

Reducing non-attendance rates for assessment at an eating disorders service: a quality improvement initiative

Article

Accepted Version

Jenkins, P. E. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1673-2903> (2017) Reducing non-attendance rates for assessment at an eating disorders service: a quality improvement initiative. Community Mental Health Journal, 53 (7). pp. 878-882. ISSN 0010-3853 doi: 10.1007/s10597-017-0118-7 Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/76088/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10597-017-0118-7>

Publisher: Springer

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 Reducing Non-Attendance Rates for Assessment at an Eating Disorders Service: A Quality
2 Improvement Initiative

3 Running title: *Non-attendance in eating disorders*

4 Word count (Abstract): 173

5 Word count: 1,516

6

7

8

9 Keywords: eating disorders; partial booking; waiting lists; non-attendance; quality
10 improvement

11

12

13 Introduction

14 Outpatient non-attendance (often referred to as Did Not Attend, or DNA) rates are a common
 15 problem within health services worldwide. The estimated cost to the UK National Health
 16 Service over a decade ago was £65 (\$100) per appointment, totalling £300 million (\$480
 17 million) in England alone,¹ and this figure is likely to have increased since². There are other
 18 costs, too. Non-attendance results in under-utilisation of resources, can increase waiting
 19 times, and has been associated with poorer outcome (e.g., see ³).

20 Although non-attendance is relatively well documented in general mental health, and much
 21 has been written about drop-out (or ‘failure to engage’) in eating disorders (EDs) treatment,
 22 very little information has been provided about non-attendance for initial assessments. This
 23 is particularly notable as DNA rates in EDs are amongst the highest of mental health
 24 specialities, alongside drug and alcohol services and community psychiatry, with rates
 25 particularly pronounced for initial appointments⁴. A study by Leavey et al.⁵ reported that 26
 26 of 100 individuals referred to a large ED service in the UK failed to attend their first
 27 appointment. Similarly, in a study of a mental health and learning disability trust
 28 (approximate population of 1 million), Mitchell and Selmes⁴ reported DNA rates of 19.5% for
 29 the ED speciality (25/128 individuals offered initial appointments over a 1-year period) and,
 30 analysing care pathways across two large ED services in London, Waller et al.⁶ reported a
 31 non-attendance rate of 16.4% ($n = 260/1583$) for initial appointments.

32 The costs of non-attendance are well known but, equally, the solutions also need to be cost-
 33 effective. Given the associated burdens of non-attendance to organisations, staff, and
 34 patients, a number of interventions have been suggested, and found to improve attendance
 35 modestly (for reviews, see ⁷⁻⁹). Waller et al.⁶ recommend direct patient contact (e.g., via
 36 telephone) when organising an initial appointment as well as the provision of written
 37 information, such as information sheets about the clinic. Some empirical support has been
 38 found for providing information¹⁰ and reminders¹¹ in improving attendance, although other

studies have failed to find such effects¹². There may be different reasons for non-attendance across specialities (e.g., see ⁵), and thus different procedures for reducing non-attendance may benefit different specialities. The use of 'partial booking' systems, which require patients to 'opt in' to appointments, has been shown to improve attendance rates¹³⁻¹⁶, although a recent systematic review⁸ did not generally support this finding. Within ED services, there is some evidence that 'opt-in' procedures can reduce waiting times for treatment and that subsequent non-attendance is not associated with levels of psychopathology or subjective well-being. Thus, such procedures are unlikely to decrease access for individuals who may report more severe pathology¹⁷ and may be helpful when booking initial appointments.

The current study reports on the effectiveness of an opt-in protocol in reducing DNA rates for initial appointments, using a quasi-experimental design to look at the impact of a change in service-level protocols on attendance rates. It was hypothesised that the new system, which emphasised patient choice in booking an appointment, would improve attendance (e.g., see ¹⁸).

Material and Methods

Setting

The service is a specialist eating disorders service in the UK covering a population of around 700,000 adults. Outpatients are usually referred by a patient's general medical practitioner (GP), although referrals are accepted through other routes, such as the local Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) service (see ¹⁹), or general psychiatric services.

Prior to January 2013, following receipt of a referral, patients were offered an appointment in writing to attend the unit for an assessment. Information about the service was included, and patients were asked to confirm their appointment. However, clinicians would often keep

the appointment free even when no confirmation was given as many patients attended without confirming. Patients who did not attend were sent a letter asking them to reply within two weeks; if nothing was heard (in the absence of significant risk), they were discharged.

Partial booking

A partial booking system (e.g., ^{14,16,20}) was implemented in January 2013, whereby patients are sent a letter asking them to contact the service to arrange an initial appointment. By doing so (usually by telephone), patients can arrange a more convenient date, time, and sometimes place (although there are constraints on these variables). As per the previous system, if there is no contact a further letter is sent, leading to discharge if no contact is made.

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed over a 20-month period before partial booking, and the ensuing 27 months. Non-attendance rates were used as the primary outcome, expressed as the percentage of DNAs of total appointments (i.e., Attendances + DNAs). The main effects of the intervention were assessed before and after, using the Mann-Whitney test (given unequal group sizes) and an α level of .05. Effect size estimates were obtained using point biserial correlation (r). Analyses were conducted with SPSS v22 and MS Excel.

The proposal was approved by the local NHS Quality and Audit Team and it was concluded that further ethics committee review was not required.

Results

Demographic data were available from April 2014 ($n = 333$); of this subset of the larger sample, 98.2% was female and mean age was 27.6 years ($SD = 10.6$). Due to the limited demographic data collected, it was not possible to conduct detailed analyses (e.g., whether certain demographic groups were disadvantaged by the change in procedure).

Of 456 outpatient appointments offered between May 1, 2011 and December 31, 2012, 804 (20.4%) were classified as DNA. The corresponding frequency was 15.1% (N = 804) for the period of January 2013 to 1 April 2015 (see Figure 1). These figures were significantly different ($U = 145.5$, $z = -2.679$, $p = 0.007$), with a medium effect size ($r = 0.39$). Odds ratio calculation showed that those in the historical group were 1.45 times more likely to DNA (95% CIs = 1.07 – 1.95). G*Power 3²¹ was used to conduct post hoc power analysis, using an observed effect size of 0.39. This indicated that observed power ($1 - \beta$) of 0.99 exceeded the level recommended by Cohen²².

Discussion

The study found a similar DNA rate to other specialist ED units in the UK, a country with a publicly-funded health service. This rate was relatively high before the intervention, and non-attendance was reduced by using a partial booking system that offered patients greater agency regarding their initial appointment. This suggests that high DNA rates can be addressed in part by offering patients more choice around their appointments, in line with previous suggestions (e.g., ¹⁴). Of note, the reduction of non-attendance in the current study was almost identical to that reported by Houghton et al.¹⁵, using a similar methodology including use of an opt-in letter in an NHS psychotherapy service.

Although partial booking appeared effective in reducing non-attendance, this system may still overlook some individuals and may also favour the more 'reachable' patient (e.g., ²³). Opt-in procedures have been found to be useful in managing treatment waiting lists in EDs, and do not discriminate sub-groups¹⁷; see also ¹⁵. However, less is known about initial appointments, and the current study does not explore reasons for non-attendance; these may include resolution of problems, not agreeing that the referral was necessary⁴, or more 'negative' reasons, such as low motivation to change or negative views about treatment²⁴.

Anecdotally, we have found the partial booking system to be a positive change, reducing DNA rates and being more patient-centred (see also ¹³). Furthermore, using crude figures of approximately £100 per appointment², a reduction from 20.4% to 15.1% might save the service over £1600 (\$2,560) per year, although some costs were not factored in to this analysis. Of note, in contrast to the study of Carmen et al.¹³, the number of individuals attending appointments did not decrease as a result of the opt-in procedure.

Aside from the lack of a randomised control group, which may have introduced selection bias, further shortcomings of this study were the limited demographic data collected and the lack of follow-up data. Previous studies have rarely reported demographic data, with some exceptions (see ¹⁴), and so there remain significant gaps in the literature regarding the precise impact of opt-in procedures. Despite similarity with previous work (e.g., ¹⁵), the generalisability of the findings here remains to be seen although the current study reports on a sample of routinely collected data and may thus be seen to have high ecological validity. Although the study spanned a period of nearly 4 years, there was no allowance for seasonal variation (e.g., see ²⁵) and no allowance was made for individual patients, some of whom may have been referred more than once in the study period. The use of a large number of individuals relative to previous studies with a clear intervention represents a strength of the study, particularly as few variables were explicitly manipulated (i.e., the general processes were only amended by changing how initial appointments are booked). However, reduction in non-attendance due to factors other than the intervention cannot be ruled out.

Conclusions

The intervention described above provides one of the first demonstrations of improving attendance at first assessment within a specialist eating disorders service, offering further evidence for the importance of flexibility and patient choice in reducing DNA rates (e.g., ^{15,26}). Attempts to improve patient care must consider all stages of the care pathway (see ⁶), with addressing non-attendance being just one part. Further studies might seek to look in more

detail regarding factors, such as demographic variables, that might be associated with non-attendance and this has been lacking from previous studies. Although some work in ED samples suggests that opt-in procedures do not disadvantage specific individuals²⁷, this could be furthered by looking at individuals who do not respond and investigating the reasons why.

Disclosures and Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank all the staff involved in supporting this project. The author reports no competing interests.

Funding:

This work was supported by resources and the use of facilities within X NHS Trust (*removed to preserve anonymity*).

References

1. Hull AM, Alexander DA, Morrison F, McKinnon JS: A waste of time: non-attendance at outpatient clinics in a Scottish NHS Trust. *Health Bulletin* 60:62-69, 2002
2. Reference Costs 2012-13. Department of Health, 2013. Retrieved online 1 August 2015 from https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/261154/nhs_reference_costs_2012-13_acc.pdf
3. Bech M: The economics of non-attendance and the expected effect of charging a fine on non-attendees. *Health Policy* 74:181-191, 2005.
4. Mitchell AJ, Selmes T: A comparative survey of missed initial and follow-up appointments to psychiatric specialties in the United Kingdom. *Psychiatric Services* 58:868-871, 2007.
5. Leavey G, Vallianatou C, Johnson-Sabine E, et al: Psychosocial barriers to engagement with an eating disorders service: a qualitative analysis of failure to attend. *Eating Disorders* 19:425-440, 2011.
6. Waller G, Schmidt U, Treasure J, et al: Problems across care pathways in specialist eating adult disorder services. *Psychiatric Bulletin* 33:26-29, 2009.
7. Ambrose J, Beech B: Tackling non-attendance for outpatient appointments. *Mental Health Practice* 9:22-25, 2006.
8. Schauman O, Aschan LE, Arias N, et al: Interventions to increase initial appointment attendance in mental health services: a systematic review. *Psychiatric Services* 64:1249-1258, 2013
9. Stubbs N, Geraci SA, Stephenson PL, et al: Methods to reduce outpatient non-attendance. *American Journal of the Medical Sciences* 344:211-219, 2012
10. Hardy KJ, O'Brien SV, Furlong NJ: Information given to patients before appointments and its effect on non-attendance rate. *British Medical Journal* 323: 1298-1300, 2001.

11. Guy R, Hocking J, Wand H, et al: How effective are Short Message Service reminders at increasing clinic attendance? A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Health Services Research* 47:614-632, 2012
12. Clough BA, Casey LM: Using SMS reminders in psychology clinics: a cautionary tale. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy* 42:257-268, 2014
13. Carmen D, Shah S, Gilbert S, Russell F: Improving attendance at an eating disorders clinic by means of an 'opt-in' letter. *Clinical Psychology Forum* 173:22-25, 2007
14. Hawker DSJ: Increasing initial attendance at mental health outpatient clinics: opt-in systems and other interventions. *Psychiatric Bulletin* 31:179-182, 2007
15. Houghton S, Saxon D, Smallwood A: Effects of opt-in letters in a National Health Service psychotherapy service. *The Psychiatrist* 34:507-510, 2010
16. Kenwright M, Marks IM: Improving first attendance for cognitive behaviour therapy by a partial booking appointment method: two randomised controlled trials. *Journal of Mental Health* 12:385-392, 2003
17. Jenkins PE, Turner H, Morton L: Active waiting list management: potential usefulness in a community eating disorders service. *Eating Disorders* 22:72-81, 2014
18. McLean S, Gee M, Booth A, et al: Targeting the use of reminders and notifications for uptake by populations (TURNUP): a systematic review and evidence synthesis. *Health Services and Delivery Research* 2:34, 2014
19. Clark DM: Implementing NICE guidelines for the psychological treatment of depression and anxiety disorders: the IAPT experience. *International Review of Psychiatry* 23:318-327, 2011
20. Milne RG: Reducing non-attendance at specialist clinics: an evaluation of the effectiveness and cost of patient-focussed booking and SMS reminders at a Scottish health board. *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 34:570-580, 2010

21. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G, Buchner A: G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods* 39:175-191, 2007
22. Cohen J: *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*, 2nd edition. Hillsdale, NJ, Lawrence Erlbaum, 1988
23. Henry LA, Ball S, Williams RM: A study of attendance and filling in of pre-appointment questionnaires in an outpatient clinical psychology service. *Journal of Mental Health* 7:411-424, 1998
24. Mahon J: Dropping out from psychological treatment for eating disorders: what are the issues? *European Eating Disorders Review* 8:198-216, 2000
25. Chew KSY, McCleary R: The Spring peak in suicides: a cross-national analysis. *Social Science & Medicine* 40:223-230, 1995
26. Sharp DJ, Hamilton W: Non-attendance at general practices and outpatient clinics: local systems are needed to address local problems. *British Medical Journal* 323:1081-1082, 2001
27. Tatham M, Stringer H, Perera S, Waller G: "Do you still want to be seen?": the pros and cons of active waiting list management. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 45: 57-62, 2012

224 Figure 1. Rates of non-attendance (%) over the course of the study.

225