

Arm retraction dynamics of entangled star polymers: a forward-flux sampling method study

Article

Accepted Version

Zhu, J., Likhtman, A. E. and Wang, Z. (2017) Arm retraction dynamics of entangled star polymers: a forward-flux sampling method study. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 147 (4). 044907. ISSN 0021-9606 doi: 10.1063/1.4995422 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/71476/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4995422

Publisher: American Institute of Physics

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR



Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

¹ Arm Retraction Dynamics of Entangled Star Polymers: A Forward-Flux Sampling

2 Method Study

- Jian Zhu, Alexei E. Likhtman and Zuowei Wang^{*1}
- ⁴ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Reading,
- 5 Reading RG6 6AX, UK

The study of dynamics and rheology of well-entangled branched polymers remains a 6 challenge for computer simulations due to the exponentially growing terminal relaxation times of these polymers with increasing molecular weights. We present an effi-8 cient simulation algorithm for studying the arm retraction dynamics of entangled star g polymers by combining the coarse-grained slip-spring (SS) model with the forward-10 flux sampling (FFS) method. This algorithm is first applied to simulate symmetric 11 star polymers in the absence of constraint release (CR). The reaction coordinate for 12 the FFS method is determined by finding good agreement of the simulation results 13 on the terminal relaxation times of mildly entangled stars with those obtained from 14 direct shooting SS model simulations with the relative difference between them less 15 than 5%. The FFS simulations are then carried out for strongly entangled stars with 16 arm lengths up to 16 entanglements that are far beyond the accessibility of brute force 17 simulations in the non-CR condition. Apart from the terminal relaxation times, the 18 same method can also be applied to generate the relaxation spectra of all entangle-19 ments along the arms which are desired for the development of quantitative theories 20 of entangled branched polymers. Furthermore, we propose a numerical route to con-21 struct the experimentally measurable relaxation correlation functions by effectively 22 linking the data stored at each interface during the FFS runs. The obtained star arm 23 end-to-end vector relaxation functions $\Phi(t)$ and the stress relaxation function G(t)24 are found to be in reasonably good agreement with standard SS simulation results 25 in the terminal regime. Finally, we demonstrate that this simulation method can 26 be conveniently extended to study arm-retraction problem in entangled star polymer 27 melts with CR by modifying the definition of the reaction coordinate. 28

29 I. INTRODUCTION

Development of quantitative theories for predicting the dynamic and rheological proper-30 ties of entangled branched polymers is of both fundamental and practical importance. In 31 the past decades, theoretical efforts have been primarily based on the concept of tube model 32 originally proposed by de Gennes, Doi and Edwards.¹⁻³ Different from entangled linear poly-33 mers where reptation, contour length fluctuations (CLF) and constraint release (CR) are the 34 main relaxation mechanisms, reptation in branched polymers is strongly suppressed due to 35 the effectively localized branch points. In the simplest case of symmetric star polymers, the 36 stress relaxation is conjectured to proceed via CLF or arm retraction by which the free end 37 of an arm retracts inward along the primitive path to escape from the original tube segments 38 and pokes out again to explore new tube. Since arm retraction is entropically unfavorable 39 and so thermally activated, this process can be formulated as a first-passage (FP) problem 40 or Kramers problem.^{4–6} 41

A star arm retracting in a fixed network experiences a potential barrier theoretically 42 described by a quadratic function $U(s) = \nu k_{\rm B} T Z s^2$ where $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, 43 $Z = M/M_{\rm e}$ is the number of entanglements per arm, M is the arm molecular weight, $M_{\rm e}$ is 44 the entanglement molecular weight and ν is treated as a constant.⁷ The fractional coordi-45 nate s measures the retraction depth of the arm free end. Pearson and Helfand predicted 46 an exponential dependence of the arm terminal relaxation time, $\tau_{\rm d}$, and correspondingly 47 the viscosity, η_0 , on the arm molecular weight, $\eta_0 \sim \tau_d \sim \exp(\nu M/M_e)$.⁸ This prediction, 48 however, shows a large discrepancy from experimental data obtained in star polymer melts 49 due to the neglect of CR effects. Ball and McLeish⁹ took into account the CR effects by 50 applying the dynamic tube dilution (DTD) hypothesis¹⁰ where the relaxed arm segments are 51 considered to work as an effective solvent for the unrelaxed materials. Milner and McLeish 52 further improved this theory by including the contributions of fast Rouse fluctuations at 53 early times and solving the first-passage problem of a diffusing end monomer to retract a 54 fractional distance s to get the arm relaxation spectrum $\tau(s)$ at late times.^{4,5} The Milner-55 McLeish theory predicts the stress relaxation of symmetric star polymer melts reasonably 56 well, but not the dielectric or arm end-to-end vector relaxation function. It also encounters 57 difficulty in using a single set of model parameters to describe the rheological behaviors of 58 asymmetric star polymers with different short arm lengths.¹¹ In recent years computational 59

models based on the framework of Milner-McLeish theory have been developed for describ-60 ing the linear viscoelasticity of branched polymers with arbitrary architectures and their 61 general mixtures.^{12–16} These models have been shown to provide predictions in reasonably 62 good agreement with experimental data for a variety of systems, but are facing problems in 63 describing the linear rheology of some simple mixtures, such as the star-linear blends, espe-64 cially at low fractions of star polymers.^{16,17} Therefore more quantitative theories that can 65 simultaneously predict different dynamic and rheological properties of entangled branched 66 polymers are still highly desired. The development of such theories requires the analytical 67 solution of the multi-dimensional FP problem of arm retraction.¹⁸ 68

On the other hand, the coarse-grained slip-link or slip-spring (SS) simulation mod-69 els have demonstrated strong potential in describing dynamics and rheology of entangled 70 polymers.^{19–28} For example, the single-chain slip-spring model developed by Likhtman²⁵ can 71 provide simulation results on multiple experimentally measurable observables, such as neu-72 tron spin echo, linear rheology, dielectric relaxation and diffusion. Using a limited number 73 of fitting parameters, the predictions of this model match the results obtained from both ex-74 periments and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on linear and symmetric star polymers 75 very well.^{26,29–31} The SS model serves as an intermediate between tube theory and MD sim-76 ulations. As a discrete model, it not only naturally builds in all the relaxation mechanisms 77 of the tube model, but also carries more system details, such as explicit polymer chains and 78 entanglements³². At a higher level of coarse-graining, the SS model is significantly more 79 efficient than MD simulations using bead-spring polymer model, which is of great advantage 80 in the study of branched polymers. Furthermore, the slip-spring model can separate the con-81 tributions from different relaxation mechanisms by enabling some of them while disabling 82 others. This is particularly helpful for examining assumptions made in current theoretical 83 models and providing valuable information for developing more quantitative models. One 84 typical application is to evaluate the magnitude of constraint release effects by comparing 85 simulation results obtained from entangled polymer systems with and without CR. 86

Since deep arm retractions are rare events due to the high entropic barrier, the time and length scales accessible to standard slip-spring simulations are still much shorter than those in well-entangled experimental systems where the tube models are supposed to work best. Similar problems have also been seen in brute force simulations of many other rare events, such as crystal nucleation^{33,34}, biological switches³⁵ and protein folding³⁶. The required ⁹² computational time may take up to several decades.³⁷ Advanced numerical techniques, such ⁹³ as the umbrella sampling³⁸ and transition path sampling³⁹ methods, have to be employed ⁹⁴ to accelerate the simulations. Recently the forwards flux sampling (FFS) method has been ⁹⁵ proposed^{35,40,41} and proven to be successful in molecular dynamics and Monte Carlo (MC) ⁹⁶ studies of rare events.^{37,42}

In this work, we will combine the FFS method with the slip-spring model for studying 97 the dynamics of entangled symmetric star polymers. This is a proof-of-concept work. To 98 our knowledge the only reported work on applying the transition path sampling methods to 99 study entanglement dynamics is the FFS simulation of Rouse chains in the regime relevant to 100 arm retraction dynamics.¹⁸ We will mainly focus on the systems without constraint release 101 for the following reasons: 1) It is relatively convenient to implement the FFS method and 102 find an appropriate reaction coordinate in the non-CR systems; 2) The terminal relaxation 103 times in the systems without CR are much longer than those with CR, allowing us to 104 test the computational efficiency and limit of the combined method; 3) Reliable simulation 105 data on the FP times of arm retractions without CR are highly desired for examining 106 analytical solutions of the multiple-dimensional Kramers problem¹⁸; 4) The extension of the 107 method developed in the non-CR case to the CR case is fairly straightforward, as will be 108 shown in Section V. With an optimized selection of the reaction coordinate, which is the 109 index of the monomer that the innermost slip-link sits on, we first validate the proposed 110 simulation method by producing simulation results on the terminal relaxation times $\tau_{\rm d}$ of 111 mildly entangled star arms up to 8 entanglements in good agreement with those obtained 112 from SS model simulations. The FFS simulations are then extended to longer arms with 113 lengths up to 16 entanglements and so reach τ_d values about 6 decades beyond that accessible 114 by brute force simulations (from 6×10^6 to 3×10^{12} SS unit time). The FP times of other 115 original slip-links along the arm can be calculated using similar FFS simulations as for 116 the innermost one, which consequently provides the entire arm relaxation spectrum $\tau(s)$. 117 Moreover, we propose a numerical route to construct the arm end-to-end vector correlation 118 functions, $\Phi(t)$, and stress relaxation functions, G(t), from the discrete data stored at each 119 interface during the FFS runs. Such time correlation functions are still not widely addressed 120 in the FFS studies, but some relevant discussions could be found in the literatures for 121 the FFS^{43,44} and weighted ensemble methods.^{45–47} Our simulation results will contribute to 122 the development of theoretical models for describing the dynamics of entangled branched 123

polymers and also the general first-passage problems in multi-dimensional systems. The
simulation methodology developed in this work should also be applicable to the study of
rare events in other scientific areas.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the single-chain slip-spring model for entangled star polymers in the absence of CR. The detailed description of the combined FFS and SS model is given in Section III. The simulation results obtained in the non-CR systems are presented and discussed in Section IV, including the terminal relaxation times τ_d , the arm retraction spectra $\tau(s)$ and the numerical route for constructing $\Phi(t)$ and G(t). In Section V, the simulation method is extended to study the arm retraction dynamics of star polymers in the presence of CR. We draw conclusions in Section VI.

II. SLIP-SPRING MODEL FOR ENTANGLED SYMMETRIC STAR POLYMERS

136 A. Model Description

In the single-chain slip-spring model for entangled symmetric stars, each star arm is 137 represented by a Rouse chain with N + 1 monomers linked by N harmonic springs,^{25,48} as 138 shown in Fig. 1. One end monomer with index 0 of the chain is treated as the branch point 139 which is fixed in space, while the other end with index N moves freely. The topological 140 constraints on the arm are modelled by a set of virtual springs each of $N_{\rm s}^{\rm SS}$ beads. Each 141 virtual spring has one end connected to the Rouse chain by a slip-link that can slide along 142 the chain, and the other end, called anchor point, is fixed in space. The slip-spring model 143 effectively assumes a binary picture of entanglements, which is qualitatively supported by 144 recent MD simulation studies.^{49–51} There is on average one slip-spring every $N_{\rm e}^{\rm SS}$ monomers. 145 The values of $N_{\rm e}^{\rm SS}$ and $N_{\rm s}^{\rm SS}$ are adjustable for describing the intensity of entanglements. It 146 should be noted that $N_{\rm e}^{\rm SS}$ is not necessarily equal to the entanglement length $N_{\rm e}$ used in 147 tube theory. Their relation will be discussed in Sec. IVB. To be consistent with previous 148 publications,^{25,30} we choose $N_{\rm e}^{\rm SS} = 4$ and $N_{\rm s}^{\rm SS} = 0.5$. Other parameters, such as the bead 149 friction coefficient ζ_0 , the average bond length b of the Rouse chain, the temperature $k_{\rm B}T$ 150 and consequently the time scale $\tau_0 = \xi_0 b^2 / k_{\rm B} T$, are all set to unity. 151

¹⁵² The Hamiltonian of the SS model is determined by the potential energies of both the



FIG. 1. Sketch of the single-chain slip-spring model for one arm of a symmetric star. The end monomer 0 represents the branch point which is fixed in space.

harmonic bonds of the Rouse chains and the virtual springs. The trajectories of the Rouse 153 monomers are obtained by solving their Langevin equations of motion numerically using an 154 integration time step size of $\Delta t = 0.05\tau_0$. In the original slip-spring model,^{25,29,30} the slip-155 links are assumed to travel continuously along the straight lines between adjacent monomers 156 and so can sit anywhere on the chain. In a later version of this model,³¹ the slip-links 157 move discretely by hopping from one monomer to one of its nearest neighbors with the 158 acceptance rate controlled by a Metropolis Monte Carlo (MC) algorithm. The long-time 159 behavior of the system is not sensitive to the details of the slip-link motion. For simplicity 160 and computational efficiency, we employ the discrete motion approach in the current work. 161 One Monte Carlo hopping motion is attempted on average per slip-link at each time step. 162 It has been found recently by Shivokhin et al. that the slip-springs themselves could make 163 non-negligible contributions to the effective friction experienced by the Rouse chain when 164 moving along the tube, because the virtual springs with finite spring constant effectively 165 restrict the excursion volumes of the slip-links and so reduce their successful rate to hop 166 onto adjacent monomers.⁵² As a consequence, an effective monomeric friction coefficient, 167 $\xi_{eff}(>\xi_0)$, should be used instead of ξ_0 when mapping the simulation results of the slip-168

spring model to experimental data. But a constant change in the ξ value will not affect the 169 discussions in this work, as all the data analysis and comparison are carried out within the 170 slip-spring model framework. The effect of slip-link friction could be reduced by increasing 171 the number of MC hopping attempts per time step at the price of higher computational cost. 172 The slip-links are not allowed to sit on or pass through the branch points of the star arms. 173 In the systems without constraint release, such as star polymers in a fixed polymer network, 174 the destruction and creation of slip-links can only take place at the free ends of the star 175 arms. Different from the systems with CR,²⁵ the slip-links are not coupled with each other. 176 In addition, the slip-links on the same arm are not allowed to pass over each other or occupy 177 the same monomer. This assumption introduces an effective excluded volume interaction 178 between the slip-links, which is consistent with the low swapping rate between neighboring 179 entanglements as revealed in a recent MD simulation of symmetric star polymer melts.⁵¹ 180

The previous slip-spring simulations were typically carried out in an ensemble of chains 181 and the total number of slip-links in the system is kept constant.²⁵ In the non-CR case, 182 when one slip-link is deleted from a chain end, another slip-link will be added to the end of 183 a randomly selected chain in the ensemble. For convenient installation of the FFS method, 184 we modify the SS model for the non-CR case by simulating each entangled arm individually. 185 The destruction of slip-links on a given arm is still incurred by the retraction of the arm 186 free end (monomer index N), but the addition of new slip-links to the same arm end is now 187 determined by a probability P_{add} which satisfies the detailed balance condition 188

$$(1 - \rho_{\rm sl}) \left(P_{\rm add} + \rho_{\rm sl} P_{N-1,N} \right) = \rho_{\rm sl} \left(P_{\rm loss} + (1 - \rho_{\rm sl}) P_{N,N-1} \right), \tag{1}$$

where $\rho_{\rm sl} = 1/N_{\rm e}^{\rm SS}$ is the average number of slip-links sitting on each monomer. $P_{i,j}$ is the transition probability for a slip-link to move from monomer *i* to monomer *j* and $P_{\rm loss}$ is the probability for a slip-spring sitting on the arm free end to be destructed after one integration time step, respectively. Eq. 1 thus represents the balance between the flux of slip-links to and from the end monomer. Assuming $P_{N-1,N} = P_{N,N-1}$ without loss of generality, Eq. 1 gives $P_{\rm add} \approx 0.167$ for the system parameters $N_{\rm e}^{\rm SS} = 4$ and $P_{\rm loss} = 0.5$. The modified SS model is validated by studying the static properties of the simulation system.



FIG. 2. Slip-spring model simulation results (circles) and predictions of Eq. 2 (line) on the probability distribution of number of slip-links per arm, $P(N_{\rm sl}, N)$, for symmetric star polymers with arm length N = 24.



FIG. 3. Slip-spring model simulation results (symbols) and predictions of Eq. 4 (lines) on the probabilities of finding *i*-th slip-link on monomer x, P(x, i, N), for the symmetric star polymers with arm length N = 24. The horizontal dashed line shows the simulation results on the average number of slip-links found on each individual monomer.

¹⁹⁶ B. Static Properties

The static property of the slip-spring model system of entangled symmetric star polymers can be well characterized by the distribution of slip-links along the star arms. Considering the effective excluded volume interactions between the slip-links, the problem is similar to one-dimensional real gas in equilibrium. The probability distribution of finding $N_{\rm sl}$ slip-links on a star arm of N monomers is simply given by

$$P(N_{\rm sl}, N) = C_N^{N_{\rm sl}} \rho_{\rm sl}^{N_{\rm sl}} (1 - \rho_{\rm sl})^{N - N_{\rm sl}}, \qquad (2)$$

where $C_N^{N_{\rm sl}} = \frac{N!}{N_{\rm sl}!(N-N_{\rm sl})!}$. Fig. 2 shows the good agreement between the prediction of Eq. 2 and the SS model simulation results on $P(N_{\rm sl}, N)$ for the system with N = 24. It can be seen that the peak value of $N_{\rm sl}$ is located at $N_{\rm sl} = 6$ in consistence with the expected average number of slip-links per arm, $\langle N_{\rm sl} \rangle = \rho_{\rm sl} N = 6$.

When there are $N_{\rm sl}$ slip-links on a given arm, the probability to find the *i*-th slip-link on the monomer x is

$$P(x, i, N_{\rm sl}, N) = \frac{C_{x-1}^{i-1} C_{N-x}^{N_{\rm sl}-i}}{C_N^{N_{\rm sl}}}, \quad (i \le x \le N - N_{\rm sl} + i)$$
(3)

where the numerator is a product of the possibilities to find i - 1 slip-links on the arm segment from monomer 1 to x - 1 and to find $N_{\rm sl} - i$ slip-links on another segment from monomer x + 1 to N. It should be noted that in the star polymer systems without CR the slip-links do not change their ordering along the star arms. In Eq. 3 the index i is considered to increase from 1 for the innermost slip-link to higher values toward the arm free end. Combining Eqs. 2 and 3, we obtain the ensemble-averaged probability to find the i-th slip-link on the monomer x:

$$P(x, i, N) = \sum_{N_{\rm sl}=1}^{N} P(x, i, N_{\rm sl}, N) P(N_{\rm sl}, N).$$
(4)

Derivations of probability distributions similar to Eqs. 2 - 4 can also be found in a previous work of Schieber.⁵³.

Fig. 3 presents the SS simulation results on P(x, i, N) for the slip-links with indices i = 1 to 6 on star arms of length N = 24, together with the predictions of Eq. 4. The good agreement between the two sets of data indicates that the simulation systems are in equilibrium state and the randomly assigned locations of the anchor points can well preserve the equilibrium distribution of the slip-links. This is also reflected by the fact that the average number of slip-links found on each individual monomer is equal to $\rho_{\rm sl} = 0.25$, see the horizontal line in Fig. 3.

III. COMBINED FFS AND SS METHOD FOR ENTANGLED STAR POLYMERS WITHOUT CR

In the systems without CR, the topological constraints or entanglements imposed on a 226 target arm are released hierarchically by the retraction of the arm free end. The terminal 227 relaxation time $\tau_{\rm d}$ of the system is defined as the average first-passage time that takes the 228 free end of an arm to reach the branch point starting from a random initial conformation. 229 For well-entangled star arms, $\tau_{\rm d}$ grows exponentially with the number of entanglements per 230 arm, Z^{8} However, full arm retraction rarely happens at large Z and so is generally not 231 accessible by standard brute force simulations. There is also no exact analytical solution of 232 this multi-dimensional FP problem. Therefore the forward flux sampling method introduced 233 in Ref.³⁵ is employed in order to study these rare events. A successful application of the 234 FFS method on studying the FP time of 1D Rouse chain with one fixed end can be found 235 in Ref.¹⁸. 236

237 A. Forward Flux Sampling Method

In FFS the phase space is divided by a sequence of non-crossing interfaces denoted by λ_i (i = 0, ..., m), as sketched in Fig. 4(a). The starting states of the dynamic process are on the first interface λ_0 , and the reactive or terminal states are on the last interface λ_m . These interfaces are defined by a reaction coordinate, which can be any parameter evolving during the process, but different choices could result in significantly different performance. More detailed discussion about the reaction coordinate is given in Sec. III B.

The FFS method is operated in two stages. In the first stage, a very long continuous simulation is performed in order to calculate the frequency μ_0 at which the trajectory crosses the interfaces λ_0 and λ_1 in sequence. In the second stage, a set of consecutive shooting simulations are carried out from interface λ_i to interface λ_{i+1} for i = 1, ..., m - 1, which provide the transition probabilities $P(\lambda_{i+1}|\lambda_i)$ that a system starting from λ_i will first reach



FIG. 4. (a) Schematic diagram of the FFS method. The continuous red trajectory is the continuous simulation in the first stage, and the blue trajectories are the successful shooting simulations in the second stage; (b) Algorithm for building continuous arm relaxation pathways from the piecewise shooting trajectories shown in (a).

 λ_{i+1} rather than return to λ_0 . The first-passage time τ_n for the system starting from the first interface λ_0 and ending on the interface λ_n $(1 \ll n \le m)$, is then given by

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} P(\lambda_{i+1} | \lambda_i)}, \quad 1 \ll n \le m$$
(5)

251 B. Reaction Coordinate

A key issue in applying the FFS method is the choice of the reaction coordinate. Starting 252 from a random initial configuration, the relaxation of a star arm in the system without CR 253 proceeds by the retraction of the arm free end along the primitive path, passing through 254 all the original slip-links on the arm sequentially until none left between it and the branch 255 point. The terminal relaxation time is determined by the moment at which the innermost 256 slip-link is released. During this process, the number of surviving original slip-links, $N_{\rm sl}$, on 257 the arm drops with time from its initial value to 0, making it an intuitively simple choice for 258 the reaction coordinate. Considering that the value of $N_{\rm sl}$ is statistically proportional to the 259 length of the surviving tube or primitive path, this choice would be consistent with a recent 260 FFS study on the FP time for the free end of a 1D Rouse chain to reach a certain distance 261 z from the fixed end where z was selected as the reactive coordinate.¹⁸ The 1D Rouse chain 262

study is closely related to the current work, because arm extension is essentially the reverse 263 process of arm retraction. However, when using $N_{\rm sl}$ as the reaction coordinate, our FFS 264 simulation results on the terminal arm retraction times are found to be significantly smaller 265 than those obtained from standard SS model simulations. The problem arises from the 266 difficulty in choosing equivalent starting states for the FFS runs. In the slip-spring model 267 system, both the instantaneous number of slip-links and their distribution along the arm 268 are subject to strong fluctuations, especially on the outer arm segments which undergo fast 269 Rouse motion. In the FFS runs using $N_{\rm sl}$ as the reaction coordinate, the starting states are 270 collected in the first-stage continuous simulation as the configurations where the number 271 of slip-links on the arm is equal to the ensemble-averaged value of $\langle N_{\rm sl} \rangle = N \rho_{\rm sl}$. Shooting 272 from these starting configurations, only the samples in which the values of $N_{\rm sl}$ decrease 273 monotonically are considered to reach interface λ_1 successfully. This biased strategy is thus 274 in favor of the samples where the initial slip-link densities on the outer arm segments are 275 higher than $\rho_{\rm sl}$, because in such cases the probability to lose slip-links at short times is 276 higher than to gain ones. Therefore a relatively large proportion of slip-links on a sample 277 arm are released by shallow arm retractions at early times, leaving fewer than the average 278 number of slip-links on the surviving segments of the primitive path. As a consequence, the 279 terminal relaxation times obtained from the FFS simulations are shorter than those obtained 280 from standard SS simulations where the ensemble-averaged initial distribution of slip-links 281 is uniform. These results imply that the reaction coordinate should be selected close to the 282 branch point in order to minimize the influence of the fast fluctuating arm end. 283



FIG. 5. Application of FFS method for studying the retraction dynamics of an entangled star arm described by the slip-spring model. The cross (Monomer 0) on the left represents the branch point that is fixed in space. The interfaces λ_i (vertical lines) used in the FFS simulations are placed on the monomers of the arm.

²⁸⁴ Since the terminal arm relaxation time is determined by the release of the innermost

slip-link from the arm free end, one can track the motion of this particular slip-link along 285 the arm by defining the index of the monomer that it sits on as the reaction coordinate. 286 As shown in Fig. 5 where the 3D Rouse chain is sketched as a straight line for convenience 287 of discussion, the first interface λ_0 used in FFS is set on monomer α (2 in this case) where 288 the innermost slip-link originally sits on. Any initial configuration of the confined arm in 289 which the innermost slip-link locates on monomer α can be taken as the starting state of 290 the FFS simulation. The second last interface λ_{m-1} is placed on the outermost monomer N 291 of the arm, and the last interface λ_m is right outside of the arm free end, marking the final 292 or reactive state that the arm free end has passed through the innermost slip-link and the 293 arm is fully relaxed. The other m-2 interfaces are placed on the monomers in between α 294 and N. 295

According to the standard FFS method, a database containing a large number of configu-296 rations is accumulated on each interface. In the first stage of the continuous simulation, the 297 database on λ_1 is a collection of configurations whose innermost slip-link lastly crossed λ_0 298 before crossing λ_1 . In the second stage, consecutive shooting simulations are performed from 299 interface λ_i to λ_{i+1} , $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$ using starting configurations randomly selected from 300 the database on λ_i . Among the M_i shooting samples, the ones whose innermost slip-links 301 reach λ_{i+1} before going back to λ_0 are considered as successful samples and will be stored 302 in the database of λ_{i+1} . 303

³⁰⁴ C. Simulation Details

Apart from the reaction coordinate, the performance of the FFS algorithm can also be 305 affected by some other factors. One factor is that the configurations saved in the database 306 of interface λ_1 during the first-stage continuous simulation could be strongly correlated with 307 each other due to the limited running time at this stage in comparison with $\tau_{\rm d}$. This may 308 introduce systematic errors in the simulation results if the size of the database is fixed. 309 This problem can be resolved by increasing the interval l_1 between the interfaces λ_0 and 310 λ_1 , as shown in Fig. 5, and recording configurations on λ_1 at a lower frequency ω . For 311 example, rather than recording every event that the innermost slip-link crosses λ_1 when 312 coming from λ_0 , one can record once for every $1/\omega$ crossings. Another factor is the choices 313 of the interface interval l_2 between λ_i and λ_{i+1} (i = 1, ..., m-2) and the number of shooting 314

samples M_i from each λ_i which determine the performance of the FFS in the second stage. Since l_2 controls the transition probabilities $P(\lambda_{i+1}|\lambda_i)$, a smaller l_2 is normally preferred for accelerating the shooting simulations. The number M_i can then be chosen according to $P(\lambda_{i+1}|\lambda_i)$ and the desired accuracy.

In the current work, we take $l_1 = 2$ and $l_2 = 1$ which separate the first two interfaces 319 λ_0 and λ_1 by one bead and then set one interface on every bead along the arm. The 320 recording frequency ω has to be reduced for longer arms in order to reduce the conformational 321 correlations on λ_1 and is empirically taken to be $\omega = 1/(N - 15)$ for arm length $N \ge 16$. 322 Since the reaction coordinate is defined by the location of the innermost original slip-link, 323 the transition probability $P(\lambda_{i+1}|\lambda_i)$ increases with i towards the arm free end. In order to 324 achieve good statistics for the first few interfaces close to the branch point, M_i should be 325 large enough. A number of samples $M_i = 40,000$ is thus used for $\lambda_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$ in all 326 of the FFS simulation runs. As shown in Fig. 3, there is a non-negligible fraction of initial 327 configurations where the innermost slip-links are many monomers away from the branch 328 point and could be released by shallow arm retractions. The terminal relaxation times of 329 such arms are thus much shorter than those of the arms with uniform slip-link distributions. 330 Actually, their terminal times have been reached in the first-stage continuous simulations 331 without going into the second stage of FFS. These τ_d data are still counted for calculating 332 the distribution and the mean value of the terminal relaxation times. 333



FIG. 6. Simulation results on the terminal arm retraction time $\tau_{\rm d}$ obtained from FFS and direct shooting simulations as a function of arm length N.

³³⁴ IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS FOR SYSTEMS WITHOUT ³³⁵ CONSTRAINT RELEASE

336 A. Terminal Time of Arm Retraction

The terminal time $\tau_{\rm d}$ of the arm retraction process is the main and most straightforward 337 output of the FFS simulations. Fig. 6 presents the FFS results on $\tau_{\rm d}$ as a function of 338 the arm length N. For comparison, we have also included the $\tau_{\rm d}$ data obtained from the 339 so-called direct shooting simulations which start from the first interface λ_0 and stop at the 340 last interface λ_m without intermediate steps. These runs are equivalent to the slip-spring 341 simulations using initial configurations randomly picked from the database on interface λ_0 342 and running continuously until the innermost original slip-spring being deleted by the arm 343 free end. For each arm length, the direct shooting simulation results are averaged over 10,000 344 independent samples, while in the FFS simulations $\tau_{\rm d}$ is averaged over 2,000 independent 345 runs. Since in each FFS run, there are 40,000 samples recorded on λ_1 , the average is actually 346 taken over a much bigger ensemble than that of the direct shooting runs. Considering the 347 high computational cost, the direct shooting simulations are only performed for arm lengths 348 from N = 20 to 36, corresponding to about 4 to 8 entanglements per arm estimated with 349 $N_{\rm e} \approx 4.47$ as discussed in Sec. IV B. In this range of N, the FFS and direct shoot simulation 350 results in Fig. 6 show very good agreement with the relative differences less than 5%. The 351 combined FFS and SS method and the choice of the reaction coordinate are thus well 352 justified. 353

Fig. 7 compares the average computational times required to complete a single direct 354 shooting and a single FFS run on a single CPU (Intel Xeon E5-2620). The direct shooting 355 simulation is faster at short arm lengths, but its computational time grows exponentially 356 with N and overtakes that of the FFS when $N \geq 32$. The FFS method allows us to study 357 much longer arms. For entangled star polymers with arm length N = 72 in the absence of 358 CR, the terminal relaxation time is found to be $\tau_d \approx 2.85 \times 10^{12}$ which is about 8 orders 359 of magnitude longer than that of stars with N = 20 and is hardly accessible to any type of 360 direct simulations unless running on a supercomputer for several years. 361



FIG. 7. Average computational times required for completing a single FFS and a single direct shooting run on a single Intel Xeon processor.

³⁶² B. Comparison with Theoretical Model Predictions

The $\tau_{\rm d}$ data in Fig. 6 show a clear exponential dependence on the arm length N, which is expected from the Pearson-Helfand theory for star arms retracting in a fixed network.⁸ These results can be further compared with the predictions of more detailed theoretical models.^{4,5,18} The Milner-McLeish theory based on the solution of 1D Kramers problem predicts the terminal arm retraction time in the absence of CR as^{4,5}

$$\tau_{\rm d}(N) = \frac{\pi^{5/2}}{4\sqrt{6}} \tau_{\rm R}(N) \frac{1}{z} \exp\left(\frac{3z^2}{2}\right),\tag{6}$$

where $z = \sqrt{N/N_{\rm e}}$ and the arm Rouse time $\tau_{\rm R}(N) = 4\zeta_0 N^2 b^2/3\pi^2 k_{\rm B}T$. The entanglement molecular weight $N_{\rm e}$ can be estimated by substituting the corresponding FFS result on $\tau_{\rm d}(N)$ into Eq. 6. As shown in Fig. 8, the obtained $N_{\rm e}$ values are roughly independent of N, giving $N_{\rm e} \approx 4.94$.

Recently Cao et al. pointed out that the first-passage problem of Rouse chain should be treated as a multi-dimensional Kramers problem.¹⁸ FFS simulations of 1D Rouse chains showed that the z^{-1} scaling in the prefactor of τ_d as predicted in Eq. 6 is only valid for very large chain extensions. In the intermediate chain extension regime corresponding to realistic arm retraction process, a new theory based on the Freidlin-Wentzell theory was proposed,⁵⁴ which predicts a z^{-3} scaling in the prefactor of the terminal time [Eq. 60 in Ref.¹⁸]

$$\tau_{\rm d}(N) = \frac{C(N)\tau_{\rm R}(N)}{z^3} \exp\left(\frac{3z^2}{2}\right),\tag{7}$$

where C(N) is a fitting parameter. For arm lengths $N \ge 20$ we can take the plateau value of 378 C(N) = 1.2 as found in the FFS simulations of 1D Rouse chains.¹⁸ The N_e values calculated 379 by substituting the FFS data on $\tau_{\rm d}(N)$ into Eq. 7 are shown in Fig. 8, which increase with 380 the increasing arm-length and approach an asymptotic value of $N_{\rm e} \approx 4.47$ that is smaller 381 than the $N_{\rm e}$ value estimated by using Eq. 6. The two theoretical models thus predict 382 qualitatively different dependence of $N_{\rm e}$ on N, at least in the systems without CR. Since 383 the entanglement molecular weight is one of the most important model input parameters 384 for predicting the dynamics and rheology of entangled polymers, this N-dependent behavior 385 apparently needs further investigation for developing quantitative theories. The FFS results 386 on τ_d over a broad range of arm lengths should work as a benchmark for examining theoretical 387 models that are typically developed for well-entangled polymers. 388

In Eqs. 6 and 7, the parameter ν used in the quadratic arm retraction potential is taken to 389 be 3/2 as originally proposed by Doi and Edwards for describing contour length fluctuations 390 or arm retractions in a fixed network.² But computer simulation and theoretical works have 391 suggested that the value of ν actually has an arm-length dependence and even the quadratic 392 form of the arm retraction potential may be subject to change once taking into account the 393 enthalpic contributions.^{55,56} When we fit the τ_d data in Fig. 6 to an exponential function 394 of $\tau_{\rm d}(N/N_{\rm e}) = A \exp[\nu(N/N_{\rm e})]$ with $N_{\rm e} = 4.94$ over the whole range of arm length N we 395 studied, a value of $\nu \approx 1.69$ is found, which is somewhat larger than 3/2. On the other 396 hand, the theoretical predictions of Eq. 6 using $\nu = 3/2$ and $N_{\rm e} = 4.94$ also agree with 397 the simulation data reasonably well. To examine the ν parameter using Eq. 7 with a fixed 398 $N_{\rm e}$ value could be more complicated, because this theoretical model was derived using the 399 constant value of $\nu = 3/2$. Considering that the simulation results in Fig. 6 are obtained 400 in the systems without CR and the slip-spring model does not involve explicit enthalpic 401 contributions, we keep ν as a constant in the comparison with theoretical models in the 402 current work. 403

We note that the $N_{\rm e}$ values given in Fig. 8 are different from that obtained by mapping the original slip-spring model simulation results on the linear viscoelastic properties of linear polymer melts to the Likhtman-McLeish model predictions ($N_{\rm e} \approx 5.7$).^{25,30} The difference could be related to the use of different theoretical models for the data fitting, the presence of constraint release effects in the polymer melts and the different ways of treating the slip-link motion along the polymer chains, namely continuously or discretely, as discussed in Sec. II A. The value of $N_{\rm e} \approx 4.94$ we found is very close to the value of $N_e = 4.89$ estimated by Shivokhin et al. for the slip-spring model using the same set of model parameters $N_{\rm e}^{\rm SS} = 4$ and $N_{\rm s}^{\rm SS} = 0.5.^{52}$



FIG. 8. Entanglement molecular weight $N_{\rm e}$ calculated by substituting the FFS simulation results on $\tau_{\rm d}$ (Fig. 6) into the theoretical predictions of Eqs. 6 (squares) and 7 (circles) for various arm lengths.

⁴¹³ C. Arm Relaxation Spectrum

Apart from terminal relaxation time, the FFS method can also be applied to obtain the 414 entire relaxation spectrum of the arm. This is done in a similar way as calculating $\tau_{\rm d}$. The 415 only difference is to set the index of the monomer that the *i*-th original slip-link sits on, 416 instead of that of the innermost slip-link, as the reaction coordinate. Accordingly, the first 417 interface λ_0 in the FFS method is defined on the monomer where the *i*-th slip-link originally 418 occupied. The FP time of the *i*-th slip-link is recorded as $\tau(X)$ with the fractional index 419 $X = i/\langle N_{\rm sl} \rangle$. The simulation results on $\tau(X)$ are plotted in Fig. 9 for the arm lengths 420 $20 \leq N \leq 44$. For the systems with $N \leq 36$, the direct shooting simulation results are 421

also presented for comparison. The agreement between the FFS and direct shooting data 422 gets improved as the arm free end retracts deeper along the primitive path, i.e., with the 423 decrease of the slip-link index i and so X. This is understandable because the release of 424 the outer slip-links or entanglements is dominated by the fast Rouse-like fluctuations. The 425 corresponding entropic barrier is relatively low such that the FFS method does not work well 426 at large X. For this reason, the most reliable relaxation spectrum, especially for the long 427 arms, should be constructed by combining the FP times of the inner slip-links as calculated 428 by the FFS method with the FP times of the outer ones obtained from direct shooting 429 simulations. One such example is shown in Fig. 9 for the systems with N = 44. The 430 complete relaxation spectrum $\tau(X)$ can be directly applied to test theoretical models of arm 431 retraction dynamics. 432



FIG. 9. Relaxation spectrum calculated using the first-passage times of all slip-links for star arms with various lengths obtained by both FFS (solid symbols) and direct shooting (open symbols) simulations. The dashed curves are for guiding the eye. The parameter $X = i/\langle N_{\rm sl} \rangle$ is the fractional index of the *i*-th slip-link along the arm, which increases from $X = 1/\langle N_{\rm sl} \rangle$ for the innermost slip-link to $1 - 1/\langle N_{\rm sl} \rangle$ for the outermost one.

433 D. Constructing Relaxation Correlation Functions

In experiments, the dynamics and rheology of entangled polymers are generally charac-434 terized by the dielectric relaxation or chain end-to-end vector correlation function, $\Phi(t)$, and 435 the stress relaxation function, G(t). The calculation of these observables usually requires the 436 continuous trajectories of the polymers, which are however not naturally available in FFS 437 simulations, because only instantaneous configurations at the hitting points on the interfaces 438 are recorded. Here we introduce a numerical route to effectively link these discrete pieces 439 of information to construct the dielectric and stress relaxation functions. The systems of 440 entangled star polymers without CR are used as examples to demonstrate the application 441 of this algorithm. 442

Fig. 4(b) sketches the method used to build continuous arm relaxation pathways from the 443 piecewise FFS shooting trajectories shown in Fig. 4(a). Considering two hitting points on 444 the terminal interface λ_m , marked as A_m and B_m , there must be two continuous trajectories 445 or pathways that one can track back from them to the first interface λ_0 . As shown in Fig. 446 4(b), the pathway to state A_m is constructed by linking the successful shooting trajectory 447 from the hitting point A_{m-1} to A_m with that from A_{m-2} to A_{m-1} , and so on until reaching 448 the point A_1 on the interface λ_1 . The linking from A_1 to a start point A_0 is obtained 449 from the trajectory generated in the continuous simulation in the first stage of the FFS 450 simulations. Similarly, the pathway to the hitting point B_m can be traced back to B_1 on 451 λ_1 and then to a starting point B_0 . We note that these rebuilt trajectories are different 452 from the true continuous trajectories generated in standard slip-spring model simulations, 453 but the ensemble-averaged pathways obtained in these two cases should be very close, as 454 reflected in the consistent $\Phi(t)$ and G(t) results in Fig. 11. From computational point 455 view, the rebuilding method requires the storage of all the successful shooting trajectories 456 between neighboring interfaces and also a large memory for data processing. This may limit 457 its application to large systems such as the fine-grained bead-spring models widely used in 458 molecular dynamics simulations. 459

When calculating the arm relaxation correlation functions from the rebuilt trajectories, two assumptions have been made. First, when one slip-link is destroyed by the retracting arm free end, the primitive path segment in between its nearest neighboring slip-link and itself will be forgotten immediately. This assumption is valid for most of the slip-links due to

the discrete feature of entanglements in the SS model. The only exception is with the tube 464 segment between the branch point and the innermost slip-link where this assumption may 465 affect the calculation of the relaxation functions, as discussed below. The second assump-466 tion is that the FP times on each interface follow a single exponential distribution. This 467 assumption has also used in solving the 1D Kramers problem and in the Doi-Edwards tube 468 model without CR.² Since the slip-spring model is essentially a multidimensional problem, 469 we perform an extra set of simulations to examine the validity of this assumption. A total 470 number of 10,000 direct shooting simulations, all starting from exactly the same initial con-471 figuration, are carried out to mimic a FFS run. The FP times for the innermost slip-link 472 to reach different monomers, or different interfaces in the FFS definition, are recorded. Fig. 473 10 presents the probability distributions, $P_i(t)$, of the FP times on three different interfaces 474 for the arms of length N = 20. It can be seen that $P_i(t)$ on interfaces with higher indexes 475 can be well described by the exponential function 476

$$P_i(t) = \frac{1}{\tau_i} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_i}\right) \tag{8}$$

where τ_i is the mean FP time on the interface λ_i . The second assumption becomes valid as the arm free end retracts deeply along the primitive path.

Following Eq. 8 the probability that the innermost slip-link has never crossed the interface λ_i after time t is

$$P_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_i}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_i}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
(9)

⁴⁸¹ and the probability that it has crossed λ_i at least once is

$$P_{\lambda_i}^{\infty}(t) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_i}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

$$(10)$$

Therefore the probability that the trajectory starting from λ_0 has crossed interface λ_i but never crossed interface λ_{i+1} is

$$P_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda_{i+1}}(t) = P_{\lambda_i}^{\infty}(t) - P_{\lambda_{i+1}}^{\infty}(t) = -\exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_i}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{i+1}}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1.$$
(11)

Using Eqs. 9, 10 and 11, the time correlation function of a dynamic observable, V, whose instantaneous values are calculated on different interfaces can be evaluated by

$$\langle V(t)V(0)\rangle = \left\langle P_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_1}(t)W_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} P_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda_{i+1}}(t)W_i + P_{\lambda_m}^{\infty}(t)W_m \right\rangle$$
(12)



FIG. 10. Probability distributions of the first-passage times for the innermost slip-link to reach different monomers or different interfaces in the FFS definition λ_i along the arm as calculated by direct shooting slip-spring simulations of star arms of length N = 20. All of the 10,000 simulations start from the same initial configuration where the innermost slip-link sits on monomer 1 next to the branch point. The solid lines represent a single exponential fit to the simulation data in each case.

486 where W_i is defined as

$$W_i = \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k=1}^{h_i} V_i^k V_0^k, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$
(13)

Here h_0 is the number of starting points on the first interface λ_0 and V_0^k is the observable value at the k-th starting point. Similarly h_i (i = 1, ..., m) is the number of hitting points on the interface λ_i out of the M_{i-1} shootings from λ_{i-1} and V_i^k is the observable value at the k-th hitting point on λ_i , respectively. For the system sketched in Fig. 4(b), there are only 2 hitting points on the final interface λ_m such that $h_m = 2$ in Eq. 13.

492 Substituting Eqs. 10 and 11 into Eq. 12, we get

$$\langle V(t)V(0)\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \Delta W_{i,i+1} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{i+1}}\right) + W_m \right\rangle,\tag{14}$$

where $\Delta W_{i,i+1} = W_i - W_{i+1}$. The correlation function in Eq. 14 is expressed as a weighted summation of a set of exponential functions, which is consistent with the tube model predictions for the end-to-end vector and stress relaxation functions of entangled polymers in the absence of constraint release.² The only difference lies in the last term W_m on the right hand side of Eq. 14 which, if being nonzero, may result in an unphysical plateau after the terminal relaxation time τ_d .

The problem associated with W_m does not exist in the tube model where the tube is 499 assumed to be continuous.² The arm free end can thus retract continuously along the prim-500 itive path all the way to the branch point and so release all the memories in the original 501 tube. As a result, W_m equals to zero for all dynamic observables. However, in the slip-spring 502 model the entanglements are represented discretely by the slip-links. The terminal time τ_d 503 is taken to be the time when the arm free end passes the innermost slip-link. In standard 504 slip-spring model simulations, the memories, such as stress and arm end-to-end vector ori-505 entation, stored in the original tube segment between the innermost slip-link and the branch 506 point can still be released by the continuous relaxation of the arm beyond $\tau_{\rm d}$. But in the 507 FFS simulations, the runs are terminated right after $\tau_{\rm d}$ when the trajectories reach the last 508 interface λ_m . Although this termination does not affect the determination of the terminal 509 time as shown above, it artificially traps the unreleased memories in the last tube segment 510 in the configurations saved on λ_m , leading to a nonzero ensemble average value of W_m . As 511 an attempt to recover the full relaxation function, we propose a simple approximation to 512 incorporate the arm relaxation dynamics beyond the terminal time $\tau_{\rm d}$ (= τ_m), which is to 513 multiply the W_m term in Eq. 14 with an exponential time decay function, giving 514

$$\langle V(t)V(0)\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \Delta W_{i,i+1} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{i+1}}\right) + W_m \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_m}\right) \right\rangle.$$
(15)

The dielectric and stress relaxation functions calculated using Eq. 15 from the rebuilt 515 trajectories are plotted in Fig. 11 for arm lengths up to N = 72. For comparison, the $\Phi(t)$ 516 and G(t) data obtained from standard slip-spring model simulations are also included for 517 the systems with $N \leq 36$. In these calculations, the dielectric or arm end-to-end vector 518 relaxation function is defined as $\Phi(t) = \langle \mathbf{R}_{e}(t) \cdot \mathbf{R}_{e}(0) \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}_{e}^{2}(0) \rangle$ where \mathbf{R}_{e} is the arm end-519 to-end vector and the mean square end-to-end distance $\langle \mathbf{R}_{e}^{2}(0) \rangle = Nb^{2}$. The G(t) results 520 are the single-arm stress autocorrelation functions without considering the cross-correlation 521 contributions from the virtual springs.^{57,58} This choice does not affect any discussions or 522 conclusions in the current work, especially when there is no constraint release effect. The 523 $\Phi(t)$ and G(t) results obtained by using the rebuilding method and from the standard SS 524 model simulations show reasonably good agreement in the terminal regime, indicating the 525 capability of Eq. 15 in constructing the arm relaxation functions using discrete FFS shooting 526

trajectories. The noticeable discrepancy between the two sets of data in each case at short 527 time scales could be attributed to the fact that the exponential distribution assumption 528 of the FP times does not apply to the first few interfaces, as shown in Fig. 10. On the 529 other hand, we have also applied Eq. 14 directly to construct the relaxation functions 530 of the systems with N = 36. The obtained $\Phi(t)$ and G(t) curves (dashed lines) initially 531 coincide with those calculated using Eq. 15, but start to decay slower when some of the 532 sample trajectories have reached their terminal times and the constant W_m contributions 533 are counted in. The unphysical plateaus are reached after the mean terminal time τ_d for the 534 reasons discussed above. Therefore at least for the combined FFS and SS method we used, 535 the algorithm for constructing the time correlation functions needs to take into account the 536 arm relaxation behavior beyond $\tau_{\rm d}$. 537

⁵³⁸ V. EXTENSION OF THE COMBINED FFS AND SS METHOD TO ⁵³⁹ SYSTEMS WITH CONSTRAINT RELEASE

The combined FFS and SS method can be extended to entangled polymer systems with 540 CR by adjusting the definition of the reaction coordinate. In the standard slip-spring 541 model,^{25,51} constraint release is included by coupling the slip-links sitting on different poly-542 mer chains or arms into pairs to represent the binary entanglements. When one slip-link 543 is deleted from the free end of an arm, its coupled partner is also deleted regardless of its 544 location, which results in a CR event. This means that for FFS simulations the originally 545 innermost slip-link alone could not be used to define a reaction coordinate for exploring 546 the entire arm relaxation spectrum, because this slip-link may be destructed by a CR event 547 before reaching the arm free end. To resolve this problem, we refer to a recent slip-spring 548 simulation work on entangled symmetric star polymers with CR.⁵¹ There it was shown that 549 the relaxation of the original tube segments, and correspondingly the relaxation of the arm 550 end-to-end vector, is dominated by the first-passage times of the so-called tube-representative 551 (TR) slip-links, which are the original slip-links finally released from the arm free end. The 552 other original slip-links which are destructed from the middle of the arm by CR events only 553 contribute to stress relaxation. For determining the terminal relaxation time of the arm 554 end-to-end vector, we only need to find the moment when the last tube segment held in 555 between the branch point and the innermost TR slip-link is released by the arm free end. 556



FIG. 11. (a) Arm end-to-end vector correlation function $\Phi(t)$ and (b) stress relaxation function G(t) obtained from standard slip-spring simulations (symbols) and calculated using Eq. 15 in the revised manuscript from the rebuilt trajectories (solid lines), respectively. The dashed lines represent the results on the systems with arm length N = 36 calculated by using Eq. 14 directly with the W_m term included. The vertical dotted lines mark the terminal relaxation time τ_d of arms with N = 36 as determined in the FFS simulations.

Since it is not known in advance whether an original slip-link will be deleted by the arm end or by CR, we can define the reaction coordinate as the index of the monomer that the innermost *surviving* original slip-link sits on. In other words, if at time t the innermost original slip-link was deleted by CR, the reaction coordinate will be immediately shifted from the monomer it sat on to the monomer occupied by the nearest original slip-link, because the latter becomes the innermost surviving original slip-link.

Different from the systems without CR where each star arm is treated independently, the 563 FFS simulations of the systems with CR require the use of an ensemble of star polymers 564 where the slip-links sitting on different arms are coupled with each other. In the current 565 work, the simulated system consists of 20 three-arm star polymers with a total number of 566 $N_{arm} = 60$ arms. The branch points of the stars are allowed to move in space. Only one 567 randomly chosen arm out of the whole ensemble is used for the FFS study. The setup 568 of the interfaces on this target arm is similar to that used in the non-CR case (Fig. 5). 569 The first interface λ_0 is set on the monomer that the initially innermost slip-link along this 570 arm sits on, and the subsequent interfaces are placed on outer monomers with the intervals 571 of $l_1 = 2$ and $l_2 = 1$. The reaction coordinate is defined as the index of the monomer 572 where the innermost surviving original slip-link sits on. Both the first-stage continuous and 573 the second-stage shooting simulations are run as the standard slip-spring model simulations 574 which involve all star polymers in the ensemble to allow for constraint release. It means that 575 the configurations of all these polymers need to be stored in the database on each interface. 576 If there is no reaction coordinate jumping due to CR, the shooting simulations are carried 577 out in the same way as in the non-CR case from interface λ_i to λ_{i+1} for $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$. But 578 if during a shooting simulation started from interface λ_i , a CR event causes the jump of the 579 reaction coordinate from the destructed innermost original slip-link to the nearest surviving 580 original slip-link, the trajectory may immediately cross one or more interfaces. In this case 581 we allow the simulation to continue until reaching the next interface, say λ_{i+j} with $j \geq 2$, and 582 then save the configuration of the system in the database of interface λ_{i+1} (instead of λ_{i+j}). 583 When a shooting simulation from λ_{i+1} selects this configuration as its starting point, the 584 trajectory will instantaneously reach the next interface λ_{i+2} , because the reaction coordinate 585 has actually reached or crossed this interface. As a result of the successful shooting, the 586 same configuration will be saved in the database of λ_{i+2} . Following similar shooting and 587 saving processes, this configuration will be stored in the databases of all relevant interfaces 588

from λ_{i+1} to λ_{i+j} for further sampling. This approach ensures that the events that this 589 jumping trajectory has also successfully crossed the interfaces $\lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{i+j-1}$ are correctly 590 counted for calculating the transition probabilities between different interfaces. The FFS 591 run is terminated until the last surviving original slip-link is destructed by the arm free 592 end and so the terminal relaxation time $\tau_{\rm d}$ is reached. In each FFS run there are 20,000 593 samples recorded on each interface λ_i (i = 1, ..., m - 1), and the final results on τ_d are 594 averaged over 1000 independent FFS runs. In the current method for the CR case, although 595 the simulations and data storage involve an ensemble of N_{arm} arms, only the relaxation 596 spectrum of the target arm can be collected in each FFS sample run. The computational 597 cost and memory storage requirement are thus still high for simulating systems with very 598 long arms. Further improvement in the efficiency of the algorithm is apparently needed. 599 Another possible direction is to use the single-chain slip-spring or slip-link models with 600 self-consistent treatment of constraint release.⁵⁹ 601

The ensemble-averaged terminal relaxation times, $\tau_{\rm d}$, obtained in the FFS simulations 602 with the modified definition of the reaction coordinate are presented in Fig. 12, together 603 with the terminal relaxation times of the arm end-to-end vector relaxation functions as ob-604 tained from standard slip-spring model simulations and the mean FP times of the innermost 605 surviving original slip-links as obtained from the direct shooting simulations. The three sets 606 of data show very good agreement within error bars, which effectively validates the proposed 607 FFS method. The combined FFS and SS method can thus provide quantitative predictions 608 on the terminal relaxation times of entangled star polymers either with or without CR over 609 a broad range of arm lengths that are surely needed for the development of quantitative 610 theories for entangled branched polymers. The construction of the relaxation correlation 611 functions, $\Phi(t)$ and G(t), in the CR cases is rather complicated and will be left for later 612 studies. 613

614 VI. CONCLUSIONS

⁶¹⁵ We present an application of the forward flux sampling method in combination with the ⁶¹⁶ slip-spring model on studying the arm retraction dynamics of entangled star polymers. The ⁶¹⁷ single-chain slip-spring model originally developed for describing entangled linear polymers ⁶¹⁸ has been extended to model symmetric star polymers. As a proof of concept, we start



FIG. 12. Simulation results on the terminal arm relaxation times $\tau_{\rm d}$ obtained from the FFS (open squares) and direct shooting (open circles) simulations, together with the terminal times of the arm end-to-end vector correction functions calculated from standard slip-spring simulations (open triangles), in the systems with constraint release. For reference, the FFS results on $\tau_{\rm d}$ for the systems without CR (solid squares, same as in Fig. 6) are also plotted.

with the systems without constraint release where the entanglements or slip-links can only 619 be created on or deleted from the arm free ends, making the FFS method conveniently 620 applicable. Two possible reaction coordinates for the FFS simulations have been tested. The 621 choice of the index of the monomer that the originally innermost slip-link sits on is found 622 to provide FFS simulation results on terminal relaxation times $\tau_{\rm d}$ in good agreement with 623 those obtained in direct shooting simulations for mildly entangled stars with arm lengths 624 up to 8 entanglements. The FFS simulations are then performed to study the terminal 625 relaxation of much longer arms (up to 16 entanglements) that are hardly accessible by any 626 direct simulations, especially considering the exponential growth of $\tau_{\rm d}$ with the arm length 627 in the absence of CR. The FFS results on $\tau_{\rm d}$ over such a broad range of arm lengths allow 628 direct comparison with the predictions of theoretical models which are typically developed 629 for well-entangled polymers. The entanglement molecular weight $N_{\rm e}$ extracted from such 630 comparison is found to have an arm-length dependence. 631

In addition to the terminal arm relaxation time, the first-passage times of all other original slip-links on a given arm can also be conveniently calculated by defining the reaction coordinate as the index of the monomer that the interested slip-link sits on, which in turn

provides the entire relaxation spectrum of the arm. For mildly entangled arms the FFS 635 results on the FP times show good agreement with direct shooting simulation data for the 636 deep entanglements or inner slip-links, but some discrepancy exists for the shallow ones, be-637 cause the FFS method does not work well at low entropic barriers. The reliable relaxation 638 spectrum of long star arms thus should be constructed by combining the FP times of the in-639 ner slip-links as calculated by the FFS method with the FP times of the outer ones obtained 640 from direct simulations. Furthermore, we have proposed a numerical route to construct the 641 arm relaxation correlation functions from the FFS simulation data saved on discrete inter-642 faces. This method is essentially a summation of weighted exponential relaxation functions 643 with characteristic times determined by the mean FP times of different slip-links along the 644 arm. The so-constructed arm end-to-end vector correlation functions, $\Phi(t)$, and stress re-645 laxation functions, G(t), show reasonably good agreement with those obtained in standard 646 slip-spring simulations in the terminal regime, while the noticeable discrepancy at short time 647 scales can be attributed to the use of a too strong assumption that the first-passage times 648 at the first few FFS interfaces follow the exponential distribution. 649

We have also attempted to extend the FFS method to systems with constraint release, 650 namely to entangled star polymer melts. The key change from the non-CR case is to define 651 the reaction coordinate using the innermost surviving original slip-link. Again good agree-652 ment is found between the FFS simulation results on the terminal arm relaxation time with 653 those obtained in standard slip-spring model simulations. Therefore the combined FFS and 654 slip-spring simulation method provides an efficient tool for studying the dynamics of highly 655 entangled branched polymers which are generally inaccessible to direct simulation meth-656 ods but highly desired for the development of quantitative theories on entangled branched 657 polymers. 658

659 Author Information

660 Corresponding Author: * Email: zuowei.wang@reading.ac.uk

661 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Daniel Read and Patrick Ilg for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC), Grant EP/K017683/1.

664 **REFERENCES**

- ⁶⁶⁵ ¹P. G. de Gennes, J. Chem. Phys. **55**, 572 (1971).
- ⁶⁶⁶ ²M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics* (Oxford University Press,
 ⁶⁶⁷ 1988).
- ⁶⁶⁸ ³A. E. Likhtman and T. C. B. McLeish, Macromolecules **35**, 6332 (2002).
- ⁶⁶⁹ ⁴S. Milner and T. McLeish, Macromolecules **30**, 2159 (1997).
- ⁶⁷⁰ ⁵S. Milner and T. McLeish, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 725 (1998).
- ⁶S. T. Milner and J. D. Newhall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 208302 (2010).
- ⁶⁷² ⁷M. Doi and N. Y. Kuzuu, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed. **18**, 775 (1980).
- ⁶⁷³ ⁸D. S. Pearson and E. Helfand, Macromolecules **17**, 888 (1984).
- ⁶⁷⁴ ⁹R. Ball and T. McLeish, Macromolecules **22**, 1911 (1989).
- ⁶⁷⁵ ¹⁰G. Marrucci, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Phys. Ed. **23**, 159 (1985).
- ⁶⁷⁶ ¹¹A. L. Frischknecht, S. T. Milner, A. Pryke, R. N. Young, R. Hawkins, and T. C. B.
- ⁶⁷⁷ McLeish, Macromolecules **35**, 4801 (2002).
- ⁶⁷⁸ ¹²R. G. Larson, Macromolecules **34**, 4556 (2001).
- ⁶⁷⁹ ¹³S. J. Park, S. Shanbhag, and R. G. Larson, Rehol. Acta 44, 319 (2005).
- ¹⁴C. Das, N. J. Inkson, D. J. Read, M. A. Kelmanson, and T. C. B. McLeish, J. Rheol. 50,
 ⁶⁸¹ 207 (2006).
- ⁶⁸² ¹⁵E. van Ruymbeke, C. Bailly, R. Keunings, and D. Vlassopoulos, Macromolecules **39**, 6248
 ⁶⁸³ (2006).
- ⁶⁸⁴ ¹⁶Z. Wang, X. Chen, and R. G. Larson, J. Rheol. **54**, 223 (2010).
- ⁶⁸⁵ ¹⁷P. S. Desai, B.-G. Kang, M. Katzarova, R. Hall, Q. Huang, S. Lee, M. Shivokhin, T. Chang,
- ⁶⁸⁶ D. C. Venerus, J. Mays, J. D. Schieber, and R. G. Larson, Macromolecules **49**, 4964 (2016).
- ⁶⁸⁷ ¹⁸J. Cao, J. Zhu, Z. Wang, and A. E. Likhtman, J. Chem. Phys. **143**, 204105 (2015).
- ⁶⁸⁸ ¹⁹C. C. Hua and J. D. Schieber, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 10018 (1998).
- ²⁰Y. Masubuchi, J.-I. Takimoto, K. Koyama, G. Ianniruberto, G. Marrucci, and F. Greco,
 J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 4387 (2001).
- ⁶⁹¹ ²¹J. D. Schieber, J. Neergaard, and S. Gupta, J. Rheol. 47, 213 (2003).
- ⁶⁹² ²²Y. Masubuchi, G. Ianniruberto, F. Greco, and G. Marrucci, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 6925
 (2003).
- ⁶⁹⁴ ²³Y. Masubuchi, G. Ianniruberto, F. Greco, and G. Marrucci, Modell. Simul. Mater. Sci.

- ⁶⁹⁵ Eng. **12**, S91 (2004).
- ⁶⁹⁶ ²⁴T. Yaoita, T. Isaki, Y. Masubuchi, H. Watanabe, G. Ianniruberto, F. Greco, and G. Mar-⁶⁹⁷ rucci, J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 12650 (2004).
- ⁶⁹⁸ ²⁵A. E. Likhtman, Macromolecules **38**, 6128 (2005).
- ⁶⁹⁹ ²⁶M. Shivokhin, E. Van Ruymbeke, C. Bailly, D. Kouloumasis, N. Hadjichristidis, and A. E. ⁷⁰⁰ Likhtman, Macromolecules **47**, 2451 (2014).
- ⁷⁰¹ ²⁷E. Pilyugina, M. Andreev, and J. D. Schieber, Macromolecules **45**, 5728 (2012).
- ⁷⁰² ²⁸V. C. Chappa, D. C. Morse, A. Zippelius, and M. Müller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 148302
 ⁷⁰³ (2012).
- ²⁹S. K. Sukumaran and A. E. Likhtman, Macromolecules **42**, 4300 (2009).
- ⁷⁰⁵ ³⁰Z. Wang, A. E. Likhtman, and R. G. Larson, Macromolecules **45**, 3557 (2012).
- ⁷⁰⁶ ³¹M. Wang, A. E. Likhtman, and B. D. Olsen, ACS Macro Lett. 4, 242 (2015).
- ⁷⁰⁷ ³²A. E. Likhtman, in *Polymer Science: A Comprehensive Reference* (Elsevier B.V., 2012).
- ³³A. V. Brukhno, J. Anwar, R. Davidchack, and R. Handel, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 20,
 494243 (2008).
- ⁷¹⁰ ³⁴D. Quigley and P. M. Rodger, J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 154518 (2008).
- ⁷¹¹ ³⁵R. J. Allen, P. B. Warren, and P. R. Ten Wolde, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 018104 (2005).
- ⁷¹² ³⁶A. Borgia, P. M. Williams, and J. Clarke, Annu. Rev. Biochem. **77**, 101 (2008).
- ⁷¹³ ³⁷T. Li, D. Donadio, G. Russo, and G. Galli, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **13**, 19807 (2011).
- ⁷¹⁴ ³⁸G. M. Torrie and J. P. Valleau, J. Comput. Phys. **23**, 187 (1977).
- ³⁹C. Dellago, P. G. Bolhuis, F. S. Csajka, and D. Chandler, J. Chem. Phys. **108**, 1964 (1998).
- ⁴⁰R. J. Allen, C. Valeriani, and P. R. ten Wolde, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 21, 463102
 (2009).
- ⁷¹⁹ ⁴¹K. Kratzer, A. Arnold, and R. J. Allen, J. Chem. Phys. **138**, 164112 (2013).
- ⁴²E. E. Borrero and F. A. Escobedo, J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 164904 (2006).
- ⁴³J. T. Berryman and T. Schilling, J. Chem. Phys. **133**, 244101 (2010).
- ⁴⁴N. B. Becker, R. J. Allen, and P. R. ten Wolde, J. Chem. Phys. **136**, 05B607 (2012).
- ⁴⁵B. W. Zhang, D. Jasnow, and D. M. Zuckerman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **104**, 18043 (2007).
- ⁴⁶B. W. Zhang, D. Jasnow, and D. M. Zuckerman, J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 054107 (2010).
- ⁷²⁵ ⁴⁷G. A. Huber and S. Kim, Biophys. J. **70**, 97 (1996).
- ⁴⁸P. E. Rouse Jr, J. Chem. Phys. **21**, 1272 (1953).

- ⁴⁹A. E. Likhtman and M. Ponmurugan, Macromolecules **47**, 1470 (2014).
- ⁵⁰J. Qin and S. T. Milner, Macromolecules **47**, 6077 (2014).
- ⁷²⁹ ⁵¹J. Cao and Z. Wang, Macromolecules **49**, 5677 (2016).
- ⁷³⁰ ⁵²M. Shivokhin, D. Read, D. Kouloumasis, R. Kocen, F. Zhuge, C. Bailly, N. Hadjichristidis,
- ⁷³¹ and A. Likhtman, Macromolecules, In Press (2017).
- ⁷³² ⁵³J. D. Schieber, J. Chem. Phys. **118**, 5162 (2003).
- ⁷³³ ⁵⁴M. Freidlin, J. Szucs, and A. Wentzell, Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems
- ⁷³⁴ (Springer New York, 2012).
- ⁷³⁵ ⁵⁵T. C. B. McLeish, Advances in Phys. **51**, 1379 (2002).
- ⁷³⁶ ⁵⁶R. N. Khaliullin and J. D. Schieber, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 188302 (2008).
- ⁷³⁷ ⁵⁷J. Ramirez, S. K. Sukumaran, and A. E. Likhtman, J. Chem. Phys. **126**, 244904 (2007).
- ⁷³⁸ ⁵⁸T. Uneyama and Y. Masubuchi, J. Chem. Phys. **137**, 154902 (2012).
- ⁷³⁹ ⁵⁹R. N. Khaliullin and J. D. Schieber, Macromolecules **42**, 7504 (2009).