

# Delayed chilling appears to counteract flowering advances of apricot in southern UK

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Martinez-Luscher, J., Hadley, P., Ordidge, M. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0115-5218, Xu, X. and Luedeling, E. (2017) Delayed chilling appears to counteract flowering advances of apricot in southern UK. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 237-238. pp. 209-218. ISSN 0168-1923 doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.02.017 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/69256/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.02.017

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



# CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# **Delayed chilling appears to counteract flowering advances**

## 2

# of apricot in southern UK

3 Johann Martínez-Lüscher<sup>1\*</sup>, Paul Hadley<sup>2</sup>, Matthew Ordidge<sup>2</sup>, Xiangming Xu<sup>3</sup> and Eike

## 4 Luedeling<sup>4,5</sup>

- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Oakville Experiment Station, Department of Viticulture and Enology, University of California Davis
- 6 Oakville, California 94562, USA
- 7 <sup>2</sup>Centre for Horticulture, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading,
- 8 Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AR, UK
- 9 <sup>3</sup>Genetics and Crop Improvement Programme, East Malling Research, New Road, East Malling ME19
- 10 6BJ, UK
- 11 <sup>4</sup>World Agroforestry Centre, Nairobi 00100, Kenya
- 12 <sup>5</sup>Centre for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn, Bonn 53113, Germany

## 13 **\*Corresponding author:**

- 14 Dr. Johann Martínez-Lüscher
- 15 jmluscher@gmail
- 16 Genetics and Crop Improvement, East Malling Research
- 17 New Road, East Malling. ME19 6BJ, UK
- 18 Tel: (+44) (0)1732 843833
- 19 Fax: (+44) (0)1732 849067

# 20 Abstract

21 Temperatures are rising across the globe, and the UK is no exception. Spring phenology of perennial 22 fruit crops is to a large extent determined by temperature during effective chilling (endo-dormancy) 23 and heat accumulation (eco-dormancy) periods. We used the apricot flowering records of the UK 24 National Fruit Collections (NFC) to determine the influence of temperature trends over recent decades (1960 to 2014) on apricot (Prunus armeniaca L.) flowering time. Using Partial Least Squares 25 (PLS) regression, we determined the respective periods for calculating chill and heat accumulation. 26 Results suggested intervals between September 27<sup>th</sup> and February 26<sup>th</sup> and between December 31<sup>st</sup> 27 and April 12<sup>th</sup> as the effective chilling and warming periods, respectively. Flowering time was 28 29 correlated with temperature during both periods, with warming during chilling corresponding to flowering delays by 4.82 d°C<sup>-1</sup>, while warming during heat accumulation was associated with bloom 30 advances by 9.85 d°C<sup>-1</sup>. Heat accumulation started after accumulating 62.7 ± 5.6 Chill Portions, and 31 flowering occurred after a further 3744 ± 1538 Growing Degree Hours (above a base temperature of 32 4°C, with optimal growth at 26°C). When examining the time series, the increase in temperature 33 34 during the chilling period did not appear to decrease overall chill accumulation during the chilling 35 period but to delay the onset of chill accumulation and the completion of the average chill 36 accumulation necessary to start heat accumulation. The resulting delay in heat responsiveness 37 appeared to weaken the phenology-advancing effect of spring warming. These processes may 38 explain why apricot flowering time remained relatively unchanged despite significant temperature 39 increases. A consequence of this may be a reduction of frost risk for early flowering crops such as 40 apricot in the UK.

41

Keywords: Climate warming; chilling; dormancy; spring phenology; frost risk; partial least squaresregression

# 44 **1. Introduction**

45 Phenological records provide valuable data for characterizing the effect of variation in 46 environmental conditions on plant development. Frequently, these records cover a longer time span than any defined experiment, making them one of the most valuable pieces of evidence of the 47 48 impacts of global warming over past decades or even centuries (Fitchett et al., 2015; Menzel et al., 49 2008). Flowering time of fruit crops is one of the most widely used indicators of climate change, 50 because of the availability of such records, but also because of the strong temperature dependence 51 of the fruit crop life cycle (El Yaacoubi et al., 2014; Martínez-Lüscher et al., 2016). Although the vast 52 majority of case studies have reported significant advances in flowering times over the years (Chmielewski et al., 2011; Chmielewski and Rotzer, 2001; Fitter and Fitter, 2002; Fu et al., 2015; 53 54 Legave and Clauzel, 2006; Menzel et al., 2006; Parmesan, 2007; Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; Root et 55 al., 2003; Wolfe et al., 2005), the literature includes a considerable number of records describing 56 observations of flowering times that have remained unchanged or even experienced delays (Cook et 57 al., 2012; Elloumi et al., 2013; Fitter and Fitter, 2002; Kozlov and Berlina, 2002; Legave et al., 2013; Menzel et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2010). Recent studies have indicated that the response of plant 58 59 phenology to temperature is more complex than simply an advance due to warming (Andreini et al., 60 2014; Chuine et al., 2016; Cook et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2015; Pope et al., 2014).

Temperate deciduous trees enter a period of winter dormancy, during which they are leafless and their buds stay dormant awaiting suitable conditions to resume growth when temperatures rise. Apricots (*Prunus armeniaca* L.), like most temperate fruit crops, have an obligatory chilling requirement that must be fulfilled before trees can produce flowers (Campoy et al., 2011b; Luedeling, 2012). This means that they need a period of cool temperatures during the winter before normal budburst takes place (Campoy et al., 2011b; Campoy et al., 2013). The need to fulfil this chill requirement prevents these plants from flowering during short warm spells in winter, since flower

development is prevented even when temperatures are favourable. The time when the chill requirement has been met marks the end of endo-dormancy. Subsequently, during the eco-dormant period, budburst can be induced by warm temperatures (Lang et al., 1987). It occurs after sufficient accumulation of heat above a base temperature (measured in Growing Degree Days or Growing Degree Hours), which is often cultivar/species dependent (Campoy et al., 2011a; Luedeling, 2012).

73 For modelling purposes, chill and heat requirements have been conceptualized in different ways. 74 The most common concept is one of sequential fulfilment of chilling and heat requirements, in 75 which there is a period, before fulfilment of the chilling requirement, when buds are insensitive to 76 heat accumulation, followed by the warming phase, when additional chilling has no effect. After 77 sufficient heat has then accumulated to fulfil the heat requirement, bud burst occurs (Darbyshire et 78 al., 2013; Guedon and Legave, 2008; Luedeling et al., 2009). A rather different concept proposes that 79 chilling and heat accumulation start at a similar time and budburst is possible after a combination of 80 chilling and heat accumulation. This indicates a trade-off between chill and heat accumulation, with 81 abundant heat being able to compensate for low chilling, and vice versa (Harrington and Gould, 82 2015; Harrington et al., 2010; Murray et al., 1989). This model assumes that plants with an 83 obligatory chill requirement must first receive a minimum chilling dose (critical chilling units). 84 Subsequently, high heat accumulation might induce bud burst. However, this heat requirement is 85 reduced by exposure to additional chilling. Other modelling efforts for almonds have described this 86 as an overlap between chilling and warming period, with a model assuming a budbreak-advancing 87 effect of additional chilling until fulfilment of 75% of the heat requirement being best supported by 88 field data (Pope et al., 2014). Experiments under controlled environment conditions also suggest 89 that additional chilling during the early warming period may decrease the heat requirement 90 (Couvillon and Erez, 1985). Since previous delineations between chilling and warming periods 91 through PLS regression have also indicated an overlap between the phases, it seems plausible to 92 differentiate between three temperature-responsive phases between the onset of bud dormancy 93 and flowering: 1) only chilling accumulation has a flowering-advancing effect, 2) both chilling and

94 heat accumulation are effective, and 3) only heat accumulation may promote bud development
95 (Guo et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2015; Luedeling et al., 2013).

Although the effects of temperature on the fulfilment of chilling and heat requirements have been addressed by a large number of studies (e.g. Anzanello et al., 2014; Campoy et al., 2013; Carew et al., 2001; Mahmood et al., 2000), at the mechanistic level, the process responsible for chill accumulation is not fully understood (Campoy et al., 2011a).

100 In climates such as those encountered in the United Kingdom (145 Chill Portions [CP] accumulated on average from 1<sup>st</sup> September to 31<sup>st</sup> April), chilling is not typically assumed to be a limiting factor 101 102 of flowering or crop yield of apricots (Guo et al., 2015; Viti et al., 2010). In addition, a calculation of 103 chilling according to the Dynamic Model for future climate projections did not forecast major 104 changes in the seasonal number of accumulated Chill Portions in the UK (Luedeling et al., 2011). 105 However, assuming sequential completion of chilling and heat requirements, the current trend of 106 climate warming may have an effect on the timing of the initiation of chilling, fulfilment of chilling, 107 initiation of heat accumulation and completion of heat requirement, with all these factors having 108 potential for major impacts on flowering dates (Luedeling et al., 2009). This leaves significant 109 uncertainty about future trends in spring flowering of fruit species in the UK. In fact, studies 110 conducted on wild species show a change in the order of flowering among species as temperatures 111 increase (Roberts et al., 2015). It is also worth considering that, at present, apricots in the UK already 112 flower relatively early, so that their timing often coincides with low activity of pollinators during 113 anthesis, whilst buds, flowers and fruitlets face substantial risk of frost damage (Else and Atkinson, 114 2010). Further advances in apricot flowering dates may reduce their suitability for commercial 115 plantings, whereas a delay in flowering may make them more suitable, particularly when associated 116 with an increase in temperatures around flowering time (Cannell and Smith, 1986).

The aim of this study was to determine the influence of fluctuations in chill and heat accumulationon recorded flowering dates of apricots of the UK National Fruit Collections (NFC) in the SE England.

119 We used Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression to determine the start and end of the effective chill 120 and warming periods and to give an outlook of how variation in daily chill and heat accumulation 121 rates affect the flowering date.

122

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 123 2.1 Phenology and climate data

124 This study used a 35-year discontinuous record of the flowering dates of 14 apricot varieties at the UK NFC at Brogdale Farm, Faversham, UK (51.30° N, 0.87° E, 12 m a.s.l.) observed between 1960 and 125 126 2014 (Table A1). Trees were generally monitored at least twice weekly (more frequently during particularly warm spells) and time of flowering was determined as the date when 10% of the flowers 127 128 had fully opened. Dates were converted to Julian days (day of the year) for further analysis. There 129 were no signs of any variety flowering earlier than the others (average range of 5.9 days; Fig. A.1). During several years, all varieties flowered on the same day or over a very short period (Fig. 1). The 130 131 order in which different varieties flowered was not consistent throughout the record. For instance, 132 the two varieties creating the largest flowering spread in the record (13 days in 1967 between Alfred and 133 Sun-Glo), flowered only 2 days apart in the previous year. Another example is that Sun-Glo was the latest 134 variety in 1981 but also the earliest three years later. Therefore, average flowering time for all varieties was used for the analyses. Among the 14 varieties, 'Alfred', 'Early Moorpark' and 'Farmingdale' 135 were represented in most of the 35 years when flowering was recorded (Table A1), allowing 136 137 meaningful trend analysis for these varieties.

138 Daily minimum and maximum temperatures were collected from a meteorological station located near the apricot orchard. A gap in the meteorological data, from March 1<sup>st</sup> of 1990 to April 30<sup>th</sup> of 139 1998, was filled with data from the nearest weather station available (East Malling, 51.29° N; 0.45° E, 140 141 78 m a.s.l., ca. 30 km away). These data were corrected for the 0.19 and -0.19°C difference in mean 142 daily minimum and maximum temperatures determined by analysing differences between the 143 records for overlapping periods. Minor gaps in the meteorological data were filled by linear

interpolation. These gaps were 14 recordings of daily maximum temperature and 29 of daily
minimum temperature scattered throughout the whole time series.

146 **2.2** Chilling and heat accumulation models

Most common chill and heat models require hourly temperature data as inputs. These were constructed using recorded (and gap-filled) daily minimum and maximum temperature records and following the recommendations of Linvill (1990) that the daily temperature curve can be composed of a sine curve for daytime warming and a logarithmic decay function for night-time cooling. Since these equations require daily data on sunrise, sunset and day length as inputs, these were modelled based on geographic latitude (Almorox et al., 2005; Spencer, 1971).

153 Daily chill accumulation was calculated according to the Dynamic Model (Erez et al., 1990; Fishman 154 et al., 1987b), as it has consistently shown a better performance than other chill models in a wide 155 range of circumstances (Campoy et al., 2011a; Darbyshire et al., 2011; Erez et al., 1990; Guo et al., 2013; Luedeling et al., 2013; Luedeling et al., 2009). Daily heat accumulation was calculated 156 157 according to the Growing Degree Hours (GDH) Model (Anderson et al., 1986), a curvilinear model using a base temperature of 4°C and an optimum temperature of 26°C. The equations used for these 158 159 chill and heat accumulation models are given in Luedeling and Brown (2011) and Luedeling et al. 160 (2009), respectively. To facilitate the visual interpretation of the results, daily chill and heat values were subjected to an 11-day running mean procedure (Luedeling and Gassner, 2012; Luedeling et al., 161 162 2013). We illustrated variance of chill or heat accumulation over the periods of responsiveness using 163 the standard deviation.

164

#### 2.3 Partial Least Squares regression

Daily chill and heat accumulation were related to flowering dates for each year from 1<sup>st</sup> June to 25<sup>th</sup> April (latest flowering date recorded), creating 35 datasets consisting of 328 records of daily chill and heat accumulation (ignoring 25<sup>th</sup> April in leap years) and one flowering date each. PLS regression was 168 then used to find correlations between daily chill and heat accumulation rates (656 independent 169 variables – 328 daily chill and 328 daily heat values) and flowering date (1 dependent variable). The 170 Variable Importance in the Projection (VIP) statistic of PLS regression was used to highlight the most 171 important among the large number of predictor variables. In contrast to other regression methods, 172 PLS regression can effectively analyse effects of a large number of highly autocorrelated variables, 173 even when they greatly outnumber the observations for the independent variables. For PLS 174 regression, two latent factors were constructed from all the independent variables and used to 175 perform a regression with the dependent variable, in line with the methods of Wold et al. (2001). 176 We used the PLS implementation in the chillR package for R programming language (R version 3.2.0) 177 (Luedeling, 2013). PLS regression gives two major outputs for each predictor variable: the VIP and a 178 model coefficient. The VIP indicates how important variation in the value of a particular variable is 179 for explaining variation in the dependent variable. As in previous studies, 0.8 was assumed to signify 180 importance of a variable (Luedeling et al., 2013; Wold et al., 2001). For those independent variables 181 with a significant VIP score, positive or negative PLS model coefficients indicate that a positive or 182 negative deviation in the independent variable is correlated to an increase or decrease in the dependent variable, respectively. For our purposes, a negative coefficient on daily chill or heat 183 184 accumulation indicates that high heat or chill accumulation on that particular day of the year is 185 correlated to early spring flowering. Positive coefficients indicate correlation of high heat or chill 186 accumulation with late flowering dates.

187

2.4

#### Interpretation of PLS output

PLS regression highlights phases during which variation in independent variables (daily accumulation of chilling and heat) is correlated with variation in flowering date. Therefore, PLS regression analysis of a sufficiently long record of variable winter weather and the resulting flowering dates should allow delineation of relevant temperature response phases (Luedeling and Gassner, 2012; Luedeling et al., 2013). It should be kept in mind, however, that low VIP scores do not necessarily mean that

193 weather conditions on these days had no chilling or heat accumulation effect. It may simply mean 194 that variation in weather conditions was not correlated with variation in flowering dates, which may 195 also occur when, for example, temperatures varied only within a range that was optimal for chilling.

196

#### 2.5 Response of fruit tree flowering to conditions during the chilling and warming periods

197 Once the chilling and warming periods were delineated, we determined heat accumulation during 198 the warming phase (in GDH), chill accumulation during the chilling phase (in CP) and mean 199 temperature during the chilling and warming periods. Other relevant parameters calculated were the day of the year when the first 5 CP (starting from 1<sup>st</sup> of July), the average chilling accumulation 200 that enabled heat accumulation (first 62.7 CP starting from 1<sup>st</sup> of July) and the first 1000 GDH of the 201 year (starting from  $1^{st}$  of January) had accumulated. The Kendall rank correlation coefficient ( $\tau$ , tau) 202 203 was calculated for time series evaluation, and linear regression lines were plotted for chilling and 204 warming period mean temperatures, chill and heat accumulation, completion date of the first 1000 205 GDH, completion date of the first 5 CP and completion date of the average chill accumulation that 206 enabled heat accumulation. Kendall's test is a non-parametric test where values are substituted by 207 ranks, this means that no distribution or linear relationship is assumed. For this reasons Kendall's 208 test is widely used for detecting trends in climatologic time series (Wilks, 2011). We used Pearson's 209 correlation test for other data different from time series.

210 Through interpolation, we created a response surface illustrating the dependency of apricot 211 flowering on chill and heat accumulation during the chilling and warming phases. For the 212 interpolation, we used the Kriging technique, which is a Gaussian regression method commonly used 213 in spatial statistics to estimate values at locations where no data are available (Oliver and Webster, 214 1990). This illustration facilitated the interpretation of responses of flowering dates to multiple 215 climatic drivers. In this case, the plot illustrated the direction and magnitude of the effects of chilling 216 and heat accumulation on flowering dates (Guo et al., 2015). Guo et al. (2015) showed that as 217 temperatures increase, temperatures during the chilling period may gain in relative influence on

218 bloom dates, compared to temperatures during the heat phase. However, within any single site, the 219 relative importance of temperatures during these two phases appears to be relatively constant (Guo 220 et al. 2015), unless temperatures increase very strongly, or the study site is already marginal for the 221 species in terms of chilling. The kriging surface can be approximated by a bivariate linear model: y = $a T_c + b T_h + c$ ; where  $T_c$  is temperature during the chilling period, a is the sensitivity of flowering date 222 223 to chilling period temperature,  $T_h$  is temperature during the warming period, and b is the sensitivity 224 of flowering date to warming period temperature. This method is often used with linear univariate 225 models to estimate the sensitivity of phenology to changes in spring temperature (Fu et al., 2015).

# **3. Results**

#### 227

### 3.1 Evolution of apricot flowering over time

The average flowering date of apricots of the UK NFC showed a large degree of variability from year to year, being as early as 10<sup>th</sup> March in 1961 and 1989 and as late as 25<sup>th</sup> April in 1986 (Fig. 1), with 46 days between earliest and latest flowering dates. Flowering time showed a slight trend towards earlier dates over the recording period (average advance by 0.238 days per year [d yr<sup>-1</sup>]), but this was not statistically significant ( $\tau$ =-0.13; p=0.293). The same results were observed for the three most frequently observed varieties; 'Alfred' (-0.092 [d yr<sup>-1</sup>];  $\tau$ =-0.07; p=0.621),'Early Moorpark' (-0.29 [d yr<sup>-1</sup>];  $\tau$ =-0.20; p=0.149) and 'Farmingdale' (-0.141 [d yr<sup>-1</sup>];  $\tau$ =-0.09; p=0.568).

#### 235 **3.2** Relevant periods for chill and heat accumulation

Results from the PLS regression procedure indicated a period between 27<sup>th</sup> September and 26<sup>th</sup> February, which was characterized by high VIP scores (>0.8) and negative model coefficients for the chill accumulation rate (Fig. 2). This phase was interrupted by a period between October and December, during which the correlation between variation in chill accumulation and flowering dates was not very pronounced. However, the daily chill accumulation rate during this time span was consistently high and varied relatively little, so that it seemed reasonable to us to interpret the

entire period between 27<sup>th</sup> September and 26<sup>th</sup> February as the chilling period. There were two 242 243 additional periods of significant VIP scores for chill accumulation, where coefficients were alternating between positive and negative: 1) from 1<sup>st</sup> June to 4<sup>th</sup> July, and 2) from 10<sup>th</sup> March to 9<sup>th</sup> 244 April. The fact that coefficients were not consistently positive or negative suggests that this pattern 245 246 may not reflect the physiological processes commonly associated with dormancy progression. However, PLS analyses of mean temperatures (rather than chilling and forcing rates; Fig A. 2) also 247 248 shows significant VIP scores and negative coefficients for both periods, which may indicate that 249 apricot buds are truly responsive to temperatures during these periods.

250

The delineation of the warming period was clearer, with an almost uninterrupted period from 31<sup>st</sup> 251 December to 12<sup>th</sup> April with negative model coefficients for daily heat accumulation rate, coupled 252 253 with high VIP values. Chill accumulation over the chilling period was 103.7  $\pm$  7.2 CP, including 41.7  $\pm$ 4.3 CP in the period when chill and heat accumulation overlapped. This suggests that the difference, 254 62.7  $\pm$  5.6 CP, accumulated from 27<sup>th</sup> September to 31<sup>st</sup> December, is the amount of chilling that 255 these apricot trees received before starting to accumulate thermal time. The GDH accumulation 256 during the overlapping period (from 1<sup>st</sup> January to 26<sup>th</sup> February) was 1269 ± 681, and this was 257 followed by another 2511 ± 1062 GDH between 26<sup>th</sup> February and 12<sup>th</sup> April, resulting in a total 258 accumulation of 3744 ± 1538 GDH accumulation in total (from 1<sup>st</sup> January to 12<sup>th</sup> April). 259

PLS results also indicated that the effects of heat accumulation depended strongly on when heat accumulation occurred (Fig. 2). Daily GDH showed also two periods of positive coefficients, when warm periods were correlated with late, rather than early, flowering dates: 1) from 4<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> of October, and 2) from 9<sup>th</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> of December. During the first period, daily chilling accumulation was also correlated with flowering delays. This phase approximately coincided with the only period, for which high mean temperatures where associated with late flowering (Fig. A.2). In fact, the date of

266 completion of the first 5 CP was correlated with the heat accumulation required up to flowering (Fig.267 A.3).

# 3.3 Relative importance of warming effects during the chilling and heat accumulation phases

270 Plotting flowering dates of apricot as a function of temperature during the chilling and warming 271 periods predicted by PLS showed effects of both factors (Fig. 3A, R<sup>2</sup>=0.74). Cooler temperatures 272 during the chilling period and warmer temperatures during the warming period appeared to induce 273 earlier flowering. Since mean temperatures during the chilling and warming periods were positively 274 correlated (r=0.79; p<0.001; data not shown), our dataset did not contain years with very warm 275 chilling and cool heat accumulation phases, or vice versa (Fig. 3A). Had the data included such 276 records, the bloom-advancing effect of cool temperatures would likely have featured more 277 prominently in our results. Given that contour lines are nearly straight and parallel, the kriging surface could be approximated by a bivariate linear model ( $R^2$ =0.99): y = 4.82 \* T<sub>c</sub> -9.85 \* T<sub>w</sub> + 109.5; 278 where y is the flowering date (Julian Day),  $T_c$  is the mean temperature during the chilling period,  $T_w$  is 279 the mean temperature during the warming period, 4.82 days °C<sup>-1</sup> is the sensitivity to temperature 280 during the warming period, -9.85 days  $^{\circ}C^{-1}$  is the sensitivity to temperature during the warming 281 282 period and 109.5 days is the model intercept (see methods for details). The angle of the contour 283 lines, closer to parallel with the X axis than the Y axis and the greater sensitivity to temperatures during the warming period than the chilling period (-9.85 vs 4.82 days °C<sup>-1</sup>) suggests that apricot 284 flowering dates were influenced more strongly by temperature during the warming period than by 285 286 temperature during the chilling phase. The combined effect of chill and heat accumulation described apricot flowering dates well (Fig. 3B, R<sup>2</sup>=0.67). The plot indicated a greater influence of variation in 287 288 heat accumulation on flowering dates, but also showed a pronounced effect of chill accumulation 289 rates, resulting in diagonal contour lines.

290

### 3.4 Evolution of temperature-related variables over time

291 Temperature during both chilling and warming periods increased significantly over time (τ=0.35; 292 p<0.001; Fig. 4A and  $\tau$ =0.36; p<0.001; Fig. 4D). However, throughout the temperature record, mean temperature showed a slightly greater increase during the warming period (0.039 °C yr<sup>-1</sup>) than during 293 the chilling period (0.026 °C yr<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, heat accumulation showed a positive and significant 294 295 trend over the period recorded ( $\tau$ =0.40; p<0.001; Fig 4B), but not chill accumulation ( $\tau$ =0.02; 296 p=0.794; Fig. 4E). Despite the lack of significant trend in chilling accumulation over time, the time to accumulate the first 5 CP of the season was significantly delayed over the years (0.28 d yr<sup>-1</sup>;  $\tau$ = 0.26; 297 298 p=0.005; Fig. 4F), leading to a delay in the time to complete the average chilling accumulation that enabled heat accumulation (first 62.7 CP; 0.21 d yr<sup>-1</sup>;  $\tau$ = 0.25; p=0.006; Fig. 4G). The time to 299 accumulate the first 1000 GDH of the year shortened over the record (-0.45 d yr<sup>-1</sup>;  $\tau$  =-0.26; p=0.006; 300 301 Fig. 4C). Mean temperatures around flowering showed a significant positive correlation with time (0.022 °C y<sup>-1</sup>; τ=0.28; p=0.02; Fig. 5). 302

303

## 4. Discussion and conclusions

### 304 4.1 Identification of chilling and warming periods by PLS regression

305 Chilling and warming periods are often designated arbitrarily, based on intuition, or counting from 306 the first day that chilling starts to accumulate (Darbyshire et al., 2011; Harrington and Gould, 2015; 307 Harrington et al., 2010; Luedeling and Brown, 2011). In previous studies, PLS regression has been 308 able to identify clearly the days of the year when accumulation of chilling or heat had an impact on 309 spring phenology, so that chilling and warming periods could be defined (Guo et al., 2014; Guo et al., 310 2015; Luedeling and Gassner, 2012; Luedeling et al., 2013). This approach can also identify the 311 chilling period for crops and places where the chilling requirement is easily satisfied (Guo et al., 2014) and variation in chilling accumulation has a small influence on flowering dates. In the present 312 study, effective chilling and warming periods for apricots in the UK National Fruit Collection occurred 313 from 27<sup>th</sup> September to 26<sup>th</sup> February and from 31<sup>st</sup> December to 12<sup>th</sup> April, respectively. Thus, the 314 315 identified chilling and warming periods had a significant overlap of 58 days. This is in agreement with

studies on apricot and peach, which showed 1) an initial phase when heat accumulation cannot induce budburst, 2) a period when sufficient chill has accumulated to induce budburst by application of warm conditions, with the amount of required heat decreasing with additional chill accumulation and 3) a phase when additional chill has no effect and only heat is required for budburst (Campoy et al., 2011b; Campoy et al., 2013; Okie and Blackburn, 2011).

321 Guo et al. (2015) identified chilling and warming periods of apricots by PLS regression for several 322 locations in China, among which results from our study were most similar to the analysis for Beijing, which was reported to have a chilling period from 17<sup>th</sup> September to 26<sup>th</sup> February. Considering the 323 324 differences in temperature between locations at the start and end of the chilling period, this is a 325 remarkable match (starting 10 days earlier and ending on the same day). Mean temperatures at UK 326 NFC were approximately 5°C lower at the start of the chilling period and 4°C higher at the end of the 327 chilling period than in Beijing. In fact, neither daily chill nor daily heat accumulation at these 328 locations are within similar ranges or show a similar temporal pattern. However, both Beijing and 329 the UK NFC, had similar mean temperatures during both the chilling and the warming periods, and PLS regression indicated similar chilling but also warming periods (from 9<sup>th</sup> January to 10<sup>th</sup> April in 330 Beijing). Both locations were also similar in terms of the relative contributions of temperatures 331 332 during the chilling and warming periods to variation in flowering dates (Fig. 3A). Therefore, in spite 333 of big differences between the climates of Beijing and SE England, our results support the hypothesis 334 of Guo et al. (2015), who proposed that, even though effects of spring warming have dominated 335 phenological responses of temperate trees so far, continued warming may increase the importance 336 of the phenology-delaying effects of warming in autumn/winter, which may slow and possibly 337 reverse the advances in spring events that have been observed in recent decades.

In a study comparing the flowering dates of late varieties of apricot in Italy (Fideghelli et al., 1978), 'Alfred' and 'Farmingdale' came out among the latest of the 146 varieties recorded, with the same flowering date as 'Bergeron', which is a common reference for late flowering apricots. 'Early

Moorpark', the third variety constituting the core of the data used for the analyses, flowered just 5 days earlier than the other two. It must be noted that the late flowering of the varieties of the UK NFC led to the identification of the given chilling and warming periods and the use of varieties with lower chill requirements would have led to different periods (Guo et al., 2015).

The chilling accumulation before the period of heat accumulation at the study site (27<sup>th</sup> September 345 to 31<sup>st</sup> December) and the subsequent heat accumulation was 62.7 ± 5.6 CP and 3744 ± 1538 GDH, 346 347 respectively. This is similar to the requirements reported by Ruiz et al. (2007) for 'Bergeron' in a very 348 different climate (SW Spain) of 64.8 CP for breaking dormancy and 4101 GDH from breaking 349 dormancy to flowering. Similar accumulations were reported by Campoy et al. (2012) for 'Bergeron', 350 together with a relatively high interannual variation in the estimation of both chilling and heat 351 accumulations required to flower. The overlap between the chilling and warming periods found in 352 this study and Guo et al. (2015) may offer the key to understanding the variability in the estimation 353 of this important horticultural trait. In cold places, some years may not allow heat accumulation 354 soon after buds have received enough chilling to break endo-dormancy. In this case, additional 355 chilling may reduce the amount of heat required for flowering. In warmer places, however, in years 356 with slightly lower chilling accumulation than the optimal required, additional heat can still trigger 357 flowering (Harrington et al., 2010). This trade-off could be responsible for the interannual variability 358 often reported in chill and heat requirement estimations. Assuming an overlap between chilling and 359 warming periods has been shown to improve model fits (Darbyshire et al., 2016; Pope et al., 2014). 360 Our approach to determining climatic needs, however, is based on calculating mean accumulation 361 during the effective periods, so that interannual variation in the amount of chill and heat required, 362 or interactions between chill and heat cannot be captured.

363

4.2

#### Impacts of chilling and heat accumulation conditions on flowering dates

Apricot flowering dates were predominantly affected by mean temperature during the warming period (Fig. 3). Similar findings have previously been reported for apricots in China, France, Italy and

366 Spain (Andreini et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2015), chestnut and jujube in China (Guo et al., 2014), and 367 apples and olives in France (El Yaacoubi et al., 2014). El Yaacoubi et al. (2014) analysed the spring 368 phenology of different tree crops in different locations and noted that late flowering crops were 369 more prone to earlier flowering in response to increasing temperature. The abundance of records 370 showing a trend towards earliness may also be linked to the growers choosing species and cultivars 371 with appropriate chilling requirements for their growing region. So far, it is only in very warm 372 production regions, where available chill is barely sufficient for growing certain temperate species, 373 that significant delays in spring flowering in response to warming have been observed (Elloumi et al., 374 2013; Luedeling et al., 2013).

375 PLS regression showed two periods when flowering was delayed by heat accumulation: 1) at the 376 beginning of the chilling period, 2) and before the start of the warming period. For the first period, 377 this effect may be related to the delaying impact of high summer/autumn temperature on growth 378 cessation, which has been reported to potentially lead to delayed bud burst in the following year 379 (Heide, 2003; Heide and Prestrud, 2005). In addition, the timing of the onset of chill accumulation 380 (first 5 CP completion) appeared to increase the amount of heat required for flowering (Fig. A.3), 381 suggesting that the timing of the first chill accumulation is important for counteracting the 382 flowering-advancing effect of temperatures during the warming period. In the second case (i.e. the 383 period of significant positive correlation for heat accumulation before the warming period, in the 384 middle of the chilling period), controlled environment studies show that when heat is received too 385 early, before critical chilling completion, it may not advance or even delay flowering (Anzanello et 386 al., 2014). In the present study, the low heat accumulation during this period (i.e. right before the 387 warming period) suggests that even though VIP scores were high, heat accumulation during this 388 period probably had little effect. Chill accumulation also presented periods with high VIP that were outside the delineated chilling period (e.g. from 1<sup>st</sup> June to 4<sup>th</sup> July). Given that buds for the next year 389 390 are not yet present at that time, this is probably not a biologically meaningful effect.

#### **4.3** Impact of global warming on chilling and heat period conditions

In spite of global warming, in the UK, chill accumulation calculated using the Dynamic Model has 392 decreased only slightly in recent decades, and no major decreases over the 21<sup>st</sup> century have been 393 394 projected for future scenarios based on the CSIRO, HADCM3 and MIROC climate models (Luedeling 395 et al., 2011). Our results show a significant increasing trend in mean temperatures during the chilling 396 period of apricots, but no significant decrease in chill accumulation (Fig. 4D and E). It is worth noting 397 that the Dynamic Model considers that, as long as temperatures during the day are not too high, 4 398 hours at low temperature (i.e. 6°C) and 20 hours at moderate temperature (i.e. 14°C) per day are 399 63% as effective as a regime with 24 hours at cold temperature (Fishman et al., 1987a). In 400 consequence, the increase in mean temperature during the chilling period over the record did not 401 correspond to a decrease in chill accumulation according to this model. In addition, for cold-402 temperate climates, increasing temperatures frequently shift temperatures to levels to which most 403 chill models would assign higher-rather than lower-chilling effectiveness, resulting in no change or 404 even increase in chilling (Luedeling et al., 2011). Even though according to the Dynamic Model, 405 overall chill during the chilling period did not decrease ( $\tau$ = 0.02, p=0.79), the time to accumulate the 406 first 5 CP and 62.7 CP (average chill accumulation that enabled heat accumulation) increased over 407 the years. This may be an indication of a gradual shift of the chilling period. This delayed start of chill 408 accumulation is unlikely to induce a reduction of chill accumulation per se in the short term, as the date identified as the end of the chilling period (26<sup>th</sup> February) is still succeeded by many days with 409 410 high daily chill accumulation. However, this delay in chilling may delay chill requirement fulfilment 411 and subsequent events, such as the start of the warming period, budburst and flowering. 412 Simultaneously, heat accumulation increased and the time to accumulate the first 1000 GDH 413 decreased over the years. If the start of the chilling and warming period continues to be delayed, 414 while the accumulation of heat occurs earlier in the year, the net effect on spring event timing is 415 difficult to predict. Possibilities then include continued advances (if additional heat accumulation is 416 the dominant driver), unchanged flowering times (if effects cancel each other out) or even delays (if delayed chill accumulation becomes dominant; Guo et al., 2015). In addition to the influence of
conditions during the chilling and warming periods, our results highlight the relevance of the timing
of multiple events for explaining variation in spring phenology.

420 As for the future suitability of this crop, temperatures before and after flowering are important for 421 successful production, because of the need to synchronize bloom with pollinator activity (Else and 422 Atkinson, 2010). Cannell and Smith (1986) found that future warming could increase the incidence of 423 frost damage to the flowers of trees in Britain, but it could also cause the opposite, depending on 424 the extent to which trees' chilling requirements are currently met. In this work we showed that 425 warming likely delays chill accumulation, partially compensating for advances in response to warmer 426 springs, resulting in warmer temperatures in the dates before and after flowering. If this trend is 427 maintained, further warming may therefore reduce the frost risk for apricots in SE England rather 428 than the opposite.

#### 429 **4.4 Conclusions**

430 In recent years, flowering in apricot has been experiencing an advancing effect related to increased 431 temperature during the effective warming period. However, a delay in the onset of chill 432 accumulation and completion of chill requirement necessary to start heat accumulation appear to 433 moderate the effect of increasing heat during the warming period. Although the future trend in 434 flowering dates of apricots in the UK is unclear, as temperature continues to increase during both 435 chilling and warming periods, the trend to earliness in flowering induced by warming temperatures 436 may continue being balanced by the apparent bloom-delaying effect of temperature during the 437 chilling phase. Increases in temperatures around flowering time over the years, however, suggest 438 that the southern UK many potentially become a more suitable region for apricots in the future due 439 to the associated reduced risk of frost damage.

#### 440 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Land Settlement Association, the University of Reading Research Endowment Trust, East Malling Trust and research program on 'Water, Land and Ecosystems' of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR). We would like to thank the previous curators of the UK National Fruit Collection, who have also collected flowering data over many years, especially Mary Pennell. The National Fruit collections are supported by the UK Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra). We thank the two anonymous reviewers who contributed significantly to improve the quality of the manuscript.

#### 448 References

- Almorox, J., Hontoria, C. and Benito, M., 2005. Statistical validation of daylength definitions for
  estimation of global solar radiation in Toledo, Spain. Energy Conversion and Management,
  46(9–10): 1465-1471. doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2004.07.007
- Anderson, J.L., Richardson, E.A. and Kesner, C.D., 1986. Validation of chill unit and flower bud
  phenology models for 'Montmercy' sour cherry. Acta Horticulturae: 71-78. doi:
  10.17660/ActaHortic.1986.184.7
- Andreini, L., de Cortazar-Atauri, I.G., Chuine, I., Viti, R., Bartolini, S., Ruiz, D., Antonio Campoy, J.,
  Legave, J.M., Audergon, J.-M. and Bertuzzi, P., 2014. Understanding dormancy release in
- 457 apricot flower buds (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) using several process-based phenological models.

458 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 184: 210-219. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.10.005

- Anzanello, R., Fialho, F.B., Santos, H.P.d., Bergamaschi, H. and Marodin, G.A.B., 2014. Bud dormancy
  in apple trees after thermal fluctuations. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira, 49: 457-464.
- 461 Campoy, J.A., Ruiz, D., Allderman, L., Cook, N. and Egea, J., 2012. The fulfilment of chilling
  462 requirements and the adaptation of apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) in warm winter climates:
  463 An approach in Murcia (Spain) and the Western Cape (South Africa). European Journal of
- 464 Agronomy, 37(1): 43-55. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2011.10.004

- Campoy, J.A., Ruiz, D. and Egea, J., 2011a. Dormancy in temperate fruit trees in a global warming
  context: A review. Scientia Horticulturae, 130(2): 357-372. doi:
  10.1016/j.scienta.2011.07.011
- Campoy, J.A., Ruiz, D. and Egea, J., 2011b. Seasonal progression of bud dormancy in apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) in a Mediterranean climate: A single-node cutting approach. Plant Biosystems
- 470 An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology, 145(3): 596-605. doi:
  471 10.1080/11263504.2011.559361
- 472 Campoy, J.A., Ruiz, D., Nortes, M.D. and Egea, J., 2013. Temperature efficiency for dormancy release
  473 in apricot varies when applied at different amounts of chill accumulation. Plant Biology, 15
  474 Suppl 1: 28-35. doi: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2012.00636.x
- 475 Cannell, M.G.R. and Smith, R.I., 1986. Climatic warming, spring budburst and frost damage on trees.
  476 Journal of Applied Ecology, 23(1): 177-191. doi: 10.2307/2403090
- 477 Carew, J.G., Mahmood, K., Darby, J., Hadley, P. and Battey, N.H., 2001. The effects of low
  478 temperatures on the vegetative growth and flowering of the primocane fruiting raspberry
  479 'Autumn Bliss'. Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology, 76(3): 264-270. doi:
- 480 10.1080/14620316.2001.11511361
- Chmielewski, F.M., Blumel, K., Henniges, Y., Blanke, M., Weber, R.W.S. and Zoth, M., 2011.
  Phenological models for the beginning of apple blossom in Germany. Meteorologische
  Zeitschrift, 20(5): 487-496. doi: 10.1127/0941-2948/2011/0258
- Chmielewski, F.M. and Rotzer, T., 2001. Response of tree phenology to climate change across
  Europe. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 108(2): 101-112. doi: 10.1016/S01681923(01)00233-7
- Chuine, I., Bonhomme, M., Legave, J.-M., García de Cortázar-Atauri, I., Charrier, G., Lacointe, A. and
  Améglio, T., 2016. Can phenological models predict tree phenology accurately in the future?
  The unrevealed hurdle of endodormancy break. Global Change Biology, 22(10): 3444-3460.
  doi: 10.1111/gcb.13383

- 491 Cook, B.I., Wolkovich, E.M. and Parmesan, C., 2012. Divergent responses to spring and winter 492 warming drive community level flowering trends. Proceedings of the National Academy of 493 Sciences of the United States of America, 109(23): 9000-9005. doi: 494 10.1073/pnas.1118364109
- 495 Couvillon, G.A. and Erez, A., 1985. Effect of level and duration of high temperatures on rest in the 496 peach. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science, 110(4): 579-581.
- 497 Darbyshire, R., Pope, K. and Goodwin, I., 2016. An evaluation of the chill overlap model to predict
  498 flowering time in apple tree. Scientia Horticulturae, 198: 142-149. doi:
  499 10.1016/j.scienta.2015.11.032
- Darbyshire, R., Webb, L., Goodwin, I. and Barlow, E.W., 2013. Evaluation of recent trends in
   Australian pome fruit spring phenology. International Journal of Biometeorology, 57(3): 409 21. doi: 10.1007/s00484-012-0567-1
- Darbyshire, R., Webb, L., Goodwin, I. and Barlow, S., 2011. Winter chilling trends for deciduous fruit
   trees in Australia. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 151(8): 1074-1085. doi:
   10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.03.010
- El Yaacoubi, A., Malagi, G., Oukabli, A., Hafidi, M. and Legave, J.-M., 2014. Global warming impact on
  floral phenology of fruit trees species in Mediterranean region. Scientia Horticulturae, 180:
  243-253. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2014.10.041
- Elloumi, O., Ghrab, M., Kessentini, H. and Ben Mimoun, M., 2013. Chilling accumulation effects on
  performance of pistachio trees cv. Mateur in dry and warm area climate. Scientia
  Horticulturae, 159: 80-87. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2013.05.004
- Else, M. and Atkinson, C., 2010. Climate change impacts on UK top and soft fruit production. Outlook
  on Agriculture, 39(4): 257-262. doi: 10.5367/oa.2010.0014
- Erez, A., Fishman, S., Linsley-Noakes, G.C. and Allan, P., 1990. The dynamic model for rest
  completion in peach buds. Acta Horticulturae(276): 165-174. doi:
  10.17660/ActaHortic.1990.276.18

- Fideghelli, C., Della Strada, G., Monastra, F., Quarta, R. and Crisafulli, A., 1978. Costituzione di
  cultivar di albicocco a fioritura tardiva. Rivista di ortoflorofrutticoltura italiana, 62(6): 603614.
- Fishman, S., Erez, A. and Couvillon, G.A., 1987a. The temperature dependence of dormancy breaking
   in plants: Computer simulation of processes studied under controlled temperatures. Journal
- 522 of Theoretical Biology, 126(3): 309-321. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5193(87)80237-0
- Fishman, S., Erez, A. and Couvillon, G.A., 1987b. The temperature dependence of dormancy breaking
  in plants: Mathematical analysis of a two-step model involving a cooperative transition.
  Journal of Theoretical Biology, 124(4): 473-483. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5193(87)80221-7
- Fitchett, J.M., Grab, S.W. and Thompson, D.I., 2015. Plant phenology and climate change: Progress in
   methodological approaches and application. Progress in Physical Geography. doi:
   10.1177/0309133315578940
- Fitter, A.H. and Fitter, R.S.R., 2002. Rapid changes in flowering time in British plants. Science,
  296(5573): 1689-1691. doi: 10.1126/science.1071617
- Fu, Y.S.H., Zhao, H.F., Piao, S.L., Peaucelle, M., Peng, S.S., Zhou, G.Y., Ciais, P., Huang, M.T., Menzel,
  A., Uelas, J.P., Song, Y., Vitasse, Y., Zeng, Z.Z. and Janssens, I.A., 2015. Declining global
  warming effects on the phenology of spring leaf unfolding. Nature, 526(7571): 104-+. doi:
- 534 10.1038/nature15402
- 535 Guedon, Y. and Legave, J.M., 2008. Analyzing the time-course variation of apple and pear tree dates 536 of flowering stages in the global warming context. Ecological Modelling, 219(1-2): 189-199.
- 537 doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2008.08.010
- 538 Guo, L., Dai, J., Ranjitkar, S., Xu, J. and Luedeling, E., 2013. Response of chestnut phenology in China
- 539 to climate variation and change. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 180: 164-172. doi:
- 540 10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.06.004

- Guo, L., Dai, J., Ranjitkar, S., Yu, H., Xu, J. and Luedeling, E., 2014. Chilling and heat requirements for
  flowering in temperate fruit trees. International Journal of Biometeorology, 58(6): 1195-206.
  doi: 10.1007/s00484-013-0714-3
- Guo, L., Dai, J., Wang, M., Xu, J. and Luedeling, E., 2015. Responses of spring phenology in temperate
  zone trees to climate warming: A case study of apricot flowering in China. Agricultural and
  Forest Meteorology, 201: 1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.10.016
- Harrington, C.A. and Gould, P.J., 2015. Tradeoffs between chilling and forcing in satisfying dormancy
  requirements for Pacific Northwest tree species. Frontiers in Plant Science, 6: 120. doi:
  10.3389/fpls.2015.00120
- Harrington, C.A., Gould, P.J. and St.Clair, J.B., 2010. Modeling the effects of winter environment on
  dormancy release of Douglas-fir. Forest Ecology and Management, 259(4): 798-808. doi:
  10.1016/j.foreco.2009.06.018
- Heide, O.M., 2003. High autumn temperature delays spring bud burst in boreal trees,
  counterbalancing the effect of climatic warming. Tree Physiology, 23(13): 931-6. doi:
  10.1093/treephys/23.13.931
- Heide, O.M. and Prestrud, A.K., 2005. Low temperature, but not photoperiod, controls growth
  cessation and dormancy induction and release in apple and pear. Tree Physiology, 25(1):
  109-14. doi: 10.1093/treephys/25.1.109
- Kozlov, M.V. and Berlina, N.G., 2002. Decline in Length of the Summer Season on the Kola Peninsula,
  Russia. Climatic Change, 54(4): 387-398. doi: 10.1023/a:1016175101383
- Lang, G.A., Early, J.D., Martin, G.C. and Darnell, R.L., 1987. Endo-, para-, and ecodormancy:
   physiological terminology and classification for dormancy research. Horticultural Science, 22:
   371-377.
- Legave, J.M., Blanke, M., Christen, D., Giovannini, D., Mathieu, V. and Oger, R., 2013. A comprehensive overview of the spatial and temporal variability of apple bud dormancy

- release and blooming phenology in Western Europe. International Journal of
  Biometeorology, 57(2): 317-31. doi: 10.1007/s00484-012-0551-9
- Legave, J.M. and Clauzel, G., 2006. Long-term evolution of flowering time in apricot cultivars grown
   in Southern France: Which future impacts of global warming? Proceedings of the XIII<sup>th</sup>
   International Symposium on Apricot Breeding and Culture, (717): 47-50.
- 571 Linvill, D.E., 1990. Calculating chilling hours and chill units from daily maximum and minimum
  572 temperature observations. Hortscience, 25(1): 14-16.
- Luedeling, E., 2012. Climate change impacts on winter chill for temperate fruit and nut production: A
  review. Scientia Horticulturae, 144: 218-229. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2012.07.011
- 575 Luedeling, E., 2013. chillR: Statistical methods for phenology analysis in temperate fruit trees. R
   576 Package Version 0.54. http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/chillR.
- Luedeling, E. and Brown, P.H., 2011. A global analysis of the comparability of winter chill models for
  fruit and nut trees. International Journal of Biometeorology, 55(3): 411-21. doi:
  10.1007/s00484-010-0352-y
- Luedeling, E. and Gassner, A., 2012. Partial Least Squares Regression for analyzing walnut phenology
  in California. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 158–159: 43-52. doi:
  10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.10.020
- 583 Luedeling, E., Girvetz, E.H., Semenov, M.A. and Brown, P.H., 2011. Climate change affects winter chill
- 584 for temperate fruit and nut trees. Plos One, 6(5): e20155. doi: 585 10.1371/journal.pone.0020155
- Luedeling, E., Kunz, A. and Blanke, M.M., 2013. Identification of chilling and heat requirements of
   cherry trees–a statistical approach. International Journal of Biometeorology, 57(5): 679-89.
   doi: 10.1007/s00484-012-0594-y
- Luedeling, E., Zhang, M., McGranahan, G. and Leslie, C., 2009. Validation of winter chill models using
   historic records of walnut phenology. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 149(11): 1854 1864. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.06.013

- Mahmood, K., Carew, J.G., Hadley, P. and Battey, N.H., 2000. Chill unit models for the sweet cherry
  cvs Stella, Sunburst and Summit. Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology, 75(5):
  602-606. doi: 10.1080/14620316.2000.11511293
- Martínez-Lüscher, J., Kizildeniz, T., Vučetić, V., Dai, Z., Luedeling, E., van Leeuwen, C., Gomès, E.,
  Pascual, I., Irigoyen, J.J., Morales, F. and Delrot, S., 2016. Sensitivity of Grapevine Phenology
  to Water Availability, Temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration. Frontiers in Environmental
  Science, 4(48). doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2016.00048
- Menzel, A., Estrella, N. and Schleip, C., 2008. Impacts of climate variability, trends and NAO on 20<sup>th</sup>
   century European plant phenology. In: S. Brönnimann et al. (Editors), Climate Variability and
   Extremes during the Past 100 Years. Advances in Global Change Research. Springer
   Netherlands, pp. 221-233.
- Menzel, A., Sparks, T.H., Estrella, N., Koch, E., Aasa, A., Ahas, R., Alm-Kubler, K., Bissolli, P.,
  Braslavska, O., Briede, A., Chmielewski, F.M., Crepinsek, Z., Curnel, Y., Dahl, A., Defila, C.,
  Donnelly, A., Filella, Y., Jatcza, K., Mage, F., Mestre, A., Nordli, O., Penuelas, J., Pirinen, P.,
- 606 Remisova, V., Scheifinger, H., Striz, M., Susnik, A., Van Vliet, A.J.H., Wielgolaski, F.E., Zach, S.
- and Zust, A., 2006. European phenological response to climate change matches the warming
- 608 pattern. Global Change Biology, 12(10): 1969-1976. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01193.x
- 609 Murray, M.B., Cannell, M.G.R. and Smith, R.I., 1989. Date of budburst of 15 tree species in Britain 610 following climatic warming. Journal of Applied Ecology, 26(2): 693-700. doi:
- 611 10.2307/2404093
- Okie, W.R. and Blackburn, B., 2011. Increasing chilling reduces heat requirement for floral budbreak
  in peach. Hortscience, 46(2): 245-252.
- Parmesan, C., 2007. Influences of species, latitudes and methodologies on estimates of phenological
  response to global warming. Global Change Biology, 13(9): 1860-1872. doi: 10.1111/j.13652486.2007.01404.x

- Parmesan, C. and Yohe, G., 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across
  natural systems. Nature, 421(6918): 37-42. doi: 10.1038/nature01286
- Pope, K.S., Da Silva, D., Brown, P.H. and DeJong, T.M., 2014. A biologically based approach to
   modeling spring phenology in temperate deciduous trees. Agricultural and Forest
   Meteorology, 198–199: 15-23. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.07.009
- Roberts, A.M.I., Tansey, C., Smithers, R.J. and Phillimore, A.B., 2015. Predicting a change in the order
  of spring phenology in temperate forests. Global Change Biology, 21(7): 2603-2611. doi:
  10.1111/gcb.12896
- Root, T.L., Price, J.T., Hall, K.R., Schneider, S.H., Rosenzweig, C. and Pounds, J.A., 2003. Fingerprints
  of global warming on wild animals and plants. Nature, 421(6918): 57-60. doi:
  10.1038/nature01333
- Ruiz, D., Campoy, J.A. and Egea, J., 2007. Chilling and heat requirements of apricot cultivars for
  flowering. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 61(3): 254-263. doi:
  10.1016/j.envexpbot.2007.06.008
- 631 Spencer, J.W., 1971. Fourier series representation of the position of the sun. Search, 2(5): 172.
- Viti, R., Andreini, L., Ruiz, D., Egea, J., Bartolini, S., Iacona, C. and Campoy, J.A., 2010. Effect of
   climatic conditions on the overcoming of dormancy in apricot flower buds in two
   Mediterranean areas: Murcia (Spain) and Tuscany (Italy). Scientia Horticulturae, 124(2): 217-
- 635 224. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2010.01.001
- Wold, S., Sjöström, M. and Eriksson, L., 2001. PLS-regression: a basic tool of chemometrics.
  Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 58(2): 109-130. doi: 10.1016/S01697439(01)00155-1
- Wolfe, D.W., Schwartz, M.D., Lakso, A.N., Otsuki, Y., Pool, R.M. and Shaulis, N.J., 2005. Climate
  change and shifts in spring phenology of three horticultural woody perennials in
  northeastern USA. International Journal of Biometeorology, 49(5): 303-309. doi:
  10.1007/s00484-004-0248-9

643	Yu, H., Luedeling, E. and Xu, J., 2010. Winter and spring warming result in delayed spring phenology
644	on the Tibetan Plateau. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
645	States of America, 107(51): 22151-6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1012490107

#### 647 Figure captions

Fig. 1. Average flowering date of apricots in the UK NFC between 1960 and 2014. Kendall's rank
correlation coefficient (τ) and p value. Julian days are days from 1<sup>st</sup> January of each year flowering
was analysed.

651

652 Fig. 2. Results of Partial Least Squares regression analysis for apricot flowering dates in the UK 653 National Fruit Collection, using the Dynamic Model and the GDH Model for quantifying chill and heat accumulation, respectively. Colour bars in the figures indicate VIP above 0.8, the threshold for 654 655 considering variables important. Green and red bars represent, besides importance, a positive and 656 negative relationship, respectively, between flowering and daily chilling and heat accumulation. In 657 the lower graphs (chill accumulation and heat accumulation), bars represent the standard deviation 658 of daily chill and heat accumulation, with colours following the same pattern as for the VIP and model coefficients. Shaded areas and dashed lines represent the range in flowering dates and 659 660 average flowering date, respectively. GDH stands for Growing Degree Hours; CP for Chill Portions; 661 VIP for variable importance in the projection.

662

Fig. 3. Response of the average flowering date of apricot to average temperatures during the chilling and warming periods (27<sup>th</sup> Sep to 26<sup>th</sup> Feb and 31<sup>st</sup> Dec to 12<sup>th</sup> Apr, respectively (A); and response of flowering dates to chill accumulation during the chilling period and heat accumulation during the warming period (B). Colours and contour lines represent predicted flowering dates expressed in Julian dates (days of the year) using observed data points of mean apricot flowering dates (blackdots). GDH stands for Growing Degree Hours; CP for Chill Portions.

Fig. 4. Time trends for mean temperature during the warming period (A), heat accumulation during the warming period (B), completion date of the first 1000 GDH (C), mean temperature during the chilling period (D), chill accumulation during the chilling period (E), completion date of the first 5 CP (F) and completion date of average chill accumulation that enabled heat accumulation (i.e., first 62.7 CP; G). GDH stands for Growing Degree Hours; CP for Chill Portions. Kendall's rank correlation coefficients ( $\tau$ ) and p values are also shown. Julian days are days from 1<sup>st</sup> January of each year flowering was analysed.

Fig. 5. Time trend of mean temperature during the 40 days before and after flowering for each

677 season. Kendall's rank correlation coefficient ( $\tau$ ) and p value are given.

678

- 679 Appendix A. Supplementary information
- 680

Table A.1. Summary of the UK's NFC apricot flowering dates archive.

682

Fig. A.1. Averages and box plots of the flowering date of apricot varieties in the UK NFC between1960 and 2014.

Fig A.2. Results of the partial squares regression of the average flowering dates of apricot varieties of

the UK using daily mean temperatures as the predictor variable.

Fig A.3. Correlation between the date of completion of the first 5 CP of the season and the heatrequired for flowering.

Season	Apricot varieties													
				÷				٨ı						ious
				rpar	ale		ti	e Ear		σ				Delici
	-	ase	erina	Mod	ingdi	skirk	eme	Larg	ape	t Gol	ъ	e	oli	n's [
	Ufree	Ireda	athe	arly	armi	lems	(ecsk	lew	old c	uget	tilano	toyal	9-un	Vilso
(end year)	٩	•	0	ш	"	т	¥	2	0	4	æ	æ	s	>
1960	x													
1961	x												x	x
1963	x												×	x
1964	x												x	x
1965	x												х	х
1966	х												х	х
1967														
1968														
1969														
1970														
1971														
1972														
1974			x	x	x		x		x		x			
1975														
1976				x	x		x		x		x			
1977														
1978														
1979				х	х		x		x		x		Х	х
1980			x	x	x		x		x		x		х	
1981				x					x		x		х	
1982	x			x	x				x		x		X	
1983	x		x	x	x		x		x		x		X	x
1985	×		×	×	×		^		×		^		×	^
1986	x		x	x	x				x					х
1987	x		x	x	x									
1988	x		x	x	x									
1989	x		х	х	x									
1990														
1991	x		x	x	x									
1992														
1993	x			x	x									
1994	x			x	x									
1996	x			x	x							x		
1997				-										
1998														
1999	х			х	x					x		x		
2000														
2001	x			x	x					x		x		
2002														
2003				x						x				
2004	x			x										
2006	x			x	x					x		x		
2007		x		x	x	x						x		
2008														
2009														
2010	X	x		x	x	x		X				X		<u> </u>
2011	x	X		x	x	x		x				x		┢───┨
2013	x	x		x	x	x		x				x		
2014	x	x		x		x		x				x		

Table A.1. Summary of the UK's NFC apricot flowering dates archive.

\_



Fig. A.1. Averages (closed circles) and box plots of the flowering date of apricot varieties in the UK NFC between 1960 and 2014.



Figure A.2. Results of the PLS regression of the average flowering dates of apricot varieties of the UK using daily mean temperatures as the predictor variable. Colour bars in the figures indicate VIP above 0.8, the threshold for considering variables important. Green and red bars represent, besides importance, a positive and negative relationship, respectively, between flowering and temperatures. In the lower graphs (Mean temperature), bars represent the standard deviation of mean temperatures, with colours following the same pattern as for the VIP and model coefficients. Shaded areas and dashed lines represent the range in flowering dates and average flowering date, respectively. VIP stands for variable importance in the projection. Methods described in Luedeling et al., (2013).



Figure A.3. Correlation between the date of completion of the first 5 CP of the season (starting the 1<sup>st</sup> July) and the heat required for flowering (GDH from onset of warming period to flowering) showing an increase in 28.8 GDH for each day the accumulation of chilling was delayed. GDH stands for Growing Degree Hours; CP for Chill Portions.

Figure 1





Figure 2

Mar

Apr







Chill received during the chilling period (CP)





