

Dormancy-defense syndromes and tradeoffs between physical and chemical defenses in seeds of pioneer species

Article

Accepted Version

Zalamea, Paul-Camil0, Dalling, James W., Sarmiento, Carolina, Arnold, A. Elizabeth, Delevich, Carolyn, Berhow, Mark A., Ndobegang, Anyangatia, Gripenberg, Sofia and Davis, Adam S. (2018) Dormancy-defense syndromes and tradeoffs between physical and chemical defenses in seeds of pioneer species. *Ecology*, 99 (9). pp. 1988-1998. ISSN 0012-9658 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2419> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/80224/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2419>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2419>

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **Dormancy-defense syndromes and trade-offs between physical and chemical defenses in**
2 **seeds of pioneer species**

3 Authors:

4 Paul-Camilo Zalamea^{1*}, James W. Dalling^{1,2}, Carolina Sarmiento¹, A. Elizabeth Arnold^{3,4},
5 Carolyn Delevich¹, Mark A. Berhow⁵, Anyangatia Ndobegang³, Sofia Gripenberg⁶, Adam S.
6 Davis⁷.

7 ¹*Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Ancon, Republic of Panama*

8 ²*Department of Plant Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA*

9 ³*School of Plant Sciences, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA*

10 ⁴*Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ,*
11 *USA*

12 ⁵*National Center of Agricultural Utilization Research, United States Department of*
13 *Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service, Peoria, IL, USA*

14 ⁶*Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK*

15 ⁷*Global Change and Photosynthesis Research Unit, United States Department of Agriculture*
16 *– Agricultural Research Service, Urbana, IL, USA*

17 *Correspondence:

18 Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute

19 Apartado 0843-03092

20 Balboa, Ancon

21 Republic of Panama

22 e-mail: camilozalamea@gmail.com

23

24 Running title: Seed dormancy-defense syndromes.

25

26 *Abstract.* Seeds of tropical pioneer trees have chemical and physical characteristics that
27 determine their capacity to persist in the soil seed bank. These traits allow seeds to survive in
28 the soil despite diverse predators and pathogens, and to germinate and recruit even decades
29 after dispersal. Defenses in seedlings and adult plants often are described in terms of trade-
30 offs between chemical and physical defense, but the interplay of defensive strategies has been
31 evaluated only rarely for seeds. Here we evaluated whether classes of seed defenses were
32 negatively correlated across species (consistent with trade-offs in defense strategies), or
33 whether groups of traits formed associations across species (consistent with seed defense
34 syndromes). Using 16 of the most common pioneer tree species in a neotropical lowland
35 forest in Panama we investigated relationships among four physical traits (seed fracture
36 resistance, seed coat thickness, seed permeability, and seed mass) and two chemical traits
37 (number of phenolic compounds and phenolic peak area), and their association with seed
38 persistence. In addition, seed toxicity was assessed with bioassays in which we evaluated the
39 activity of seed extracts against representative fungal pathogens and a model invertebrate. We
40 did not find univariate trade-offs between chemical and physical defenses. Instead, we found
41 that seed permeability – a trait that distinguishes physical dormancy from other dormancy
42 types – was positively associated with chemical defense traits and negatively associated with
43 physical defense traits. Using a linear discriminant analysis and a hierarchical cluster analysis
44 we found evidence to distinguish three distinct seed defense syndromes that correspond
45 directly with seed dormancy classes (i.e., quiescent, physical, and physiological). Our data
46 suggest that short and long-term persistence of seeds can be achieved via two strategies:
47 having permeable seeds that are well defended chemically, corresponding to the
48 physiologically dormant defense syndrome; or having impermeable seeds that are well
49 defended physically, corresponding to the physically dormant defense syndrome. In turn,
50 transient seeds appear to have a lower degree of chemical and physical defenses,

51 corresponding to the quiescent defense syndrome. Overall, we find that seed defense and seed
52 dormancy are linked, suggesting that environmental pressures on seed persistence and for
53 delayed germination can select for trait combinations defining distinct dormancy-defense
54 syndromes.

55 *Keywords: Barro Colorado Island; dormancy types; pioneer trees; lowland tropical*
56 *forests; plant defense theory; seed defenses; seed persistence; soil seed bank.*

57 INTRODUCTION

58 Interactions between plants and their enemies profoundly influence ecological
59 processes with implications that scale from local to global effects. For instance, consumption
60 of plants by herbivores is one of the major paths for energy flow from autotrophs to the rest
61 of the food web (Agrawal 2007). Herbivores consume and pathogens kill a considerable
62 percentage of young plants (Agrawal 2010), playing a fundamental role in the maintenance of
63 biodiversity (Fine et al. 2004, Bagchi et al. 2014). As a consequence, plants have evolved a
64 wide range of chemical and physical defenses to limit damage by herbivores and pathogens
65 (Agrawal and Fishbein 2006, Moles et al. 2013).

66 Plant defenses can be metabolically costly (Coley et al. 1985, Strauss et al. 2002).
67 Nutrient limitation often shapes the balance in allocation among growth, reproduction, and
68 defense (Coley et al. 1985, Agrawal 2007). The assumption that plant defenses are costly and
69 the pool of resources is finite underlies theories to explain the distribution of defenses in
70 plants (Moles et al. 2013). If plant defensive traits are equivalent in their effectiveness against
71 enemies, defenses are predicted to trade off against one another (Koricheva 2002). Another
72 possibility that is not mutually exclusive with the trade-off concept is the existence of plant
73 defense syndromes (Kursar and Coley 2003, Agrawal and Fishbein 2006). In this framework,
74 plants display a co-adapted complex of defensive traits forming consistent associations across
75 species. The occurrence of defense allocation trade-offs or physical and chemical defense

76 syndromes has been evaluated on leaves of *Asclepias* spp (Agrawal and Fishbein 2006), and
77 261 different species of plants representing a broad taxonomic and geographic scope (Moles
78 et al. 2013), without yielding consistent support for their existence (Agrawal 2007).

79 To date, explorations of plant defense theory have focused almost entirely on
80 established plants, with little attention to seeds. Seeds are one the most important components
81 of fitness for most flowering plants, leaving a critical gap in our current understanding of
82 plant defense strategies (Dalling et al. 2011, Tiansawat et al. 2014). Seeds normally are
83 defended by physical barriers and/or chemical compounds (Hendry et al. 1994, Davis et al.
84 2008, Tiansawat et al. 2014, Zalamea et al. 2015, Gripenberg et al. 2018), yet it remains
85 unclear whether these defenses trade off with one another or instead comprise suites of traits
86 that associate together across plant species (but see Davis et al. 2016).

87 After dispersal, seeds can either germinate or persist in the soil. Seed persistence,
88 defined as survival until germination, increases the likelihood that some seeds will encounter
89 favorable conditions for seedling recruitment (Long et al. 2015). Thus, understanding seed
90 defenses requires attention to how, and for how long, seeds survive in the soil. Seeds can
91 persist in the soil seed bank as a result of dormancy, where physical or physiological
92 characteristics of the seeds prevent germination, or as a result of quiescence, where seeds
93 have no barriers to prevent germination and germinate as soon as conditions become
94 favorable (Thompson 2000, Dalling et al. 2011). Two of the major seed dormancy types,
95 physical and physiological dormancy, are predicted to be functionally equivalent at delaying
96 germination to avoid adverse environmental conditions for seedling recruitment and growth
97 (Thompson 2000). It has also been assumed that the adaptive significance of seed dormancy
98 is unrelated to defense traits against natural enemies. An alternative view is that defense traits
99 and dormancy types are linked, and thus must be related to seed persistence. For instance,
100 seed permeability, a trait that distinguishes physically dormant seeds from seeds of other

101 dormancy types (Baskin et al. 2000), can play a key role at determining attractiveness or
102 accessibility of seed contents to granivores and pathogens (Paulsen et al. 2013, Zalamea et al.
103 2015).

104 Dalling et al. (2011) proposed that the three major types of persistent seeds commonly
105 found in soil (i.e., physically dormant, physiologically dormant, and quiescent) rely on
106 distinct sets of defenses, resulting in *seed dormancy-defense syndromes*, analogous to plant
107 defense syndromes (Agrawal and Fishbein 2006). They predicted that seeds with physical
108 dormancy rely on physical defenses to exclude enemies, whereas seeds with physiological
109 dormancy deploy a continuum of physical and chemical defenses to deter enemies, and
110 quiescent seeds depend on protection from seed-inhabiting microbes (Dalling et al. 2011).

111 We examined how physical and chemical defense traits of seeds are related to each
112 other, and to seed persistence in the soil, in 16 of the most common pioneer tree species in
113 lowland forest in Panama. We evaluated whether individual defensive traits of seeds are
114 negatively correlated (consistent with the concept of univariate trade-offs in defense
115 strategies), or whether traits form associations across species (consistent with seed defense
116 syndromes). We further explored whether investment in different defense traits was
117 consistently associated with interspecific variation in the capacity of seeds to persist in the
118 soil.

119 Unlike the seeds of shade-tolerant species, which in moist tropical forests often
120 germinate immediately, the seeds of pioneer trees mostly form seed banks. These seeds
121 persist for different periods of time, and represent different dormancy types (Dalling et al.
122 1997, 1998a), making them ideal to test for the existence of *seed dormancy-defense*
123 *syndromes*. Convergent evolution in dormancy classes across seed plants has been
124 documented recently (i.e., evidenced by a large degree of homoplasy on the Spermatophyta
125 phylogeny), and it has been proposed that seed dormancy can be evolutionarily labile (Willis

126 et al. 2014). However, the distribution of dormancy classes across the seed plant phylogeny is
127 not random (Willis et al. 2014). Thus, to place seed defensive traits within an evolutionary
128 context, we tested for congruence between the phylogenetic placement of the 16 study
129 species and a classification of the species based on seed defensive traits. Finally, in addition
130 to direct measurements of physical and chemical seed traits, we evaluated the seed toxicity
131 directly for a subset of species through bioassays of seed extracts with a model invertebrate
132 used widely in toxicology studies, and with two fungal pathogens.

133 METHODS

134 *Study site and species*

135 The study was carried out in seasonally moist lowland tropical forest at Barro Colorado
136 Island, Panama (BCI; 9°10'N, 79°51'W). Rainfall on BCI averages 2600 mm yr⁻¹, with a
137 pronounced dry season from January to April (Windsor 1990). We selected 16 of the most
138 common pioneer tree species from lowland tropical forests in Panama, which recruit into
139 gaps and other canopy openings (Table 1) (Condit et al. 1996, Dalling et al. 1998a,b). Seeds
140 of neotropical pioneer species vary widely in dormancy type (Sautu et al. 2007), in size, and
141 in their ability to persist in the soil (Dalling et al. 1997). The selected species are
142 phylogenetically, morphologically, and functionally diverse, allowing us to examine the
143 pioneer community's functional traits related to defense (Table 1). Here *Trema micrantha*
144 (*sensu lato*) is considered to represent two species (Yesson et al. 2004): *Trema micrantha*
145 “brown” is restricted to landslides and road embankments, while *Trema micrantha* “black”
146 occurs mostly in treefall gaps (Silvera et al. 2003, Yesson et al. 2004, Pizano et al. 2011).

147 Seeds were collected from ripe fruits on the Barro Colorado Nature Monument
148 (BCNM) in central Panama. After collection, seeds were allocated to i) measurements of seed
149 defensive traits, ii) evaluation of chemical defenses via bioassays and iii) use in a burial
150 experiment to determine seed persistence in the soil, as described below. The number or dry

151 weight of seeds used varied among species and traits (Table 1).

152 *Seed traits*

153 *Seed physical protection.* – Quantitative differences among species in physical
154 protection were represented by four traits: seed fracture resistance, seed coat thickness, seed
155 coat permeability, and seed mass. Seed fracture resistance and seed coat thickness are directly
156 related to seed toughness. Tougher seeds are less likely to be attacked by predators because
157 they are more energetically costly per unit of reward than weaker seeds (Fricke and Wright
158 2016). Seed coat permeability is a physical trait that distinguishes species with physical
159 dormancy from other dormancy types, and appears to be relevant to the potential for seeds to
160 be colonized by microbes from soil (Dalling et al. 2011, Zalamea et al. 2015). We included
161 seed mass as a physical trait, because it has been suggested that seed mass can be used as a
162 proxy of seed toughness (Fricke and Wright 2016). Prior to evaluation of physical defenses,
163 seeds were inspected, and those that showed cracks or anomalies on the seed surface were
164 discarded.

165 *Seed fracture resistance* was defined as the minimum force (N) required to initiate seed
166 rupture, as measured by an Instron Single Column Testing System Model 3342 (Instron
167 Company, USA). Each seed was loaded between the anvil and the compression probe and
168 then compressed until the seed coat ruptured. The seed coat rupture creates a sudden drop in
169 force, such that the instrument can precisely record the force causing the fracture.

170 *Seed coat thickness* was measured as the mean seed coat thickness (μm) for each seed.
171 Following Zalamea et al. (2015), seeds of each species were cut in half under a dissecting
172 scope and scanned using a Zeiss – Evo 40 vp scanning electron microscope. Mean seed coat
173 thickness was determined from measurements at four random points for each seed's image
174 via ImageJ (<http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/>).

175 *Seed coat permeability* was measured by fluorescent dye uptake into the endosperm.

176 Following Zalamea et al. (2015), seeds were incubated in 0.1% (w/v) aqueous solution of
177 Lucifer yellow CH potassium salt (hereafter LY; Biotium, Inc., CA, USA) for 48 h in the
178 dark at room temperature (22°C). LY has a low molecular weight compared to other water-
179 soluble fluorophores, making it especially useful for measuring seed permeability (Tieu and
180 Egerton-Warburton 2000). After incubation, seeds were cut in half and examined using a
181 Nikon Eclipse 600 microscope attached to a XX-V mercury lamp, with a Nikon B-2A
182 fluorescent filter set (450–490 nm excitation/515 nm emission). Permeability was scored as
183 zero (no LY in the endosperm) or one (LY in the endosperm).

184 *Seed mass* (mg) was measured with an analytical balance precise to ± 0.001 g. Seeds
185 were removed from fruits and cleaned manually to remove fruit pulp or cottony filaments.
186 Clean seeds were air-dried at room temperature ($\sim 22^\circ\text{C}$) in the dark for ≥ 7 days, as needed
187 for each species. A subsample of seeds was weighed several times prior to measurement to
188 assure constant weight, indicative of dry seeds.

189 *Seed chemical protection.* – We focused on characterizing phenols to minimize the risk
190 of confounding defensive and non-defensive compounds. Although phenolic compounds may
191 have some non-defensive roles, they are known to protect plants and seeds from enemies
192 such as seed predators and pathogens (Hendry et al. 1994, Davis et al. 2008, 2016,
193 Gripenberg et al. 2018). Whole seeds were ground to a fine homogenate using a Wiley Mini
194 Mill (model 3383-L10 Thomas Scientific, USA). For each species, three replicates of 0.1 g of
195 ground seeds were extracted in methanol following Tiansawat et al. (2014) and Davis et al.
196 (2016). The triplicates of methanol supernatant were then analyzed with high performance
197 liquid chromatography (HPLC). HPLC measurements of total phenol content were made
198 following Gallagher et al. (2010) at the USDA-ARS National Center for Agricultural
199 Utilization Research (Peoria, Illinois, USA). We focused our analysis on the non-volatile
200 fractions of seed homogenates extracted using methanol/DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide), to

201 ensure comprehensive profiles of phenolic compounds that were comparable among species.

202 *Phenolic peak area* (the area of each peak in each sample) was first standardized to the

203 mass of the seed sample to allow us to compare compound abundance across species:

$$\text{Mass-standardized peak area} = \frac{\frac{\text{Raw peak area}}{\text{Injection volume}} \times \text{Total volume of extract}}{\text{Sample mass}}$$

204

205 where peak area was measured in mV x min, injection volume was 25 mL, extract volume

206 was 1.5 mL, and sample mass was measured in g. The mass-standardized peak area of all

207 potential defensive compounds present in each sample was then summed and mean peak

208 areas were calculated from three replicates per species.

209 *Phenolic compounds*, or the number of absorbance peaks of potential defensive

210 compounds, were distinguished according to their retention times. Peak detection was set at

211 280 nm.

212 *Functionally relevant chemical defenses*

213 Seed toxicity was assessed through bioassays of seed extracts with a model organism

214 used widely in toxicology studies, brine shrimp (*Artemia franciscana*), and two fungal

215 pathogens (*Fusarium* sp. 1 and *Fusarium* sp. 2) isolated from seeds of pioneer trees

216 (*Hieronyma alchorneoides* and *Trema micrantha* “black”) that were buried and retrieved

217 from a common garden experiment on BCI (Sarmiento et al. 2017). Detailed protocols for

218 preparing the seed extracts and performing the bioassays are presented in online supporting

219 information (Appendix S1).

220 Brine shrimp were hatched in a 2L tank under constant light and aeration. After two

221 days, larvae were removed from the tank for use in bioassays. Seed homogenates of 14 out of

222 the 16 species used previously were de-fatted, extracted with methanol, and allowed to dry in

223 a fume hood. The remaining pellet was re-suspended in distilled water, in combination with a

224 prepared salt-water aquarium mixture, to create a range of concentrations of seed extract.
225 Test tubes containing 10 larvae and the aqueous solution with different concentrations of seed
226 extract ($0 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (control), $1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) were included
227 in four replicate blocks. Dead larvae were counted after 24 hours.

228 Species of *Fusarium* are important seed pathogens (Agrawal and Sinclair 1996) and
229 commonly infect seeds of pioneer species in lowland tropical forests (Gallery et al. 2007,
230 Shaffer et al. 2016, Sarmiento et al. 2017). Based on seed availability, we selected a subgroup
231 of 10 tree species for the fungal bioassays. Sterile 96-well plates were used to measure fungal
232 growth *in vitro* following a treatment with 1:1 w:v dilution of seed extracts prepared with
233 sterile distilled water. Wells containing seed extracts were inoculated with fungi, wells that
234 only had seed extracts were used as negative controls, and wells that contained sterile water
235 were inoculated with fungi and used as positive controls. Plates were incubated at room
236 temperature ($\sim 25^\circ\text{C}$). Immediately after inoculation initial readings of cell density were made
237 at 750nm. Thereafter, each plate was sealed with Parafilm and placed in a plastic bag with
238 damp paper towels to keep moist. Readings were repeated every 3 days for a total of 15 days.
239 Spectrophotometer readings for wells with extracts and fungi were scaled by the mean value
240 of negative controls (i.e., seed extracts alone). A fungal growth index was calculated as cell
241 density after 15 days divided by cell density on the positive control on each plate.

242 *Seed persistence in the soil*

243 To determine the rate at which seed viability declines in soil over time when exposed to
244 natural abiotic and biotic factors such as microbes, but excluding seed predators, we
245 conducted a seed burial experiment in the forest at BCI. Seeds were removed from ripe fruits
246 collected from the canopy of, or the ground beneath, at least five fruiting trees of each of the
247 16 species at Barro Colorado Nature Monument. Seeds from all maternal sources of each
248 species were pooled and 200 seeds from this pool were placed in a germination experiment to

249 estimate initial seed viability. Another 900 seeds from the same pool for each species were
250 used in the seed burial experiment.

251 The burial experiment was initiated in February 2012. Seeds from each species were
252 buried in small mesh bags beneath the mature forest canopy in five 9 x 15 m common
253 gardens on BCI (Zalamea et al. 2015, Ruzi et al. 2017, Sarmiento et al. 2017). We used a
254 randomized complete block design, with gardens in multiple soil types (Baillie et al. 2007;
255 BCI soil map: <http://strimaps.si.edu/webmaps/bcnm/>). To avoid germination, gardens were
256 located in the understory and in areas that contained no adults of the study species within 20
257 m of the garden edges. Twenty seed bags per species were prepared. Each consisted of 45
258 seeds of one species mixed with 10 g of sterile forest soil (autoclaved previously for 2 h at
259 121°C), enclosed in a nylon mesh bag (pore size = 0.2 mm), and covered with an aluminum
260 mesh (pore size = 2 mm). Four bags per species were buried in each garden at a depth of 2
261 cm below the soil surface.

262 Seed bags were recovered from the gardens 30 months after burial. After recovery, seed
263 bag contents were emptied into a sieve, and seeds were retrieved after rinsing with tap water.
264 To record germination, 10 seeds per seed bag (or fewer, if seeds decayed in the bags) were
265 selected randomly and placed in a Petri dish lined with a paper towel, moistened with sterile
266 distilled water, sealed with 2 layers of Parafilm®, and incubated for 6 weeks in a shadehouse
267 on BCI under 30% full sun, high red:far-red irradiance (ca. 1.4), and ambient temperature.
268 The maximum temperature recorded on the germination bench was ca. 38°C (Zalamea et al.
269 2015), similar to the temperature near the soil surface in large treefall gaps on BCI (Marthews
270 et al. 2008).

271 Germination was defined as radicle protrusion and was recorded weekly for six weeks.
272 Fresh and buried seeds that did not germinate after six weeks were assessed for viability
273 using the tetrazolium test (TZ). This test is based on the activity of dehydrogenase enzymes

274 that reduce the 2, 3, 5-triphenyl tetrazolium chloride in the living tissues (Peters 2000).
275 Ungerminated seeds scored as viable by TZ testing were considered dormant, and total
276 viability was calculated as the sum of germinated and dormant seeds. Seed persistence was
277 then calculated as the proportion of initially viable seeds that survived after 30 months of
278 burial.

279 *Data analysis*

280 We assessed pairwise Pearson correlations among all traits to determine whether seed
281 defense traits exhibited univariate trade-offs. Significance was determined after a Bonferroni
282 correction and results are shown in the supporting information. To account for non-
283 independence of seed traits within related plant lineages, phylogenetic independent contrasts
284 (PIC) (Felsenstein 1985) were calculated for each trait using the *pic* function in the package
285 *ape* (Paradis et al. 2004) in R (R Development Core Team 2017), using a previously
286 constructed phylogeny (Webb and Donoghue 2005). All pairwise correlations of PICs also
287 were calculated. Mean values for each seed trait used in this study were calculated from a
288 variable number of seeds (Table 1), and all values were log-transformed before analysis. To
289 ensure finite and non-zero values in the dataset, a small adjustment of 0.001 was used for
290 proportion data (i.e., seed permeability and persistence) and an adjustment of 1 was used for
291 the number of phenolic compounds and the phenolic peak area.

292 Seeds of tropical pioneer trees usually form seed banks in which they persist until
293 conditions are adequate for germination. To do so they use different dormancy strategies
294 (Dalling et al. 1997, 2011). Seed permeability is a key trait that distinguishes physical
295 dormancy from other dormancy types. It also has been suggested to affect accessibility of
296 seed contents to granivores and/or pathogens (Paulsen et al. 2013, Zalamea et al. 2015). To
297 examine relationships between seed persistence, as well as seed permeability, and the
298 physical and chemical defenses of seeds, we used a structural equation model (SEM)

299 approach and tested 15 different models including all the measured and latent variables. We
300 selected the model based on minimization of the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and
301 likelihood ratio tests (Burnham and Anderson 2002).

302 To explore the existence of seed defense syndromes we used a linear discriminant
303 analysis (LDA) of seed syndrome group, defined as the seed dormancy type (i.e., physical,
304 physiological, or quiescent) suggested by Dalling et al. (2011), against seed defensive traits
305 and performed a k-means classification of the resulting scores. For testing the congruence
306 between the species phylogeny and the trait-based classification, we used the phylogenetic
307 tree that was constructed previously to calculate PICs. For the phenogram, we performed a
308 hierarchical cluster analysis based on Euclidean distances and the Ward's linkage method,
309 following Becerra (1997) and Agrawal and Fishbein (2006). To have comparable measures
310 among traits, our physical and chemical mean trait values were previously transformed to Z
311 scores (mean = 0, SD = 1). To test congruence between the phylogenetic tree and the
312 phenogram, we calculated pairwise distance matrices between the pairs of tips as the branch
313 length for the phylogenetic tree using the function *cophenetic.phylo* and as the Euclidean
314 distance between tips of the phenogram. The correlation between the two matrices was
315 determined by a Mantel test via the *mantel.rtest* function in R.

316 RESULTS

317 Five of 15 pairwise correlations between physical traits (i.e., seed fracture resistance,
318 coat thickness, permeability and mass) and chemical traits (i.e., phenolic peak area and
319 number of phenolic compounds) were significant after accounting for phylogenetic non-
320 independence of these traits within related plant lineages (Table 2). Without accounting for
321 phylogenetic non-independence, we found that 6 of 15 pairwise correlations between physical
322 traits and chemical traits were significant (Appendix S2: Table S1). All significant
323 correlations between seed defensive traits were positive, with or without phylogenetic

324 correction, suggesting that none of these univariate relationships was consistent with the
325 trade-off concept between seed defenses.

326 After controlling for phylogeny, only 2 of 18 possible correlations between physical or
327 chemical traits and the secondary metabolite bioassays were significant (Table 2). In addition,
328 results of the fungal pathogen assays were not correlated with seed toxicity to invertebrates,
329 as represented by the brine shrimp bioassay (Table 2, Appendix S2: Table S1). Results of the
330 two fungal assays were highly correlated with one another (Table 2).

331 The proportion of initially viable seeds that survived after 30 months of burial (i.e.,
332 seed persistence) varied widely among species (Table 1). Seed persistence ranged from 8%
333 for *Cochlospermum vitifolium* to 100% for *Annona spraguei*, *Zanthoxylum ekmanii* and
334 *Trema micrantha* “brown”. No PIC correlations between seed persistence and physical traits
335 was significant. However, PIC correlations between seed persistence and the abundance of
336 phenolic compounds, as well as seed persistence and seed toxicity to invertebrates, were
337 positively correlated (Table 2). Finally, we found a marginally significant and positive PIC
338 correlation between seed persistence and the number of phenols. These results are consistent
339 with the SEM results showing that chemical defenses are positively associated with seed
340 persistence, while physical defenses are not (see below).

341 Seeds of pioneer trees have different dormancy strategies that allow them to persist in
342 the soil seed bank, and seed permeability is one of the well-known traits used to distinguish
343 physically dormant seeds from other seeds. Here, we used SEMs to quantify possible
344 associations among seed physical defensive traits (i.e., seed fracture resistance, seed coat
345 thickness, and seed mass), seed chemical defenses (i.e., number of phenolic compounds and
346 phenolic peak area), and seed persistence and permeability. Model selection strongly
347 indicated that physical defenses are negatively associated with seed permeability and not
348 associated with seed persistence, and that chemical defenses are positively associated with

349 seed permeability and seed persistence (Appendix S2: Table S2). The SEM that best
350 explained the associations between seed persistence and permeability with seed physical and
351 chemical defenses retained the latent variable “physical 2” (consisting of seed fracture
352 resistance and seed mass), and the number of phenolic compounds (Fig. 1a; Model 5 in
353 Appendix S2: Table S2, $p < 0.001$, AIC = 190.6, Akaike weight = 0.64) and explained 55%
354 of variation in seed permeability and 19% of variation in seed persistence. The second best-
355 supported model retained the latent variable “physical 2, and the phenolic peak area (Fig. 1b;
356 Model 6 in Appendix S2: Table S2, $p < 0.001$, AIC = 191.9, Akaike weight = 0.34) and
357 explained 31% of variation in seed permeability and 29% of variation in seed persistence.

358 A linear discriminant analysis (LDA) of seed dormancy types against seed defensive
359 traits (i.e., 4 physical and 2 chemical traits) revealed that 80% of variance was explained by
360 the first linear discriminator (LD1), and 20% explained by the next (LD2). The first linear
361 discriminator was negatively associated with seed fracture resistance and coat thickness, and
362 positively associated with seed permeability, seed mass, phenolic peak area, and number of
363 phenolic compounds. The second discriminator was negatively associated with seed coat
364 thickness, permeability, seed mass and phenolic peak area, and positively associated with
365 seed fracture resistance and number of phenolic compounds. In addition, the first linear
366 discriminator separated two groups of species: one consisting of species with physically
367 dormant seeds, and the other consisting of species with physiologically dormant and
368 quiescent seeds (Fig. 2). In turn the second linear discriminator separated physiologically
369 dormant from quiescent seeds (Fig. 2). The k-means classification for all of the 16 species
370 used in this study confirmed the existence of three groups of species consistent with seed
371 dormancy types. When the dataset was split in half with training, the model was again 100%
372 accurate in distinguishing between the three groups. In summary, seeds from the physically
373 dormant defense syndrome have impermeable seeds that are mainly defended by physical

374 barriers. Seeds from the physiologically dormant defense syndrome have permeable seeds
375 heavily defended by the presence and abundance of phenolic compounds. Seeds from the
376 quiescent defense syndrome have a lower degree of chemical and physical defenses. When
377 more traits were included in the analysis (i.e., seed persistence and chemical defense
378 bioassays), the species classification into groups mirrored seed dormancy types (Appendix
379 S2: Table S3). This is true even if including other traits resulted in a reduction in the number
380 of species to 15 when seed persistence was included, 13 when the invertebrate assay was
381 included and 9 when the fungal pathogen assays were included (Appendix S2: Table S3).

382 Similarly, hierarchical cluster analysis of chemical and physical defense traits revealed
383 three distinct groups (Fig. 3). These three groups are consistent with those designated by the
384 LDA and highlight three seed dormancy-defense syndromes. As in the LDA results,
385 quiescent and physiologically dormant seeds are more similar to each other than to physically
386 dormant seeds. The only exception between the results from the hierarchical cluster analysis
387 and the LDA was *Trema micrantha* “black”, which was classified in different groups (Fig. 3).
388 Overall, phylogenetic relationships are correlated with the defense trait cluster (Mantel $r =$
389 0.44 , $p < 0.001$), suggesting that the seed dormancy-defense syndromes are at least in part
390 constrained by phylogenetic relationships.

391 DISCUSSION

392 We found no evidence of direct univariate trade-offs between chemical and physical
393 defenses of seeds for a phylogenetically broad group of tropical pioneer trees. Our results do
394 not support redundancy in the context of univariate trade-offs between chemical and physical
395 defenses, in which it is suggested that if one defense is sufficient to deter herbivores and/or
396 pathogens, selection against redundant defenses should be strong (see Agrawal 2007, 2010).
397 Instead, we found strong evidence suggesting that seed permeability is positively associated

398 with chemical defenses, and negatively associated with physical defenses, which represents
399 an indirect trade-off mediated by seed permeability.

400 Studies using seedlings and adult plants have found mixed evidence for trade-offs
401 between physical and chemical defenses. For instance, Twigg and Socha (1996) found strong
402 negative correlations between physical deterrents and fluoroacetate concentration in fresh
403 leaves of four species of *Gastrolobium*. In contrast, analysis of defensive traits of leaves from
404 24 different species of *Asclepias* revealed few significant, but all positive correlations among
405 defensive traits (Agrawal and Fishbein 2006). A recent study focusing on physical and
406 chemical defenses in diverse plants across a large geographic scope consistently found no
407 evidence for trade-offs between physical and chemical defenses (Moles et al. 2013). The few
408 studies focused on seeds do not escape this debate: there is evidence supporting (Zhang et al.
409 2016) or rejecting (Tiansawat et al. 2014) the univariate trade-off model between physical
410 and chemical defenses (see also Gripenberg et al. 2018).

411 The absence of univariate trade-offs does not mean that trade-offs are not important in
412 explaining seed defense strategies. In nature, plants are expected to allocate resources to
413 several defensive traits simultaneously (Agrawal and Fishbein 2006). The absence of
414 consensus around the univariate trade-off model could reflect evolution toward convergent
415 defense syndromes, in which a co-adapted complex of traits form associations across species
416 (see Kursar and Coley 2003, Agrawal 2007), and these different groups of traits negatively
417 covary.

418 *Dormancy-defense syndromes in tropical pioneer seeds*

419 In the absence of evidence for univariate trade-offs between physical and chemical
420 defenses, alternative frameworks have gained popularity for understanding the evolution of
421 suites of traits that can lead to defense syndromes (Kursar and Coley 2003, Agrawal and
422 Fishbein 2006). Dalling et al. (2011) hypothesized that selection on seed dormancy and

423 resistance to enemies should result in distinct dormancy-defense syndromes. In part this
424 reflects the observation that seeds can persist in the soil seed bank as a result of dormancy or
425 quiescence (Baskin and Baskin 2004, Dalling et al. 2011). Dormant seeds can have physical
426 or physiological barriers to avoid germination under unfavorable conditions while quiescent
427 seeds do not have such barriers and germinate when conditions are favorable (Dalling et al.
428 2011). Here, we revealed three distinctive groups of species defined by physical and chemical
429 traits of seeds. As proposed by Dalling et al. (2011) and suggested for temperate species by
430 Davis et al. (2016), these groups of species strongly support the existence of dormancy-
431 defense syndromes for 16 of the most common species of pioneer trees in the focal lowland
432 tropical forest.

433 Dormancy-defense syndromes can be informed by placing seed traits in an evolutionary
434 context. Correlation between the trait phenogram and the plant species phylogeny suggests
435 that at least in part seed dormancy-defense syndromes track phylogenetic history. In our
436 study, species with physically dormant seeds are primarily within the Malvales, likely
437 influencing the significant correlation between the defense phenogram and the species
438 phylogeny. Although the proportion of species with physically dormant seeds on the
439 Spermatophyta phylogeny is smaller compared to other dormancy classes, our results are in
440 agreement with other studies that focused on the evolution of seed dormancy and found that
441 the distribution of dormancy classes across the phylogeny is not random (Willis et al. 2014).

442 *How do seeds of tropical pioneers persist in the soil seed bank?*

443 Seed persistence in the soil impacts tree species abundance and distribution by
444 determining when and where seeds can germinate (Long et al. 2015). Although all species
445 included in this study can persist to some degree in the soil seed bank, we found that seed
446 mortality rates varied greatly among species. For instance, after 30 months of soil incubation,
447 92% of initially viable seeds of *Cochlospermum vitifolium* died, but seed mortality was 0%

448 for *Annona spraguei*, *Zanthoxylum ekmanii*, and *Trema micrantha* “brown”. In a review
449 about seed persistence Long et al. (2015) classified persistence in the soil seed bank as
450 transient (surviving less than a year), short-lived (surviving between 1 and 3 years) or long-
451 lived (surviving more than 3 years). Although we did not include incubation times longer
452 than 30 months, some of the species showed no decrease at all in seed viability suggesting
453 that we sampled species from all three persistence classes. Our results are also supported by
454 observations of naturally dispersed seeds of *Zanthoxylum ekmanii* and *Trema micrantha* that
455 have decades-long persistence in the soil on Barro Colorado Island (Dalling and Brown
456 2009).

457 Seed permeability is a trait commonly used to distinguish physically dormant seeds
458 from other seeds (Baskin et al. 2000) and it has been hypothesized as a key trait that
459 determines accessibility of seed contents to granivores and/or pathogens (Paulsen et al. 2013,
460 Zalamea et al. 2015). Although we did not find evidence for univariate trade-offs between
461 physical and chemical defenses, we found an indirect trade-off mediated by seed permeability
462 in which seeds that are defended by thick and strong physical barriers tend to be
463 impermeable, and seeds heavily defended by the presence and abundance of phenolic
464 compounds tend to be permeable. In temperate regions, long seed persistence is achieved
465 through investment in chemical defenses (Hendry et al. 1994) and in wet tropical habitats
466 where pathogen pressure is high, physical defenses alone may be insufficient to prevent
467 pathogen infection, thus investment in chemical defense may be a solution to achieve long
468 seed persistence. In a tropical montane forest in Costa Rica, morpho-physiological dormancy
469 allows seeds of *Bocconia frutescens* to achieve long persistence through chemical defenses
470 (Veldman et al. 2007). Although we did not find any significant relationship between the
471 abundance or presence of phenolic compounds and seed bioassays, using PIC correlations
472 and SEMs, we found that seed persistence was positively associated with the abundance of

473 phenolic compounds. In addition, we also found that seed toxicity in the invertebrate bioassay
474 was positively correlated with seed persistence. These results strongly support the idea that
475 long seed persistence in tropical forests can be achieved through investment in chemical
476 defenses.

477 The observation that seed persistence was positively correlated with the invertebrate
478 bioassay and negatively, but only marginally, correlated with one of the fungal bioassays,
479 raises the possibility that the chemistry that impacts invertebrates does not necessarily reduce
480 fungal growth and *vice versa*. However, an important caveat is the possibility that our fungal
481 growth assays not only detect the effects of seed toxins, but also incorporate positive
482 nutritional effects of seed tissue extracts on fungal growth. While chemical seed defenses
483 might be expected to be concentrated in external seed coat or fruit tissues, seed extracts in our
484 assays were prepared using whole seeds, reflecting the difficulty of separating the seed coat
485 from interior nutrient-rich endosperm and embryo. Notably, the only species that consistently
486 reduced fungal growth in comparison to controls was *Zanthoxylum ekmanii*, which had
487 among the highest abundance and diversity of phenolic compounds. In contrast,
488 *Cochlospermum vitifolium*, one of the most strongly physically-defended species, had almost
489 twice the fungal growth on seed extracts compared to controls. Thus, more data are needed to
490 clearly understand whether chemical defenses affect invertebrates and fungal pathogens
491 differently.

492 Variable biotic and abiotic pressures may have selected for the evolution of different
493 seed defense syndromes. Our results suggest that seeds of tropical pioneer trees can achieve
494 short and/or long persistence using two different types of seeds: i) permeable seeds heavily
495 defended by the presence and abundance of phenolic compounds (i.e., corresponding to the
496 physiologically dormant defense syndrome), or ii) impermeable seeds that are mainly
497 defended by physical barriers (i.e., corresponding to the physically dormant defense

498 syndrome). Weed seeds from temperate regions have solved the problem of persistence
499 through similar approaches, but contrary to our results, seeds that attain long persistence rely
500 more on physical defenses, whereas species with shorter persistence rely more on chemical
501 defenses (Davis et al. 2016). This result suggests that species could vary considerably in
502 resource allocation to defense among different habitats. Finally, we found evidence that seeds
503 of quiescent species persist in the soil for short periods of time, lacking or having greatly
504 diminished chemical and physical defenses (i.e., corresponding to the quiescent defense
505 syndrome). These seeds may be especially dependent upon protection gained from beneficial
506 seed-inhabiting microbes, as suggested in previous studies (Gallery et al. 2010, Dalling et al.
507 2011, Sarmiento et al. 2017). However, to draw firm conclusions we need further studies
508 testing the effect of inoculations on seed survival.

509 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

510 This research was funded by NSF DEB-1120205 (to JWD and ASD) and NSF DEB-
511 1119758 (to AEA). PCZ was supported by NSF DEB-1120205 and his work was partially
512 supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation to the Smithsonian Tropical Research
513 Institute (429440, WTW). We thank the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute for
514 providing facilities, logistical support, and permission to conduct the project. We especially
515 thank D. Roche and I. Quintero for essential assistance in the field and in the laboratory. In
516 addition, we thank E. Ryan, J. Ceballos, J. Shaffer, M. Vargas, C. Czekala, J. Pérez, A.
517 Robison, A. Beswick, Q. Wei and P. Tiansawat for assistance in the field and in the lab. This
518 manuscript was improved by valuable comments from the handling editor, and two
519 anonymous reviewers.

520 LITERATURE CITED

521 Agrawal, A. A. 2007. Macroevolution of plant defense strategies. *Trends in Ecology and*
522 *Evolution* 22:103–109.

- 523 Agrawal, A. A. 2010. Current trends in the evolutionary ecology of plant defence. *Functional*
524 *Ecology* 25:420–432.
- 525 Agrawal, A. A., and M. Fishbein. 2006. Plant defense syndromes. *Ecology* 87:S132–S149.
- 526 Agrawal, V, and J. Sinclair. 1996. Principles of seed pathology. CRC Press, Inc.
- 527 Bagchi, R., R. E. Gallery, S. Gripenberg, S. J. Gurr, L. Narayan, C. E. Addis, R. P.
528 Freckleton, and O. T. Lewis. 2014. Pathogens and insect herbivores drive rainforest plant
529 diversity and composition. *Nature* 506:85–88.
- 530 Baillie, I., H. Elsenbeer, F. Barthold, R. Grimm, and R. Stallard R. 2007. A semi-detailed soil
531 survey of Barro Colorado Island, Panama. Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute.
532 [http://biogeodb.stri.si.edu/bioinformatics/bci_soil_map/documentation/BCI_soil_report_c](http://biogeodb.stri.si.edu/bioinformatics/bci_soil_map/documentation/BCI_soil_report_complete.pdf)
533 [omplete.pdf](http://biogeodb.stri.si.edu/bioinformatics/bci_soil_map/documentation/BCI_soil_report_complete.pdf).
- 534 Baskin, J. M., and C. C. Baskin. 2004. A classification system for seed dormancy. *Seed*
535 *Science Research* 14:1–16.
- 536 Baskin, J. M., C. C. Baskin, and X. Li. 2000. Taxonomy, anatomy and evolution of physical
537 dormancy in seeds. *Plant Species Biology* 15:139–152.
- 538 Becerra, J. X. 1997. Insects on plants: macroevolutionary chemical trends in host use.
539 *Science* 276:253–256.
- 540 Burnham, K.P., and D.R. Anderson. 2002. *Model selection and inference: a practical*
541 *information-theoretic approach*. Springer Verlag, New York.
- 542 Coley, P. D., J. P. Bryant, and F. S. Chapin. 1985. Resource availability and plant
543 antiherbivore defense. *Science* 230:895–899.
- 544 Condit, R., S. P. Hubbell, and R. B. Foster. 1996. Changes in tree species abundance in a
545 neotropical forest: impact of climate change. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 12:231–256.
- 546 Dalling, J. W., A. S. Davis, B. J. Schutte, and A. Elizabeth Arnold. 2011. Seed survival in
547 soil: interacting effects of predation, dormancy and the soil microbial community. *Journal*

548 of Ecology 99:89–95.

549 Dalling, J. W., and T. A. Brown. 2009. Long- term persistence of pioneer species in tropical
550 rain forest soil seed banks. *The American Naturalist* 173:531–535.

551 Dalling, J. W., M. D. Swaine, and N. C. Garwood. 1997. Soil seed bank community
552 dynamics in seasonally moist lowland tropical forest, Panama. *Journal of Tropical
553 Ecology* 13:659–680.

554 Dalling, J. W., S. P. Hubbell, and K. Silvera. 1998a. Seed dispersal, seedling establishment
555 and gap partitioning among tropical pioneer trees. *Journal of Ecology* 86:674–689.

556 Dalling, J., M. Swaine, and N. Garwood. 1998b. Dispersal patterns and seed bank dynamics
557 of pioneer trees in moist tropical forest. *Ecology* 79:564–578.

558 Davis, A. S., B. J. Schutte, J. Iannuzzi, and K. A. Renner. 2008. Chemical and physical
559 defense of weed seeds in relation to soil seedbank persistence. *Weed Science* 56:676–684.

560 Davis, A. S., X. Fu, B. J. Schutte, M. A. Berhow, and J. W. Dalling. 2016. Interspecific
561 variation in persistence of buried weed seeds follows trade-offs among physiological,
562 chemical, and physical seed defenses. *Ecology and Evolution* 6:6836–6845.

563 Felsenstein, J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. *The American Naturalist*
564 125:1–15.

565 Fine, P., I. Mesones, and P. Coley. 2004. Herbivores promote habitat specialization by trees
566 in Amazonian forests. *Science* 305:663–665.

567 Fricke, E. C., and S. J. Wright. 2016. The mechanical defence advantage of small seeds.
568 *Ecology Letters* 19:987–991.

569 Gallagher, R. S., R. Ananth, K. Granger, B. Bradley, J. V. Anderson, and E. P. Fuerst. 2010.
570 Phenolic and short-chained aliphatic organic acid constituents of Wild Oat (*Avena
571 fatua* L.) seeds. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 58:218–225.

572 Gallery, R. E., D. J. P. Moore, and J. W. Dalling. 2010. Interspecific variation in

573 susceptibility to fungal pathogens in seeds of 10 tree species in the neotropical genus
574 *Cecropia*. *Journal of Ecology* 98:147–155.

575 Gallery, R. E., J. W. Dalling, and A. E. Arnold. 2007. Diversity, host affinity, and distribution
576 of seed-infecting fungi: a case study with *Cecropia*. *Ecology* 88:582–588.

577 Gripenberg, S., J. Rota, J. Kim, S. J. Wright, N. C. Garwood, E. C. Fricke, P. C. Zalamea,
578 and J.-P. Salminen. 2018. Seed polyphenols in a diverse tropical plant community. *Journal*
579 *of Ecology* 106:87–100.

580 Hendry, G. A. F., K. Thompson, C. J. Moss, E. Edwards, P. C. Thorpe. 1994. Seed
581 persistence: a correlation between seed longevity in the soil and Ortho-Dihydroxyphenol
582 concentration. *Functional Ecology* 8:658–664.

583 Koricheva, J. 2002. Meta-analysis of sources of variation in fitness costs of plant
584 antiherbivore defenses. *Ecology* 83:176–190.

585 Kursar, T. A., and P. D. Coley. 2003. Convergence in defense syndromes of young leaves in
586 tropical rainforests. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* 31:929–949.

587 Long, R. L., M. J. Gorecki, M. Renton, J. K. Scott, L. Colville, D. E. Goggin, L. E.
588 Commander, D. A. Westcott, H. Cherry, and W. E. Finch-Savage. 2015. The
589 ecophysiology of seed persistence: a mechanistic view of the journey to germination or
590 demise. *Biological Reviews* 90:31–59.

591 Marthews, T. R., C. E. Mullins, J. W. Dalling, and D. F. R. P. Burslem. 2008. Burial and
592 secondary dispersal of small seeds in a tropical forest. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*
593 24:595–605.

594 Moles, A. T., B. Peco, I. R. Wallis, W. J. Foley, A. G. B. Poore, E. W. Seabloom, P. A. Vesk,
595 A. J. Bisigato, L. Cella-Pizarro, C. J. Clark, P. S. Cohen, W. K. Cornwell, W. Edwards, R.
596 Ejrnaes, T. Gonzales-Ojeda, B. J. Graae, G. Hay, F. C. Lumbwe, B. Magaña-Rodríguez,
597 B. D. Moore, P. L. Peri, J. R. Poulsen, J. C. Stegen, R. Veldtman, H. von Zeipel, N. R.

598 Andrew, S. L. Boulter, E. T. Borer, J. H. C. Cornelissen, A. G. Farji-Brener, J. L.
599 DeGabriel, E. Jurado, L. A. Kyhn, B. Low, C. P. H. Mulder, K. Reardon-Smith, J.
600 Rodríguez-Velázquez, A. De Fortier, Z. Zheng, P. G. Blendinger, B. J. Enquist, J. M.
601 Facelli, T. Knight, J. D. Majer, M. Martínez-Ramos, P. McQuillan, and F. K. C. Hui.
602 2013. Correlations between physical and chemical defences in plants: tradeoffs,
603 syndromes, or just many different ways to skin a herbivorous cat? *New Phytologist*
604 198:252–263.

605 Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution
606 in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20:289–290.

607 Paulsen, T. R., L. Colville, I. Kranner, M. I. Daws, G. Högstedt, V. Vandvik, and K.
608 Thompson. 2013. Physical dormancy in seeds: a game of hide and seek? *New Phytologist*
609 198:496–503.

610 Peters, J. 2000. *Tetrazolium testing handbook: contribution no. 29 to the handbook on seed*
611 *testing*. Lincoln, NE: Association of Official Seed Analysts.

612 Pizano, C., S. A. Mangan, E. A. Herre, A.-H. Eom, and J. W. Dalling. 2011. Above- and
613 belowground interactions drive habitat segregation between two cryptic species of tropical
614 trees. *Ecology* 92:47–56.

615 R Development Core Team (2017). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*.
616 R v.3.3.3. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org/>.

617 Ruzi, S., D. Roche, P. C. Zalamea, A. Robison, and J. W. Dalling. (2017) Species identity
618 influences secondary removal of seeds of Neotropical pioneer tree species. *Plant Ecology*
619 218:983–995.

620 Sarmiento, C., P. C. Zalamea, J. W. Dalling, A. S. Davis, S. Stump, J. M. U'Ren, and A. E.
621 Arnold. (2017). Soilborne fungi have strong host-affinity and host-specific effects on seed
622 germination and survival in a lowland tropical forest. *Proceedings of the National*

623 Academy of Sciences 114:11458–11463.

624 Sautu, A., J. M. Baskin, C. C. Baskin, J. Deago, and R. Condit. 2007. Classification and
625 ecological relationships of seed dormancy in a seasonal moist tropical forest, Panama,
626 Central America. *Seed Science Research* 17:127–140.

627 Shaffer, J. P., C. Sarmiento, P. C. Zalamea, R. E. Gallery, A. S. Davis, D. A. Baltrus, and A.
628 E. Arnold. 2016. Diversity, Specificity, and Phylogenetic Relationships of Endohyphal
629 Bacteria in Fungi That Inhabit Tropical Seeds and Leaves. *Frontiers in Ecology and*
630 *Evolution* 4:116. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2016.00116.

631 Silvera, K., J. B. Skillman, and J. W. Dalling. 2003. Seed germination, seedling growth and
632 habitat partitioning in two morphotypes of the tropical pioneer tree *Trema micrantha*; in a
633 seasonal forest in Panama. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 19:27–34.

634 Strauss, S., J. Rudgers, J. Lau, and R. Irwin. 2002. Direct and ecological costs of resistance to
635 herbivory. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17:278–285.

636 Thompson, K. 2000. The functional ecology of soil seed banks. Pages 215–235 in *Seeds: the*
637 *ecology of regeneration in plant communities*. Second edition. CABI, Wallingford.

638 Tiansawat, P., A. S. Davis, M. A. Berhow, P. C. Zalamea, and J. W. Dalling. 2014.
639 Investment in seed physical defence is associated with species' light requirement for
640 regeneration and seed persistence: evidence from *Macaranga* species in Borneo. *PLoS*
641 *ONE* 9:e99691.

642 Tieu, A., and L. M. Egerton-Warburton. 2000. Contrasting seed morphology dynamics in
643 relation to the alleviation of dormancy with soil storage. *Canadian Journal of Botany*
644 78:1187–1198.

645 Twigg, L. E., and L. V. Socha. 1996. Physical versus chemical defence mechanisms in toxic
646 *Gastrolobium*. *Oecologia* 108:21–28.

647 Veldman, J. W., K. Greg Murray, A. L. Hull, J. Mauricio Garcia C, W. S. Mungall, G. B.

- 648 Rotman, M. P. Plosz, and L. K. McNamara. 2007. Chemical defense and the persistence of
649 pioneer plant seeds in the soil of a tropical cloud forest. *Biotropica* 39:87–93.
- 650 Webb, C. O., and M. J. Donoghue. 2005. Phylomatic: tree assembly for applied
651 phylogenetics. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 5:181–183.
- 652 Willis, C. G., C. C. Baskin, J. M. Baskin, J. R. Auld, D. L. Venable, J. Cavender-Bares, K.
653 Donohue, R. Rubio de Casas, NESCent Germination Working Group. 2014. The evolution
654 of seed dormancy: environmental cues, evolutionary hubs, and diversification of the seed
655 plants. *New Phytologist* 203:300–309.
- 656 Windsor, D. M. 1990. Climate and moisture variability in a tropical forest: long-term records
657 from Barro Colorado Island, Panamá. *Smithsonian Contributions to the Earth Sciences*
658 29:1–145.
- 659 Yesson, C., S. J. Russell, T. Parrish, J. W. Dalling, and N. C. Garwood. 2004. Phylogenetic
660 framework for *Trema* (Celtidaceae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 248:85–109.
- 661 Zalamea, P. C., C. Sarmiento, A. E. Arnold, A. S. Davis, and J. W. Dalling. 2015. Do soil
662 microbes and abrasion by soil particles influence persistence and loss of physical
663 dormancy in seeds of tropical pioneers? *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5:799. doi:
664 10.3389/fpls.2014.00799.
- 665 Zhang, Z., Z. Wang, G. Chang, X. Yi, J. Lu, Z. Xiao, H. Zhang, L. Cao, F. Wang, H. Li, and
666 C. Yan. 2016. Trade-off between seed defensive traits and impacts on interaction patterns
667 between seeds and rodents in forest ecosystems. *Plant Ecology* 217:253–265.

668

669 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

670 Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

671 TABLE 1. Characteristics of the study species, including dormancy type, persistence index, mean seed fracture resistance, mean seed coat
672 thickness, percentage of permeable seeds, mean seed mass, number of phenolic compounds, and total phenolic peak area. Seed chemical
673 defenses were tested against brine shrimp (*Artemia franciscana*) and two fungal isolates (*Fusarium* sp. 1 and *Fusarium* sp. 2). For seed fracture
674 resistance, seed coat thickness, and seed mass the standard deviation of the mean and number of seeds used to obtain species-specific mean trait
675 estimate are in parentheses.

Species	Dormancy type	Persistence	Fracture resistance (N)	Coat thickness (μm)	Permeability (%)	Mass (mg)	Phenolic compounds (number of peaks)	Phenolic (peak area)	Brine shrimp ED50 ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$)	<i>Fusarium</i> sp1	<i>Fusarium</i> sp2
<i>Apeiba membranacea</i>	physical	0.59	166.4 (87.7 ; 95)	121.6 (22.6 ; 34)	36	13.6 (1.2 ; 154)	0	0	3.03E-01	1.4	1.2
<i>Cochlospermum vitifolium</i>	physical	0.08	231.9 (55.5 ; 91)	178.9 (13.5 ; 32)	22	24.5 (2.2 ; 123)	1	313569320	4.38E-01	1.9	1.8
<i>Colubrina glandulosa</i>	physical	0.22	43.8 (7.9 ; 93)	198.3 (19.7 ; 19)	34	15.1 (1.7 ; 191)	4	122649420	-	-	-
<i>Guazuma ulmifolia</i>	physical	0.92	38.5 (10.9 ; 100)	94.2 (8.0 ; 17)	17	3.4 (0.3 ; 322)	2	985146508	6.77E-01	1.2	0.9
<i>Luehea seemanii</i>	physical	0.73	39.9 (23.5 ; 79)	46.5 (4.6 ; 40)	12	1.9 (0.3 ; 226)	0	0	1.95E+00	-	-
<i>Ochroma pyramidale</i>	physical	0.82	40.4 (6.6 ; 95)	132.6 (4.8 ; 40)	12	5.7 (0.3 ; 178)	0	0	1.07E+00	1.3	1.0
<i>Annona spraguei</i>	physiological	1	175.1 (95.6 ; 91)	72.2 (18.8 ; 40)	88	45.4 (3.0 ; 231)	12	3747381475	8.35E-01	1.3	1.5
<i>Hieronyma alchorneoides</i>	physiological	0.63	38.8 (7.9 ; 100)	287.8 (55.8 ; 35)	100	6.5 (0.3 ; 340)	24	2327874247	2.85E-01	1.3	1.2
<i>Lindackeria laurina</i>	physiological	-	11 (4.7 ; 50)	61.6 (10.3 ; 15)	90	74.3 (17.2 ; 170)	17	726948505	1.90E-01	-	-
<i>Trema micrantha</i> "black"	physiological	0.98	9.5 (2.6 ; 90)	101.3 (14.6 ; 39)	100	3.2 (0.2 ; 306)	1	367048261	9.76E-01	1.3	1.1
<i>Zanthoxylum ekmanii</i>	physiological	1	68.3 (24.3 ; 100)	268.8 (58.0 ; 35)	100	16.2 (0.8 ; 338)	13	917543536	3.13E+00	0.8	0.8
<i>Cecropia insignis</i>	quiescent	0.23	2.7 (0.9 ; 85)	40.6 (5.0 ; 30)	95	0.4 (0.03 ; 216)	1	108260613	1.00E+00	-	-
<i>Cecropia longipes</i>	quiescent	0.41	11 (5 ; 98)	24.8 (2.6 ; 30)	100	0.9 (0.07 ; 340)	3	56083277	1.18E-01	-	-
<i>Cecropia peltata</i>	quiescent	0.39	9 (4.4 ; 93)	29.7 (4.1 ; 30)	93	0.7 (0.06 ; 317)	1	278427782	1.22E+00	-	-
<i>Ficus insipida</i>	quiescent	0.45	9.8 (3.1 ; 100)	85.1 (12.5 ; 32)	93	1.6 (0.1 ; 340)	7	222405778	-	1.5	1.2
<i>Trema micrantha</i> "brown"	quiescent	1	11 (1.8 ; 85)	66.6 (6.6 ; 35)	99	1.7 (0.1 ; 204)	5	4563936147	4.29E+00	1.3	1.1

676

677 TABLE 2. Pairwise correlations using phylogenetic independent contrasts of seed persistence index, defense-related traits (i.e., physical and
 678 chemical traits), and seed bioassays (i.e., brine shrimp and *Fusarium* spp.) among pioneer tree species. The number of species used in each
 679 correlation is presented in parentheses.

	Seed persistence	Physical traits			Chemical traits		Bioassays			
		Fracture resistance	Coat thickness	Permeability	Mass	Phenolic compounds	Phenolic peak area	Brine shrimp ED50	<i>Fusarium</i> sp1	<i>Fusarium</i> sp2
Seed persistence	-	0.01 (15)	-0.03 (15)	0.12 (15)	0.06 (15)	0.18° (15)	0.40** (15)	0.26* (13)	-0.28° (10)	-0.08 (10)
Fracture resistance		-	0.09 (16)	-0.07 (16)	0.33* (16)	0.06 (16)	-0.02 (16)	-0.05 (14)	0.08 (10)	0.43* (10)
Coat thickness			-	0.06 (16)	0.20* (16)	0.38* (16)	0.14° (16)	-0.08 (14)	-0.09 (10)	-0.14 (10)
Permeability				-	-0.06 (16)	0.10 (16)	0.06 (16)	-0.06 (14)	-0.13 (10)	-0.14 (10)
Mass					-	0.45** (16)	0.13° (16)	-0.06 (14)	0.07 (10)	0.53* (10)
Phenolic compounds						-	0.53** (16)	-0.05 (14)	-0.09 (10)	-0.12 (10)
Phenolic peak area							-	-0.04 (14)	-0.11 (10)	0.09 (10)
Brine shrimp ED50								-	0.07 (9)	0.02 (9)
<i>Fusarium</i> sp1									-	0.70** (10)
<i>Fusarium</i> sp2										-

* P < 0.05

** P < 0.01

° P < 0.1

680

681 FIGURE LEGENDS

682 FIG. 1. Structural equation models that best explained the associations between seed
683 persistence and permeability, and seed physical and chemical defenses. (A) The model that
684 best explained the associations retained the latent variable “physical 2” (consisting of seed
685 fracture resistance and seed mass), and the number of phenolic compounds. (B) The second
686 best supported model retained the latent variable “physical 2”, and the phenolic peak area.
687 Solid lines represent significant relationships and dotted lines represent non-significant
688 associations.

689 FIG. 2. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) of seed dormancy syndromes against seed
690 defensive traits (i.e., 4 physical and 2 chemical traits). The first linear discriminator (LD1)
691 explained 80% of variance and LD2 explained 20%. Each point represents one of the species
692 included in the study. Three dormancy-defense syndromes were classified and colored as: i)
693 seeds that are mainly impermeable and defended by physical barriers, here denominated as
694 physically dormant group and colored in green, ii) permeable seeds that are heavily defended
695 by the presence and abundance of phenolic compounds, here denominated as physiologically
696 dormant group and colored in purple, and iii) seeds of species that have a lower degree of
697 chemical and physical defenses, here denominated as quiescent and colored in orange.

698 FIG. 3. Schematic comparison between the plant species phylogeny and the defense trait
699 cluster of 16 species of pioneer trees from central Panama. The hierarchical cluster analysis
700 of chemically and physically related traits revealed three distinct groups. Branches of these
701 three groups are colored in congruence to the three different dormancy-defense syndromes
702 found on the LDA, where seeds that are physically dormant are colored in green,
703 physiologically dormant in purple, and quiescent in orange.