

Environment and host as large-scale controls of ectomycorrhizal fungi

Article

Accepted Version

van der Linde, S., Suz, L. M., Orme, C. D. L., Cox, F.,
Andreae, H., Asi, E., Atkinson, B., Benham, S., Carroll, C.,
Cools, N., De Vos, B., Dietrich, H.-P., Eichhorn, J., Gehrman,
J., Grebenc, T., Gweon, H. S., Hansen, K., Jacob, F.,
Kristöfel, F., Lech, P., Manninger, M., Martin, J., Meesenburg,
H., Merilä, P., Nicolas, M., Pavlenda, P., Rautio, P., Schaub,
M., Schröck, H.-W., Seidling, W., Šrámek, V., Thimonier, A.,
Thomsen, I. M., Titeux, H., Vanguelova, E., Verstraeten, A.,
Vesterdal, L., Waldner, P., Wijk, S., Zhang, Y., Žlindra, D. and
Bidartondo, M. I. (2018) Environment and host as large-scale
controls of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Nature*, 558. pp. 243-248.
ISSN 0028-0836 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0189-9>
Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/77579/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0189-9>

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group

Publisher statement: In the HTML version of this Article, author 'Filipa Cox' had no affiliation in the author list, although she was correctly associated with affiliation 3 (Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK) in the PDF. In addition, the blue circles for 'oak' were missing from Extended Data Fig. 1. These errors have been corrected online.

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **Environment and host as large-scale controls of ectomycorrhizal fungi**

2

3 **Authors**

4 Sietse van der Linde^{1,2,3}, Laura M. Suz², C. David L. Orme¹, Filipa Cox⁴, Henning Andreae⁵,
5 Endla Asi⁶, Bonnie Atkinson^{1,2}, Sue Benham⁷, Christopher Carroll¹, Nathalie Cools⁸, Bruno
6 De Vos⁸, Hans-Peter Dietrich⁹, Johannes Eichhorn¹⁰, Joachim Germann¹¹, Tine Grebenc¹²,
7 Hyun S. Gweon¹³, Karin Hansen¹⁴, Frank Jacob¹⁵, Ferdinand Kristöfel¹⁶, Paweł Lech¹⁷,
8 Miklós Manninger¹⁸, Jan Martin¹⁹, Henning Meesenburg¹⁰, Päivi Merilä²⁰, Manuel Nicolas²¹,
9 Pavel Pavlenda²², Pasi Rautio²³, Marcus Schaub²⁴, Hans-Werner Schröck²⁵, Walter
10 Seidling²⁶, Vít Šrámek²⁷, Anne Thimonier²⁴, Iben Margrete Thomsen²⁸, Hugues Titeux²⁹,
11 Elena Vanguelova⁷, Arne Verstraeten³⁰, Lars Vesterdal²⁸, Peter Waldner²⁴, Sture Wijk³¹,
12 Yuxin Zhang¹, Daniel Žlindra¹², Martin I. Bidartondo^{1,2}

13

14 ¹Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park, Ascot SL5 7PY, UK.

15 ²Comparative Plant and Fungal Biology, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew TW9 3DS, UK.

16 ³Forest Research, Ecosystems, Society and Biosecurity, Alice Holt Lodge, Farnham GU35
17 4LH, UK (present address).

18 ⁴Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK.

19 ⁵Public Enterprise Sachsenforst, Kompetenzzentrum Wald und Forstwirtschaft, Bonnewitzer
20 Straße 34, 01796 Pirna, Germany.

21 ⁶Estonian Environment Agency, Mustamäe tee 33, Tallinn, Estonia 10616.

22 ⁷Forest Research, Forestry and Climate Change, Alice Holt Lodge, Farnham GU35 4LH, UK.

23 ⁸Nature and Forest Research Institute, Environment and Climate, Gaverstraat 4, 9500
24 Geraardsbergen, Belgium.

25 ⁹Bavarian State Forestry Institute, Hans-Carl-von-Carlowitz-Platz 1, D-85354 Freising,
26 Germany.

27 ¹⁰Northwest German Forest Research Institute, Grätzelstrasse 2, D-37079 Göttingen,
28 Germany.

29 ¹¹Landesamt für Natur Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz Nordrhein-Westfalen, Leibnitzstrasse
30 10, 45659 Recklinghausen, Germany.

31 ¹²Slovenian Forestry Institution, Večna pot 2, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia.

32 ¹³Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6UR, UK.

33 ¹⁴IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute, 100 31 Stockholm, Sweden.

34 ¹⁵Staatsbetrieb Sachsenforst, Referat 43, Bonnewitzer Str. 34, 01796 Pirna, Germany.

35 ¹⁶Federal Research and Training Centre for Forests, Natural Hazards and Landscape (BFW)
36 Seckendorff-Gudent-Weg 8, 1131 Wien, Austria.

37 ¹⁷Forest Research Institute, Sękocin Stary, Braci Leśnej 3, 05-090 Raszyn, Poland.

38 ¹⁸NARIC Forest Research Institute, Várkerület 30/a, 9600 Sárvár, Hungary.

39 ¹⁹Landesforstanstalt M-V BT: FVI, Zeppelinstr. 3, 19061 Schwerin, Germany.

40 ²⁰Natural Resources Institute Finland, Paavo Havaksentie 3, 90570 Oulu, Finland.

41 ²¹Office National des Forêts, Recherche-Développement-Innovation, Bâtiment B, Boulevard
42 de Constance, 77300 Fontainebleau, France.

43 ²²National Forest Centre, T.G. Masaryka 22, 96092 Zvolen, Slovakia.

44 ²³Natural Resources Institute Finland, Eteläranta 55, FI-96301 Rovaniemi, Finland.

45 ²⁴Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Zürcherstrasse 111, CH-
46 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland.

47 ²⁵Forschungsanstalt für Waldökologie und Forstwirtschaft, Hauptstr. 16, 67705 Trippstadt,
48 Germany.

49 ²⁶Thünen Institute of Forest Ecosystems, Alfred-Möller-Str. 1, Haus 41/42, 16341
50 Eberswalde, Germany.

51 ²⁷Forestry and Game Management Research Institute, Strnady 136, 252 02 Jíloviště, Czech
52 Republic.

53 ²⁸Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej
54 23, DK-1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark.

55 ²⁹University of Louvain, Earth and Life Institute, Croix du Sud 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve,
56 Belgium.

57 ³⁰Instituut voor Natuur- en Bosonderzoek, Kliniekstraat 25, 1070 Brussels, Belgium.

58 ³¹Swedish Forest Agency, S-551 83 Jönköping, Sweden.

59

60 **Explaining the large-scale diversity of soil organisms that drive biogeochemical**
61 **processes and their responses to environmental change is critical. However, identifying**
62 **consistent drivers of below-ground diversity and abundance at large spatial scales**
63 **remains problematic for some soil organisms. We investigated a major guild, the**
64 **ectomycorrhizal fungi, at unprecedented scale and resolution across European forests to**
65 **explore key biotic and abiotic predictors, and to identify dominant responses and**
66 **thresholds across complex environmental gradients. Here we show the impact of 38**
67 **host, environment, climate and geographic variables on ectomycorrhizal diversity, and**
68 **we define thresholds of community change for key variables. We quantify host**
69 **specificity and reveal plasticity in functional traits involved in soil foraging across**
70 **gradients. We conclude that environmental and host factors explain most variation in**
71 **ectomycorrhizal diversity, the environmental thresholds used as major ecosystem**
72 **assessment tools need strong adjustment, and the importance of specificity and**
73 **plasticity below-ground has been underappreciated.**

74 The main projected impacts of environmental change on forest processes stem from global
75 and regional perturbations in the carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) cycles^{1,2} and declines in soil
76 biodiversity^{3,4}. Globally, mycorrhizal mutualisms mediate soil processes in terrestrial
77 ecosystems⁵ and are major drivers of ecosystem C and N dynamics⁶. Soil C sequestration^{7,8},
78 tree population dynamics⁹ and mitigation of CO₂ fertilization¹⁰ have recently been linked to
79 ectomycorrhizal (EM) symbioses, ubiquitous drivers of photosynthetic C exchange for soil
80 nutrients across temperate and boreal forests¹¹.

81 How changes in ecosystem processes are underpinned by EM fungi is poorly understood, but
82 likely large-scale effects of those changes, e.g. deteriorating tree mineral nutrition and health,
83 are being observed^{12,13}. Various ecological processes are only apparent at large spatial
84 scales¹⁴, and there is concern about lacking baseline EM distribution data against which to
85 assess effects of global change^{15,16}. Ectomycorrhizal research has emphasized laboratory or
86 local-scale studies, often reliant on few culturable fungi, to provide mechanistic
87 understanding of symbiotic physiology. However, determinants of EM diversity at local
88 scales are not necessarily their primary drivers at larger scales¹⁷, and EM communities are
89 often dominated by hardly culturable and non- or inconspicuously-fruiting fungi¹⁸.

90 Furthermore, EM community composition, richness, fine root biomass and morphology¹⁹⁻²¹
91 and fungal above-ground fruiting²² indicate different large-scale patterns and responses from
92 plants and animals; and EM richness increases with sample area more than for microbes^{17,23}.

93 Consequently, there have been repeated calls for unbiased, large-scale, molecular, ecosystem-
94 level baseline data on EM fungi^{15,18,20,24}. Elucidating large-scale EM diversity is crucial for
95 appropriate experimental design in ecosystem science and model organism selection for
96 experimental and comparative biology²⁵.

97 Unlike multiple local-scale studies where EM fungi are strongly determined by soil
98 environment^{26,27}, recent large-scale biogeographical studies report that, other than host

99 identity, soil, climate and atmospheric deposition explain remarkably limited variability²⁸⁻³³
100 (Supplementary Information Table 1). Most EM fungi are thought to have broad host ranges,
101 even though specialists can be widespread; but specificity is rarely quantified below-ground
102 at large scales³⁴.
103 Current EM environmental thresholds rarely integrate occurrence, abundance and
104 directionality of taxon responses, statistical analysis of large-scale standardized datasets, or
105 studies of low pollution sites^{16,35,36}. Critical loads are essential tools for international
106 atmospheric emissions control^{37,38}, but for EM fungi they differ markedly between Europe
107 and North America³⁶. In addition, EM physiological and morphological plasticity are thought
108 to enhance soil nutrient uptake of trees across environmental gradients³⁹; however, foraging-
109 related functional traits are assumed fixed at species- or genus-levels. Wide gradients with
110 abundant observations are needed to link plasticity and environment.
111 We conducted a detailed mycorrhizal analysis using one of the world's largest and most
112 intensive long-term monitoring networks of soil, atmospheric and vegetation parameters. We
113 analysed 38 variables at 137 plots in 20 European countries across strong environmental
114 gradients. We expected to (1) disentangle significant variability explained by co-varying
115 climatic, soil and atmospheric deposition factors, (2) test the generality of host specificity, (3)
116 detect precise thresholds of mycorrhizal change to inform environmental policy, and (4) infer
117 trait plasticity linked to key environmental gradients.

118

119 **Results**

120 We examined 29,664 ectomycorrhizas from 9,888 soil cores from 103 plots of ca. 0.25 ha in
121 18 European countries. Including data from 34 plots from Cox et al.¹⁸ and Suz et al.¹⁶,
122 resulted in 39,621 ectomycorrhizas from 137 plots in 20 countries across ca. 5.5 million km²
123 (Fig. 1). After removing short low-quality (12,038), chimeric (231), non-mycorrhizal (848)

124 and unknown (1,308) ITS DNA sequences, we retained 25,196 resulting in 1,406 EM fungal
125 operational taxonomic units (OTUs), 82% Basidiomycota and 18% Ascomycota (Fig 2); 914
126 were recorded more than once, and 90% were identified to genus or a higher taxonomic level,
127 of which 47% were identified to species.

128

129 **Composition and specificity**

130 We explained 38% of variance in community composition with forward-selected variables
131 according to the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). Variables were divided in four
132 partitions: host variables, soil+deposition, climate, and geographic distance (Supplementary
133 Table 2). Nine host variables explained most overall community variance (23%), followed by
134 soil+deposition (21%), geographic distance (14%) and climatic variables (12%). The
135 partitions shared 20% of overall explained variance (Fig. 3).

136 We used global non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordinations to visualize EM
137 fungal community composition and we fitted environmental variables to the ordination to
138 find the most influential variables (Extended Data Fig. 1, Extended Data Table 1). Thus, we
139 identified five key variables for subsequent analyses: N throughfall deposition (N_{TFD}), forest
140 floor pH, mean annual air temperature (MAT), K throughfall deposition (K_{TFD}) and foliar N:P
141 ratio ($N:P_F$).

142 Almost two-thirds (62%) of ectomycorrhizas correspond to fungi that produce above-ground
143 mushroom-like fruitbodies, the rest produce inconspicuous truffles, crusts or sclerotia. Based
144 on abundance, 48% were generalists and 52% specialists to coniferous or broadleaf hosts.

145 Only 7% of ectomycorrhizas were from specialists to one host tree species. Of the 88 OTUs
146 forming 50 or more ectomycorrhizas, 41% were generalists and 60% coniferous or broadleaf
147 specialists; eleven OTUs (12.5%) were specific to one host species.

148

149 **Indicators, thresholds and plasticity**

150 Threshold indicator species analyses identified decreasing (z-) and increasing indicator OTUs
151 (z+) for all five key environmental variables (Fig. 4, Extended Data Fig. 2). We identified
152 environmental thresholds of EM fungal community change by cumulating z- and z+ change
153 points. For N_{TFD} we found a sum(z-) peak at $5.8 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and a sum(z+) peak at 15.5 kg
154 $\text{N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. For N:P_F we detected peaks at 10.2 and 13.3 for sum(z-) and sum(z+),
155 respectively. We found a sum(z-) peak at $6.9 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and an indistinct sum(z+) peak at
156 $21.7 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for K_{TFD} . There was a distinct peak for forest floor pH for sum(z-) and
157 sum(z+) at 3.8. Indicator OTUs showed a clear threshold of change for MAT, with a 7.4°C z-
158 peak and a distinct 9.1°C z+ peak. Most z- for N_{TFD} , N:P_F, K deposition, forest floor pH and
159 MAT were conifer specialists while all z+ were generalists or broadleaf associates.
160 Generally, threshold values based on accumulated change-points of individual taxa were less
161 pronounced at genus than OTU level (Extended Data Fig. 3).
162 The observed frequencies of ectomycorrhizas with emanating hyphae and those with
163 rhizomorphs differed significantly between tree species ($P < 0.0001$, $df = 3$) and soil types (P
164 < 0.0001 , $df = 5$; Extended Data Table 2ab); hyphal frequencies were higher than expected
165 with beech and spruce and in Fe-Al soils, respectively. Thirty of the 88 most abundant OTUs
166 (≥ 50 ectomycorrhizas) showed morphological plasticity and 26 of them were also indicators
167 for a key environmental variable. The change in morphology of 17 of those EM taxa was
168 significantly related with at least one environmental variable (Extended Data Tables 3a, 4a).
169 Morphological plasticity related to at least one variable was found within 12 OTUs when a
170 more stringent 99% sequence similarity was used (Extended Data Tables 3b, 4b).
171 Intraspecific plasticity of individual indicator EM fungi does not necessarily follow overall
172 community morphological changes where logistic regressions showed that mean N_{TFD} was
173 positively related with hyphal presence ($P < 0.0001$). There was negative correlation between

174 hyphal presence and forest floor pH, N:P_F and K_{TFD}, but no correlation with MAT (Extended
175 Data Table 5). Community-wide, we found negative correlation between rhizomorph
176 presence and all tested environmental variables (Extended Data Table 5).

177

178 **Discussion**

179 This is the first large-scale high-resolution study of diversity and distribution of below-
180 ground tree symbionts covering all major European climatic regions for the most abundant
181 tree species. We explain considerable large-scale mycorrhizal diversity with an
182 unprecedented range and quality of environmental, host-related, climatic and geographic
183 variables. We identify large-scale environmental predictors, show the dominance of host
184 specificity, determine environmental indicators and new thresholds of change, and reveal
185 morphological plasticity along environmental gradients. These findings serve as a baseline to
186 assess future change and resilience.

187 Host-related, soil and atmospheric deposition variables were the most important predictors of
188 EM community structure across Europe. Four recent large-scale studies^{29,31-33} found these
189 variables to be minor predictors, even though in local-scale studies soil environment shows
190 strong effects^{26,27}. We distinguished five key environmental variables: N_{TFD}, N:P_F, forest
191 floor pH, K_{TFD} and MAT. Across previous large-scale studies, there is agreement that host
192 species and soil pH are important, but results about other variables disagree (Supplementary
193 Information Table 1). Inconsistent large-scale drivers of diversity and abundance have been
194 reported across different microbes⁴⁰, but host is also fundamental for prokaryotes at
195 macroecological scales⁴¹. Environmental effects on EM fungi in previous studies have
196 probably been confounded by: (i) environmental variables from modelled or extrapolated
197 regional sources; (ii) non-standardized sampling and spatial pseudo-replication; (iii) indirect
198 assignment of mycorrhizal status and traits using databases (e.g. UNITE, FunGuild,

199 DEEMY); (iv) semi-quantitative analysis of short DNA sequences; and (v) pooling DNA
200 samples from root hyphae, soil hyphae and dormant propagules even though EM spore banks
201 differ strongly from active communities on roots at local and large scales⁴², and ephemeral
202 above-ground reproductive structures and soil hyphae correspond weakly with active
203 communities on roots^{43,44}. As a result, up to 90% of variation in EM diversity at large scales
204 has remained unexplained by environmental models³³. The approach used here is considered
205 more robust⁴⁵ and generates higher quality data⁴⁶, but had yet to be scaled up due to technical
206 challenges. The large unexplained part of community structure may be attributed to
207 unaccounted factors such as disturbance, management history, stochasticity, interactions
208 among variables masking individual effects, measurement and analytical errors, exclusion of
209 rare species, seasonality, using taxonomic instead of functional diversity, and/or not covering
210 complete gradients of each variable across whole geographic ranges of hosts and fungi. In our
211 study, conifers have larger distribution and thus cover larger environmental gradients that
212 likely explain the different number of environmental variables linked to community
213 dissimilarities among hosts.

214 Host-related variables strongly influence EM fungal communities, thus symbiosis plays a
215 major role in shaping EM distributions. Studies on host specificity of EM fungi at large scales
216 have been mainly based on fruitbody surveys and thus assess specificity on taxonomic rather
217 than abundance levels⁴⁷. Host generalism is considered the rule⁴⁸, but intensive below-ground
218 analysis indicates EM fungal specificity to the most common European trees matches or
219 exceeds generalism on taxonomic and relative abundance levels, particularly for conifers. We
220 find more conifer specialists and they respond strongly to environmental gradients; the
221 implications of specificity and abundance merit investigation, as they can reflect,
222 respectively, more^{34,49} and less⁵⁰ efficient nutritional mutualisms.

223 We use threshold indicator taxon analyses for the first time for fungi at a continental scale to
224 identify distinct EM responses to key environmental variables and clear thresholds of change.
225 Indicator species emerged for all key environmental variables, and several EM taxa were
226 indicators for more than one. Different fungi within a family, and even a genus, can be both
227 positive and negative indicators for a variable; for instance, *Thelephora terrestris* and
228 *Tomentella castanea* are negative and positive indicators for N:P_F, respectively, and
229 *Lactarius rufus* and *L. hepaticus* are negative and positive indicators for N_{TFD}, respectively.
230 Nonetheless, genus-level analyses revealed most indicator species patterns hold true at higher
231 taxonomic ranks (Extended Data Fig. 3). In some genera, the aggregate of species acts as
232 indicator, although individual species do not (e.g. *Sistotrema*, *Clavulina* and *Boletus* for N_{TFD}
233 and K_{TFD}). For several genera we find a different response to elevated N_{TFD} than previous
234 studies, even those with consistent responses across studies⁵¹ (i.e. *Tomentella*, *Tylospora*,
235 *Cenococcum*, *Hebeloma*, *Amanita*). Furthermore, we confirm the response to elevated N_{TFD}
236 of several genera only recorded in few studies⁵¹ (i.e. *Clavulina*, *Elaphomyces*, *Boletus*,
237 *Amphinema*).

238 With increasing N availability, metabolically costly ways of obtaining N from complex soil
239 organic sources are less cost-effective; fungi that utilise those pathways (e.g. *Cortinarius*,
240 *Piloderma*, *Tricholoma*) are at a disadvantage compared to fungi that utilise inorganic N (e.g.
241 *Elaphomyces*, *Laccaria*)⁵¹. Indeed, organic N users tended to be negative indicators for N
242 deposition, and inorganic N users tended to be positive.

243 Some indicator species for K_{TFD} are abundant and widespread in Europe (e.g. *Elaphomyces*
244 *asperulus*, *Lactarius quietus*, *Piloderma sphaerosporum*); however, K_{TFD} has not been
245 identified as a key variable in previous EM studies. A meta-analysis showed that in 69% of
246 experiments tree growth responded positively to soil K increases⁵², but K is highly diffusible
247 in soil and easily accessible for plants. Some K_{TFD} may originate from canopy leaching; with

248 acidifying pollution, K leaches, and if depleted in foliage and litter, K availability in soil
249 organic matter could decrease. Moreover, K is taken up and translocated by EM fungi in a
250 specific manner (e.g. EM fungi with hydrophobins transfer less K)¹¹. This agrees with our
251 results; most negative indicator genera were hydrophobic and most positive ones
252 hydrophilic⁵³.

253 Based on the large number of indicator species for MAT, climate should play an important
254 role in shaping EM communities, as suggested by fruiting phenology studies⁵⁴. However, it is
255 difficult to distinguish MAT from climate and therefore to know whether a fungus occurs
256 somewhere because of prevalent temperatures. Nevertheless, current habitats may become
257 less favourable for many EM fungi as temperature increases.

258 Accumulated change-point values of all individual EM fungi indicate environmental
259 thresholds of change for most key environmental variables. There was a narrow range for
260 fungi negatively affected by N_{TFD} with a sharp threshold at $5.8 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. These mainly
261 conifer specialists thrive in poor soils and pre-industrial N levels (ca. $< 2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), but
262 cannot keep up with increased N_{TFD} from industrial, agricultural and transport emissions over
263 the last decades. They are likely out-competed by fungi that use the additional inorganic N or
264 avoid additional N uptake costs⁵⁵, particularly within the temperate distribution ranges of
265 beech and oak where N_{TFD} is greatest, and organic N users show some recovery in fruiting if
266 N pollution decreases⁵⁶. Positively-affected fungi, mostly host generalists lacking proteolytic
267 abilities, initially do well with additional inorganic N, giving them a competitive advantage.
268 However, their much broader response range and less defined peak at $15.5 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$
269 suggests adaptation by positively-affected fungi to increased N_{TFD} varies greatly. This might
270 be driven by geographically-divergent population-level evolutionary selection pressures on
271 fungi since the industrial revolution. Furthermore, naturally enriched microsites (e.g. animal

272 latrines, carcasses, disturbances) and macrosites (e.g. stands with N₂ fixers) could have pre-
273 adapted certain fungi.

274 We confirm and extend observations based on fruitbodies and roots at smaller scales⁵⁷ that
275 conifer specialists - most with abundant hyphae and rhizomorphs - are more negatively
276 affected by increasing N than broadleaf specialists and generalists. The strong differences
277 observed in host specificity between fungi negatively- and positively-affected by N_{TFD} may
278 be caused by differences in enzymatic capability to acquire N directly from complex soil
279 organic compounds, thus circumventing mineralization, and in resource exchange rate, e.g. if
280 specialists transfer more soil N per unit of tree C than generalists³⁴. Comparative genetic,
281 physiological and ecological studies of the different sets of dominant indicators are now
282 needed to test alternative models of EM community optimisation versus parasitism under
283 changing C and N conditions⁵⁸ through species replacement, plasticity and/or evolution⁵⁹.

284 Large-scale below-ground analysis contributes important information on ecosystem
285 assessment tools for a uniquely important guild of forest organisms. Critical loads for
286 eutrophying N deposition were previously estimated for EM fungi, largely based on expert
287 opinion and above-ground data, at 5-10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for North America³⁶ and 10-20 kg N ha⁻¹
288 yr⁻¹ for Europe⁶⁰. Thresholds based on European EM data have focused on few sites across
289 smaller gradients or EM richness and evenness instead of community composition^{16,35}. Our
290 large N deposition gradient leads to a much lower European threshold value for a substantial
291 EM shift at 5-6 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, based on both throughfall and open field deposition data,
292 approaching recent lower estimates for other forest organisms^{61,62}. Caution is needed
293 inferring absolute values for critical loads, but based on our results critical loads for European
294 forests need strong adjustment towards those for North American forests, and EM and forest
295 change thresholds need aligning to explain alarming deterioration in European tree
296 nutrition¹³. Critical N:P_F are considered plant specific⁶³ and N:P_F has been linked to tree

297 health, with breakpoint values of 7.3 for conifers and 14.8 for broadleaf trees regarding
298 defoliation¹². We show that lower (10.2) and upper (13.3) N:P_F thresholds for EM
299 communities are linked to conifers and broadleaves, respectively. Community threshold
300 forest floor pH levels for negative and positive indicator species overlap. Although soil pH is
301 anthropogenically influenced (e.g. liming) and soil acidification affects parts of Europe⁶⁴, the
302 major soil pH differences across forests arise from soil parent material and climatic
303 differences over long timescales, and must have long influenced EM communities.
304 Nonetheless, individual species could be affected. For K_{TFD}, no threshold values for EM
305 composition have been published. We identify a 5-8 kg K ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ threshold for declining
306 species; however, K_{TFD} results partly from K uptake and leaching by trees, which may be
307 influenced by EM fungi themselves. Therefore, research into K deposition and cycling is
308 needed for EM communities¹¹ and forests⁵².

309 Physiological and morphological heterogeneity and plasticity of EM mycelium have been
310 considered responsible for enabling trees to rapidly take up soil nutrients^{65,66}, here we show
311 morphological plasticity within dominant EM taxa and changes over environmental
312 gradients. This has significant implications for functional diversity studies at large-scales
313 and/or across gradients. Indirect assignment of EM functional traits to taxonomic groups
314 merits caution and their temporal variation merits investigation.

315 We conclude that intensive and extensive organismal and environmental data collection, with
316 multiple biotic and abiotic co-varying factors, reveals soil, atmospheric deposition and
317 climate variables control large-scale patterns of species distributions in EM communities.
318 Such data allow linking species and community responses to environmental thresholds acting
319 across macroecological scales and deliver new insights into spatial variation in specificity and
320 functional trait plasticity below-ground.

321

322 **References**

- 323 1. Canadell, J. G. *et al.* Contributions to accelerating atmospheric CO₂ growth from
324 economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
325 *USA* **104**, 18866–18870 (2007).
- 326 2. Galloway, J. N. *et al.* Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: recent trends, questions, and
327 potential solutions. *Science* **320**, 889 (2008).
- 328 3. European Union Soil Thematic Strategy. COM(2006) 231 (2006).
- 329 4. Janssens, I. A. *et al.* Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen
330 deposition. *Nature Geosci* **3**, 315–322 (2010).
- 331 5. Johnson N. C., Jansa J. Mycorrhizas: At the interface of biological, soil and, earth
332 sciences. *Mycorrhizal mediation of soil: Fertility, structure, and carbon storage*, 1-6
333 Elsevier, Amsterdam (2017).
- 334 6. Van der Heijden, M. G. A., Martin, F. M., Selosse, M.-A. & Sanders, I. R. Mycorrhizal
335 ecology and evolution: the past, the present, and the future. *New Phytol.* **205**, 1406–1423
336 (2015).
- 337 7. Averill, C., Turner, B. L. & Finzi, A. C. Mycorrhiza-mediated competition between
338 plants and decomposers drives soil carbon storage. *Nature* **505**, 543–545 (2014).
- 339 8. Clemmensen, K. E. *et al.* Roots and associated fungi drive long-term carbon sequestration
340 in boreal forest. *Science* **339**, 1615–1618 (2013).
- 341 9. Bennett, J. A. *et al.* Plant-soil feedbacks and mycorrhizal type influence temperate forest
342 population dynamics. *Science* **355**, 181–184 (2017).
- 343 10. Terrer, C., Vicca, S., Hungate, B. A., Phillips, R. P. & Prentice, I. C. Mycorrhizal
344 association as a primary control of the CO₂ fertilization effect. *Science* **353**, 72–74
345 (2016).
- 346 11. Smith, S. E. & Read, D. E. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*, 3rd edn. Academic, London (2008).

- 347 12. Veresoglou, S. D. *et al.* Exploring continental-scale stand health – N : P ratio
348 relationships for European forests. *New Phytol.* **202**, 422–430 (2014).
- 349 13. Jonard, M. *et al.* Tree mineral nutrition is deteriorating in Europe. *Glob. Change Biol.* **21**,
350 418–430 (2015).
- 351 14. Levin S. A. Multiple scales and the maintenance of biodiversity. *Ecosystems* **3**, 498-506
352 (2000).
- 353 15. Lilleskov, E. A. & Parrent, J. L. Can we develop general predictive models of
354 mycorrhizal fungal community–environment relationships? *New Phytol.* **174**, 250–256
355 (2007).
- 356 16. Suz, L. M. *et al.* Environmental drivers of ectomycorrhizal communities in Europe’s
357 temperate oak forests. *Mol. Ecol.* **23**, 5628–5644 (2014).
- 358 17. Peay, K. G., Matheny P. B. Biogeography of ectomycorrhizal Fungi. In *The molecular*
359 *mycorrhizal symbiosis*, F. Martin, ed. John Wiley & Sons, pp. 341-361. (2017).
- 360 18. Cox, F., Barsoum, N., Lilleskov, E. A. & Bidartondo, M. I. Nitrogen availability is a
361 primary determinant of conifer mycorrhizas across complex environmental gradients.
362 *Ecol. Lett.* **13**, 1103–1113 (2010).
- 363 19. Cudlin, P. *et al.* Fine roots and ectomycorrhizas as indicators of environmental change.
364 *Plant Biosyst.* **141**, 406–425 (2007).
- 365 20. Tedersoo, L. *et al.* Towards global patterns in the diversity and community structure of
366 ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Mol. Ecol.* **21**, 4160–4170 (2012).
- 367 21. Ostonen, I. *et al.* Adaptive root foraging strategies along a boreal–temperate forest
368 gradient. *New Phytol.* **215**, 977–991 (2017).
- 369 22. Kauserud, H. *et al.* Warming-induced shift in European mushroom fruiting phenology.
370 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 14488–14493 (2012).

- 371 23. Peay, K. G., Bruns, T. D., Kennedy, P. G., Bergemann, S. E. & Garbelotto, M. A strong
372 species–area relationship for eukaryotic soil microbes: island size matters for
373 ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Ecol. Lett.* **10**, 470–480 (2007).
- 374 24. Peay, K. G., Bidartondo, M. I. & Arnold, A. E. Not every fungus is everywhere: scaling
375 to the biogeography of fungal–plant interactions across roots, shoots and ecosystems.
376 *New Phytol.* **185**, 878–882 (2010).
- 377 25. Suz, L. M. *et al.* Monitoring ectomycorrhizal fungi at large scales for science, forest
378 management, fungal conservation and environmental policy. *Ann. For. Sci.* **72**, 877–885
379 (2015).
- 380 26. Peay, K. G., Kennedy, P. G., Davies, S. J., Tan, S. & Bruns, T. D. Potential link between
381 plant and fungal distributions in a dipterocarp rainforest: community and phylogenetic
382 structure of tropical ectomycorrhizal fungi across a plant and soil ecotone. *New Phytol.*
383 **185**, 529–542 (2010).
- 384 27. Taylor D. L., Hollingsworth T. N., McFarland J. W., Lennon N. J., Nusbaum C., Russ R.
385 W. A first comprehensive census of fungi in soil reveals both hyperdiversity and fine-
386 scale niche partitioning. *Ecol. Monogr.* **84**, 3-20 (2014).
- 387 28. Bahram, M., Peay, K. G. & Tedersoo, L. Local-scale biogeography and spatiotemporal
388 variability in communities of mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol.* **205**, 1454–1463 (2015).
- 389 29. Kennedy, P. G., Garibay-Orijel, R., Higgins, L. M. & Angeles-Arguiz, R.
390 Ectomycorrhizal fungi in Mexican *Alnus* forests support the host co-migration hypothesis
391 and continental-scale patterns in phylogeography. *Mycorrhiza* **21**, 559–568 (2011).
- 392 30. Kennedy, P.G. *et al.* Scaling up: examining the macroecology of ectomycorrhizal fungi.
393 *Mol. Ecol.* **21**, 4151–4154 (2012).

- 394 31. Pöhlme, S. *et al.* Biogeography of ectomycorrhizal fungi associated with alders (*Alnus*
395 spp.) in relation to biotic and abiotic variables at the global scale. *New Phytol.* **198**, 1239–
396 1249 (2013).
- 397 32. Talbot, J. M. *et al.* Endemism and functional convergence across the North American soil
398 mycobiome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 6341–6346 (2014).
- 399 33. Tedersoo, L. *et al.* Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science* **346**, 1256688
400 (2014).
- 401 34. Molina, R. & Horton, T. R. Mycorrhiza specificity: Its role in the development and
402 function of common mycelial networks. in *Mycorrhizal Networks* (ed. Horton, T. R.) 1–
403 39 (Springer Netherlands, 2015). doi:10.1007/978-94-017-7395-9_1.
- 404 35. De Witte, L. C., Rosenstock, N. P., van der Linde, S. & Braun, S. Nitrogen deposition
405 changes ectomycorrhizal communities in Swiss beech forests. *Sci. Total Environ.* **605–**
406 **606**, 1083–1096 (2017).
- 407 36. Pardo, L. H. *et al.* Effects of nitrogen deposition and empirical nitrogen critical loads for
408 ecoregions of the United States. *Ecol. Appl.* **21**, 3049–3082 (2011).
- 409 37. Hettelingh, J.-P. *et al.* Effects-based integrated assessment modelling for the support of
410 European air pollution abatement policies. in *Critical loads and dynamic risk*
411 *assessments: nitrogen, acidity and metals in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems* (eds. de
412 Vries, W., Hettelingh, J.-P. & Posch, M.) 613–635 (Springer Netherlands, 2015).
413 doi:10.1007/978-94-017-9508-1_25.
- 414 38. Reis, S. *et al.* From acid rain to climate change. *Science* **338**, 1153–1154 (2012).
- 415 39. Lilleskov, E. A., Hobbie, E. A. & Horton, T. R. Conservation of ectomycorrhizal fungi:
416 exploring the linkages between functional and taxonomic responses to anthropogenic N
417 deposition. *Fun. Ecol.* **4**, 174–183 (2011).

- 418 40. Hendershot, J. N., Read, Q. D., Henning, J. A., Sanders, N. J. & Classen, A. T.
419 Consistently inconsistent drivers of microbial diversity and abundance at macroecological
420 scales. *Ecology* **98**, 1757–1763 (2017).
- 421 41. Thompson, L. R. *et al.* A communal catalogue reveals Earth’s multiscale microbial
422 diversity. *Nature* **551**, 457 (2017).
- 423 42. Glassman S. I. *et al.* A continental view of pine-associated ectomycorrhizal fungal spore
424 banks: a quiescent functional guild with a strong biogeographic pattern. *New Phytol.* **205**,
425 1619-1631 (2015).
- 426 43. Gardes, M. & Bruns, T. D. Community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a *Pinus*
427 *muricata* forest: above- and below-ground views. *Can. J. Bot.* **74**, 1572–1583 (1996).
- 428 44. Anderson, I. C. & Cairney, J. W. G. Ectomycorrhizal fungi: exploring the mycelial
429 frontier. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **31**, 388–406 (2007)
- 430 45. Buée M., Sentausa E., Murat C. Molecular technologies applied to the ecology of
431 ectomycorrhizal communities. in *Molecular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis* (ed. Martin F.) 323–
432 406 (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2016). DOI: 10.1002/9781118951446.ch18.
- 433 46. Tedersoo, L. & Nilsson, R. H. Molecular identification of fungi. in *Molecular*
434 *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis* (ed. Martin F.) 299–322 (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2016).
435 DOI:10.1002/9781118951446.ch17.
- 436 47. Newton, A. C. & Haigh, J. M. Diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungi in Britain: a test of the
437 species–area relationship, and the role of host specificity. *New Phytol.* **138**, 619–627
438 (1998).
- 439 48. Peay, K. G. The mutualistic niche: mycorrhizal symbiosis and community dynamics.
440 *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **47**, 143–164 (2016).

- 441 49. Taylor, A. F. S., Fransson, P. M., Högberg, P., Högberg, M. N. & Plamboeck, A. H.
442 Species level patterns in ^{13}C and ^{15}N abundance of ectomycorrhizal and saprotrophic
443 fungal sporocarps. *New Phytol.* **159**, 757–774 (2003).
- 444 50. Hortal, S. *et al.* Role of plant–fungal nutrient trading and host control in determining the
445 competitive success of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *ISME J.* **11**, 2666 (2017).
- 446 51. Lilleskov, E. A., Hobbie, E. A. & Horton, T. R. Conservation of ectomycorrhizal fungi:
447 exploring the linkages between functional and taxonomic responses to anthropogenic N
448 deposition. *Fun. Ecol.* **4**, 174–183 (2011).
- 449 52. Tripler C. E., Kaushal S. S., Likens G. E., Walter M. T. Patterns of potassium dynamics
450 in forest ecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.* **9**, 451–466 (2006).
- 451 53. Agerer, R. Exploration types of ectomycorrhizae. *Mycorrhiza* **11**, 107–114 (2001).
- 452 54. Boddy, L. *et al.* Climate variation effects on fungal fruiting. *Fun. Ecol.* **10**, 20–33 (2014).
- 453 55. Wallander, H. A new hypothesis to explain allocation of dry matter between mycorrhizal
454 fungi and pine seedlings in relation to nutrient supply. *Plant Soil* **168**, 243–248 (1995).
- 455 56. Van Strien, A. J., Boomsluiters, M., Noordeloos, M. E., Verweij, R. J. T. & Kuyper, T. W.
456 Woodland ectomycorrhizal fungi benefit from large-scale reduction in nitrogen
457 deposition in the Netherlands. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **55**, 290–298 (2018).
- 458 57. Arnolds, E. Decline of ectomycorrhizal fungi in Europe. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **35**,
459 209–244 (1991).
- 460 58. Lilleskov, E.A. How do composition, structure, and function of mycorrhizal fungal
461 communities respond to nitrogen deposition and ozone exposure? in *The Fungal*
462 *Community* (eds. Dighton, J. White, J.) 769–801 (CRC Press., 2005).
- 463 59. Kiers, E. T., Palmer, T. M, Ives, A. R., Bruno, J. F. & Bronstein, J. L. Mutualisms in a
464 changing world: an evolutionary perspective. *Ecol. Lett.* **13**, 1459–1474 (2010).

- 465 60. Bobbink, R. & Hettelingh, J. P. Effects of nitrogen deposition on woodland, forest and
466 other wooded land (EUNIS class G). in *Review and Revision of Empirical Critical Loads
467 and Dose-Response Relationships*. RIVM Report 680359002, pp. 135–171 (2017).
- 468 61. Giordani, P. *et al.* Detecting the nitrogen critical loads on European forests by means of
469 epiphytic lichens. A signal-to-noise evaluation. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **311**, 29–40 (2014).
- 470 62. Leppänen, S. M., Salemaa, M., Smolander, A., Mäkipää, R. & Tirola, M. Nitrogen
471 fixation and methanotrophy in forest mosses along a N deposition gradient. *Env. Exp.
472 Bot.* **90**, 62–69 (2013).
- 473 63. Güsewell, S. N : P ratios in terrestrial plants: variation and functional significance. *New
474 Phytol.* **164**, 243–266 (2004).
- 475 64. Cools, N. & De Vos, B. Availability and evaluation of European forest soil monitoring
476 data in the study on the effects of air pollution on forests. *iForest* **4**, 205–211 (2011).
- 477 65. Hazard, C. & Johnson, D. Does genotypic and species diversity of mycorrhizal plants and
478 fungi affect ecosystem function? *New Phytol.* (2018) DOI: 10.1111/nph.15010.
- 479 66. Chen, W. *et al.* Root morphology and mycorrhizal symbioses together shape nutrient
480 foraging strategies of temperate trees. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 8741–8746 (2016).

481

482 **Supplementary Information** is available in the online version of the paper.

483

484 **Acknowledgements** NERC grant NE/K006339/1 to M.B. and D.O. Analysis partly based on
485 ICPF PCC Database (<http://icp-forests.net>). ICPF FSCC provided first Level II soil survey
486 data. ICPF PCC and observers, technicians and scientists performed long-term sampling,
487 analyses and environmental data handling largely funded by national institutions and
488 ministries, supported by governmental bodies, services and landowners, and partially EU-
489 funded under Regulation (EC) No.2152/2003 (Forest Focus), project LIFE07ENV/D/000218

490 (FutMon), and through SWETHRO. Co-financing for D.Ž. and T.G. by P4-0107 (RS Higher
491 Education, Science and Technology Ministry). D. Devey and L. Csiba for laboratory
492 assistance, S. Boersma, F., H. and J. van der Linde, C. Gonzales, A. and R. Lenz, S. Wipf, L.
493 Garfoot, B. Spake, W. Rimington, J. Kowal, T. Solovieva, D. Gane, M. Terrington, J. Alden,
494 A. Otway, V. Kemp, M. Edgar, Y. Lin, A. Drew, E. Booth, P. Cachera, R. De-Kayne, J.
495 Downie, A. Tweedy, E. Moratto, E. Ek, P. Helminen, R. Lievonen, P. Närhi, A. Ryyänen,
496 M. Rupel, J. Draing and F. Heun for field and laboratory work, R. Castilho for
497 bioinformatics, K.-H. Larsson, P.-A. Moreau, J. Nuytinck and M. Ryberg for taxonomy, N.
498 Barsoum, E. Lilleskov, D. Read and T. Kuyper for discussions throughout.

499

500 **Author contributions** M.B. conceived study. S.V., M.B., F.C., L.S., B.A. led most sampling
501 design and fieldwork. S.V., B.A., L.S., F.C., Y.Z., M.B. processed and analysed samples.
502 H.A., E.A., S.B., N.C., B.D., H.D., J.E., J.G., T.G., K.H., F.J., F.K., P.L., M.M., J.M., H.M.,
503 P.M., M.N., P.P., P.R., M.S., H.S., W.S., V.Š., A.T., I.T., H.T., E.V., A.V., L.V., P.W., S.W.,
504 D.Ž. assisted fieldwork and collected, collated and validated long-term environmental data.
505 S.V., H.G., D.O. performed bioinformatics. S.V., D.O., L.S. performed data analysis. C.C.
506 summarized literature. S.V. drafted manuscript, M.B. provided chief contributions, D.O., L.S.
507 contributed extensively. All authors wrote and reviewed manuscript. S.V., L.S., D.O., M.B.
508 led revision.

509

510 **Author information** Reprints and permissions information available at
511 www.nature.com/reprints. R code available upon reasonable request. Sequencing data
512 available through DRYAD under doi:10.5061/dryad.cr70qc8. Environmental data available
513 from ICPF but restrictions apply. Data available from authors upon reasonable request with

514 ICPF permission. Authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and
515 material requests to S.V. (sietse.vanderlinde@forestry.gsi.gov.uk).

516

517 **Figure legends**

518 **Figure 1: Map of Europe showing sampled UNECE ICP Forests Level II plots.**

519 Polygons depict outer boundaries of the sampled area for each host tree species.

520

521 **Figure 2: Krona chart of taxonomic affiliation of ectomycorrhizas and their relative**

522 **abundance.** Inner circles represent higher taxonomic ranks, while more detailed taxonomic

523 ranks (up to species level) are presented in outer circles. A full interactive version of this

524 chart is available in the online version of this article (Supplementary Information Fig. 1).

525

526 **Figure 3: Variation partitioning Venn diagram** showing the percentages of individual

527 contributions of host variables (host species, foliar chemistry and defoliation),

528 soil+deposition variables, climatic variables and geographic distance. Percentage of variance

529 explained by multiple partition models is shown where ellipses overlap. Values in brackets

530 show the total percentage of variance explained by the four partitions. Residual variance

531 represents the percentage unexplained by the four partition models.

532

533 **Figure 4: Threshold indicator taxa analyses (TITAN)** on individual OTU abundances in

534 response to N_{TFD} (a). Black symbols show taxa declining with increasing N_{TFD} (z^-), open

535 symbols depict increasing taxa (z^+). Symbol size is proportional to magnitude of response (z -

536 score). Horizontal lines represent 5th and 95th quantiles of values resulting in the largest

537 change in taxon z -scores among 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Tree shapes indicate host

538 generalist, conifer- or broadleaf-specific. Community-level output of accumulated z-scores
539 per plot is shown in response to N_{TFD} (b).

540

541 **Materials and methods**

542 *Sampling and processing*

543 Since 1995, the International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air
544 Pollution Effects on Forests^{67,68} has been intensively monitoring ca. 800 plots (Level II) in
545 major forest ecosystems across Europe⁶⁹. Their extensive *in situ* data better reflect the local
546 environmental conditions of plots than regional modelled or extrapolated data⁷⁰. These Level
547 II plots of at least 0.25 ha and located within homogenous forest stands are structurally
548 diverse and cover a representative mixture of European managed forest types (ranging from
549 plantations to natural regenerating forests)⁷¹. European forests are dominated by Scots pine,
550 Norway spruce and European beech (60% of EU30 forest area), with the next three most
551 common tree species together covering 10%. We selected all ICP Forests Level II plots
552 where deposition, meteorology, foliar chemistry, soil and preferably soil solution data are
553 measured simultaneously, and between September 2013 and September 2015 we sampled
554 plots with European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.; n = 35), Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) H.
555 Karst; n = 36) or Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.; n = 32) as the dominant (>50% abundance)
556 tree species. We combined these with additional data similarly collected from Scots pine
557 Level II plots by Cox et al.¹⁸ (n = 12) and pedunculate and sessile oak (*Quercus robur* L. and
558 *Q. petraea* (Matt.) Liebl) by Suz et al.¹⁶ (n = 22), to give a widespread coverage of European
559 forest areas (Fig. 1).

560 We used Sanger DNA sequencing of the full internal transcribed spacer (ITS) amplicon from
561 individual ectomycorrhizas to maximise resolution of identifications, obtain relative
562 abundance data and link DNA sequences directly to morphology, following the standardized

563 sampling protocols of Cox et al.¹⁸ and Suz et al.¹⁶. Briefly, on each plot (n = 137) 24 trees of
564 the investigated target tree species were randomly selected and from those trees a transect
565 was made to the nearest tree of the target species, then four soil samples (25 cm deep, 2 cm
566 diameter) were collected at equal distances on each transect. When plots contained multiple
567 tree species, areas with non-target tree species were avoided. Soil samples were stored at 4°C
568 up to ten days until processed. Roots from each soil core were rinsed on a 0.5 mm sieve, and
569 mycorrhizal roots were collected for five minutes using a dissecting microscope.
570 Subsequently, from each soil sample, an individual mycorrhiza was sampled from the three
571 longest roots, resulting in 288 mycorrhizas per plot. Morphological characteristics of each
572 mycorrhiza were recorded, including presence/absence of emanating hyphae and
573 rhizomorphs, and turgor to assess activity. Genomic DNA from individual mycorrhizas was
574 obtained using Extract-N-Amp (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and the ITS region of
575 the nuclear rDNA was amplified using ITS1F⁷² and ITS4⁷³ primers. Amplicons were purified
576 using ExoSAP-IT (USB, Cleveland, OH, USA) and sequenced bidirectionally using
577 BigDye3.1 with an ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

578

579 *Environmental data*

580 On the Level II plots various environmental long-term measurements (average 14 years) were
581 carried out using national protocols based on a harmonized methodology⁷⁴ (see
582 Supplementary Information Table 2). Soil types were classified in ten types: Andosols,
583 Arenosols, Calcisols, Cambisols, Leptosols, Podzols, Regosols, Umbrisols, soil types
584 characterised by an Argic B horizon (i.e. Luvisols and Alisols), and soils with gleyic
585 properties (i.e. Gleysols and Stagnosols)^{64,75}. Whilst maximizing the number of plots without
586 missing values (n = 108), we selected available data including forest age, level of
587 defoliation⁷⁶, geographical coordinates and elevation along with soil (eight variables) and

588 foliar (seven variables of investigated tree species)⁷⁷ data, atmospheric throughfall deposition
589 chemistry (wet and dry under forest canopy deposition, 11 variables)⁷⁸ and meteorology (six
590 variables)⁷⁹.

591

592 *Bioinformatics*

593 We used Phred⁸⁰ to obtain base quality scores (Q) for both forward and reverse DNA
594 sequences from all individual mycorrhizas, including DNA sequences from Cox et al.¹⁸ and
595 Suz et al.¹⁶. The two sequences obtained from each mycorrhiza were assembled in Geneious
596 (version 8.1.8)⁸¹, with the De Novo Assemble tool. We used Trimmomatic⁸² to remove low
597 quality bases (Q < 20) at either end of the sequences and then discarded short reads (< 100
598 remaining bp). We then used the uchime_ref tool in vsearch⁸³ to match chimeric sequences
599 against the UNITE reference database (version 7.1, 22/08/2016).

600 We used the usearch_global tool in vsearch to identify remaining DNA sequences with a
601 percentage match $\geq 97\%$ to UNITE 7.1 species hypotheses⁸⁴. From the remaining unmatched
602 sequences, we first removed all sequences with ambiguous base pair codes and then used the
603 cluster_fast tool in vsearch, to identify *de novo* operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clusters.

604 The unmatched sequences were then matched to the centroids of these *de novo* clusters;
605 sequences were accepted with a percentage identity $\geq 97\%$ and the remainder discarded.

606 We used three sources of information for each *de novo* centroid to confirm the identification
607 of the fungal sequences and to provide tentative classifications. First, we examined the ten
608 best alignments from BLAST searches⁸⁵ of the Genbank nucleotide database. Second, we
609 trained RDP Classifier⁸⁶ against the UNITE 7.1 database and then classified the *de novo*
610 centroids against the trained database. Third, we used vsearch to obtain the best match of
611 each centroid to the UNITE 7.1 species hypotheses.

612 Finally, we checked the EM status of all OTUs by comparing the taxonomic classification
613 based on UNITE with the literature^{87,88}. When OTUs assigned in UNITE to species
614 hypothesis were identified to a taxonomic level that includes both EM and non-EM fungi
615 (e.g. Agaricomycetes sp.), we retrieved the taxonomic names associated with all UNITE
616 DNA sequences within that species hypothesis to assess the level of uncertainty in the
617 classification of the species hypothesis. We discarded *de novo* OTUs with less resolved
618 classification: (a) whose classification was distant from known EM fungi, (b) where the root
619 tip morphology suggested possibly dead plant or fungal tissue, and (c) which were based on
620 relatively short sequences (<150 bp). The set of identified EM fungal sequences was then
621 used to construct an abundance matrix of OTUs across sites. We used the Hellinger
622 transformation of proportion abundance⁸⁹ in subsequent analyses. Host specificity of
623 abundant OTUs (≥ 50 EM) was established by scoring occurrence at plots with the different
624 tree hosts. The OTUs occurring with one host tree species in a plot were considered strictly
625 specific and OTUs occurring with both coniferous and broadleaf or with more than two tree
626 species were considered generalists.

627

628 *Statistical analysis*

629 We used R (version 3.3.3) for statistical analyses and generating figures⁹⁰.

630 To quantify the importance of host variables, soil and deposition chemistry, climate and
631 geographic distance on EM fungal community composition, variances were partitioned
632 following Borcard et al.⁹¹ and Legendre & Legendre⁹². Explanatory variables describing plot
633 and tree characteristics were grouped in the following partitions: (i) host (host species, foliar
634 chemistry and defoliation), (ii) soil and deposition chemistry (soil characteristics and
635 throughfall deposition), (iii) climate (climatic region, mean annual air temperature (MAT),
636 precipitation, growing season length, minimum and maximum annual temperatures,

637 elevation) and (iv) geographic distance (excluding elevation). The most relevant variables in
638 each partition were found through forward-selection model-building with the redundancy
639 analysis (RDA) method based on AIC and $P < 0.05$ using ordistep in the vegan package⁹³.
640 Geographic distances are the great circle distances, calculated using the mean Earth radius
641 between the minimum and maximum latitude of plots in this study ($r = 6,365$ km) with
642 rdist.earth in the fields package⁹⁴. Great circle distances are commonly used in large scale
643 macroecological studies to approach real distances between sampling sites^{95,96}. The
644 geographic distance matrix was transformed to rectangular data by extracting spatial vectors
645 with principal coordinates of neighbour matrices (PCNM) using pcnm (vegan). To build the
646 geographic distance model, PCNM vectors accounting for autocorrelation were extracted (P
647 < 0.05) using MoranI (lctools package)⁹⁷ and forward selected. Variation partitioning was
648 carried out for the 108 plots with the selected environmental data using varpart (vegan).
649 Global non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) ordinations were used to explore and
650 visualise the main factors affecting EM fungal community composition with metaMDS
651 (vegan). Environmental variables (Supplementary Information Table 2) were fitted to the
652 ordination plots using envfit (vegan). Ordinations were performed for the 108 plots with the
653 selected environmental data. In order to limit co-linearity effects between variables, we
654 selected key environmental variables from the envfit results with $R^2 > 0.4$ and $P < 0.01$. In
655 case of correlations ($r \geq 0.7$) between those variables, the most commonly measured
656 environmental variable (Supplementary Information Table 1) was selected: N throughfall
657 deposition (N_{TFD}), forest floor pH, MAT, K throughfall deposition (K_{TFD}) and foliar N:P ratio
658 (N:P_F).
659 Indicator species for the key environmental variables were detected and their threshold values
660 were calculated using threshold indicator species analyses (TITAN2)⁹⁸. The sums of the
661 indicator species scores of all OTUs were used to detect lower and upper EM community

662 thresholds for key environmental variables. In addition to N_{TFD} we also obtained EM
663 community thresholds for N open field deposition since open field deposition measurements
664 better reflect the data that is available in spatially mapped deposition datasets^{99,100}.

665 G-tests were performed to test if host species or soil type influence hyphal and rhizomorph
666 presence or absence. We used logistic regression with each key environmental variable and
667 the presence or absence of emanating hyphae and rhizomorphs within individual OTUs to test
668 for environmental influences on their morphological plasticity. We considered OTUs where
669 the indicator analysis suggested a response to a particular environmental variable and, for
670 statistical power, we only tested OTUs with $\geq 15\%$ presence and $\geq 15\%$ absence of
671 emanating hyphae or rhizomorphs (Extended Data Table 1). Target tree species and soil type
672 was used as co-variate, to account for potential variation in hyphal and rhizomorph
673 development in mycorrhizas belonging to the same OTU among different tree species and
674 different soil types.

675 **Code availability**, R scripts for data analyses are available from the corresponding author
676 upon reasonable request.

677 **Data availability**, Sequencing data generated during the current study are available through
678 DRYAD under doi:10.5061/dryad.cr70qc8. Morphological characteristic and host specificity
679 data generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon
680 reasonable request. All environmental data (including deposition, foliar chemistry, soil and
681 meteorological data) are available from UNECE ICP Forests but restrictions apply to the
682 availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study. Data are
683 available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request and with permission of
684 UNECE ICP Forests.

685

- 686 67. UNECE International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air
687 Pollution Effects on Forests. URL <http://icp-forests.net/>
- 688 68. Ferretti, M. & Fischer, R. Methods for terrestrial investigations in Europe with an
689 overview of North America and Asia. *Forest Monitoring*, Vol. 12, 1st edn. Elsevier,
690 Amsterdam (2013).
- 691 69. De Vries, W., *et al.* Intensive monitoring of forest ecosystems in Europe – 1. Objectives,
692 set-up and evaluation strategy. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **174**, 77–95 (2003).
- 693 70. Dirnböck, T. *et al.* Forest floor vegetation response to nitrogen deposition in Europe.
694 *Glob. Change Biol.* **20**, 429–440 (2014).
- 695 71. Ministerial Conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe (2007).
- 696 72. Gardes, M. & Bruns, T. D. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes -
697 application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Mol. Ecol.* **2**, 113–118 (1993).
- 698 73. White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. & Taylor, J. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal
699 ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. in *PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and*
700 *applications* (eds. Innis, M. A., Gelfand, D. H., Sninsky, J. J. & White, T. J.) 315–322
701 (Academic Press, New York, 1990).
- 702 74. UNECE ICP Forests Programme Co-ordinating Centre: Manual on methods and criteria
703 for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and analysis of the effects of air
704 pollution on forests. Thünen Institute for Forest Ecosystems, Eberswalde, (2016) URL
705 <http://icp-forests.org/Manual.htm>
- 706 75. IUSS Working Group WRB. 2015. World reference base for soil resources 2014, update
707 2015. International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for
708 soil maps. World Soil Resources Reports No. 106. FAO, Rome (2015).
- 709 76. Eichhorn, J., *et al.* Visual assessment of crown condition and damaging agents. In,
710 Manual on methods and criteria for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and

711 analysis of the effects of air pollution on forests, UNECE ICP Forests Programme Co-
712 ordinating Centre, Eberswalde, p. 54 (2016).

713 77. Rautio, P., Fürst, A., Stefan, K. & Bartels, U. Sampling and analyses of needles and
714 leaves. In, Manual on methods and criteria for harmonized sampling, assessment,
715 monitoring and analysis of the effects of air pollution on forests. UNECE ICP Forests
716 Programme Co-ordinating Centre, Eberswalde, p. 19 (2016).

717 78. Waldner *et al.* Detection of temporal trends in atmospheric deposition of inorganic
718 nitrogen and sulphate to forests in *Europe. Atmos. Environ.* **95**, 363–374 (2014).

719 79. Raspe, S., Beuker, E., Preuhsler, T. & Bastrup-Birk, A., Meteorological measurements.
720 In, Manual on methods and criteria for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and
721 analysis of the effects of air pollution on forests. UNECE ICP Forests Programme Co-
722 ordinating Centre, Eberswalde, p. 35 (2016).

723 80. Ewing, B. & Green, P. Basecalling of automated sequencer traces using *phred*. II. Error
724 probabilities. *Genome Res.* **8**, 186–194 (1998).

725 81. Kearse, M. *et al.* Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform
726 for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1647–1649 (2012)

727 82. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
728 sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

729 83. Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C. & Mahé F. VSEARCH: a versatile open
730 source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ Preprints* **4**, e2409v1 (2016).

731 84. Kõljalg *et al.* Towards a unified paradigm for sequence-based identification of fungi.
732 *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 5271–5277 (2013).

733 85. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment
734 search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410 (1990).

- 735 86. Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M. & Cole, J. R. Naïve Bayesian classifier for rapid
736 assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl. Environ.*
737 *Microbiol.* **73**, 5261–7 (2007).
- 738 87. Rinaldi, A. C., Comandini, O. & Kuyper, T. W. Ectomycorrhizal fungal diversity:
739 seperating the wheat from the chaff. *Fungal Div.* **33**, 1560–2745 (2008).
- 740 88. Tedersoo, L., May, T. W. & Smith, M. E. Ectomycorrhizal lifestyle in fungi: global
741 diversity, distribution, and evolution of phylogenetic lineages. *Mycorrhiza* **20**, 217–263
742 (2010).
- 743 89. Legendre, P. & Gallagher, E. D. Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination
744 of species data. *Oecologia* **129**, 271–280 (2001).
- 745 90. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
746 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria <http://www.R-project.org/> (2016).
- 747 91. Borcard, D., Legendre, P. & Drapeau, P. Partialling out the spatial component of
748 ecological variation. *Ecology* **73**, 1045–1055 (1992).
- 749 92. Legendre, P. & Legendre, L. *Numerical Ecology*, 2nd edn. Springer, Amsterdam (1998).
- 750 93. Blanchet, F. G., Legendre, P. & Borcard, D. Forward selection of explanatory variables.
751 *Ecology* **89**, 2623–2632 (2008).
- 752 94. Fields Development Team. fields: Tools for Spatial Data. National Center for
753 Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO. <http://www.cgd.ucar.edu/Software/Fields> (2006).
- 754 95. Lee et al. On the post-glacial spread of human commensal *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature*
755 *Comm.* DOI:10.1038/ncomms14458 (2017).
- 756 96. Lamb et al. Climate-driven mitochondrial selection: a test in Australian songbirds, *Mol.*
757 *Ecol.* DOI: 10.1111/mec.14488 (2018).
- 758 97. Kalogirou, S. lctools: Local correlation, spatial inequalities, geographically weighted
759 regression and other tools <http://lctools.science/> (2016).

- 760 98. Baker, M. E. & King, R. S. A new method for detecting and interpreting biodiversity and
761 ecological community thresholds. *Meths. Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 25–37 (2010).
- 762 99. Dore, A. J. *et al.* Evaluation of the performance of different atmospheric chemical
763 transport models and inter-comparison of nitrogen and sulphur deposition estimates for
764 the UK. *Atmospheric Environ.* **119**, 131–143 (2015).
- 765 100. Dirnböck, T. *et al.* Forest floor vegetation response to nitrogen deposition in Europe.
766 *Glob. Change Biol.* **20**, 429–440 (2014).

767

768 **Extended table titles and legends**

769 **Extended Data Table 1. Envfit results for the environmental variables used in the**
770 **NMDS ordination.** Significant variables are printed bold.

771

772 **Extended Data Table 2: Observed and expected frequencies of hyphae and rhizomorph**
773 **presence** for host tree species (a) and soil type (b). S1 = Fe Al soils, S2 = Clay soils, S3 =
774 Soils with little or no differentiation, S4 = Salt accumulation soils, S5 = Organic
775 accumulation soils, S6 = Limited root soil.

776

777 **Extended Data Table 3: Effects of key variables on hyphal plasticity** for 97% sequence
778 similarity OTUs (a) and 99% sequence similarity OTUs (b). *P* values < 0.05 are printed bold.
779 Logistic regressions were only calculated for OTUs where the indicator analysis suggested a
780 response to a particular environmental variable. With: $\bar{\cdot}$ = declining indicator (z-), \cdot^+ =
781 increasing indicator (z+), \downarrow = negative correlation, \uparrow = positive correlation.

782

783 **Extended Data Table 4: Effects of key variables on rhizomorph plasticity** for 97%
784 sequence similarity OTUs (a) and 99% sequence similarity OTUs (b). *P* values < 0.05 are

785 printed bold. Logistic regressions were only calculated for OTUs where the indicator analysis
786 suggested a response to a particular environmental variable. With: $\bar{\cdot}$ = declining indicator (z-),
787 $\bar{\cdot}^+$ = increasing indicator (z+), \downarrow = negative correlation, \uparrow = positive correlation.

788

789 **Extended Data Table 5: Effects of key variables on hyphal and rhizomorph presence on**
790 **the total EM community.** *P* values < 0.05 are printed bold. With: \downarrow = negative correlation, \uparrow
791 = positive correlation.

792

793 **Extended data figures**

794 **Extended Data Figure 1: Global non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination of**
795 **community composition** showing plots with host trees (brown squares: beech; blue circles:
796 oak; green triangles: pine; yellow diamonds: spruce). Isoclines depict the forest floor pH and
797 arrows show the direction and strength of correlation of the most influential environmental
798 variables according to their R^2 values (> 0.4). A = MAT; B = mean minimum annual air
799 temperature; C = growing season length; D = NH_4 throughfall deposition; E = N_{TFD} .

800

801 **Extended Data Figure 2: Threshold indicator taxa analyses (TITAN)** on individual OTU
802 abundances in response to N:P_F (a), forest floor pH (c), K_{TFD} (e) and MAT (g). Black
803 symbols correspond to taxa declining with the increasing variable (z-), open symbols depict
804 increasing taxa (z+). Symbol size is proportional to magnitude of response (z-score).

805 Horizontal lines represent 5th and 95th quantiles of values resulting in the largest change in
806 taxon z-scores among 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Tree shapes indicate host generalist,
807 conifer- or broadleaf-specific. Community-level output of accumulated z-scores per plot is
808 shown in response to N:P_F (b), forest floor pH (d), K_{TFD} (f) and MAT (h).

809

810 **Extended Data Figure 3: Threshold indicator taxa analysis at the genus level** in response
811 to N_{TFD} (a), $N:P_F$ (c), forest floor pH (e), K_{TFD} (g) and MAT (i). Black symbols correspond to
812 taxa that declined with the increasing variable (z^-), open symbols depict increasing taxa (z^+).
813 Symbol size is proportional to magnitude of response (z-score). Horizontal lines represent 5th
814 and 95th quantiles of values resulting in the largest change in taxon z-scores among 1,000
815 bootstrap replicates. The community-level output of the accumulated z-scores per plot is
816 shown in response to N_{TFD} (b), $N:P_F$ (d), forest floor pH (f), K_{TFD} (h) and MAT (j).