The weather’s response to a solar eclipse

Eclipses provide a predictable transient reduction in the energy source driving the atmosphere and weather systems. Giles Harrison and Suzanne Gray examine what happened during the UK eclipses of 1999 and 2015 and what they show about detailed weather prediction models.

Solar eclipses have been studied by astronomers for centuries, providing a predictable although brief glimpse at the properties of the Sun. Their astronomical predictability decades and centuries in advance contrasts sharply with the lower atmosphere’s unpredictability beyond a few days at most, especially when forecasting whether there will be obscuring effects of clouds. These weather-related problems for eclipse work are not new: for example, Edmund Halley reported just such difficulties in viewing the 1715 eclipse, noting that “My worthy colleague Dr John Keill by reason of clouds saw nothing distinctly at Oxford” (Halley 1716). But regarding meteorology solely as the obscurer of the heavens misses the rich broader geophysical research opportunities an eclipse provides, through unravelling the atmosphere’s responses to the sudden removal and restoration of its energy source. By harnessing the high-resolution instrumental and numerical capabilities now available, eclipses provide a natural interdisciplinary experiment at the crossroads of meteorology and astronomy (Harrison & Hanna 2016).

Eclipse meteorology

Meteorological effects of eclipses have of course long been appreciated and even indirectly recorded; for example, Edmund Halley also mentioned that “I forbear to mention the chill and damp which attended the darkness of this eclipse of which most spectators were sensible” (Halley 1716). Even without the full perspective

Figure 1: The lunar shadow on 9 March 2016 at 01.50 UTC, as recorded by the Japanese Meteorological Agency’s Himawari-8 satellite, processed to produce a true-colour image. (CIMSS, University of Wisconsin)
on the lunar shadow now provided by satellite images (figure 1), it seems inconceivable that an observable effect would not have been foreseen in air temperature for a long time. Nevertheless, despite the ready availability of thermometers from the early 1700s, genuinely quantitative atmospheric investigations during eclipses did not begin until the 1830s. The primary change during an eclipse is that of the drop in incoming solar radiation (figure 2a), but the surface air temperature changes have historically received the most attention (Aplin et al. 2016), with the greatest air temperature reduction typically occurring about 10 minutes after the minimum in solar radiation (figure 2b). The temperature drop is larger in clear skies than cloudy skies (Aplin & Harrison 2003). There is also an associated eclipse-induced reduction of wind speed, which is not always appreciated. This results from a reduction in turbulence and mixing when the solar heating is removed. Figure 2c shows how the UK electrical generation from wind was reduced during the 20 March 2015 eclipse. Dashed orange line shows calculated change in solar radiation for Reading, arbitrary units. (Mod. from Harrison & Hanna 2016)

The term ‘eclipse meteorology’ was firmly adopted by 1936

Clayton’s study concluded that atmospheric circulation changes resulted from the effect of the lunar shadow on the atmosphere, and proposed a conceptual model – the eclipse cyclone – to describe the circulation pattern associated with the umbral cooling. Clayton’s perspective used the understanding of a cyclone (also known as a mid-latitude depression) from Ferrell (1889), which associated the well-known regional-scale weather pattern with wind direction and temperature changes. Minimal information above the surface was available for corroboration, but this conceptual picture of a cold-cored cyclone was pivotal to Clayton’s explanation of the eclipse-induced wind direction effects observed (figure 3). Clayton’s interpretation received a mixed response, in particular concerning his view of the surface data as actually representing a cold-cored cyclone (Ward 1901, Bigelow 1901, Clayton 1901b). Nevertheless, this work helped the concept of eclipse-induced wind effects to become established and others remarked on anomalous eclipse-induced wind responses around the same time, even adopting the term “eclipse wind” (Rocht 1900).

Further measurements of wind effects associated with an eclipse were reported by Kimball and Ferguson (1919), who observed a weak persistent wind anomaly along the central band of the lunar shadow. Anderson (1999) suggests that Kimball and Ferguson’s work provides the basis for the idea of a cold eclipse wind, which, while debated, has become embedded in eclipse observers’ folklore. Many subsequent observations of anomalous wind-changes have been made, such as a strong post-eclipse gust on 30 June 1973 in Mauritania following an anticlockwise change – known in meteorology as backing – in the wind direction (Anderson & Keefer 1975). Establishing whether these particular wind effects are a common characteristic of eclipse-induced meteorological changes is unlikely to be achieved by surface observations alone, because eclipse weather situations vary from day to day and seasonally, as do the affected locations, making it difficult to generalize. Nevertheless, changes in the wind are commonly observed as features of the atmospheric response to eclipses (Aplin et al. 2016).

Numerical models

Modern computation tools provide the capability to examine the conceptual ideas and actual effects more thoroughly, in the
form of numerical models of the atmosphere developed for weather forecasting. Numerical weather prediction models combine the equations of motion with the laws of thermodynamics, in order to predict the atmospheric response when a quantity in one of the equations changes. To operate, they require detailed measurements of the initial state of the atmosphere, obtained from networks of measurements made by national meteorological services using surface weather stations, remote sensing of the atmosphere by satellite, and balloons and aircraft to generate vertical profiles of the atmosphere. The numerical models then advance the initial conditions forward in time using a three-dimensional gridded representation of the atmosphere, extending from the surface to typically at least 40 km altitude. One benefit of applying numerical weather prediction models to the atmospheric conditions influenced by eclipses is that the unusual set of circumstances means that the different effects cannot be unambiguously separated. Many studies have attempted to compare changes on the eclipse day with conditions on the days before or after to identify an eclipse’s effect, but this can only be effective for extracting the eclipse-induced change if the weather remains similar over the days in question.

Numerical atmospheric models provide more possibilities for such comparisons (Gray & Harrison 2012), and so far they have been used in two ways. First, by using a numerical model that does not include a representation of the eclipse, the model can provide a prediction of what the weather would have been without the eclipse for comparison with the actual observed conditions. Second, a numerical model can be extended to include representation of the eclipse-induced solar radiation changes, and the results compared with those from the same model without such an extension. The difference between the two implementations of the same core model provides a prediction of the eclipse effects, which can be compared with observations.

Both approaches need a network of measurements, with good spatial and temporal resolution. Eclipses passing over populated regions are increasingly able to provide such detailed measurements, thanks to the widespread use of automatic weather stations. For example, in the analysis of the weather effects of the UK’s most recent total solar eclipse on 11 August 1999, the Met Office network used by Gray and Harrison (2012) had 121 measuring sites providing hourly data. In contrast, during the substantial UK partial eclipse of 20 March 2015, 331 Met Office sites providing measurements at up to 1-minute resolution were available, to which Gray and Harrison (2016) were also able to add data from a further 868 roadside weather stations.

An alternative strategy to obtain a dense network of measurements is to enlist amateur observers. The National Eclipse Weather Experiment (NEWEx) during the 2015 UK partial eclipse did precisely this as part of a science outreach activity, seeking observations via webform of wind, temperature and cloud during the eclipse at 15-minute and 5-minute resolution (Barnard et al. 2016). NEWEx had about 3500 participants across the UK (Portas et al. 2016) and the citizen science measurements obtained showed good agreement with data from the Met Office network in the reduction of temperature and wind speed (MR Clark 2016, Barnard et al. 2016).

Clayton’s conceptual model arose from linking a reduction in surface air pressure,
Wind speeds and wind direction

Wind speed and direction are intimately connected with horizontal differences in atmospheric pressure, with the large-scale flow direction usually immediately apparent from pressure maps showing isobars (lines of constant pressure). Flows near the surface encounter the additional effects of surface drag, modifying the wind speed and direction.

![Diagram of wind speeds and directions](image)

**Forecast without eclipse**

Adopting the first approach for using numerical models to analyse eclipse events outlined above – using a model forecast ignorant of the eclipse – Gray and Harrison (2012, 2016) compared surface observations for the 11 August 1999 and 20 March 2015 eclipses with model results from the Met Office’s high-resolution numerical forecast model (known as the UKV, for UK Variable resolution), employing 1.5km grid spacing. Figure 4 shows analyses for both events, with the data compiled in each case for inland regions away from the possible complicating effects of sea breezes. In each case, three observed (lines) and modelled (boxplot) quantities are compared: air temperature, wind speed and wind direction. For the 1999 eclipse, with light winds and substantially clear skies, the temperature reduction is clearly observable in figure 4a, beyond the range of the model predictions. A reduction in wind speed is also clearly observable (figure 4b), but within the range of forecast values. For the 2015 eclipse, which was an eclipse of lesser magnitude across the UK than the 1999 eclipse and with the additional complication of more cloud, the temperature reduction is still clear (figure 4d). The wind speed reduction is also evident (figure 4e), as is an anticlockwise turning in wind direction (figure 4f). Taken together, the panels demonstrate that the temperature and wind responses observed in both UK eclipses are similar. In particular, the changes in wind yield a reduced wind speed and an anticlockwise turning in wind direction, compared with both the forecasts and the pre- and post-eclipse observed wind directions. This consistency in response occurs despite the meteorological circumstances of these eclipses being different and occurring in different seasons. The consistency in the wind responses therefore provides some corroboration for the observations of Clayton, although in what follows we provide a simpler explanation for these changes.

**Surface drag**

Wind direction changes can be understood in terms of the changing effect of the Earth’s surface conditions on the air flow above. This is because the lower part of the
5 Suggested effect of a solar eclipse on the surface winds. Within the atmospheric boundary layer the air flow is influenced by surface properties, and the boundary layer deepens during daytime as a result of turbulence and convective motion. During an eclipse the mixing is reduced and the boundary layer becomes shallower. An associated increase in surface drag modifies the balance of forces on the moving air, changing its direction. After the eclipse, mixing re-establishes itself so that the boundary layer recovers and the wind speed and direction resume pre-eclipse properties.

6 Calculations of the boundary layer depth during the maximum of 20 March 2015 eclipse, as found by the Met Office UKV numerical model, (a) without eclipse representation and (b) with eclipse representation. (c) The difference between (a) and (b). (From P Clark 2016)

weather-forming region of the atmosphere is affected by the surface friction it encounters. For example, there is less surface drag on air flowing over an ocean compared with that flowing over a mountain range. Changes in surface drag also occur between night and day, because of the change in depth of the boundary layer, the region of the lower atmosphere where the surface properties influence the flow. These effects change the balance of the forces on the moving air, the vector sum of which determines the wind direction (see box “Wind speeds and wind direction”).

Similar effects to those after sunset might be expected as a result of transient eclipse-induced cooling, and figure 5 shows conceptually how boundary layer changes could lead to the wind speed and direction effects observed. Gray and Harrison (2016) reported wind-profiler observations from the 2015 eclipse showing a reduction in boundary layer height during the eclipse; these data informed simple calculations demonstrating that an anticlockwise change in wind direction would be expected, together with the reduction in wind speed from reduced turbulence and mixing. However, nocturnal wind effects within the boundary layer are often complicated as the air flowing immediately adjacent to the surface can become decoupled from the air above it.

Modelling including eclipse

The second approach for using numerical models to analyse eclipse events – modifying a numerical model to include representation of the eclipse-induced solar radiation changes – can provide more detail on the wind anomalies, as the three-dimensional wind effects are explicitly resolved. The Met Office UKV model was modified to represent the 20 March 2015 eclipse, by prescribing an eclipse-induced change in the incoming solar radiation (P Clark 2016), but unmodified in other respects.

On 20 March 2015, most of the UK was covered by low cloud with light winds. There were, however, clear skies in a band from Cornwall to Leicestershire, giving good eclipse viewing conditions that were associated with the largest surface air temperature changes (MR Clark 2016). The difficulties presented by different weather conditions across the region of an eclipse provide one reason for pursuing an analysis that combines modelling and measurements, as the timing of the progression of a weather system can be important in determining the effects observed. The quantities that are observed and predicted by the model can, of course, be directly compared. But, because the model also represents physical quantities that are not directly observed, or only observed at a few sites, estimates for these can be obtained from the model calculations too.

Variations in the boundary layer depth
Giles Harrison provides an alternative method of forecasting. The Met Office UKV model few of the network of sites used for weather successive balloon soundings. However, using acoustic or radar techniques, or even 7

Calculations of the near-surface (10 m height) wind vectors during the maximum of the 20 March 2015 eclipse, as found by the Met Office UKV numerical model, (a) without eclipse representation and (b) with eclipse representation. (c) The difference between (a) and (b). (From P Clark 2016)

region, where wind directions changed in an anticlockwise direction by about 20°; (P Clark 2016). A consistent picture of temperature, boundary layer, wind speed and direction changes therefore emerges.

Conclusions
Clayton's cold-cored cyclone conceptual model was originally deduced from surface observations and provided significant synthesis of the measurements then available. This work correctly identified eclipse-induced wind effects. A limitation was the lack of three-dimensional atmospheric knowledge, both in a theoretical sense and in the data available with which to explain any associated phenomena. Clayton therefore quite reasonably associated wind direction changes, cooling and a reduction in surface pressure, with a cold-cored cyclone. The limitations of the surface observations alone were also apparent in the work of Aplin and Harrison (2003); high-resolution numerical modelling can now provide insight into the three-dimensional atmospheric structure, in particular for elucidating the effect on the boundary layer.

Observations made during the 1999 and 2015 UK eclipses show consistency in the wind effects observed: specifically, the changes in speed and direction. More extensive observations available from the 2015 eclipse also indicated a reduction in boundary layer height at some sites, which can have important effects on the near-surface winds as illustrated by Gray and Harrison (2016). The detailed modelling work of P Clark (2016) calculated consistent changes in boundary layer height in the clear sky region, showing an anticlockwise change in wind direction. The consideration of boundary layer changes therefore provides an alternative explanation to Clayton's model for wind direction changes observed. Clayton's model may yet have validity for eclipses over large landmass regions, which has not been explored here.

This allows us to exploit an eclipse as a natural meteorological experiment

The combination of high spatial resolution, detailed numerical models with dense measurement networks provides a new framework with which to investigate the predictable reduction in the incoming energy to the atmosphere presented by a partial or total solar eclipse. This not only provides new tools for planning for the changes in renewable energy generation during an eclipse, but also the means to exploit an eclipse as a natural meteorological experiment for testing and improving the weather forecasting models on which society depends.