

Assessment of the bimodality in the distribution of bacterial genome sizes

Article

Accepted Version

Gweon, H. S. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6218-6301>,
Bailey, M. J. and Read, D. S. (2017) Assessment of the
bimodality in the distribution of bacterial genome sizes. The
ISME Journal, 11 (3). pp. 821-824. ISSN 1751-7370 doi:
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.142> Available at
<https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/75764/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.142>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.142>

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



1 **Assessment of the bimodality in the distribution of bacterial genome sizes**

2

3 Hyun S. Gweon, Mark J. Bailey, Daniel S. Read

4

5 Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford,

6 Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, UK

7

8 Corresponding author full contact details: Dr Hyun Soon Gweon - Centre for Ecology and

9 Hydrology, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford, Oxfordshire

10 OX10 8BB, UK. E-mail: hyugwe@ceh.ac.uk.

11

12 **Abstract**

13 Bacterial genome sizes have previously been shown to exhibit a bimodal distribution. This
14 phenomenon has prompted discussion regarding evolutionary forces driving genome size in
15 bacteria and its ecological significance. We investigated the level of inherent redundancy in
16 the public database and the effect it has on the shape of the apparent bimodal distribution. Our
17 study reveals that there is a significant bias in the genome sequencing efforts towards a certain
18 group of species, and that correcting the bias using species nomenclature and clustering of the
19 16S rRNA gene, results in a unimodal rather than the previously published bimodal distribution.
20 The true genome size distribution and its wider ecological implications will soon emerge as we
21 are currently witnessing rapid growth in the number of sequenced genomes from diverse
22 environmental niches across a range of habitats at an unprecedented rate.

23 **Short communication**

24 Significant progress has been made in understanding interactions between ecology and genome
25 evolution in prokaryotes. A number of recent studies have focussed on the evolution of
26 bacterial genome sizes (Kempes et al, 2016), indicating that the interaction between an
27 organism and its ecological niche, for example resource availability and environmental stability,
28 selects the genome size of the species (Konstantinidis & Tiedje, 2004; Bentkowski et al, 2015).
29 The exact mechanisms driving the genome sizes are still not fully resolved (Sabath et al, 2013,
30 Kempes et al, 2016). It has, however, been speculated that species living in invariant niches
31 tend to have small genomes, as stability acts to reduce genome size due the metabolic burden
32 of replicating DNA with no adaptive value (Giovannoni et al, 2005, 2014) such as in obligatory
33 and intracellular pathogens or mutualists (Moya et al, 2009; Moran 2003; Klasson and
34 Andersson 2004). Due to their metabolic diversity, species with large genomes are potentially
35 able to tackle a wider range of environmental conditions (Schneiker et al, 2007) and tend to be
36 more ecologically successful where resources are scarce but diverse and where there is little
37 penalty for slow growth (Konstantinidis & Tiedje, 2004). The effect by which these two
38 opposing evolutionary forces exert on the overall distribution of genome sizes was first
39 observed by Koonin and Wolf in 2008, where it was reported that bacterial genome sizes show
40 a bimodal distribution (Koonin and Wolf, 2008). The authors speculated that the observation
41 of two distinct groups of bacteria, those with 'small' and those with 'large' genomes, directly
42 reflects the balance between the opposing trends of genome expansion through gene
43 duplication, horizontal gene transfer and replication, and genome contraction caused by
44 genome streamlining and degradation (Koonin and Wolf, 2008). The observed bimodality in
45 the database was the first empirical evidence to show the two forces at work in bacterial
46 genomes, and the bimodalilty in the distribution has since attracted numerous citations in both
47 peer-reviewed articles (Giovannoni et al, 2014; Moran et al, 2015; Mock et al, 2012; Lane et

48 a., 2011) and textbooks (Kirchman, 2012; Saitou, 2014; Seshasayee, 2015; Bergman, 2011;
49 Koonin, 2011).

50

51 A substantial proportion of complete bacterial genomes in the public domain belong to human
52 pathogens and very closely related genomes representing variations within the species
53 (Tausova et al, 2014). As first reported by Graur and Zheng (2014), it has been suggested that
54 this fact might introduce a bias to the bimodal distribution seen in the previous analyses. No
55 formal treatment, however, has been carried out in the peer-reviewed literature to examine the
56 extent of database bias and how it may affect bacterial genome size bimodality. The
57 distribution of the bacterial genome size has broad and far-reaching implications in our
58 understanding of prokaryotes and this in turn necessitates re-assessment of the distribution and
59 the extent to which the bias distorts the apparent bimodality. Here, we present our finding that
60 the bias in the database has profound influence in shaping the overall distribution of bacterial
61 genome size.

62

63 Having obtained a total of 3923 complete bacterial genomes from Ensembl Bacteria database,
64 which is the most comprehensive source of complete bacteria genomes (see Supplementary
65 Information for detailed methods), the distribution of genome sizes was first evaluated and
66 compared against the distribution from Koonin and Wolf (2007). Despite that almost six times
67 more genomes have been archived since 2007, the current dataset exhibited a remarkably
68 similar bimodal distribution with its distinctive bimodal peaks around 2Mbp and 5Mbp.
69 Hartigan's dip test (Hartigan and Hartigan, 1985) was used to confirm that it features significant
70 bimodality with a p-value of $2.2e-16$ (Fig 1B), where p-values less than 0.05 indicate
71 significant bimodality (or multimodality) and p-values greater than 0.10 indicate unimodality
72 (Freeman and Dale, 2013).

73 The level of redundancy in the dataset was next assessed by counting the number of genomes
74 which shared the same species classification. The entire dataset of 3923 genomes represented
75 1,706 groups of species with a unique species classification based on names. As shown by Fig
76 1C, there was a significant amount of bias in the genome sequencing efforts towards a certain
77 group of species most of which belonged to well-characterised human pathogens. In fact,
78 almost 25% of the entire genome dataset was composed of just 20 species (971 genomes). We
79 also found that most of these highly redundant species belonged to the peaks in the bimodal
80 distribution. Notably, the two most redundant species, namely *Salmonella enterica*,
81 *Escherichia coli* belonged to peak β and *Helicobacter pylori*, *Staphylococcus aureus* belonged
82 to peak α .

83 Having observed the bias in the dataset, we assessed how much impact this has on the modality
84 of the distribution by removing the redundant genomes from the dataset (Fig 2A). The resulting
85 distribution exhibited much less pronounced peaks, and as confirmed by Hartigans' dip test,
86 the distribution was non-significant for bimodality ($p = 0.91$). The influence these redundant
87 species has on the distribution became more apparent (Fig 2B) as we evaluated the modality of
88 the distribution by progressively removing species from the dataset (from the most redundant
89 to the least). There is a sharp incline towards unimodality as redundant species were gradually
90 excluded (Fig 2B). In fact, the distribution became more or less unimodal after the top 60
91 redundant species were removed from the dataset of 1,706 species.

92 One of the issues we faced with our approach was that a large number of genomes in the dataset
93 had disorganised and inconsistent taxonomic classification. For instance, there were genomes
94 using different naming convention such as ones with square brackets or strain identifier
95 attached to their species name (e.g. "[Clostridium]-cellulolyticum", "*Francisella sp.*
96 *TX077308*"). This meant that removing redundant genomes using a text based approach was
97 only able to partially extirpate the bias. Also using this approach could not resolve the bias

98 arising from very closely related genomes representing variations within the species but with
99 different species classification. A more suitable approach was to use a biomarker gene directly
100 extracted from each genome to cluster dataset into units of redundant or very closely related
101 species. For this purpose, we chose 16S rRNA gene as it had been demonstrated that 16S rRNA
102 sequence on an individual strain with another exhibiting a similarity score of 97% or above
103 represents the same species (Stackebrandt & Goebel, 1994; Tindall et al, 2010). The clustering
104 resulted in 1081 groups of species or very closely related species, and as Fig 2C shows, the
105 resulting distribution from the dataset indicated a unimodal distribution ($p = 0.99$, Hartigan's
106 dip test).

107

108 Our results revealed that there is a significant amount of inherent redundancy in the public
109 database with a strong bias towards certain groups of species, and they have strong influence
110 in driving bacterial genome size distribution into bimodal. While it is plausible that bacterial
111 genome size is heavily influenced by the specialist or generalist lifestyle, it is not immediately
112 apparent whether or not this should lead to any particular distribution. To a great degree, it is
113 still too early to make any conclusions as to whether the true distribution exhibits certain
114 modality as the majority of genomes sequenced so far have only focussed on culturable species,
115 in particular human pathogens and closely related species. Some interesting observations with
116 a potential link to the nature of distribution have been emerging in recent years. For example,
117 (i) the bimodality in flow cytometric analysis of bacterial DNA content has been implicated
118 with the bimodal genome size distribution (Moran et al, 2015; Schattenhofer et al, 2011); (ii)
119 there may be other factors such as physical cell space constraints playing a role in genome size
120 selection (Kempes et al, 2016); and (iii) perhaps most intriguingly, numerous studies from
121 metagenomics are indicating that species with small genomes are more common than
122 previously thought (Giovannoni et al., 2014; Moran et al., 2015). With the rise of single-cell

123 genomics and improved bioinformatic assembly methods coupled with the continual reduction
124 in genome sequencing, we are currently witnessing rapid growth in the number of sequenced
125 genomes. Consequently, the true nature of the distribution together with its ecological
126 implications will become more apparent as we gather more sequenced genomes from diverse
127 niches across a wide range of habitats.

128 **Acknowledgements**

129 HSG acknowledges the support of NERC NBAF-W (NEC04916).

130

131 **Conflict of Interest**

132 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

133

134 **Supplementary Information**

135 Supplementary information is available at ISME Journal's website.

136

137 **References**

138 Bentkowski P, Van Oosterhout C & Mock T. (2015). A model of genome size evolution for
139 prokaryotes in stable and fluctuating environments. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 7(8):
140 2344–2351; e-pub ahead of print 4 August 2015, doi:10.1093/gbe/evv148.

141

142 Bergman NH. (2011). *Bacillus anthracis and Anthrax*. John Wiley & Sons.

143

144 Freeman JB, Dale R. (2013). Assessing bimodality to detect the presence of a dual cognitive
145 process. *Behav Res Methods* 45:83–97.

146

147 Giovannoni SJ, Cameron Thrash J, Temperton B. (2014). Implications of streamlining theory
148 for microbial ecology. *ISME J* 8:1–13.

149

150 Giovannoni SJ, Tripp HJ, Givan S, Podar M, Vergin KL, Baptista D, et al. (2005). Genome
151 streamlining in a cosmopolitan oceanic bacterium. *Science* 309:1242–1245.

152

153 Graur D. (2014, April 27). “Take Another Good Look at the Data”: The Bimodal Distribution
154 that Wasn’t, Retrieved from [http://judgestarling.tumblr.com/post/84095742522/take-another-
155 good-look-at-the-data-the-bimodal](http://judgestarling.tumblr.com/post/84095742522/take-another-good-look-at-the-data-the-bimodal)

156

157 Hartigan JA, Hartigan PM. (1985). The Dip Test of Unimodality. *Ann Stat* 13:70–84.

158

159 Kempes CP, Wang L, Amend JP, Doyle J, Hoehler T. (2016). Evolutionary tradeoffs in
160 cellular composition across diverse bacteria. *ISME J*; e-pub ahead of print 5 April 2016, doi:
161 10.1038/ismej.2016.21

162

163 Kirchman DL. (2012). *Processes in Microbial Ecology*. Oxford University Press: Oxford.

164

165 Klasson L, Andersson SGE. (2004). Evolution of minimal-gene-sets in host-dependent
166 bacteria. *Trends Microbiol* 12:37–43.

167

168 Konstantinidis KT, Tiedje JM. (2004). Trends between gene content and genome size in
169 prokaryotic species with larger genomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:3160–3165.

170

171 Koonin EV, Wolf YI. (2008). Genomics of bacteria and archaea: The emerging dynamic
172 view of the prokaryotic world. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36:6688–6719.

173

174 Koonin EV. (2011). *The Logic of Chance: The Nature and Origin of Biological Evolution*. FT
175 Press: New Jersey.

176

177 Lane N. (2011). Energetics and genetics across the prokaryote-eukaryote divide. *Biol Direct*
178 6:35.
179
180 Mock T, Kirkham A. (2012). What can we learn from genomics approaches in marine
181 ecology? From sequences to eco-systems biology!. *Mar Ecol* 33:131–148.
182
183 Moran AG, Alonso-sa L, Nogueira E, Ducklow HW, Gonza N, Calvo-dí A, et al. (2015).
184 More, smaller bacteria in response to ocean's warming? *Proc R Soc B*; e-pub ahead of print
185 10 June 2015, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0371>.
186
187 Moran NA. (2003). Tracing the evolution of gene loss in obligate bacterial symbionts. *Curr*
188 *Opin Microbiol* 6:512–518.
189
190 Moya A, Gil R, Latorre A, Pereto J, Pilar Garcillan-Barcia M, De La Cruz F. (2009). Toward
191 minimal bacterial cells: Evolution vs. design. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 33:225–235.
192
193 Sabath N, Ferrada E, Barve A, Wagner A. (2013). Growth temperature and genome size in
194 bacteria are negatively correlated, suggesting genomic streamlining during thermal
195 adaptation. *Genome Biol Evol* 5:966–977.
196
197 Saitou N. (2014). *Introduction to Evolutionary Genomics*. Springer.
198
199 Schattenhofer M, Wulf J, Kostadinov I, Glöckner FO, Zubkov M V, Fuchs BM. (2011).
200 Phylogenetic characterisation of picoplanktonic populations with high and low nucleic acid
201 content in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 34: 470–5.
202
203 Schneiker S, Perlova O, Kaiser O, Gerth K, Alici A, Altmeyer MO et al. (2007). Complete
204 genome sequence of the myxobacterium *Sorangium cellulosum*. *Nat Biotechnol* 25:1281–
205 1289.
206
207 Seshasayee ASN. (2015). *Bacterial Genomics: Genome Organization and Gene Expression*
208 *Tools*. Cambridge University Press.
209
210 Stackebrandt E, Goebel BM. (1994). Taxonomic Note: A Place for DNA-DNA Reassociation
211 and 16S rRNA Sequence Analysis in the Present Species Definition in Bacteriology. *Int J*
212 *Syst Bacteriol* 44:846–849.
213
214 Tatusova T, Ciufu S, Federhen S, Fedorov B, McVeigh R, O'Neill K et al. (2014). Update on
215 RefSeq microbial genomes resources. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43: D599–D605.
216
217 Tindall BJ, Rosselló-Móra R, Busse HJ, Ludwig W, Kämpfer P. (2010). Notes on the
218 characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*
219 60:249–266.

220 **Figure legends**

221

222 **Figure 1** (A) Distribution of genome sizes in bacteria and archaea: the curves were generated
223 by Gaussian-kernel smoothing of the individual data points. The figure has a very similar
224 pattern to the figure generated by Koonin and Wolf (2008). The distribution of archaea was
225 included for comparison only. (B) Distribution of genome sizes in bacteria on a different scale:
226 the distribution shows clear-cut bimodality. Hartigans' dip test for unimodality/multimodality
227 with simulated p-value with 10000 Monte Carlo replicates: $D = 0.02510$, $p < 2.2e-16$ where
228 values less than 0.05 indicate significant bi- or multimodality and values greater than 0.10
229 indicate unimodality (Freeman and Dale, 2013). (C) Number of genomes from the top 20 most
230 redundant species in the database with mean genome size and peak in which they belong. (Peak
231 α : 1.5 Mbp - 3 Mbp, Peak β : 4 Mbp - 5.5 Mbp). The top 20 most redundant species belonged
232 to 971 genomes representing almost 25% of the entire dataset. Most of them (18 species in
233 total) formed part of the peaks (α and β) including the top 4 species, namely *Salmonella*
234 *enterica*, *Escherichia coli*, *Helicobacter pylori* and *Staphylococcus aureus*.

235

236 **Figure 2** (A) Distribution of genome sizes in bacteria after removing redundant genomes. The
237 grey area indicates 2217 redundant genomes (out of 3923 genomes in total). The distribution
238 indicates unimodality (Hartigans' dip test: $D = 0.0069289$, $p = 0.908$). (B) Effect of removing
239 500 most redundant species from the database on the modality of distribution measured by
240 Hartigans' dip test. After removing around 60 most redundant species, the distribution becomes
241 mostly unimodal. (C) Distribution of genome sizes in bacteria after removing redundant and
242 very closely related genomes using 16S rRNA (2841 genomes). The distribution shows a clear-
243 cut unimodal distribution (Hartigans' dip test: $D = 0.0070418$, $p = 0.996$).