

Root herbivore performance suppressed when feeding on a jasmonate-induced pasture grass

Article

Accepted Version

Johnson, S. N., Glauser, G., Hiltpold, I., Moore, B. D. and Ryalls, J. M. W. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2015-3605 (2018) Root herbivore performance suppressed when feeding on a jasmonate-induced pasture grass. Ecological Entomology, 43 (4). pp. 547-550. ISSN 0307-6946 doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12527 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/77330/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/een.12527

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

Short Communication

1

34

2 Root herbivore performance suppressed when feeding on a 3 jasmonate induced pasture grass 4 5 SCOTT N. JOHNSON¹, GAËTAN GLAUSER², IVAN HILTPOLD^{1,3}, BEN D. MOORE¹ and 6 JAMES M.W. RYALLS^{1,4} 7 8 9 ¹Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith, NSW 2751, 10 Australia 11 ²Neuchâtel Platform of Analytical Chemistry (NPAC), Université de Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland 12 ³Current address: Department of Entomology and Wildlife Ecology, University of Delaware, 531 South College 13 Avenue, Newark, DE 19716, USA 14 ⁴Centre for Agri-Environmental Research, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, RG6 15 6AR, United Kingdom 16 17 *Corresponding author – email: scott.johnson@westernsydney.edu.au, ph: (+61) 02 4570 1374 18 19 **Running title**: Jasmonate suppression of root herbivores 20 21 22 Abstract 23 1. Plants defend themselves from insect herbivore attack using a range of physical and chemical defences which 24 are in many cases regulated by phytohormones such as jasmonates. While much more is known about how 25 jasmonates regulate defence against aboveground herbivores (e.g. herbivores of leaves), there is increasing 26 interest in how they influence belowground defences. 27 2. For the Poaceae, most belowground studies focus on highly domesticated cereals. Here we demonstrate how 28 exogenous application of methyl jasmonate (MeJA) to the leaf blades of a non-domesticated pasture grass 29 (Microlaena stipoides) caused a more than two-fold decrease in relative growth rates (RGR) of a root-feeding 30 chafer (Dermolepida albohirtum). MeJA treatment did not affect root consumption rates, but substantially 31 reduced the efficiency of conversion of ingested food to body mass. 32 3. Non-targeted metabolomics identified significant changes in the metabolome of MeJA-induced plants, with 33 three compounds (a galactolipid, a trihydroxy fatty acid and a lysophospholipid) found to be correlated with

herbivore RGR, although their roles in herbivore defence remain uncertain.

4. This study suggests that an important Australian pasture grass can become better defended against root herbivores via enhanced jasmonate activity.

Key Words – belowground herbivore; grass; jasmonic acid; metabolomics; *Microlaena stipoides*; root herbivory.

Introduction

In the last decade, we have seen significant advances in our understanding of how plant hormones regulate plant defences against insect herbivores (Wu & Baldwin, 2010). Jasmonates are recognised as key regulators of chemical pathways that activate genes associated with herbivore defence aboveground (Jander & Howe, 2008). Compared to herbivores feeding aboveground, we know comparatively less about how jasmonate-regulated defences operate belowground against root herbivores (Erb *et al.*, 2012a; 2012b). While this situation is improving, the majority of studies addressing phytohormone induction and root herbivores are mainly concerned with domesticated plants, particularly cereals (Erb *et al.*, 2012a; Erb *et al.*, 2013; Lu *et al.*, 2015). These studies suggest that jasmonates, while less inducible than in the shoots, regulate root resistance to herbivores. Lower induction of jasmonates indicate that defensive machinery of the roots may be more sensitive of jasmonate activity or synergistic signals are involved. Salicylic acid, for example, which can dampen jasmonate-based defence, does not appear to be induced by root herbivores so its deficiency may indirectly boost jasmonate activity (Johnson *et al.*, 2016). In addition to being crop pests, root herbivores can be important within natural ecosystems because of their sheer abundance. The collective mass of pasture scarab beetles, for example, can outweigh that even of domesticated mammals grazing aboveground when considered per unit area (Frew *et al.*, 2016a).

A first step towards understanding jasmonate-regulated defences against root herbivores in pasture grasses would be to establish whether exposure of plant tissues to jasmonsates affects herbivore performance. Exogenous application of methyl jasmonic acid (MeJA) is a common approach for achieving this (Erb *et al.*, 2013; Lu *et al.*, 2015). We investigated whether MeJA application to an important pasture grass native to Australia, *Microlaena stipoides* (syn. *Ehrharta stipoides*), affects the performance and feeding behaviour of a root-feeding chafer insect. We undertook non-targeted metabolomic screening of MeJA-treated and untreated root tissue to explore whether changes in the root metabolome were related to root herbivore performance.

Methods and Materials

64 Plants

Forty weeping meadow grass (*Microlaena stipoides*) plants were grown from seed (Native Seeds, VIC, Australia) in all-purpose potting mix (Richgro, WA, Australia) in pots (70 mm diameter, 135 mm deep) for c. 32 weeks. The study was conducted in a naturally-lit glasshouse chamber ($3 \times 5 \times 3$ m; width × length × height) with UV transparent plexiglass walls and roof. Air temperature was regulated at 30 °C (± 4 °C) and fell to 22 °C (± 4 °C) at night. Humidity was controlled at 60 % (± 6 %). Plants were irrigated with c. 70mL of water three times a week and provided with a single dose (2g) of Osmocote Controlled Release fertilizer. Twenty plants were selected at random and were treated with MeJA (95%, Sigma Aldrich) by applying 1mL of a solution $100\mu g/mL$ MeJA (Sigma Aldrich) in Tween $20 \pm 0.1\%$ to the leaf blades, just above the soil surface, with a pipette. Control plants received 1mL of Tween $20 \pm 0.1\%$ only. This was repeated 24 and 48 hours later. Plants were then separated from the soil and were washed free of soil for feeding assays.

Feeding Assays

We used the greyback chafer (*Dermolepida albohirtum*) as a model grass-feeding root herbivore. This species is native to Australia and feeds in pastures but has also become a significant pest of sugarcane (Frew *et al.*, 2016a). Feeding assays were conducted as described by Frew *et al.* (2016b). In summary, individual third instar larvae were starved for 24 h, weighed and placed in a Petri dish (14 cm diam) with c. 4 g of fresh root material taken from one of 10 plants treated with MeJA or 10 control plants, each selected at random. Larvae fed for 24 h, then starved for 12 h to ensure all frass was expelled, before being reweighed. Evaporative water loss from roots during the assay was accounted for (Frew *et al.*, 2016b).

Relative growth rate (RGR) of larvae was calculated as: mass gained (g)/ initial mass (g)/time (days). Relative consumption (RC) is an estimate of the mass of root material ingested over the 24 h period relative to initial body mass. It was calculated as: food ingested (mg change in dry mass) / mean body mass over experimental period (mg fresh mass). Efficiency of conversion of ingested food (ECI) was calculated as: mass gained (mg change in fresh body mass)/food ingested (mg change in dry mass) \times 100.

 $Metabolomics\ sample\ preparation$

Root material not used for the feeding assays was snap frozen before being freeze dried and ball-milled to a fine powder. Five glass beads were added to 20 mg of each ground root sample, which was then shaken in a tissue lyser for 4 min in 500 μ L methanol:milliq water:formic acid (75:24.5:0.5 v/v) at 30 Hz. This was centrifuged and the supernatant was extracted for analysis.

Untargeted profiling was carried out by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry according to a protocol adapted from Gaillard *et al.* (2018). The separation was performed at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min using a Waters Acquity UPLC HSS T3 column (2.1 × 100mm, 1.7 µm particle size) maintained at 40°C. The following gradient of mobile phase A (water+0.05% formic acid) and B (acetonitrile+0.05% formic acid) was applied: 0-60% B in 6.0 min, 60-100% B in 2.5 min, holding at 100% for 2.0 min and reequilibration at 0% B for 3.0 min. The injection volume was of 2.5 µL. Mass spectrometric detection was performed in negative electrospray over a mass range of 85-1200 Da using independent data acquisition (MS^E). A pool of all samples was injected as quality control using the following sequence: two injections at the beginning of the batch, then one injection every 20 samples and at the end of the batch. Peak selection was performed as described by Gaillard *et al.* (2018). Compounds that were significantly up/down-regulated by JA were further selected for identification. Putative identification was achieved on the basis of (i) determination of molecular formulae from accurate mass measurements and (ii) mass spectral fragmentation characteristics and comparison with existing databases.

Statistical analysis

RGR was log transformed and analysed with a one-way ANOVA with MeJA-induction as the fixed factor. RC and ECI were analysed with Kruskal-Wallis tests. Compounds of potential interest relating to MeJA-induction were initially identified using partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA). Compounds with a PLS-DA PC1 score > 0.1 (i.e. the compounds that contribute to the majority of the dataset variance associated with MeJA-induction) were selected, before being analysed with one-way ANOVAs. Potential associations between concentrations of these compounds and RGR were examined with Pearson's correlation tests. All analysis was conducted with the R statistical package.

Results and Discussion

The RGR of larvae feeding on MeJA-induced grass roots was significantly lower than for those feeding on untreated plants (Fig. 1a; $F_{1.18}$ = 12.24, P = 0.003), with most larvae losing mass when feeding on MeJA-induced plants. There was no significant difference in RC with herbivores eating similar amounts of root tissue from MeJA-induced and non-treated plants (Fig. 1b; H_1 = 1.463, P = 0.226). As a result of this, ECI was much lower (-27.15 \pm 12.12) when feeding on MeJA-induced plants compared to non-treated plants (1.18 \pm 15.68) (median values \pm inter-quartiles; H_1 = 10.57 P < 0.001). In short, herbivores were feeding at similar rates on MeJA-induced and non-induced M. stipoides, but were unable to obtain adequate nutrition when feeding on MeJA-induced plants. This indicated that herbivores were not deterred from feeding on MeJA-induced plants (at least in the short-term) but their performance was being adversely affected by consuming such root tissue, which is at least compatible with enhanced production of defensive compounds

in the roots. Assays that aim to quantify feeding metrics of insect herbivores are often standardised to 24 hr (e.g. Slansky, 1985; Frew et al., 2016b), which was sufficient to detect suppression of herbivore performance in this study. We should, however, be cautious about extrapolating our findings over longer periods since larval D. albohirtum can live for over a year (Frew et al., 2016a) and it is unknown how long the effects of MeJA-induction persist for in M. stipoides. Nonetheless, exogenous MeJA application to rice resulted in comparable reductions in the growth of root herbivores (cucumber beetle, -50% and rice water weevil, -100%) when feeding for 7 and 20 d, respectively (Lu et al., 2015). In total, 14 primary and secondary metabolites in the roots of M. stipoides either increased or decreased in response to MeJA-induction, three of which were significantly, though not strongly, correlated with root herbivore RGR (Table 1). MeJA-induction caused concentrations of a galactolipid (a digalactosylmonoacylglyceride, 18:2-0:0-DGMG; C₃₃H₅₈O₁₄) to decrease, and this compound was positively correlated with RGR (Table 1). Galactolipids, including their aldehydes, can be major sources of essential fatty acids (Ohlsson, 2000) but can sometimes act as defences against insect and echindoderm herbivores (Deal et al., 2003). MeJA-induction also caused significant increases in C₁₉H₃₆O₅, (a monounsaturated trihydroxy fatty acid) and C₂₇H₅₂NO₉P (a linoleoyl-lyso phosphocholine), both of which were negatively correlated with insect RGR (Table 1). Unsaturated fatty acids can be toxic to insects in bioassays (Harada et al., 2000), including root herbivores (Bernklau et al., 2016). However, a saturated trihydroxy fatty acid, phloionolic acid (C₁₈H₃₆O₅) is a known constituent of suberin in cork (Pereira, 2007), and the monounsaturated C₁₉H₃₆O₅ trihydroxy fatty acid observed here may therefore reflect an increase in suberinisation of roots. Mono- and digalactosyldiacylglycerols also play roles in the regulation of systemic acquired resistance in plants (Gao et al., 2014). Perhaps the best candidate to explain the decreased herbivore performance is the linoleoyl lysophosphocholine, as some compounds in this class have been shown to be highly cytotoxic to human cancer cell lines (Niezgoda et al., 2015). To our knowledge, this is the first study to show that exogenous application of MeJA to a non-domesticated pasture grass has negative impacts on a root herbivore. Using metabolomics, we established that the concentrations of three compounds both changed in response to MeJA-induction and were correlated (either positively or negatively) with root herbivore performance. The exact structures of these compounds could not be resolved, and regardless, are relatively unstudied so it is unproven whether these are linked to the observed declines in herbivore performance and feeding efficiency. Further work and different analytical approaches such as detailed lipid profiling may shed light on this. Nonetheless, we were able to establish that MeJA-induction impaired root herbivore growth and thus resulted in roots that were potentially better defended; this was previously unknown for non-domesticated grasses. In reporting these

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158 findings, we aim to stimulate further interest in characterising belowground plant defences in non-domesticated as well 159 as domesticated grass species. 160 161 Author contributions. Project design: SNJ, IH, BDM. Data collection and statistical analysis: JMWR. Metabolomics 162 analysis: GG. All authors contributed manuscript preparation. 163 164 References 165 Bernklau, E.J., Hibbard, B.E. & Bjostad, L.B. (2016) Toxic and behavioural effects of free fatty acids on western corn 166 rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larvae. Journal of Applied Entomology, 140, 725-735. 167 Deal, M.S., Hay, M.E., Wilson, D. & Fenical, W. (2003) Galactolipids rather than phlorotannins as herbivore deterrents 168 in the brown seaweed Fucus vesiculosus. Oecologia, 136, 107-114. 169 Erb, M., Glauser, G. & Robert, C.A.M. (2012a) Induced immunity against belowground insect herbivores- activation of 170 defenses in the absence of a jasmonate burst. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 38, 629–640. 171 Erb, M., Meldau, S. & Howe, G.A. (2012b) Role of phytohormones in insect-specific plant reactions. Trends in Plant 172 Science, 17, 250-259. 173 Erb, M., Huber, M., Robert, C.A.M., Ferrieri, A.P., Machado, R.A.R. & Arce, C.C.M. (2013) The role of plant primary 174 and secondary metabolites in root-herbivore behaviour, nutrition and physiology. Advances in Insect 175 Physiology, 45, 53-95. 176 Frew, A., Barnett, K., Nielsen, U., Riegler, M. & Johnson, S.N. (2016a) Belowground ecology of scarabs feeding on 177 grass roots: current knowledge and future directions for management in Australasia. Frontiers in Plant 178 Science, 7, 321. 179 Frew, A., Powell, J.R., Sallam, N., Allsopp, P.G. & Johnson, S.N. (2016b) Trade-offs between silicon and phenolic 180 defences may explain enhanced performance of root herbivores on phenolic-rich plants. Journal of Chemical 181 Ecology, **42**, 768-771. 182 Gaillard, M.D.P., Glauser, G., Robert, C.A.M. & Turlings, T.C.J. (2018) Fine-tuning the 'plant domestication-reduced 183 defense' hypothesis: specialist vs generalist herbivores. New Phytologist, 217, 355-366. 184 Gao, Q.-m., Yu, K., Xia, Y., Shine, M.B., Wang, C., Navarre, D., . . . Kachroo, P. (2014) Mono- and 185 digalactosyldiacylglycerol lipids function nonredundantly to regulate systemic acquired resistance in plants.

186

Cell Reports, 9, 1681-1691.

L87	Harada, K., Suomalainen, M., Uchida, H., Masui, H., Ohmura, K., Kiviranta, J., Ikemoto, T. (2000) Insecticidal
188	compounds against mosquito larvae from Oscillatoria agardhii strain 27. Environmental Toxicology, 15, 114
L89	119.
L90	Jander, G. & Howe, G. (2008) Plant interactions with arthropod herbivores: State of the field. <i>Plant Physiology</i> , 146 ,
191	801–803.
192	Johnson, S.N., Erb, M. & Hartley, S.E. (2016) Roots under attack: contrasting plant responses to below- and
193	aboveground insect herbivory. New Phytologist, 210, 413-418.
194	Lu, J., Robert, C.A.M., Riemann, M., Cosme, M., Mène-Saffrané, L., Massana, J., Erb, M. (2015) Induced
195	jasmonate signaling leads to contrasting effects on root damage and herbivore performance. Plant Physiology
196	167 , 1100-16.
L97	Niezgoda, N., Gliszczynska, A., Gladkowski, W., Kempinska, K., Wietrzyk, J. & Wawrzenczyk, C. (2015)
198	Phosphatidylcholine with cis-9,trans-11 and trans-10,cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid isomers: Synthesis and
199	cytotoxic studies. Australian Journal of Chemistry, 68, 1065-1075.
200	Ohlsson, L.A. (2000). Digestion and absorption of galactolipids. In Fat digestion and absorption (eds A.B. Christophe
201	& S. De Vriese), pp. 287-297. AOCS, Champaign, ILL, USA.
202	Pereira, H. (2007) Cork: Biology, Production and Uses. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam.
203	Slansky, F. (1985) Food utilization by insects - interpretation of observed differences between dry-weight and energy
204	efficiencies. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 39, 47-60.
205	Wu, J.Q. & Baldwin, I.T. (2010) New insights into plant responses to the attack from insect herbivores. Annual Review
206	of Genetics, 44 , 1-24.