

Excited-state electronic asymmetry prevents photoswitching in terthiophene compounds

Article

Supplemental Material

Strudwick, B. H., Zhang, J., Hilbers, M. F., Buma, W. J., Woutersen, S., Liu, S. H. and Hartl, F. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7013-5360 (2018) Excited-state electronic asymmetry prevents photoswitching in terthiophene compounds. Inorganic Chemistry, 57 (15). pp. 9039-9047. ISSN 0020-1669 doi: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b01005 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/78401/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b01005

Publisher: ACS Publications

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Excited-state electronic asymmetry prevents photo-switching in terthiophene compounds (Supporting Information)

Benjamin H. Strudwick,^{*,†} Jing Zhang,[‡] M. Hilbers,[†] Wybren Jan Buma,[†] Sander Woutersen,[†] Sheng Hua Liu,[‡] and František Hartl^{*,¶}

Molecular Photonics Group, Van 't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Key Laboratory of Pesticide and Chemical Biology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, P.R. China, and Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AD, United Kingdom

E-mail: b.h.strudwick@uva.nl; f.hartl@reading.ac.uk

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed

[†]Molecular Photonics Group, Van 't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences, University of Amsterdam [‡]Key Laboratory of Pesticide and Chemical Biology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, P.R. China

[¶]Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AD, United Kingdom

Supporting Information

Tabel of Contents

- S1. Photo-oxidisation of 1b Spectra
- S2. Steady State Spectra
- S3. UV/Vis Transient Absorption Spectra 1a
- S4. (TD-) DFT Calculations
- S5. Global Fitting for the Nanosecond Transient Spectra
- S6. NMR & NOESY Spectra of 1a
- S7. Femtosecond TA of 1b in THF

S1. Photo-oxidisation of 1b Spectra



Figure S1: FTIR spectra of $\mathbf{1b}$ in DCM before (blue) and after being irradiated with 400 nm light (red) for 5 minutes. The latter clearly demonstrates the formation of $\mathbf{1b}^{+,S1}$

The spectra shown in **Figures S1** and **S2** are FTIR spectra (using a Bruker Vertex 70 FTIR spectrometer) of **1b** before and after irradiation with 400nm. **Figure S1** shows the spectral region of the ethynyl mode; after irradiation the three modes can be seen to seperate. **Figure S2** shows the NIR spectral region where a strong electronic tranisition can be seen for the irradiated **1b**. The same sample was then measured in the UV/Vis region, **Figure S3**, (using the Hewlett Packard 8453 UV/Vis absoprtion spectrometer) where a new species is easily distinguishable from the band at 720nm. The species formed was assigned to be **1b**⁺ comparing with the spectra from the spectro-electrochemical studies.^{S1}

Figure S4 shows the time-resolved IR measurements of 1b in DCM on a ps timescale. After irradiation with 400nm 1b converts to $1b^+$ within the first nanosecond. The rapid oxidation rules out simple diffusion of photogenerated chlorine radicals, which would take place on the nanosecond time scale.



Figure S2: Electronic absorption spectra of $\mathbf{1b}$ in DCM before (blue) and after being irradiated with 400 nm light (red) for 5 minutes. The latter reproduces the NIR absorption of $\mathbf{1b}^+$.^{S1}



Figure S3: Steady state UV-Vis spectra of **1b** in DCM before (blue) and after being irradiated with 400 nm light (red) for 5 minutes. The electronic absorption at 720 nm belongs to $\mathbf{1b}^+$.^{S1}



Figure S4: TR-IR spectra of **1b** in DCM, excited at ca. 400 nm, upon continual circulation of the solution to ensure a fresh sample.

S2. Steady State Spectra



Figure S5: Steady-state UV/Vis absorption spectra of **1a** and **1b** in THF (using the Hewlett Packard 8453 UV/Vis absorption spectrometer).



Figure S6: FTIR spectra showing the ethynyl stretching modes of **1a** and **1b** in THF (using a Bruker Vertex 70 FTIR spectrometer).

S3. UV/Vis Transient Absorption Spectra 1a

UV/Vis transient absorption spectra of **1a** in DCM, irradiated with 400nm, are shown in **Figures S7** and **S8**. The spectra shows there to be a final long lived state that lasts till the end of the accesible time range. This final feature can be continued to be followed in the nanosecond TA measurement, **Figure S8**, where **1a** returns to the GS. Comparing **Figures S7** and **S8** with the UV/Vis TA experiments in THF, **Figures 3** and **4**, shows no difference between the decay pathway of **1a** in THF and DCM. **Figure S9** presents the decay-associated spectra of the picosecond UV/Vis TA of **1a** in THF provided in **Figure 3**. There are three species seen within the first 4ns after excitation; an initial species S* associated with the hot singlet state, the singlet state S and the state triplet state T.



Figure S7: Femtosecond TA spectra of **1a** in DCM, excited at ca. 400 nm.



Figure S8: Nanosecond TA spectra of 1a in DCM, excited at ca. 400 nm.



Figure S9: Femtosecond visible transient decay-associated spectra (DAS) of **1a** in THF, excited at ca. 400nm. They refer to **Figure 3** in the main text.

S4. (TD-) DFT Calculations



Figure S10: Left: The DFT-calculated HOMO of **1a**. Right: The DFT-calculated LUMO of **1a**. HOMO energy: -0.20363 a.u. (-5.54104 eV). LUMO energy: -0.07259 a.u. (-1.97527 eV). LUMO/HOMO gap: 0.13104 a.u. (3.56577 eV). Contour values: \pm 0.02 (e borh⁻³)^{1/2}



Figure S11: Left: The DFT-calculated HOMO of 1a, focusing on the bond $C_{14}-C_{15}$ (white). Right: The DFT-calculated LUMO of 1a, focusing on the bond $C_{14}-C_{15}$ (white).

Figure S10 shows the calculated orbitals of the HOMO and the LUMO of 1a in the ground state. The geometry optimisation of the ground state of 1a were performed at the Density Functional Theory level using B3LYP functional and the 6-31G(d,p) basis set. The Polarisable Continuum Model (PCM) was adopted to describe solvation effects (solvent: Dichloromethane). The HOMO shows the orbitals to be symmetrically spread across the whole compound. The LUMO shows to be more asymmetric where the orbitals are shifted to one side of the compound predominatly delocalised over e1 and e2, in Figure 1. The 30 lowest electronic transitions of 1a are shown in Figure S13. The stick spectra, shown in black, has been convoluted with a gaussian to produce the predicted spectra shown in blue. The predicted spectra and the measured UV/Vis spectra, shown in Figure S5, of 1a are in good agreement. Table S1 contains the bond lengths for each of the states of 1a; ground state, singlet state and triplet state. Figure S11 shows the same HOMO LUMO orbitals as in Figure S10 however, focused in on the bond $C_{14} - C_{15}$ (C_{15} is the potential reactive carbon). The difference between the HOMO and LUMO shows a strong bond formation or a change in conjugation of this bond in the excited state.



Figure S12: Left: The DFT-calculated HOMO of **1b**. Right: The DFT-calculated LUMO + 1 of **1b**. HOMO energy: -0.19068 a.u. (-5.18867 eV). LUMO + 1 energy: 0.01459 a.u. (0.397014 eV). LUMO + 1/HOMO gap: 0.20527 a.u. (5.5857 eV). Contour values: \pm 0.02 (e borh⁻³)^{1/2}

Figure S12 shows the calculated orbitals of the HOMO and the LUMO + 1 of 1b in the ground state. The geometry optimisation of the ground state of 1b were performed at the Density Functional Theory level using CAM-B3LYP functional and the LANL2DZ basis set. The HOMO shows the orbitals to be symmetrically spread across the whole compound. The LUMO + 1 shows to be more asymmetric where the orbitals are shifted to one side of the compound. The 10 lowest electronic transitions of 1b are shown in Figure S14. The stick spectra, shown in black, has been convoluted with a gaussian to produce the predicted spectra shown in blue. The predicted spectra and the measured UV/Vis spectra, shown in Figure S5, of 1b are in good agreement. The calculations show that the main transition at 362 nm is from the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO + 1.



Figure S13: DFT-calculated 30 lowest electronic transitions (black stick spectrum) of **1a**. The 400 nm transition primarily belongs to HOMO \rightarrow LUMO). The predicted UV/Vis spectrum shown in blue.



Figure S14: DFT-calculated 10 lowest electronic transitions (black stick spectrum) of **1b**. The 362 nm transition primarily belongs to HOMO \rightarrow LUMO + 1). The predicted UV/Vis spectrum shown in blue.

Table S1: The bond lengths from the DFT and TD–DFT calculations of the Ground (GS), Singlet (S) and Triplet (T, time - dependent) for each bond labeled in **Figure 1**. The GS -S and GS - T columns show the difference between the bond lengths of **1a** in the Singlet or Triplet state compared with the Ground State, respectively. The differences in bond lengths relate to the differences in the structure of **1a** seen in **Figure 1** (GS) and **Figure 8** (S and T).

Bond Lengths of $1a$ (Å)					
Bond	GS	S	Т	GS - S	GS - T
$Si_1 - C_{11}$	1.84807	1.84397	1.84782	0.0041	0.00025
$C_{11} - C_{12}$	1.22371	1.23262	1.23509	-0.00891	-0.01138
$C_{12} - C_{13}$	1.40887	1.38846	1.38062	0.02041	0.02825
$Si_2 - C_{21}$	1.84919	1.84679	1.84941	0.0024	-0.00022
$C_{21} - C_{22}$	1.22332	1.22901	1.23172	-0.00569	-0.0084
$C_{22} - C_{23}$	1.40922	1.39552	1.38761	0.0137	0.02161
$Si_3 - C_{31}$	1.84708	1.84783	1.84797	-0.00075	-0.00089
$C_{31} - C_{32}$	1.2238	1.22749	1.22429	-0.00369	-0.00049
$C_{32} - C_{33}$	1.40917	1.39921	1.40761	0.00996	0.00156
$C_{13} - C_{14}$	1.37988	1.40685	1.42682	-0.02697	-0.04694
$C_{14} - C_{15}$	1.42376	1.392	1.37289	0.03176	0.05087
$C_{15} - C_{16}$	1.38682	1.43784	1.47323	-0.05102	-0.08641
$C_{23} - C_{24}$	1.39414	1.44741	1.47253	-0.05327	-0.07839
$C_{24} - C_{25}$	1.42985	1.41274	1.38745	0.01711	0.0424
$C_{25} - C_{26}$	1.37679	1.38628	1.41135	-0.00949	-0.03456
$C_{33} - C_{34}$	1.38423	1.40868	1.3899	-0.02445	-0.00567
$C_{34} - C_{35}$	1.42557	1.40826	1.42109	0.01731	0.00448
$C_{35} - C_{36}$	1.37911	1.39245	1.38263	-0.01334	-0.00352
$C_{16} - C_{26}$	1.45675	1.4011	1.37707	0.05565	0.07968
$C_{25} - C_{36}$	1.46938	1.43798	1.45901	0.0314	0.01037

S5. Global Fitting for the Nanosecond Transient Spectra

Here is the model used to fit the spectra from the nanosecond visible transient measurements visualised in **Figures 4** and **9**. Global analysis of the whole transient data matrix, assuming a sum of exponential time profiles and triplet-triplet annihilation was performed, using the model below:

$$a/(b*T+c) + d*exp(-T/\tau)$$
(S1)

Here T represents time, τ decay lifetime, and the other coefficients are concentration dependent. The first component models the triplet-triplet annihilation and the second component models the T \rightarrow GS transition, using a single exponential.

S6. NMR & NOESY Spectra of 1a



Figure S15: ¹H NOESY spectrum of **1a** in DCM- d_2 pulsed at 1.9 ppm, with no evidence of NOE resonance (see elsewhere), demonstrating that the methyl groups at the terthiophene core are distant and therefore in the anti-parallel conformation.

Intensity



Figure S16: ¹H NOESY spectrum of **1a** in DCM- d_2 pulsed at 2.1 ppm, with no evidence of NOE resonance (see elsewhere), demonstrating that the methyl groups at the terthiophene core are distant and therefore in the anti-parallel conformation.



Figure S17: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1a in DCM- d_2

S7. Femtosecond TA of 1b in THF



Figure S18: Femtosecond visible transient spectra of **1b** in THF, excited at ca. 400 nm, upon circulation of the solution to ensure a fresh sample.

References

[S1] Zhang, J.; Sun, C.-F.; Zhang, M.-X.; Hartl, F.; Yin, J.; Yu, G.-A.; Rao, L.; Liu, S. H. Asymmetric oxidation of vinyl- and ethynyl terthiophene ligands in triruthenium complexes. *Dalton Trans.* 2016, 45, 768–782.