea 0-700m temperature (LS T700, green), and the Eastern SPG (ESPG, blue) for 0-700m temperature (T700, solid) and 0-700m salinity (S700, dash). Positive lags show where the deep Labrador Sea density is leading the other variables. Note that for e) the Labrador Sea density anomalies are multiplied by -1 to show how the metrics evolve before and after a negative trend in deep Labrador Sea density.


a) SST 1990-2004




b) T700 1990-2004




c) S700 1990-2004




d) SST 2005-2014




e) T700 2005-2014




f) S700 2005-2014



g) North East Atlantic mean (50-10 °W;35-65 °N)



a) SLP 1990-2004




100W 80W 60W 40W 20W 0 20E

-2.5 -1.5 -0.5 1 2



-2 -1 0.5 1.5 2.5

b) WSC 1990-2004




100W 80W 60W 40W 20W 0 20E

-0.9 -0.5 -0.1 0.3 0.7




-0.7 -0.3 0.1 0.5 0.9

c) SHF 1990-2004




100W 80W 60W 40W 20W 0 20E

-20 -10 -2 5 15



-15 -5 2 10 20

d) SLP 2005-2014




100W 80W 60W 40W 20W 0 20E

-2.5 -1.5 -0.5 1 2



-2 -1 0.5 1.5 2.5

e) WSC 2005-2014




100W 80W 60W 40W 20W 0 20E

-0.9 -0.5 -0.1 0.3 0.7



-0.7 -0.3 0.1 0.5 0.9

f) SHF 2005-2014




100W 80W 60W 40W 20W 0 20E

-20 -10 -2 5 15




-15 -5 2 10 20


a) SST Trend (K/decade)




b) T700 Trend (K/decade)



c) S700 Trend (psu/decade)



d) Local Averages



e) Correlations vs D1000-2500 Labrador Trends

