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ABSTRACT: A series of complexes \([\text{Et}_4\text{N}][\text{Ln}(\text{NCS})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\) (\(\text{Ln} = \text{Pr}, \text{Tb}, \text{Dy}, \text{Ho}, \text{Yb}\)) have been structurally characterized, all showing the same structure, namely a distorted square antiprismatic coordination geometry, and the \(\text{Ln}−\text{O}\) and \(\text{Ln}−\text{N}\) bond lengths following the expected lanthanide contraction. When the counterion is \(\text{Cs}^+\), a different structural motif is observed and the eight-coordinate complex \(\text{Cs}_3[\text{Nd}(\text{NCS})_8]\) isolated. The thorium compounds \([\text{Me}_4\text{N}]_4[\text{Th}−(\text{NCS})_7(\text{NO}_3)]\) and \([\text{Me}_4\text{N}]_4[\text{Th}(\text{NCS})_8(\text{NO}_3)_2]\) have been characterized, and high coordination numbers are also observed. Finally, attempts to synthesize a \(\text{U}(\text{III})\) thiocyanate compound have been unsuccessful; from the reaction mixture, a heterocycle formed by condensation of five \(\text{MeCN}\) solvent molecules, possibly promoted by \(\text{U}(\text{III})\), was isolated and structurally characterized. To rationalize the inability to isolate \(\text{U}(\text{III})\) thiocyanate compounds, thin-layer cyclic voltammetry and IR spectroelectrochemistry have been utilized to explore the cathodic behavior of \([\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_4[\text{U}(\text{NCS})_8]\) and \([\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_4[\text{U}(\text{NCS})_8(\text{bipy})_2]\) along with a related uranyl compound \([\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_4[\text{UO}_2(\text{NCS})_6]\). In all examples, the reduction triggers a rapid dissociation of \([\text{NCS}]^−\) ions and decomposition. Interestingly, the oxidation chemistry of \([\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_4[\text{UO}_2(\text{NCS})_6]\) in the presence of bipy gives the \(\text{U}(\text{IV})\) compound \([\text{Et}_4\text{N}]_4[\text{U}(\text{NCS})_8]\), an unusual example of a ligand-based oxidation triggering a metal-based reduction. The experimental results have been augmented by a computational investigation, concluding that the \(\text{U}(\text{III})−\text{NCS}\) bond is more ionic than the \(\text{U}(\text{IV})−\text{NCS}\) bond.

INTRODUCTION

One methodology for the treatment of legacy, current, and future nuclear waste is the partition and transmutation concept,† whereby the actinides (An) are separated from the lanthanide (Ln) fission products, which then undergo neutron bombardment reactions to form radioisotopes of much shorter half-lives that ease the burden on final storage. The difficult scientific challenge is separating the Ln 4f-elements from the An 5f-elements, in particular the minor actinides Am and Cm, as the Sm-orbitals drop in energy, becoming more core-like and thus resembling the lanthanides. One strategy that has seen success is by solvent extraction mechanisms, where a specifically designed ligand, most successfully 2,6-bis(5,6-dialkyl-1,2,4-triazin-3-yl)pyridines and derivatives,‡ will preferentially coordinate to the actinide over the lanthanide,‡ and some evidence for enhanced covalency in the An 5f systems has been presented.§ Experimentally, a number of studies of U(III) and Ln(III) using N-donor ligands of varying denticity have been reported and changes in bond lengths interpreted as evidence for a more covalent U=N bond.¶ One interesting ligand type that has been investigated is the thiocyanate ion. It can be used in liquid−liquid extractions for the actinides as the
Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, and Dy; Sv = C₆H₆, the ionic liquids [Bmim]₄[N(Ln(NCS)₆)(H₂O)] (Bmim = 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium; Ln = La, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Ho, Er, and Yb), and [C₆mim]₄[Dy(NCS)₈] (C₆mim = 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium), [Et₄N]₄[Ce(NCS)₇(H₂O)], [Et₄N]₄[La(NCS)₆(H₂O)(MeOH)], [Et₄N][Ln(NCS)₈](H₂O)] (Ln = Nd, Eu), and K[Ln(NCS)₆(H₂O)₆]3KNCS·2H₂O (Ln = Nd, Er, Yb, Lu). Coordination numbers 7 in [Et₄N][Lu(NCS)₇(OH₂)], and [Me₄N][Th(NCS)₇(NO₃)], along with unreported complexes, structural studies have shown that the bonding is intrinsic ionic nature of the bonding and the excess of water octathiocyanate complexes; presumably, this is due to the increased ionicity in the uranium center in [Et₄N][U(NCS)₅(bipy)₂]. We now present an in-depth study of [Et₄N][An(NCS)₈] (An = Th, U) for cis-[Pu(NCS)₇] and the seven-coordinate geometry in [Th(NCS)₄(DIPIBA)], [DIPIBA = PrCONPF₆], known. Throughout all the 4f and 5f compounds, structural studies have shown that the bonding is more ionic, via the harder N atom. Moreover, the M−N−C angle is much smaller than that typically seen for transition metal complexes, which can also be attributed to the increased ionicity in the f-block compared to the d-block metals. Upon coordination to the f-block metal, the N=C and C=S bond changes only slightly, suggesting little reorganization in the π-framework of the ligand and thus only σ-bonding from the nitrogen. We have recently reported an in-depth study of [Et₄N][An(NCS)₈] (An = Th, U) and reinvestigated the oxidation state of the uranium center in [Et₄N][U(NCS)₆(bipy)]. We now turn our attention to U(III) thiocyanato anions and four coordinated water molecules in a distorted square antiprismatic environment; there are no significant differences in the metric parameters between the two molecules. The average metal−oxygen bond distances decrease with the increasing atomic number of the metal ion, as expected, while U(III) thiocyanato anion complexes. Thin-layer cyclic voltammetry and IR spectroelectrochemistry were employed to explore the redox behavior of U(IV) and related U(VI) compounds in more detail. The experimental work is supported by a computational study on the putative homoleptic U(III) compound.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Lanthanide Structural Studies. In our experiments, we used a two solvent system to grow single crystals and reproducibly isolated [Et₄N][Ln(NCS)₆(H₂O)]₈ (Ln = Pr (1), Tb (2), Dy (3), Ho (4), Yb (5)) rather than hepta- or octathiocyanate complexes; presumably, this is due to the intrinsic ionic nature of the bonding and the excess of water present. The structure of 1 is shown in Figure 1, while 2−5 are in the Supporting Information, Figures S1−S4; pertinent bond lengths are collated in Table 1 and Supporting Information.

Table 1. Selected Geometric and Spectroscopic Data for 1−5 and Related Lanthanide Compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lanthanide</th>
<th>average Ln−N (Å)</th>
<th>average Ln−O (Å)</th>
<th>ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pr (1)</td>
<td>2.525(2)</td>
<td>2.481(2)</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>2.500(9)</td>
<td>2.473(8)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>2.4556(10)</td>
<td>2.421(10)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb (2)</td>
<td>2.436(3)</td>
<td>2.392(3)</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy (3)</td>
<td>2.428(5)</td>
<td>2.385(4)</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho (4)</td>
<td>2.412(2)</td>
<td>2.369(2)</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb (5)</td>
<td>2.394(2)</td>
<td>2.360(3)</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu°</td>
<td>2.3309(17)</td>
<td>2.4086(15)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a°The geometry of [Lu(NCS)₅(H₂O)₆]° has an all trans arrangement, whereas all others are cis and trans.*

Table S1. The asymmetric unit contains two eight-coordinate lanthanide ions surrounded by four thiocyanato anions and four coordinated water molecules in a distorted square antiprismatic environment; there are no significant differences in the metric parameters between the two molecules. The average metal−oxygen bond distances decrease with the increasing atomic number of the metal ion, as expected, while
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Actinide Structural Studies. In comparison to the lanthanides, actinide thiocyanate compounds are much rarer. To expand the library of these compounds, we have prepared some thorium compounds. Thus, the reaction of Th(NO₃)₄ with 8 equiv of NaNCS and 4 equiv of Me₄NCl in MeCN reproducibly afforded the nine-coordinate mixed ligand species [Me₄N]₆[Th(NCS)₆(NO₃)₃]. The average Th–N and Th–O bond lengths are essentially invariant as are the N≡C and C–S bond lengths, consistent with the ionic bonding of these ligands. The infrared spectrum of C₅[Nd(NCS)₈](NO₃)₃(NCS)₆·2MeCN, 7, the structure is shown in Figure 4. Upon repeating this synthesis, we also obtained a structure that was disordered, and it was possible, with some restraints, to refine this to 95% of 7.2MeCN and 5% of [Me₄N]₆[Th(NCS)₆(NO₃)₃]. The structure of 8 is shown in Figure 5. With caution, we can compare the structural parameters with those known for [4Bu₄N]₆[Th(NO₃)₆(NCS)₆].

Our attempts to synthesize a U(III) thiocyanate complex have not been successful. Reaction of [UCl₃(py)₄] (ref 33) at −78 °C with the reduction of [Et₄N]₄[U(NCS)₈] with a variety of reducing agents, such as Na₂Hg, K, or NaC₁₀H₈, repeatedly failed to give any isolable uranium thiocyanate compounds. We have tried to follow the reduction with UV–vis spectroscopy in a cuvette. Upon addition of the reagents, an immediate precipitate is formed and all color bleaches from the solution. In a Schlenk flask at −78 °C, we also see the same effect and the solid produced shows no N≡C stretches in the IR spectrum. However, with the reducing agent being KC₈, a few colorless single crystals were isolated, and this was shown to be heterocycle 9 (Figure 6), formed by condensation of five MeCN molecules. The mechanism of the formation of this unusual byproduct is discussed further in Supporting Information (Scheme S1), although it is worth noting that U(IV) amides trimersize MeCN, while the Lewis acidic U(III) ion has been known to cleave THF and Et₄O and reductively couple MeCN. While it could be possible that U(III) rapidly reduces [NCS]⁻, this is unlikely as free thiocyanate can be used as a reducing agent but complexed thiocyanate is readily oxidized to the corresponding radical. The 2,2’-bipyrindine (bipy) ligand is well-known to be redox active and several actinide complexes have been reported in the formal metal(III), metal(IV), and inconclusive oxidation states and with singly or doubly reduced bipy ligands. We therefore attempted the reduction of [Et₄N]₄[U(NCS)₈(bipy)] with alkali metal reducing agents in an effort to generate complexes with either a U(III) ion or U(IV) coordinated by a reduced bipy (radical anion). However, no isolable uranium compounds were obtained in any solvent used and free neutral bipy was the only species identified by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

Spectroelectrochemical Studies on Uranium Compounds. Intrigued by the inability to isolate any chemically reduced U(III) compounds, we turned to a study of the cathodic behavior of [Et₄N]₄[U(NCS)₈] using spectroelectrochemistry; our previous work focused mainly upon the oxidation of this species. It must be stressed that the cathodic responses of this family of U–NCS complexes are strongly affected by adsorption and electrode passivation. The cathodic potentials read from TLCV are reliable, as the electron transfer can directly be identified by the corresponding infrared spectral changes observed during the electrolyses; we have taken advantage of this to accurately determine the potential of the

Nd center is intermediate between a cube and square antiprism, while the Nd–N bond lengths are in the range 2.498(19)–2.573(7) Å that is very similar to [Et₄N][Nd(NCS)₄(H₂O)₄]. The structure features numerous N···E (E = N, C, S) contacts that give a complex packing arrangement as shown in Figure 3.

All the complexes have also been characterized by IR and Raman spectroscopy (Supporting Information, Figures S6, S7), as the number of N≡C stretches is diagnostic of the geometry. Thus, for a square antiprismatic symmetry, three Raman-active ν(C≡N) stretches (A₁ + E₁ + E₂) and two IR stretches (B₁ + E₁) are expected. In 1–5, three bands are observed at ca. 2115, 2090, and 2080 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectra. In the infrared spectra, only a broad peak is observed, but the shift in frequency of the C≡N bond stretch is in keeping with the change in the size of the lanthanide ion, as has been noted previously. Dissociation of [NCS]⁻ was not observed, as revealed by the absence of the band at 2060 cm⁻¹. For 6, two bands are evident in the IR spectrum, and the Raman spectrum shows two bands at 2063 and 2052 cm⁻¹ (Table 2).
broad, poorly defined cathodic peak ($E_{p,c} = -1.80$ V vs Fc/Fc$^+$) from conventional CV measurements. To further evaluate the use of TLCV, we have also examined [Et$_4$N][U(NCS)$_5$(bipy)$_2$] and the uranyl compound [Et$_4$N][UO$_2$(NCS)$_5$], which also show broad CV responses due to slow reaction kinetics and/or limited by the solubility of electrochemically produced species.

The thin-layer cyclic voltammogram of [Et$_4$N][U(NCS)$_8$] is shown in Figure 7, and the reduction potential is $E_{p,c} = -1.38$ V vs Fc/Fc$^+$. This is much less negative than that originally obtained from the conventional CV measurements ($E_{p,c} = -1.80$ V), which in light of the TLCV experiment is erroneous. During the reduction of [Et$_4$N][U(NCS)$_8$], the broad parent ν(C≡N) band at 2048 cm$^{-1}$ decreases in intensity and a new peak rises at 2059 cm$^{-1}$, which can be assigned to free [NCS]$^-$.

We have recently reported a spectroelectrochemical study of Na[NCS] under the same experimental conditions, which conclusively demonstrates the identity of this species. U$^{III}$–NCS compounds could be coincident with the free [NCS]$^-$ ion, but a different line shape would be expected. Apparently, the addition of one electron triggers dissociation of all π-donor thiocyanate ligands and decomposition of the compound. Guided by this result, we repeated the chemical reduction of the U(IV) compound in the presence of excess NaNCS but failed again to isolate any U–NCS containing product. It is clear that the thiocyanate ion does not stabilize the lower oxidation state of uranium effectively.

We next looked at the redox processes of [Et$_4$N][U–(NCS)$_5$(bipy)$_2$], as the π-acceptor bipy ligand may allow for the isolation of a stable U(III) compound or become reduced itself. Under the TLCV conditions (Figure 8), there is a clearly

---

**Table 2. Selected Vibrational Spectral Data for 1–6, Measured in the Solid State**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complex</th>
<th>IR (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Raman (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu$(C≡N)</td>
<td>$\nu$(O–H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2049(br)</td>
<td>3325(br)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2086(br)</td>
<td>3310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2085(br)</td>
<td>3313(br)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2087(br)</td>
<td>3320(br)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2090(br)</td>
<td>3310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2078(br), 2114(m)</td>
<td>2063, 2052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 3.** Packing of 6 along the crystallographic c-axis. Green polyhedral = Cs$^+$; orange = Nd$^{3+}$.

**Figure 4.** Asymmetric unit of 7 with atomic displacement shown at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Th(1)–N(1) 2.5270(19); Th(1)–N(2) 2.503(2); Th(1)–N(3) 2.5360(19); Th(1)–N(4) 2.4813(18); Th(1)–N(5) 2.4841(19); Th(1)–N(6) 2.497(2); Th(1)–N(7) 2.5025(19); Th(1)–N(8) 3.0167(18); Th(1)–O(1) 2.5584(15); Th(1)–O(2) 2.6116(15); O(1)–N(8) 1.280(2); O(2)–N(8) 1.260(2); O(3)–N(8) 1.220(2); N(8)–O(1) 79.49(6); O(1)–Th(1)–O(2) 49.37(5); O(8)–O(1)–Th(1) 98.06(11); N(8)–O(2)–Th(1) 96.04(11); O(2)–N(8)–O(1) 116.52(17).
defined reduction step at $E_{pc} = -0.80 \text{ V (vs Fe/Fc)}$. Because of the coordination of the π-acceptor bipy ligand, the reduction potential is significantly less negative compared to homoleptic $\text{U(NCS)}_{8}^{4-}$. IR spectroscopy shows that upon the reduction the parent bands at 2036 and 2077 cm$^{-1}$ are replaced by a new band at 2059 cm$^{-1}$, again indicating free [NCS]$^-$ and decomposition of the putative U(III) compound. Interestingly, upon monitoring the one-electron oxidation of [Et$_4$N]$_4$U(IV)Cl$_6$, the IR spectra reveal the loss of the withdrawing bipy ligands, as judged from the appearance of the ν(C≡N) band at 2048 cm$^{-1}$ belonging to [Et$_4$N]$_4$[U(NCS)$_8$] (cf. Figure 7). As expected, the coordination of the bipy ligand weakens significantly upon the oxidation. The formation of [Et$_4$N]$_4$[U(NCS)$_8$] is also in line with the slightly more positive oxidation potential of this complex ($E_{pa} = +0.24 \text{ V}$) compared to parent [Et$_4$N]$_4$[U(NCS)$_8$(bipy)$_2$] ($E_{pa} = +0.22 \text{ V}$).

Finally, we examined the redox behavior of [Et$_4$N]$_4$[UO$_2$(NCS)$_8$] in the presence of excess bipy. The reduction of the parent compound studied by TLCV and FT-IR (Supporting Information, Figure S12) shows a cathodic wave at $E_{pc} = -1.43 \text{ V}$ and liberation of free [NCS]$^-$, consistent with our earlier study. We have previously reported that the oxidation of the parent uranyl compound is difficult to study by cyclic voltammetry. Corresponding TLCV shows irreversible oxidation at $E_{pa} = +0.21 \text{ V}$ (Supporting Information, Figure S12, right) that must be NCS-based; IR spectroelectrochemical monitoring proves the decomposition and only yellow deposits of [NCS]$^-$, are observed in the spectroelectrochemical cell. However, in the presence of bipy, a new species with a ν(C≡N) band at 2036 cm$^{-1}$ is initially generated (Supporting Information, Figure S13, left), belonging to the uranium(IV) complex, [U(NCS)$_8$(bipy)$_2$]$^+$. Under further oxidation, the intermediate compound is replaced by the ν(C≡N) band of [U(NCS)$_8$]$^{4+}$ at 2048 cm$^{-1}$ (Supporting Information, Figure S13), indicating that the bipy ligands dissociate (vide supra). It is interesting that a ligand-based oxidation triggers a metal-based reduction, U(VI) → U(IV). It is well-known that the transient one-electron oxidized [U(V)O$_2$]$^{2+}$ species undergo a disproportionation reaction to form [U(VI)O$_2$]$_2$ and [U(VI)O$_2$]$_2^{4+}$. Table 3 summarizes the redox potentials of the three uranium thiocyanate complexes measured by TLCV.

Given that the electrochemical deoxygenteration is facile, we explored chemical oxidation of [Et$_4$N]$_4$[UO$_2$(NCS)$_8$]. Reaction with organic oxidants gave no reaction, as judged by IR and UV–vis spectroscopy. Addition of CuCl$_2$ in MeCN afforded a brown solution; however, there were no bands in the UV–vis/NIR spectral region attributable to f–f transitions. Recrystallization afforded three different morphologies of single crystals. X-ray crystallography showed these to be copper sulfate, [Et$_4$N]$_4$[CuO$_2$Cl$_4$], and [Et$_4$N]$_4$[CuO$_2$Cl$_4$](CuCl)$_2$. Copper sulfate must have been formed from the oxidation of the [NCS]$^-$ ion, as the CuCl$_2$ was sulfate-free (via IR spectroscopy). The formation of uranyl halides corroborates the results of computational investigations showing the U–Cl bond to be more covalent than the U–NCS bond. The structure of 10 is unremarkable and included in the Supporting Information (Figure S14, Table S3), along with luminescence (Figure S15) and vibrational (Figures S16 and S17) data.

**Computational Studies.** To understand the change in reactivity between U(III) and U(IV), we turned to computational chemistry. In our previous work, we benchmarked hybrid and pure DFT methods to the vibrational data of the U(IV) compound and found that the BP86 functional gave a satisfactory fit to the experimental data. We have therefore used this to compute the bonding in [M(NCS)$_8$]$^{4+}$, where M = Ce and U, and used QTAIM to further probe this. It is worth reemphasizing that the eight-coordinate Ce(III) compound is not known experimentally but used strictly as a comparison to U(III). Natural bond order (NBO) analysis finds a single bonding orbital for each M–N bond and indicates a charge on

---

**Figure 5.** Disordered anionic component of 8 (5% occupied). Atomic displacement shown at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Th(1A)–O(1) 2.875(4); Th(1A)–O(2) 2.802(4); Th(1A)–O(4) 2.812(9); Th(1A)–O(5) 2.811(9); Th(1A)–N(1) 2.762(4); Th(1A)–N(2) 2.373(5); Th(1A)–N(3) 2.572(5); Th(1A)–N(4) 2.418(5); Th(1A)–N(6) 2.523(5); Th(1A)–N(7) 2.477(5); O(1)–N(8) 1.267(3); O(2)–N(8) 1.279(3); O(3)–N(8) 1.219(3); O(4)–N(10) 1.2658; O(5)–N(10) 1.2795; O(6)–N(10) 1.2196; O(2)–Th(1A)–O(1) 44.72(7); O(5)–Th(1A)–O(4) 45.21(13); N(1)–Th(1A)–O(1) 60.19(9); N(1)–Th(1A)–O(2) 65.24(10); N(1)–Th(1A)–O(4) 143.0(8); N(1)–Th(1A)–O(5) 136.2(7); N(2)–Th(1A)–O(1) 106.22(17); N(2)–Th(1A)–O(2) 69.62(13); N(2)–Th(1A)–O(4) 62.8(7); N(2)–Th(1A)–O(5) 98.3(6).

**Figure 6.** X-ray structure of 9 with displacement ellipsoids at 50%. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): N1–C2 1.3861(12); N1–C6 1.3170(13); C2–C3 1.3609(14); C3–C9 1.4230(14); C3–C4 1.5240(14); C4–C5 1.5262(14); C5–C6 1.5022(13); C6–N7 1.5022(13); C6–N10 1.1518(15); N7–H7A 0.895(14); N7–H7B 0.935(15); C6–N1–C2 117.62(8); C2–C3–C4 121.02(9); C3–C4–C5 106.34(8); C4–C5–C6 111.63(8); C5–C6–N1 122.12(9).
U of just +0.96 and Ce of +0.90, much less than the formal charge of +3. Inspection of the spin densities (Supporting Information, Figure S10) shows that there is a small amount of delocalization onto the NCS ligands in the U(III) compound, which is not observed in the Ce(III) species. QTAIM analysis show that both U(III) and Ce(III) are more ionic than the U(IV) compound, with a low \( \rho \) associated with the M−N bond and a decrease in the bond order (Table 4). Literature precedent exists where An−Cl have been described as showing enhanced covalency of the U(IV)−Cl bond versus the U(III)−Cl bond.

Table 3. Formal Redox Potentials of the Studied Uranium Thiocyanate Complexes against Ferrocene/Ferrocenium, Determined by Thin-Layer Cyclic Voltammetry within an OTTLE Cell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complex</th>
<th>( E_{pa} ) (V) (vs Fc/Fc⁺)</th>
<th>( E_{pc} ) (V) (vs Fc/Fc⁺)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([U(NCS)₈]^{2−})</td>
<td>-1.38</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([U(NCS)₅(bipy)₂]^{2−})</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([UO₂(NCS)₅]^{3−})</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. DFT Geometry and Vibrational Modes Using BP86 Functional and Selected QTAIM Properties for \([U(NCS)₈]^{4−}\) and the Putative \([M(NCS)₈]^{5−}\) Compounds and Experimental (exp) Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>property</th>
<th>U(IV) exp</th>
<th>U(IV) BP86</th>
<th>U(III) BP86</th>
<th>Ce(III) BP86</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M−N (Å)</td>
<td>2.38(3)</td>
<td>2.469</td>
<td>2.615</td>
<td>2.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N≡C (Å)</td>
<td>1.15(4)</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>1.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C−S (Å)</td>
<td>1.63(4)</td>
<td>1.644</td>
<td>1.663</td>
<td>1.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu(C≡N)) (cm⁻¹)</td>
<td>2047</td>
<td>2067 (b₁)</td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>2081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu(C−S)) (cm⁻¹)</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>2071 (e₁)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_{M−N}) (au)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_{N≡C}) (au)</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_{C−S}) (au)</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M−N bond order</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Left: Thin-layer cyclic voltammogram of \([Et₄N]_4[U(NCS)₈]₄\) against Fc/Fc⁺ determined in MeCN at 293 K, with ~0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆ as the supporting electrolyte, at \( v = 2 \) mV s⁻¹. (The depicted scan shows oxidation of decamethylferrocene, Fc⁺/Fc⁺⁺, used as the internal standard; two cycles were applied to exclude any potential drift.) Right: IR spectral changes in the \( \nu(C≡N)\) region accompanying the one-electron reduction of \([Et₄N]_4[U(NCS)₈]₄\) in MeCN/Bu₄NPF₆ at 293 K within an OTTLE cell. Blue spectrum, before the reduction at \(-1.38\) V vs Fc/Fc⁺; red spectrum, after the reduction.

Figure 8. Left: Thin-layer cyclic voltammogram of \([Et₄N][U(NCS)₅(bipy)₂] \) against Fc/Fc⁺ (used as the internal standard, together with cobaltocenium, Cc/Cc⁺) recorded in MeCN at 293 K, with ~0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆ as the supporting electrolyte (\( v = 2 \) mV s⁻¹). Right: IR spectral changes in the \( \nu(C≡N)\) region accompanying the 1e⁻ reduction of \([Et₄N][U(NCS)₅(bipy)₂] \) in MeCN/Bu₄NPF₆ at 293 K within an OTTLE cell. Blue spectrum, before the reduction; red spectrum, after the reduction.

"In MeCN/TBAH at 293 K."
Cl bond. Using QTAIM, the $\rho_{BCP}$ for the U–Cl bond in $[\text{UCl}_6]^{3-}$ at the B3LYP functional are 0.064 for U(IV) and 0.037 for U(III), which follows the trend in our data. In this work, the authors ascribe this partly to an increase in the localization of the $f$-orbitals with decreased oxidation state, which may indicate a decrease in the covalency of these bonds. This is an example of an energy mismatch between the metal and ligand orbitals in lower oxidation states. NBO analysis of the $[\text{U(NCS)}_8]^{4-}$ gives some evidence for this, as there is a decrease in the $f$-orbital contribution to the bonding (U(III) 11% U: made up of s (12%), p (34%), d (39%), and $f$ (15%); U(IV) 14% U: made up of s (12%), p (33%), d (31%), and $f$ (24%)). We note that the U(III)–N bond is slightly less ionic than the Ce(III)–N bond and consistent with previous experimental results.

The cathodic electrochemistry of $[\text{U(NCS)}_5(\text{bipy})_2]^{-}$ and $[\text{U(NCS)}_8]^{4-}$ (Table 3) shows that the LUMO is stabilized by the coordination of the bipy, suggesting its participation in the electrochemical reduction. We have reinvestigated $[\text{U(NCS)}_5(\text{bipy})_2]^{-}$ by using tighter SCF criteria within DFT calculations and found that the LUMO is metal-based while the HOMO is based on the NCS ligands. The LUMO+3 and LUMO+4 are the lowest-lying unoccupied orbitals containing substantial contributions from $\pi^*(\text{bipy})$ combinations, as shown in Figure 9.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have prepared and characterized a series of lanthanide thiocyanate complexes of the formulation $[\text{Et}_4\text{N}]\text{[Ln-(NCS)}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$ (Ln = Pr, Tb, Dy, Ho, Yb) and an unusual eight-coordinate complex Cs$_5[\text{Nd(NCS)}_8]$. For thorium chemistry, we have found that full substitution of the nitrate ions in Th(NO$_3$)$_4$ is difficult to achieve when using the Me$_4$N$^+$ counterion. Both mono- and bis-nitrate compounds of thorium thiocyanates were characterized by X-ray diffraction. We have attempted to prepare the homoionic U(III) compound but under all conditions failed to isolate a metal-based species. Thin-layer cyclic voltammetry and spectroelectrochemistry have shown that this is due to the facile loss of all thiocyanate ligands upon the reduction. Theoretical approaches have reveal increased ionicity of the U(III)–N bond compared to the U(IV)–N bond. The oxidation potentials of the three studied uranium thiocyanate complexes are very similar. $[\text{U(NCS)}_8]^{4-}$ has the most positive oxidation potential because of the eight strongly $\pi$-donating [NCS]$^-\text{ligands stabilizing the complex.}$ The reduction potential of $[\text{U(NCS)}_5(\text{bipy})_2]^{-}$ is much less negative than that of $[\text{U(NCS)}_8]^{4-}$ because the withdrawing 2,2'-bipyridine ligands stabilize the metallic frontier molecular orbitals. Finally, the electrochemical oxidation of $[\text{UO}_2(\text{NCS})_5]^{3-}\text{in the presence of bipy affords [U(NCS)}_8]^{4-}$ via $[\text{U(NCS)}_5(\text{bipy})_2]^{2+}$; however, chemical oxidation with CuCl$_2$ affords only uranyl chlorides.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Caution!** Natural uranium and thorium were used during the course of the experimental work. As well as the radiological hazards, uranium and thorium are toxic metals and care should be taken with all manipulations. Experiments using radioactive materials were carried out using pre-set radiological safety precautions in accordance with the local rules of the Trinity College Dublin and the University of Reading. All manipulations for actinide chemistry were carried out using standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques under an atmosphere of a high purity dry argon. Lanthanide chemistry was conducted in air. Standard IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Spectrum One 5100 spectrometer.
spectrum with an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory. Raman spectra were obtained using 785 nm excitation on a Renishaw 1000 micro-Raman system in sealed capillaries. UV–vis/NIR measurements were conducted on a PerkinElmer Lambda 1050 spectrophotometer over the range 300–1300 nm, using fused silica cells with an optical path length of 1 cm. X-ray data were collected on a Bruker APEX DUO (1, 3, and 9) and a D8 Quest ECO (2, 4–8) using Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Each sample was mounted on a Mitegen cryoloop and data collected using a Cobra and Oxford Cryostream cryosystem. Bruker APEX software was used to collect and reduce data and determine the space group. Structures were solved using XT49 and refined using the XL program within the Olex2 program. Absorption corrections were applied using SADABS 2014. Details of the crystal data and refinements are given in Supporting Information, Table S2. CCDC 1554951–1554959 and 1574079 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. The packing diagram shown in Figure 3 was generated using VESTA version 3.3.9. Phase purity was checked for powder X-ray diffraction (Supporting Information, Figure S3), which was carried out on a Bruker D2 Phaser.

Cyclic voltammetric measurements were conducted with a Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT302N potentiostat in an airtight three-electrode cell connected to a Schlenk line with a Pt microdisc (0.14 mm²) working electrode, Pt coil counter electrode, and Ag coil pseudoreference electrode; the [Bu₄N][PF₆] electrolyte was recrystallized twice from absolute ethanol and dried under vacuum at 80 °C overnight. Controlled-potential electrolyses within the room-temperature OTTLE cell were carried out using an EmStat3 (PalmSens) potentiostat. IR and UV/vis spectral monitoring of the redox reactions was carried out with a Bruker Vertex 70 FT-IR spectrometer and a Scinco S3100 diode array spectrophotometer, respectively. The different redox steps were localized with the aid of contemporarily recorded thin-layer cyclic voltammograms. Ferrocene, decamethylferrocene (Fc), and cobaltocenium (Cc) were used as multiple internal potential standards in this experiment. The correct position of the cathodic response of the cobaltocenium standard (−1.34 V vs Fc/Fc⁺) proves that the potential scale remained correct during the slow (2 mV s⁻¹) scan, and no potential drift occurred. For cyclic voltammetry and IR spectroelectrochemistry analysis, the compounds were first characterized by IR spectroscopy (Supporting Information, Table S2). The uranium complexes were dissolved in dry acetonitrile containing the supporting electrolyte and checked for decomposition.

DFT geometry optimization was performed on single molecules extracted from the crystal structure at the unrestricted BP86/def2-TZP²⁵,²⁶ level using Turbomole initially without symmetry constraints but subsequently in the D₁₇ point group. Scalar relativistic effects in uranium were included through the use of effective core potentials, as defined for this basis set. Spin contamination was not significant, with values of S² within 1% of the anticipated value of 2.00. Further single-point DFT calculations were performed in Gaussian⁰⁹ using the BP86 and B3LYP⁰⁹ functional. The (27s 24p 18d 14f 6g)/[8s 7p 5d 3f 1g] all-electron ANO-RCC basis sets of DZP quality were used for uranium, with 6-31+G(d,p) on C, N, and S. Scalar relativistic effects were included via the second-order Douglas–Kroll–Hess Hamiltonian.²³ Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis was performed using Gaussian09; Atoms-in-Molecules (AIM) analysis used AIMAll. Topological analysis of the electronic density (ρ) is based upon those points where the gradient of the density, Vρ, vanishes. In this work, we consider points where one curvature (in the internuclear direction) is positive and two (perpendicular to the bond direction) are negative, termed (3, −1) or bond critical points. Properties evaluated at such points characterize the bonding interactions present. An electron density (ρ) of 0.2 a.u. or greater typically signifies a covalent bond and less than 0.1 a.u. indicates closed shell (ionic, van der Waals, etc.). Integrated properties of atoms were checked for numerical accuracy via the basin integral of the Laplacian, which should vanish for properly defined atomic basins (all values 10⁻⁴ or less) and also by comparison of the sum of all atomic integrals with directly calculated molecular values. Integration of the overlap matrix over atomic basins can be used to derive covalent bond order, as set out by Kar and co-workers.⁶⁶

THF was distilled over potassium or Na/benzophenone, while acetonitrile and CD₂CN were distilled over CaH₂ or P₂O₅ and degassed immediately prior to use. [Et₄N][U(NCS)₄]⁶⁷ [Et₄N][U(NCS)₄(H₂O)]⁶⁸ and [Et₄N][UO₂(NCS)₆]⁶⁹ were made via the literature procedures. Lanthanide salts, cesium chloride, sodium thiocyanate, and tetraalkylammonium chlorides were purchased from Sigma and were of reagent grade. We used without further purification.

_Synthesis of [Et₄N][Pr(NCS)₄(H₂O)] (1)._ PrCl₃·7H₂O (0.093 g, 0.25 mmol) was dissolved in a 15 mL of acetonitrile–water mixture (4:1, v/v). Then 5 mL of aqueous solution of sodium thiocyanate (0.152 g, 2 mmol) and 10 mL of acetonitrile–water mixture (4:1, v/v) containing tetraethylammonium chloride (0.017 g, 0.10 mmol) solution were added to the metal solution, stirred for 30 min, and then allowed to stand for a week. Light-green colored X-ray quality single crystals of the complex I appeared at the bottom of the vessel. Yield = 0.103 g (72%).

Complexes 2–5 were obtained from a similar procedure to that of 1, except that the metal salts TbCl₃·6H₂O, DyCl₃·6H₂O, HoCl₃·6H₂O, and YCl₃·6H₂O (0.093 g (70%), 0.094 g (62%), 0.095 g (75%), and 0.097 g (67%), respectively, with each 0.25 mmol Ln halide) was used instead of praseodymium chloride.

_Synthesis of Cs₅[Nd(NCS)₈] (6)._ Hydrated NdCl₃ (0.094 g, 0.20 mmol) was dissolved in a 15 mL of acetonitrile–water mixture (4:1, v/v). Then 5 mL of aqueous solution of sodium thiocyanate (0.122 g, 1.6 mmol) and 10 mL of acetonitrile–water mixture (4:1, v/v) containing cesium chloride (0.168 g, 1.0 mmol) solution were added to the metal solution, stirred for 30 min, and then allowed to stand. Very light-green colored X-ray quality single crystals of the complex appeared at the bottom of the vessel after 1 week.

_Synthesis of [Me₅N][Th(NCS)₄(NO₃)]·2MeCN (7)._ To a solution of [Th(NO₃)₄]·2H₂O (400 mg, 0.70 mmol) in acetonitrile (30 cm³) were added NaNCS (455 mg, 5.6 mmol) and Me₅NCl (307 mg, 2.8 mmol). After 1 h of stirring at room temperature, the clear solution was filtered and the solvent was left to evaporate slowly. After 1 week at room temperature, the solution deposited colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction (34.7 g, 53%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.17 (s, 12 H, CH₂), 1.13 (CH₃) NMR (100.64 MHz, CD₂CN): δ 134.8 (NCS), 55.30 (CHO). IR (ATR, ν/cm⁻¹): 2957 (w, ν(C-H)), 2098 (w), 1456, 1425, 1038, 957, 827, 758, UV–vis (ε, dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), 298 K, MeCN, 1500–4000 cm⁻¹ (125).

_Isolation of Heterocycle 9._ A cold (−80 °C solution of [Et₄N][UO₂Cl₆] (200 mg, 0.21 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 cm³) was added anhydrous CuCl₂ (56.5 mg, 0.46 mmol). After 2 h of stirring at room temperature, the clear solution was filtered and concentrated. Placement at −30 °C afforded a few colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction.

_Synthesis of [Et₄N][UO₂Cl₆][CuCl₄] (10)._ To a yellow solution of [Et₄N][UO₂Cl₆] (200 mg, 0.21 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 cm³) was added aqueous KC₇O₃ (0.02 g, 5 mmol) in MeCN (20 cm³) held at −80 °C dropwise. An immediate color change to dark red then colorlessness was observed. Upon warming slowly to room temperature, the mixture was filtered and concentrated. Placement at −30 °C afforded a few colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction.
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