

# Sorcery and nature conservation

Article

Accepted Version

Sousa, J., Ainslie, A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7549-7643 and Hill, C. M. (2018) Sorcery and nature conservation. Environmental Conservation, 45 (1). pp. 90-95. ISSN 1469-4387 doi: 10.1017/S0376892917000327 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/72260/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0376892917000327 To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0376892917000327

Publisher: Cambridge University Press

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

## www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

### CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

#### 1 Sorcery and nature conservation

2

3 JOANA SOUSA<sup>1,2</sup>, ANDREW AINSLIE<sup>3</sup>, CATHERINE M HILL<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Anthropology Centre for Conservation, Environment and Development, Oxford
- 5 Brookes University, Oxford, OX3 0BP, United Kingdom
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup>Department of Geography and Environment, Geneva School of Social Sciences,
- 7 University of Geneva, Switzerland
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>3</sup>International Development Research Group, School of Agriculture, Policy and
- 9 Development, University of Reading, Reading, RG6 6AR, United Kingdom

10

- 11 **Running head:** Chimpanzees, sorcerers and conservation in Guinea-Bissau
- 12 **Key-words:** animal representations, chimpanzees, national park, tourism, witchcraft.

13

- 14 Word count: 4982 words
- 15
- 16 Corresponding author:
- 17 joanavazsousa@gmail.com

19 Sorcery and nature conservation

20

21 SUMMARY

22

Representations of animals are diverse and can portray local understandings of 23 nature conservation, information that is often missing from conservation debates. In 24 Cantanhez National Park (southern Guinea-Bissau) chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes 25 verus) are recognised as animals that share certain features with humans but live 26 27 independently of them in the forest. However, chimpanzees are also integral to socially mediated, deep-rooted, local narratives about sorcery and nature 28 conservation. We use results from ethnographic research to explore local 29 30 interpretations of chimpanzee attacks on people. Attacks by 'bush' chimpanzees occur when an animal is provoked by someone's actions towards it. Unprovoked 31 attacks however, are either interpreted as the act of a shape-shifted chimpanzee 32 (i.e., a sorcerer) or as the responsibility of conservation stakeholders. In the case of 33 unprovoked attacks, chimpanzee aggression is linked to a perceived abuse of power 34 and to greed, with implications for nature conservation locally. Close analysis of local 35 representations of animals contributes to a broader consideration of conservation 36 priorities and practice. 37

38

39

40 INTRODUCTION

41

A rapidly growing body of conservation literature addresses various aspects of
 human-animal interaction, including conservation conflicts (Hill 1997; Naughton-

Treves 1998; Woodroffe et al. 2005; Dickman, 2010; Draheim et al. 2015; Redpath 44 et al. 2015). However, little attention has been paid to symbolic meanings of animals 45 (Hill 2015), particularly when these are linked to local criticism of conservation 46 policies. Representations of animals can be intricately embedded within people's 47 lived experience of a place. Therefore, to understand the relevance of these 48 narratives around certain species requires an understanding of the tensions and 49 50 power-relations associated with the social context in which that narrative is created and sustained. Despite the lack of representation of this perspective within the 51 52 conservation literature, several studies in relevant disciplines consider animals' symbolic meaning in local people's narratives. For example, Jalais (2008) examines 53 tigers (Panthera tigris) as part of the social world of people in the Sundarbans 54 (Bengal) whose views have often been dismissed as superstition, whether by 55 colonial administrators or today's post-colonial, urban elites. Rural people in the 56 Sundarbans reject the 'touristic tiger' and highlight feelings of marginalization and 57 exclusion when evoking their understandings of tigers (Jalais 2008: 34). In Japan, 58 humans and bears (Selenarctos thibetanus japonicus, Ursus arctos yezoensis) are 59 seen as putting each other's livelihoods at risk (Knight 2000). In this context, Knight 60 (2000) argues, recent negative views about bear conservation stem from the 61 indifference of urban conservationists to the costs to rural dwellers of living alongside 62 bears. Similarly, in Norway, the anti-wolves alliance is sustained by rural people's 63 concern to maintain local ways of life which are 'not guite threatened by the actual 64 wolves, but rather by the protected wolves', with wolf protection being imposed on 65 them by urban elites who neither live with wolves, understand nor value rural ways of 66 life (Marvin 2010:76). In Cameroon, Köhler (2000) describes the symbolic 67 representations of elephants as part of a 'cosmic economy of sharing' (Bird-David et 68

al. 1992) established between humans, animals and other forest beings. Baka
people describe what is understood as an insurgency of hybrid elephant-men
(*mokila*) against the Baka community. These *mokila* kill Baka hunters, and kidnap
their women and children in revenge for the elephants killed during the period Baka
hunters participated in the ivory trade (Köhler, 2000).

These case studies go beyond understanding whether local people eat, hunt, like 74 75 or dislike certain animal species; rather they shed light on the importance of the symbolic constructions of wildlife across a range of species, geographical locations, 76 77 and different human groups. Particularly when there is a long history of coexistence, efforts are needed to understand representations of animals socially and historically. 78 In this paper we use insights gained through ethnographic research in Guinea 79 Bissau to explore local representations of chimpanzees and argue that exploring 80 these symbolic meanings of animals may contribute to a deeper understanding and 81 possible resolution of local conservation conflicts. 82

Within Euro-American cosmologies, chimpanzees figure among the charismatic 83 African mega fauna, and are commonly considered conservation flagship species. 84 Global programs such as the Great Apes Survival Partnership (GRASP) have 85 recognised great ape tourism as a promising conservation strategy (GRASP, 2005). 86 In East Africa, tourism with habituated chimpanzees has been ongoing for over 30 87 years (e.g. at Gombe and Mahale in Tanzania, and Budongo and Kibale in Uganda); 88 more recently, in West Africa, tourism with unhabituated or semi-habituated 89 chimpanzees has been established at a number of sites including Taï (Côte d'Ivoire), 90 Gola (Sierra Leone) (Macfie & Williamson, 2010) and Cantanhez National Park 91 (Guinea-Bissau) (Sousa et al. 2013). However, whether tourism can successfully 92

achieve both conservation and development goals simultaneously remains contested
within the literature (Leischer et al., 2000).

In Guinea-Bissau, the national action plan for the conservation of chimpanzees 95 (P. t. verus) reports they have "recently disappeared" from certain areas (Casanova 96 & Sousa 2007). Sá et al. (2012) describe chimpanzee body parts, particularly skins, 97 being traded in the capital, Bissau. However, in Cantanhez where people live in 98 close proximity with chimpanzees (e.g. Sousa et al. 2011, 2013; Hockings & Sousa 99 2012, 2013; Bessa et al. 2015), local inhabitants perceive them as having increased 100 101 in number (Sousa 2014). Chimpanzees are regarded as similar to humans and are therefore not hunted nor eaten (Gippoliti et al. 2004; Karibuhoye 2004; Sousa et al. 102 2013). However, because they live in close proximity to human settlements, 103 104 sometimes forage on people's crops, because and women and children fear them, 105 Costa et al. (2013) advised against chimpanzees being used as a conservation

106 flagship species in Cantanhez.

Encounters between chimpanzees and people resulting in physical injury or 107 death (to people or apes) are perhaps the most dramatic face of human-chimpanzee 108 interactions. At Bulindi, Hoima District (Uganda), where chimpanzees live amid farms 109 and villages there are reports of chimpanzees chasing people (McLennan 2008) and 110 attacking young children, which has discouraged agencies from implementing 111 112 chimpanzee tourism in the area (McLennan & Hill 2010). There are also reports of chimpanzees injuring children at Bossou in Guinea-Conakry (Hockings et al. 2010), 113 killing children and babies in Sierra Leone (Richards 2000), and carrying out 114 predatory attacks on children in villages close to Kibale National Park, Uganda 115 (Wrangham et al. 2000). In Kibale, three children were eviscerated and had their 116 hands and/or feet severed in the attacks which led Wrangham et al. (2000: 187) to 117

argue that chimpanzees "should now be regarded as occasional hunters of humans".
For a recent compilation of records on ape attacks on humans in Africa and Asia,
see McLennan and Hockings (2016).

However, narratives of chimpanzee aggression are not necessarily 121 straightforward, particularly when the violence is thought to have a human origin. As 122 described by Richards (1996, 2000) for Sierra Leone, witches are believed to "dress" 123 124 as chimpanzees, to mutilate and/or murder young people and babies and sell their body parts for the manufacture of "bad medicine". Worldwide, there are wide-ranging 125 126 interpretations of witchcraft and it has remained a broad and controversial domain of research (Geschiere 2013). However, a feature common to several studies is the 127 accusation of witchcraft being directed towards what is perceived of as excessive 128 129 individualism regarding the distribution of power and goods (Richards 2000; Sarró 2009). 130

This paper analyses people-chimpanzee encounters in Cantanhez National Park (Guinea-Bissau) where chimpanzee tourism has been recently developed, despite local criticism of, and antagonism towards, the local non-government organisation (NGO) that was heading the Park. We discuss the implications that narratives relating to chimpanzee violence may have for nature conservation and for tourism. The analysis framed here contributes to the wider discussion on governance and negotiations of power in nature conservation contexts.

138

139 METHODS

140

141 Study area

Cantanhez peninsula (Tombali region) is located in southwestern Guinea-Bissau 143 and became part of Cantanhez National Park in 2008 (see Figure 1). The peninsula 144 comprises a combination of mangrove, forest (at various stages of regeneration), 145 savannah and land under cultivation. There is no obvious frontier between farming 146 areas and forest; instead farming areas intermingle with forested areas in a 147 temporally and spatially dynamic fashion. In 2002, a partnership of NGOs together 148 with the chieftains and the local administration, in the presence of other members of 149 the community, signed an agreement that approved the internal rules for the future 150 151 Park (Mendes & Serra 2002). These regulations prohibited the use of snares and traps for hunting and tried to act against deforestation, by banning shifting cultivation 152 in areas set aside for protection (Mendes & Serra 2002). As part of the settlement, 153 NGOs agreed to (i) financially support mangrove rice farming; (ii) provide financial 154 incentives, field material and courses to community guards; and (iii) hold regular 155 meetings with local inhabitants (Mendes & Serra 2002). Local reports suggest that 156 NGOs were considered to have failed to satisfactorily meet these conditions, 157 something which caused dismay and outrage among local residents (see Temudo 158 2009, 2012; Sousa 2014). 159

160

#### 161 Methodological approach

162

This paper draws on 13 months of ethnographic fieldwork carried out by JS over five years (2009-2013) in Cantanhez (see Figure 1). Data were collected within the scope of a larger research project adopting a mix-methods approach using both ethnographic and quantitative data collection methods.

168 [Add Figure 1 about here]

169 Figure 1 – Cantanhez National Park in Guinea-Bissau.

170

In this paper we draw directly on information from 45 in-depth qualitative 171 interviews conducted by JS with key informants in Cantanhez to explore local views 172 about NGOs, the Park and sorcery. Rapport had already been established between 173 the interviewer and local people as a consequence of JS having already completed 174 13 months of ethnographic fieldwork at this site. As noted by Dury et al., (2011) and 175 176 Albuquerque et al. (2014), qualitative approaches can enable the researcher to access socially sensitive information, as was the case in this study. 177 Key informants included leaders of associations, elders recognised as 178 knowledgeable about local oral history, people recognised as magically skilled, 179 members of founding lineages, chieftains and village chiefs, healers and preachers, 180 hunters, and people involved in protests, as well as those acquainted with cases of 181 witchcraft and of people harmed by chimpanzees. 182 Further information was gathered during participant observation and informal 183 conversations. Interviews were held in Guinea Kriol, the *lingua franca*. This approach 184 to data collection provided access to a deeper understanding of existing tensions 185 between local people, NGOs and the Park. The research was approved by the 186 187 University Research Ethics Committee (UREC), Oxford Brookes University on 2 October 2009. 188 189 190

191 RESULTS

In Cantanhez, animals were portrayed as creatures that exist independently of 193 people, but also as actors that are enmeshed within the human social world. Local 194 farmers often refer to chimpanzees as intelligent animals that feed on crops but do 195 not waste harvests (see Sousa 2007, Hockings and Sousa 2013). Chimpanzees are 196 also said to feed on crops only when they are hungry or when they like a certain crop 197 such as orange, cashew or honey (see Bessa 2014, Bessa et al. 2015 for a study on 198 199 the dietary habits of a chimpanzee community in Cantanhez). Indeed, previous studies from Cantanhez have highlighted portrayals of people-chimpanzee 200 201 interactions as one of peaceful coexistence (Sousa 2007, Karibuhoye 2004, Hockings and Sousa 2012). However, the context-specific nature of these narratives 202 becomes clear when negative views about chimpanzee crop feeding behaviour are 203 204 expressed whenever the Park is evoked (see Sousa 2014). To explore this more fully, we examine local narratives about negative interactions between chimpanzees 205 and people in this landscape. 206

We identify two major types of local narratives used to frame chimpanzees in 207 their encounters with humans. In the first one, the 'bush' chimpanzee or 'clean 208 chimpanzee' (dari limpu, Guinean Kriol), is perceived as a frightening but essentially 209 predictable animal that will not attack people without provocation. Chimpanzees in 210 Cantanhez are not specifically known for attacking people, but there were two 211 212 attacks of this nature recorded during fieldwork. One involved a man being hospitalised for more than a month after he shot a female chimpanzee feeding on his 213 orchard. The second event was a consequence of a hunter harassing chimpanzees 214 in the forest. Both episodes were reported as a chimpanzee retaliating to persecution 215 and the injured people had to be hospitalised for medical treatment. 216

However, the situation becomes more complex when an animal shows certain 217 physical or behavioural characteristics that signal it is 'not simple', as people in 218 Cantanhez say, but a human in animal form. This corresponds to the narrative of the 219 'unclean chimpanzee' (dari ka limpu, kl) or 'shape-shifted chimpanzee' (dari bidadu, 220 kl). Shape-shifted chimpanzees are distinguished by their all-too-human behaviours, 221 such as the ability to speak people's language, or apparent groundless reasons for 222 223 harassing or attacking people (Sousa et al. 2017). As an interviewee described, shape-shifted chimpanzees are 'people who shape-shift into chimpanzees to commit 224 225 crimes'. We have gathered 11 reports of chimpanzee witchcraft in southern Guinea-Bissau (Sousa, 2014): four in the Boé region and seven in Tombali region (five of 226 these collected in Cantanhez). Each incident was confirmed by several people and 227 all informants explained them as being attacks by a person shape-shifted into a 228 chimpanzee. Three attacks comprised physical threat only (i.e., the recipient was not 229 harmed), four referred to actual attacks that resulted in injury (i.e., the victim received 230 scratches or bites), three involved human deaths, and another the disappearance of 231 a child. 232

More recently, there have been reports of chimpanzee attacks on children in the 233 Empada sector, in Quinara region. Four cases of children being injured by 234 chimpanzee were reported in August 2006 (e-Global 2016) and the national 235 236 newspaper O Democrata reported that there have been seven chimpanzee attacks to children between October and December 2016 and, of these, six were considered 237 serious by the hospital doctors (O Democrata 2016). JS interviewed one of the 238 children who had his leg, face and hands bitten and lost several toes during the 239 attack. The child identified the attack as witchcraft. Three months had passed since 240

the attack, the child was reticent to talk about the episode, and indeed reports of 241 traumatised children, prone to suggestion from adults, should be taken with caution. 242 For the interviewees, sorcerers (those doing the shapeshifting) were recognised 243 as attacking members of their own family or those belonging to their social networks. 244 Such actions allow sorcerers to benefit at the expense of others, while at the same 245 time reinforcing their relationships within the society of sorcerers. Sorcery 246 247 accusations serve as interpretations of undesired events, making someone responsible for a loss or a misfortune. For example, in Cantanhez, in 2010 an elderly 248 249 woman, regarded by many as a sorcerer, was reported to shapeshift into a snake to bite her nephew who was taking care of his absent father's cashew orchard. 250 Rumours suggested the woman wanted control of the revenue from the orchard. 251 Another example refers to a man who was accused of belonging to a sorcerers' 252 society and of spying on the local NGO at farmers' meetings where people criticised 253 the Park (full report in Sousa et al 2017). Sorcery accusations work at a certain level 254 of social intimacy in which accusations of sorcery are frequently linked to the 255 perceptions of selfishness, excessive greed or the abuse of power. 256

The description below refers to a chimpanzee attack initially represented as 257 chimpanzee sorcery by neighbours of the victim (i.e., an attack by an 'unclean' 258 chimpanzee), but the victim herself (an adult woman,  $\approx$  40 years old) suggested a 259 different interpretation of the event when she later recounted the event to JS: 'I went 260 to get bunches of oil-palm fruits, and my son saw a chimpanzee in a tree. I thought 261 we should avoid it by going a different way. However, surprisingly, there were many 262 chimpanzees there too. A chimpanzee hid behind a tree and grabbed me, it 263 scratched my neck and I thought it would take me to the forest. A man was cutting 264

bunches nearby and he came to help me out. When the man came the chimpanzee
let me go' (Aua, pseudonym, early 2011).

Upon further enquiry, it transpired that Aua was unsure whether it was a 267 shape-shifted chimpanzee or not. However, she reported that she was going to send 268 a message to the head of the NGO to tell him that his chimpanzees were harming 269 people, an action consistent with her interpreting the event as being caused by a 270 271 'bush' chimpanzee. This incident of chimpanzee aggression was perceived by neighbours as the outcome of sorcery since in their view there was no reason for the 272 273 attack. Initially Aua was uncertain whether the incident involved a bush or shapeshifted chimpanzee, but on reflection indicated that the attack had been led by a 274 bush chimpanzee, but with the caveat that she held the head of the NGO 275 276 responsible for what had happened to her. The head of the NGO lived and worked in the capital Bissau, only visited Cantanhez occasionally for scheduled events and 277 was thus rarely present locally (see Sousa et al. 2017 for a detailed discussion of the 278 social implication of witchcraft locally). 279

Since 2009, JS has recorded community guards asking for (though not receiving) 280 salaries, uniforms and boots, and local people requesting meetings with the heads of 281 conservation organisations. Nothing appeared to happen in response to these 282 requests until 2013. In 2007, local people staged a strike, preventing tourists 283 284 entering local forests, and forest signs indicating the names of the forests were removed by disgruntled local people. The Chieftains and other local leaders were 285 accused of being in support of conservation projects and overlooking their 286 responsibilities towards local people (Sousa 2014, Sousa et al. 2017, Temudo 2005, 287 2009, 2012). Additionally, only about a third of the 15 local tourist guides, originally 288 trained by a local NGO, have generated any income through tourism, and thus direct 289

benefit from the Park, since 2010-2011. In 2011, in a public meeting, several farmers 290 demanded a share of the funds generated by the local hotel where tourists are 291 292 hosted, and a voice in the hotel's management; the hotel is managed by a local NGO. Perhaps not surprisingly the majority of people express dissatisfaction and 293 exclusion because the benefits they expected to receive as a result of the initial 294 agreement made between local leaders and NGO officials, have not been 295 296 forthcoming, The following quote from a farmer, recorded in 2011, illustrates this broader sense of grievance with the Park: 'We gave them the forests. What did they 297 298 give us? Nothing! Don't you ever tell me about conserving forests!'

299

#### 300 DISCUSSION

301

Local understandings of wildlife, particularly those associated with religious and 302 ritual meanings (e.g. see Neto et al. 2011, for Brazil) and medical uses (e.g. see 303 Benítez 2011 for Spain) can be difficult for western-trained conservationists to fully 304 comprehend (Alves et al. 2012). Meanings attributed to animals in witchcraft, like 305 those described in this paper, are probably not exceptional (for a discussion about 306 culture and conservation from a conservationist's point of view, see Dickman et al. 307 2015). In fact, conservation may also be similarly difficult to understand, and be a 308 cause of concern and consternation for local people. For example, in Zanzibar, a 309 proposal to reintroduce a leopard population classified as extinct caused concerns 310 among local people because the idea of reintroducing leopards linked to memories 311 of leopard-keeping and witchcraft (Walsh & Goldman 2012). Similarly, Richards 312 (2000, p.78), describes that while interviewing young people about conservation in 313

Sierra Leone, he encountered some who 'expressed alarm that protection for
chimpanzees provided cover' for chimpanzee witchcraft.

Proximity between chimpanzees and people in Cantanhez is expressed through 316 sharing of physical space, portrayals of a common past in oral history: oral tradition 317 in Cantanhez claims that the first chimpanzee was once a blacksmith who was 318 transformed by God into a bush animal; and through shape-shifting and thus sorcery. 319 320 Despite these multiple meanings in local people's framings, the idea of 'chimpanzee' in nature conservation discourse corresponds only to the chimpanzee as a forest 321 322 (bush) animal. However, because of the intimate, secretive nature of narratives around sorcery, which often imply conflict within the family, attacks from 'shape-323 shifted' chimpanzees very likely go unreported to outsiders. 324

In Cantanhez, nature conservation has become politically significant and 325 implicated in local governance. Beginning in the 1990s and continuing to the present 326 day, there have been social tensions between the local conservation NGO and local 327 residents (Temudo 2005, 2009, 2012, Sousa 2014). Nature conservation is seen as 328 a restriction inflicted upon people for the sake of chimpanzee welfare, similar to what 329 Jalais (2008: 36) described as a perceived 'unequal distribution of resources 330 between humans and tigers'. Nature conservation in Cantanhez is thought to defend 331 chimpanzees at the expense of local farmers; at the same time those who belong to 332 nature conservation circles are perceived to benefit, while local people expressed 333 keen feelings of being disenfranchised and excluded. 334

There is an extensive literature on witchcraft in African contexts, and more broadly (Geschiere, 2013), and despite the differences in contexts and discourse, accusations of witchcraft are often directed towards individuals who are thought to have benefitted at the expense of others through immoral/inappropriate means, including those challenging culturally accepted norms of reciprocity, and/or those
 abusing others through alliances with more powerful individuals.

Unwarranted, violent attacks by chimpanzees are subject to local interpretation 341 and are analysed in regard to specific, social contexts. Animals are not perceived as 342 mean or vindictive in their essence. Either the attacker is perceived as a shape-343 shifted chimpanzee and the sorcery narrative is invoked within intimate circles of 344 sociability, or the attack is perceived as undertaken by a 'clean' or 'bush' 345 chimpanzee that is protected by nature conservation legislation and then the 346 347 accusation is directed at those holding senior managerial positions in chimpanzee conservation. In this sense, different natures – the bush chimpanzee (as a protected 348 chimpanzee) and the unclean chimpanzee (as sorcerer) are both subjects in critical 349 assertions of expropriation and violence. By creating programmes based on unequal 350 divisions of benefits and duties, nature conservation strays into both highly 351 stigmatised political ground and socially fraught terrain that deserves more careful 352 consideration. 353

354

#### 355 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

356

Our deep appreciation to the field assistants of this research as well as to the people who received JS in their homes. We acknowledge Fundação para Ciência e Tecnologia (SFRH/BD /45109/2008), Rufford Small Grants Foundation, Primate Society of Great Britain for the funding, and Institute for Biodiversity and Protected Areas for institutional support. We are grateful to Prof. James Fairhead, Dr Matthew McLennan and Dr Amanda Webber for their helpful comments on earlier drafts.

#### **References**

367	Alves RRN., Rosa IL., Neto NAL. & Vocks R. (2012) Animals for the Gods: magical
368	and religious faunal use and trade in Brazil. Human Ecology 40: 751-780.
369	Bessa J., Sousa C. & Hockings KJ. (2015) Feeding ecology of chimpanzees (Pan
370	troglodytes verus) inhabiting a forest-mangrove-savanna-agricultural matrix at
371	Caiquene-Cadique, Cantanhez National Park, Guinea-Bissau. American
372	Journal of Primatology 77: 651–665
373	Bird-David N., Abramson A., Altman J., Bicchieri M. G., Burch ES., Ember CR.,
374	Endicott KM., Grinker RR., Gudeman S., Ichikawa M., Ingold T. & Wenzel G.
375	W. (1992) Beyond 'The original affluent society': a culturalist reformulation.
376	Current Anthropology 33: 25–47
377	Casanova C. & Sousa C. (2007) National action plan for the conservation of the
378	chimpanzee, red western colobus and black and white western colobus
379	monkey populations in Guinea-Bissau Republic.
380	Costa S., Casanova C. & Lee P. (2013) The good, the bad and the ugly: perceptions
381	of wildlife in Tombali (Guinea-Bissau, West Africa). Journal of Primatology 2:
382	1–7
383	Dickman AJ. (2010) Complexities of conflict: the importance of considering social
384	factors for effectively resolving human-wildlife conflict. Animal Conservation
385	<b>13:</b> 458–466
386	Dickman A., Johnson PJ., Kesteren F. & Macdonald DW. (2015) The moral basis for
387	conservation: how is it affected by culture? Frontiers in Ecology and the
388	Environment <b>13:</b> 325-331.

Draheim M., Madden F., McCarthy JB. & Parsons C. (2015) *Human-wildlife conflict: complexity in the marine environment*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

Drury R., Homewood K. & Randall S. (2011) Less is more: the potential of qualitative
 approaches in conservation research. Animal Conservation 14: 18-24.

393 Geschiere P. (2013) Witchcraft, intimacy and trust. Chicago and London: The

394 University of Chicago Press

Giles-Vernick T. & Rupp S. (2006) Visions of apes, reflections on change: telling
 tales of great apes in Equatorial Africa. *African Studies Review* 49: 51–73

397 Gippoliti S., Sousa C. & Embalo D. (2004) Guiné-Bissau. In: Chimpanzés d'Afrique

398 *de l'Ouest. Etat de Conservation de l'espèce et plan d'action*, eds. R. Kormos

C. Boesch H. I. Bakarr & T. M. Butynski, pp. 73–78. Groupe de spécialistes
des primates de la CSE de l'UICN.

401 GRASP (2005) Global strategy for the survival of great apes and their habitat [WWW
 402 document]. URL http://www.unesco.org/mab/doc/grasp/e\_globalStrategy.pdf

403 Hill CM. (1997) Crop-raiding by wild vertebrates: The farmer's perspective in an

404 agricultural community in western Uganda. International Journal of Pest

405 *Management* **43:** 77–84

406 Hill CM. (2015) Perspectives of 'conflict' at the wildlife–agriculture boundary: 10
407 years on. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife* 20: 296–301

408 Hockings KJ. & Sousa C. (2012) Differential utilization of cashew—a low-conflict

409 crop—by sympatric humans and chimpanzees. Oryx 46: 375–381

410 Hockings KJ. & Sousa C. (2013) Human-Chimpanzee Sympatry and Interactions in

411 Cantanhez National Park, Guinea-Bissau: Current Research and Future

412 Directions. *Primate Conservation* **26:** 57–65

- Hockings K.J., Yamakoshi G., Kabasawa A. & Matsuzawa T. (2010) Attacks on local
  persons by chimpanzees in Bossou, Republic of Guinea: long-term
  perspectives. *American Journal of Primatology* **72**: 887–896
- Jalais A. (2008) Unmasking the cosmopolitan tiger. *Nature and Culture* **3:** 25–40
- 417 Karibuhoye C. (2004) Mammal conservation status and prospects for community-
- 418 based wildlife management in coastal Guinea-Bissau, West Africa.
- Knight J. (2000) Culling demons: the problem of bears in Japan. In: *Natural enemies:*
- 420 people-wildlife conflicts in anthropological perspective, ed. J. Knight, pp. 145-
- 421 169. London: Routledge.
- 422 Köhler A. (2000) Half-man, half-elephant. Shape shifting among the Baka of Congo.
- 423 In: Natural enemies: people-wildlife conflicts in anthropological perspective,
- 424 ed. J. Knight, pp. 50–73. London: Routledge.
- Leischer C., Sanjayan M., Blockhus J., Kontoleon A. & Larsen SN. (2000) Does
- 426 conserving biodiversity work to reduce poverty? A state of knowledge review.
- 427 The Nature Conservancy; University of Cambridge; The Poverty and
- 428 Conservation Learning Group
- 429 Macfie EJ. & Williamson EA. (2010) Best practice guidelines for great ape tourism.
- 430 Marvin G. (2010) Wolves in sheep's and other clothing. In: *Beastly natures: animals,*
- 431 *humans and the study of history*, ed. D. Brantz, pp. 59–78. Charlottesville &
- 432 London: University of Virginia Press.
- 433 McLennan MR. (2008) Beleaguered chimpanzees in the agricultural district of
- 434 Hoima, Western Uganda. *Primate Conservation* **23**: 45–54
- 435 McLennan MR. & Hill CM. (2010) Chimpanzee responses to researchers in a
- disturbed forest-farm mosaic at Bulindi, western Uganda. *American Journal of*
- 437 *Primatology* **72:** 907–918

438	McLennan MR. & Hockings KJ. (2016) The aggressive apes? Causes and contexts
439	of great ape attacks on local persons [WWW document]. In: Problematic
440	Wildlife, ed. F. M. Angelici, pp. 373–394. Springer International Publishing.
441	URL http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-22246-2_18
442	Mendes N. & Serra A. (2002) As medidas de lemberém sobre a co-gestão das 14
443	matas de Cantanhez.
444	Naughton-Treves L. (1998) Predicting patterns of crop damage by wildlife around
445	Kibale National Park, Uganda. Conservation Biology 12: 156–168
446	O Democrata. 2016. Chimpanzés voltam aos ataques ferozes contra crianças em
447	Empada. 7 December. Available in:
448	http://www.odemocratagb.com/chimpanzes-voltam-aos-ataques-ferozes-
449	contra-criancas-em-empada/
450	Redpath SM., Gutierrez RJ., Wood KA. & Young JC. (2015) Conflicts in
451	conservation. Navigating towards solutions. Cambridge: Cambridge
452	University Press
453	Richards P. (1996) Chimpanzees, diamonds and war. The discourses of global
454	environmental change and local violoence on the Liberia-Sierra Leone border.
455	In: The future of anthropological knowledge, ed. H. L. Moore, pp. 140–155.
456	London, New York: Routledge.
457	Richards P. (2000) Chimpanzees as political animals in Sierra Leone. In: Natural
458	enemies: people-wildlife conflicts in anthropological perspective, ed. J. Knight,
459	pp. 78–103. Oxon: European Association of Social Anthropologists.
460	Sá R., Ferreira da Silva MJ., Sousa F. & Minhós T. (2012) The trade and
461	ethnobiological use of chimpanzee body parts in Guinea-Bissau: implications
462	for conservation. TRAFFIC Bulletin 24: 31–34

463 Sarró R. (2009) The politics of religious change on the Upper Guinea Coast.

- 464 *Iconoclasm done and undone*. Edimburgh University Press
- Sousa J. (2014) Shape-shifting nature in a contested landscape in Guinea-Bissau.

466 (PhD). Oxford Brookes University, Oxford. 245[372] pages.

- 467 Sousa J., Barata AV., Sousa C., Casanova CCN. & Vicente L. (2011) Chimpanzee
- oil-palm use in southern Cantanhez National Park, Guinea-Bissau. American
   Journal of Primatology 73: 485–497
- 470 Sousa J., Vicente L., Gippoliti S., Casanova C. & Sousa C. (2013) Local knowledge
- and perceptions of chimpanzees in Cantanhez National Park, Guinea-Bissau. *American Journal of Primatology* **76:** 122–134
- Sousa, J., Hill, CM. & Ainslie, A. (2017) Chimpanzees, sorcery and contestation in a
  protected area in Guinea-Bissau. Social Anthropology. ISSN 1469-8676
- Temudo MP. (2005) Western beliefs and local myths: a case study on the interface
- between farmers, NGOs and the State in Guinea-Bissau rural development
- 477 interventions. In: Between a rock and a hard place: Africa NGOs, Donors and
- 478 *the State*, eds. J. Igoe & T. Kensall, pp. 253–277. Durham: Carolina Academic
  479 Press.
- 480 Temudo MP. (2012) 'The white men bought the forests': conservation and
- 481 contestation in Guinea-Bissau, Western Africa. *Conservation and Society* 10:
  482 354–366
- 483 Temudo MP. (2009) A narrativa da degradação ambiental no Sul da Guiné-Bissau:
- 484 uma desconstrução etnográfica. *Etnográfica. Revista do Centro em Rede de*485 *Investigação em Antropologia* 13: 237–264

- Walsh M. & Goldman H. (2012) Chasing imaginary leopards: science, witchcraft and
  the politics of conservation in Zanzibar. *Journal of Eastern African Studies* 6:
  727-746
- Woodroffe R., Thirgood S. & Rabinowitz A. (2005) *People and Wildlife, Conflict or Co-existence*? Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- 491 Wrangham R., Wilson M., Hare B. & Wolfe ND. (2000) Chimpanzee Predation and
- the Ecology of Microbial Exchange. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease*
- 493 **12:** 186–188