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Abstract

Objective: This study examined potential similarities and differences between women with eating disorders (EDs) in midlife and their younger counterparts.

Method: Seven hundred and three women assessed by a specialist eating disorder service were divided into 3 groups based on age (18 – 25, 25 – 40, and 40+) and compared on a number of clinical and demographic measures. Distribution of ED diagnoses was also examined between groups.

Results: Midlife women were less likely to receive a diagnosis of anorexia nervosa and more likely to receive a diagnosis of binge-eating disorder than their younger counterparts. Duration of illness was longer and age of ED onset later in the midlife group but no differences were seen on measures of global ED pathology, psychosocial impairment, or psychological distress.

Discussion: This study adds to the developing literature concerning EDs in midlife women, although further work is needed to support the findings presented here and to examine profiles of males presenting to treatment centres.
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Introduction

Incidence of eating disorders (EDs) is commonly associated with younger women, perhaps due to the relatively early typical age of onset and the gender disparity frequently seen (e.g., Fairburn & Harrison, 2003). However, studies of women in midlife (a commonly used range is 35 – 55y, although definitions often vary) suggest that around 5% meet diagnostic criteria for an ED, with greater numbers falling within the spectrum of eating disturbance (Mangweth-Matzek et al., 2014; see also Larrañaga, Docet, & García-Mayor, 2012; McGuinness & Taylor, 2016; Marcus et al., 2007; Slof-Op’t Landt et al., 2017). Distinct symptoms, such as binge eating and fear of weight gain, have been found to occur in more than 10% of midlife women (e.g., Fairweather-Schmidt, Lee, & Wade, 2015; de Freitas et al., 2008; Marcus et al., 2007) and a number of studies suggest that admission rates of midlife women to ED units have increased markedly in recent decades (e.g., Ackard et al., 2013; Cumella & Kally, 2008).

Given these concerning statistics, alongside findings that older age is associated with poorer outcome (e.g., Ackard et al., 2014; Marcus et al., 2007), it is perhaps surprising that there exists little information on the characteristics of midlife women presenting for outpatient ED treatment. Elran-Barak et al. (2015) looked at individuals seeking treatment at one of four sites in the USA, finding that prevalence of bulimia nervosa (BN) was lower in midlife individuals (age ≥40 years) than a younger sample (18 – 25 years of age), but that binge-eating disorder (BED) and atypical EDs were more common. Prevalence of anorexia nervosa (AN) did not differ between groups. This study provided important information on diagnostic prevalence, but only reported comparisons between younger and older women within diagnostic groups. Therefore, more information is needed concerning whether
midlife women differ from younger groups in degree of ED pathology, for example. Elran-Barak et al. also highlighted the need for more research investigating age of onset and psychological comorbidity, which may have implications for treatment design and provision.

Looking specifically at inpatient admissions, contrasting findings were presented by Ackard et al. (2013, Study 1) who found that midlife patients (40 years or older) were more likely to present with BN than their younger counterparts (18 – 39y). The same authors also reported on a sample of 164 women presenting for any level of treatment (Study 2), finding few differences between the two groups in terms of self-esteem, depression, anxiety, and eating pathology. However, Ackard and colleagues did find that age of onset was higher in midlife compared to a younger group (21.4y vs. 17.0y) and that duration of illness was also different (26.5y vs. 8.1y) (see also Forman & Davis, 2005). It would be expected, though, that duration of illness would typically be longer in midlife samples seeking treatment as, irrespective of age at onset, midlife women have lived longer than their younger counterparts.

It seems, then, that data on whether diagnostic prevalence differs between midlife and younger samples is limited, and at times conflicting. Studies on midlife women, generally considered as those aged over 40 years old (Elran-Barak et al., 2015), have tended to focus on more broadly-defined eating pathology (Slevec & Tiggemann, 2011), with many concerned only with community samples or those presenting for residential treatment. Some data suggest that midlife women report fewer concerns about body shape compared to younger patients but may experience poorer quality of life, and higher levels of psychological distress and interpersonal problems (e.g., Ackard et al., 2014). Other equivocal data concern age of onset, with some studies finding older age of onset (and longer duration of illness) in midlife
samples. This contrasts with data suggesting that age of onset in some EDs is
decreasing (e.g., Favaro et al., 2009) and might suggest that there is a subgroup of
older individuals who develop an ED later in life (i.e., late onset; see Gupta, 1990;
Bueno et al., 2014). Such ‘late onset’ EDs have been variously defined as over 40
years old (Kally & Cumella, 2008) or over 25 years old (Bueno et al., 2014),
reflecting the view that age of onset is notoriously “difficult to determine with any
precision in most cases” (Arcelus, Mitchell, & Wales, 2011, p. 729).

Given the small number of studies, it is perhaps unsurprising that some
contradictions have arisen. Differences between the studies of Ackard et al. (2013)
and Elran-Barak et al. (2015) may have been due to how age groups were split –
Ackard et al. compared adults over 40 with those under 40 whereas Elran-Barak et
al. looked at three groups (18 – 25, 26 – 39, and 40+), which may lead to
contradictions in interpretation, particularly if those aged 18 – 25 present differently
to older groups. Furthermore, the upper age was different between the studies and
nearly half of those presenting for treatment in the study of Ackard et al. received
inpatient treatment, which is unlikely to be representative of many treatment
programs in the UK at least (e.g., Robinson, 1993).

The lack of information on midlife women led one group of authors to
conclude recently that “our understanding of the needs of [middle-aged] women
seeking treatment is in its nascence” (Ackard et al., 2013, p. 177). The current study
aims to look in detail at individuals in midlife who attend for specialist treatment of an
ED. It will present data on the distribution of different ED diagnoses and will
compare midlife women with younger women on a number of clinical and
demographic measures. Given conflicting methods in existing empirical work, the
study will compare three age groups (i.e., 18 – 25, 25 – 40, 40+), using 40 years of
age as a common definition of midlife (e.g., Elran-Barak et al., 2015; Kally & Cumella, 2008). The method of dividing the sample into three separate groups was chosen in order to include the full age spectrum, whilst being able to look at differences between clearly-defined age groups that have been previously studied (cf., Elran-Barak et al., 2015). We were also interested in whether age differences existed between those who did and did not attend their initial assessment as this has important implications for accessing treatment. Given limited findings to-date, we hypothesised that BED would be more common in the midlife group. In line with others (Mangweth-Matzek et al., 2014) our clinical experience suggests that midlife women present with similar levels of symptoms and impairment to younger samples, and were interested to see whether this was substantiated in a large, treatment-seeking sample.

Methods

Participants

Participants were referred to an outpatient eating disorders service based in the UK. This service offers specialist, evidence-based treatment to adults with an ED, including guided self-help, cognitive behaviour therapy, and interpersonal psychotherapy. Some individuals referred to the service will subsequently be referred for more intensive treatment (e.g., inpatient care). Between April 2014 and April 2017, 896 outpatient referrals were received, the majority of which came from primary care (n = 641; 74.8%). In line with the study’s primary aims, for remaining analyses only those who attended for assessment were included. Men (n = 47; 6.3%) were also excluded due to relatively small numbers. Participants were subsequently stratified by age into 3 groups: 18 to 25 years (54.3%; n = 382), 25 to
40 years (28.4%, n = 200) and 40 years and above (17.2%, n = 121). The study was approved by the local NHS Quality and Audit Team.

**Procedures**

Individuals met with a qualified clinician (e.g., nurse specialist, psychologist, psychiatrist) who established diagnosis following a semi-structured interview according to criteria for feeding and eating disorders (DSM-5; APA, 2013). Twenty-nine of those who attended assessment (4.1%) did not meet criteria for an ED. Additional information collected included age and self-reported age at onset of ED (although the procedure for identifying the latter was not standardised); duration of illness was calculated as the difference. Of 611 individuals who provided this information, 317 (51.9%) reported having received previous treatment. Weight and height were measured with calibrated scales, used to calculate body mass index (BMI; kg/m²). In advance of this appointment, each individual was sent a self-report questionnaire pack which they were invited to complete although not all individuals did so.

The Eating Disorder Examination – Questionnaire (EDE-Q; Fairburn & Beglin, 1994) is a 36-item measure assessing behavioural and cognitive symptoms of eating pathology experienced in the last 28 days. The EDE-Q produces a number of behavioural indices (e.g., objective binge eating, self-induced vomiting) as well as four subscales (Restraint, Eating Concern, Shape Concern, Weight Concern). Subscales are rated on a six-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicative of greater symptomatology. A Global score can be calculated from the cognitive items, which provides a general index of ED pathology. Due to strong correlation ($r = 0.88$) between the Shape Concern and Weight Concern subscales, a combined
‘Weight/Shape Concern’ subscale was derived from the mean of all 12 items (e.g., see Berg, Peterson, Frazier, & Crow, 2012). Cronbach’s α in the current sample were as follows: Restraint, 0.82; Eating Concern, 0.77; and Weight/Shape Concern, 0.92.

The Clinical Impairment Assessment questionnaire (CIA; Bohn & Fairburn, 2008) assesses severity of psychosocial impairment attributable to ED symptoms experienced over the last 28 days. The measure has shown good psychometric properties in comparable samples (Jenkins, 2013), and the 16 items are rated on a 0–3 scale, with higher scores indicating greater levels of impairment. A cut-off of 16 has been suggested to predict ED case status (Bohn et al., 2008). Cronbach’s α was 0.92.

The Clinical Outcomes in Routine Evaluation-Outcome Measure (CORE-OM; Barkham et al., 2001) is a 34-item scale, which provides a measure of general psychological distress experienced over the previous 7 days. Items are scored from 0 to 4 and provide a total score, calculated as the mean of all items multiplied by 10 (see Connell et al., 2007). A cut-off of 10 is recommended to indicate clinical significance and its utility in the study of individuals with EDs has been established (Jenkins & Turner, 2014). Cronbach’s α was 0.95.

**Statistical Analyses**

χ² tests were used to examine differences among groups on categorical variables (e.g., diagnoses, assessment attendance) and continuous data (e.g., age of onset, EDE-Q scores) were analysed with Kruskal-Wallis or Mann-Whitney procedures due to non-normal distribution of data. Significant differences were explored using post
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hoch Mann-Whitney U tests. Significance level was set at p < .05 and all tests were
conducted using SPSS Version 22.

Results

Attendance at First Assessment

There were no significant differences between those who attended their initial
assessment (n = 750) and those who did not (n = 146) in terms of age (mean (SD) =
28.2y (11.1y) vs. 28.6y (11.5y), U = 52540.500, z = -.774, p = .439) or gender
(93.7% female vs. 89.7% female, χ² (1) = 3.047, p = .081).

Diagnostic Differences

As summarised in Table 1, those aged 18 – 25 were more likely to be diagnosed
with AN than other groups (χ² (1) = 8.92, p = .003). Those aged 25 – 40 were
equally likely as other groups to be diagnosed with AN (χ² (1) = 0.24, p = .63), and
midlife women were less likely to receive an AN diagnosis (χ² (1) = 11.28, p = .001).
There were no group differences regarding proportions of BN (χ² (2) =1.284, p =
.53). Those aged 18 – 25 were less likely to be diagnosed with BED than other
groups (χ² (1) = 13.67, p = .001). Those aged 25 – 40 were equally likely to be
diagnosed with BED (χ² (1) =0.02, p = .90) as other age groups. Midlife women
were more likely to be diagnosed with BED (χ² (1) = 22.34, p = <.001). There were
no group differences regarding proportion of ‘other’ ED diagnoses (χ² (2) =1.451, p =
.48).

INSERT TABLE 1
Previous Treatment

Results found no differences between age groups regarding receipt of previous treatment ($\chi^2 (2) = 1.69, p = .431$); see Table 2.

Markers of Disordered Eating

As shown in Table 2, BMI differed across groups ($H(2) = 17.48, p < .001$), with post hoc analyses finding that the youngest group (18 – 25y) was lower than both other groups, which were statistically equivalent. Duration of illness differed across groups ($H(2) = 207.76, p < .001$), with post hoc analyses finding that all groups differed from each other. Scores on the Global scale of the EDE-Q were equivalent ($H(2) = 3.89, p = 0.14$). Weight and Shape Concerns differed across groups ($H(2) = 7.68, p = 0.021$), and Mann-Whitney tests found that the youngest group (18 – 25y) had lower scores than the other two groups, which were statistically equivalent.

Psychological Distress and Psychosocial Impairment

Scores on the CORE-OM ($H(2) = 3.20, p = 0.202$) and CIA ($H(2) = 2.47, p = 0.292$) were equivalent across groups. Degree of distress and impairment was high within the sample, with 498 of 559 (89.1%) individuals scoring above 10 on the CORE-OM, and 501 of 560 (89.5%) scoring above 16 on the CIA.

Age of Onset

Age of onset was different across groups ($H(2) = 10.32, p = .006$), with the youngest group (18 – 25y) reporting a younger age of onset than the other two groups, which
were statistically equivalent. Of 307 individuals for whom data were available, only 2
(0.7%) were documented as having an ED onset over 40 years of age and 20 (6.5%)
over the age of 25. Statistical comparisons between groups were therefore not
performed. However, for those with an onset over 25, seven (35.0%) had AN, four
(20.0%) BN, and the remainder ‘other EDs’ (n = 9; 45.0%). There were no
individuals with BED with a reported onset after 25 years of age.

Discussion
The current study aimed to describe the characteristics of women in midlife who
present for treatment of EDs. Overall, slightly fewer than 20% of individuals
assessed for treatment were aged over 40 and, of these, just over one-third
presented with atypical or ‘other’ eating disorders.

Comparison with existing studies suggests that the proportion of atypical EDs is
similar, at around 30 – 40% (see Ackard et al., 2013; Elran-Barak et al., 2015), but
proportions of other diagnoses were more variable. For example, similar numbers of
BN were observed between the current study (20.7%) and that of Ackard et al.
(2013) (16.7%), with slightly higher rates (29.9%) reported by Elran-Barak et al.
(2015). Regarding BED, the results presented here concur with those of Elran-Barak
et al. in suggesting that women in midlife are more likely to be diagnosed with BED
than younger individuals. Findings regarding AN are more equivocal, partly
confounded by the fact that many studies have included inpatient samples, which are
likely to report a higher proportion of individuals with AN (e.g., Cumella & Kally,
2008). However, the finding reported here that women in midlife are less likely to be
diagnosed with AN has been reported previously (Ackard et al., 2014; cf. Elran-
Barak et al., 2015).
Looking at ED symptoms, results suggest that midlife women present perhaps as more similar than they are different compared to younger groups (see also Perez, Hernandez, Clarke, & Joiner, 2007). Although levels of ED pathology, as measured by the Global scale of the EDE-Q, were similar, the youngest group (18 – 25y) reported lower weight and shape concerns than the older groups, which were equivalent, a finding similar to that of Tiggemann and Stevens (1999) in a sample of 180 women aged between 18 – 60. Similar patterns were also evident in BMI and age of onset. The finding that duration of illness is longer (e.g., Cumella & Kally, 2008; Forman & Davis, 2005) was supported, suggesting that women in midlife presenting for treatment have lived with the illness for longer than their younger counterparts, which might negatively affect treatment outcome (e.g., Reas, Williamson, Martin, & Zucker, 2000). Although this should not be taken as evidence that older women cannot benefit from treatment, it does suggest that greater efforts should be made to intervene with disordered eating at earlier stages of the illness. The finding of a longer duration of illness, however, is confounded by obvious age differences between groups. Indeed, mean duration of illness (27.4y) of the oldest group exceeded the upper age limit for the youngest group.

Many of these findings, however, should be seen in the context of the distribution of ED diagnoses. For example, it is likely that differences in BMI, for example, were strongly related to the higher prevalence of AN in the younger sample and BED in the older samples. However, as BMI increases with age (Deurenberg, Weststrate, & Seidell, 1991), this conclusion cannot be confirmed in the current study. Interestingly, the current study showed that all age groups were equivalent on measures of psychological distress and impairment (cf. Ackard et al., 2014), and
scores for the midlife group were in line with other studies of ED samples (e.g.,
Jenkins, 2013; Jenkins & Turner, 2014), again suggestive of similarity.

Investigating age of onset within EDs was a secondary aim of the study,
although this is difficult to determine accurately (Arcelus et al., 2011) and the
procedure was not standardised in the current study. Given these caveats, relatively
small numbers of 'late onset' cases were seen, with smaller proportions than
previous work (e.g., Bueno et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2007). Of particular note,
there were no cases of 'late onset' BED in this large sample, although this has been
documented (e.g., Beck, Casper, & Andersen, 1996) and more work is required in
this area given what little is known (Bueno et al., 2014). In addition to possibly being
related to diagnostic distribution within the different age groups, findings may also
reflect different referral, identification, and assessment practices across time periods.
Thus, the possibility that cohort effects influenced findings represents a limitation of
the study, which nonetheless provides a cross-sectional view of eating pathology
and impairment across the age range.

The current study adds to the literature in a number of ways. Firstly, it
presents information from a large sample of women regarding prevalence of ED
diagnoses and symptoms, showing similar figures to existing work (e.g., Ackard et
al., 2014). However, it also goes beyond this by including a large sample of women
presenting for outpatient treatment and looking at both diagnostic breakdown (e.g.,
Elran-Barak et al., 2015) and wider symptoms. The study presents data on three
distinct age groups, perhaps revealing interesting similarities amongst those aged
over 25. Finally, it reviewed age of onset, although found few individuals reporting
illness onset beyond 25 years of age, which warrants further research. Some
shortcomings and limitations should be noted. As men were omitted from this study,
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this perhaps lends support to the idea that “men aged midlife and beyond might be
the most stigmatized group to suffer from an eating disorder” (Reas & Stedal, 2015,
p. 254). The study was limited by use of retrospective review of routinely-referred
patients within an outpatient setting in the UK, particularly as results may differ
across settings (e.g., inpatients, or primary care). As sociocultural factors have been
associated with presentation of different EDs (e.g., Hoek et al., 1995), further studies
might seek to replicate the findings reported here. In addition, a large proportion of
individuals indicated that they had previously received treatment for an ED, although
this proportion is similar to other tertiary centres in the UK (e.g., Treasure, Schmidt,
Troop, Tiller, Todd, & Turnbull, 1996) and clinics in the US (e.g., Crow, Mussell,
Peterson, Knopke, & Mitchell, 1999). Differences with previous work might reflect
this methodological aspect but could also be related to the diagnostic criteria used
(i.e., DSM-IV vs. DSM-5).

Results of the study argue for continued attention to reducing barriers to
accessing treatment and identifying cases as early as possible (e.g., Reas et al.,
2000). A recent study highlighted the importance of adequate healthcare provision
with regards to women in midlife (Micali et al., 2017), and thus the present findings
serve as a continued reminder that EDs occur across the age range. Further studies
might look at whether EDs in older individuals are being correctly identified, and
whether current psychological therapies are sufficiently personalised to address the
needs of all those presenting for ED treatment. Given the similarities across groups
noted here, it likely that many core strategies will remain relevant and effective for
women in midlife, but age-related differences may warrant consideration.

In summary, this study suggests that midlife women are more similar than
different to their younger counterparts, although older women appear more likely to
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1 present with BED. Degree of global eating pathology, ED-related psychosocial
2 impairment, and psychological distress remained similar across the age range.
3 Further work in this area is needed, particularly concerning age of onset and
4 inclusion of male samples.
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Table 1. Distribution of diagnoses across age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnoses, n</th>
<th>18 – 25y (n = 382)</th>
<th>25 – 40y (n = 200)</th>
<th>40+ (n = 121)</th>
<th>Total Sample (n = 703)</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>162 (42.4%)</td>
<td>72 (36.0%)</td>
<td>29 (24.0%)</td>
<td>263 (37.6%)</td>
<td>13.584**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>88 (23.0%)</td>
<td>52 (26.0%)</td>
<td>25 (20.7%)</td>
<td>165 (23.5%)</td>
<td>1.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BED</td>
<td>8 (2.1%)</td>
<td>10 (5.0%)</td>
<td>16 (13.2%)</td>
<td>34 (4.8%)</td>
<td>24.744**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>111 (29.1%)</td>
<td>59 (29.5%)</td>
<td>42 (34.7%)</td>
<td>212 (30.2%)</td>
<td>1.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No ED</td>
<td>13 (3.4%)</td>
<td>7 (3.5%)</td>
<td>9 (7.4%)</td>
<td>29 (4.1%)</td>
<td>4.059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: **p < .01; AN = anorexia nervosa; BN = bulimia nervosa; BED = binge-eating disorder
Table 2. Demographic and clinical characteristics of women with EDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Kruskal-Wallis</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 – 25y</td>
<td>25 – 40y</td>
<td>40+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>19.16 (4.11)</td>
<td>21.30 (6.38)</td>
<td>24.13 (10.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset</td>
<td>16.05 (2.91)</td>
<td>18.11 (5.70)</td>
<td>20.16 (10.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOI</td>
<td>4.31 (3.27)</td>
<td>12.97 (6.82)</td>
<td>27.36 (11.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDE-Q Global</td>
<td>3.90 (1.40)</td>
<td>4.15 (1.26)</td>
<td>4.15 (1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSC</td>
<td>4.29 (1.51)</td>
<td>4.59 (1.37)</td>
<td>4.62 (1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>31.40 (11.77)</td>
<td>32.52 (10.39)</td>
<td>33.87 (10.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORE-OM</td>
<td>18.73 (7.28)</td>
<td>19.54 (7.14)</td>
<td>20.31 (8.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous treatment, n</td>
<td>178 (51.9%)</td>
<td>82 (48.8%)</td>
<td>57 (57.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers differ across groups as not all individuals completed all measures

*p < .05, **p < .01; BMI = body mass index; DOI = duration of illness; EDE-Q = Eating Disorder Examination – Questionnaire; WSC = Weight and Shape Concern;
1 CIA = Clinical Impairment Assessment questionnaire; CORE-OM = Clinical
2 Outcomes in Routine Evaluation-Outcome Measure