

# Activity and space patterns of Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus) suggest non-aggressive and non-territorial behaviour

Article

Accepted Version

Melero, Y. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4337-1448, Aymerich, P., Santulli, G. and Gosàlbez, J. (2014) Activity and space patterns of Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus) suggest non-aggressive and non-territorial behaviour. European Journal of Wildlife Research, 60 (5). pp. 707-715. ISSN 1612 4642 doi: 10.1007/s10344-014-0838-8 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/83111/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10344-014-0838-8

Publisher: Springer

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



# www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

## CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1	Activity and space patterns of Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus) suggest non-
2	aggressive and non-territorial behaviour
3	Y. Melero <sup>1,2</sup> , P. Aymerich <sup>1</sup> , G. Santulli <sup>1</sup> , and J. Gosàlbez <sup>1</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Biology (Vertebrates), University of Barcelona, Spain
5	<sup>2</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, AB24 2TZ Aberdeen, UK
6	
7	Correspondence author: Yolanda Melero
8	<i>E-mail address</i> : melero@ub.edu; telephone: +44(0)1224272789
9	
10	Keywords Activity pattern; Galemys pyrenaicus; movement pattern; Pyrenean desman;
11	social organization
12	
13	Abstract
14	The Pyrenean desman is considered as a flag species of biodiversity and evolution. However,
15	its scientific knowledge is still under development and currently on debate, in special in
16	relation to the behavioural ecology and social organization. Based on the previous hypothesis
17	of individual desmans being solitary and territorial, activity and space patterns were
18	described as arranged to avoid conspecifics. However, recent new insights into the species
19	social behaviour revealed non-interspecific avoidance. With this study we provide novel
20	insights into their activity and space patterns and their relation to the social behaviour. A
21	total of 30 individuals were trapped of which 18 provided informative radiotracking data to
22	study (1) individuals activity behaviour, (2) proportion of home range utilised and movement
23	distances, and (3) movement directionality. Activity and space use patterns were affected by
24	daylight and seasonality but not by sex, age or number of other conspecifics sharing the home
25	range. In contrast to the previous observations, individuals did not show a pattern of
26	directionally in their movements. Noticeably, we observed encounters between individuals

27	without evidence of aggressive behaviour between them. Our results suggest that desmans do
28	not opposite their activity neither their spatial behaviour in order to avoid encounters with
29	conspecifics as previously suggested. These novel findings provide more evidences of a
30	social structure and organization with social interactions and non-aggressive behaviour. This
31	is of relevance for management actions and conservation purposes of this endemic mammal.
32	
33	

34 Authors declare no conflict of interest

#### 35 Introduction

36 The Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus; also known as Iberian desman) is a riparian 37 species considered as a flag species of biodiversity and evolution because of its relic and 38 narrow endemic character. Together with the Russian desman (Desmana moschata) represent the last two extant species of the Desmanini lineage of Soricomorpha (Nowak 1999) a 39 40 mammalian taxa of extremely high biodiversity value. However, the species has been quite 41 unknown to the general public due to its nocturnal elusive behaviour; and to the scientific 42 community because the difficulty of its study (due to e.g. the few approved capture 43 authorisations or the difficulty of scat identification using non-genetic methodologies since 44 desman and shrew scats can easily be misidentified) 45 The first published information on its social and ecological behaviour was thanks to 46 the novel studies carried by (Stone 1987b; Stone 1987a; Stone 1985; Stone and Gorman 47 1985), Stone (1987b; 1987a; 1985) in wild watercourses, and Richard and Michaud (1975) 48 and Richard (1986; 1985) in captivity. Since then a few seminal studies have talked about its 49 distribution, morphology and general biology (Aymerich and Gosàlbez 2013; Aymerich and 50 Gosàlbez 2002; Bertrand 1993; Palomo et al. 2007; Queiroz and Almada 1993; Williams-51 Tripp et al. 2012) and most of them are grey literature and/or have low international 52 repercussion. Nonetheless, in the recent years the interest on the species has increased

53 notably by both the general public and the scientific community. Indeed, the Pyrenean

54 desman recently became strictly protected under the Bern Convention (Appendix II) and the

55 EU Habitats and Species Directive (Annexes II and IV). Notwithstanding, the scientific

56 knowledge on its behaviour and ecology is still poorly developed.

57 One of the most unknown aspects of the species biology is its behavioural ecology 58 and the reliability of little available information is currently on debate. The species was first 59 observed as largely territorial and solitary or organised in couples in the wild (Stone 1987a;

60 Stone and Gorman 1985) and solitary in captivity (Richard and Viallard 1969). This 61 hypothesis was supported by observations that described the individuals as highly aggressive 62 with conspecifics independently of the sex even between couples, except for temporal mating 63 and transient individuals (Stone 1987a). Since these first studies, there has been no more 64 research on its social organization or behaviour, and these observations have been the 65 foundation for the knowledge on the species behavioural ecology. Consequently, many studies were based on the aegis of desmans being aggressive with resident male and female 66 67 couples occupying an exclusive range in which the male's range encloses that of the female, 68 and individuals hold exclusive shelters (Richard and Valette Viallard 1969; Stone 1987b; 69 Stone 1987a; Stone 1985). Controversially, we recently observed a different social behaviour 70 with non-territoriality nor conspecific avoidance (Melero et al. 2012). More in detail, we 71 found that socio-spatial organization was community based with non-exclusive or permanent 72 territories and shared home ranges and resting sites (shelters used for more than 1h) between 73 two or more resident non-couple individuals of different and/or same sex. Our new findings 74 thus, recalled for a re-evaluation of the behavioural ecology of the species and the subsequent 75 related research and management actions.

76 Under the observations of Stone (1985; 1987a;b) individual behaviour was observed 77 to be arranged to avoid conspecifics. It was described as a bimodal activity rhythm with one 78 diurnal and one nocturnal activity bout during which the whole riparian territory was seen to 79 be patrolled on a 48h basis if solitary and 24h basis in the case of mating couples sharing the 80 territory. In this patrolling, males were more frequently found in the border areas of the river 81 sections included in their territories to protect the territory and mating couples avoiding 82 encounters between them. In addition, individuals had fixed directional up or downstream 83 movements that were organised between individuals to avoid encounters, including couples 84 except for mating encounters. However, under the novel recent observations of the species

not being territorial or aggressive (Melero et al. 2012), individual activity and space use
might not follow the previously postulated objective of avoidance.

87 In this study, we investigated the activity and space use patterns of a local population 88 of Pyrenean desman. We hypothesised that individual activity and space use patterns were 89 not organised to avoid conspecific encounters. To test our hypothesis we studied (1) 90 individuals activity behaviour (active versus inactive), (2) proportion of home range utilised 91 and movement distances during the activity bouts, and (3) directionality of individual 92 desmans. We also state several observed spatiotemporal encounters between individuals. Our 93 overall aim was to increase the scarce research and knowledge on the behaviour of the 94 species. We believe that clarifying the scientific knowledge on the species behaviour is 95 crucial for the understanding of the species biology and its conservation. This will contribute 96 to the awareness of the species and, ultimately, to improve design of on-going and future 97 research, management and conservation actions.

98

#### 99 Material and methods

100

The study area and the methodology for trapping and radiotracking was previously described
in the in Melero et al. (2012) because the data used in this study was a subset of the data used
in the preceding publication consisting of individuals captured between 2002 and 2004 in the
river Tor.

105

106 Study area

107

108 The study was conducted in the river Tor, located in the Eastern Pyrenees (UTM 31TCH61).

109 We selected 2 km of the river Tor which presented 4 m of mean width, 0.1-0.4 m of mean

110 depth, and 6.5% of mean slope, rocky river-bed and rocky shorelines covered by dense

111 vegetation. The river Tor is a well preserved river without anthropogenic pressures. Winter 112 snowfalls and their subsequent spring thaws maintain a constant high river flow with a peak 113 in April-May but without significant seasonal differences. Mean altitude of the area ranges 114 between 1200 and 1400 m and precipitation falls regularly along the year within a range of 115 800-1000 mm being the highest between May and September. Temperatures in the area range 116 from an average of 20 °C in summer to -2 °C in winter.

Other aquatic and semiaquatic vertebrates sharing the habitat with the Pyrenean
desman are the brown trout *Salmo trutta*, viperine snake *Natrix Maura*, White-throated
Dipper *Cinclus cinclus*, the Eurasian Water Shrew *Neomys fodiens*, the water vole *Arvicola sapidus* and the Eurasian otter *Lutra lutra*. The river provides availability of a diverse
invertebrate fauna such as caddisflies (Trichoptera) and mayflies (ephemeroptera) among
others.

123

124 Trapping and radiotracking

125

126 Two trapping sessions of 5 to 7 days each were conducted annually with 28-35 trap nights per 127 session between 2002 and 2004. The sessions were set each year in April to June (spring-128 summer, spring hereafter) and in September-October (autumn) to cover both the expected 129 reproductive and the non-reproductive seasons (Castién 1994). Animals were live trapped at 130 night in partially submerged unbaited mesh traps designed for trapping the species and based 131 on the designs of eel traps. Traps were located inside the rivers in adequate places for 132 trapping (i.e. narrow pathways) maintaining a separation of 30-300 m between them and 133 checked every 3 hours during the night every day (traps were open during daylight hours). 134 After immobilization with anaesthetic (isoflurane), animals were measured, weighted, sexed, 135 aged and classified as either a new capture or a recapture. Animals were classified by sex and 136 age based on the data of Peyre (1961), González-Esteban et al. (2003) and González-Esteban

137 et al. (2002) respectively. The captured animals were marked with a subcutaneous 138 transponder (PIT; ID K162 FDX-B; AEG ID; Trovan Ltd., Madrid, Spain) and 139 radiotransmitter (frequency 150-151, Pip model, BioTrack, Ltd., Wareham, Dorset, UK and 140 Tinyloc Ltd., Mataró, Spain). Transponders weighted approximately 0.95mg < 1.7‰ of the 141 lightest individuals (M16 and F11, weight = 54g). Radiotransmitters weighted approximately 142 2.5-3g < 5.5% of the weight of the lightest individuals and < 4.4% of the heaviest individual 143 (F8, weight = 70g). All manipulated animals were released in the capture area once fully 144 recovered. None of the captured individuals died during the procedures. Trapping was carried 145 out by three accredited biologist and one accredited veterinary, but animal manipulation was 146 carried out only by the accredited veterinary. Research permit and accreditations were 147 provided by the Scientific Ethical Committee of the Department of Environment and Housing 148 of the Catalonian Government (Spain). Our methods followed the "Guidelines for the Use of 149 Animals in Research" (Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour and Animal Behavior 150 Society 2012). All recaptured animals showed a good condition with no effects by the 151 manipulation, the transponder or the transmitter. Failed radiotransmitters of recaptured 152 individuals were removed following procedures explained above. 153 Radiotracking was done with a RX-8910HE (Televilt International AB) and three 154 multidirectional and bidirectional antennas (for long, medium and short distances) with a 155 mean precision of 0.2 and 0.1m respectively. Radiolocations were recorded by homing to the 156 animals without triangulation as desman movements follow the river course. The study area 157 did not have proper coverage for GPS systems. Therefore, animal position was recorded and 158 mapped following as reference the 100 m signals of the road that flows parallel to the river (1 159 -10m distance, mean = 7m). This and the narrow width of the river facilitated the location of 160 the individuals with the precision of the bidirectional antennas being the limiting factor 161 (0.1m).

163	Radiotracking was started five-seven days after the animal was released to avoid bias
164	due to recovery from manipulation. Animal location was made daily; during night each
165	individual was radiotracked every 1-2 h ( $\breve{x} = 1.2$ , SD = 0.3) when they were active and
166	every 10-20 min when inactive to detect the re-start of the activity. During daylight
167	radiotracking was made every 30-60 min. All individuals were tracked for 10-15min after
168	located.
169	
170	Activity patterns
171	
172	Activity and inactivity behaviour was defined based on time spent outside (activity) or inside
173	(inactivity) the resting site. Diurnal time was set between dawn and dusk for each day and
174	nocturnal between dusk and dawn. Activity ( $n = 589$ ) versus inactivity ( $n = 1024$ ) was
175	studied using only radiolocations separated at least 1h to reduce temporal autocorrelation
176	except when individuals changed their behaviour leading to $n = 559$ and 977 respectively.
177	The influence of sex, age, daylight (diurnal and nocturnal), season (spring-autumn) and
178	number of conspecifics sharing the home range were analysed by means of generalised lineal
179	mixed models (GLMM). Activity was fitted as to a binary distribution, and best model fit was
180	selected based on AIC. Factors were set as fixed effects except for individual that was set as
181	random effect. By considering individual as random effect, we tested individual variation and
182	avoided the problem of different number of repeated measures per individual.
183	For conspecific sharing the territory that also had concurrently radiolocations we
184	analysed if their frequencies of activity per hour were correlated with the activity of the other
185	individual by means of a Pearson correlation. Overall, we had enough concurrently
186	information for two pairs of individuals sharing the space: F1-F3 and M6-M15, and one pair
187	of individuals captured together F10-M11. Radiotracking did not show space overlap
188	between the pair F10-M11 but included it based on the fact that at some point the did share it.

### 190 Space use patterns

192	Space use was evaluated based on the home range use in terms of the percentage of the home
193	range utilised during the individuals' daily activity as calculated as lineal meters of river
194	section utilised. Home range size was obtained and exposed in our previously study (Melero
195	et al. 2012). In relation to the movement patterns, we studied the distances travelled during
196	the activity periods per day and the direction of the movement (upstream and downstream)
197	using all radiolocations (n= 1613). Distance travelled per activity bout was defined as the
198	sum of the distances from the resting site to the distal location points without counting
199	sections travelled more than once (because e.g. of forward and backward movements).
200	Percentage of home range used and direction of movement were set to binomial distributions,
201	and distances travelled to a Gaussian distribution. All three variables were tested against the
202	influence of sex, age, daylight (diurnal and nocturnal), season (spring-autumn) and number of
203	conspecifics sharing the home range by means of GLMMs and linear mixed model (LMM)
204	for distances travelled. We followed the same procedure as in the activity analyses for the
205	fixed and random effects.
206	All models were fit in package lme4 and based on Restricted Maximum Likelihood
207	(REML) and package lme done in R. Model selection was based on AIC criteria.
208	
209	Results
210	
211	We obtained the most completed radiotracking and trapping dataset up to date. A total of 30
212	individuals were trapped of which 18 provided enough radiotracking data to study their
213	activity and home range (ten males and eight females). Individuals were tracked for at least 2

214 days and for a maximum of 33 days ( $\tilde{x} = 25.3$ , SD = 10.5; Table 1). The remaining 215 individuals were not radiotracked due to transmitter failure before 2 days of radiotracking. 216

217 Activity pattern

218

219 On average, individuals spent more time inactive than active with 36.51% (SD = 23%) of 220 active radiolocations, 9-10 h of activity per day. In general, the activity of desman was 221 mainly nocturnal although the activity pattern differed between autumn and spring. During 222 autumn, individuals presented two nocturnal, or exceptionally three, activity bouts with an 223 average duration of 5 hours (SD = 1.5) each separated by one (exceptionality 2) inactive 224 period of 100 minutes of average duration (SD = 57.81) commonly happening at 2pm 225 approximately, and a single diurnal activity bout of 73.75 minutes of average (SD = 45.69; 226 Fig. 1a). In spring, however, nocturnal activity was reduced to a single bout ( $\breve{x} = 8h$ , SD = 227 1.6) without any inactive period but diurnal activity was longer ( $\breve{x} = 102 \text{ min}, \text{SD} = 52.51$ ; 228 Fig. 1b).

229 Best model fit included season and daylight but dismissed sex, age and number of 230 conspecifics in the home range as factors influencing the activity pattern (in addition, in all 231 models p > 0.1 for dismissed factors). Both season and daylight had a significant effect on 232 activity (F = 10.51, df = 1, p < 0.0001 and F = 2.61, df = 1, p = 0.009 respectively) although 233 their interaction was not significant (F = -0.25, df = 3, p = 0.79). Overall, individuals 234 presented higher probability of being active at spring nights, followed by autumn nights; 235 however, variability was also highest in them nocturnal spring activity (Fig. 2). Variance due 236 to the random effect was low (7%) indicating low individual variability.

All cases where we had enough data on conspecifics sharing the territory showed a significant correlation between their frequencies of activity per hour. This correlation was high in the case of F1 and F3 ( $r^2 = 0.83$ , df = 22, p < 0.0001), and lower in the case of M6 and

240 M15 ( $r^2 = 0.48$ , df = 18, p = 0.001); similar to the pair trapped together F10 and M11 ( $r^2 = 0.31$ , df = 21, p = 0.006) (Fig. 3).

242

243 Space use pattern

244

245 Home range was almost completely utilised during their total nocturnal movements in 246 autumn (average percentatge of utilisation  $\breve{x} = 76.03\%$ , SD = 24.09) with a slightly 247 significant reduction in spring ( $\tilde{x} = 58.55\%$ , SD = 17.10; F = -2.65, df = 1, p = 0.01; Fig. 248 4). During the daylight activity bouts home range was only partially utilised (11.6 % in 249 autumn and 6.2 % in spring with not significant differences, p > 0.1; Fig. 4). Best model fit 250 included season and daylight but dismissed sex, age and number of conspecifics in the home 251 range as factors influencing the movement distances (in addition, in all models p > 0.1 for 252 dismissed factors). There was no significant variability between individuals (variance due to 253 random effect = 0.9%).

Distances travelled by the individuals at each activity bout were highest at night and in autumn (268.5 ± 123.9 m), with significant differences between seasons (F = 13.77, df = 1, p < 0.0001) and daylight (F = 140.89, df = 1, p < 0.0001; Fig. 5

257 ) but not with other factors. As before, best model fit all other factors (in all models p > 0.07258 for dismissed factors). Variability due to individuals was again low (13%). During these 259 displacement movements, individuals were observed to frequently travel from the resting site 260 to the distal site of their home range and afterwards either rest in the same or different resting 261 site or travel to the opposite distal point before resting. In relation to the direction of the 262 movement, best model fit included all factors but there were no differences in the direction of 263 the movement (up or downstream) for any of the models (all *p*-values > 0.4). Diurnal 264 movements were always one way movement from the resting site and return to the same or,

exceptionally, a different resting site. In all cases, individuals' movement was straight

266 forward, without forward and backward movements.

267

268 Spatio-temporal encounters

269

Several individuals were found to share the home range for 1- to 24- days (Table 1) and, of them the pairs F1 and F3, and M6 and M15 were both found together in the same location in eight occasions during six and three days of radiotracking respectively. In all the cases did not seem to alter their behaviour for conspecific avoidance. Encounters last from 10 seconds to up 10 min, but no longer than 5 minutes when all individuals were actively moving.

275

```
276 Discussion
```

277

This study provides evidence of the non-avoidance behaviour among individuals of Pyrenean
desman. The individual activity and space use patterns of the studied population were not
organised to avoid conspecifics. Indeed, we could directly observe encounters between
individuals of different or same sex.

282 As previously described by Stone (1987b; 1987a), individuals presented a bimodal activity pattern in spring consisting of primary nocturnal activity bout ( $\tilde{x} = 8h$ ) of average 283 284 and a short activity bout during daylight ( $\tilde{x} = 102$ min). However, we observed a shift from 285 this bimodal activity rhythm to a trimodal and exceptionally tetramodal in autumn. At this 286 time individuals included 1 or 2 nocturnal resting bouts ( $\check{x} = 100$ min) and reduced their 287 diurnal activity to a single and shorter bout ( $\tilde{x} = 73.75$ min) without resting time. This 288 activity rhythm was never observed before, probably to the fact that Stone's previous studies 289 were always done in spring time. This shift in their rhythm is probably related to the 290 individuals' ability to adapt their behaviour to the duration of the night at different seasons.

291 Indeed, the only factors affecting their activity pattern were seasonality and daylight. It is 292 possible that there is also relation between the duration of the diurnal and nocturnal activity 293 and the biomass intake and/or the individuals' energetic requirements. The probability of 294 activity during spring nights increased because there is not resting period and, thus, the 295 probability of finding an individual active was higher, but the duration of the total nocturnal 296 activity is shorter in spring when nights are also shorter than in the studied months of autumn. 297 However, the duration of the diurnal activity bout increases in this spring, which might be 298 related to a necessity of feeding to maintain its energetic requirements. Unfortunately, our 299 data did not provide information to test this hypothesis.

Coinciding with Stone's previous studies, individual variation in the activity pattern was very low (7% variation due to individual effect) and independent of their sex, age and, more interesting of the number of conspecifics sharing the home range with them. These results support the previous hypothesis of a common activity pattern between individuals. In fact, for those cases sharing the home range where we had enough data, the activity of the individuals was significant correlated; information that, together with the model, supports the idea of a similar activity pattern between individuals.

307 In concordance with the observed activity pattern, seasonality and daylight were also 308 the only factors influencing the range use and movement pattern of the studied population. In 309 both cases, individual desmans utilised higher percentage of their home ranges and travelled 310 longer distances at night and in autumn (76.03% versus 58.55% in spring nights). During the 311 daylight activity bouts home range was only partial utilised (11.6 % in autumn and 6.2 % in 312 spring with not significant differences, p > 0.1; Fig. 4). In addition, individual variation was 313 again low (only 0.9% in the case of the home range use and 13% for travelled distances) 314 which indicated a common pattern with independence of the sex, age or number of 315 conspecifics sharing the home range. This findings, contradict the previous view that 316 described their spatial behaviour based on sexual differences and conspecifics avoidance

317 (Stone 1987a; Stone 1985). Under the hypothesis of individuals being solitary and aggressive, 318 the spatial behaviour of males and females was suggested to differ in order to maintain a 319 mutual avoidance. This was based on the observations that the direction of the movements 320 (upstream or downstream) seemed to differ between sexes which was explained as a pattern 321 to avoid encounters with conspecifics occurring even in paired individuals (Stone 1987a; 322 Stone 1985). In addition, males were observed to travel further distances than female while 323 females mainly stayed in the neighbourhood of the resting site. Controversially, our results 324 support a common spatial pattern for both females and males and a lack of mutual avoidance. 325 Indeed, we observed no differences in the direction of the movement (up or downstream) 326 between sexes, ages, daylight (diurnal and nocturnal), season (spring-autumn) or in relation 327 to the number of conspecifics sharing the home range.

328 Our results indicate a general common behavioural pattern between individual 329 desmans with a lack of mutual avoidance. This is supported by our previous findings were 330 individuals on individuals concurrently sharing resting sites with independence of their sex or 331 age (Melero et al. 2012). Activity and space patterns were previously explained based on the 332 hypothesis of conspecific avoidance. However, our results suggest that individuals of 333 Pyrenean desman do not organise their activity neither opposite their spatial behaviour in 334 order to avoid encounters with conspecifics as previously suggested (Stone 1987b; Stone 335 1987a). Indeed, we could directly observe encounters with individuals without evidence of 336 aggressive behaviour between them. The reasons for the differences between our studies and 337 those by Stone are yet unknown. Differences in prey availability could be the first suggestion; 338 however, there is not available data to test this hypothesis and both rivers seem to present 339 similar resources and conditions. Notwithstanding, our current and previous findings are 340 consistent with the behaviour of the most similar species, the Russian desman (Onufrenya 341 and Onufrenya 1993). This species has similar ecological to the desman but it is considered a

semi nomadic and non-territorial (Nowak 1999; Onufrenya and Onufrenya 1993) with social
interactions between conspecifics.

Overall, based on our current observation and our previous study on the shelter use
behaviour, we recall for a new understanding on the species behaviour based on a social
organization hypothesis where individuals are non-aggressive neither territorial.

347

348 Management and conservation implications

349

350 Our findings are of significant relevance for management and conservation purposes of the 351 species. The species distribution and status is currently being monitored by several national 352 and international projects in Spain, France and Portugal (e.g. LIFE+ Desmania) mostly by 353 means of indirect signs surveys consisting on annual scat surveys to map its distribution and 354 potential expansion/contraction. In the past, the species distribution has also been mapped 355 using other indirect signs that included not only scats but also interview to local residents. 356 This type of information is, however, less reliable than the current methodology based on scat 357 surveys. None of these methodologies allow identifying individuals and thus, estimating the 358 density. Genetic monitoring using the collected scats will allow identifying individual. Until 359 this is achieved, density estimations could be wrongly estimated if the surveys are based on 360 the previous hypothesis. First, under the hypothesis of desmans being solitary and territorial, 361 density has been calculated assuming a maximum of two (mating couple) individuals per 362 mean home range (e.g. two individuals per 200-500m). However, based on our results there 363 could be more than two individuals in the same home range length and thus, density would be 364 underestimated. Estimation of population density based on presence absence data should be 365 thus updated and used with criticism since until now they were done on the basis of 366 individuals being in couples and maintaining fixed territories (e.g. Nores et al. 1998).

367 In addition, as observed in our previous study Melero et al. (2012) home ranges might not be 368 fixed over the seasons and/or years. Thus, density could also be overestimated based when 369 working with the hypothesis of fixed territories. Furthermore, this hypothesis of fixed 370 territories can overestimate the species distribution, and connectivity between populations. 371 This is due because, as with the density, distribution and connectivity are estimated based on 372 presence absence data (direct captures or presence – absence of signs consisting mainly of 373 scat surveys) assuming desmans do not change their territories. However, we have provided 374 evidences of individuals with passing areas and temporal displaced home ranges.

Hence, we recommend population monitoring include shared territories and social interactions that allow more than two desmans per home range. In addition, based on our current and previous results (Melero et al. 2012) we also recommend to include the existence of passing areas and temporal home ranges (individuals changing the size and location of their home ranges) and to prioritize those river sections that are permanently occupied versus those temporally occupied.

381

#### 382 Acknowledgments

383 The authors are grateful to the accredited veterinary Francisco Mañas for his collaboration 384 during the trapping campaigns. We also thank the Official Consultant from the Ministerio de 385 Medio Ambiente. The project was funded by the Departament d'Agricultura, Ramaderia, 386 Pesca, Alimentació i Medi Natural and (programme no. BOS 2003-08823-CO3-01), the 387 Ministerio de Ciencia and the Generalitat de Catalunya (programme no. 2001SGR0088). The 388 research permit numbers provided by the Catalonian Government were SF/159, SF/073 and 389 SF/178 for 2002, 2003 and 2004 respectively. We thank the two anonymous reviewers for 390 their helpful comments.

391

#### 392 References

- Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour, Animal Behavior Society (2012) Guidelines
   for the treatment of animals in behavioural research and teaching. Anim Behav 83:301–
   309. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.10.031
- Aymerich P, Gosàlbez J (2014) El desmán ibérico (Galemys pyrenaicus) en los Pirineos
   meridionales. Gestión y Conservación los mamíferos semiacuáticos en el suroeste
   Europa. Munibe. In press.
- Aymerich P, Gosàlbez J (2002) Factors de distribució de Galemys pyrenaicus (Insectivora, Talpidae) a Catalunya. Orsis 17:21–35.
- 401 Bertrand A (1993) Stratégies alimentaires du Desman des Pyréneés Galemys pyrenaicus dans
   402 un cours d'eau des Pyrénées françaises. Proc. Meet. Pyrenean Desman. Lisbon, pp 41–
   403 52
- 404 Castién E (1994) Estudio bioecológico de la comunidad de micromamíferos (Insectivora y
   405 Rodentia) de un hayedo acidófilo. (Quinto Real, Navarra). . University of Barcelona
- 406 González-Esteban J, Villate I, Castién E, et al. (2002) Age determination of Galemys
   407 pyrenaicus. Acta Theriol (Warsz) 47:107–112.
- 408 González-Esteban J, Villate I, Castién E (2003) Sexual identification of Galemys pyrenaicus.
   409 Acta Theriol (Warsz) 48:571–573. doi: 10.1007/BF03192502
- 410 Igea J, Aymerich P, Fernández-González A, et al. (2013) Phylogeography and postglacial
  411 expansion of the endangered semi-aquatic mammal Galemys pyrenaicus. BMC Evol
  412 Biol 13:115. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-13-115
- 413 Melero Y, Aymerich P, Luque-Larena JJ, Gosàlbez J (2012) New insights into social and
  414 space use behaviour of the endangered Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus). Eur J
  415 Wildl Res 58:185–193. doi: 10.1007/s10344-011-0561-7
- 416 Nores C, Ojeda F, Ruano A, et al. (1998) Estimating the population density of Galemys
  417 pyrenaicus in four Spanish rivers. J Zool London 246:454–457.
- 418 Nowak RM (1999) Walker's mammals of the world. 793.
- 419 Onufrenya AS, Onufrenya M V (1993) Desman in Oka State Reserve (Russia). Proc Meet
  420 Pyrenean Desman 81–83.
- 421 Palomo LJ, Gisbert J, Blanco JC (2007) Atlas y libro rojo de los Mamíferos de España.
  422 SECEM-Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Madrid, pp 92-98
- 423 Peyre A (1961) Recherches sur l'intersexualité spécifique chez Galemys pyrenaicus.
  424 University of Toulouse. Archives de Biologie 73: 1-174. Queiroz AI, Almada V (1993)
  425 Some observations of Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus Geoffroy) specimens in
  426 short captivity. Proc. Meet. Pyrenean Desman. Lisbon, pp 33–39
- 427 Richard B (1986) Le desman des Pyrénées, un mammifère inconnu à découvrir. Monaco: Le
  428 Rocher, Monaco, pp 1-117.

- 429 Richard B (1985) Étude préliminaire sur les rythmes d'activité du desman (Galemys
  430 pyrenaicus) en captivité. Mammalia 49:317–323.
- 431 Richard B, Michaud C (1975) Le carrefour trachéen dans l'adaptation du Desman des
  432 Pyrénées (Galemys pyrenaicus) à la vie dulçaquicole. Mammalia 39:467–477.
- 433 Richard B, Viallard VA (1969) Le Desman des Pyrénées (Galemys pyrenaicus). Notes sur sa
  434 biologie. Terre Vie 23:225–245.
- 435 Stone RD (1987a) The social organization of the Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus) as
  436 revealed by radiotelemetry. J Zool 212:117–129. doi: 10.1111/j.1469437 7998.1987.tb03680.x
- 438 Stone RD (1985) Home range movements of the Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus)
  439 (Insectivora: Talpidae). Zeitschrift fuer Angew Zool 72:25–37.
- 440 Stone RD (1987b) The activity patterns of the Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus)
  441 (Insectivora: Talpidae), as determined under natural conditions. J Zool 213:95–106. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7998.1987.tb03680.x
- 443 Stone RD, Gorman ML (1985) Social organization of the European mole (Talpa europaea)
  444 and the Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus). Mamm Rev 15:35–42. doi:
  445 10.1111/j.1365-2907.1985.tb00385.x
- Williams-Tripp M, D'Amico FJN, Pagé C, et al. (2012) Modeling Rare Species Distribution
  at the Edge: The Case for the Vulnerable Endemic Pyrenean Desman in France. Sci
  World J 2012:1–6. doi: 10.1100/2012/612965
- 449

451 Table 1 Radiotracked individuals, number of tracked days and of radiolocations, season,

452 percentage of active radiolocations, size of the home range (meters of river section),total

453 number of conspecifics in their home range for 2- to 24- days and season Adapted from

454 Melero el at. (2012).

Indiv.	Sex	Age at capture	No. of tracked days	No. radiolocations	Season	% active radiolocations	Home range (m)	Conspecifics in home range
F1	Female	Adult	25	111	Autumn	42.34	570	F3
F2	Female	Adult	21	126	Autumn	37.30	520	-
F3	Female	Adult	24	130	Autumn	41.54	430	F1
F4	Female	Adult	9	37	Autumn	35.13	530	M2*
F6	Female	Adult	8	64	Autumn	57.81	450	M5
F9	Female	Juvenile	3	11	Autumn	45.45	-	-
F10	Female	Juvenile	17	136	Spring	42.65	350	-
F11	Female	Adult	19	117	Autumn	19.66	660	-
M1	Male	Adult	34	206	Spring	34.95	530 -	-
							$2300^{\dagger}$	
M4	Male	Adult	20	112	Spring	40.18	620	F5*
M5	Male	Adult	2	6	Autumn	0	-	F6
M6	Male	Adult	33	232	Spring	31.03	550-	F7*, F8, M*
					&		1350 <sup>†</sup>	M15
					Autumn			
M7	Male	Adult	4	20	Spring	30	670	M10*, M12*,
								M8
M8	Male	Juvenile	16	134	Autumn	35.07	650	M10*, M12*,
								M7

M9	Male	Juvenile	3	4	Spring	0	-	-
M11	Male	Juvenile	7	48	Spring	41.67	320	-
M15	Male	Adult	7	37	Autumn	37.83	450	M6
M16	Male	Adult	8	75	Autumn	37.33	510	-

455 \*marked individuals that did not provide enough information for the analyses.

456 <sup>†</sup>Outliers due to exceptional one day long movements. Outliers were not taken into account in

the analyses.

459	Figure 1 Percentage and standard error of activity per hour of the studied population of
460	Pyrenean desman in (a) autumn (n = 994) and (b) spring (n = 669) seasons. Data was
461	gathered by means of radiolocation.
462	
463	Figure 2 Estimated probability of being active for the studied population of Pyrenean
464	accordingly to daylight (diurnal and nocturnal) and season (autumn and spring). Values are
465	given as mean and their standard error calculated from the GLMM.
466	
467	Figure 3 Estimated activity correlation between pairs of the studied population of Pyrenean
468	desman F3-F1, M15-M6 and F10-M11. Values are given as between percentage of active
469	radiolocations per hour (%). Dotted lines stand for the estimated correlation.
470	
471	Figure 4 Estimated percentage of home range utilised of the studied population of Pyrenean
472	desman accordingly to daylight (diurnal and nocturnal) and season (autumn and spring).
473	Values are given as mean and their standard error calculated from the GLMM.
474	
475	Figure 5 Estimated total distance travelled during the activity bouts of the studied population
476	of Pyrenean desman accordingly to daylight (diurnal and nocturnal) and season (autumn and
477	spring). Values are given as mean and their standard error calculated from the GLMM.