

Seasonal forecasts of North Atlantic tropical cyclone activity in the North American Multi-Model Ensemble

Article

Accepted Version

Manganello, J. V., Cash, B. A., Hodges, K. I. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0894-229X and Kinter III, J. L. (2019) Seasonal forecasts of North Atlantic tropical cyclone activity in the North American Multi-Model Ensemble. Climate Dynamics, 53 (12). pp. 7169-7184. ISSN 0930-7575 doi: 10.1007/s00382-017-3670-5 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/70252/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00382-017-3670-5

Publisher: Springer

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1	Seasonal Forecasts of North Atlantic Tropical Cyclone
2	Activity in the North American Multi-Model Ensemble
3	
4	<u>Julia V. Manganello</u> ¹ , Benjamin A. Cash ¹ ,Kevin I. Hodges ² , James L. Kinter III ^{1,3}
5	
6	¹ Center for Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Studies (COLA), George Mason University
7	(GMU), Fairfax, Virginia, USA
8	² Dept. of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK
9	³ George Mason University (GMU), Fairfax, Virginia, USA
10	
11	For Submission to the NMME Special Issue in Climate Dynamics
12	
13	Corresponding Author
14	Julia V. Manganello
15	Center for Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Studies
16	113 Research Hall, Mail Stop 2B3
17	George Mason University
18	4400 University Drive
20 19	Fairiax, VA 22030 USA
21	Phone: 703-993-5716
22	Fax: 703-993-5700

24 Abstract

25 The North American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME)-Phase II models are evaluated 26 in terms of their retrospective seasonal forecast skill of the North Atlantic (NA) 27 tropical cyclone (TC) activity, with a focus on TC frequency. The TC identification 28 and tracking algorithm is modified to accommodate model data at daily resolution. 29 It is also applied to three reanalysis products at the spatial and temporal resolution 30 of the NMME-Phase II ensemble to allow for a more objective estimation of forecast 31 skill. When used with the reanalysis data, the TC tracking generates realistic 32 climatological distributions of the NA TC formation and tracks, and represents the 33 interannual variability of the NA TC frequency quite well.

34

35 Forecasts with the multi-model ensemble (MME) when initialized in April and later 36 tend to have skill in predicting the NA seasonal TC counts and TC days. At longer 37 leads, the skill is low or marginal, although one of the models produces skillful 38 forecasts when initialized as early as January and February. At short lead times, 39 while demonstrating the highest skill levels the MME also tends to significantly 40 outperform the individual models and attain skill comparable to the reanalysis. In addition, the short-lead MME forecasts are guite reliable. It is found that the overall 41 42 MME forecast skill is limited by poor representation of the low-frequency variability in the predicted NA TC frequency, and large fluctuations in skill on decadal time 43 scales. Addressing these deficiencies is thought to increase the value of the NMME 44 45 ensemble in providing operational guidance.

46 **1. Introduction**

47 Recognizing high socioeconomic significance of tropical cyclone (TC) prediction, 48 dynamical seasonal forecasts of TC activity have been pursued since the early 2000s 49 using low-resolution climate models (see reviews by Camargo et al. 2007; Camargo 50 and Wing 2016). These efforts have been gaining ground in recent years with the 51 improvements in the prediction systems including the increase of horizontal and 52 vertical resolutions of the component models (Molteni et al. 2011; Vecchi et al. 53 2014; Camp et al. 2015; Manganello et al. 2016) and wider use of ensemble 54 forecasting and multi-model ensemble approach (MME; Vitart 2006; Vitart et al. 55 2007). One such system is the North American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME) 56 experimental multiagency seasonal forecasting system (Kirtman et al. 2014), which 57 is currently delivering real-time seasonal-to-interannual predictions used for 58 operational guidance. In the second stage of this project (NMME-Phase II), 59 improvements to the modeling and data assimilation systems have been introduced, 60 the size of forecast ensembles has increased, and more complete and higher 61 temporal frequency data has become available. In light of these developments, it 62 has become possible to evaluate the skill of dynamical seasonal forecasts of TC activity by the individual NMME models and the corresponding MME to determine 63 64 whether these forecasts are skillful enough to be used in operational hurricane 65 outlooks.

In this paper, we examine the performance of the NMME-Phase II retrospective
forecasts of the North Atlantic (NA) seasonal mean TC activity where predicted
storms are identified directly in the model data using a feature-tracking algorithm.

69 Due to data limitations and relatively coarse horizontal resolution of the NMME 70 models (see Sections 2a and b), our analysis is largely limited to TC frequency, and 71 we briefly examine TC days¹ and regional TC activity as represented by track 72 density (see Vecchi et al. 2014; Manganello et al. 2016). For verification purposes, 73 we use three different reanalysis products in addition to the postseason best track 74 data, such as IBTrACS (see Section 2c). This is done to isolate the influence of model 75 resolution and the TC identification approach on the verification results. In addition 76 to assessing the overall level of skill, our goal is to identify aspects of the simulations 77 that could lead to potential improvements in the TC forecast skill and translate into 78 further developments of the NMME models.

79 Section 2 presents the NMME-Phase II models and hindcast datasets, and 80 introduces the observational and reanalysis data used to assess the skill of TC 81 hindcasts. It also describes the methodology of identifying and tracking the TCs in 82 the model data and reanalysis. Assessment of the seasonal forecast skill of the NA 83 TC activity, its dependence on the month of initialization and low-frequency 84 variability are presented in Section 3, along with a brief description of the climatology of TC formation and tracks. Discussion of the results and concluding 85 remarks are included in Section 4. 86

¹ "TC days" is defined as a lifetime of all TCs accumulated over a season, measured in days.

88 2. Data and Methods

89 a. NMME-Phase II models and data

90 The NMME-Phase II ensemble consists of coupled prediction systems from North 91 American modeling centers and the Canadian Meteorological Centre (CMC). Table 1 92 contains information about the NMME-Phase II models and hindcast datasets used 93 in this study². The NMME System Phase II hindcasat data is available for download 94 from the Earth System Grid at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) 95 (https://www.earthsystemgrid.org/search.html?Project=NMME).

96 Atmospheric horizontal resolution of the models in Table 1 is relatively coarse 97 (between about 1 and 2 degrees), which is common to most present-day operational 98 seasonal prediction systems. (The output resolution is 1°x1° grid for all models.) 99 Daily frequency is the highest temporal output resolution for the majority of the 100 NMME-Phase II models. This rather coarse horizontal and temporal resolution of 101 the data puts additional constraints on the choices of objective criteria used for TC 102 identification, which is further elaborated below. A roughly 30-year period is 103 considered long enough to evaluate the skill of long-range predictions. The hindcast 104 start times include all 12 calendar months, which in addition to a large number of 105 lead times allows for an assessment of long-lead (forecasts initialized as early as 106 January) and short-lead (initialization as late as August) predictions.

107

108 b. Tracking of tropical cyclones

² At the time of this writing, daily dynamical fields for a common 1982-2012 hindcast period were available for download only for a subset of the NMME-Phase II models, which are listed in Table 1.

109 Identification and tracking of TCs in coarse- (horizontal) resolution models has 110 been done since the early 1980s, and a variety of methods exist to minimize the 111 effect of resolution on detection criteria (e.g., Walsh et al. 2007; Strachan et al. 112 2013). On the other hand, to resolve the TC trajectory, including its pre- and post-113 TC stages, a sufficiently high temporal resolution is generally required with the 6-114 hourly output frequency preferred for direct comparison with the best track data. 115 Tracking with daily data is not usually done, except in Smith et al. (2010) where TCs 116 are identified as minima in daily sea level pressure as they are tracked, which 117 reduces the number of possible matches but only captures the most intense part of 118 the lifecycle. In their study, the analysis is also restricted to the region between 0° 119 and 25°N. Recently, Vitart (2016) has successfully adjusted the tracking scheme 120 used at the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) to 121 evaluate the skill of sub-seasonal TC predictions using daily data.

122 In this study, the initial TC identification and tracking is based on the objective 123 feature-tracking methodology of Hodges (1995, 1999) and is tuned to work with 124 daily data, as opposed to 6-hourly data. The detection algorithm identifies vortices 125 as maxima in the 850-hPa relative vorticity field (in the Northern Hemisphere) 126 spectrally truncated at T42 with an intensity threshold of 1x10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ and lifetimes 127 greater than 2 days (2 time steps). This tracking method allows TC tracks to be 128 captured in the deep tropics quite well but may underrepresent the extra-tropical 129 extensions of the tracks (see also Section 3a).

To separate predicted TCs from other synoptic-scale features, a set of TCidentification criteria needs to be applied to the raw tracks generated above. This

132 should include (1) a structural requirement of a warm core, (2) an intensity 133 threshold, along with (3) the formation region and (4) duration requirements. Due 134 to the coarseness of the spatial and temporal resolutions of the NMME-Phase II 135 models and limited availability of the surface wind data, we decided to base our TC 136 identification criteria solely on multi-level relative vorticity (at 850-hPa, 500-hPa 137 and 200-hPa levels common to all models in Table 1). To derive detection 138 thresholds in this case, simulated TC counts need to be calibrated against 139 observations. In this respect, our approach is similar to the method of Strachan et 140 al. (2013).

141 We have tested seven sets of TC identification criteria using May-November³ 142 (MJJASON) reanalyses and model data (forecasts initialized in April). We varied the 143 number of levels used to define the vertical structure, assessed the sensitivity to the 144 presence of vorticity center at each level and monotonic reduction of vorticity with 145 height, and varied the minimum number of days when structural conditions need to 146 be satisfied (see Supplementary Material for more detail). In all cases, a warm core 147 condition remained the same, cyclogenesis was restricted to 0°-20°N over land and 148 0°-30°N over oceans, and 850-hPa vorticity at output resolution was used to 149 calibrate seasonal TC counts. For each reanalysis and NMME model, we have chosen 150 a set of TC identification criteria that maximizes their MJJASON TC frequency 151 correlation skill. These criteria are therefore not the same for all the datasets, 152 although the sensitivities are not large and are further discussed in the 153 Supplementary Material. While this is not a general practice, we believe that the

³ The MJJASON period encompasses most of the TC season in the NA basin.

above approach allows to better gauge the skill of each individual reanalysis and
model. These dataset-specific criteria do not change for the rest of the analysis,
including the skill assessment of long- and short-range predictions.

157

158 c. Observational and reanalysis data

159 For comparison with observations, we use data from the International Best 160 Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS, version v03r07; Knapp et al. 2010; 161 available online at https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/ibtracs/). IBTrACS makes available 162 for public use a global dataset of post season analysis of TC position and intensity 163 (also know as "best track") by merging storm information from multiple centers into 164 one product. The observed tracks are further processed here by retaining systems 165 with lifetimes greater than 2 days, of tropical storm strength for at least 1 day and 166 with first identification occurring between 0°-20°N over land and 0°-30°N over 167 oceans, to be more in line with the model and reanalysis tracks (see Section 2b). We 168 also use sea surface temperature (SST) data from the National Oceanic and 169 Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Optimum Interpolation SST version 2 data set 170 (OISSTv2; Revnolds et al. 2002).

171 Since our choice of TC identification criteria (Section 2b) does not imply a close 172 match with the observational ones, it is prudent to use reanalysis data for more 173 direct verification of model results. In reanalyses, historical observations are 174 objectively ingested into the models with a goal to produce a consistent estimate of 175 the state of the climate. As such, reanalyses have an advantage of models by 176 providing a more comprehensive dataset. They are constrained by the observations

but limited by the raw input data and its quality, the resolution of the models used, and the capabilities of the data assimilation system. Overall, applying the same tracking methodology to the reanalysis and model data of the same spatial and temporal resolution would allow a more objective estimation of the model skill.

181 We have used the following three reanalysis datasets: the National Centers for 182 Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR; Saha 183 et al. 2010); the Interim ECMWF Re-Analysis (ERA-I; Dee et al. 2011); and the 184 National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Modern Era Retrospective-185 Analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA; Rienecker et al. 2011). The spatial 186 resolution of all reanalysis data was downgraded to the 1°x1° grid of the NMME-187 Phase II model data. The temporal resolution was converted to daily, and the period 188 of 1982-2014 was used for analysis.

189

190 **3. Results**

191 a. Climatologies of TC formation and tracks

192 Prior to evaluating the skill of TC frequency forecasts, we verify whether the TC 193 identification and tracking approach chosen here generates realistic distributions of 194 genesis locations and tracks. Figs. 1 and 2 show NA genesis and track densities, 195 respectively, for the IBTrACS, reanalyses and the NMME-Phase II retrospective 196 seasonal forecasts. Reanalysis products reproduce main features of the genesis 197 pattern quite well, with varying levels of success depending on the specific 198 cyclogenesis center (Figs. 1a-d). CFSR is most accurate in representing the Main 199 Development Region (MDR; 10°-25°N, 80°-20°W), whereas in ERA-I and MERRA, 200 activity in this area is largely concentrated near the west coast of Africa. (Origin of 201 some tracks over West Africa is likely related to their tropical easterly wave 202 precursors being captured by the tracking algorithm (see also Manganello et al. 203 2012). For the same reason, the bulk of the MDR genesis is shifted further to the 204 east compared to observations.) The Gulf of Mexico center is underrepresented in 205 all reanalysis products, whereas the western Atlantic center is quite realistic across 206 the board. The Caribbean genesis is shifted southeast and is somewhat overactive in 207 ERA-I. This shift has been noted earlier and linked to the coarse spatial resolution of 208 the models (Manganello et al. 2012, 2016). The associated track density is overall 209 well reproduced (Figs. 2a-d), except in the extra-tropics which is likely a 210 consequence of tracking using daily data (see Section 2b).

211 Predicted genesis and track densities on the whole are less realistic compared to 212 observations and reanalyses, where formation regions are strongly concentrated in 213 space (Figs. 1e-h), and track density is overpredicted and too zonal in the tropics 214 and quite weak further north (Figs. 2e-h). However, the MDR genesis is rather 215 active in all the hindcasts, and other centers are well defined, except for the Gulf of 216 Mexico and the western Atlantic centers being absent in the CanCM3 forecasts. In 217 addition, the Gulf of Mexico center, where present, is more realistic than in the 218 reanalysis. On the other hand, the Caribbean genesis is too strong, and the 219 associated tracks are largely confined to the northern tip of South America. To 220 summarize, the tracking algorithm is capable of generating climatologies of the NA 221 TC formation and tracks with many realistic features, particularly when applied to 222 reanalysis products.

224 b. April forecasts of the North Atlantic seasonal mean TC activity

1). TC frequency

226 Fig. 3 shows the interannual variability of the observed and reanalyses-based NA 227 TC frequency, which is another demonstration of the utility of the TC tracking 228 method in estimating seasonal mean TC activity using daily data. The reanalysis 229 datasets reproduce the interannual variability quite well, with major peaks of 1995 230 and 2005 to the most part realistically represented. The correlation coefficients 231 between the reanalyses and the observed time series are also quite high ranging 232 from 0.67 to 0.81 (see Table 2). The reanalyses do differ considerably in terms of 233 their skill in representing multidecadal changes characterized by low activity in the 234 1980s and early 1990s and high activity in the latter part of the record (e.g., 235 Goldenberg et al. 2001). ERA-I is the most successful in capturing this trend, 236 whereas CFSR displays no trend (see Fig. 3).

Retrospective correlation skill varies markedly among the NMME-Phase II models (see Table 2 for MJJASON forecasts initialized in April). It is quite high for CCSM4 and CanCM4 and is in fact similar to the skill of experimental highatmospheric-resolution coupled prediction systems in Project *Minerva* (Manganello et al. 2016), whereas it is close to zero for GEOS-5 and CanCM3. As a consequence, correlation of the MME mean⁴ is significant but rather modest and does not exceed

⁴ The MME mean is defined as the average over all the hindcasts, with all ensemble members of each model having equal weight.

the skill of all models in the ensemble. The root-mean-square error⁵ (RMSE), which a measure of forecast accuracy, is fairly large, although the differences are not major when the MME mean is compared to reanalyses (Table 3). RMSE for the detrended time series is smaller across the board suggesting that low-frequency variability is not well reproduced in the forecasts (see below). For short-range predictions, the overall skill improves, and the advantages of the MME approach become more evident (see Secion 3d).

250 A natural question arises whether the individual NMME-Phase II models are 251 indeed more or less skillful than their MME mean, and whether these models 252 including the MME display skill that is significantly different from the skill based on 253 the reanalyses data. The correlation coefficient is not considered a very good 254 measure to compare skill, as the presence of noise may lead to large differences in 255 this quantity. It is found that the squared error is a more appropriate metric 256 (DelSole and Tippett, 2014), and we choose the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for the 257 forecast skill comparison since it is not sensitive to the type of distribution (ibid.). 258 We find that at the 95% confidence level, the differences in skill among the four 259 NMME models and their MME mean are insignificant, except that the skill of GEOS-5 260 and CanCM3 is significantly lower that the skill of CanCM4. We also find that all 261 NMME models and the MME mean are as skillful as CFSR and ERA-I but less skillful 262 than MERRA. (The skill of CanCM3 is also significantly lower compared to ERA-I). It

⁵ Forecasts are calibrated (without cross-validation) where each ensemble member is multiplied by a constant factor so that the predicted ensemble-mean and observed climatologies become equal.

is worth emphasizing that the above skill comparison is based on the MJJASONseason (forecasts initialized in April).

265 Ensemble forecasts have an additional advantage of being able to quantify 266 uncertainty based on the probabilistic approach. One such measure is statistical 267 reliability, which can be expressed as a ratio of the ensemble spread and the RMSE 268 (SPRvERR). In a perfectly reliable ensemble forecast, forecast probabilities match 269 the observed frequencies, and the SPRVERR is equal to one. Individual NMME and 270 the MME mean April forecasts are found to be underdispersed (or overconfident; 271 Table 4). Detrending the time series enhances reliability quite a bit which indicates 272 that poor low-frequency variability of the predicted NA TC frequency is indeed a 273 distinct source of forecast error. These results are similar to our findings in Project 274 Minerva (Manganello et al. 2016).

275 To further illustrate the above results, Fig.4 shows seasonal mean TC frequency 276 predicted by the CCSM4 and CanCM4 models along with their ensemble information 277 compared with observations. Both models capture year-to-year fluctuations quite 278 well, particularly in the 1990s and early 2000s where only several seasons fall 279 outside the 10th-90th percentile range (1992, 1997, and 2005 for CCSM4; and 1992, 280 1995, 1997 and 2005 for CanCM4). Neither of the models reproduces the secular 281 trend, and the hindcast skill appears to be inferior in the 1980s and 2010s, which is 282 further discussed below.

283 2) TC days and TC track density

284 Seasonally accumulated lifetime of all TCs in the basin, or "TC days" (see 285 definition in Section 1), exhibits retrospective correlation skill behavior quite

comparable to TC frequency (Table 5). The forecasts that are skillful in predicting TC frequency are to the most part also skillful in predicting TC days. For MJJASON forecasts initialized in April the correlation of the MME mean TC days is not high but significant (0.46), and increases to 0.59 at shorter leads (July and August initializations). It is curious that reanalyses reproduce variability of TC days seemingly better than TC frequency (using current tracking), where correlation for TC days doesn't drop below 0.76 (Table 5).

293 One of the current challenges of seasonal TC forecasting is to provide regional 294 information, such as local TC occurrence or probability of landfall, which is more 295 relevant for decision-making (e.g., Vecchi et al. 2014; Camp et al. 2015; Manganello 296 et al. 2016; Murakami et al. 2016). Here we examine whether MME forecasts of the 297 NA TC activity have retrospective skill on sub-basin scales using track density as a 298 metric and Spearman rank correlation as a measure of performance (see 299 Manganello et al. 2016 for more detail). We compare this skill to the rank 300 correlation between the seasonal mean observed and reanalyses-derived track 301 All three reanalysis products are quite successful at reproducing densities. 302 interannual variability of regional TC activity over most of the NA domain (Figs. 5a-303 c). The regions with significant correlations common to all products are the MDR, 304 the Caribbean Sea, the Gulf of Mexico and central subtropical North Atlantic. These 305 regions also tend to show the highest correlation values. The results do not seem to 306 be particularly sensitive to whether the extended MIJASON season or the peak ASON 307 season is examined (Figs. 5e-g). In comparison, for the longer-lead MME forecasts 308 initialized in April the regions with significant skill are rather sparse and limited to

309 some parts of the MDR and the westernmost margins of the Caribbean Sea and the 310 Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 5d). The absence of any skill north of about 30°N is likely 311 related to strong underprediction of climatological tracks at these latitudes in the 312 NMME models (see Section 3a). At shorter leads (MME forecasts initialized in July), 313 the region with significant skill markedly increases and now covers the western part 314 of the MDR and the whole Caribbean Sea (Fig. 5h). Fairly high retrospective forecast 315 skill in the vicinity of Caribbean islands suggests that predictions of TC landfall 316 frequency in this region may also be skillful. Overall, the skill of regional TC activity 317 forecasts in the NMME is rather modest compared to other coupled prediction 318 systems that employ atmospheric models with much higher horizontal resolution 319 (see Vecchi et al. 2014; Manganello et al. 2016; Murakami et al. 2016).

320

321 c. Low-frequency variability in prediction skill

322 The NMME-Phase II ensemble exhibits variability in the retrospective forecast 323 skill of the NA TC frequency (Fig. 6). Compared to the reanalyses, which maintain 324 relatively constant skill throughout the hindcast period, the MME mean displays 325 markedly lower skill in the 1980s and early 1990s, and also late 2000s and 2010s 326 (Fig. 6a). During these two periods, the model skill deviates from the reanalyses. In 327 contrast, it is quite comparable to the reanalyses in the late 1990s and early 2000s. 328 Since the NA TC season peaks in August-October, forecasts initialized in June could 329 be considered short-lead forecasts of the full hurricane season. We find that at 330 shorter leads (Fig. 6b), forecast skill becomes more in line with the reanalyses in the latter part of the record. This tendency is also present in forecasts initialized in May(not shown).

333 Loss of skill in the 1980s is not unique to the NMME-Phase II models. Similar 334 behavior was also found in all *Minerva* hindcasts (Manganello et al. 2016) where it 335 was linked to more deficient initialization of ocean fields. It is also feasible that 336 predictability of the NA TC activity can fluctuate from one decade to another. The 337 influence of certain climatic factors that serve as predictors of the NA TC activity 338 may depend on the underlying climate conditions (Fink et al. 2010; Caron et al. 339 2015). Current seasonal prediction systems are perhaps able to reproduce some of 340 the relationships but not others or do not time them correctly, which may 341 contribute to the drop in skill.

342 While a detailed analysis of these influences is beyond the scope of the current 343 paper, as a first step we examine here the relationship between the NMME forecasts 344 of TC frequency and several well established predictors of the NA TC genesis, and 345 compare results to observations and reanalyses. The selected climate indices are: 1) 346 SST averaged over the MDR; 2) relative SST index⁶, and 3) the Niño-3.4 index⁷ (see, 347 e.g., Villarini et al. 2010; Vecchi et al. 2011; Caron et al. 2015 and the extensive lists 348 of references in these papers). Both observations and reanalyses suggest a stronger 349 relationship between the MDR SSTs and the NA TC frequency in the late 1990s and 350 early 2000s compared to the earlier and latter parts of the record where 351 correlations become marginally significant (Fig. 7a). The correlation with the

⁶ Relative SST index is defined as the difference between MDR SST and global tropical-mean SST (e.g., Zhao et al. 2010).

⁷ Niño-3.4 index is defined as SST averaged over 5°S-5°N, 120°-170°W.

352 relative SST index is higher and more constant throughout the time period (Fig. 7b), 353 as is the negative connection with the El Niño and the Southern Oscillation (ENSO) 354 except perhaps in 2000s where reanalyses data suggest a weakening of this 355 relationship (Fig. 7c). The NMME models and their MME mean tend to display 356 rather different behavior. During the earlier and latter parts of the hindcast period, 357 TC frequency forecasts appear to be much stronger driven by variations in the 358 predicted MDR SSTs and the relative SST index compared to the middle part of the 359 record, opposite to what observations and reanalyses demonstrate (Figs. 7a and b). 360 It is curious that the late 1990s and early 2000s when the MME correlations with 361 the MDR SSTs and the relative SST index are most realistic coincide with the period 362 of the highest MME TC frequency forecast skill (Fig. 6a). On the other hand, the rest 363 of the hindcast period when these correlations are too high and markedly outside 364 the range of the observed/reanalyses values is also when the forecast skill is at the 365 lowest levels as described above and shown in Fig. 6a. In addition, the retrospective 366 forecast skill of the MDR and relative SST indices is generally quite high except in 367 the 1980s and early 1990s when forecasts of the relative SST index are not skillful 368 (see Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Material). This could further limit the quality of 369 the TC frequency predictions during this time period. In contrast, the influence of 370 ENSO appears to be captured quite well by the MME forecasts, except possibly in the 371 1980s and late 2000s when it appears to be somewhat stronger (Fig. 7c); the 372 hindcast skill of the Niño-3.4 index is the highest among the indices examined and 373 also fairly constant throughout the record (Fig. S1).

375 *d. Long- and short-lead forecasts*

376 The NA TC hindcast skill as a function of the initialization month is shown in Fig. 377 8, along with the results for the reanalyses and measures of "null skill". At longer 378 lead times (earlier than April), the MME mean shows marginal skill when initialized 379 in February relative to the IBTrACS trailing 5-yr average, which is a skill metric 380 recommended by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO 2008; Fig. 8a). In 381 this reference forecast, the interannual variability is smoothed out but the 382 interdecadal variability is preserved to some extent. The best performing forecasts 383 at long leads are produced by CanCM4 and are skillful for January and February 384 initializations. It is notable that for most models and the MME mean the skill curves 385 in Fig. 8a display substantial variability from month to month. This "noisiness" is 386 largely due to low-frequency variability being forecasted at varying levels of skill 387 depending on the initialization month. (Compare also with Fig. 8b that shows 388 similar metrics computed for the detrended time series and displaying a more 389 consistent increase in skill with lead time.) Relative to persistence, or the previous 390 season's TC count, the detrended MME mean shows no long-lead skill except perhaps when initialized in March. All detrended long-lead CanCM4 forecasts show 391 392 skill albeit marginal.

When the hurricane season is approached (March and June initializations) the skill drops somewhat (Figs. 8a and b). At short lead times (July and August), it rebounds and displays the highest levels overall (see also Table 2). It is notable that all detrended MME mean forecasts initialized in April and later are consistently skillful relative to persistence (Fig. 8b). The short-lead MME mean correlation skill

398 (RMSE) also shows the highest (lowest) value among all the models (detrended 399 only; see Tables 2 and 3). In addition, it becomes comparable to the skill of the 400 reanalyses. For instance, RMSEs of forecasts initialized in July are lower than for 401 CFSR and ERA-I (detrended only in the latter case; Table 3). The short-lead MME 402 mean forecasts are also quite reliable, although somewhat over-dispersed when 403 detrended (Table 4). It is curious that among the forecasts initialized in June 404 through August the best performing model is CanCM3, whereas it is one of the worst 405 performing at longer leads. If April forecasts were chosen as a benchmark and the 406 MME are based on two models with skill (CCSM4 and CanCM4), the resultant 407 correlation at short leads is markedly lower compared to the MME based on all 408 available models (not shown). This is one of the advantages of the multi-model 409 ensemble approach that is not always obvious.

410 The skill of the MME mean relative to the individual NMME-Phase II models and 411 the reanalyses is further assessed using the difference between the squared error as 412 a skill metric and testing the significance by applying the Wilcoxon signed-rank test 413 (see Fig. 9; DelSole and Tippett, 2014). In the vast majority of cases, the MME mean 414 outperforms the individual model with differences being statistically significant at 415 short lead times (June and July initializations). Relative to the reanalyses, the MME 416 mean shows larger error most of the time (except at short leads with respect to 417 CFSR), although it is significant primarily at long leads and when compared to 418 MERRA only. It is also notable that at most lead times, the reliability is improved 419 slightly for the MME mean and to a larger extent when the time series are detrended 420 (not shown).

422 **4. Summary and conclusions**

423 In this study, the NMME-Phase II models are interrogated in terms of the 424 retrospective seasonal forecast skill of the NA TC frequency. The TCs are identified 425 explicitly in the model data by means of an objective feature-tracking methodology. 426 Due to the synoptic nature of these storms, daily resolution (the highest available 427 for the ensemble) is generally considered coarse for TC tracking. As part of this 428 work, we have adjusted the TC identification and tracking algorithm to work with 429 daily data and also applied it to three reanalysis products (CFSR, ERA-I and MERRA) 430 that were coarsened to have the same spatial and temporal resolution of the NMME-431 Phase II ensemble. The latter step provides additional verification data (apart from 432 best track data) where the effects of resolution and the TC identification approach 433 have been isolated which allows for a more objective estimation of forecast skill.

434 The TC tracking method used here, when applied to reanalysis data, produces 435 realistic climatological distributions of the NA TC formation and tracks. Low track 436 density in the extra-tropics is a common deficiency, which is a result of tracking 437 using daily data. The tracking is also quite skillful in reproducing the interannual 438 variability of the TC frequency relative to the IBTrACS with correlations ranging 439 between 0.67 and 0.81 depending on the reanalysis product. These values are quite 440 comparable to the estimates obtained in Strachan et al. (2013) and Roberts et al. 441 (2015) where both studies utilized six-hourly data.

442 Long-lead (March and earlier) retrospective seasonal forecasts of the NA TC443 frequency with the MME based on the available NMME-Phase II models are found to

444 have low or marginal skill, although one of the models (CanCM4) produces skillful 445 forecasts when initialized as early as in January and February. At shorter leads 446 (April and later), the MME mean forecasts are largely skillful with the best 447 performance for July and August initializations. Skill metrics evaluated for the 448 detrended time series display a more systematic increase in skill with shorter lead 449 time, and all detrended MME mean forecasts initialized in April and later are 450 consistently skillful. At short lead times (June through August), the MME mean also 451 tends to significantly outperform the individual models and attain skill comparable 452 to the reanalysis. The short-lead MME mean forecasts are also quite reliable, while 453 being under-dispersed at longer leads.

We have identified several deficiencies in the simulations that likely limit theNMME-Phase II seasonal hindcast skill of the NA TC frequency.

456 1. None of the models or the MME mean independent of the initialization month 457 can realistically represent low-frequency variability characterized by low 458 activity in the 1980s and early 1990s and higher activity thereafter. The skill 459 metrics computed for the detrended time series show higher scores in the 460 vast majority of cases. This suggests that poor multi-year variability in the 461 forecasts may indeed be a source of forecast error. This problem is not trivial 462 and is characteristic of other prediction systems like Minerva (Manganello et 463 al. 2016) and several reanalysis products, e.g., MERRA and CFSR. It could be 464 related, for instance, to poor skill in reproducing downward trends in upper 465 tropospheric temperature (Emanuel et al. 2013; Vecchi et al. 2013), 466 inadequate representation of the effects of aerosols and ozone (Evan et al.

2009, 2011; Emanuel et al. 2013), possibly deficiencies in simulating tropical
heating and atmospheric teleconnections (Manganello et al. 2016), and the
sensitivity to the identification of weak and short-lived TCs in the model and
reanalysis data.

471 2. We have shown that the MME mean forecasts exhibit a large drop in skill in 472 the 1980s and early 1990s and also late 2000s and 2010s (mostly at longer 473 leads). It is curious that during the rest of the period (late 1990s and early 474 2000s), the MME mean skill is quite comparable to the reanalyses, which 475 maintain relatively constant skill throughout the hindcast time period. Early 476 in the record, forecast errors could be partly related to deficiencies in the 477 model initialization. Although the problem as a whole may be more complex 478 and indicate that certain physical relationships that underline predictability 479 of the NA TC activity may not be consistently reproduced or properly timed.

Addressing the above issues, while not an easy task, could lead to marked improvements in the seasonal forecast skill and increase the value of the NMME ensemble in providing operational guidance.

483

Acknowledgements. Funding of COLA for this study is provided by grants from NSF (AGS-1338427), NOAA (NA090AR4310058 and NA140AR4310160), NASA (NNX14AM19G), and the ONR grant (N00014-15-1-2745). We acknowledge NOAA MAPP, NSF, NASA, and the DOE that support the NMME-Phase II system, and we thank the climate modeling groups (Environment Canada, NASA, NCAR, NOAA/GFDL, NOAA/NCEP, and University of Miami) for producing and making

490 available their model output. NOAA/NCEP, NOAA/CTB, and NOAA/CPO jointly
491 provided coordinating support and led development of the NMME-Phase II system.
492 We also gratefully acknowledge computing resources on the Yellowstone
493 supercomputer provided by the National Center for Atmospheric Research.
494

495 **References**

- 496 Camargo, S. J., A. G. Barnston, P. J. Klotzbach, and C. W. Landsea, 2007: Seasonal
- 497 tropical cyclone forecasts. *World Meteorological Organization Bulletin*, 56, 297498 309.
- 499 Camargo, S. J., and A. A. Wing, 2016: Tropical cyclones in climate models. *WIREs*

500 *Climate Change*, **7**, 211-237.

- 501 Camp, J., M. Roberts, C. MacLachlan, E. Wallace, L. Hermanson, A. Brookshaw, A.
- Arribas, A. A. and Scaife, 2015: Seasonal forecasting of tropical storms using the
- 503 Met Office GloSea5 seasonal forecast system. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, doi:
- 504 10.1002/qj.2516.
- 505 Caron, L.-P., M. Boudreault, and C. L. Bruyere, 2015: Changes in large-scale controls
- of Atlantic tropical cyclone activity with the phases of the Atlantic multidecadal
 oscillation. *Clim. Dyn.*, 44, 1801-1821.
- 508 Dee, D. P., and Coauthors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and
- 509 performance of the data assimilation system. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **137**, 553–597.
- 510 doi: 10.1002/qj.828
- 511 DelSole, T., and M. K. Tippett, 2014: Comparing Forecast Skill, Mon. Weather Rev.,
- 512 **142(12)**, 4658–4678.
- 513 Emanuel, K. A., S. Solomon, D. Folini, S. Davis, and C. Cagnazzo, 2013: Influence of
- 514 tropical tropopause layer cooling on Atlantic Hurricane activity. J. Clim., 26,
- 515 2288–2301.
- 516 Evan, A. T., G. R. Foltz, D. Zhang, and D. J. Vimont, 2011: Influence of African dust on
- 517 ocean-atmosphere variability in the tropical Atlantic. *Nature Geoscience*, **4**, 762-

518 765.

- 519 Evan, A. T., D. J. Vimont, A. K. Heidinger, J. P. Kossin, ad R. Bennartz, 2009: The Role
- 520 of Aerosols in the Evolution of Tropical North Atlantic Ocean Temperature
- 521 Anomalies. *Science*, **324**, 778-781.
- 522 Fink, A. H., J. M. Schrage, and S. Kotthaus, 2010: On the potential causes of the
- 523 nonstationary correlations between West African Precipitation and Atlantic
- 524 Hurricane Activity. *J. Climate*, **23**, 5437-5456.
- 525 Goldenberg, S. B., C. W. Landsea, A. M. Mestas-Nu.ez, and W. M. Gray, 2001: The
- 526 recent increase in Atlantic hurricane activity: Causes and implications. *Science*,
- **293**, 474–479.
- 528 Hodges, K. I., 1995: Feature tracking on the unit sphere. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **123**, 3458–
 529 3465.
- 530 Hodges, K. I., 1999: Adaptive constraints for feature tracking. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **127**,
- 531 1362–1373.
- 532 Kirtman, B.P., and Coauthors, 2014: The North American multimodel ensemble:
- 533 phase 1 seasonal-to-interannual prediction; phase-2 toward developing
- intraseasoanl prediction. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **95**, 585–601.
- 535 Knapp, K. R., M. C. Kruk, D. H. Levinson, H. J. Diamond, and C. J. Neumann, 2010: The
- 536 International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS). Bull. Amer.
- 537 *Meteor. Soc.*, **91**, 363–376.
- 538 Manganello, J. V., K. I. Hodges, B. A. Cash, J. L. Kinter III, E. L. Altshuler, M. J. Fennessy,
- 539 F. Vitart, F. Molteni, and P. Towers, 2016: Seasonal Forecasts of Tropical Cyclone

540	Activity in a High Atmospheric Resolution Coupled Prediction System, J. Climate,

541 **29**, 1179-1200.

542 Manganello, J. V., K. I. Hodges, J. L. Kinter III, B. A. Cash, L. Marx, T. Jung, D.

543 Achuthavarier, J. M. Adams, E. L. Altshuler, B. Huang, E. K. Jin, C. Stan, P.

- 544 Towers and N. Wedi, 2012: Tropical Cyclone Climatology in a 10-km Global
- 545 Atmospheric GCM: Toward Weather-Resolving Climate Modeling. J. Climate, 25,

546 3867-3893.

- 547 Merryfield, W. J., and Coauthors, 2013: The Canadian seasonal to interannual
- 548 prediction system. Part I: Models and initialization. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **141**, 2910–

549 2945.

550 Molteni, F., T. Stockdale, M. Balmaseda, G. Balsamo, R. Buizza, L. Ferranti, L.

551 Magnusson, K. Mogensen, T. Palmer, and F. Vitart, 2011: The new ECMWF

- seasonal forecast system (System 4). *ECMWF Technical Memorandum*, No. 656,
 pp. 49.
- 554 Murakami, H., G. A. Vecchi, G. Villarini, T. L. Delworth, R. Gudgel, S. Underwood, X.
- 555 Yang, W. Zhang, and S.-J. Lin, 2016: Seasonal forecasts of major hurricanes and
- 556 landfalling tropical cyclones using a high-resolution GFDL coupled climate
- 557 model. J. Climate, 29, 7977-7989.
- 558 Reynolds, R. W., N. A. Rayner, T. M. Smith, D. C. Stokes, and W. Wang, 2002: An
- improved in situ and satellite SST analysis for climate. *J. Climate*, **15**, 1609-1625.
- 560 Rienecker, M. M., and Coauthors, 2011: MERRA: NASA's Modern-Era Retrospective
- 561 Analysis for Research and Applications. J. Climate, 24, 3624–3648.
- 562 Roberts, M. J., P. L. Vidale, M. S. Mizielinski, M.-E. Demory, R. Schiemann, J. Strachan,

- 563 K. Hodges, R. Bell, and J. Camp, 2015: Tropical Cyclones in the UPSCALE
- 564 Ensemble of High-Resolution Global Climate Models. *J. Climate*, **28**, 574-596.
- 565 Saha, S., and Coauthors, 2010: The NCEP Climate Forecast System Reanalysis. *Bull.*
- 566 *Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **91(8)**, 1015–1057.
- 567 Smith, D. M., R. Eade, N. J. Dunstone, D. Fereday, J. M. Murphy, H. Pohlmann, and A. A.
- 568 Scaife, 2010: Skilful multi-year predictions of Atlantic hurricane frequency.
- 569 *Nature Geoscience*, **3**, 846–849.
- 570 Strachan, J., Vidale, P. L., Hodges, K., Roberts, M., and Demory, M.-E., 2013:
- 571 Investigating global tropical cyclone activity with a hierarchy of AGCMs: the role
- 572 of model resolution. *J. Climate*, **26**, 133–152.
- 573 Vecchi, G. A., T. Delworth, R. Gudgel, S. Kapnick, A. Rosati, A. T. Wittenberg, F. Zeng,
- 574 W. Anderson, V. Balaji, K. Dixon, L. Jia, H.-S. Kim, L. Krishnamurthy, R. Msadek, W.
- 575 F. Stern, S. D. Underwood, G. Villarini, X. Yang, and S. Zhang, 2014: On the
- 576 Seasonal Forecasting of Regional Tropical Cyclone Activity. *J. Climate*, 27, 7994–
 577 8016.
- 578 Vecchi, G. A., S. Fueglistaler, I. M. Held, T. R. Knutson, and M. Zhao, 2013: Impacts of
- atmospheric temperature trends on tropical cyclone activity. *J. Clim.*, **26**, 3877–
 3891.
- 581 Vecchi, G. A., M. Zhao, H. Wang, G. Villarini, A. Rosati, A. Kumar, I. M. Held, and R.
- 582 Gudgel, 2011: Statistical-dynamical predictions of seasonal North Atlantic
- 583 hurricane activity. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **139**, 1070-1082.
- 584 Vernieres, G., C. Keppenne, M.M. Rienecker, J. Jacob, and R. Kovach, 2012: The GEOS-
- 585 ODAS, description and evaluation. NASA Technical Report Series on Global

586 Modeling and Data Assimilation, NASA/TM-2012-104606	, Vol. 30.
---	------------

- 587 Villarini, G., G. A. Vecchi, and J. A. Smith, 2010: Modeling the dependence of tropical
- storm counts in the North Atlantic basin on climate indices. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **138**,
 2681-2705.
- 590 Vitart, F., 2006: Seasonal forecasting of tropical storm frequency using a multi-
- 591 model ensemble. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **132**, 647-666.
- 592 Vitart, F. 2016: Tropical cyclogenesis in the S2S Database. *S2S News Letter*, No. 3, 3593 6.
- 594 Vitart, F., M. R. Huddleston, M. Déqué, D. Peake, T. N. Palmer, T. N. Stockdale, M. K.
- 595 Davey, S. Ineson, and A. Weisheimer, 2007: Dynamically-based seasonal
- 596 forecasts of Atlantic tropical storm activity issued in June by EUROSIP. *Geophys.*

597 *Res. Lett.*, **34**, L16815, doi:10.1029/2007GL030740.

- 598 Walsh, K. J. E., M. Fiorino, C. W. Landsea, K. L. McInnes, 2007: Objectively
- 599 Determined Resolution-Dependent Threshold Criteria for the Detection of
- Tropical Cyclones in Climate Models and Reanalyses. *J. Climate*, **20**, 2307–2314.
- 601 WMO, 2008: Report from expert meeting to evaluate skill of tropical cyclone
- 602 seasonal forecasts. World Meteorological Organization. Tech. Doc. 1455,
- 603 Geneva, Switzerland. 27 pp.
- 604 Zhao, M., I. M. Held, and G. A. Vecchi, 2010: Retrospective forecasts of the hurricane
- 605 season using a global atmospheric model assuming persistence of SST
- 606 anomalies. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **138**, 3858-3868.



Figure 1: NA genesis densities for the MJJASON season as number density per
season per unit area equivalent to a 5° spherical cap for (a) IBTrACS (OBS), (b)
CFSR, (c) ERA-I, and (d) MERRA reanalyses based on 1982-2014, and (e) CCSM4, (f)
GEOS-5, (g) CanCM3, and (h) CanCM4 seasonal hindcasts (all ensemble members)
based on the time periods listed in Table 1.



Figure 2: As in Fig. 1, but for the track del



Figure 3: Time series of the NA MJJASON TC frequency based on the IBTrACS (OBS)
data (red), and the CFSR (black), ERA-I (blue) and MERRA (green) reanalysis data
sets. Linear trends for each time series are shown in the upper-left corner, units are
counts per season per year.



Figure 4: Retrospective forecasts (initialized in April) of the NA MJJASON TC
frequency for the (a) CCSM4 and (b) CanCM4 NMME-Phase II models. Red and black
lines show the observed time series and the ensemble-mean forecasts, respectively.
Black dots mark predictions from the individual ensemble members. Box-andwhisker plots denote the 25th-75th and 10th-90th percentile ranges.



805 Figure 5: Rank correlation between the MIJASON observed (IBTrACS) and 806 reanalysis-derived TC track densities for 1982-2014 using (a) CFSR, (b) ERA-I, and 807 (c) MERRA. TC track density is defined as number density per season per unit area 808 equivalent to a 5° spherical cap. (E)-(g) are the same as (a)-(c) but for the ASON 809 season. (D) and (h) show retrospective rank correlation of the observed vs. MME 810 predicted TC track density for MJJASON (April ICs) and ASON (July ICs) of 1982-811 2012, respectively. Values statistically significant at a two-sided p=0.1 level are 812 shown by color shading. Grey shading marks the regions where the observed track 813 density above zero for at least 25% of the years.



845 Figure 6: Sliding 15-year correlation of the predicted (ensemble mean) and 846 reanalysis NA TC frequency with the observed (IBTrACS) for the (a) May-November 847 season (forecasts initialized in April), and (b) July-November season (forecasts 848 initialized in June). NMME-Phase II model results are shown in black and solid line 849 for CCSM4, dotted for GEOS-5, long-dash-short-dash for CanCM3, and dot-dot-dash 850 for CanCM4. Results for the MME mean are shown in magenta, and blue for the reanalyses (solid line for CFSR, long-dash-short-dash for ERA-I and dot-dot-dash for 851 852 Horizontal dashed line signifies statistically significant correlation. MERRA). 853 Horizontal axis marks the central year in the 15-year window. 854



891 mean (a) MDR SST index; (b) relative SST index; and (c) Niño-3.4 index (see 892 definitions in the text) for observations (IBTrACS vs. OISSTv2), reanalysis and 893 ensemble mean forecasts (initialized in April). NMME-Phase II model results are 894 shown in black and solid line for CCSM4. dotted for GEOS-5. long-dash-short-dash 895 for CanCM3, and dot-dot-dash for CanCM4. Results for the MME mean are shown in 896 magenta, green for observations, and blue for the reanalyses (solid line for CFSR, 897 long-dash-short-dash for ERA-I and dot-dot-dash for MERRA). Grey shading 898 denotes the range of observed/reanalysis values. Horizontal dashed line signifies 899 statistically significant correlation. Horizontal axis marks the central year in the 15-900 vear window.



933 Figure 8: Correlation skill of the seasonal mean NA TC frequency for the NMME-934 Phase II models, the MME mean and the reanalyses as a function of forecast lead 935 time, shown for the (a) full time series, and the (b) detrended time series. The solid colored lines display the skill of the CCSM4 (orange), GEOS-5 (brown), CanCM3 936 937 (lilac), CanCM4 (violet), and the MME mean (magenta). The black lines show the 938 skill of CFSR (solid), ERA-I (long-dash), and MERRA (dot-dot-dash). Results shown 939 are for the May-November average for forecasts initialized in January through April; 940 June-November, July-November, August-November and September-November 941 means when initialized in May, June, July and August, respectively. For the full time 942 series, the skill is compared to a reference forecast comprising of the lagged 5-vr 943 average of the observed TC frequency (solid gray; WMO 2008), and to persistence, 944 or the previous season's observed TC frequency, (long-dash grey) for the detrended 945 cases. 946



Table 1. NMME-Phase II models and forecasts.

Model Name	Modeling Center	Reference	Hindcast Period	Ensemble Size	Lead Times (months)	Atmospheric Model Resolution
CCSM4	University of Miami- Rosenstiel School for Marine and Atmospheric Science (UM-RSMAS)	Kirtman et al. (in prep.)	1982-2014	10	0-11	0.9x1.25 deg. L26
GEOS-5	National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)	Verniers et al. (2012)	1982-2012	10	0-8	1x1.25 deg. L72
CanCM3	Canadian Centre for Climate Modeling and Analysis (CCCMA)	Merryfield et al. (2013)	1981-2012	10	0-11	T63L31
CanCM4	Canadian Centre for Climate Modeling and Analysis (CCCMA)	Merryfield et al. (2013)	1981-2012	10	0-11	T63L35

979	Table 2. Linear correlation of the predicted (ensemble mean) and reanalysis NA TC frequency with the observed
980	(IBTrACS) for 1982-2014 for the reanalyses data sets, and the time periods listed in Table 1 for the forecasts. Results
981	are shown for May-November (MJJASON), August-November (ASON) and September-November (SON) seasons with
982	forecasts initialized in April, July and August, respectively. Multi-model ensemble mean (MME) is based on four or
983	three models listed depending on data availability, as indicated. Values in parentheses show correlation coefficients
984	computed for the detrended time series. Boldface marks values that are statistically significant at the 95% confidence
985	level.

Season (ICs)	CCSM4	GEOS-5	CanCM3	CanCM4	MME	CFSR	ERA-I	MERRA
MJJASON (April ICs)	0.48 (0.51)	0.12 (0.06)	0.14 (0.05)	0.52 (0.43)	0.46 (0.36)	0.67 (0.81)	0.78 (0.69)	0.81 (0.80)
ASON (July ICs)	0.33 (0.44)	_*	0.62 (0.57)	0.54 (0.55)	0.56 (0.60)	0.57 (0.74)	0.77 (0.67)	0.85 (0.82)
SON (August ICs)	0.24 (0.45)	0.48 (0.36)	0.60 (0.50)	0.45 (0.34)	0.52 (0.57)	0.58 (0.65)	0.80 (0.71)	0.84 (0.79)

987 -* incomplete data

989	Table 3. RMSE between the calibrated ensemble-mean forecasts and the observations (IBTrACS) of the NA TC
990	frequency based on the time periods listed in Table 1, and between the reanalyses and observed NA TC frequency for
991	1982-2014. Results are shown for May-November (MJJASON), August-November (ASON) and September-November
992	(SON) seasons with forecasts initialized in April, July and August, respectively. Multi-model ensemble mean (MME) is
993	based on four or three models listed depending on data availability, as indicated. Values in parentheses show RMSE for
994	the detrended time series.

Season (ICs)	CCSM4	GEOS-5	CanCM3	CanCM4	MME	CFSR	ERA-I	MERRA
MJJASON (April ICs)	3.73 (3.15)	4.32 (3.54)	4.27 (3.58)	3.66 (3.06)	3.87 (3.18)	3.37 (2.37)	2.81 (2.80)	2.57 (2.40)
ASON (July ICs)	3.73 (3.05)	_*	2.89 (2.39)	3.09 (2.44)	3.09 (2.28)	3.34 (2.46)	2.44 (2.43)	1.95 (1.84)
SON (August ICs)	2.93 (2.25)	2.61 (2.23)	2.32 (2.09)	2.59 (2.30)	2.56 (2.02)	2.42 (2.01)	1.79 (1.78)	1.57 (1.54)

996 -* incomplete data

998	Table 4 . The SPRvERR for the calibrated predicted NA TC frequency based on the time periods listed in Table 1.
999	Results are shown for May-November (MJJASON), August-November (ASON) and September-November (SON) seasons
1000	with forecasts initialized in April, July and August, respectively. Multi-model ensemble mean (MME) is based on four or
1001	three models listed depending on data availability, as indicated. Values in parentheses show SPRvERR for the
1002	detrended time series.

1003	Season (ICs)	CCSM4	GEOS-5	CanCM3	CanCM4	MME
1004	MJJASON (April ICs)	0.79 (0.91)	0.59 (0.70)	0.60 (0.69)	0.74 (0.86)	0.74 (0.88)
1006	ASON (July ICs)	0.74 (0.88)	_*	0.93 (1.07)	0.93 (1.11)	1.00 (1.31)
1007 1008	SON (August ICs)	0.75 (0.93)	0.77 (0.88)	0.96 (1.04)	0.90 (0.99)	0.97 (1.20)

1010 -* incomplete data

Table 5. As in Table 2 but for TC days. Only values for the full time series are shown.

Season (ICs)	CCSM4	GEOS-5	CanCM3	CanCM4	MME	CFSR	ERA-I	MERRA
MJJASON (April ICs)	0.39	0.21	0.29	0.57	0.46	0.85	0.82	0.82
ASON (July ICs)	0.37	_*	0.67	0.55	0.59	0.80	0.82	0.83
SON (August ICs)	0.37	0.54	0.66	0.38	0.59	0.76	0.80	0.79

1015 -* incomplete data