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Abstract

Introduction: Given the heterogeneity within depression, in this study we aim to examine how resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) in adolescents is related to anhedonia and depression severity on a continuum in line with the research domain criteria (RDoC) approach.

Methods: We examined how RSFC in the dorsal medial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC), nucleus accumbens (NAcc) and pregenual anterior cingulate cortex (pgACC) was related to anhedonia and depression severity in 86 adolescents (13–21 years).

Results: We found both anhedonia and depression severity related to decreased dmPFC RSFC with the precuneus, a part of the default mode network. However we also found that increased dmPFC connectivity with the ACC/paracingulate gyrus related to anhedonia whereas increased RSFC with the frontal pole related to depression severity.

Discussion: This work extends the view that the dmPFC is a potential therapeutic target for depression in two ways: 1. We report dmPFC connectivity in adolescents; and 2. We show different dmPFC RSFC specific to anhedonia and depression severity, providing neural targets for intervention in young people at risk of depression.
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Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is estimated to have a lifetime prevalence of approximately 16%, with around 8% of adolescents being affected by depression by the age of 16 years (Saliuja et al., 2004). As recognition of MDD in adolescents has increased in recent years, more attention is directed to the aetiology and the consequences of early onset depression. Further, it has been suggested that examining clinical symptoms as a continuum across symptom severity ranges may be more useful for identifying neurobiological signatures and risk markers (Insel et al., 2010).

Resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) studies have revealed that individuals with depressive symptoms have abnormalities in key RSFC networks such as the salience network (SN) (Manoliu et al., 2014a; van Tol et al., 2013), the central executive network (CEN) (van Tol et al., 2013) and the default mode network (DMN) (Bluhm et al., 2009; Greicius et al., 2007; Manoliu et al., 2014a; Northoff, 2016; Sheline et al., 2010).

The SN, which consists of regions such as the pregenual anterior cingulate (pgACC), the insula and the amygdala, responds to salient stimuli, whereas the CEN, which involves regions such as the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dLPFC), dorsal medial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) and the posterior parietal cortex, is involved in cognition for e.g. attention and working memory (Bressler and Menon, 2010). It has been suggested that the dysfunction in these networks in patients with MDD may be due to poor attentional control over emotional stimuli. Studies have found both increased and decreased SN and CEN RSFC in depression (Horn et al., 2010; Manoliu et al., 2014b; Ramasubbu et al., 2014; Sheline et al., 2010; Tahmasian et al., 2013; Ye et al., 2012). Consistent with this, a recent meta-analysis finds that in first-episode, drug-naive MDD patients, RSFC alterations were located mainly in the fronto-limbic system, including the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and putamen, and in the DMN, namely the precuneus and superior/middle temporal gyrus (Zhong et al., 2016). The authors concluded that, as the fronto-limbic circuit and the DMN were each functionally altered, these two networks may contribute, respectively, to emotional dysregulation and maladaptive cognitive patterns (Zhong et al., 2016).

Although few studies have examined RSFC in adolescents with depression, one study reports both increased RSFC between the amygdala and the precuneus, a part of the default mode network (Snorrason et al., 2014; van Tol et al., 2013), and decreased RSFC between the amygdala and regions such as the pgACC, frontal pole and the paracingulate gyrus (Clasen et al., 2014) also report that adolescents at familial
risk of depression have decreased RSFC between the prefrontal cortex and parts of the CEN, which also correlated with the parent’s depression severity. Further Gabbay et al., 2013 found that functional connectivity between the striatum and midline structures, including the precuneus, posterior cingulate cortex, and dmPFC, correlated with MDD severity in 21 adolescents. However, distinct striatal RSFC patterns involving the pregenual ACC, subgenual ACC, supplementary motor area, and supramarginal gyrus, were associated with anhedonia severity. Taken together, it has been suggested that an increase in vulnerability to depression may thus be underpinned by altered development in resting state networks in young people at risk. However this needs to be examined thoroughly using longitudinal designs. Recently, we investigated a group at risk for depression, i.e. adolescents with depressive symptoms but no clinical diagnosis, and we also found decreased RSFC in key networks such as the SN the CEN and the DMN compared with healthy controls (Rzepa and McCabe, 2016), albeit with a small sample size in this study.

As it has been suggested that traditional diagnostic boundaries are not entirely useful for capturing the fundamental underlying mechanisms of psychiatric dysfunction (Insel et al., 2010), our aim in this study was to examine, using a dimensional approach, how RSFC relates to symptoms like anhedonia and depression severity in a much larger sample of adolescents, in line with the research domain criteria (RDoC) approach. Consistent with this, a recent RSFC study examined a range of symptoms in patients with anxiety disorder and MDD and found that adding a dimensional approach to categorical provided a more complete mapping of psychopathology to neurobiology (Oathes et al., 2015).

Based on the previous literature, we selected seed regions that have been shown dysfunctional in depressed patients and in adolescents at increased risk of depression in resting state: specifically, we selected seed regions based on Sheline et al. (2010), which focused on the dorsal nucleus (dmPFC) as a key region/hub involved in dysfunctional RSFC in depression in adults (Sheline et al., 2010). As we are interested in RSFC and how it might relate to the symptoms of low mood and anhedonia, we also selected the nucleus accumbens seed as it is a key region involved in the salience network and reward processing. We also selected the pgACC seed from our recent study that found reduced pgACC activity during reward anticipation correlated with anhedonia in young people with depression symptoms (Rzepa et al., 2016a).

**Materials and methods**

**Participants**

We recruited from the general population adolescents (n=86, aged 13–21 years, M = 18.09, SD = 1.89) (Sawyer et al., 2018) with a range of depression symptoms in line with the RDoC approach. We did this by placing different adverts: an advert for young people with symptoms of depression and an advert for young people with no explicit mention of depression symptoms. Some participants had a depression diagnosis from their GP, a psychologist or a psychiatrist (n=27), some were on antidepressants (n=14) or had a history of antidepressants (n=6) (see Table S3). Therefore the adolescents recruited had a range of depression symptoms as can be seen from the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (Table 1). We also combined data from adolescents (n=16) who had high depression symptoms (high BDI and high Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (MFQ) designed for younger participants) from our previous paper (First et al., 1997). We used the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders Schedule (SCID) to exclude for any other psychiatric history (Rzepa et al., 2016a). We excluded left-handed, pregnancy, any contraindications to MRI and any medications except for the contraceptive pill. The National and University Research Ethics Committees approved the study and written informed consent was obtained.

**Depression and anhedonia questionnaires**

The MFQ measures depression symptoms in adolescents. Scores on the short version of the MFQ range from 0 to 26, while scores on the long version range from 0 to 66. Higher scores on the MFQ suggest more severe depressive symptoms. Scoring a 12 or higher on the short version and a 27 or higher on the long version may indicate the presence of depression in the respondent. There are no prescribed cut-points for any version the MFQ since there is no single cut-point that is best for use in all circumstances. The BDI measures the severity of depression, from lack of depression to extreme clinical depression. On both of these scales greater depression severity = greater score. The Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale (TEPS) designed to measure individual trait dispositions in both anticipatory and consummatory experiences of pleasure. High scores = high anticipatory and consummatory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Depressed youth (n=44) Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Healthy controls (n=42) Mean (SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>18.11 (1.84)</td>
<td>18.02 (1.94)</td>
<td>.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>F34, M10</td>
<td>F32, M10</td>
<td>.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>21.73 (2.24)</td>
<td>21.09 (2.41)</td>
<td>.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>29.70 (12.69)</td>
<td>3.30 (4.1)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCPS</td>
<td>117.23 (25)</td>
<td>137.01 (19.18)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAPS</td>
<td>30.8 (7.34)</td>
<td>21.21 (8)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPS-A</td>
<td>36.25 (8.67)</td>
<td>48 (5.78)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPS-C</td>
<td>30.61 (6.41)</td>
<td>36.76 (7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; BMI: body mass index; F: females; M: males; FCPS: Fawcett-Clarke Pleasure Scale; SHAPS: Snith-Hamilton Pleasure Scale; TEPS-A: Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale, anticipatory subscale; TEPS-C: Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale, consummatory subscale.
pleasure. The Fawcett-Clark Pleasure Scale (FCPS) measures how participants rate imagined hedonic reactions to hypothetical pleasurable situations in the moment, low score = low hedonic capacity (Fawcett et al., 1983). The Snaith-Hamilton Pleasure Scale (SHAPS) measures four domains of state hedonic experience: interest/pastimes, social interaction, sensory experience, and food/drink. Higher SHAPS total scores indicate greater pleasure capacity (Snaith, 1995).

**Overall design**

The resting-state data were acquired before any other scans including the structural scan. Subjects were instructed to lie in dimmed light with their eyes open, think of nothing in particular, and not to fall asleep, similar to our previous studies (Cowdrey et al., 2012; McCabe and Mishor, 2011; McCabe et al., 2011; Rzepa et al., 2016b), and a method found to have higher reliability than eyes closed (Patriat et al., 2013).

**Image acquisition**

A Siemens Magnetom Trio 3T whole body MRI scanner and a 32-channel head coil were used. Multi-band accelerated echo planar imaging sequencing (Center for Magnetic Resonance Research, Minneapolis, MN) was used with an acceleration factor of 6 and iPAT acceleration factor of 2. T2*-weighted EPI slices were obtained every 0.7 s (TR=0.7, TE=0.03). Fifty-four transverse slices with in-plane resolution of 2.4 × 2.4 mm were attained and slice thickness was 2.4 mm. The matrix size was 96 × 96 and the field of view (FOV) were 230 × 230 mm. Acquisition was performed during resting-state scan, yielding 420 volumes in total. Sagittal 3D MPRAGE images were also acquired 1 × 1 × 1 (TI=0.9 s, TR=2.02, flip angle 9°, FOV=250 × 250 mm).

**fMRI data analysis**

**Pre-processing.** fMRI data pre-processing was carried out using FEAT (FMRI Expert Analysis Tool, Version 6.0, a part of FSL software), and included the following steps: non-brain removal (Smith, 2002), motion correction using MCFLIRT (Jenkinson and Smith, 2002), spatial smoothing using a Gaussian kernel of full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of 5 mm, grand mean intensity normalization of the entire 4D dataset by a single multi-channel method, and using a dimensional approach was correlated with depression severity (BDI) and anhedonia (SHAPS, FCPS and TEPS) using Pearson correlations.

**Main effects of stimuli on blood oxygen level-dependent responses**

Table S2 reports the main effects, i.e. the brain regions that had RSFC with the seed regions (baseline) for the HC group only. Overall, the patterns of connectivity associated with each of the seed regions are consistent with RSFC experiments in previous studies (Bebko et al., 2015; Clasen et al., 2014; Cullen et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2015; Sheline et al., 2009, 2010). Table 2 provides a summary of brain regions where there was a significant difference in connectivity between the seeds and the whole brain in those with depression symptoms (DS) vs. no symptoms (HC). These data we extracted and used to examine correlations with depression and anhedonia symptoms.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the demographics of the study population; there were no significant differences between the DS group and controls for age, gender and BMI. Differences were present for depression: BDI, and anhedonia: SHAPS, FCPS, TEPS.
activity the lower the ability to anticipate pleasure. There was no significant correlations when separated into the DS and HC groups ($p > 0.05$).

There was a positive correlation between RSFC of the right dmPFC seed and the left precuneus with the TEPS anticipatory scale in all participants ($r = .365$, $p = .001$, two-tailed). Meaning decreased connectivity correlated with anhedonia. The connectivity was also significant in the HC group ($r = –.446$, $p = .003$, two-tailed) but not in the DS group ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 2).

There were no significant correlations between the anhedonia measures FCPS and SHAPS and RSFC.

**RSFC and depression severity: BDI.** There was a positive correlation between RSFC of the right dmPFC seed and the frontal pole and BDI in all participants ($r = .31$, $p = .004$, two-tailed). Meaning increased connectivity correlated with increased depression severity. However, this connectivity did not remain significant when separated into the DS and HC groups alone ($p > 0.05$). There was a negative correlation between RSFC of the right dmPFC seed and the left precuneus and BDI in all participants ($r = .321$, $p = .003$, two-tailed). Meaning decreased connectivity

**Table 2.** RSFC between seed regions and whole brain compared between DS and HC groups controlled for medication status and age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain Region</th>
<th>MNI Coordinates</th>
<th>z-score</th>
<th>Cluster size</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R dmPFC seed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal Pole</td>
<td>−32 32 12</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC/Paracingulate</td>
<td>−8 25 22</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L dmPFC seed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>54 −14 42</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L NAcc seed</td>
<td>−14 −60 34</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L NAcc seed</td>
<td>6 −60 38</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pgACC seed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>−2 −4 −4</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>−26 4 0</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td>−10 8 16</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAcc</td>
<td>6 6 −2</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planum Temporale</td>
<td>−60 −38 14</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STG</td>
<td>−66 −24 12</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased connectivity in DS vs. HC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R dmPFC seed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuneal cortex</td>
<td>−2 −82 26</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>−20 −78 24</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>8 −76 36</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L dmPFC seed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITG/MTG</td>
<td>58 −22 −26</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC</td>
<td>40 −84 8</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pgACC seed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFG/MFG</td>
<td>−22 16 44</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>−38 −22 60</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All $p$-values $Z > 2.3$ voxel-wise thresholding and a family-wise error-corrected cluster significance threshold of $P < 0.05$, further Bonferroni corrected for number of ROIs gave $P < 0.012$ (i.e. $P < 0.05$ (Davidson et al., 2003). ACC: anterior cingulate cortex; dmPFC: dorsal medial prefrontal cortex; IFG: inferior frontal gyrus; ITG: inferior temporal gyrus; LOC: lateral occipital cortex; MFG: medial frontal gyrus; NAcc: nucleus accumbens; pgACC: pregenual anterior cingulate cortex; SFG: superior frontal gyrus; STG: superior temporal gyrus.

![Figure 1. Negative correlation between RSFC of the right dmPFC seed and the ACC/paracingulate gyrus with TEPS anticipatory scale in all participants ($r = −.281$, $p = .009$, two-tailed).](image-url)
correlated with increased depression severity. Also, this connectivity remained significant in the HC group ($r = -0.350$, $p = 0.023$, two-tailed) but not in the DS group ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

The aim of our study was to investigate how RSFC was related to a range of depression and anhedonia symptoms in adolescents. We selected key regions of interest shown to be dysfunctional in previous studies of RSFC in adults with depression.

We found anticipatory anhedonia related to increased dmPFC RSFC with the ACC/paracingulate gyrus, a part of the SN, across all participants. The dmPFC is a key node of the CEN network that is recruited by cognitively demanding tasks including working memory, attention and response inhibition (Seeley et al., 2007) (Garavan et al., 2002), and has been reported dysfunctional in individuals with depressive symptoms (Fonseka et al., 2016; Nixon et al., 2013; Sheline et al., 2010). Interestingly the ACC has been proposed as a bridge between attentional and emotional processing (Bush et al., 2000; Devinsky et al., 1995) that is also critical for self-regulation and adaptability (Zheng et al., 2017). Furthermore, the ACC has been highlighted as dysfunctional in depression during tasks and the resting state in adults (Sheline et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2017) and adolescents (Pannekoek et al., 2014) and has been suggested as a predictor of treatment response in depression (Pizzagalli, 2011). Moreover, examining depressed adolescents (Gabbay et al., 2013) also found anhedonia severity correlated with increased pgACC RSFC with the caudate, although in the latter study anhedonia was measured by

---

**Figure 2.** Positive correlation between RSFC of the right dmPFC seed and the left precuneus and TEPS anticipatory scale in (a) all participants ($r = 0.365$, $p = 0.001$, two-tailed), and (b) the HC group ($r = -0.446$, $p = 0.003$, two-tailed) but not in the DS group ($r = -0.226$, $p = 0.104$, two-tailed).

**Figure 3.** Negative correlation between RSFC of the right dmPFC seed and the left precuneus and BDI in (a) all participants ($r = 0.321$, $p = 0.003$), and (b) the HC group ($r = -0.350$, $p = 0.023$) but not the DS group ($r = -0.248$, $p = 0.104$).
only two questions on the BDI and not with an anhedonia specific questionnaire as we have in our study. In our previous study examining young people with depression symptoms but no clinical diagnosis we also found increased pgACC RSFC correlating with anhedonia, consistent with this finding, albeit with a small sample (Rzepa and McCabe, 2016). Therefore, we suggest that the increased connectivity between the dmPFC and part of the ACC/paracingulate gyrus in this much larger study shows, for the first time, how decreased ability to specifically anticipate pleasure may serve as a mechanism for the emergence of anhedonia in young people.

We also found depression severity related to increased dmPFC RSFC with the frontal pole. The frontal pole has been found active during tasks that require cognitive effort or attention (Corbetta and Shulman, 2002) and is thought important for executive function and stimulus and goal-directed behaviour (Burgess et al., 2007; Orr et al., 2015). Our finding is somewhat consistent with that of Zhou et al. (2010), who reported increased RSFC associated with the lateral prefrontal cortices that also correlated with depressive episode duration and depression severity in depressed adult patients (mean age 38–40 years). The authors suggested that such dysfunctional network activity in areas involved in emotion, attention and memory may underpin negative bias, one of the main characteristics of depression. Therefore our results extend this idea by reporting on a younger sample, i.e. adolescents. Further, our result of dmPFC-frontal pole connectivity being related to depression severity and not anhedonia perhaps indicates a mechanism for altered negative rather than positive processing. Of course, it could also be related to an imbalance between positive and negative processing given our findings of altered dmPFC connectivity with other brain regions correlating with anhedonia.

Consistent with this, we also found decreased dmPFC RSFC with the precuneus, which correlated with anticipatory anhedonia and depression severity. The precuneus is a part of the DMN (Raichle and Snyder, 2007), and thought to be involved in self-referential thoughts and rumination in depression (Burkhouse et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2012). During rest or internally focused cognitions, activation of the CEN (including the dmPFC) decreases while DMN activation increases (Fox et al., 2005; Grady et al., 2010; Raichle et al., 2001). Furthermore, studies have shown that RSFC between the CEN and the DMN is altered in MDD (Hamilton et al., 2011; Manoliu et al., 2014a; Sheline et al., 2010), which has been suggested as being related to patients’ difficulties to disengage from negative thoughts (Manoliu et al., 2014a). A recent study found that those at high risk of depression due to a family history had decreased negative DMN-CEN connectivity (Posner et al., 2016), while the authors proposed as a possible indicator of risk for depression, although they did not report any relationship between network activity and depression symptoms in their study, whereas a recent study in healthy adolescents found decreased RSFC between the subgenual ACC and dmPFC, posterior cingulate, angular gyrus and middle temporal gyrus associated with higher depressive symptoms over time (Strikverda-Brown et al., 2014). The authors suggested that reduced functional connectivity between key limbic and prefrontal regions may serve as a risk marker for greater depressive symptoms later in life. Interestingly, studies report causal influences of the SN in modulating the activity of the DMN and CEN (Bonnelle et al., 2012; Rilling et al., 2008; Sridharan et al., 2008); therefore, although speculative, the increased dmPFC-SN RSFC in this study might be causing the decreased RSFC we find with the DMN. Further studies are needed to test this directly. Our results are also consistent with the recent meta-analysis that describes a model of altered RSFC in mainly the fronto-limbic system and DMN (Zhong et al., 2016). Our results support this model in that these networks may contribute to emotional dysregulation, but we also extend the findings by showing how frontal cortex RSFC is related to anhedonia, whereas the DMN RSFC is related to both depression severity and anhedonia.

Of note, correlations between RSFC and symptoms were significant across the entire sample and in some cases in the HC group alone; none were significant in the DS group only. This suggests that the findings could be driven by the HC group (effect size r was also greater in the HC correlation alone than in the combined correlation) and that the relationship between brain FC and mood and pleasure are less aligned when symptoms become more severe. Furthermore the anhedonia questionnaire TEPS was designed to measure individual trait dispositions in both anticipatory and consummatory experiences of pleasure, which might explain how it was more easily mapped onto neural RSFC in our sample of adolescents with a range of symptoms compared with both the FCPS and the SHAPS, which measure state effects.

In conclusion, our findings show for the first time increased dmPFC RSFC with the SN and frontal pole, but also decreased dmPFC RSFC with the DMN correlating with depression severity and anhedonia in adolescents, lending further evidence to the importance of these networks as possible biomarkers for risk for depression.
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