

# APOLIPOPROTEIN E (epsilon) genotype has a greater impact on apoB-48 than apoB-100 responses to dietary fat manipulation- insights from the SATgenɛ study

Article

Accepted Version

Jackson, K. G. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0070-3203, Lockyer, S., Carvalho-Wells, A. L., Williams, C. M., Minihane, A. M. and Lovegrove, J. A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7633-9455 (2017) APOLIPOPROTEIN E (epsilon) genotype has a greater impact on apoB-48 than apoB-100 responses to dietary fat manipulation- insights from the SATgenɛ study. Molecular Nutrition and Food Research, 61 (4). 1600688. ISSN 1613-4133 doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201600688 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/68386/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201600688

Publisher: Wiley



All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

# www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

# CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# APOLIPOPROTEIN E (epsilon) genotype has a greater impact on apoB-48 than apoB-100 responses to dietary fat manipulation– insights from the SATgenε study Kim G Jackson<sup>1,2</sup>, Stacey Lockyer<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew L Carvalho-Wells<sup>1,2</sup>, Christine M Williams<sup>1,2</sup>, Anne M Minihane<sup>1,3</sup> and Julie A Lovegrove<sup>1,2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AP, <sup>2</sup>Institute for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Research (ICMR), University of Reading, UK, and <sup>3</sup>present address Department of Nutrition and Preventative Medicine, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ.

Address for correspondence:

Dr Kim Jackson, Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, PO Box 226, Whiteknights, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AP, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 (0) 118 378 5361, Fax: +44 (0) 118 378 7708 Email address: k.g.jackson@reading.ac.uk

Abbreviations: %E, percentage of energy; ANOVA, analysis of variance; apo, apolipoprotein; AUC, area under the curve; CHO, carbohydrate; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; LF, low fat; HDL-C, HDL cholesterol; HSF, high saturated fat; HSF-DHA, HSF diet with DHA; IAUC, incremental AUC; LDL-C, LDL cholesterol; maxC, maximum concentration; RP, retinyl palmitate; S<sub>f</sub>, Svedberg flotation rate; SFA, saturated fatty acid; TAG, triacylglycerol; TRL, TAG-rich lipoprotein. Keywords: *APOE* genotype; dietary fat quantity, docosahexaenoic acid; saturated fat, triacylglycerol

#### Abstract

**Scope:** To determine the contribution of intestinally and liver-derived lipoproteins to the postprandial plasma triacylglycerol (TAG) response in *APOE3/E3* and *E3/E4* individuals following chronic dietary fat manipulation.

**Methods and Results:** In sequential order, participants (n=12 *E3/E3*, n=11 *E3/E4*) followed low fat (LF); high-fat, high-saturated fat (HSF); and HSF with 3.45 g/day docosahexaenoic acid (HSF-DHA) diets, each for 8 weeks. After each dietary period, an acute test meal with a macronutrient profile representative of the dietary intervention was consumed. Apolipoprotein (apo)B isoforms were determined in isolated TAG-rich lipoprotein fractions (S<sub>f</sub>>400, S<sub>f</sub> 60-400 and S<sub>f</sub> 20-60) by specific ELISA. A genotype\*meal/diet interaction for the S<sub>f</sub>>400 fraction apoB-48 response (P<0.05) was observed, with higher concentrations reached after the LF than HSF-DHA meal in *E4* carriers. This finding was associated with a lower TAG content of the S<sub>f</sub>>400 particles. Fasting S<sub>f</sub> 60-400 and 20-60 apoB-48 concentrations were also significantly higher in *E4* carriers. No impact of genotype on the apoB-100 responses was evident.

**Conclusion:** Our study revealed marked effects of dietary fat composition on the  $S_f>400$  apoB-48 response and particle TAG content in *E4* carriers relative to the 'wild-type' *E3/E3* genotype, which suggest *APOE* genotype is a potential modulator of chylomicron particle synthesis.

#### **1. Introduction**

The concentration of triacylglycerol (TAG) in the fasting and postprandial (fed) state has been consistently associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk, although causality has not been fully established [1]. The contribution of the liver-derived TAG-rich lipoproteins (TRL), VLDL and their remnants, to the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis has been well described [2-4]. Elevated levels of chylomicrons and chylomicron remnants which circulate following a meal have also been directly and indirectly related to CVD risk. However, different mechanisms are thought to operate for the liver and intestinally-derived TRL [1]. Yet, insight into the atherogenicity of these intestinally-derived TRL have been slower to emerge due to methodological difficulties associated with the determination of their inherent apolipoprotein, apolipoprotein (apo)B-48, in plasma and TRL fractions [5].

The *APOE* (epsilon) genotype is considered to be an important genetic determinant of the inter-individual variation in postprandial lipid metabolism [6-8]. with higher TAG concentrations reported in *E4* carriers relative to the 'wild-type' *E3/E3* group [9]. However, little is known about the contribution of intestinally (apoB-48) and liver (apoB-100) derived TRL to the total TAG response according to *APOE* genotype. Of the studies conducted [10-13], *APOE* genotyping has been performed retrospectively resulting in differences in age, male/female ratio and subject numbers between the *E3/E3* and *E3/E4* study groups, which makes the independent impact of genotype difficult to establish with certainty. Using a prospective genotyping approach in the SATgene study, we aimed to determine for the first time, both the postprandial TRL apoB-48 and B-100 responses to meals of varying fat composition in *E3/E3* and *E3/E4* men, matched for age and BMI.

#### 2. Methods

### 2.1 Subjects and postprandial protocol

Details of the SATgene dietary intervention [8] and postprandial study [9] have been published elsewhere. The study was given a favourable ethical opinion for conduct by the University of Reading Research Ethics committee (project 08/62) and is registered at Clinicaltrials.gov I.D NCT0138. All subjects gave informed consent prior to participation in the study. Briefly 23 men prospectively recruited according to *APOE* genotype (n=12 *E3/E3* and n=11 *E3/E4*) underwent a postprandial investigation at the end of the 8 week prescribed iso-energetic low fat (LF) diet, high fat, high saturated fat (HSF) diet and HSF diet with 3.45 g/day of docosahexaenoic acid (HSF-DHA). The macronutrient composition of the diets and test meals are shown in Table 1. After an overnight fast, the subjects attended the clinical investigation unit where an indwelling cannula was inserted into a vein in the forearm, and a fasting blood sample (0 min) was taken. The test meal was given in the form of a warm chocolate drink containing the test oils with toast and jam, and consumed within 20 min. For isolation of the TRL fractions, Svedberg flotation rate (Sf)>400 (predominately chylomicrons), Sf 60-400 (large TAG-rich VLDL1) and Sf 20-60 (smaller TAG-poor VLDL2), blood was collected at 180, 240, 300, 360 and 480 min post meal.

#### 2.2 TRL isolation and apoB analysis

Blood samples and TRL fractions were isolated as previously described [9]. ApoB-48 and B-100 were measured in the TRL fractions using specific in-house ELISAs [14]. For the apoB-100 ELISA, some modifications to the original method were made. Briefly, the ELISA plate was coated with 1 mg/ml of a 1:1 mixture of apoB-100 monoclonal antibodies 4G3 and 5E11 (Ottawa Heart Institute Research Corporation, University of Ottawa) in 0.1 M bicarbonatecarbonate buffer (pH 9.6) for 16 h at 4°C. After washing with 0.02 M PBS containing 0.05% (by volume) Tween 20 and 0.1% BSA (PBSBT), the plate was blocked with 150 µl of 0.02M PBS, 0.025% (by volume) Tween 20 and 3% BSA at 37°C for 1 h. A 9 point standard curve was prepared by serial dilution of LDL (density=1.019-1.063 g/ml, Source Bioscience, Nottinghamshire, UK) in PBSBT to produce a concentration range of apoB-100 from 1.25  $\mu$ g/ml to 5 ng/ml. The S<sub>f</sub>>400 fraction was diluted 1:6, the S<sub>f</sub> 60-400 fraction 1:1000 and S<sub>f</sub> 20-60 fraction 1:2000 in PBSBT prior to the addition to the plate. Standards, samples and quality controls (100  $\mu$ l/well) were added in duplicate to the plate and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. The plate was washed, 100  $\mu$ l of goat anti-apoB antibody conjugated to horse radish peroxidase (Source Bioscience) was added to the plate at a final dilution of 1:20,000, and incubated for a further 2 h at 37°C. After washing the plate, 100  $\mu$ l of 3, 3', 5, 5'tetramethylbenzamidine substrate (Sigma, Dorset, UK) was added for 30 min and reaction stopped by the addition of 1M HCL. The absorbance was read at 450 nm. The inter-assay CVs for the apoB-48 and apoB-100 assays were less than 10%.

#### 2.3 Statistical analysis

Details of the sample size calculation for the postprandial study have been previously described [9]. Data were analysed using PASW statistics 18 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). All data were checked for normality and log transformed where necessary before statistical analysis. Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) determined the effects of the meals on the postprandial time course responses, with 'meal' and 'time' as the within-subject factors and 'genotype' as the between-subjects factor. A one-within, one-between repeated measures ANOVA analysed differences in the fasting concentrations and postprandial summary measures between the genotype groups. For a significant main effect of 'diet/meal', Student's paired t-tests were performed, with a Holm's sequential Bonferroni correction applied to correct for significant pairwise differences. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Values of  $P \le 0.05$  were taken as significant.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1 ApoB-48 responses

The impact of the test meals on the postprandial apoB-48 response in the S<sub>f</sub>>400 fraction (predominately chylomicrons) was influenced by *APOE* genotype (meal\*genotype interaction, *P*=0.030), with higher apoB-48 concentrations following the LF compared with the HSF-DHA meal in the *E3/E4* group only (Fig.1). This was reflected in the greater AUC (44%), IAUC (53%) and maxC (53%) after the LF than HSF-DHA meal (*P*≤0.010; Table 2). ApoB-48 responses were not different between meals in the *E3/E3* group (Fig. 1 and Table 2).

Since meal\*genotype interactions were not evident for the apoB-48 responses in the  $S_f$  60-400 and  $S_f$  20-60 TRL fractions, data for the two genotype groups within each TRL fraction were combined to determine the overall effects of the diet/meals on the fasting and postprandial responses. In the  $S_f$  60-400 fraction, fasting apoB-48 concentrations were higher after the LF than HSF-DHA diet (*P*=0.006; Supplementary Table 1). A higher postprandial time course response, AUC, IAUC and maxC was also observed after the LF than HSF and HSF-DHA meals (*P*≤0.017; Supplementary Fig.1 and Supplementary Table 1). Only the apoB-48 IAUC (found to be higher after the HSF compared with the LF meal) was influenced by meal composition in  $S_f$  20-60 TRL fraction (*P*=0.005; Supplementary Table 1).

Independent of the diet/meals, *APOE* genotype was shown to influence fasting apoB-48 concentrations. Compared with the wild-type *E3/E3* group, *E4* carriers had higher concentrations in both the S<sub>f</sub> 60-400 (46.5 vs. 63.5 pmol/l; *P*=0.048) and S<sub>f</sub> 20-60 (47.8 vs 78.1 pmol/l; *P*=0.003) fractions. A genotype effect was also observed for maxC reached after the meals in the S<sub>f</sub> 20-60 fraction, with on average higher apoB-48 concentrations in the *E3/E4* (160 pmol/l) than *E3/E3* (122 pmol/l) group (*P*=0.035).

#### **3.2 ApoB-100 responses**

Independent of genotype, diet/test meal composition only influenced fasting and postprandial apoB-100 concentrations in the S<sub>f</sub> 60-400 TRL fraction (P<0.001; Supplementary Table 1). Fasting concentrations were 52% and 42% lower after the HSF-DHA than LF and HSF diets, respectively. The postprandial time response profile, AUC and maxC were also lower after HSF-DHA compared with the other test meals (P≤0.005; Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). There was no impact of diet/meal composition or genotype on fasting apoB-100 concentration or postprandial responses determined in the S<sub>f</sub>>400 and S<sub>f</sub> 20-60 fractions.

#### 3.3 S<sub>f</sub>>400 fraction TRL composition

As each chylomicron particle has only one apoB-48 molecule, the TAG, cholesterol and apoE concentrations [9] were expressed as a ratio of the apoB-48 concentration in order to establish particle composition and gain insight into possible mechanisms underlying the differences in the S<sub>f</sub>>400 fraction apoB-48 responses between the meals in the *E4* carriers. There was a significant meal\*genotype interaction for the TAG:apoB-48 ratio (an indicator of particle TAG content) of the S<sub>f</sub>>400 particles after the meal (*P*=0.015), with a higher ratio observed after the HSF than LF meal in the *E4* carriers only (*P*=0.009; Fig.2). The IAUC for the postprandial TAG:apoB-48 ratio was also lower after the LF meal than following the HSF and HSF-DHA meals (*P*≤0.023). Differences were not evident in the postprandial TAG:apoB-48 ratio between meals in the *E3/E3* group. Meal composition and genotype did not influence the cholesterol:apoB-48 or apoE:apoB-48 ratios (data not shown).

#### 4. Discussion

An *APOE4* genotype (20-25% Caucasians) has been associated with reduced longevity [15] and increased CVD risk [16], with an impact on fasting and postprandial lipid metabolism likely to significantly contribute to the higher CVD incidence. Here, in the SATgenɛ study, we observed a greater responsiveness of the  $S_f>400$  fraction apoB-48 response to diet/meals of varying fat content and composition in *E4* carriers, with little impact of *APOE* genotype on TRL apoB-100 responses.

Only three studies have determined postprandial TRL apoB-48 and apoB-100 responses according to APOE genotype [10, 11, 13], but findings are inconsistent. In two studies [10, 11], similar fasting and postprandial TRL apoB-48:B-100 ratios and retinyl palmitate (RP, an indirect marker of chylomicrons and their remnants) concentrations were observed in response to high fat meals (100-105 g) in E3/E3 and E4 carriers. Using stable isotopes (a primed constant infusion of deuterated leucine) in the fed state, Welty et al. [13] reported *E3/E4* individuals to have a significantly lower postprandial TRL (d<1.006 g/ml) apoB-100 production rate after small meals (each equivalent to 1/20<sup>th</sup> of their daily food intake) representative of an American diet (36% fat) whereas the TRL apoB-48 pool size and clearance of chylomicron remnants were not different between the genotype groups. In contrast to previous studies which genotyped retrospectively, we prospectively recruited according to APOE genotype and matched the groups for age and BMI, two important determinants of the postprandial lipaemic response [17, 18]. E4 carriers had higher fasting  $S_{f}$ 60-400 and S<sub>f</sub> 20-60 apoB-48 concentrations, and reached higher postprandial (maxC) concentrations in the  $S_f$  20-60 fraction than *E3/E3* individuals, with apoB-100 responses similar in the genotype groups. The technique used to measure intestinally-derived lipoproteins may provide an explanation for the inconsistent findings on the effects of APOE genotype on TRL apoB responses. Early studies [10, 11] included RP in the test meal to label the lipid moiety of chylomicrons and determined RP responses in plasma and TRL fractions.

However, discordance in the data from studies comparing apoB-48 (the integral protein component of chylomicrons) with RP responses, has highlighted limitations of the latter as a specific marker of chylomicron remnants [19]. Furthermore, the isolation of only TAG-rich and TAG-poor TRL fractions in the earlier studies compared with the three distinct TRL fractions of progressively increasing density and decreasing particle size [20] in the current study, may have masked the specific effects of *APOE* genotype on TRL apoB-48 metabolism.

A novel aspect of our study design is the use of test meals which were representative of the fat content and composition of the intervention diet, providing a more physiological assessment of the effects of diets with varying fat composition on postprandial lipaemia. As far as the authors are aware, only one other study has determined the effects of habitual dietary fat manipulation on TRL apoB responses according to *APOE* genotype [12]. Following a high-fat PUFA-rich diet for 29 days, fasting concentrations of TRL apoB-48 and B-100 were similar in the *E3/E3* and *E3/E4* groups, with *E4* carriers showing a delayed clearance of both apoB-48 and B-100 TRL remnants after the test meals, irrespective of whether they were enriched in SFA (PUFA/SFA ratio = 0.2) or PUFA (PUFA/SFA ratio = 1.3). In the present study, greater postprandial changes in apoB-48, but not B-100 in response to the test meal fat content and composition were evident in *E4* carriers. In particular, higher S<sub>f</sub>>400 apoB-48 responses and IAUC after the LF than HSF-DHA meal are suggestive of either a greater production and/or impaired clearance of chylomicrons after the low fat, high carbohydrate test meal.

Insulin plays a pivotal role in chylomicron metabolism by regulating apoB-48 secretion, the activity of the hydrolytic enzyme lipoprotein lipase and the expression of hepatic remnant receptors [21, 22]. Acutely, insulin secretion has been shown to be influenced by test meal fat composition [23]. In the current study, as we have previously

reported, the insulin and glucose responses to the meals were similar within each genotype group [9], indicating that differences in insulin action do not contribute to the marked effects of meal composition on apoB-48 responses in *APOE4* carriers. Animal studies have reported gut hormones, in particular glucagon-like peptide 2 to play an important role in stimulating intestinal lipid absorption and chylomicron production [24-26] but findings in humans are limited [27]. The impact of *APOE* genotype on gut hormone production is currently unknown.

Previous reports by ourselves [14] and others [28, 29] have suggested that the meal fat composition could influence the lipid and apolipoprotein content of TRL particles which has been proposed to affect their rate of metabolism in the circulation. A notable difference in the TAG content (TAG:apoB-48 ratio) of the postprandial S<sub>f</sub>>400 TRLs after the meals was evident, with a lower TAG content and smaller incremental change in the TAG enrichment of the TRL particles after the LF relative to the high-fat meals. Since TRL particle size is determined primarily by the TAG content, the smaller chylomicrons circulating after the LF than HSF-DHA meal may have been more resistant to hydrolysis by lipoprotein lipase which could result in delayed clearance and provide an explanation for the greater S<sub>f</sub>>400 fraction apoB-48 response relative to the HSF-DHA meal. Although the apoE content of the S<sub>f</sub>>400 particles was not different, the apoE isoform (E3 versus E4) present on the surface of the TRLs may have also influenced their metabolism in the circulation. In particular, apoE3 shows a greater preference for smaller TAG-poor TRL whereas apoE4 binds specifically to larger TAG-rich particles [30, 31] and is associated with a more atherogenic lipoprotein profile [30]. We speculate that the larger TAG-rich chylomicrons circulating after the HSF-DHA meal (than LF meal) were more enriched with apoE4, facilitating a more rapid conversion to smaller denser remnant particles than after the LF meal. However, similar  $S_{\rm f}$ 20-60 fraction apoB-48 responses (representing small chylomicrons and chylomicron

remnants) were observed after the HSF-DHA and LF meals, which were lower relative to the HSF meal. It is unclear from our data whether differences in production rate and clearance of these particles from the circulation or rates of conversion between  $S_f>400$  to  $S_f$  60-400 and  $S_f$  20-60 fractions were responsible for these findings.

With the increasing recognition of the contribution of raised levels of intestinallyderived lipoproteins after a fat containing meal to CVD risk, there is considerable interest in the effects of diet on postprandial fat handling and TRL composition. Our study has revealed marked effects of the LF diet/meal on S<sub>f</sub>>400 apoB-48 responses in E4 carriers relative to the HSF-DHA diet/meal, which was associated with a notable difference in the estimated TAG content of the chylomicron particles. Although the postprandial  $S_f > 400$  TAG time response profiles were comparable after the LF, HSF and HSF-DHA diet/meals, our findings indicate that consumption of the lower fat and higher carbohydrate diet may have impacted on pathways regulating chylomicron synthesis and particle lipid composition, particularly in APOE3/E4 individuals. Our novel findings are of interest and potentially lend support to a recent study in men classified with the metabolic syndrome [32] which proposed that the enterocyte does not just process dietary TAG but may also upregulate pathways for the synthesis of fatty acids (de novo lipogenesis) during higher intakes of carbohydrate. The APOE genotype now represents one of the few common genotypes consistently associated with longevity, chronic disease risk and responsiveness to dietary manipulation. Therefore, further studies, in particular kinetic studies using stable isotopes, are warranted to confirm our findings and provide insights into mechanisms underlying the effects of APOE genotype on intestinal fat absorption, chylomicron synthesis and metabolism.

### **Author Contributions**

AMM, KGJ, JAL and CMW designed the study; SL and ACW were responsible for running the study and managing the clinical visits, and ACW performed the TRL isolation; KGJ performed the apoB-48 and apoB-100 analysis, conducted the statistical analysis and wrote the manuscript with JAL and AMM. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Mrs Jan Luff for help with subject recruitment and clinical visits, and Dr John Wright, Mrs Rada Mihaylova, Mrs Michelle Robinson for assistance with cannulation. We also thank Croda Healthcare (UK) and AarhusKarlshamn UK Ltd for supplying the study oils. This study was funded by the Wellcome Trust (WT085045MA).

### **Conflict of interest statement**

The authors had no conflicts of interest.

## 5. References

[1] Jackson KG, Poppitt SD, Minihane AM. Postprandial lipemia and cardiovascular disease risk: Interrelationships between dietary, physiological and genetic determinants. Atherosclerosis. 2012;220:22-33.

[2] Chapman MJ, Le Goff W, Guerin M, Kontush A. Cholesteryl ester transfer protein: at the heart of the action of lipid-modulating therapy with statins, fibrates, niacin, and cholesteryl ester transfer protein inhibitors. European Heart Journal. 2010;31:149-64.

[3] Ginsberg HN. New perspectives on atherogenesis role of abnormal triglyceride-rich lipoprotein metabolism. Circulation. 2002;106:2137-42.

[4] Twicker TB, Dallinga-Thie GM, Cohn JS, Chapman MJ. Elevated remnant-like particle cholesterol concentration - A characteristic feature of the atherogenic lipoprotein phenotype. Circulation. 2004;109:1918-25.

[5] Jackson KG, Williams CM. Apolipoprotein B-48: comparison of fasting concentrations measured in normolipidaemic individuals using SDS-PAGE, immunoblotting and ELISA. Atherosclerosis. 2004;176:207-17.

[6] Masson LF, McNeill G, Avenell A. Genetic variation and the lipid response to dietary intervention: a systematic review. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2003;77:1098-111.
[7] Lopez-Miranda J, Williams C, Lairon D. Dietary, physiological, genetic and pathological influences on postprandial lipid metabolism. The British journal of nutrition. 2007;98:458-73.
[8] Carvalho-Wells AL, Jackson KG, Lockyer S, Lovegrove JA, Minihane AM. APOE genotype influences triglyceride and C-reactive protein responses to altered dietary fat intake in UK adults. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2012;96:1447-53.

[9] Jackson KG, Lockyer S, Carvalho-Wells AL, Williams CM, Minihane AM, Lovegrove JA. Dietary fat manipulation has a greater impact on postprandial lipid metabolism than the apolipoprotein E (epsilon) genotype-insights from the SATgene study. Molecular Nutrition & Food Research. 2012;56:1761-70.

[10] Weintraub MS, Eisenberg S, Breslow JL. Dietary-fat clearance in normal subjects is regulated by genetic-variation in apolipoprotein-E. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1987;80:1571-7.

[11] Boerwinkle E, Brown S, Sharrett AR, Heiss G, Patsch W. Apolipoprotein-E polymorphism influences postprandial retinyl palmitate but not triglyceride concentrations. American Journal of Human Genetics. 1994;54:341-60.

[12] Bergeron N, Havel RJ. Prolonged postprandial responses of lipids and apolipoproteins in triglyceride-rich lipoproteins of individuals expressing an apolipoprotein epsilon 4 allele. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1996;97:65-72.

[13] Welty FK, Lichtenstein AH, Barrett PHR, Jenner JL, Dolnikowski GG, Schaefer EJ. Effects of ApoE genotype on ApoB-48 and ApoB-100 kinetics with stable isotopes in humans. Arteriosclerosis Thrombosis and Vascular Biology. 2000;20:1807-10.

[14] Jackson KG, Wolstencroft EJ, Bateman PA, Yaqoob P, Williams CM. Greater enrichment of triacylglycerol-rich lipoproteins with apolipoproteins E and C-III after meals rich in saturated fatty acids than after meals rich in unsaturated fatty acids. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2005;81:25-34.

[15] Beekman M, Blanche H, Perola M, Hervonen A, Bezrukov V, Sikora E, et al. Genomewide linkage analysis for human longevity: Genetics of Healthy Aging Study. Aging Cell. 2013;12:184-93.

[16] Bennet AM, Di Angelantonio E, Ye Z, Wensley F, Dahlin A, Ahlbom A, et al. Association of apolipoprotein E genotypes with lipid levels and coronary risk. Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association. 2007;298:1300-11.

[17] Carvalho-Wells AL, Jackson KG, Gill R, Olano-Martin E, Lovegrove JA, Williams CM, et al. Interactions between age and apoE genotype on fasting and postprandial triglycerides levels. Atherosclerosis. 2010;212:481-7.

[18] Jackson KG, Clarke DT, Murray P, Lovegrove JA, O'Malley B, Minihane AM, et al. Introduction to the DISRUPT postprandial database: subjects, studies and methodologies. Genes Nutr. 2009;5:39-48.

[19] Lemieux S, Fontani R, Uffelman KD, Lewis GF, Steiner G. Apolipoprotein B-48 and retinyl palmitate are not equivalent markers of postprandial intestinal lipoproteins. Journal of Lipid Research. 1998;39:1964-71.

[20] Redgrave TG, Carlson LA. Changes in plasma very low-density and low-density lipoprotein content, composition, and size after a fatty meal in normo-triglyceridaemic and hypertriglyceridaemic man. Journal of Lipid Research. 1979;20:217-29.

[21] Laatsch A, Merkel M, Talmud PJ, Grewal T, Beisiegel U, Heeren J. Insulin stimulates hepatic low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1) to increase postprandial lipoprotein clearance. Atherosclerosis. 2009;204:105-11.

[22] Dash S, Xiao CT, Morgantini C, Lewis GF. New Insights into the Regulation of Chylomicron Production. In: Bowman BA, Stover PJ, editors. Annual Review of Nutrition, Vol 352015. p. 265-94.

[23] Storlien LH, Baur LA, Kriketos AD, Pan DA, Cooney GJ, Jenkins AB, et al. Dietary fats and insulin action. Diabetologia. 1996;39:621-31.

[24] Hein GJ, Baker C, Hsieh J, Farr S, Adeli K. GLP-1 and GLP-2 as Yin and Yang of Intestinal Lipoprotein Production Evidence for Predominance of GLP-2-Stimulated

Postprandial Lipemia in Normal and Insulin-Resistant States. Diabetes. 2013;62:373-81. [25] Hsieh J, Trajcevski KE, Farr SL, Baker CL, Lake EJ, Taher J, et al. Glucagon-Like Peptide 2 (GLP-2) Stimulates Postprandial Chylomicron Production and Postabsorptive Release of Intestinal Triglyceride Storage Pools via Induction of Nitric Oxide Signaling in Male Hamsters and Mice. Endocrinology. 2015;156:3538-47.

[26] Baldassano S, Amato A, Mule F. Influence of glucagon-like peptide 2 on energy homeostasis. Peptides. 2016;86:1-5.

[27] Matikainen N, Bjornson E, Soderlund S, Boren C, Eliasson B, Pietillaien KH, et al. Minor Contribution of Endogenous GLP-1 and GLP-2 to Postprandial Lipemia in Obese Men. Plos One. 2016;11.

[28] Sakr SW, Attia N, Haourigui M, Paul JL, Soni T, Vacher D, et al. Fatty acid composition of an oral load affects chylomicron size in human subjects. British Journal of Nutrition. 1997;77:19-31.

[29] Mekki N, Charbonnier M, Borel P, Leonardi J, Juhel C, Portugal H, et al. Butter differs from olive oil and sunflower oil in its effects on postprandial lipemia and triacylglycerol-rich lipoproteins after single mixed meals in healthy young men. Journal of Nutrition. 2002;132:3642-9.

[30] Mahley RW, Rall SC. Apolipoprotein E: Far more than a lipid transport protein. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics. 2000;1:507-37.

[31] Phillips MC. Apolipoprotein E Isoforms and Lipoprotein Metabolism. Iubmb Life. 2014;66:616-23.

[32] Shojaee-Moradie F, Ma Y, Lou S, Hovorka R, Umpleby AM. Prandial hypertriglyceridemia in metabolic syndrome is due to an overproduction of both chylomicron

and VLDL triacylglycerol. Diabetes. 2013;62:4063-9.

#### **FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1**: Mean  $\pm$  SEM for the apoB-48 responses in the Svedberg flotation rate (S<sub>f</sub>)>400 fraction after consumption of test meals representative of the low fat diet (LF, open squares), high saturated fat diet (HSF, closed circles) and HSF diet with fish oil (HSF-DHA, open circles) in the *APOE3/E3* (n = 12) and *APOE3/E4* (n = 11) groups. For the *E3/E4* group, there was a significant test meal (P=0.032) and time (P<0.001) effect, with a trend for a meal\*time interaction (P=0.077).

**Figure 2**: Mean  $\pm$  SEM for the change in triacylglycerol (TAG) content of the Svedberg flotation rate (S<sub>f</sub>)>400 fraction lipoproteins (presented as number of TAG molecules per apoB-48 particle) after consumption of test meals representative of the low fat diet (LF, open squares), high fat, high saturated fat diet (HSF, closed circles) and HSF diet with fish oil (HSF-DHA, open circles) in the *APOE3/E3* (*n* = 12) and *APOE3/E4* (*n* = 11) groups. For the *E3/E4* group, there was a significant test meal (*P*=0.018) and time (*P*<0.001) effect.

Composition	Diets <sup>†</sup>			Test meals		
	LF	HSF	HSF-DHA	LF	HSF	HSF-DHA
%Energy from fat	24.0	38.0	38.0	27.5	37.8	37.8
SFA	8.0	18.0	18.0	8.3	18.0	18.3
MUFA	8.0	12.0	12.0	12.2	12.2	12.2
PUFA	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.4	6.4	6.6
%Energy from CHO	55.0	45.0	45.0	55.0	45.0	45.1
%Energy from protein	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0

# Table 1: Macronutrient composition of the diets and test meals

<sup>†</sup>Target daily intake. Abbreviations: CHO, carbohydrate; HSF, high fat, high saturated fat diet; HSF-DHA, HSF diet with 3.45 g/day docosahexaenoic acid; LF, low fat; SFA, saturated fatty acid.

Table 2: Postprandial summary measures for the Sf>400 fraction apoB-48 responses inthe APOE3/E3 and APOE3/E4 groups

		ANOVA		
	LF	HSF	HSF-DHA	meal (P=)
<b>APOE3/E3</b> (n=12)				
Fasting (pmol/l)	$15.2\pm2.3$	$13.5\pm2.3$	$16.5\pm2.6$	0.815
AUC	$22.1\pm3.2$	$22.8\pm4.5$	$25.0\pm5.6$	0.585
IAUC	$14.8\pm3.1$	$16.3\pm4.2$	$17.0\pm5.3$	0.857
maxC (pmol/l)	$95\pm13$	$104\pm25$	$107\pm28.0$	0.831
<b>APOE3/E4</b> (n=11)				
Fasting (pmol/l)	$15.9\pm2.3$	$15.7\pm1.8$	$15.8\pm2.3$	0.954
AUC	$48.0\pm10.6^{\ast}$	$28.9\pm5.1$	$26.6\pm7.5$	0.007
IAUC	$40.4\pm10.7^{\ast}$	$21.4\pm5.0$	$19.0\pm7.2$	0.034
maxC (pmol/l)	$201\pm50^{\dagger}$	$117\pm21$	$95\pm26$	0.003

Values represent mean ± SEM. Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; HSF, high fat, high saturated fat diet; HSF-DHA, HSF diet with 3.45 g/day docosahexaenoic acid; IAUC, incremental AUC; LF, low fat ; maxC, maximum concentration. AUC and IAUC are expressed as pmol/l x 480 min.

\**P* $\leq$ 0.01, compared with HSF-DHA meal, <sup>†</sup>*P* $\leq$ 0.013, compared with HSF and HSF-DHA meals.







