

Mapping and validating predictions of soil bacterial biodiversity using European and national scale datasets

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Griffiths, R. I., Thomson, B. C., Plassart, P., Gweon, H. S. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6218-6301, Stone, D., Creamer, R. E., Lemanceau, P. and Bailey, M. J. (2016) Mapping and validating predictions of soil bacterial biodiversity using European and national scale datasets. Applied Soil Ecology, 97. p. 61. ISSN 0929-1393 doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.06.018 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/75774/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>. Published version at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.06.018 To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.06.018

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

1	Mapping and validating predictions of bacterial biodiversity using European and
2	national scale datasets
3	
4	Robert I. Griffiths ¹ , Bruce C. Thomson ¹ , Pierre Plassart ² , Hyun S Gweon ¹ , Dorothy Stone ³ ,
5	Rachael E. Creamer ³ , Philippe Lemanceau ⁴ , Mark J Bailey ¹
6	
7	¹ Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford,
8	Wallingford, UK
9	² INRA, UMR1347 Agroécologie, GenoSol Platform, Dijon, France
10	³ Teagasc, Johnstown Castle Research Centre, Co. Wexford, Ireland
11	⁴ INRA, UMR1347 Agroécologie, Dijon, France
12	
13	Corresponding author: R.I.G (email: rig@ceh.ac.uk)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23 Abstract

Recent research has highlighted strong correlations between soil edaphic parameters 24 25 and bacterial biodiversity. Here we seek to explore these relationships across the European Union member states with respect to mapping bacterial biodiversity at the continental scale. 26 As part of the EU FP7 EcoFINDERs project, bacterial communities from 76 soil samples taken 27 across Europe were assessed from eleven countries encompassing Arctic to Southern 28 Mediterranean climes, representing a diverse range of soil types and land uses (grassland, 29 30 forest and arable land). We found predictable relationships between community biodiversity (ordination site scores) and land use factors as well as soil properties such as pH. Based on 31 the modelled relationship between soil pH and bacterial biodiversity found for the surveyed 32 soils, we were able to predict biodiversity in ~1000 soils for which soil pH data had been 33 34 collected as part of national scale monitoring. We then performed interpolative mapping 35 utilising existing EU wide soil pH data to present the first map of bacterial biodiversity across 36 the EU member states. The predictive accuracy of the map was assessed again using the 37 national scale data, but this time contrasting the EU wide *spatial* predictions with point data 38 on bacterial communities. Generally the maps were useful at predicting broad extremes of 39 biodiversity reflective of low or high pH soils, though predictive accuracy was limited for Britain 40 particularly for organic/acidic soil communities. Spatial accuracy could however be increased 41 by utilising published maps of soil pH calculated using geostatistical approaches at both global 42 and national scales. These findings will contribute to wider efforts to predict and understand the spatial distribution of soil biodiversity at global scales. Further work should focus on 43 enhancing the predictive power of such maps, by harmonising global datasets on soil 44 conditioning parameters, soil properties and biodiversity; and the continued efforts to advance 45 46 the geostatistical modelling of specific components of soil biodiversity at local to global scales.

47

48 **1. Introduction**

Soil bacteria contribute the largest proportion of the soil genetic resource (Urich et al 49 50 2008; Fierer et al, 2012), reflecting their ubiquity and high abundance across all soil systems. Given bacterial importance in the regulation of soil ecosystem services (Comerford et al, 51 2013), increased understanding of the environmental controls of bacterial biodiversity is 52 required from both scientific and policy perspectives in order to predict biodiversity change, 53 54 and determine functional consequences of change due to future climatic or land use 55 pressures. Attempts to characterise the bacterial communities in soils and understand ecological drivers have previously been hampered by methodological difficulties in assessing 56 taxonomic diversity due to the limited culturability of many bacterial taxa coupled with vast 57 58 taxonomic diversity (e.g. Janssen et al, 2002). These problems have to some extent been overcome through the development of molecular technologies to assess the diversity of 59 taxonomic marker genes (particularly the 16S rRNA gene) PCR amplified from extracted soil 60 DNA (Hirsch et al, 2010). 61

62 The application of molecular methods to wide ranging globally dispersed soil samples 63 has revealed that soil bacterial communities are broadly structured along gradients of soil 64 properties, with strong correlations between measures of bacterial biodiversity and key soil 65 variables such as soil pH and organic matter, which are co-related with broader environmental 66 parameters such as land use, climate, and parent material (Fierer et al, 2006; Lauber et al, 2009; Griffiths et al 2011). Therefore, whilst the causal mechanisms underlying these 67 relationships are complex it is apparent that the same pedogenic factors which determine the 68 nature of soils (e.g Jenny, 1941) also determine the taxonomic characteristics and structure 69 70 of the soil bacterial community. This new knowledge permits spatial forecasting of bacterial biodiversity at a range of scales and under change scenarios; which together with parallel 71 72 developments in understanding microbial biodiversity-function relationships, may allow for 73 enhanced prediction of soil processes under future environmental change.

74 Molecular surveys permit the production of range maps of soil bacterial distributions 75 at various spatial scales. Spatial distribution maps provide a visual representation of the forces shaping populations or communities and therefore provide the foundation for macro 76 ecological understanding (Elton, 1927). Maps can also guide policy decisions with respect to 77 land management, and can be useful visual resources guiding scientific experimentation and 78 79 enquiry. Importantly, more recently rasterised maps provide georeferenced data which can feed wider ecological, climatic or biogeochemical models. Already there has been several 80 attempts to map soil microbial properties at national and regional scales, using molecular 81 82 methodologies applied to nationwide soil monitoring schemes (Bru et al, 2011; Griffiths et al 2011, Dequidet et al 2009; Dequidet et al 2011). These studies mapped point sampled 83 microbial data using interpolative methods (e.g. inverse distance weighting, kriging; see 84 Bivand et al; 2008) to fit surfaces predicting the microbial properties at unsampled locations 85 by weighted averages of surrounding measured values. These methods are useful to show 86 87 large differences in microbial properties over large areas but local accuracy is limited by the spatial scale of sampling. 88

89 More advanced geostatistical approaches can be used to predict a variable of interest 90 at unsampled locations based on known relationships between the dependant variable and 91 other predictor variables (e.g climate, soil type, land cover). Such approaches are commonly 92 used in wider ecology (sometimes termed environmental-, ecological-, or species- distribution 93 modelling: Elith et al, 2006), and can be used to predict either species or communities at unsampled locations (Chapman and Purse, 2011). These environmental correlational 94 95 approaches have so far been used to predict historical change in soil bacterial biodiversity 96 due to land use at regional scales (Fierer et al, 2013); and also to improve on the interpolated 97 maps of bacterial biodiversity across Great Britain (Griffiths et al, 2011) by modelling the 98 observed relationships between bacterial communities and environmental variables, and then 99 forecasting communities in unsampled locations using remote sensed land cover information

and parent material maps (Henrys et al, 2015). This paper aside there are few studies which have examined in detail the predictive performance of such maps compared to simple interpolation. More widely, large scale spatial predictions of soil parameters are increasingly being disseminated through downloadable map resources (e.g soilgrids.org, ukso.org), and there is now a need to identify specific predictive limitations in order to further improve accuracy (Hengl et al, 2014).

106 Here as part of this special issue reporting results from the EU FP7 EcoFINDERs 107 project coordinated soil sampling campaign, we seek to assess the bacterial communities in 76 soils sampled across Europe in order to produce a soil bacterial map at the European 108 scale, which can be validated against national scale datasets. We predict that soil pH will be 109 the strongest correlate with measures of community biodiversity, which will then allow us to 110 111 predict and spatially interpolate communities based on publicly available European scale point data on soil pH (from the LUCAS survey: Toth et al, 2013). The predictive accuracy of 112 this map will be assessed by comparing predictions with observed point data on bacterial 113 114 communities collected with similar methods from over 1000 soils across Great Britain (Griffiths 115 et al, 2011). We will also explore whether the predictions from this simple interpolated map 116 can be improved upon, by spatially predicting communities based on existing soil pH maps 117 produced using more advanced environmental correlation approaches (from soilgrids org and 118 ukso.org).

119

120 2. Materials and Methods

121 **2.1. Sampling**

Bacterial communities were examined in soils sampled across the EU member states as part of the EcoFINDERs project "transect" sampling campaign, full details of which are prodived elsewhere in this issue (Stone et al, 2015). Briefly, a range of sites spanning a gradient of soil properties (principally pH, organic matter and texture), climatic zones, and 126 land uses (grassland, arable, forest) were targeted for sampling following examination of EU 127 wide datasets (see supplementary material for site locations, S1). Samples were collected at the end of summer 2012 according to standardised protocols to 5cm depth, and sent to a 128 central processing lab for homogenisation and distributing to various partner labs for further 129 analyses. In total eighty-two soils from 11 countries encompassing Arctic to Southern 130 131 Mediterranean climes of which 76 are assessed in this study. Soil chemical determinations were also conducted by a single laboratory to provide measures of volumetric moisture 132 content, pH (in water), texture, and total/organic carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) contents. 133

134 **2.2. DNA extraction and community analyses**

135 Total genomic DNA was extracted from all soil samples using a previously described 136 DNA extraction procedure (Plassart et al., 2012). Briefly, 1g of soil was mixed at 70°C with a extraction buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8), 100 mM EDTA (pH8), 100 mM NaCI, 137 2% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone (40 g mol-1) and 2% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulphate. Proteins 138 139 were precipitated from the supernatant with 1/10 volume of 3 M sodium acetate, before 140 nucleic acid precipitation with isopropanol. DNA was further purified through polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP) Microbiospin minicolumns (BIORAD, Marnes-la-Coquette, 141 France) and finally using the Geneclean Turbo kit (MP-Biomedicals, NY, USA). 142

143 Bacterial communities were examined using TRFLP as described by Griffiths et al (2011) using the forward primer 63F (5'-CAGGCCTAACACATGCAAGTC-3') labelled at the 144 5' end with D4 blue fluorescent dye and reverse primer 530R (5'-GTA TTA CCGCGG CTG 145 CTG-3'). Amplifications were performed in 50 µl reactions under the following conditions: 146 94°C for 90 s, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 3 min, 147 followed by a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. Amplicons were then purified using the ZR-148 96 DNA clean-up kit (Zymo research, Freiburg, Germany), prior to enzymatic digestion. 149 Purified bacterial DNA was digested with Mspl restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs Inc., 150 Ipswich, MA, USA) at 37°C for 3 h. Fragment analysis was performed with a Beckman Coulter 151

152 CEQ 2000XL capillary sequencer (Beckman Coulter Corporation, California, USA). Peak 153 height data were analysed using GeneMarker software (Softgenetics, LLC, PA, USA). 154 Relative abundances were calculated as the ratio between the fluorescence of each terminal 155 restriction fragment (T-RF) and the total integrated fluorescence of all T-RFs.

156 **2.3. Statistical Analyses**

157 A site by taxon (TRF) relative abundance table derived from the TRFLP analyses was used to explore community relationships with environmental variables, and calculate 158 159 community scores (ordination site scores and diversity estimates) using standard routines in the vegan library within the R package (R Core Development Team, 2005). Geostatistical 160 calculations, manipulations and plots were also performed within R using the maptools, gstat, 161 raster, and RColorBrewer libraries. Specifically, to produce the bacterial map we used the 162 163 inverse distance distance weighted (IDW) interpolation method, on account of it's simplicity and widespread application (Lam, 1983). The IDW method predicts a value at an unsampled 164 location based on the weighted average of values at sampled point locations, with weights 165 decreasing linearly with distance from that location. We used the idw function of the R library 166 167 gstat to perform the interpolation, using leave one out cross validation to establish the optimum power parameter value (determining how much the weightings decrease with 168 169 distance) and evaluate the overall performance of the interpolation with respect to predictive power. For both the IDW interpolative mapping, and the prediction contrasts with observed 170 171 data from a national scale dataset, predictive power was evaluated by assessing the coefficient of determination (R2) and root mean square error (RMSE) between observed and 172 173 predicted values.

- 174
- 175

176 3. Results and Discussion

177 **3.1 Continental scale patterns of soil microbial communities**

NMDS ordinations revealed distinct clustering of sampled communities according to 178 179 land use type (Figure 1). This was further confirmed following multivariate permutation tests using the anosim statistic (R = 0.28, P = 0.0001). Pairwise comparisons further revealed that 180 bacterial communities in forest soils were most distinct from to arable and grass communities 181 (R=0.54, and R=0.41 respectively, p<0.0001) with the largest differences in community 182 183 structure consistently observed between forest and arable soils. Arable and grass communities were more similar, yet significant differences were still apparent despite the wide 184 dispersion at the continental scale of sampling units (R=0.08, p<0.05). Bacterial communities 185 were found to differ between countries (R = 0.13, P < 0.01). However, this effect could be 186 predominantly attributed to the Swedish soil communities which were all sampled from forest 187 sites and formed a distinct outgroup in the ordination (Figure 1b). When Swedish samples 188 were excluded country of origin had no significant effect (bacteria R = 0.001, P = 0.46). 189

190 Fitting of environmental variables to the ordination scores also confirmed that microbial communities sampled across Europe were strongly correlated with environmental 191 192 gradients. The dominant five environmental conditions most strongly associated with 193 microbial community structure differences are presented in Table 1. Generally, bacterial 194 community differences were highly correlated with change in soil chemistry and nutrient 195 status, with soil pH showing the strongest relationship, confirming that across large spatial 196 scales the structuring of soil bacterial communities is largely predictable by common soil 197 physicochemical parameters.

These findings further highlight the difficulty in separating direct effects of land use on soil biota versus indirect effects, mediated by changes in soil abiotic properties. It is becoming increasingly apparent that none of these parameters are independent. Human land use is generally influenced by the local pedo-climatic context which determines the economic suitability of different land management options. The baseline pedo-climatic state will naturally create topsoils of distinct properties, which can be further modified by land use depending on 204 the specific intervention. Different land uses are therefore often accompanied by distinct 205 abiotic soil properties and consequently bacterial biodiversity, given the strong relationships between edaphic properties and soil bacterial communities. For instance, Scandinavian 206 207 regions are characterised by cold conditions and acidic soils giving rise to more forest and less arable suitability. This in itself does not mean that soil bacterial communities are 208 209 inherently geographically structured, nor that they are fundamentally driven by the land use of forestry, but is more a reflection of the natural pedo-climatic state which determines both 210 the human land use and the soil biotic and abiotic properties. With respect to contrasts 211 212 between arable and grassland habitats; whilst arable soils are generally defined by a relatively 213 narrower set of soil properties (e.g high pH and low organic matter) it is possible for grasslands to possess similar properties, particularly if the grassland is part of a arable rotation. Such 214 215 historical data is not available in this study and such specific contrasts are better addressed in locally focused long term experimental contrasts. 216

3.2 Predictability and mapping of soil bacterial communities

218 The site scores for bacterial communities were clearly strongly aligned along the first axis of the NMDS ordination which corresponds with a gradient of soil pH. This afforded the 219 opportunity to extrapolate and predict communities over larger spatial scales using wider soil 220 221 pH datasets. Such datasets are available across 23 EU member states from the LUCAS 222 topsoil survey (Toth et al, 2013), which provides data on the percentage of coarse fragments, particle size distribution, pH, organic carbon, carbonate content, phosphorous content, total 223 nitrogen content, extractable potassium content, cation exchange capacity and multispectral 224 properties from approximately 20000 soils. We therefore sought to model the relationships 225 between soil pH and bacterial communities from the present survey, and then predict 226 community NMDS scores for the 20000 data points across the EU of soil pH to enable the 227 production of EU wide maps of predicted soil biodiversity using simple interpolative 228 229 approaches.

The first step was to reliably model relationships between soil pH and the bacterial NMDS scores. Figure 2 shows the relationship observed between soil pH and the first axis bacterial community NMDS scores for the 76 soils assessed in this study. The relationship was visually assessed to be curvilinear and could be modelled with a simple second-degree polynomial ($R^2 = 0.93$) of the equation:

235 bacterial NMDS^{axis1}= -3.748 + 0.9188pH – 0.04954pH²

236 To test the predictive power of the regression equation, we predicted the bacterial community NMDS axis 1 scores from a nationwide survey of over 1000 point measurements 237 conducted across Great Britain using only the measured pH values as predictors 238 239 (countrysidesurvey.org.uk/). Uniquely, this dataset also comprises bacterial TRFLP profiles 240 (Griffiths et al 2011) therefore allowing us to independently test the predictive power of the regression equation on a different dataset. Despite several differences in methodologies (soil 241 sampling depth, DNA extraction, taxonomic binning) the modelled relationships between soil 242 243 pH and bacterial community ordination scores from less than 100 soils across Europe 244 provided a reasonable prediction of the bacterial scores in over 1000 soils across Britain (Figure 3). It is noteworthy that the ordination axis scores are themselves arbitrary, and only 245 denote the (dis)similarity between samples analysed at any one time. This fact makes the 246 strong correlations between the EU wide and national scale datasets all the remarkable, and 247 248 is perhaps testament to the strength and global ubiquity of the relationships between soil pH and bacterial communities, provided a sufficient range of soils are sampled. 249

To map bacterial communities across the EU member states we then predicted the NMDS axis 1 ordination scores for the ~20000 soils sampled in the LUCAS survey based on pH measurements and the equation outlined above. The LUCAS datasets were downloaded subject to agreements from the JRC European Soil Portal (<u>http://eusoils.jrc.ec.europa.eu/</u>) and a map showing the sampled locations is provided in the supplementary material (S2). Predicted community scores were then mapped using inverse distance weighting interpolation. We firstly compared interpolative performance using different integer powers parameters (1-5), and the accuracy of predictions assessed by comparing the deviation from the measured data using a leave one out cross-validation procedure. The best performing interpolated map is shown in Figure 4. This was made using an IDW power parameter of 2 which yielded the lowest root mean square error (RMSE) for predicting bacterial NMDS1 scores (0.28), together with the highest precision with respect to the relationship between observed and predicted values (R^{2} =0.58).

3.3 Features of the map

264 The map reveals the broad types of bacterial communities found across Europe based 265 on the strong relationships between soil bacterial biodiversity and soil pH. The low (negative) 266 NMDS axis 1 scores reflect communities found in areas such as Scandinavia where acidic 267 and organic rich soils develop due to climatic factors; whereas high values indicate 268 communities found in more productive Southern circum-neutral pH soils, typically with lower 269 organic matter. Areas of contrasting local variability can also be seen in certain regions, where 270 geological factors such as differences in underlying parent material or topography cause local 271 change in communities.

272 To taxonomically interpret the features of the map we must firstly consider the "meaning" of the first axis ordination scores. The axis 1 ordination scores summarise 273 differences in the broad taxonomic composition and relative abundance of taxa between 274 samples. Additionally, in this study, the scores correlated positively with indices of diversity 275 (Figure 5), with lower scores reflecting low taxonomic diversity. The specific change in 276 abundance of different bacterial taxa across soil pH/diversity gradients has been well studied 277 using sequencing (e.g see Lauber et al 2009; Rousk et al 2010; Griffiths et al, 2011) and can 278 279 also be inferred to some extent from TRFLP analyses (some illustrative responses of dominant TRFLP peaks are shown the supplementary material, S3). To summarise these 280 responses briefly, acidic and organic rich soils are notably dominated by distinct lineages of 281

acidophilic acidobacteria, as well as alphaproteobacterial taxa. As pH increases over soil
environmental gradients, the alphaproteobacteria become dominant, followed by other broad
taxonomic groups such as other proteobacteria and the actinobacteria as pH nears neutrality.

285 Neutral soils typically comprise a wider variety of different bacterial taxonomic groups of higher evenness (Griffiths et al, 2011); a phenomena which is at odds with the notion that 286 agricultural soils (often neutral pH) are depauperate with respect to biodiversity (Spurgeon et 287 al, 2013). Potential explanations for higher soil bacterial biodiversity in agricultural soils could 288 289 be: i) more bacterial taxa exist at neutral pH, due to the requirements of intracellular pH homeostasis (Booth, 1985); ii) soil physical properties in mineral agricultural soils provide 290 more microhabitats promoting evenness (e.g spatial isolation theories, Zhou et al, 2002); and 291 (iii) mineral agricultural soils have less active populations meaning a high diversity of 292 293 sensescent cells, or even extracellular DNA are being detected. We note also that several 294 studies have reported increased dominance of certain lineages, despite higher phylogenetic diversity, in neutral soils resulting in declines in indices of diversity at higher pH (e.g Fierer et 295 296 al, 2006). This is apparent to some extent in certain arable and grassland soils in Figure 5 297 which appear to have a marked dominance of alphaproteobacterial TRF peaks, though the 298 underlying causes of this have yet to be fully elucidated. Given the importance of these soils 299 for agricultural production together with recent concerns over soil and food security, the 300 specific controls of neutral-soil taxon abundances, and functional consequences of alterations 301 in abundance, represents a key current knowledge gap.

302

303 3.4 Map validation and contrasts with other mapping approaches

In order to assess the accuracy of the EU bacterial map wide we contrasted the spatial predictions with observed national scale data from the British Survey. The interpolated map was firstly converted to a raster, and then the predicted NMDS1 scores extracted using the sample locations of the British dataset, prior to correlation with the observed scores (figure 308 6a). Despite a lack of a good linear fit and a tendency for the spatial predictions to cluster 309 near the overall mean, the interpolation performed reasonably well at predicting the NMDS community scores across Britain (RMSE=0.41, R^2 = 0.29). Despite a lack of strong correlative 310 relationships for lower pH communities, there was evidence that higher scoring (higher pH) 311 community scores could be predicted to some extent. This map of bacterial biodiversity 312 313 therefore gives a very broad overview of the extreme types of communities likely to be found in different geographic locations across Europe, but for Britain it is of limited use in spatially 314 predicting more subtle differences in communities. Its predictive power is limited by its reliance 315 316 on the locations of the sampled LUCAS topsoil data points, the design of which has an 317 inherent bias towards agricultural lands (Toth et al 2013). Few samples were taken from large 318 areas of Scottish uplands in the LUCAS survey which may be explain the poor relationships 319 between predicted and observed community scores across Britain. The lack of comparable national scale "test" datasets comprising bacterial data, means we are unable to assess the 320 321 predictive accuracy of the map for other countries.

322 To assess whether the predictive accuracy could be enhanced by drawing on more 323 advanced geostatistical predictions of soil pH, we next applied the pH-biodiversity transfer 324 function to two existing soil pH maps: a recently published predictive map at the global scale (SoilGrids: soilgrids.org, Hengl et al 2014) and freely available maps of soil pH at the national 325 326 scale from Britain (Countryside Survey data from ukso.org). Both these maps were 327 constructed using geostatistical models applied to surveyed pH data to predict unknown 328 values using wider landscape level datasets, using information such as land cover, parent 329 material, climate etc. Maps were downloaded and rasterized where necessary, prior to extracting of pH values based on the GB survey coordinates. 330

Using the detailed 1km resolution SoilGrids soil pH map offered some small improvements in predicting the national scale bacterial data (RMSE=0.39, $R^2 = 0.36$) particularly with respect to the acidic habitat scores (Figure 6b). However the predictions

again were focused around the mean, and extreme scores were not particularly well 334 335 estimated. It was notable that in inspecting the range of soil pH values predicted across the UK and comparing with known UK level data that the extreme values were particularly 336 underestimated in the SoilGrids predictions (e.g predictions of pH 4 or pH 8 soils were over 337 or underestimated respectively). Possible explanations could be related to i) difference in pH 338 339 determination between the Countryside survey and EU wide LUCAS datasets; ii) undersampling of certain habitats at the EU scale; and iii) geo-statistical artefacts. It is 340 impossible to entirely discount (i) as comparable samples are not available from both surveys, 341 but a cursory inspection of the range of pH values for both datasets indicated there were no 342 343 systemic differences in the range of pH measurements. With respect to ii) as already discussed, part of the reason for the poor fit on the negative side of the interpolated map is 344 the lack of upland areas sampled in the Lucas survey - meaning the predicted pH for under 345 sampled upland areas such as in Scotland would be higher than in reality. Whilst the SoilGrids 346 347 predictions utilise global soil pH data in the model, the LUCAS dataset is also a large contributory dataset which could have a significant influence on the predictions. Finally with 348 349 respect to geostatistical artefacts (iii), the predictive maps of pH provide a mean prediction based on a global model, which will always under or overestimate the higher or lower 350 351 extremities of the pH range respectively. This could explain why the full range of NMDS1 scores was not adequately reflected in the model predictions. 352

The 1km resolution national scale pH map performed considerably better in predicting the range of community scores across the Britain (Figure 6c), with better coverage of the extreme ends of the scale, and a much better fit overall (RMSE=0.31, R^2 =0.60). However the entire gradient of scores was not well reflected, since here the predictive map was calculated based on modelled relationships between soil pH and categorical variables denoting land cover, along with continuous variables related to parent material. Therefore only a limited number of predicted pH categories are available in this map, constrained by the number of 360 land cover classes. Again we observed larger predictive error for lower pH soil communities, 361 which could relate to potentially weaker relationships between pH and available land cover classes in these habitats, or less influence of parent material in these generally more organic 362 soils. Another possible reason for the larger relative error for lower scoring communities form 363 acidic habitats could relate to landscape patchiness and the resolution of the maps. For 364 365 instance, intensively managed parcels of land in the Britain are likely to comprise areas of 366 greater than 1km² which is the scale of the UKSO pH map. Human intensified landscapes will typically be more homogenous and of approximately neutral soil pH to favour plant production 367 (either "naturally" or agriculturally driven). This enhances the probability that a mean value 368 369 per km² will reflect a pH measurement at any given point within that square. Conversely, 370 marginal 1km² land patches unfavourable for intensive agriculture will have a greater variety of habitats and so the predictive accuracy with respect to point measures is likely to be 371 reduced. 372

373

374 Conclusions

This study characterised bacterial biodiversity and explored environmental correlates 375 376 in a range of soils sampled across continental Europe. In agreement with previous global 377 studies land use, climate and soil abiotic properties were strongly associated with changes in 378 bacterial communities, with soil pH being the best single correlate. Ultimately these findings point to the general conclusion that broad characteristics of soil bacterial communities can be 379 380 considered as a dependent soil state variable related to other soil properties (and to some 381 extent human land use); which are ultimately controlled by the independent soil forming factors of climate, relief, parent material, and time (Jenny, 1941). These relationships 382 therefore allow the global prediction of soil bacterial community features over large scales, 383 384 and we present the first attempt to map bacterial communities across Europe along with a detailed evaluation of the predictions against observed data from national scale surveys of 385

one of the EU member states. The map performed adequately in predicting community characteristics (ordination axis scores) albeit for opposing ends of the soil biotic/abiotic gradient, and we further demonstrate how the map can be improved by making use of available predictive maps of soil pH, previously calculated using correlations with georeferenced data on wider soil forming factors. In doing so we highlight the current limitations in soil property maps at different geographic scales, with national scale predictions outperforming global scale maps.

393 To avoid misinterpretation there are some notable caveats which we must stress with respect to the findings of this study and other large surveys of bacterial taxa utilising 16S 394 rRNA amplicon approaches. Firstly when conducting such large scale studies, one 395 necessarily focusses on broad patterns and, particularly in this study using a community 396 397 profiling technique, broad taxonomic resolution. We therefore do not propose that the map in any way represents similarities between soils in terms of clonal or even species level 398 composition, which may be more governed by local ecological or evolutionary processes (Cho 399 400 and Tiedje, 2000). Additionally, it is be stressed that soil pH is not the sole driver of differences 401 in bacterial communities, nor should any form of causation be inferred. For instance the role 402 of plant inputs can also affect the relative abundances of taxa over relatively short timescales, 403 with potentially important functional consequences for processes such as carbon cycling 404 (Thomson et al, 2013).

Ultimately these taxonomic limitations will be overcome with wider global adoption of sequencing approaches in soil monitoring networks which will likely enable environmentally driven predictive models of individual taxon abundances (Fierer et al, 2013), rather than using multivariate community estimates and relationships with well characterised soil biotic variables. Whilst field-scale resolution was not the purpose of this mapping exercise, we feel this should be a future ambition of global efforts to characterise soil biodiversity. Our study highlights the benefits of using advances geostatistical approaches for soil biodiversity 412 mapping using high resolution remote sensed data. It is possible that similar approaches can 413 be applied to other elements of soil biodiversity, including eukaryotes, given enhanced understanding of controlling environmental parameters. Such knowledge can be gained both 414 by efforts to harmonise existing soil biodiversity datasets, but also by increased eukaryotic 415 sampling in national surveys - a realistic possibility now with the availability of rapid molecular 416 417 tools for eukaryotes (e.g Ramirez et al, 2014). Finally to conclude, our map identifies that predictions are only as good as the surveyed "real" data used to build models. Predictive 418 accuracy will vary depending on the scale of the surveyed data (model inputs) and the spatial 419 420 extent of the area we seek to predict. Global predictions at high spatial accuracy should 421 therefore be the ultimate goal, which requires increased efforts to standardise and conduct 422 soil biotic and abiotic surveillance at global scales. These advances will be facilitated by better spatial integration of distributed datasets (e.g. global harmonisation of localised climate, 423 geological, remote sensed land cover, and soil datasets) and continued development and 424 425 validation of mapping predictions against local surveyed data.

426 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the European Commission within the EcoFINDERS project (FP7-264465). The authors are grateful to Tiffanie Régnier for technical assistance; and we extend our gratitude to the two anonymous reviewers whose valuable insights improved the manuscript considerably.

431

432 **References**

Bivand, R.S., Pebesma, E.J., and Gómez-Rubio, V. 2008. Applied Spatial Data Analysis with
R. Springer, ISBN: 978-0-387-78170-9

435 I.R. Booth. 1985. Regulation of cytoplasmic pH in bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 49: 359–378

Bru, D., Ramette, A., Saby, N. P. A., Dequiedt, S., Ranjard, L., Jolivet, C., Arrouays. D.,
Philippot, L. (2011). Determinants of the distribution of nitrogen-cycling microbial communities
at the landscape scale. ISME J. 5: 532–542.

Chapman, D. S., Purse, B. V. 2011. Community versus single-species distribution models for
British plants. J. Biogeog. 38: 1524–1535.

- Cho, J.C., Tiedje, J.M. 2000 Biogeography and degree of endemicity of fluorescent
 Pseudomonas strains in soil. Appl Environ Microbiol. 66: 5448-56.
- 443 Comerford, N.B., Franzluebbers, A.J., Stromberger, M.E., Morris, L., Markewitz, D., Moore,

444 R. 2013. Assessment and Evaluation of Soil Ecosystem Services. Soil Horizons, 54

- Dequiedt, S., Saby, N.P.A., Lelievre, M., Jolivet, C., Thioulouse, J., et al. 2011.
 Biogeographical patterns of soil molecular microbial biomass as influenced by soil
 characteristics and management. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 20: 641–652.
- 448 Dequiedt, S., Thioulouse, J., Jolivet, C., Saby, N. P.A., Lelievre, M., Maron, P.-A., Martin, M.

449 P., Prévost-Bouré, N. C., Toutain, B., Arrouays, D., Lemanceau, P. and Ranjard, L. (2009),

450 Biogeographical patterns of soil bacterial communities. Env. Microbiol. Rep., 1: 251–255.

- 451 Elith, J., Graham, C.H., Anderson, R.P. et al. 2006. Novel methods improve prediction of 452 species' distributions from occurrence data. Ecography, 29, 129–151.
- 453 Elton, C.S. 1927. Animal Ecology. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- Fierer N., Jackson, R.B. 2006. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities.
 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 626–631.
- 456 Fierer, N., Leff, J.W., Adams, B.J., Nielsen, U.N., Bates, S.T., Lauber, C.L., Owens, S.,
- 457 Gilbert, J.A., Wall, D.H. and Caporaso, J.G. 2012. Cross-biome metagenomic analyses of soil
- 458 microbial communities and their functional attributes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109:
- 459 21390 21395

- Fierer, N., J. Ladau, J.C. Clemente, J.W. Leff, S.M. Owens, K.S. Pollard, R. Knight, J.A.
 Gilbert, R.L. McCulley. 2013. Reconstructing the Microbial Diversity and Function of
 PreAgricultural Tallgrass Prairie Soils in the United States. Science, 342: 621-624.
- Griffiths, R. I., Thomson, B. C., James, P., Bell, T., Bailey, M.J., Whiteley. A. S. 2011. The
 bacterial biogeography of British soils. Env. Microbiol. 13:1642–1654.
- 465 Hengl, T., de Jesus J.M., MacMillan, R.A., Batjes, N.H., Heuvelink, G.B.M., et al. 2014.
- 466 SoilGrids1km Global Soil Information Based on Automated Mapping. PLoS ONE 9(8):
- 467 e105992. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105992
- Henrys, P., Bee, E., Watkins, J., Smith, N., Griffiths, R.I. 2015. Mapping Natural Capital:
 Optimising the use of national scale datasets. Ecography 38: 632-638
- 470 Hirsch, P.R., Mauchline, T.H. & Clark, I.M. 2010. Culture-independent molecular techniques
 471 for soil microbial ecology. Soil Biol. Biochem., 42, 878-887.
- Janssen PH, Yates PS, Grinton BE, Taylor PM, Sait M. 2002. Improved Culturability of Soil
 Bacteria and Isolation in Pure Culture of Novel Members of the Divisions Acidobacteria,
- 474 Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia. Appl Environ Microbiol. 68: 2391-2396.
- 475 Jenny, H. Factors of soil formation: a system of quantitative pedology. New York : McGraw-
- 476 Hill, 1941. ISBN 0-486-68128-9
- Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., Fierer, N. 2009. Pyrosequencing-Based Assessment
 of Soil pH as a Predictor of Soil Bacterial Community Structure at the Continental Scale. Appl
 Environ Microbiol 75: 5111–5120.
- 480 Lam, N.S. 1983. Spatial Interpolation Methods: A Review. The American Cartographer481 10:129-50
- 482 R Core Team (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 483 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>http://www.R-project.org/</u>.

- Ramirez, K.S., Leff, J.W., Barberán, A., Bates, S.T., Betley, J., Crowther, T.W., Kelly, E.F., et
 al. Biogeographic patterns in below-ground diversity in New York City's Central Park are
 similar to those observed globally. Proc. Roy. Soc. B. 2014;281:20141988.
- Rousk, J., Bååth, E., Brookes, P.C., Lauber, C.L., Lozupone, C., Caporaso, J.G., Knight, R.,
- Fierer, N. 2010. Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil.
 ISME J. 4:1340-51
- Spurgeon, D.J., Keith, A.M., Schmidt, O., Lammertsma, D.R., Faber, J.H. 2013. Land-use
 and land-management change: relationships with earthworm and fungi communities and soil
 structural properties. BMC Ecol 13:46. doi:10.1186/1472-6785-13-46
- Stone, D., Blomkvist, P., Bohse Hendriksen, N., Bonkowski, M., Bracht Jørgensen, H.,
 Carvalho, F., Dunbar, M.B., Gardi, C., Geisen, S., Griffiths, R., Hug, A.S., Jensen, J., Mendes,
 S., Morais, P.V., Plassart, P., Römbke, J., Rutgers, M., Schmelz, R. M., Sousa, 527 J.P.,
 Suhadolc, M., Winding, A., Creamer, R.E. 2015. Establishing a Transect for Biodiversity and
 Ecosystem Function Monitoring Across Europe. App Soil Ecology (this issue)
- 498 Thomson B. C., Ostle N. J., McNamara N. P., Oakley S., Whiteley A. S., Bailey M. J., Griffiths,
- R.I. 2013. Plant soil interactions alter carbon cycling in an upland grassland soil. Front.
 Microbiol. 4:253. doi : 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00253
- 501 Tóth, G., Jones, A., Montanarella, L. (eds.) 2013. LUCAS Topsoil Survey. Methodology, data
- and results. JRC Technical Reports. Luxembourg. Publications Office of the European Union,
- 503 EUR26102 Scientific and Technical Research series ISSN 1831-9424 (online); ISBN 978-
- 504 92-79-32542-7; doi: 10.2788/97922
- 505 Urich T., Lanzén A., Qi J, Huson D.H., Schleper C., Schuster S.C. 2008. Simultaneous
 506 Assessment of Soil Microbial Community Structure and Function through Analysis of the
 507 Meta-Transcriptome. PLoS ONE 3(6): e2527. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002527

508	Zhou, J., Xia, B., Treves, D. S., Wu, LY., Marsh, T. L., O'Neill, R. V., Palumbo, A.V., Tiedje,
509	J. M. 2002. Spatial and Resource Factors Influencing High Microbial Diversity in Soil. App.
510	Env. Microbiol. 68: 326–334.
511	
512	
513	
514	
515	
516	
517	
518	
519	
520	
521	

Soil Property	r ²	р	
рН	0.9054	0.001	***
Clay (%)	0.4173	0.001	***
organic C_N_ratio	0.3596	0.001	***
Bulk density (g/cm3)	0.3501	0.001	***
moisture (ml/g)	0.2681	0.001	***
Organic C (%)	0.2624	0.001	***
WHC (ml/g)	0.2521	0.001	***
C (%)	0.2316	0.001	***
Total C_N ratio	0.1961	0.003	**
Silt (%)	0.1372	0.004	**
N (%)	0.1255	0.009	**
Sand (%)	0.0405	0.202	

Table 1. Relationships between soil microbial community ordination axis scores and soil physicochemical properties. Correlations between the NMDS ordination and environmental variables are denoted by r^2 values. Significance (*p*) was determined by 999 permutations.

Figure 1. NMDS ordination of soil bacterial communities sampled across Europe. Soil pH was found to be the best linear fit to the NMDS ordination scores, and is identified in the plots by a colour gradient denoting the pH for each sample. Centroids are also shown representing the mean score per land use type.

Figure 2. Relationship between soil pH and bacterial community NMDS first axis ordination scores from the 76 sampled soils. The second-degree polynomial fit is also displayed together with 95% prediction intervals.

Figure 3. Using the pH-NMDS1 model determined from 76 soils across Europe to predict NMDS1 scores from >1000 soil pH measurements across Britain. The value for the predictions is shown on the y axis, whereas the x axis denotes the actual observed bacterial community scores from the study of Griffiths et al, 2011. The line shows the fitted least squares regression between the observed and predicted values ($R^2 = 0.8$).

Figure 4. Interpolated map showing predicted bacterial community ordination scores across EU member states. Colour scale indicates predicted first axis NMDS scores, with negative

scores indicating acidic soils (bogs, acid grassland, upland woods etc) and positive scores indicating communities from more neutral pH soils (productive grassland, arable etc)

Figure 5. Relationship between NMDS first axis scores for bacterial communities and univariate indices of diversity (line denotes a loess fit). Increases in NMDS scores are generally indicative of an increase in bacterial diversity.

Figure 6. Validating spatially mapped predictions of bacterial NMDS scores against national scale survey data for Britain. In all plots the observed data are the actual community scores reported from over 1000 soils sampled across Great Britain (Griffiths et al 2011). Predicted community scores are based on the modelled relationships between bacterial communities and pH from the EcoFINDERs transect sampling fitted to different spatial estimates of soil pH: a) spatial interpolation of community scores predicted from EU wide point data on soil pH (this study); b) geostatistical predictions of topsoil pH values at the global scale (soilgrids.org, Hengl et al, 2014); c) geostatistical predictions of topsoil pH values at the national scale (ukso.org, and see Henrys et al; 2014). Solid red lines show the fitted least squares regression between the observed and predicted values (with associated R^2 displayed in the top right of each plot); and dashed lines display loess fits to illustrate deviations from the linear fit.

Figure 1. NMDS ordination of soil bacterial communities sampled across Europe.



Figure 2. Relationship between soil pH and bacterial community NMDS first axis ordination scores from the 77 sampled soils.



Figure 3. Using the pH-NMDS1 model determined from 77 soils across Europe to predict NMDS1 scores from >1000 soil pH measurements across Britain.



Figure 4. Interpolated map showing predicted bacterial community ordination scores across EU member states.



Figure 5. Relationship between NMDS first axis scores for bacterial communities and univariate indices of diversity



Figure 6. Validating the spatially mapped predictions of NMDS scores against national scale survey data for Britain.

