

# *The influence of microplastics on trophic interaction strengths and oviposition preferences of dipterans*

Article

Accepted Version

Cuthbert, R. N., Al-Jaibachi, R., Dalu, T., Dick, J. T. A. and Callaghan, A. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2731-3352> (2019) The influence of microplastics on trophic interaction strengths and oviposition preferences of dipterans. *Science of the Total Environment*, 651 (Part 2). pp. 2420-2423. ISSN 0048-9697 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.108> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/80337/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.108>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading  
Reading's research outputs online

1      *Short Communication*

2      **The influence of microplastics on trophic interaction strengths and  
3      oviposition preferences of dipterans**

4      Ross N. Cuthbert<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Rana Al-Jaibachi<sup>2</sup>, Tatenda Dalu<sup>3,4</sup>, Jaimie T.A. Dick<sup>1</sup>, Amanda  
5      Callaghan<sup>2</sup>

6

7      <sup>1</sup>*Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University*

8      *Belfast, Medical Biology Centre, Belfast BT9 7BL, Northern Ireland*

9      <sup>2</sup>*Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading,*  
10     *Reading RG6 6AS, England*

11     <sup>3</sup>*Department of Ecology and Resource Management, University of Venda, Thohoyandou*  
12     *0950, South Africa*

13     <sup>4</sup>*South African Institute for Aquatic Biodiversity (SAIAB), Grahamstown 6140, South Africa*

14

15     \*Corresponding author: email, [rcuthbert03@qub.ac.uk](mailto:rcuthbert03@qub.ac.uk)

16

17

18

19

20

21    **Abstract**

22    Microplastic (MP) pollution continues to proliferate in freshwater, marine and terrestrial  
23    environments, but with their biotic implications remaining poorly understood. Biotic  
24    interactions such as predation can profoundly influence ecosystem structuring, stability and  
25    functioning. However, we currently lack quantitative understandings of how trophic  
26    interaction strengths and associated behaviours are influenced by MP pollution, and how  
27    transference of MPs between trophic levels relates to consumptive traits. We also lack  
28    understanding of key life-history effects of MPs, for example, reproductive strategies such as  
29    oviposition. The present study examines the predatory ability of non-biting midge larvae,  
30    *Chaoborus flavicans*, towards larvae of *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes when the latter are exposed  
31    to MPs, using a functional response (FR) approach. Transfer of MPs occurred from larval  
32    mosquitoes to larval midges *via* predation. Microplastics transfer was significantly positively  
33    related to predation rates. Predation by *C. flavicans* followed a Type II FR, with average  
34    maximum feeding rates of 6.2 mosquito larvae per hour. These and other FR parameters  
35    (attack rates and handling times) were not significantly influenced by the presence of MPs.  
36    Further, *C. pipiens* adults did not avoid ovipositing in habitats with high concentrations of  
37    MPs. We thus demonstrate that MPs can move readily through freshwater food webs *via*  
38    biotic processes such as predation, and that uptake correlates strongly with consumption  
39    rates. Further, as MPs do not deter adult mosquitoes from ovipositing, our experiments reveal  
40    high potential for MP exposure and transference through ecosystems.

41    **Key words**

42    food chain; predator-prey; microplastics transference; functional response; *Chaoborus*; *Culex*

43

44

## 45      **Introduction**

46      Microplastic (MP; < 5 mm in size) pollution is prolific in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems  
47      globally (Mason et al., 2018; Sighicelli et al., 2018; Wagner and Lambert, 2018). Whilst the  
48      enormous scale of MP pollution is unequivocal, we currently have a poor understanding of  
49      how MP presence influences interaction strengths between trophic groups (Wagner and  
50      Lambert, 2018). Interaction strengths between predators and prey can profoundly impact the  
51      infrastructure of ecosystems through the determination of predator population growth and  
52      prey population stability (Paine, 1980; Gilbert et al., 2014). Thus, understanding factors that  
53      influence predation are crucial to predicting ecosystem structure and functioning. Trophic  
54      transfer *via* predation has been identified as a potentially major pathway through which MPs  
55      can move through food webs (Batel et al., 2016; Chae et al., 2018; Nelms et al., 2018;  
56      Provencher et al., 2018), however quantifications of how exposure to MP pollution influences  
57      trophic interaction strengths are lacking, especially in highly vulnerable, understudied  
58      freshwater environments (Blettler et al., 2018).

59      Functional responses (FRs) (Solomon, 1949; Holling, 1959) quantify resource use under  
60      different resource densities and are powerful predictors of interaction strengths between  
61      consumers and resources. Three FR types have been broadly characterised: Type I FRs are  
62      filter-feeder specific (Jeschke et al., 2004), wherein consumption increases linearly with  
63      resource densities; Type II FRs exhibit a decelerating intake rate, with high proportional  
64      consumption at low resource densities potentially leading to resource extirpation; Type III  
65      FRs are sigmoidal, characterised by low proportional consumption at low densities, thus  
66      potentially facilitating refugia for prey (Holling, 1949). For predators and prey, FRs can  
67      quantify how prey populations are regulated by predators across different context-  
68      dependencies (e.g. Cuthbert et al., 2018). Shifts in the FR form and/or magnitude of  
69      predators, for instance from destabilising Type II to stabilising Type III, are known to be

70 driven by environmental contexts (Alexander et al., 2012). However, we currently have little  
71 quantitative bases to predict how pollutants such as MPs will influence predator-prey  
72 dynamics. Furthermore, distributions of prey populations are often reliant on selective  
73 processes relating to quantifications of risk and reward between habitat patches, especially  
74 for reproductive decisions such as oviposition sites (Nonacs and Dill, 1990). Indeed, the  
75 ability to avoid potentially harmful habitats can benefit the fitness of progeny and influence  
76 the success of populations. However, there has been little research to quantify the influence  
77 of MPs on selective behaviours, such as oviposition, which can drive species distributions  
78 and influence biotic interactions (Goldstein et al., 2012; Majer et al., 2012).

79 In the present study, we thus examine whether MP exposure modulates interaction strengths  
80 between predators and prey, and whether trophic MP transfer can be related to predation  
81 rates. We then discern MP implications for ovipositional behaviour. Focal organisms were  
82 larvae of the predatory non-biting midge *Chaoborus flavicans*, and filter-feeding larvae of the  
83 mosquito complex *Culex pipiens*, which often co-occur in natural and artificial aquatic  
84 habitats.

## 85 **Materials and methods**

### 86 *Experimental design*

87 Fluorescent 2 µm yellow-green carboxylate-modified polystyrene MPs (density 1.050 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  
88 excitation 470 nm; emission 505 nm, Sigma-Aldrich, UK) were used in all experiments.  
89 Microplastics were stored as a stock suspension (2.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and mixed as per Al-Jaibachi  
90 et al. (2018a). *Chaoborus flavicans* (1.0 – 1.2 cm) larvae were purchased commercially  
91 (Northampton Reptile Centre, UK) and acclimated for 6 days in a laboratory at the University  
92 of Reading (19 ± 1 °C, 16:8 light:dark) on a diet of *C. pipiens* larvae in 5 L dechlorinated tap-  
93 water. Wild *C. pipiens* were collected from the Whiteknights campus of the University of

94     Reading ( $51^{\circ}26'12.2''\text{N}$ ,  $0^{\circ}56'31.2''\text{W}$ ). Egg rafts of *C. pipiens* were sampled from artificial  
95     container habitats and, upon hatching, fed *ad libitum* on crushed rabbit food pellets in the  
96     same laboratory in 10 L dechlorinated tap-water. Gravid adult *C. pipiens* were collected  
97     overnight using modified gravid box traps with a hay and yeast infusion used as bait (see  
98     Townroe and Callaghan, 2014).

99     In experiment 1, in the laboratory ( $19 \pm 1 ^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 16:8 light:dark), groups of 400 *C. pipiens*  
100    larvae (0.15–0.20 cm) were exposed to one of two MP treatments (0 particles  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ , 100  
101    particles  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) in 500 mL arenas for 22 h, whilst predators were simultaneously starved.  
102    Following treatments, *C. pipiens* larvae were rinsed in dechlorinated tap water and introduced  
103    at 5 densities (2, 5, 10, 20, 40;  $n = 5$  per treatment group) into 20 mL arenas containing 10  
104    mL dechlorinated tap-water. After 2 h of prey acclimation, predatory *C. flavicans* were  
105    introduced and allowed to feed for 2 h. Predators were then removed and remaining live prey  
106    counted. Controls consisted of a replicate at each MP treatment and prey density in the  
107    absence of predators. Individual predators and prey were frozen at -20  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  before  
108    homogenisation and filtration using nucleopore track-etched membranes (Whatman, UK) of <  
109    0.1  $\mu\text{m}$ , with the MPs on filter membranes subsequently counted using an epi-fluorescent  
110    microscope (Zeiss Axioskop, Germany).

111    In experiment 2, thirty wild gravid adult *C. pipiens* were transferred into each of six 30  $\text{cm}^3$   
112    cages in a laboratory ( $25 \pm 1 ^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 16:8 light:dark). Mosquitoes were allowed to oviposit in one  
113    of two paired 200mL arenas containing different MP treatments (0 particles  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ , 100  
114    particles  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ), placed randomly in opposite corners of the cages, over 3 days. Egg rafts were  
115    enumerated and removed daily.

116    *Data analysis*

117 In experiment 1, the relationship between MP uptake *via* predation in *C. flavicans* and  
118 number of prey killed was examined using a generalised linear model (GLM) assuming  
119 Poisson error distribution. A GLM with quasi-Poisson error distribution was used to examine  
120 overall prey killed with respect to the ‘MP treatment’ and ‘prey supply’ factors, owing to  
121 residual overdispersion. Functional responses (FRs) were modelled using ‘fairy’ in R  
122 (Pritchard et al., 2017) with Rogers’ random predator equation (Rogers, 1972). Attack rate  
123 and handling time parameters were non-parametrically bootstrapped to generate 95%  
124 confidence intervals and compared according to MP treatment using the delta method  
125 (Juliano, 2001). Handling time estimates were used to generate maximum feeding rates over  
126 the total feeding period (1/h).

127 In experiment 2, total egg raft counts were analysed using a generalised linear mixed model  
128 with negative binomial distribution between paired MP treatments, owing to residual  
129 overdispersion. Cage number was included as a random slope and intercept to account for the  
130 paired experimental design.

## 131 **Results**

132 In experiment 1, *C. pipiens* larvae exposed to 100 particles mL<sup>-1</sup> contained  $5.8 \pm 2.7$  (mean  $\pm$   
133 SD) MPs, whilst prey not exposed to MPs did not contain MPs. Whilst MPs were not  
134 detected in predators following consumption of unexposed prey, transference occurred in all  
135 *C. flavicans* that killed MP-exposed *C. pipiens*. Microplastic transference from *C. pipiens* to  
136 *C. flavicans* *via* predation was significantly positively related to the number of prey killed ( $t$   
137 = 1.972,  $p$  = 0.049).

138 Survival of prey was 100% in predator-free controls, and so all prey deaths were assumed to  
139 be due to predation. Predation by *C. flavicans* did not differ significantly according to prey  
140 MP exposure ( $t$  = 0.959,  $p$  = 0.343). Prey killed increased significantly with greater prey

141 supplies ( $t = 4.938, p < 0.001$ ) and under both MP treatments given no significant interaction  
142 ( $t = 0.721, p = 0.472$ ). *Chaoborus flavicans* exhibited Type II FRs irrespective of prey MP  
143 exposure, given that first order terms were significantly negative in both treatment groups  
144 (Table 1). Attack rates (initial curve slopes) did not differ significantly between MP exposure  
145 treatments ( $z = 1.694, p = 0.090$ ), but trended towards being higher where larval *C. pipiens*  
146 were exposed to MPs prior. Handling times did not differ significantly between MP  
147 treatments ( $z = 1.087, p = 0.277$ ), although, reciprocally, maximum feeding rates (curve  
148 asymptotes) tended to be higher towards prey not exposed to MPs (Table 1). Confidence  
149 intervals for attack rates and handling times overlapped overall (Table 1), and across all prey  
150 densities between MP treatments (Figure 1), further indicating a lack of significant difference  
151 in FRs.

152 In experiment 2, a total of 43 egg rafts were oviposited in MP-treated water and 38 egg rafts  
153 in controls. There was no significant difference in oviposition between these treatment groups  
154 ( $z = 0.380, p = 0.704$ ) (Figure 2). High statistical power, and thus low probability for Type II  
155 error, was found for both predation (power = 0.94) and oviposition (power = 0.93).

## 156 Discussion

157 Microplastics continue to proliferate across the biosphere, with ecological implications  
158 frequently unknown (Carlos de Sá et al., 2018; Mason et al., 2018; Sighicelli et al., 2018;  
159 Wagner and Lambert, 2018). In the present study, we further demonstrate active uptake of  
160 MPs through filtering by larval mosquitoes (Al-Jaibachi et al., 2018a, 2018b). Furthermore,  
161 we demonstrate that MPs can be transferred and retained trophically from filter feeding  
162 organisms to higher predators, and that trophic transference relates to consumption rates.  
163 Predation by larval *C. flavicans* towards larval mosquito prey was significant irrespective of  
164 prior prey exposure to MPs. Neither search efficiency (attack rate) or time taken to subdue,

165 capture and digest prey (handling time) were significantly affected by prey MP exposure.  
166 Whilst both the area of attack rate and handling time parameters have been shown to be  
167 heavily context-dependent (e.g. Barrios-O'Neill et al., 2016; Cuthbert et al., 2018), here we  
168 show that the presence of MP pollution does not elicit changes to predation rates. Therefore,  
169 MPs are likely to be readily transferred to predators from prey in MP-polluted systems.

170 Larval *Culex* mosquitoes actively filter and retain MP particles, and MPs have been shown to  
171 transfer ontogenically from larval to pupal stages, and then to the terrestrial adult mosquito  
172 stage (Al-Jaibachi et al., 2018a, 2018b). As with mosquitoes, *C. flavicans* exhibits both  
173 aquatic and terrestrial life stages, and thus the potential for ontogenic transference of MPs *via*  
174 this species from aquatic to terrestrial environments is high, and could accordingly impact  
175 terrestrial vertebrates. Strong potential for MPs to move further through food chains and  
176 impact organisms has been demonstrated in other freshwater systems (Chae et al., 2018). In  
177 the present study, as transference across trophic stages was positively related to predation  
178 rates, uptake of MPs *via* predation may be related to intraspecific or intraindividual variations  
179 in consumptive traits. Indeed, such variabilities are often naturally present within populations,  
180 and could influence MP pollution impact (Alexander et al., 2015).

181 Oviposition by mosquitoes is selective across gradients of risk and reward (Pintar et al.,  
182 2018). The present study demonstrates that gravid adult *Culex* mosquitos are not deterred  
183 from ovipositing in aquatic habitats with MPs. Therefore, there is a high potential for larval  
184 stages to be exposed to MPs throughout their aquatic life stages, before subsequently  
185 transferring MPs trophically or ontogenically (Al-Jaibachi et al., 2018b). Although  
186 concentrations of MPs in the present study were high (but see Fischer et al., 2016; Su et al.,  
187 2016), the lack of effect of MPs on predation rates and oviposition suggests that similar  
188 observations could occur in environments with lower MP concentrations.

189 It is probable that colonists are naïve to potential risks of MPs to fitness. However, Al-  
190 Jaibachi et al. (2018a) found that MPs have little influence on the survival and fitness of  
191 *Culex* mosquitoes across their life history. Whilst this is the first study to quantitatively link  
192 MP transfer with predation rates, work is required to further explore potential trophic  
193 accumulation of MPs, alongside behavioural implications, and to discern the potential for  
194 aerial dispersal of MPs by freshwater insects aside from mosquitoes (Al-Jaibachi et al.,  
195 2018b). Previous work has demonstrated the direct exploitation of MPs particles as  
196 oviposition sites by insects aside from mosquitoes in aquatic systems (Goldstein et al., 2012;  
197 Majer et al., 2012), and MPs are ingested by a range of other aquatic invertebrates (Nel et al.,  
198 2018; Windsor et al., 2019). Here, as larval chaoborids ingest prey whole before regurgitating  
199 undigested, solid material, examinations of physiological restrictions on MP retention are  
200 required for this group (Moore 1988), particularly given their ecological importance in  
201 freshwater environments (Riessen et al. 1984). Elucidations of environmental context-  
202 dependencies which modulate MP uptake and transfer rates would additionally be of value,  
203 alongside the time-dependent effects of depuration.

## 204 **References**

- 205 Alexander, M.E., Dick, J.T.A., O'Connor, N.E., Haddaway, N.R., Farnsworth, K.D., 2012.  
206       Functional responses of the intertidal amphipod *Echinogammarus marinus*: effects of  
207       prey supply, model selection and habitat complexity. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 468, 191–  
208       202. (doi:10.3354/meps09978)
- 209 Alexander, M.E., Dick, J.T.A., O'Connor, N.E., 2015. Predation in the marine intertidal  
210       amphipod *Echinogammarus marinus* Leach: Implications of inter- and intra-  
211       individual variation. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 462, 50-54. (doi:j.jembe.2014.10.011)

- 212 Al-Jaibachi, R., Cuthbert, R.N., Callaghan, A., 2018a. Examining effects of ontogenetic  
213 microplastic transference on *Culex* mosquito mortality and adult weight. *Sci. Tot.*  
214 *Environ.* 651, 871–876. (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.236)
- 215 Al-Jaibachi, R., Cuthbert, R.N., Callaghan, A., 2018b. Up and away: ontogenetic transference  
216 as a pathway for aerial dispersal of microplastics. *Biol. Lett.* 14, 20180479.  
217 (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2018.0479)
- 218 Barrios-O'Neill, D., Kelly, R., Dick, J.T.A., Ricciardi, A., MacIsaac, H.J., Emmerson, M.C.,  
219 2016. On the context-dependent scaling of consumer feeding rates. *Ecol. Lett.* 19,  
220 668–678. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12784)
- 221 Batel, A., Linti, F., Scherer, M., Erdinger, L., Braunbeck, T., 2016. Transfer of  
222 benzo[a]pyrene from microplastics to *Artemia* nauplii and further to zebrafish via a  
223 trophic food web experiment: CYP1A induction and visual tracking of persistent  
224 organic pollutants. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 35, 1656–1666. (doi:10.1002/etc.3361)
- 225 Blettler, M.C.M., Abrial, E., Khan, F.R., Sivri, N., Espinola, S.A., 2018. Freshwater plastic  
226 pollution: Recognizing research biases and identifying knowledge gaps. *Water Res.*  
227 143, 416–424. (doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.015)
- 228 Carlos de Sá, L., Oliveira, M., Ribeiro, F., Rocha, T.L., Futter, M.N., 2018. Studies of the  
229 effects of microplastics on aquatic organisms: What do we know and where should  
230 we focus our efforts in the future? *Sci. Total Environ.* 645, 1029–1039.  
231 (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.207)
- 232 Chae, Y., Kim, D., Kim, S.W., An, Y-J., 2018. Trophic transfer and individual impact of  
233 nano-sized polystyrene in a four-species freshwater food chain. *Sci Rep.* 8, 284.  
234 (doi:10.1038/s41598-017-18849-y)

- 235 Cuthbert, R.N., Dick, J.T.A., Callaghan, A., Dickey, J.W.E., 2018. Biological control agent  
236 selection under environmental change using functional responses, abundances and  
237 fecundities; the Relative Control Potential (RCP) metric. *Biol. Control* 121, 50–57.  
238 (doi:j.biocontrol.2018.02.008)
- 239 Fischer, E.K., Paglialonga, L., Czech, E., Tamminga, M., 2016. Microplastic pollution in  
240 lakes and lake shoreline sediments - a case study on Lake Bolsena and Lake Chiusi  
241 (central Italy). *Environ. Pollut.* 213, 648–657. (doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.012)
- 242 Gilbert, B., Tunney, T.D., McCann, K.S., DeLong, J.P., Vasseur, D.A., Savage, V., Shurin,  
243 J.B., Dell, A.I., Barton, B.T., Harley, C.D.G., Kharouba, H.M., Kratina, P.,  
244 Blanchard, J.L., Clements, C., Winder, M., Greig, H.S., O'Connor, M.I., 2014. A  
245 bioenergetic framework for the temperature dependence of trophic interactions. *Ecol.*  
246 *Lett.* 17, 902–914. (doi:10.1111/ele.12307)
- 247 Goldstein, M.C., Rosenberg, M., Cheng, L., 2012. Increased oceanic microplastic debris  
248 enhances oviposition in an endemic pelagic insect. *Biol. Lett.* 8, 817–820.  
249 (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2012.0298)
- 250 Holling, C.S., 1959. Some characteristics of simple types of predation and parasitism. *Can.*  
251 *Entomol.* 91, 385–398. (doi:10.4039/Ent91385-7)
- 252 Jeschke, J.M., Kopp, M., Tollrian, R., 2004. Consumer-food systems: why type I functional  
253 responses are exclusive to filter feeders. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 79, 337–349.  
254 (doi:10.1017/S1464793103006286)
- 255 Juliano, S.A., 2001. Nonlinear curve fitting: predation and functional response curves, in:  
256 Scheiner, S.M., Gurevitch, J. (Eds.), *Design and analysis of ecological experiments*.  
257 Oxford University Press, UK, pp. 178–196.

- 258 Majer, A.P., Vedolin, M.C., Turra, A., 2012. Plastic pellets as oviposition site and means of  
259 dispersal for the ocean-skater insect *Halobates*. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 64, 1143–1147.  
260 (doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.03.029)
- 261 Mason, S.A., Welch, V., Neratko, J., 2018. *Synthetic polymer contamination in bottled water*.  
262 Fredonia State Univ. New York, pp. 1–17.
- 263 Moore, M.V., 1988. Density-dependent predation of early instar *Chaoborus* feeding on  
264 multispecies prey assemblage. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 33, 256–268.  
265 (doi:10.4319/lo.1988.33.2.0256)
- 266 Nel, H.A., Dalu, T., Wasserman, R.J., 2018. Sinks and sources: Assessing microplastic  
267 abundance in river sediment and deposit feeders in an Austral temperate urban river  
268 system. *Sci. Total Environ.* 612, 950–956. (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.298)
- 269 Nelms, S.E., Galloway, T.S., Godley, B.J., Jarvis, D.S., Lindesque, P.K., 2018. Investigating  
270 microplastic trophic transfer in marine top predators. *Environ. Pollut.* 238, 999–1007.  
271 (doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2018.02.016)
- 272 Nonacs, P., Dill, L.M., 1990. Mortality risk vs. food quality trade-offs in a common currency:  
273 ant patch preferences. *Ecology* 71, 1886–1892. (doi:10.2307/1937596)
- 274 Paine, R.T., 1980 Food webs: linkage, interaction strength and community infrastructure. *J.*  
275 *Anim. Ecol.* 49, 666–685. (doi:10.2307/4220)
- 276 Pintar, M.R., Bohenek, J.R., Eveland, L.L., Resetarits Jr, W.J., 2018. Colonization across  
277 gradients of risk and reward: Nutrients and predators generate species- specific  
278 responses among aquatic insects. *Funct. Ecol.* 35, 1589–1598. (doi:10.1111/1365-  
279 2435.13086)

- 280 Pritchard, D.W., Paterson, R.A., Bovy, H.C., Barrios-O'Neill, D., 2017. *frair*: an R package  
281 for fitting and comparing consumer functional responses. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 8,  
282 1528–1534. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12784)
- 283 Provencher, J.F., Vermaire, J.C., Avery-Gomm, A., Braune, B.M., Mallory, M.L., 2018.  
284 Garbage in guano? Microplastic debris found in faecal precursors of seabirds known  
285 to ingest plastics. *Sci. Total Environ.* 644, 1477–1484.  
286 (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.101)
- 287 Riessen, H.P., O'Brien, W.J., Loveless, B., 1984. An analysis of the components of  
288 *Chaoborus* predation on zooplankton and the calculation of relative prey  
289 vulnerabilities. *Ecology* 65, 514–522. (doi:10.2307/1941413)
- 290 Rogers, D., 1972. Random search and insect population models. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 41, 369–383.
- 291 Solomon, M.E., 1949. The natural control of animal populations. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 18, 1–35
- 292 Su, L., Xue, Y., Li, L., Yang, D., Kolandhasamy, P., Li, D., Shi, H., 2016. Microplastics in  
293 Taihu Lake, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 216, 711–719.  
294 (doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2016.06.036)
- 295 Townroe, S., Callaghan, A., 2015. Morphological and fecundity traits of *Culex* mosquitoes  
296 caught in gravid traps in urban and rural Berkshire, UK. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 105,  
297 615–620. (doi:10.1017/S000748531500053X)
- 298 Wagner, M., Lambert, S., 2018 *Freshwater Microplastics*. Springer International Publishing,  
299 Switzerland. (doi:10.1007/978-3-319-61615-5)
- 300 Windsor, F.M., Tilley, R.M., Tyler, C.R., Ormerod, S.J., 2019. Microplastic ingestion by  
301 riverine macroinvertebrates. *Sci. Total Environ.* 646, 68–74.  
302 (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.271)

303 Sighicelli, M., Pietrelli, L., Lecce, F., Iannilli, V., Falconieri, M., Coscia, L., Di Vito, S.,  
304 Nuglio, S., Zampetti, G., 2018. Microplastic pollution in the surface waters of Italian  
305 Subalpine Lakes. *Environ. Pollut.* 236, 645–651. (doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2018.02.008)

306 **Tables**

307 Table 1. First order terms from the proportion of prey killed as a function of prey density  
308 according to MP exposure treatments. Attack rate, handling time and maximum feeding rate  
309 parameters from Rogers' random predator equation and bootstrapped ( $n = 2000$ ) 95 %  
310 confidence intervals (CIs).

311

312 **Figure legends**

313 Fig. 1. Functional responses of larval *Chaoborus flavicans* towards larval *Culex pipiens*  
314 following exposure to different microplastic (MP) treatments (absent, 0 particles mL<sup>-1</sup>;  
315 present, 100 particles mL<sup>-1</sup>). Shaded area represents bootstrapped ( $n = 2000$ ) confidence  
316 intervals.

317 Fig. 2. Number of egg rafts oviposited by *Culex pipiens* between paired treatments with  
318 microplastics (MPs) absent (0 particles mL<sup>-1</sup>) or present (100 particles mL<sup>-1</sup>).

319 **Ethics**

320 Ethics committee approval was not required.

321 **Data accessibility**

322 Data files are available in online supplementary material.

323 **Author contribution**

324 All authors provided substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of  
325 data, or analysis and interpretation of data; were involved in drafting the article or revising it  
326 critically for important intellectual content; approved the final version to be published; and  
327 agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the  
328 accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

329 **Competing interests**

330 We declare we have no competing interests.

331 **Funding**

332 R.N.C. is funded through the Department for the Economy, Northern Ireland.

333