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Timing of the first drainage of the Baltic Ice Lake synchronous with the onset of Greenland Stadial 1
Glacial varves can give significant insights into recession and melting rates of decaying ice sheets. Moreover, varve chronologies can provide an independent means of comparison to other annually resolved climatic archives, which ultimately help to assess the timing and response of an ice sheet to changes across rapid climate transitions. Here we report a composite 1257-year long varve chronology from south-eastern Sweden spanning the regional late Allerød-late Younger Dryas pollen zone. The chronology was correlated to the Greenland Ice Core Chronology 2005 using the time-synchronous Vedde Ash volcanic marker, which can be found in both successions. For the first time, this enables secure placement of the Lateglacial Swedish varve chronology in absolute time. Geochemical analysis from new varve successions indicate a marked change in sedimentation regime accompanied by an interruption of ice-rafted debris deposition synchronous with the onset of Greenland Stadial 1 (GS-1; 12 846 years before 1950 AD). With the support of a simple ice flow/calving model, we suggest that slowdown of sediment transfer can be explained by ice-sheet margin stabilisation/advance in response to a significant drop of the Baltic Ice Lake level. A reassessment of chronological evidence from central-western and southern Sweden further supports the hypothesis of synchronicity between the first (penultimate) catastrophic drainage of the Baltic Ice Lake and the start of GS-1 in Greenland ice cores. Our results may therefore provide the first chronologically robust evidence linking continental meltwater forcing to rapid atmosphere-ocean circulation changes in the North Atlantic.

Francesco Muschitiello (Francesco.muschitiello@geo.su.se), Sarah L. Greenwood and Barbara Wohlfarth, Department of Geological Sciences and Bolin Centre for Climate
Understanding the timing and interplay between past ice-sheet dynamics and abrupt climate change can be significantly enhanced where suitable highly resolved and independently dated palaeoenvironmental archives are available. Glacial varves are such a proxy, providing an indirect record for ice-marginal dynamics at potentially annual or sub-annual resolution. Furthermore, these records can provide lengthy and continuous chronologies, which can be directly compared to other climate archives. In turn, this allows the examination of potential couplings between changing regional ice-sheet behaviour and climate change.

The Swedish glacial varve chronology or ‘Swedish Time Scale’ (STS) provides a reconstruction preserving information regarding the dynamics and the melting of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet (FIS). It is therefore an ideal data set to investigate ice sheet dynamics and sensitivity in response to climate change. The STS is based on visual cross-correlation of more than 1000 ice-proximal clastic varve-thickness successions, which reflect the seasonal sediment input associated with the deglaciation of Sweden (De Geer 1912, 1940). These clastic varves, with their distinct silt dominated summer and clay dominated winter layers were deposited in the ice-dammed Baltic basin, the
Baltic Ice Lake (BIL), and can today be found along the Baltic Sea coast and in the Baltic Sea (Strömberg 1985; Cato 1987; Björck et al. 1992; Brunnberg 1995; Wohlfarth et al. 1994, 1995). The younger part of the STS is made up of postglacial delta sediments, which were and still are deposited in the estuary of River Ångermanälven in northern Sweden (Cato 1985, 1987, 1998; Wohlfarth et al. 1997). However, a putative gap of 700-900 varve years during the early and/or mid Holocene (Wohlfarth 1996; Wohlfarth et al. 1997; Andrén et al. 1999), as well as difficulties in correlating varve diagrams from Blekinge in southernmost Sweden to those of south-eastern Sweden (Wohlfarth & Possnert 2000) has so far posed a challenge to establishing an absolute and continuous varve chronology from present back to >14 000 varve years. Given the chronological uncertainties due to missing varves, each regional varve chronology thus stands on its own (Wohlfarth & Possnert, 2000).

The Lateglacial clay-varve diagrams from south-eastern Sweden (northern Småland and Östergötland) (Kristiansson 1986; Brunnberg 1995; Wohlfarth et al. 1993, 1994, 1995, 1998), spanning the later part of the regional Allerød pollen zone (AL) and the early part of the regional Younger Dryas pollen zone (YD), constitute one of the most valuable portions of the STS. This Lateglacial varve chronology (LGC) is built by visual cross-correlations and corroborated by statistical analysis (Holmquist & Wohlfarth 1998), but is at present only tentatively linked to a calendar-year time scale by means of $^{14}$C dating (Goslar et al. 1999; Wohlfarth & Possnert, 2000).

The recent finding of the Vedde Ash in a glacial varve succession from the same region (MacLeod et al. 2014) now offers an excellent opportunity to secure the floating LGC chronology to an absolute time scale, and more importantly, to correlate this section of the STS to the Greenland ice-core chronology. Through the temporal
accuracy this affords, the resulting correlation can therefore reveal crucial information regarding the temporal coupling between Fennoscandian ice-sheet dynamics and rapid climate change.

Here we reassess, update and extend the 806-year long LGC from south-eastern Sweden (Wohlfarth et al. 1998), and link for the first time the FIS recession to the Greenland ice-core time scale. The existing chronology has, moreover, been complemented by new geochemical data and corroborated by idealised numerical modelling of ice dynamics. These help to cast light on the changes that occurred in the BIL terminating sector of the FIS around the onset of the YD. Our results highlight a possible linkage between changes in ice sheet behaviour associated with the drainage of the BIL and abrupt changes in atmosphere-ocean circulation in the North Atlantic domain.

**Study area and methods**

**Study area**

The LGC derives from sites located along the eastern edge of the southern Swedish Uplands. The terrain reaches >330 m elevation above present-day sea level in the south and west of our area of interest, sloping eastwards and northwards towards the Baltic Sea (Fig. 1B, C). The FIS retreated broadly NW-wards across this region. Clay-varve chronologies have hitherto been the primary source of information regarding the pattern and timing of ice retreat (cf. Lundqvist & Wohlfarth 2001). An absence of moraines across south-eastern Sweden suggests unpunctuated retreat, an exception being the Vimmerby moraine that cuts across the south of our study area (Fig. 1C). Fed by fairly uniform SE-ward flow, this ice-margin position has been constrained by
cosmogenic nuclide dating to 14 600-14 400 cal. years ago (Johnsen et al. 2009; Anjar et al. 2014). There is no further evidence of a sustained ice-margin position until the Middle Swedish End Moraine Zone (MSEMZ), a broad (~10-20 km) zone stretching from Lake Vättern ENE across the Östergötland lowlands. Associated with the YD, the MSEMZ comprises moraines, deltas and glacio-tectonised successions linked with ice margin oscillations and an extremely slow rate of retreat (Kristiansson 1986; Lundqvist 1987).

Glacial lakes were impounded across south-eastern Sweden in front of the retreating ice margin, both localised and linked to the much larger BIL, which was up-dammed in the Baltic Sea Basin. The BIL was maintained by the ice dam across central-southern Sweden and the southern Swedish uplands and the high threshold level in Öresund; not until the ice margin retreated past Mt. Billingeng could any drainage occur (Björck 1995). A major (25 m lake-level drop) and rapid (1-2 years) drainage of the BIL, and consequent opening to marine waters (Yoldia Sea stage), occurred at the end of the YD when the Billingeng ice dam was released (Björck & Digerfeldt 1984; Björck 1995; Johnson et al. 2013). An earlier drainage is hypothesised to have occurred at the late AL-YD transition (Björck 1995; Bennike & Jensen 2013), but its magnitude and dynamics are less well-constrained. Palaeo-shorelines of the BIL are evident along the east and south coast of Sweden, rising to the north as a consequence of post-glacial (and ongoing) glacio-isostatic rebound (see Fig. 1).

AL-age glacial varved-clay successions from sites close to the former highest shoreline of the BIL had earlier been investigated in the provinces of Småland and Östergötland (Kristiansson 1986; Wohlfarth et al. 1995, 1998) (Fig. 1). Most of the varve thickness diagrams were obtained in a region that formed an archipelago-like
landscape in the western part of the large BIL (Wohlfarth et al. 1998). The glacial
varves were thus deposited in fairly shallow waters (between ~5 and 70 m) and mostly
within a large fjord complex (Fig. 1). Owing to isostatic uplift of the newly deglaciated
areas, progressive shallowing of the depositional basins along the coast and successive
isolation resulted in a cessation of varve deposition and replacement by homogeneous
clay and organic lacustrine sediments. The recently published varve chronology from
Gropviken (MacLeod et al. 2014) and the varve chronology from Sandfjärden (this
study), ~50 km farther to the east, derive from sites that were located directly south
of the YD ice margin (Fig. 1) and at a former BIL water depth of approximately 100 m
(Brunnberg 1995).

Varve and $^{14}$C chronologies

The original master chronology for Småland/Östergötland (the LGC) is a composite
chronology of 806 varve years (Wohlfarth et al. 1998; Wohlfarth & Possnert 2000),
which is based on the visual linking of common and distinct sedimentological features
in 55 varve diagrams (Figs. 1, 2). These correlations are corroborated by cross-
correlation analysis (Holmquist & Wohlfarth 1998). The chronology from Gropviken is
a 710 varve-year long record, which contains the Vedde Ash isochrone (MacLeod et
al. 2014). The chronology from Sandfjärden is a 623 varve-year long record (Fig. 1C).

AMS radiocarbon measurements that had been published earlier for selected
sites of the LGC (Wohlfarth et al. 1998; Wohlfarth & Possnert 2000) are here used to
verify the internal consistency of the new composite varve chronology (Table 1). To
provide the most reliable $^{14}$C-based chronology, we selected only those $^{14}$C
measurements that had been made on terrestrial plant macrofossil remains and we
disregarded dates that were associated with unidentified and reworked plant material
or had analytical errors of >250 years (Wohlfarth et al. 1998; Wohlfarth & Possnert
2000).

Based on Bayesian wiggle-match modelling using OxCal4.2 (Bronk Ramsey
2010), these $^{14}C$ dates were used to find the most likely possible placement of the LGC
on the IntCal13 radiocarbon calibration curve (Reimer et al. 2013). Outlying dates
were detected by the software applying the ‘Outlier Analysis’ and discarded until a
satisfactory and coherent age model was generated as defined by a high model
agreement with values higher than a threshold of 60% (Bronk Ramsey 2009).

New cores: fieldwork, dating and elemental analyses

A new sediment succession from Lake Gummetorpasjön, which was previously
investigated by Wohlfarth et al. (1998), was cored in March 2015 (Fig. 1), with the
purpose of performing geochemical analysis. Parallel sediment cores were collected
using a 1-m long Russian corer with diameters of 10 and 7.5 cm, obtaining 50 cm
overlapping sections.

Correlation to the previously established LGC chronology (Wohlfarth et al. 1998)
was carried out employing three distinct colour and varve thickness changes that were
identified by Wohlfarth et al. (1998). The marker layers, which occur 115 and 108
varve years apart from each other, are present in the majority of the varve diagrams
that compose the LGC, including Gummetorpajön (see discussion below). This allowed
the new XRF profiles to be placed unequivocally within the existing chronology.

The new Gummetorpasjön sediment cores were scanned at the Department of
Geological Sciences at Stockholm University using an ITRAX XRF Core Scanner from
Cox Analytical System (Gothenburg, Sweden) to detect chemical changes and signals relating to summer and winter layers. Radiographic images were generated using a Mo tube set at 55 kV and 50 mA with a step size of 200 μm and a dwell time of 400 ms. XRF data were acquired using a Mo tube set at 30 kV and 50 mA with a step size of 200 μm and a dwell time of 30 s. Based on XRF counting statistics of the new Gummetorpsjön clay-varve record, reliable data were obtained for 21 elements with signals well above the instrumental noise threshold making it unnecessary to normalize the peak area data to the scattering. Relative changes in peak areas of elemental data were therefore used to construct ratio profiles from selected elements, i.e. Zr, Rb, Fe and Ca. Elemental XRF core scanning profiles were used as indicators of changes in sediment transfer rates and grain size mediated by local ice-mass turnover within the fjord system.

Results and discussion

Reconstruction of the new Lateglacial varve chronology

To anchor the LGC to the chronology of Gropviken, which contains the Vedde Ash, we approached the alignment systematically (see below), initially adopting Kristiansson’s (1986) original time scale.

The first step taken for correlating the Gropviken varve thickness diagram and each one of the diagrams composing the LGC was to search for statistically significant cross-correlations between the successions, though this proved unsuccessful due to substantial differences in varve thicknesses.

We therefore introduced an additional alignment step by bridging Gropviken’s chronology and the LGC using the Sandfjärden floating varve chronology from the
northern sector of Östergötland (Fig. 1C). The cross-correlation of varve thickness diagrams from Gropviken and Sandfjärden provided a statistically significant match ($r = 0.61$, signal-to-noise ratio $z = 7.7$, $p = 0.99$) that enabled us to extend the varve chronology to the northern sector of Östergötland (Fig. 3).

In a next step we linked the Sandfjärden chronology to the LGC. However, cross-correlations alone could not directly link the two chronologies together. The chronologies were therefore aligned via identification of three common markers, i.e. two colour changes at local varve years 2060 and 2169, and a characteristic thick varve horizon at local varve year 2060 (Fig. 3). This technique (e.g. Palmer et al. 2010) provided satisfactorily fits between the successions.

To provide an independent test of the alignment between the LGC and Gropviken’s chronology, we employed an independent $^{14}$C dating method (Fig. 4). The LGC is supported by AMS radiocarbon measurements (Wohlfarth et al. 1998; Wohlfarth & Possnert 2000), whereas the Vedde Ash has been precisely radiocarbon dated in lake sediment records from western Norway (Lohne et al. 2013). The LGC was anchored to the IntCal13 radiocarbon calibration curve using a Bayesian wiggle-matching model based on eight radiocarbon dates. Using the calibrated age of the Vedde Ash and the most likely placement of the LGC on the IntCal13 time scale, respectively, we were able to calculate the offset between the chronology of Gropviken and the LGC, and compare the results with the offset previously obtained from the alignment approach. The offsets resulting from the two methods, respectively, strongly agree with each other (-1353 years using the alignment versus -1359 using wiggle-matching; Fig. 4), which indicates that the relative placement between the northern and southern chronologies is coherent and reliable.
Age error evaluation of the Lateglacial varve chronology

The excellent correlation among the 55 varve diagrams that compose the original LGC suggests no or minimal counting errors and laterally continuous varve accumulation over this sector of Småland/Östergötland (Wohlfarth et al. 1998). An example of such region-wide chronological consistency is demonstrated by the precision of varve counts in relation to the interval spanning the three marker horizons utilized to link the original LGC to Sandfjärden’s chronology (<1% difference).

The independent $^{14}C$ dating approach described in section above provides a means to verify the chronological accuracy over a large interval of the LGC, demonstrating that contributions to uncertainty from undetected systematic errors in the varve layer identification process is minimal (Fig. 4). This is also substantiated by the similarity between the inferred varve age and the unmodelled $^{14}C$-calibrated curve (Fig. 4). Moreover, the biostratigraphic boundary of the AL-YD transition, which has been identified in the pollen stratigraphy from Gummetorpasjön (Björck 1999), provides an additional chronostratigraphic constraint that confirms the internal consistency of the LGC. Indeed, even considering the low-resolution pollen sampling (Björck 1999), the estimated $^{14}C$-calibrated age of this regionally isochronous marker in the varve stratigraphy falls within the $1\sigma$ range of the AL-YD pollen zone boundary observed in some of the most robustly constrained radiocarbon-dated regional records (Muschitiello & Wohlfarth 2015).

We are confident that an overall uncertainty (entailing precision and accuracy) of ±0.5% ($2\sigma$) is an over-conservative estimate for the unified chronology. This is based on, i) the general lack of disturbed or suspicious intervals and the good preservation
of the varves in all the LGC profiles (Wohlfarth et al. 1998), ii) the evenly high
correlation among numerous adjacent and distal sites (Wohlfarth et al. 1998), and iii)
the internal chronological consistency determined via independent dating
approaches. This is realistic given that the error that accompanies most varve
chronologies with well-developed and undisturbed successions displaying little
variations between alternate counts does not exceed ±1% (Zolitschka et al. 2015).

Synchronization to Greenland Ice-Core Chronology 2005 and varve stratigraphy

Our new LGC extends over 1257±3 varve years (1σ) and covers the interval from the
regional late AL pollen zone to the regional late YD pollen zone. The chronology is
based on 57 varve-thickness diagrams, which were compiled to form one unified
record of mean varve thickness (Fig. 5). The supporting 1⁴C-based age model enables
us to secure the new LGC on the IntCal13 time scale. Critically, we are also now able
to synchronize the LGC record with the Greenland Ice-Core Chronology 2005
(Rasmussen et al. 2006; after converting the b2k age [before the year 2000] into BP
[before 1950 AD], hereafter GICC05 years BP) via the Vedde Ash time marker (Fig. 5).
This allows us, for the first time, to directly compare the dynamics of the FIS to the
Greenland ice-core event stratigraphy.

Although there are numerous distinct events with exceptionally thick varves,
Wohlfarth et al. (1998) identified only three major events in their varve chronology,
that are recurrent in the majority of the varve diagrams. The Events, numbered 1, 2
and 3 (Wohlfarth et al. 1998), are characterised by distinct colour changes of the clay
varves and based on the correlation to the ice core time scale occurred at 12 847, 12
739 and 12 624 GICC05 years BP, within one varve year. All the events exhibit a marked
drop in varve thickness that lasts for a few decades. The colour change and the drop in varve thickness make Event 1, 2 and 3 different from all the other anomalous varve layers that can be observed in the new LGC.

Events 2 and 3, which are both preceded by an exceptionally thick varve, have been attributed to drainages of ice-dammed lakes located west of the BIL (Wohlfarth et al. 1998). These events are present in all varve diagrams except for Skedevi, Räfstad and Kråkedal (sites 17, 20 and 21 in Fig. 1). As such, large areas above the highest shoreline remained covered by stagnant ice and continued to contribute sediment material to the BIL as the ice sheet retreated (Lundqvist & Wohlfarth 2001). However, it remains unclear why a decrease in varve thickness accompanies all of the events.

Event 1 in particular, which can be observed in all the varve diagrams covering this interval (Figs. 1, 2), presents the most pronounced decrease in varve thickness of the entire chronology, but is not preceded by a thick varve (Fig. 5). This suggests that the causes of Event 1, which can be traced for more than 25 km eastwards (from Gummetorpasjön to Tynn – Wohlfarth et al. 1998), are potentially not just a mere response to a release of high amounts of sediment material into the BIL. Moreover, based on a previously published ice-rafted debris (IRD) record (Wohlfarth et al. 1998) that accompanies the LGC (Fig. 5), it is evident that, unlike Events 2 and 3, Event 1 is the only one associated with a long-term interruption (~130 varve years) in IRD deposition.

We note that, after synchronizing the varve record to the Greenland time scale, Event 1 coincides with the transition from Greenland Interstadial 1 to Greenland Stadial 1 (GS-1), which is defined in NGRIP ice cores as an abrupt shift in $d$-excess that took place within 2-3 years (Steffensen et al. 2008). The onset of GS-1 is dated to 12
846±69 GICC05 years BP considering 1σ age uncertainty in the GICC05 (i.e. half of the total maximum counting error – MCE; Rasmussen et al. 2006) and Event 1 is dated to 12 847±2 GICC05 years BP (accounting for 1σ of the total uncertainty that accompanies the LGC). This places GS-1 and Event 1 at 725±6 years and 726±2 years, respectively, prior to the Vedde Ash in their respective records.

The temporal consistency of Event 1 relative to the start of GS-1 requires further attention. In the following, we focus on the varve stratigraphic boundary identified at 12 847±71 GICC05 years BP by reporting and discussing the results from XRF analyses on Gummetorpasjön’s succession in conjunction with output from idealised ice flow model simulations.

Geochemical evidence of depositional changes at the GI-1/GS-1 transition

We use Zr/Rb and Fe/Ca ratios as proxies for grain-size distribution and composition (Fig. 6). Rb, which is common in several minerals, has generally low environmental mobility owing to strong sorption in clay minerals. Conversely, Zr is usually found in medium to coarse silts and is present in heavy minerals. In fine-grained sediments - like in our clay varves, Zr/Rb is thus an ideal proxy for grain size (Dypvik & Harris 2001). Like Rb, Fe absorbs onto clay and has relatively low mobility, whereas Ca can easily be found in plagioclase and calcite in the sand and silt fraction (e.g. Johnson et al. 2013). Thus, Fe/Ca can be used here as an additional indicator for grain size.

The XRF data profiles entirely resolve seasonal varves associated with summer and winter accumulation, with summer laminae generally characterised by larger grain sizes as compared to winter laminae (Fig. 6). The XRF stratigraphies consistently show a decrease in grain size 18-19 varve years before Event 1 at 12 847 GICC05 years
BP (Fig. 6). At 12 847 GICC05 years BP the ratio values abruptly shift indicating a change towards coarser grain sizes. The shift takes place within one varve year, after which the geochemical parameters indicate that depositional conditions directly enter into a new stable state for a period that lasted 57-58 varve years (Fig. 6).

We infer increased sediment supply of fine sediments during the two decades preceding Event 1, followed by a marked slowdown of sediment transfer and increase in grain size at 12847 GICC05 years BP. This is coeval with a distinct drop in varve thickness and disappearance of IRD (Fig. 5). The rapid change in varve thickness and grain size suggest a potential large-scale shift in the lake circulation regime and/or changes to how sediments are supplied to the lake.

Stabilisation of the ice sheet’s calving margin could achieve the observed changes in IRD delivery. Increased stability can be driven by glacio-isostatic rebound of the crust and commensurate reduction in the proglacial water depth (Gomez et al. 2010), though its gradual nature cannot explain the abrupt sedimentation changes observed in the LGC records. Rather, we suggest that a rapid fall of the BIL water level, reducing calving margin buoyancy, and therefore calving, acted to abruptly decrease iceberg calving flux from the ice margin.

The coupling between a rapid lowering of the BIL and a general decrease in varve thickness together with interruption of IRD deposition has been suggested for the final drainage of the BIL around the YD-Preboreal transition (Andrén et al. 1999, 2002). We therefore argue that the evidence in the LGC and in our geochemical records associated with Event 1 represent a late AL drainage of the BIL (Björck 1995). This would be caused by a recession of the southern margin of the FIS beyond the lake.
outlet. In the following, we explore the implications of this hypothesis by means of simulations from a simple ice flow/calving model.

*Ice-sheet response to Baltic Ice Lake drainage*

A series of experiments simulating a highly idealised glacier calving margin were undertaken using a well-established one-dimensional flow-line numerical model (Nick *et al.* 2010) and applying a simple floatation based calving law (Vieli *et al.* 2001). These were conducted to investigate changes in calving rate and terminus position following a drop in lake level for a flat-bedded ice sheet. These experiments do not seek to directly simulate the ice draining into the BIL, but rather illustrate the potential dynamic response of an ice sheet that experiences a drop in its proglacial lake level. The specifics of the model are discussed in detail elsewhere (e.g. Nick *et al.* 2010), with relevant input parameters shown in Table 2.

The model is used to investigate calving and terminus response with respect to three variables: basal roughness, initial bed depth, and size of lake-level drop. Three basal roughness scenarios were tested, chosen to represent a smooth, medium and rough sliding scenarios, with values defined within the range of those used for contemporary Greenland modelling studies (e.g. Nick *et al.* 2013; Lea *et al.* 2014a, b). Three initial bed elevations were also chosen, equivalent to the mean, 25th and 75th percentile values of a transect spanning an estimated pre-YD ice margin, isostatically depressed according to the Ice5G model (Peltier 2004). Finally, three different lake-level drops were simulated (10, 20 and 30 m), based on current estimates of the magnitude of the hypothesized pre-YD BIL drainage (Björck 1995; Bennike & Jensen 2013).
Results of highly idealised numerical model experiments investigating calving and terminus behaviour are shown in Fig. 7. These demonstrate that in all cases a drop in lake level would cause both a reduction in calving compared to the pre-drainage iceberg flux and an advance of the ice-calving terminus. However, the magnitude of these changes, ranging from 10% to 45%, is highly dependent on the initial lake depth and size of the lake drop. While changes in calving are broadly unaffected by the basal conditions of the ice stream, Fig. 7 also shows that rougher bed conditions limit how far the glacier calving margin can advance following a lake level drop (though it should be emphasised that these should not be equated to estimates of actual advance distances of FIS following lake drainage).

The results provide evidence that the proportional reduction in calving rates will have been greatest in shallow areas of the BIL. Larger drops in lake level result in greater proportional decreases in calving flux, as is consistent with the floatation calving law employed in the model. However, these larger drops would also increase the range of calving response between shallow and deeper areas of the BIL terminating sectors of FIS.

Model results suggest that the BIL terminating sectors of the FIS will have experienced a decrease in calving flux due to decreased buoyancy (and hence stabilisation) of the ice margin. Although calving rates will have responded almost instantaneously to a drop in lake level, this is likely to only impact IRD frequency (as identified) rather than the deposition of finer grained sediments on a lake-wide scale. By itself, this can only partially explain the observed drop in IRD frequency, though it is worth noting that a thinner calving margin would also produce smaller, and therefore less long-lived icebergs. Faster melting icebergs would therefore decrease
the probability of IRD deposition in ice distal/sheltered parts of the post-drainage BIL.

A further consideration is that changes in lake circulation resulting from the drop in BIL may have caused debris-laden icebergs to be diverted elsewhere from the coring sites.

The presence of thinner varves following the drainage suggests that reworking of material from newly exposed areas of the former lake bed did not result in significant sediment supply into the lake basin. We therefore hypothesise that the shift in observed sedimentation rates resulted from a rapid change in the delivery rate of subglacially derived material to the lake. However, further investigation regarding the major sediment sources for the varves would be required for this to be fully substantiated.

The first drainage of the Baltic Ice Lake

During the latter part of the AL, rapid deglaciation of the southern FIS margin near Mt. Billingen - in the south-central Swedish low-land area (Fig. 1B) – is thought to have generated a spillway system that connected the BIL to the sea in the west (e.g. Björck & Möller 1987; Björck 1995; Lundqvist & Wohlfarth 2001). A rapid retreat of the FIS west of the outlet resulted in a 5-10 m lowering of the BIL (Björck 1995) also referred to as the first drainage of the BIL.

Although this drainage hypothesis has long been debated, new reconstructions support the occurrence of a late AL connection between the BIL and the North Atlantic (Swärd et al. 2015) and a significant drop of the BIL water level at this time (Bennike & Jensen 2013). Shore displacement curves from Hunneberg, west of Mt. Billingen, provide a detailed framework for the timing of the deglaciation near the outlet and
the freshwater connection to the sea (e.g. Björck & Digerfeldt 1982a, b). The published radiocarbon dates associated with the shoreline reconstructions have recently been re-calibrated and combined using a Bayesian framework (Muschitiello et al. in press). The radiocarbon dates indicate lake isolations from the sea near 11 000 $^{14}$C years BP ($\approx$ 9 ka), a result of the deglaciation north of Mt. Billingen (Björck & Digerfeldt 1982a, b). Therefore, the dates provide a chronological estimate of the opening of the outlet at Mt. Billingen.

The combined age probability of the radiocarbon dates associated with lake isolations has been compared to the age estimate of Event 1 derived from the radiocarbon-based age model that underpins the LGC (Fig. 8). The two independent age estimates, based on wiggle-matching and on radiocarbon calibration, are remarkably similar (12867±66 cal. years BP for the opening of the outlet at Mt. Billingen versus 12876±22 cal. years BP for Event 1). The good chronological correspondence supports our hypothesis of late AL drainage of the BIL synchronous with the start of GS-1 in the Greenland ice core chronology. Furthermore, the observed offset of 30±22 years (1$\sigma$) between the GICC05 and the IntCal13 time scales at the transition into GS-1 (Fig. 8) compares well with an offset of 40±30 years (1$\sigma$) estimated with other independent methods of time-scale synchronization (Muscheler et al. 2014).

We suggest that Event 1 can provide a precise chronological tie point that can facilitate regional correlations for future varve reconstructions. In addition, this stratigraphic marker - and more broadly any direct evidence of the first drainage of the BIL - can potentially be used as an isochronous horizon to link records from the
Baltic Sea, southern Sweden and the eastern sector of the North Sea downstream of the drainage route.

Palaeoclimatic implications

The abrupt shift in $d$-excess values that marks the onset of GS-1 in the NGRIP ice cores (Steffensen et al. 2008) can be ascribed to a large southward shift of the marine moisture source for Greenland precipitation (Pfahl & Sodemann 2014) driven by a southward displacement of the North Atlantic westerly winds (Muschitiello et al. in press). It has been hypothesised that such an abrupt southward diversion of the westerly winds could have been triggered by a comparably abrupt westward expansion of sea ice in the Nordic Seas (Muschitiello et al. in press). However, the driving forces behind this putative swift growth of regional sea ice are still not entirely discerned.

A catastrophic drainage of freshwater from the BIL can provide a plausible explanation for a westward migration of sea ice in the Nordic Seas, and especially for its abruptness, thereby providing a physical mechanism and timing for the start of GS-1. We hypothesize that a powerful surge of Baltic-sourced freshwater routed north along the coast of the Norwegian Sea could have increased sea-ice production and moved, to some extent, sea ice off the shelf area towards the open ocean or recirculated ice in the Nordic Seas. Thus, the excess sea ice was displaced westwards beyond the limits expected from local climatological growth conditions and ultimately exported to the subpolar North Atlantic. This phenomenon could have induced the atmospheric circulation to cross thresholds beyond which the seasonal distribution of sea ice in the Nordic Seas became significantly altered, instigating a widespread
expansion of sea ice in the North Atlantic. Nonetheless, this explanation remains
speculative until more detailed climate modelling studies surrounding the
atmosphere-ocean response to Fennoscandian meltwater outlets can be undertaken.

Conclusions

In this study we have revaluated and extended a Swedish Lateglacial varve chronology,
which now forms a continuous 1257-year long record spanning the period from the
regional late AL to the late YD pollen zone. The chronology has been secured to the
GICC05 and IntCal13 absolute time scales. Correlation to the Greenland ice-core
framework allows us, for the first time, to compare the melting history of the FIS with
ice-core climate events.

By using geochemical analyses and ice-flow modelling simulations, we suggest
that in the late AL a major drop of the BIL water level associated with the first drainage
of the BIL occurred. The drainage took place synchronously with the start of GS-1 and
specifically 726±2 years prior to the deposition of the Vedde Ash as compared to
725±6 years observed in ice-core records. The drainage event would provide a
plausible physical explanation for the timing and sign of hydroclimatic shifts observed
in the Greenland records, while the related stratigraphic marker can serve as an
important chronological tie-point for future regional correlation of proxy records.

We hope that this study will inspire future water-hosing and eddy-resolving
ocean model simulations that can help to shed light on the forcing mechanisms behind
the rapid climate changes that took place at the inception of Greenland Stadial 1 in
the North Atlantic region.
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Figure 1. A. Locations of southern Sweden and NGRIP ice cores. B, C. Location of the sites used to construct the new Late-glacial varve chronology in southern Sweden. Black circles refer to sites studied by Wohlfarth et al. (1995). Red circles refer to sites studied by Kristiansson (1986). Blue numbers indicate the sites where the depositional Event 1 (Wohlfarth et al. 1998) was identified (see text for details). Note that Event 1 can be observed in all the varve diagrams that cover the related time interval. Ice marginal positions are based on Lundqvist & Wohlfarth (2001) and visual interpretation of moraine positions from the LiDAR-based topography. The southernmost ice marginal line refers to the Younger Dryas ice limit (YD). The northernmost ice marginal line refers to the ice limit shortly before the last drainage of the Baltic Ice Lake. Highest shoreline data from Geological Survey of Sweden on a colour scale graded according to present-day elevation (highest lake position was time-transgressive: yellow to red). 1= Bjärka-Säby; 2= Skaggebo; 3= Nåtvin; 4= Vårdnäs; 5= Järnlunden/Stensvassa; 6= Limmern; 7= Storsjön; 8, 9= Mjölsjön; 10= Järnlunden/Sonebo; 11= Bjärsjön; 12, 13, 14= Glottern; 15= Eknäs; 16= Rimforsa; 17= Skedevi; 18= Ytterbo; 19= Räfstad; 20= Åfsinge; 21= Åsunden/Krågedal; 22= Vigerstad; 23= Hägerstad; 24= Rävantorpsjön; 25= Lillsjön; 26= Drättinge; 27= Boda; 28= Årteryd; 29= Utdala; 30= Stjärnevik; 31= Tynn/Tyllinge; 32= Tynn/Draboviken; 33= Nedre Emmaren; 34= Bjuggö; 35= Kärra; 36= Lövdalen; 37= Gumhem; 38= Långebro; 39, 40, 41= Hargsjön ; 42= Hargsjön 1; 43= Kisa; 44, 45= Adlerskogsjön; 46, 47= Gummetorpsjön ; 48= Kristineberg; 49= Greby; 50= Åby; 51= Väsby; 52= Dråpetorp; 53= Brunebo; 54= Järpekullen; 55= Kåreda. The location of Gropviken and Sandfjärden is also shown (green circles). LiDAR topography © Lantmäteriet.
Figure 2. Length of the varve-thickness diagrams used to construct the chronology presented in Wohlfarth et al. (1998) and displayed on the local varve time scale proposed by Kristiansson (1986). The chronology is based on diagrams studied by Kristiansson (1986) and Wohlfarth et al. (1995), which were visually and statistically cross-correlated with each other (Holmqvist & Wohlfarth 1998). Numbers refer to the original coding scheme used by Holmqvist & Wohlfarth (1998). The three major depositional events identified by Wohlfarth et al. (1998) are also shown at the bottom. The events are characterised by distinct colour changes of the clay varves and a marked drop in varve thickness that last for a few decades (see text for details).

Figure 3. Varve-width and stratigraphic alignment of the varve records forming the new composite Lateglacial varve chronology from Småland/Östergötland presented on the local varve time scale (Kristiansson 1986). The two northernmost successions of Gropviken and Sandfjärden were first cross-correlated with each other. Prior to cross-correlation time series were detrended applying a 16th degree Fourier transform, filtered using a 3-year moving average and removing the first 10 bottom varves, and normalized by their standard deviation. The correlation coefficient ($r$) and statistics (signal-to-noise ratio $z$ and $p$-value) between the individual records are given in the graph. Sandfjärden’s chronology was correlated to the 806-year long master chronology (Wohlfarth et al. 1998) via common stratigraphic markers (two marked colour changes and an exceptionally thick varve layer). The master chronology presented here was filtered by removing the first 10 bottom varves of each varve diagram.
Figure 4. Top panel: Wiggle-matching age model of the Lateglacial varve chronology (LGC) and verification of its placement relative to the chronology of Gropviken containing the Vedde Ash time marker. The age model of the LGC is based on radiocarbon dates from selected terrestrial plant macrofossils previously published in Wohlfarth et al. (1998) (blue crosses; Table 1). A radiocarbon-based calendar age for the Vedde Ash in Gropviken (red cross) was assigned using precise estimates from Lake Kråkenes in Western Norway (Lohne et al. 2013). The most likely position of each radiocarbon estimate on the modelled IntCal13 calibration curve (grey; Reimer et al. 2013) is shown. In the upper-left panel is presented the comparison of the estimated offsets between the LGC and Gropviken using cross-correlation and radiocarbon-based methods, respectively (see text for details). The goodness of the placement of the LGC relative to Gropviken is further confirmed by the position of the Allerød-Younger Dryas pollen-defined boundary on the master chronology, which is consistent with previously reported age estimates for this biostratigraphic event (Muschitiello & Wohlfarth 2015). The probability distribution functions of the most likely placement on the IntCal13 time scale of the LGC and the Vedde Ash, respectively, are also shown together with their 2 sigma standard error. Bottom panel: Plot showing varve-age against depth (blue dots and dashed line). The varve-age-depth relationship is compared to the calibrated $^{14}$C curve based on the unmodelled radiocarbon measurements listed in Table 1 (black dots). Bars indicate 2 sigma standard error associated with each measurement and the additional varve-age error related to macrofossil sampling (Wohlfarth et al. 1998). The varve-age scale is based on synchronization to the IntCal13 time scale using the radiocarbon-based age estimate of the Vedde Ash (red dot).
Figure 5. The new Lateglacial varve chronology presented as a unified record of mean varve thickness and plotted against the GICC05 time scale (after converting the b2k age into BP) and IntCal13 time scale after synchronization via the Vedde Ash isochron and wiggle-match modelling, respectively. Mean annual varve widths are displayed together with a 10-year running average (red line). The chronology is plotted with a record of ice-rafted mineral debris (blue histogram) formerly published in Wohlfarth et al. (1998). The vertical dashed lines indicate the interval analysed for ice-rafted debris grains. The three major depositional events identified by Wohlfarth et al. (1998) are also displayed. The green bar shows the timing of the regional AL-YD pollen boundary as defined in the Lateglacial varve chronology (Björck, 1999), which lags the onset of Greenland Stadial 1 by ~150 years.

Figure 6. XRF elemental results from new Gummetorpasjön’s varve records. All data are smoothed using a 10-point running mean to facilitate visualization (black line). For reference, the XRF data are presented with the optical and radiographic stratigraphies. The stratigraphic transition referred to as Event 1 and synchronous with the start of Greenland Stadial 1 in ice-core records is highlighted by the red dashed line. The XRF elemental profiles consistently show relative changes in ratio values 18-19 varve years before Event 1 (shaded area). Note inverse axis for Zr/Rb ratios.

Figure 7. Results of idealised model runs showing change in calving fluxes and terminus position 10 years after an instantaneous drop in lake level is applied. These
are shown for three different initial lake levels, and three different potential lake level drops. These experiments were run for (A) low, (B) medium, and (C) high basal roughness scenarios.

**Figure 8.** Calendar age of Event 1 in the new Lateglacial varve chronology relative to the GICC05 and IntCal13 time scale and compared with estimated age of the start of Greenland Stadial 1 in Greenland ice cores. The age of Event 1 is also compared with the combined probability of a number of calibrated radiocarbon dates constraining the timing of the first drainage of the Baltic Ice Lake and presented in Muschitiello *et al.* (in press). The calibrated radiocarbon dates refer to lake isolations from the sea, which indicate timing of deglaciation of the outlet system west of Mount Billingen in southern Sweden, near 11 000 $^{14}$C years BP (Björck and Digerfeldt 1982a, b). Two dates refer to isolation owing to concomitant lowering of the Baltic Ice Lake in Blekinge (Björck 1979) and one refers to the timing of the Baltic Ice Lake water-level fall as recorded in Arkona Basin, southern Baltic Sea (Bennike & Jensen 2013). All ages are here presented with their 1 sigma uncertainty and error bars. Under the assumption of synchronicity between Event 1, the first drainage of the Baltic Ice Lake and the onset of Greenland Stadial 1, the estimated offset between the GICC05 (after converting the b2k age into BP) and the IntCal13 time scales at the transition into Greenland Stadial 1 is of 30±22 years (1σ).

**Table 1.** AMS $^{14}$C dates from glacilacustrine varves of the Östergötland master chronology. All dates are based on selected terrestrial plant remains and used to construct the Bayesian wiggle-matching age model presented in this study.
Table 2. Input parameters used in the ice flow/calving model experiments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Local Varve Years</th>
<th>(^{14}\text{C} \text{ age (year)})</th>
<th>(^{14}\text{C} \text{ error (1 sigma)})</th>
<th>Used in the age model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ua-11233</td>
<td>Nedre Emmaren</td>
<td>2221±52</td>
<td>10 740</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-10181</td>
<td>Gummetorpsjön</td>
<td>2199±32</td>
<td>11 450</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-11234</td>
<td>Nedre Emmaren</td>
<td>2146±23</td>
<td>10 885</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-3131</td>
<td>Tynn</td>
<td>2125±35</td>
<td>10 890</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-10182</td>
<td>Gummetorpsjön</td>
<td>2123±30</td>
<td>11 470</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-10183</td>
<td>Gummetorpsjön</td>
<td>2090±18</td>
<td>11 030</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-4358</td>
<td>Hargsjön</td>
<td>2055±50</td>
<td>10 980</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-10184</td>
<td>Gummetorpsjön</td>
<td>2044±16</td>
<td>10 970</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-2753</td>
<td>Hargsjön</td>
<td>2010±45</td>
<td>10 480</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-10185</td>
<td>Gummetorpsjön</td>
<td>2009±16</td>
<td>11 230</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-4493</td>
<td>Adlerskogssjön</td>
<td>2003±59</td>
<td>10 830</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-4359</td>
<td>Hargsjön</td>
<td>1973±31</td>
<td>10 610</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-10186</td>
<td>Gummetorpsjön</td>
<td>1968±25</td>
<td>11 040</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-10187</td>
<td>Gummetorpsjön</td>
<td>1938±4</td>
<td>10 420</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-4496</td>
<td>Glottern</td>
<td>1856±50</td>
<td>10 585</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter/Constant</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice density $\rho_i$</td>
<td>$900 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meltwater density $\rho_w$</td>
<td>$1000 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proglacial water body density $\rho_p$</td>
<td>$1000 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravitational acceleration $g$</td>
<td>$9.8 \text{ m s}^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction exponent $m$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess floatation fraction $q$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen’s flow law exponent $n$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen’s flow law coefficient $A$</td>
<td>$2.93 \times 10^{17} \text{ Pa}^{-3} \text{ a}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Corresponding to -5 °C (Cuffey &amp; Paterson, 2010)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial grid size</td>
<td>~250 m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time step</td>
<td>0.005 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GIIC05 years BP

GI1-GS1 transition: 12846 ± 69 GIIC05 years BP
Event (1): 12847 ± 71 GIIC05 years BP

GI1-GS1 transition: 725 ± 6 years from Vedde Ash
Event (1): 726 ± 2 years from Vedde ash

Event (1): 12876 ± 22 IntCal13 years
BIL drainage: 12867 ± 66 IntCal13 years