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Abstract

The Surface Urban Energy and Water balance Scheme (SUEWS) is used to investigate the impact of anthropogenic heat flux ($Q_h$) and irrigation on surface energy balance partitioning in a central business district of Shanghai. Diurnal profiles of $Q_h$ are carefully derived based on city-specific hourly electricity consumption data, hourly traffic data and dynamic population density. $Q_h$ is estimated to be largest in summer (mean daily peak 236 W m$^{-2}$). When $Q_h$ is omitted, the SUEWS sensible heat flux ($Q_s$) reproduces the observed diurnal pattern generally well, but the magnitude is underestimated compared to observations for all seasons. When $Q_h$ is included, the $Q_s$ estimates are improved in spring, summer and autumn, but poorer in winter indicating winter $Q_h$ is overestimated. Inclusion of $Q_h$ has little influence on the simulated latent heat flux ($Q_l$), but improves the storage heat flux estimates except in winter. Irrigation, both amount and frequency, has a large impact on $Q_e$. When irrigation is not considered, the simulated $Q_e$ is underestimated for all seasons. The mean summer daytime $Q_e$ is largely overestimated compared to observations under continuous irrigation conditions. Model results are improved when irrigation occurs with a 3-day frequency, especially in summer. Results are consistent with observed monthly out-door water use. This study highlights the importance of appropriately including the $Q_h$ and irrigation in urban land surface models - terms not generally considered in many previous studies.

1. Introduction

China has experienced unprecedented urban growth in recent decades, with the fraction of city dwellers increasing from 17.9% to 55.6% between 1978 and 2015 (UN, 2017). If these rates continue, the urban population will exceed 1 billion in China within the next two decades. This rapid urbanization has brought significant economic growth, while at the same time exposing people to urban climatic and environmental risks, such as persistent heat waves, flooding and air pollution (Jiang et al. 2015; Li et al. 2015; Zhong et al. 2015; Ding et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2017). Cities are well known to have distinct climatic conditions that result from the alteration of the urban surface-atmosphere energy and water exchanges compared to surrounding rural surfaces (Roth et al. 2017; Zou et al. 2017). Absorption and trapping of incoming short-wave radiation by deep urban canyons leads to greater absorption of energy by the surface and a smaller surface albedo than surrounding environments (Oke 1988; Christen and Vogt 2004; Guo et al. 2016). More heat is stored in high thermal admittance building walls during the day-time, which is then released at night creating the distinct nocturnal urban heat island (UHI) (Grimmond and Oke, 1999; Roberts et al. 2006; Wu and Yang, 2013; Kotthaus and Grimmond, 2014). The replacement of natural vegetative surfaces with impervious paved and built surfaces leads to less energy partitioning into evapotranspiration and reduces the associated cooling effect (Grimmond and Oke 1986; Nakayoshi et al. 2009; Ward and Grimmond 2017). Urban runoff is usually significantly enhanced following rainfall, given the abundance of paved and built surfaces. This rapid rate of runoff also removes a large amount of surface water suppressing evaporation rates (Ragab et al. 2003). Human activities, related to building heating and cooling, vehicles and human metabolism (Sailor 2011; Lindberg et al. 2013) release extra anthropogenic heat into the urban environment.

Urban land surface models (ULSMs) can be effective tools to investigate and quantify these surface-atmosphere exchanges and interactions to yield insight into the different factors influencing the climate of a city. Numerous ULSMs have been developed over the last few decades, with varying degrees of complexity (e.g. Kusaka et al. 2001; Oleson et al. 2008; Järvi et al. 2011; Masson et al. 2013; Miao and Chen 2014). Grimmond et al. (2010; 2011), in the first international comparison of ULSMs, found no single model performs best or worst for all fluxes. Considering the implications of this study, Best and Grimmond (2016b) concluded that attention needs to be directed to the modelling of the latent heat flux, inclusion (or not) of vegetation, and calculation of the anthropogenic heat flux by ULSMs. These elements are simulated poorly, yet are key factors impacting overall model performance. They are the focus of this paper.

ULSMs often simulate the latent heat flux separately for natural (vegetation or pervious) and built (road, walls, roof) surfaces, with no interaction between them. Furthermore, ULSMs rarely incorporate any detailed consideration of urban hydrological processes, such as drainage, interception, runoff or irrigation. For example, the early version of the widely implemented SLUCM system (Kusaka et al. 2001) uses a simplified hydrologic process in which evaporation only occurs after precipitation events, even though SLUCM implements a sophisticated representation of urban canopy geometry. Recently, enhanced hydrological processes including anthropogenic latent heat, urban irrigation and urban oasis effects have been implemented into SLUCM system (Miao and Chen 2014; Yang et al. 2015), which improves the model performance substantially especially for the latent heat flux.

Given the large fraction of impervious surfaces in urban areas, city drainage systems are designed to quickly remove runoff. In many settings this gives rise to a deficit of soil moisture in the urban landscape (Coutts et al. 2013) and irrigation is often needed to maintain vegetation health (Grimmond and Oke 1986, Demuzere et al. 2014). This urban irrigation has been shown to be a critical component of the urban water balance, especially in arid and hot regions, and plays a key role in the energy partitioning
between latent and sensible heat fluxes and the associated urban cooling. Vahmani and Hogue (2014, 2015) developed and assessed an irrigation scheme within the framework of the Noah/SLUCM system for the Los Angeles metropolitan area and demonstrated that appropriately incorporating urban irrigation can significantly improve model performance. However, the majority of ULSMs applications still ignore irrigation, especially in subtropical cities which are considered to have plenty of rainfall to maintain sufficient water supply. This, however, is not always the case, and with increased frequency of extreme heat waves the potential need for increased water supply can be substantial in these cities.

The anthropogenic heat flux (Q_h) also plays a critical role in ULSMs and has been the focus of significant attention (Grimmond 1992; Sailor and Lu 2004; Allen et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2015; Best and Grimmond 2016a). Q_h, the additional energy produced by human activities released into the environment, can be a significant component of the urban energy balance with distinct seasonal and diurnal variations. For example, the estimated daytime Q_h in central Tokyo (Ichiinose et al. 1999) exceeded 400 W m^-2 at 2 p.m. on average and reached 1590 W m^-2 in winter (25 m resolution), enhancing the UHI by 1-2.5 °C. The magnitude of Q_h is scale and location dependent. Typically, it is highest in central urbanized areas and much less when averaged over the entire city. Incorporating Q_h into meso-scale weather forecast models has been shown to have a significant impact on model predictions when city specific Q_h profiles and magnitudes are provided (Salamanca et al. 2014). However, such city specific Q_h diurnal profiles are often very difficult to obtain given the lack of detailed local energy consumption data. As a result, most urban modellers simply use a default fixed Q_h diurnal profile (e.g. two diurnal peaks at 0800 and 1700 LST is the default in WRF/UCM), fixed values regardless of the city (e.g. Wang et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2016b), or turn anthropogenic heating off (e.g. Zhang et al. 2010; Loridan et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013; Zhong et al. 2017). This diversity of approaches has contributed to contradictory results on the impact of Q_h on local climate. For example, two recent studies have shown inconsistent impacts of Q_h with the WRF/UCM default Q_h on precipitation: Chen et al. (2016a) report it results in increases in precipitation, while Feng et al. (2012) report a decrease of precipitation in the same region (Hangzhou, China). Others, however, have made significant advances in this realm. Sailor et al. (2015) developed a national database of anthropogenic heat profiles for the USA and extended this, by simple adjustments, for a range of international megacities. Adopting a different approach, Nie et al. (2017) used WRF/BE-BEM to estimate spatially and diurnally varying Q_h in Beijing. However, given the vast diversity of cities in China, there is an urgent need to develop datasets and models that simulate the spatial and temporal variability of Q_h across cities.

The Surface Urban Energy and Water balance Scheme (SUEWS) is a local scale urban surface model of moderate complexity (Järvi et al. 2011; Ward et al. 2016). SUEWS has the advantage that it simulates the urban surface energy balance in combination with the complete urban hydrological cycle, considering irrigation and runoff processes. The urban water balance interacts with the energy balance through evaporation E, as Q_e=LEE, where Q_e is the latent heat flux and LE is the latent heat of vaporization. Moreover, SUEWS requires only commonly available meteorological input data and detailed information about the urban surface. The urban surface is split into seven land cover types (buildings, paved surfaces, coniferous trees and shrubs, deciduous trees and shrubs, grass, bare soil and water) with integrated urban vegetation effects, a previously highlighted key factor for improving the accuracy of ULSMs (Grimmond et al. 2010, 2011). These characteristics of SUEWS have enabled the model to be used widely as an effective tool for climate (water) sensitive urban design, and urban climate disaster and mitigation strategy assessment (Mitchell et al. 2008; Järvi et al. 2017; Ward et al. 2017a, b; Rafael et al. 2017).

The SUEWS model was originally tested using data collected from a mid-latitude suburb in Vancouver, Canada (Grimmond et al. 1986; Grimmond and Oke 1991; Loridan et al. 2011; Järvi et al. 2011). It has been evaluated extensively in North American and European cities and shown to produce realistic and robust results (Järvi et al. 2014; Alexander et al. 2015; Karsisto et al. 2016; Ward et al. 2017a; Kokkonen et al. 2018). However, evaluation of SUEWS in rapidly urbanizing subtropical (or tropical) cities is still lacking, with the exception of recent work in tropical Singapore (Demuzere et al. 2017). Given the vast diversity of climatic settings and urban geometrical structures (cf. Local Climate Zones, Stewart and Oke 2012) of different cities, further evaluation of the model in subtropical cities is of paramount importance. Shanghai, the largest subtropical city in China, characterized by numerous sky-scrapers and dense urbanization, provides a test-bed to evaluate SUEWS.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the performance of SUEWS in a central business site of Shanghai for one year using directly measured surface energy flux observations (Ao et al. 2016a, b). Special attention is directed to the impact of the seasonally varying diurnal profiles of Q_h derived from city scale annual energy consumption data, hourly electricity power load data, and traffic count data. The impact of urban irrigation on the simulation of latent heat flux (evaporation) is also addressed using the empirical irrigation scheme in SUEWS. This study provides insights into the performance of SUEWS and its potential to investigate strategies to mitigate urban heat stress and create resilient and sustainable urban environments.

2. Methodology
2.1 Site and Observations

The evaluation of SUEWS uses data (December 2012 – November 2013) observed over a dense urban site (‘XJH’) in Shanghai, China (Fig. 1). The four components of net all-wave radiation, the turbulent sensible and latent heat fluxes, along with basic meteorological variables (air temperature, relative humidity and pressure) are directly measured at this site on a tall tower (full details are provided in Ao et al. 2016a, b). Precipitation is measured nearby (60 m away) with an automatic weather station (AWS).

Annual and seasonal performance of SUEWS is considered using carefully quality controlled data (see further details in Ao et al., 2016a, b). Data from sectors strongly influenced by a tall building (210-247°) and the tower itself (320-337°) are excluded. Wet conditions (within 1 day after rain) are excluded as rain drops on open-path sensors generate errors.

Surface cover parameters needed for SUEWS are retrieved from GIS data and a ground survey for a 500 m radius around the site. The Kljun et al. (2004) flux source area model suggests that the 80% source area extends to about 600 m from the site.

The four seasons of a year are defined based on the commonly used classification in China: winter [December–February (DJF)], spring [March–May (MAM)], summer [June–August (JJA)], and autumn [September–November (SON)]. Local standard time is used (China does not use day light savings time).
2.2 Estimation of anthropogenic heat flux ($Q_a$)

In SUEWS, the daily anthropogenic heat flux ($Q_{ES,daily}$) is calculated adopting the Sailor and Vasireddy (2006) based approach. This is a function of population density ($P_{pop}$) and heating and cooling degree days (HDD and CDD) (Fig. 2a):

$$Q_{ES,daily} = P_{pop} \left[ a_F + a_F \cdot CDD + a_F \cdot HDD \right]$$

(1)

with daily HDD and CDD defined based on the hourly air temperature ($T_h$) and a balance point temperature ($T_b$) for human comfort, as:

$$\text{HDD} = \sum_i^j \left( T_b - T_h \right)$$

$$\text{CDD} = \sum_i^j \left( T_h - T_b \right)$$

(2)

Logic variable ($I$) equals 1 when ($T_h - T_b) > 0$ °C and equals 0 when ($T_b - T_h) < 0$ °C for HDD, and vice versa for CDD; and $a_F$ is the base $Q_{ES,daily}$ from all sources at the balance point temperature. The slopes $a_F$, $a_F$, and $a_F$ need to be specified for a study site. Here daily results ($Q_{ES,daily}$) from LQF (Appendix A) is used to obtain three coefficients. The fitted cooling slope ($a_F$) is 0.0181 W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ (capita ha$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$, the heating slope ($a_F$) is 0.0035 W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ (capita ha$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$, and $a_F = 0.3963$ W m$^{-2}$ (capita ha$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$ with the single $T_b$ of 20 °C.

The diurnal profiles of the building $Q_{ES}$ (Fig. 3a) are mainly based on diurnal variations of the city-scale electricity consumption data and further scaled by electricity fraction of Shanghai (14%, Table 1), industry fraction of XJH site (10%) and diurnal variation of population density (Yu and Wen (2016); Zhong et al. 2017). The diurnal profiles of the vehicle based $Q_{ES}$ (Fig. 3b) are derived from hourly highway traffic data for the inner ring of Shanghai in 2011 (Su et al. 2014) (see section 3.1 and Appendix A).

Table 1: Energy consumption in Shanghai (Shanghai Municipal Statistics Bureau 2016) in tonne coal equivalent (TCE) is converted to kWh assuming 1 TCE=8141 kWh (Kyle’s Converter 2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Energy consumption ($10^7$ TCE)</th>
<th>Electricity consumption ($10^4$ million kWh)</th>
<th>Electricity consumption ($10^4$ tons SCE)</th>
<th>$f_{rel}$</th>
<th>$N_{pop}$ ($10^5$ persons)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>7974.24</td>
<td>4863.17</td>
<td>921.97</td>
<td>617.59</td>
<td>1133.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>8604.89</td>
<td>5152.05</td>
<td>990.15</td>
<td>656.1</td>
<td>1216.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>9374.6</td>
<td>5452.95</td>
<td>1072.38</td>
<td>705.9</td>
<td>1317.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>9894.52</td>
<td>5598.41</td>
<td>1138.22</td>
<td>727.13</td>
<td>1398.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10050.06</td>
<td>5465.53</td>
<td>1153.38</td>
<td>701.59</td>
<td>1417.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>11345.69</td>
<td>6009.41</td>
<td>1410.61</td>
<td>799.45</td>
<td>1733.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Energy consumption response to air temperature: (a) two general response functions (see text for definitions); and (b) data for Shanghai (whole city daily electricity consumption) (kWh d$^{-1}$ capita$^{-1}$) (Shanghai Electric Power Company, Liu and Cao 2013) normalized by population (Shanghai Municipal Statistics Bureau 2016) and XJH daily mean air temperature ($T_h$) for 2005-09 with general trend (grey, loess curve).
While the parameters \( (s, m, F_0) \) impact the length of growing (senescence) season. Helsinki and Montreal (5 °C and 10 °C, \( w \)) cumulative values of growing degree days determined from seasonal profiles of water use. The subtropical climate and careful maintenance account for day length (\( t_1<12 \) h). It may be initiated by a thermal condition only (\( T_d < T_{base-off} \)). The parameters \( c_{1,2,3,4} \) control the changing rate of LAI. Parameters values are the same in Järvi et al. (2014) for Helsinki. While \( c_3 \) for evergreen trees a smaller value is used based on local visual/photograph surveys that leaves are still partially active to late December. The subtropical climate and careful maintenance (e.g. turf replacement if it turns yellow) at the Shanghai site.
results in a longer growing season for grass than higher latitudes. Based on photographs taken around the XJH site in winter and spring (not shown), the grass remains green in winter months. Therefore, the minimum LAI for grass is increased from 1.6 in (Järvi et al. 2014) to 3.2 at our site (Table 2) which results in better model performance especially in winter and spring seasons (not shown).

Previous evaluation of the radiation components of SUEWS (Ao et al. 2016b) found good performance. The net all-wave radiation flux ($Q^*$) modelled with downward long wave radiation flux ($L_s$) estimated as a function of RH and $T_a$. The storage heat flux is calculated using the objective hysteresis model (OHM, Grimmond et al. 1991), with ($Q^*+Q_F$) (rather than only $Q^*$) used:

$$\Delta Q_s = \sum_i f_i \left[ a_{1i}(Q^* + Q_F) + a_{2i} \frac{\partial (Q^* + Q_F)}{\partial t} + a_{3i} \right]$$

where $f_i$ is the fraction for the $i_{th}$ surface type, and $t$ is the time. The three coefficients ($a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$) of OHM are from the literature (Table 2).

The latent heat flux ($Q_E$) is modelled using the modified Penman-Monteith equation (Grimmond and Oke, 1991). More detailed description of the parameterization of $Q_E$ is given in section 3.3. The sensible heat flux ($Q_H$) is calculated as a residual of the surface energy balance. The soil layer underneath each surface type (except water surface) is assumed to be 350 mm, with a maximum water capacity of 150 mm. To obtain appropriate initial conditions, SUEWS is run for one year with the 2012/2013 forcing to get probable initial state of soil moisture storage and leaf area index.

3. Results

3.1 Anthropogenic heat fluxes

The diurnal profiles of the building anthropogenic heat flux for weekdays, weekends and holidays are similar (Fig. 3a): all are low from midnight to 06:00, then increase gradually until 11:00, with a small decrease at 12:00. Thereafter, the three diurnal patterns begin to diverge. During weekdays values remain relatively constant from 12:00 to 17:00, then decrease steadily. During weekends the timing of this decrease lags by 2 hours (i.e. from 19:00), whereas on holidays there is a stronger evening peak around 19:00.

The diurnal profiles that account for population variations (Fig. 3a) have much larger amplitudes than the original profiles and are similar in amplitude to the default LQF and SUEWS V887 profiles (Grimmond et al. 2014; Järvi et al. 2011) and to other studies, for example in Japan (Takane et al. 2017). The ratios of the maximum and minimum value for scaled weekday, weekend and holiday are 4.7, 3.2 and 3.4, respectively. The corresponding values for LQF and SUEWS $Q_F$ scheme are 5.3 and 3.8, respectively.

Seasonal differences in diurnal patterns and magnitudes of the vehicle heat emissions are relatively small (not shown). The weekday morning peak (at 08:00) is distinct whereas the evening peak (at 17:00) (Fig. 3b) is unlike North American cities where the evening peak is generally stronger than the morning peak (Grimmond 1992; Hallenbeck et al. 1997; Chow et al. 2014). This may be because the end of work varies between companies, while most institutions or government offices finish between 17:00-18:00 but many people often stay at the office in the evening. Additionally, shopping malls and restaurants are open until 21:00 - 22:00. The weekend pattern, without distinct peaks, slowly increases in the morning then stays flat from about 10:00 - 17:00. Holidays have a similar pattern to the weekend but with smaller magnitudes.

The LQF and SUEWS $Q_F$ results are very similar (Fig. 4). As the three SUEWS coefficients ($a_{FI}$, $a_{FL}$, $a_{FV}$) are derived using the LQF results, this is expected. The larger summertime results are a function of the larger $a_{FI}$ slope and therefore dependence on CDD, as expected. The peak mean daily summer $Q_{EL}$ (Fig. 4) is around 236 W m$^{-2}$; winter and autumn mean fluxes peak are similar (190 W m$^{-2}$) and spring slightly smaller (180 W m$^{-2}$). These values, using the new response function, give a slightly bigger seasonal variation than the original function (not shown). The building heat emission is the major sub-component, accounting for about 95% of the total $Q_{EL}$. The modelled metabolic heat emission ($Q_{EM}$) is about 3 W m$^{-2}$ at night and 7 W m$^{-2}$ during daytime and does not show seasonal variations. Seasonal differences in vehicle heat emissions also are very small, with $Q_{EV}$ around 3 W m$^{-2}$ in the daytime. The small (or no) seasonal variation for $Q_{EF}$ and $Q_{EM}$ is because the same parameter settings assumed for each season as there is a lack of information to suggest otherwise. The difference between winter and the other three seasons is because more holidays occur in winter. The magnitudes of $Q_{EM}$ and $Q_{EV}$ estimated here are similar to previous studies (Chow et al. 2014; Lu et al. 2016; Stewart and Kennedy 2016). There is a high correlation coefficient (0.97) between hourly $Q_{ES}$ and $Q_{EL}$. Given the simplicity of SUEWS $Q_F$, the dynamic temperature response, and comparable results to LQF, the SUEWS $Q_F$ is regarded as an appropriate method to use after careful determination of the parameters. As the purpose of this study is the evaluation of SUEWS, the SUEWS $Q_F$ are used in the following sections.

Figure 4: Seasonal mean diurnal variations of $Q_F$ at XJH estimated by LQF and SUEWS (section 2.2), LQF metabolic heat ($Q_{EM}$) and vehicle heat ($Q_{EV}$) emissions.
3.2 Impact of $Q_E$ on surface energy fluxes

Two simulations to examine the impact of $Q_E$ on the surface energy balance fluxes in Shanghai are conducted (Figures 5, C.1, C.2, C.3): i) assuming $Q_E = 0 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (hereafter $Q_{E0 \text{ Noir}}$) and (ii) using SUEWS determined flux ($Q_{ES \text{ Noir}}$). No irrigation is considered in these two simulations ($\text{Noir}$).

When $Q_{E0}$ is assumed, the turbulent sensible heat flux ($Q_H$) is generally underestimated (negative MBE) for the four seasons, especially during afternoon and midnight. Including $Q_E$ leads to a large increase in $Q_H$. The seasonal mean diurnal $Q_H$ is overestimated through the entire day in winter, spring and autumn, while in summer daytime $Q_H$ is slightly underestimated and nocturnal $Q_H$ is overestimated (Fig. 5). The $\text{MBE}$ for the four seasons are all positive when $Q_{ES}$ is used (Table 3). The overall performance for $Q_H$ is improved in spring, summer and autumn with RMSE decreased (-7, -33 and -12 W m$^{-2}$, respectively). The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) increases slightly in spring and summer for the $Q_{ES}$ case. But the RMSE and MBE increase in winter, suggesting winter $Q_E$ may be overestimated.

Given the complex sampling issues, direct measurements of the storage heat flux ($\Delta Q_S$) in an urban area with a wide range of tall 3-D volumes has not been undertaken. Instead, the “observed” $\Delta Q_S$ is estimated as the residual of the surface energy balance ($\Delta Q_S = Q^* + Q_E + Q_H$), therefore including considerable uncertainties. As a result, the observed $\Delta Q_S$ differs with $Q_E$ used. If $Q_E$ is assumed to be 0 W m$^{-2}$, SUEWS generally performs well in winter, spring and autumn with RMSE 5, 91 and 94 W m$^{-2}$, respectively (Fig. 5, Table 3). The $R^2$ is above 0.7 both in winter and spring but considerably lower (0.45) in autumn. Although the shape of the diurnal pattern including sign transition are well replicated, the nocturnal $\Delta Q_S$ is slightly underestimated. In summer, $\Delta Q_S$ is substantially overestimated during the daytime and underestimated at night (absolute values). When $Q_E$ is included, a large portion goes into the storage heat flux. There is a large improvement in summertime RMSE (39 W m$^{-2}$ decrease, Table 3) and smaller in spring and autumn (9 and 14 W m$^{-2}$ decreases, respectively). However, wintertime RMSE deteriorates (+26 W m$^{-2}$). The coefficients of determination have substantial increases for all seasons (0.09, 0.07, 0.19 and 0.18 increases, respectively). From the above analysis, it appears the simulated wintertime $Q_E$ may have greater uncertainty than other seasons.

![Figure 5: Seasonal mean diurnal cycles of observed and simulated sensible heat flux ($Q_H$), latent heat flux ($Q_E$) and storage heat flux ($\Delta Q_S$) for the two experiments ($Q_{E0 \text{ Noir}, Q_{ES \text{ Noir}}}$). See Table 3 for statistical performance metrics and Figures C.1, C.2, C.3 for scatter plots.](image)

3.3 Latent heat flux

The underestimation of $Q_E$, especially in summer and autumn, is explored by considering the surface resistance $r_s$ (or its reciprocal, surface conductance $g_s$) as evapotranspiration is very sensitive to it. The overall capability of water to be transported through the surface (soil, leaves etc) to the lower atmosphere is captured by $g_s$. The Jarvis-Stewart model (Jarvis, 1976; Stewart, 1988), which has been used extensively in previous studies (e.g. Grimmond and Oke 1991; Ogink-Hendriks, 1995; Matsumoto et al. 2008; Jarvi et al. 2011; Ward et al. 2016), is employed in SUEWS to estimate $g_s$. The response of stomata opening and closing, and other surface controls are included as a synergistic function of the leaf area index (LAI), incoming solar radiation ($K_1$), specific humidity deficit ($\Delta q$), air temperature ($T_a$) and soil moisture deficit ($\Delta \theta$):
Although the specific mathematical formulations of each sub-function may differ among studies, the general form is similar. The five sub-functions are presumed to be independent from each other. Although ∆θ and T_e are usually highly correlated, some studies found that incorporating both functions provides better results (e.g. Khatun et al. 2011 east Asian forests). Moreover, the shapes of the g_e dependence curves to ∆θ and T_e are very different (Ward et al. 2016). Low T_e often coincides with low ∆θ, while low T_e constrains g_e, but low ∆θ favours g_e.

The sub-functions range from 0-1, to reduce the maximum surface conductance, except for g(LAI):

\[
g(LAI) = \sum_{iv} (f_{iv} g_{\text{max,iv}} \frac{LAI_{iv}}{LAI_{\text{max,iv}}})
\]

where for the three vegetation types (evergreen, deciduous, grass) iv the fraction of area f_{iv}, maximum conductance g_{\text{max,iv}}, and the maximum LAI (LAI_{\text{max,iv}}) are needed. It should be noted g_{\text{max,iv}} is usually larger for irrigated than unirrigated vegetation. For radiative control, the observed maximum incoming solar radiation K_{\text{max}} is used (here, set to 1200 W m^{-2})

\[
g(K_{\perp}) = \frac{K_l}{K_{\text{limax}}(G_2+K_l)}
\]

where G_2 and other coefficients (G_I-G_5) are obtained from observations (Grimmond and Oke 1991; Ward et al. 2016). For humidity:

\[
g(\Delta q) = G_3 + (1-G_3)G_q \Delta q
\]

For air temperature, lower T_i and upper T_p temperature limits are used when evaporation turns off (g(T_o)=0). Here T_i and T_p are set to a relatively wide range as -10°C and 55°C, respectively.

\[
g(T_a) = \frac{(T_a-T_i)(T_p-T_o)}{(T_p-T_i)(T_o-T_i)}T_c
\]

with T_c = \frac{(T_p-T_o)}{(G_2-G_3)}

\[
g(\Delta \theta) = \frac{1-\exp(-G_{\Delta \theta}(\Delta \theta_{\text{up}}))}{1-\exp(-G_{\Delta \theta}(\Delta \theta_{\text{up}}))}
\]

The hourly time series for the whole year of each subcomponent of g_e (Eq. 9-13) and for g_e itself (Eq. 8) without irrigation are shown in Figures 6 and 7. In July and August, modelled g_e is smaller (< 1 mm s^{-1}) than other months, explaining the underestimation of Q_e. As g_e, K_{\perp}, g_e(T_e) and g_e(\Delta \theta) all have relatively high values from July to September, K_{\perp}, T_e and \Delta \theta are not key factors for the underestimation of Q_e. July and August 2013 g_e(\Delta q) values are quite small as there are very large specific humidity deficits, associated with the unusually hot and dry conditions (Ao et al. 2016a). Despite this the observed Q_e in these months remained relatively large, probably maintained by irrigation (section 3.4).

Figure 6: Hourly time series of surface conductance related environmental variables and corresponding sub-components (eqn 10-14). Irrigation is not considered here.
3.4 Irrigation
3.4.1 Irrigation scheme evaluation

In Shanghai, it is not easy to obtain accurate external water use data. From field observations, including direct conversations with those undertaking irrigation, and a literature review the behaviours are characterized. At the Shanghai Meteorological Service (150 m radius of site) irrigation is conducted throughout the year on any day of the week. It occurs most intensively in July and August when it is hot. Generally only grass is irrigated. The mostly manual irrigation, usually occurs twice on hot summer days (06:00 to 11:00; 15:00 to 19:00) in different areas so by the end of the day the whole area is irrigated. In winter irrigation occurs once per day (morning or evening) every 3-4 days. Street cleaning and park irrigation are sometimes observed. Irrigation of private gardens may be extremely variable (Mitchell et al. 2001). Based on this, a diurnal profile is assumed. The modelled latent heat flux using this field based diurnal profile when compared with an ideal evenly distributed one show small differences (not shown). $f_{grass}$ and $f_{water}$ are set to 0.4 and 0, respectively. For $b_{0,m}, b_{1,m}, b_{2,m}$ the same values as Järvi et al. (2011) are used (Table 2), which are based on Vancouver (Grimmond and Oke 1986; Grimmond and Oke 1991). The water from these are assumed to be included in the coefficients used as no additional detailed information is available.

Previous studies show that evaporation is very sensitive to both the amount and frequency of irrigation (Grimmond and Oke 1986, Vahmani and Hogue 2014). To test the influence of irrigation frequency on $Q_E$, the following scenarios are tested: (i) SUESW irrigation (Eq. (3) is used with parameter that set irrigation to 0 within 6 hours of rain and with the other parameters as specified in Table 3 (hereafter $Q_E$ Irr); and (ii) as (i) but with irrigation every 3 days independent of weather conditions (Table 3, hereafter $Q_E$ Irr 3dGap).

The monthly water use data from January 2013 to December 2013 for the entire Shanghai (Chang et al. 2015) provided by the Shanghai Water Authority (http://www.shanghaiwater.gov.cn/) are used to evaluate the SUESW irrigation scheme. As December 2012 water use data are unavailable, December 2013 data are used. The water use data are split between indoor and outdoor assuming the minimum month value is the indoor water use (minimum month method, Vahmani and Hogue 2014). Differences from this minimum are considered to be outdoor water use, and indicative of irrigation and/or street cleaning etc. Uncertainties arise from using city level data given land use and land cover variations around this large city. Total city water use distributed to the Xuhui district (area: 54.76km$^2$) where the study site is located is based on the annual water use fraction. Further, it is assumed that irrigation is applied to all vegetation and half the road (street cleaning) areas. The annual water use fraction, vegetation and road cover fractions for the Xuhui district are 0.017, 0.232 and 0.522, respectively (Shanghai Municipal Statistics Bureau 2016). The modeled monthly cumulative results from the irrigation scenarios are evaluated against the estimated outdoor water use (Fig. 8). The peak outdoor water use months occurred in July and August (around 18.5 mm month$^{-1}$), with annual total (97 mm year) corresponds to 9% of the annual rainfall amount. The monthly trend for the Irr 3dGap scenario matches the outdoor water use estimates relatively well, with a bit larger annual irrigation amount (140 mm). However, the scenario with only a 6 h gap after rain (Irr) results in much larger irrigation rates than suggested from the city outdoor water use (Figure 8). Therefore, the Irr 3dGap scenario is considered more appropriate for the study area.

![Figure 8: Monthly outdoor water use observed for the area (section 3.4.1) and simulated irrigation.](image)

3.4.2 Impact of Irrigation on simulated surface energy fluxes

For the first irrigation scenario ($Q_E$ Irr) (Figures 9 and C.1), the modelled $Q_E$ have small differences compared to Exp. $Q_{ES, Noirr}$ in winter ($\Delta$RMSE -0.3 W m$^{-2}$, $\Delta$MBE -1.6 W m$^{-2}$) and spring $Q_E$ ($\Delta$RMSE +0.8 W m$^{-2}$, $\Delta$MBE -5.8 W m$^{-2}$) (Table 3). The summer $Q_E$ increased the RMSE (+4.5 W m$^{-2}$) while the $R^2$ improved (0.08 to 0.15) and MBE changed sign (negative to positive) (Table 3). The seasonal mean diurnal cycle shows that the daytime summer $Q_E$ is largely overestimated under this irrigation condition (Fig. 9). Irrigation has a very positive impact on modelled $Q_E$ in autumn ($\Delta$RMSE -7.7 W m$^{-2}$, $\Delta R^2 +0.14$). Sensitivity test of the coefficients $b_{1,m}$ and $b_{2,m}$ (changing from 3 and 1.1 to 2 and 2) amplifies the relative importance of the days after rain, causing a slight decrease in RMSE (not shown).

The trade-off between $Q_H$ and $Q_E$ is obvious as an overestimation of $Q_E$ in summer leads to an underestimation of $Q_H$ (Fig. 9). The summer and autumn $Q_H$ have an increase in RMSE (+22.7 and +0.5 W m$^{-2}$, respectively), but slight decrease in the
other two seasons. The modeled total $Q_H$ and $Q_E$ remain constant between Noir and Irr case. As the options selected for $AQ_S$ coefficients do not change with soil moisture, irrigation also has no impact on modeled $AQ_S$ (not shown).

As the second irrigation scenario ($Q_{ES \text{ Irr 3dGap}}$) is less frequent than when irrigation is permitted almost every day except in winter (Fig. 7), the latter annual total irrigation of about 380 mm (~30% of annual rainfall) much larger than the former (~140 mm).

From the seasonal mean diurnal cycles of observed and simulated latent heat flux (Fig. 9), the extreme overestimation of $Q_E$ under the first irrigation scenario in summer is largely improved. Although the diurnal peak is still overestimated with 3-day frequency. The modelled seasonal mean diurnal curves in autumn and spring agree well with the observed curves. The modelled wintertime $Q_E$ change little as there is only a small amount of irrigation. The $Q_E$ RMSE has the largest decrease in summer (-7.7 W m$^{-2}$). The $Q_H$ RMSE in spring, summer and autumn also decreased (-0.3, -12.1 and -1.5 W m$^{-2}$) for the second scenario, but increases slightly (< 1 W m$^{-2}$) in winter.

Figure 7: Variation in irrigation (a) cumulative annual total for two scenarios; timing of irrigation for experiment (b) $Q_{ES \text{ Irr}}$, and (c) $Q_{ES \text{ Irr 3dGap}}$; and hourly modelled surface conductance ($g_s$) for three scenarios: (d) $Q_{ES \text{ Noirr}}$, (e) $Q_{ES \text{ Irr}}$, and (f) $Q_{ES \text{ Irr 3dGap}}$.

Figure 9: Seasonal mean diurnal cycles of observed and simulated sensible heat flux ($Q_H$), latent heat flux ($Q_E$) for three irrigation scenarios (defined in 3.4.1): $Q_{ES \text{ Noirr}}$, $Q_{ES \text{ Irr}}$ and $Q_{ES \text{ Irr 3dGap}}$; See Figure C.1 and C.2 for scatterplots.
3.5 Comparison of surface conductance \((g_s)\) among scenarios

With irrigation turned on, the surface conductance has a substantial increase in July, August, September and November (Figures 7d-f). These four months have the least rainfall in summer and autumn (Ao et al. 2016a). When irrigation is reduced to every 3 days, the surface conductance in these months still has an obvious increase compared to the no irrigation scenario, but less than the more frequent irrigation scenario.

Fig. 10a shows the monthly median diurnal cycles of \(g_s\) for different scenarios with the observed \(g_s\) calculated from the Penman–Monteith equation (Monteith, 1965) with observations:

\[
g_s^{-1} = t_s = \left( \frac{\beta q_s}{\gamma} - 1 \right) \theta^{-1} + \frac{\rho_\gamma VPD}{\gamma q_e} \quad (14)
\]

where \(\beta\) is the Bowen ratio \((\beta = \frac{Q\rho}{Q\rho})\), \(s\) is the slope of the saturation vapour pressure curve \((P_a, ^oC\)) and is a function of the air temperature, \(\gamma\) is the psychrometer constant \((P_a, ^oC\)) and is determined by air pressure, temperature and humidity, \(\rho\) is the density of air, \(c_p\) is the specific heat of air at constant pressure, \(VPD\) is the vapor pressure deficit which is a function of the air temperature and relative humidity, and \(g_s\) is the aerodynamic conductance which describes rate of water transport from the air above leaves to the atmosphere at a certain reference height. \(g_s\) is calculated assuming a logarithmic wind profile and therefore is primarily influenced by the wind speed, atmospheric stability and roughness length for momentum (and the boundary layer resistance is impacted by the roughness length for heat).

Similar to central London (Ward et al. 2016), the diurnal cycle of the observed \(g_s\) at XJH fluctuates with variable patterns. The monthly median diurnal maximum \(g_s\) is around 2-4 mm s\(^{-1}\). The relatively large \(g_s\) (both day- and night-time) in winter months is somewhat unexpected. This may be caused by the wet winter with still active grass cover and street cleaning activities. The monthly median diurnal aerodynamic conductance \((g_s)\) is regularly sinusoidal in shape with relatively small monthly variations between scenarios. The monthly median \(g_s\) is much larger than \(g_s\) (around 12-25 mm s\(^{-1}\)), indicating that evaporation is limited by \(g_s\) rather than \(g_s\).

The modelled \(g_s\) are very different between scenarios. Without irrigation \((Q_{bs Noirr})\), the \(g_s\) is totally constrained (near 0 mm s\(^{-1}\)) in July and August. The modelled \(g_s\) is consistent with observed \(g_s\) in May, June and October, but largely underestimated in winter months. The modelled nocturnal \(g_s\) is forced to a constant of 0.1 mm s\(^{-1}\), which is underestimated through the year. When irrigation is supplied continuously \((Q_{bs Irr})\), the modelled \(g_s\) has a substantial increase from May to December and is overestimated during daytime in July and August which causes the overestimation of \(Q_f\) in summer. When the irrigation frequency is decreased to every 3 days \((Q_{bs Irr 3dGap})\), the overestimation of \(g_s\) is improved. The modelled \(g_s\) during January-April is almost unimpaired by the three scenarios as the irrigation amount is very tiny during this period.

![Figure 10](image)

**Figure 10:** Monthly median diurnal variation with inter-quartile range (shading) of (a) observed (black) and modelled (colour) surface conductance \(g_s\) and (b) modelled aerodynamic conductance \((g_s)\).

4. Discussion and Conclusions

The performance of the urban land surface model SUEWS driven by 1-year of field measurements is evaluated at a central urban site (XJH) in Shanghai focusing on the estimation and impact of the anthropogenic heat flux \((Q_f)\) and irrigation on the surface energy flux components.

SUEWS estimates \(Q_f\) as a function of heating and cooling degree days and scheme coefficients are fitted by results from the inventory based LQF model. As such, \(Q_f\) estimates from SUEWS are almost the same as LQF. LQF estimates building \(Q_{fb}\), vehicle \(Q_{fv}\) and metabolism \(Q_{M}\) components based on city level hourly electricity consumption data, air temperature and population density. A new building heat emission / air temperature response function using two balance points made the seasonal variation of the building \(Q_{fb}\) more distinct.

The diurnal patterns of \(Q_{fb}\) for weekday, weekend and holidays derived using local electricity data are similar with a peak around 11:00. On holidays there is a larger evening peak around 19:00. Weekday diurnal profile of \(Q_{fv}\) derived from local traffic data has two peaks associated with rush hours. The morning peak is more distinct (at 08:00 in all seasons) than the evening peak (at 17:00). Weekends have no distinct peaks. The largest \(Q_f\) (estimated by LQF) is in summer with seasonal mean daily peak around 236 W m\(^{-2}\). Winter and autumn have similar mean daily peaks (~190 W m\(^{-2}\) and spring is the smallest (~180 W m\(^{-2}\)). Building heat emission is the largest sub-component (~95% of the total \(Q_f\).
The impact of \( Q_F \) on surface energy fluxes is explored with SUEWS, \( Q_{FS} \) and without \( Q_F \) (\( Q_{FS} \)). Ignoring \( Q_F \), the seasonal diurnal pattern of sensible heat flux (\( Q_H \)) is reproduced well generally, but the magnitude of \( Q_H \) is underestimated for all seasons. When \( Q_{FS} \) is used, the seasonal mean diurnal \( Q_H \) is overestimated throughout the day in winter, spring and autumn. In summer, day- (night-) time \( Q_H \) is slightly under (over-) estimated. Overall performance for \( Q_H \) is improved in spring, summer and autumn (RMSE decreased) but not in winter. For \( Q_{FS} \), SUEWS summer day(night)time storage heat flux (\( A_Q \)) is over (under)estimated whereas \( Q_{FS} \) is improved (RMSE decreases by 39 W m\(^{-2}\)). Spring and autumn have improvements (RMSE decreases of 9 and 14 W m\(^{-2}\), respectively). But winter does not (RMSE increase of 26 W m\(^{-2}\)). This indicates winter \( Q_F \) may be overestimated.

Underestimation of \( Q_F \) is associated with underestimation of the surface conductance (\( g_s \)) in summer, mainly caused by large specific humidity deficits. External water supply may maintain evaporation rates. Having the appropriate seasonal cycle of the leaf area index (LAI) in winter and spring improve the \( Q_F \) model performance. Irrigation amount and frequency have a large impact on \( Q_F \). Seasonal mean summer daytime \( Q_F \) is largely overestimated if continuous irrigation is permitted indicating an overestimation of irrigation. In autumn irrigation improves \( Q_F \) (RMSE decreased, \( R^2 \) increased). Overestimation of \( Q_F \) with too frequent irrigation in summer is improved when reduced to every 3 days (RMSE decreased), and slightly improved in spring. Reducing irrigation frequency to 3 days also improves summer \( Q_F \) (RMSE decreased).

This study emphasizes the importance of appropriately estimating the anthropogenic heat flux and external water use in dry and hot seasons in urban land surface models. Previous studies have evaluated SUEWS at two sites (urban and suburban) in the same/nearby city with contrasting surface characteristics (Karsisto et al. 2016; Ward et al. 2016). Results suggest that the surface cover, especially the vegetated versus impervious proportion along with the anthropogenic heat emission have the largest impact factors on model performances. The magnitude of \( Q_F \) may be substantially smaller at suburban sites because of much lower population densities. The difference of building heights at urban and suburban sites will also influence where \( Q_F \) is released into the atmosphere. Larger vegetated fractions in suburban areas may also have more intensive irrigation. Therefore future work is inevitably needed to compare simulation results of this central urban site with suburban sites in Shanghai to improve understanding of potential sources of model biases.

Future SUEWS evaluation should considering seasonal variability in the OHM coefficients for the simulation of the storage heat flux (\( A_Q \)). Ward et al. (2016) found adjusting the OHM coefficients for a specific site can significantly improve model performance both for \( A_Q \) and therefore other terms most notably \( Q_H \) as the residual term. Seasonal variations of surface properties such as albedo, Bowen ratio, wind speed and soil moisture (Arnfield and Grimmond 1998, Sun et al. 2017) have critical impacts on \( A_Q \). Adjusting OHM coefficients should analyze more observations and use the recently developed AnOHM (Sun et al. 2017) to determine a wider range of parameters.
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**Appendix A: Anthropogenic Heat Flux**

LQF (Gabey et al. 2018) is a new implementation of the Large scale Urban Consumption of energyY model (LUCY, Allen et al. 2011, Lindberg et al. 2013). LQF or LUCY QF is embedded in UMEP (Urban Multi-scale Environmental Predictor), which is an open-source city-based climate service tool that combines models and tools for climate simulations (Lindberg et al. 2018). LQF takes a ‘top-down’ approach using publicly available annual energy consumption data for a large area (e.g. country, province, city) with high resolution population density data to distribute the energy consumption across the area of interest. It separately considers three emission sources: buildings \( Q_{FB} \), traffic \( Q_{FT} \) and metabolism \( Q_{FM} \) (Grimmond 1992, Solar 2011). Like the SUEWS method, the daily totals are a function of temperatures (temperature response function) and sub-daily patterns are based on diurnal use profiles.

**A.1 LQF temperature response function**

Variations of energy consumption with air temperature can be modelled with a single balance point temperature \( T_b \) (Eq. (2)) obtained from when the energy consumption is lowest. Consequently, \( T_b \) varies with climate (Amato et al. 2005) and/or with building type. Therefore, it is preferable to have the appropriate local \( T_b \) as it has a large impact on seasonal variations of the building anthropogenic heat emissions. This approach is used in \( Q_{FS} \) (SUEWS) and was originally used in LQF (\( Q_{FS} \)). In this work we introduced a new LQF temperature response function (Fig. 2) with two balance point temperatures, i.e. threshold temperatures when heating (\( T_H \)) and cooling (\( T_C \)) commence.

To quantify \( T_b \) for Shanghai, the whole city electricity consumption (Liu and Cao 2013) and XJH air temperature data are analyzed (Fig. 2b). Ideally all sources of energy would be analysed, but electricity consumption data are often used as a proxy for building heat release. For example, Kikegawa et al. (2014) estimate that in Tokyo ~80% of office building energy demand in summer is from electricity consumption. The buildings in the XJH area are predominately office and residential buildings, with very little industry. Shanghai Municipal Statistics Bureau (2016) indicates industry around the dense urban XJH site (\( f_{ipa} \)) accounts for about 10% of total energy consumption. In contrast, suburban districts such as Jiading has a much higher industry fraction.

Given the similar climatic regime, building energy consumption at our study site is assumed to be like Tokyo. However, Shanghai’s electricity consumption is only 14% of the total energy consumption (Table 1). As industry consumption is relatively
insensitive to weather conditions (Sailor 2011), it has a different profile to the commercial study site of interest, with reduced daily amplitude and seasonal variations. Given the difficulty of accessing details of industrial consumption patterns, for simplicity the industrial load is assumed to be uniform through the day (Sailor 2011).

The relation between energy consumption and air temperature is analyzed. In Shanghai, the energy consumption rises almost linearly when the daily mean air temperature is warmer than 21°C or cooler than 15°C, providing evidence that cooling or heating systems are operating in these temperature ranges (Fig. 2b). In the “comfortable” range (15 to 21°C) energy consumption stays nearly constant. The energy consumption for cooling increases more rapidly than for heating as central heating system are absent south of an east-west (“Qin-Huai”) line near 33 °N (Makinen 2014, Shi et al. 2016). Given this in SUEWS, a single T_b of 20 °C is used.

In LQF the three components of Q_f (building, transport, metabolism) are treated separately. A temperature response function (f_T) modifies the base daily building energy consumption E_{b,b} (kWh d^{-1} capita^{-1}).

\[
Q_{f,pop} = \rho_{pop} \cdot f_T \cdot E_{b,b}
\]  

(A.1)

where \( \rho_{pop} \) is the population density of XJH site (N_{pop} \approx 261.62 capita ha^{-1}). Here the original LQF one balance point temperature function (Allen et al. 2011, Lindberg et al. 2013, Fig. 2b, dotted line) is modified to allow minimum energy consumption to occur over a range of temperatures. Threshold temperatures when heating (T_{th}) and cooling (T_{cl}) commence, and when saturation energy use occurs as additional energy consumption is minimal (Fig. 2a, solid line); i.e. \( T_{min} \) no additional heating occurs (\( T_{max} \) for cooling). Therefore, logic variables (l_1, l_2) are 0 except when the air temperature is with a critical range (Fig. 2b):

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{min} < T_{th} &\Rightarrow l_1 = 1; \\
T_{max} > T_{cl} &\Rightarrow l_2 = 1 \\
T_{min} < T_{th} &\Rightarrow l_1 = 0; \\
T_{max} > T_{cl} &\Rightarrow l_2 = 0 .
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.2)

To determine the parameter values for Shanghai the 2005 to 2009 city wide electricity consumption data (Liu and Cao 2013) are used (Fig. 2b). The resulting parameters are \( b_9 = 0.88, A_2 = 0.04 \) °C^{-1}, and \( A_4 = 0.01 \) °C^{-1}. In this subtropical city, the larger cooling coefficient \( A_4 \) reflects the absence of a centralized heating system in Shanghai, but extensive use of air conditioning in summer.

A.2 Vehicle based heat emissions

The heat released by motor vehicles (Q_{fv}) from combustion of petrol or diesel fuel (Sailor 2011) generally does not have seasonal variations (Sailor and Lu, 2004). In LQF, Q_{fv} is calculated as a function of vehicle numbers (cars 89, motorcycles 17.2, freight vehicles 8.8 per 1000 capita; Shanghai Municipal Statistics Bureau 2016), traffic speed, and time (days, hour). The fuel type is assumed to be petrol (Zhao 2007). The mean vehicle speed is set to 48 km h^{-1} (Su et al. 2014).

Hourly highway traffic data (traffic count and speed) for the inner ring of Shanghai in 2011 are used to derive the diurnal profiles for weekdays, weekends and holidays (Fig. 3b). These are applied to all roads in the study area (see section 3.1).

A.3 Population density

In LQF, the default population is from the Gridded Population of the World, Version 4 (GPWv4, CIESIN, 2010) with estimates for 2005, 2010 and 2015. The 2010 30 arc-second (~1 km) population density around the XJH site is about 261.62 capita ha^{-1} (GPWv4, CIESIN, 2010) whereas the statistics in 2013 (Shanghai Municipal Statistics Bureau of Xuhui District 2013) for the XJH site neighbourhood (4.07 km^2) has a permanent resident population of 92,764 (i.e. 227.92 capita ha^{-1}). Here the population density of 261.62 capita ha^{-1} is used as the resolution is closer to the source area of XJH site.

Urban population density varies significantly through the course of a day and from working days to non-working days (Gabey et al. 2018), particularly in areas such as XJH with a mix of permanent residents, shoppers, tourists, hospital visitors and patients, etc. who come and go. The publicly available data from the national census of Shanghai do not capture these dynamics. Yu and Wen (2016) estimate day- and night-time population for the Jing’an district using land use and population age structure data. They suggest the daytime population is 39.2% higher than at night for the district as a whole (and 147.7% higher in the busiest sub-district). Zhong et al. ‘s (2017) analysis of cell phone signals found from 06:00 to 10:00 people move into the centre of Shanghai from outer areas, with a peak at 10:00 that is sustained until 18:00, when the return to suburban areas occurs. The day to night population density ratio in central Shanghai is about 1.5 (Zhong et al.’s 2017 Fig. 7). Based on these two studies, the daytime (10:00 – 18:00) population is assumed to be 1.5 times the nocturnal population at XJH site, with the periods 06:00 – 10:00 and 18:00-22:00 being transition periods when the population moves between work, leisure and residential sites (a linear increase (decrease) is assumed, following Sailor and Lu 2004). Using this dynamic ratio, and assuming the daytime and nocturnal population for the whole Shanghai is roughly conserved, the diurnal variation of the electricity consumption is further scaled.

Appendix B Statistical evaluation techniques

Common statistical metrics are used to assess model performance. The modeled (\( M_t \)) and observed (\( O_t \)) values, are used with
their corresponding mean values \((\bar{M}, \bar{O})\) to calculate the coefficient of determination \((R^2)\):

\[
R^2 = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_i - \bar{M})(O_i - \bar{O})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(M_i - \bar{M})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N}(O_i - \bar{O})^2}} \right]^2,
\]

the root mean square error \((RMSE)\):

\[
RMSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_i - O_i)^2,
\]

mean bias error \((MBE)\):

\[
MBE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_i - O_i)
\]

and the mean absolute error \((MAE)\):

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |M_i - O_i|
\]

The RMSE, MBE and MAE all have units of the variable analysed and ideal value of 0; whereas \(R^2\) varies between 0 and 1 with an ideal value of 1.

Appendix C. Simulated versus observed fluxes

Figure C.1. Simulated versus observed latent heat flux \((Q_e)\) for each experiment \((Q_E, Q_{ES}, Q_{ES Noirr}, Q_{ES Irr}, Q_{ES Irr 3dGap})\). Statistics shown are coefficient of determination \((R^2)\), root mean square error \((RMSE)\), mean absolute error \((MAE)\) and mean bias error \((MBE)\).
Figure C.2. As Figure C.1., but for sensible heat flux ($Q_H$).

Figure C.3. As Figure C.1, but storage heat flux ($\Delta Q_S$). As OHM coefficients varying with soil moisture are not used, irrigation has no influence on $\Delta Q_S$, the results of related experiments are not shown.
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**Table 2:** Study site (XJH) parameter values used in SUEWS. See text for definitions and sources not given here (Järvi et al. 2011) OHM coefficient ($a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$) are averages of values from different sources: *Paved*: Doll et al. (1985), Asaeda & Ca (1993), Narita et al. (1984), Anandakumar (1999); *Buildings*: Meyn 2001; *Vegetation*: Fuchs & Hadas (1972), Novak (1981), McCaughey (1985), Asaeda & Ca (1983), Doll et al. (1985); *Bare soil*: Fuchs & Hadas (1972), Novak (1981), Asaeda & Ca (1993); *Water*: Souch et al. (1998). Trees: EveTr – Evergreen, DecTr – Deciduous; Measurement height ($z_0$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time zone</th>
<th>$T_{base-on}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_{base-off}$ (°C)</th>
<th>GDD$_{null}$ (°C)</th>
<th>SDD$_{null}$ (°C)</th>
<th>LAI</th>
<th>EveTr</th>
<th>DecTr</th>
<th>Grass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.19°N, 21.43°E</td>
<td>UTC+8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Surface cover**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracions</th>
<th>Paved</th>
<th>Buildings</th>
<th>EveTr</th>
<th>DecTr</th>
<th>Grass</th>
<th>Bare soil</th>
<th>Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$ (-)</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$ (h)</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_3$ (W m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>-36.6</td>
<td>-16.7</td>
<td>-31.4</td>
<td>-35.3</td>
<td>-39.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Irrigation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start - End (DoY)</th>
<th>$b_{0,n}$ (mm)</th>
<th>$b_{1,n}$ (mm K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$b_{2,n}$ (mm d$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-25.36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 3:** SUEWS model performance statistics (cf. observations) by season for sensible heat flux ($Q_h$), latent heat flux ($Q_e$) and storage heat flux ($Q_S$) for 4 experiments (Exp): 1. base scenario without $Q_e$ and irrigation; 2. $Q_e$ modelled without irradiation; and Exp 3-4 are irrigation scenarios. Figures C.1-3 provide the number (N) of 60 minute data points analysed. Statistics and notation are given in Appendix B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp</th>
<th>Sea.</th>
<th>$Q_h$</th>
<th>$Q_e$</th>
<th>$Q_S$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\tilde{O}}$</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>RMSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Noirr</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Noirr</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Noirr</td>
<td>136.7</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>111.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Noirr</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---