

On the spaces of bounded and compact multiplicative Hankel operators

Article

Accepted Version

Perfekt, K.-M. (2019) On the spaces of bounded and compact multiplicative Hankel operators. *New York Journal of Mathematics*, 25. pp. 589-602. Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/83830/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

On the spaces of bounded and compact multiplicative Hankel operators

Karl-Mikael Perfekt

ABSTRACT. A multiplicative Hankel operator is an operator with matrix representation $M(\alpha) = \{\alpha(nm)\}_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$, where α is the generating sequence of $M(\alpha)$. Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}_0 denote the spaces of bounded and compact multiplicative Hankel operators, respectively. In this note it is shown that the distance from an operator $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$ to the compact operators is minimized by a nonunique compact multiplicative Hankel operator $N(\beta) \in \mathcal{M}_0$. Intimately connected with this result, it is then proven that the bidual of \mathcal{M}_0 is isometrically isomorphic to \mathcal{M} , $\mathcal{M}_0^{**} \simeq \mathcal{M}$. It follows that \mathcal{M}_0 is an M-ideal in \mathcal{M} . The dual space \mathcal{M}_0^* is isometrically isomorphic to a projective tensor product with respect to Dirichlet convolution. The stated results are also valid for small Hankel operators on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ of a finite polydisk.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Results	5
References	12

1. Introduction

Given a sequence $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we consider the corresponding multiplicative Hankel operator $m = M(\alpha): \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, defined by

$$\langle M(\alpha)a, b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} a(n)\overline{b(m)}\alpha(nm), \quad a, b \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

Initially, we consider this equality only for finite sequences a and b . It defines a bounded operator $M(\alpha): \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, with matrix representation $\{\alpha(nm)\}_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$ in the standard basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, if and only if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\langle M(\alpha)a, b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}| \leq C\|a\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}\|b\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}, \quad a, b \text{ finite sequences.}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B28, 47B35.

Key words and phrases. essential norm, Hankel operator, bidual, M-ideal, weak product space.

Multiplicative Hankel operators are also known as Helson matrices, having been introduced by Helson in [14, 15].

There are two common alternative interpretations. One is in terms of Dirichlet series. Let \mathcal{H}^2 be the Hardy space of Dirichlet series, the Hilbert space with $(n^{-s})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as a basis. Elements $f \in \mathcal{H}^2$ are holomorphic functions in the half-plane $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} s > 1/2\}$. If

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^{-s}, \quad g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{b(n)}n^{-s}, \quad \rho(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha(n)}n^{-s},$$

then

$$\langle M(\alpha)a, b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} = \langle fg, \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2}.$$

Hence there is an isometric correspondence between Helson matrices and Hankel operators on \mathcal{H}^2 , since the forms associated with the latter are precisely of the type $(f, g) \mapsto \langle fg, \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2}$.

The second interpretation is in terms of the Hardy space of the infinite polytorus $H^2(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$, the Hilbert space with basis $(z^{\kappa})_{\kappa}$, where $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots)$, and $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots)$ runs through the countably infinite, but finitely supported, multi-indices. Identify each integer n with a multi-index κ of this type through the factorization of n into the primes p_1, p_2, \dots ,

$$n \longleftrightarrow \kappa \text{ if and only if } n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j^{\kappa_j}.$$

Under this equivalence, multiplicative Hankel operators correspond to additive Hankel operators on a countably infinite number of variables,

$$\langle M(\alpha)a, b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} = \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} a(\kappa) \overline{b(\kappa')} \alpha(\kappa + \kappa').$$

Hence the multiplicative Hankel operators correspond isometrically to small Hankel operators on $H^2(\mathbb{T}^{\infty})$, since the matrix representations of the latter are of the form $\{\alpha(\kappa + \kappa')\}_{\kappa, \kappa'}$. See [14, 15] for details.

In particular, the Helson matrices generalize the small Hankel operators on the Hardy space of any finite polytorus $H^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $d < \infty$. In fact, the results in this note have analogous statements for small Hankel operators on $H^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$; every proof given remains valid verbatim after restricting the number of prime factors, that is, the number of variables.

The first result is the following. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ and $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$, respectively, the spaces of bounded and compact operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

Theorem 1. *Let $M(\alpha)$ be a bounded multiplicative Hankel operator. Then there exists a compact multiplicative Hankel operator $N(\beta)$ such that*

$$(1) \quad \|M(\alpha) - N(\beta)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} = \inf \{ \|M(\alpha) - K\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} : K \in \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N})) \}.$$

The minimizer $N(\beta)$ is never unique, unless $M(\alpha)$ is compact.

The quantity on the right-hand side of (1) is known as the essential norm of $M(\alpha)$. For classical Hankel operators on $H^2(\mathbb{T})$, this result was proven by Axler, Berg, Jewell, and Shields in [6], and can be viewed as a limiting case of the theory of Adamjan, Arov, and Krein [1]. The demonstration of Theorem 1 requires only a minor modification of the arguments in [6], the main point being that a characterization of the class of bounded multiplicative Hankel operators is not necessary for the proof.

On $H^2(\mathbb{T})$, Nehari's theorem [21] states that the class of bounded Hankel operators can be isometrically identified with $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})/H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, where $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and $H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ denote the spaces of bounded and bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{T} , respectively. By Hartman's theorem [13], the class of compact Hankel operators is isometrically isomorphic to $(H^\infty(\mathbb{T}) + C(\mathbb{T}))/H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, where $C(\mathbb{T})$ denotes the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{T} . Note that the spaces L^∞ , H^∞ , and $H^\infty + C$ are all algebras, as proven by Sarason [26].

Luecking [20] observed, through a very illustrative argument relying on function algebra techniques, that the compact Hankel operators form an M-ideal in the space of bounded Hankel operators. The concept of an M-ideal will be defined shortly, but let us note for now that M-ideality implies proximality; the distance from a bounded Hankel operator to the compact Hankel operators has a minimizer. Thus Luecking reproved some of the results of [6]. Since

$$((H^\infty + C)/H^\infty)^{**} \simeq L^\infty/H^\infty,$$

it follows that the bidual of the space of compact Hankel operators is isometrically isomorphic to the space of bounded Hankel operators. Spaces which are M-ideals in their biduals are said to be M-embedded.

The multiplicative Hankel operators, on the other hand, have thus far resisted all attempts to characterize their boundedness. It has been shown that a Nehari-type theorem cannot exist [22], and positive results only exist in special cases [14, 24]. In spite of this, the main theorem shows that Luecking's result holds for multiplicative Hankel operators.

Let

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \{m = M(\alpha) : M(\alpha) : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \text{ compact}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M} = \{m = M(\alpha) : M(\alpha) : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \text{ bounded}\}.$$

Equipped with the operator norm, \mathcal{M}_0 and \mathcal{M} are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ and $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$, respectively. For a Banach space Y , we denote by ι_Y the canonical embedding $\iota_Y : Y \rightarrow Y^{**}$,

$$\iota_Y y(y^*) = y^*(y), \quad y \in Y, y^* \in Y^*.$$

Theorem 2. *There is a unique isometric isomorphism $U : \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $U \iota_{\mathcal{M}_0} m = m$ for every $m \in \mathcal{M}_0$. Furthermore, \mathcal{M}_0 is an M-ideal in \mathcal{M} .*

Remark. As pointed out earlier, Theorem 2 is also true when stated for small Hankel operators on $H^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $d < \infty$. The biduality has in this case been demonstrated isomorphically in [18], with an argument based on the non-isometric Nehari-type theorems proven in [10, 17].

The M-ideal property means the following: there is an (onto) projection $L: \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^\perp$ such that

$$\|m^*\|_{\mathcal{M}^*} = \|Lm^*\|_{\mathcal{M}^*} + \|m^* - Lm^*\|_{\mathcal{M}^*}, \quad m^* \in \mathcal{M}^*,$$

where \mathcal{M}_0^\perp denotes the space of functionals $m^* \in \mathcal{M}^*$ which annihilate \mathcal{M}_0 . M-ideals were introduced by Alfsen and Effros [3] as a Banach space analogue of closed two-sided ideals in C^* -algebras. Very loosely speaking, the fact that \mathcal{M}_0 is an M-ideal in \mathcal{M} implies that the norm of \mathcal{M} resembles a maximum norm and, in this analogy, that \mathcal{M}_0 is the subspace of elements vanishing at infinity. The book [12] comprehensively treats M-structure theory and its applications.

We will make use of the following consequences of Theorem 2. Proximality of \mathcal{M}_0 in \mathcal{M} was already mentioned, but the M-ideal property also implies that the minimizer is never unique [16]. It also ensures that \mathcal{M}_0^* is a strongly unique predual of \mathcal{M} [12, Proposition III.2.10]. This means that every isometric isomorphism of \mathcal{M} onto Y^* , Y a Banach space, is weak*-weak* continuous, that is, arises as the adjoint of an isometric isomorphism of Y onto \mathcal{M}_0^* . On the other hand, \mathcal{M}_0^* has infinitely many different preduals [11, Theoreme 27].

The predual of \mathcal{M} is well known to have an almost tautological characterization as a projective tensor product with respect to Dirichlet convolution,

$$\mathcal{X} = \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \hat{\star} \ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

The space \mathcal{X} is also referred to as a weak product space. We defer the precise definition to the next section – after establishing the main theorems, we essentially show, following [25], that all reasonable definitions of \mathcal{X} coincide.

Theorem 3. *There is an isometric isomorphism $L: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^*$ such that $L^*U^{-1}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ is the canonical isometric isomorphism of \mathcal{M} onto \mathcal{X}^* , where $U: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the isometric isomorphism of Theorem 2.*

Informally stated, $\mathcal{M}_0^* \simeq \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}^* \simeq \mathcal{M}$. Theorem 3 follows at once from Theorem 2 and the uniqueness of the predual of \mathcal{M} , but we also supply a direct proof. While the duality $\mathcal{X}^* \simeq \mathcal{M}$ is a rephrasing of the definition of \mathcal{M} , it is difficult to identify a common approach to dualities of the type $\mathcal{M}_0^* \simeq \mathcal{X}$ in the existing literature. Often, the latter duality is deduced (isomorphically) via a concrete description of \mathcal{M} . For a small selection of relevant examples, see [4, 8, 12, 18, 19, 23, 28].

The idea behind this note is that the direct view of \mathcal{M} as a subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ already provides sufficient information to prove Theorems 1, 2, and 3. In this direction, Wu [28] worked with an embedding into the space of

bounded operators to deduce duality results for certain Hankel-type forms on Dirichlet spaces.

The proofs of the results only have two main ingredients. The first is a device to approximate elements of \mathcal{M} by elements of \mathcal{M}_0 (Lemma 4). Such an approximation property is necessary, because if $\mathcal{M}_0^{**} \simeq \mathcal{M}$, then the unit ball of \mathcal{M}_0 is weak* dense in the unit ball of \mathcal{M} . The second ingredient is an inclusion of \mathcal{M} into a reflexive space; in our case, $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Analogous theorems could be proven for many other linear spaces of bounded and compact operators using the same technique.

2. Results

For a sequence a and $0 < r < 1$, let

$$D_r a(n) = r^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \kappa_j} a(n), \text{ where } n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j^{\kappa_j}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\kappa} r^{2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \kappa_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - r^{2j}} < \infty.$$

Hence it follows by the dominated convergence theorem that $D_r: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is a compact operator. Furthermore, D_r is self-adjoint and contractive, $\|D_r\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \leq 1$. The dominated convergence theorem also implies that $D_r \rightarrow \text{id}_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}$ in the strong operator topology (SOT) as $r \rightarrow 1$, that is, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} D_r a = a$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, for every $a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. A study of the operators D_r in the context of Hardy spaces of the infinite polytorus can be found in [2].

The Dirichlet convolution of two sequences a and b is the new sequence $a \star b$ given by

$$(a \star b)(n) = \sum_{k|n} a(k) \overline{b(n/k)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If a and b are two finite sequences, then

$$(2) \quad \langle M(\alpha)a, b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} = (\alpha, a \star b),$$

where $(a, b) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)b(n)$ denotes the bilinear pairing between $a, b \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Note also that, for $0 < r < 1$,

$$(3) \quad D_r(a \star b) = D_r a \star D_r b.$$

The following simple lemma is key.

Lemma 4. *Let $M(\alpha)$ be a bounded multiplicative Hankel operator, $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$. For $0 < r < 1$, let $\alpha_r = D_r \alpha$. Then $M_{\alpha_r} \in \mathcal{M}_0$,*

$$\|M_{\alpha_r}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \leq \|M_{\alpha}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))},$$

and $M_{\alpha_r} \rightarrow M_{\alpha}$ and $M_{\alpha_r}^ \rightarrow M_{\alpha}^*$ SOT as $r \rightarrow 1$.*

Proof. By (2) and (3), it holds for finite sequences a and b that

$$\langle M(\alpha_r)a, b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} = \langle M_\alpha D_r a, D_r b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}.$$

Hence $M_{\alpha_r} = D_r M_\alpha D_r$. We conclude that M_{α_r} is compact, $\|M_{\alpha_r}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \leq \|M_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))}$, and $M_{\alpha_r} \rightarrow M_\alpha$ SOT as $r \rightarrow 1$. Similarly, $M_{\alpha_r}^* = M_{\bar{\alpha}_r} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\alpha}} = M_\alpha^*$ SOT as $r \rightarrow 1$. \square

The following is a recognizable consequence, cf. [27, Theorem 1]. Note that if S_n and T_n are operators such that $S_n \rightarrow S$ and $T_n \rightarrow T$ SOT, and if C is a compact operator, then $S_n C T_n^* \rightarrow S C T^*$ in operator norm.

Proposition 5. *Let $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0$ if and only if*

$$(4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|M(\alpha_r) - M(\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} = 0.$$

Proof. If (4) holds, then $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0$, since $M(\alpha_r)$ is compact for every $0 < r < 1$. If $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0$, then (4) holds, since $M(\alpha_r) = D_r M(\alpha) D_r = D_r M(\alpha) D_r^*$ and $D_r \rightarrow \text{id}_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}$ SOT as $r \rightarrow 1$. \square

Recall next the main tool from [6].

Theorem 6 ([6]). *Let $T: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ be a non-compact operator and (T_n) a sequence of compact operators such that $T_n \rightarrow T$ SOT and $T_n^* \rightarrow T^*$ SOT. Then there exists a sequence (c_n) of non-negative real numbers such that $\sum_n c_n = 1$ for which the compact operator*

$$J = \sum_n c_n T_n$$

satisfies

$$\|T - J\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} = \inf \{ \|T - K\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} : K \in \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N})) \}.$$

Lemma 4 and Theorem 6 immediately yield the existence part of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $M(\alpha)$ be a bounded multiplicative Hankel operator and let (r_k) be a sequence such that $0 < r_k < 1$ and $r_k \rightarrow 1$. Then $M(\alpha)$ has a best compact approximant of the form

$$N = \sum_k c_k M(\alpha_{r_k}).$$

But then $N = N(\beta)$ is a multiplicative Hankel operator, $\beta = \sum_k c_k \alpha_{r_k}$.

The non-uniqueness of $N(\beta)$ follows immediately once we have established Theorem 2, by general M-ideal results [16]. In fact, if $M(\alpha) \notin \mathcal{M}_0$, then the set of minimizers $N(\beta)$ is so large that it spans \mathcal{M}_0 . \square

Note that

$$\|M(\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \geq \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\|(\alpha(n))_{n=1}^N\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}} \sum_{n=1}^N |\alpha(n)|^2 = \|\alpha\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}.$$

Therefore the inclusion $I: \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is a contractive operator, $Im = I(M(\alpha)) = \alpha$. We can state Theorem 2 slightly more precisely in terms of I .

Theorem 2. *Consider the bitranspose $U = I^{**}: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Then $U\mathcal{M}_0^{**} = \mathcal{M}$, viewing \mathcal{M} as a (non-closed) subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Furthermore,*

$$U\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m = m, \quad m \in \mathcal{M}_0,$$

and

$$\|Um^{**}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} = \|m^{**}\|_{\mathcal{M}_0^{**}}, \quad m^{**} \in \mathcal{M}_0^{**}.$$

If $V: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is another isometric isomorphism such that $V\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m = m$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_0$, then $V = U$. Furthermore, \mathcal{M}_0 is an M -ideal in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. We identify $(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))^* \simeq \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ linearly through the pairing $(a, b) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)b(n)$ between $a, b \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. With this convention, $I^*: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^*$ is also contractive, and

$$I^*a(m) = (\alpha, a), \quad a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}), \quad m = M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0.$$

Since I is injective, I^* has dense range. In particular, \mathcal{M}_0^* is separable. Furthermore, $I^{**}: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is injective. By the reflexivity of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, we have that $I^{**}\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0} = I$, since

$$(I^{**}\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m, a) = \iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m(I^*a) = (\alpha, a) = (Im, a)$$

for every $m = M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0$ and $a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. The interpretation, viewing \mathcal{M} as a non-closed subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, is that $I^{**}\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m = m$, for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_0$.

Consider any $m^{**} \in \mathcal{M}_0^{**}$, and let $\alpha = I^{**}m^{**} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Since \mathcal{M}_0^* is separable, the weak* topology of the unit ball $B_{\mathcal{M}_0^{**}}$ of \mathcal{M}_0^{**} is metrizable. As is the case for every Banach space, $\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}(B_{\mathcal{M}_0})$ is weak* dense in $B_{\mathcal{M}_0^{**}}$. Hence there is a sequence $(m_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{M}_0 such that $\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m_n \rightarrow m^{**}$ weak* and $\|m_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \leq \|m^{**}\|_{\mathcal{M}_0^{**}}$. Suppose that $m_n = M(\alpha_n)$ and let $a, b \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ be two finite sequences. Then, since $\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m_n \rightarrow m^{**}$ weak*,

$$\langle M(\alpha_n)a, b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} = (\alpha_n, a \star b) = I^*(a \star b)(m_n) \rightarrow m^{**}(I^*(a \star b)) = (\alpha, a \star b),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle M(\alpha)a, b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}| &= |(\alpha, a \star b)| \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|m_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \|a\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \\ &\leq \|m^{**}\|_{\mathcal{M}_0^{**}} \|a\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since a, b were arbitrary finite sequences, it follows that $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$\|M(\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \leq \|m^{**}\|_{\mathcal{M}_0^{**}}.$$

Since $\alpha = I^{**}m^{**}$ this proves that I^{**} maps \mathcal{M}_0^{**} contractively into \mathcal{M} .

Conversely, suppose that $m = M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$. By Lemma 4, for $0 < r < 1$, $M(\alpha_r) \in \mathcal{M}_0$, $\|M(\alpha_r)\| \leq \|M(\alpha)\|$, and $\alpha_r \rightarrow \alpha$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ as $r \rightarrow 1$. Define $m^{**} \in \mathcal{M}_0^{**}$ by

$$(5) \quad m^{**}(I^*a) := (\alpha, a) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (\alpha_r, a) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} I^*a(M(\alpha_r)), \quad a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

This specifies an element $m^{**} \in \mathcal{M}_0^{**}$ since I^* has dense range in \mathcal{M}_0^* and

$$|m^{**}(I^*a)| \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1} \|M(\alpha_r)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \|I^*a\|_{\mathcal{M}_0^*} \leq \|M(\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \|I^*a\|_{\mathcal{M}_0^*}.$$

From this inequality we also see that

$$(6) \quad \|m^{**}\|_{\mathcal{M}_0^{**}} \leq \|m\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))}.$$

Furthermore, since

$$(I^{**}m^{**}, a) = m^{**}(I^*a) = (\alpha, a), \quad a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}),$$

we have that $I^{**}m^{**} = \alpha$. Hence I^{**} maps \mathcal{M}_0^{**} bijectively and contractively onto \mathcal{M} . By (6), $I^{**}: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is also expansive, and hence it is an isometric isomorphism.

Recall that $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ is an M-ideal in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ [9] – indeed, $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ is a two-sided closed ideal in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$. It is well known that there is an isometric isomorphism $E: \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ such that $E\iota_{\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))}K = K$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$. Thus $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ is M-embedded. Since \mathcal{M}_0 is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$, \mathcal{M}_0 is also M-embedded [12, Theorem III.1.6]. Hence, since we have shown that $I^{**}: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is an isometric isomorphism for which $I^{**}\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m = m$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_0$, it follows that \mathcal{M}_0 is an M-ideal in \mathcal{M} .

Finally, if $V: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is another isometric isomorphism such that $V\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m = m$, $m \in \mathcal{M}_0$, then $F = V^{-1}I^{**}: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^{**}$ is an isometric isomorphism such that $F\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0} = \iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}$. However, since \mathcal{M}_0 is M-embedded, F must be obtained as the bitranspose, $F = G^{**}$, of an isometric isomorphism $G: \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$ [12, Proposition III.2.2]. But then $G = \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_0}$, since

$$m^*(Gm) = G^*m^*(m) = F\iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}m(m^*) = m^*(m), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}_0, m^* \in \mathcal{M}_0^*.$$

Hence $F = \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_0^{**}}$ and so $V = I^{**}$. \square

The predual of a space of Hankel operators usually has an abstract description as a projective tensor product [5, 7, 10]. In the present context, let

$$X = \left\{ c : c = \sum_{\text{finite}} a_k \star b_k, \ a_k, b_k \text{ finite sequences} \right\},$$

and equip X with the norm

$$\|c\|_X = \inf \sum_{\text{finite}} \|a_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})},$$

where the infimum is taken over all **finite** representations of c . By writing $c = c \star (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ it is clear that $\|c\|_X \leq \|c\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}$ for $c \in X$.

We define the projective tensor product space $\mathcal{X} = \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \hat{\star} \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with respect to Dirichlet convolution as the Banach space completion of X . It is essentially definition that $\mathcal{X}^* \simeq \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 7. For $m = M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$, let

$$Jm(c) = (\alpha, c), \quad c \in X.$$

Then Jm extends to a bounded functional on \mathcal{X} for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$, and $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ is an isometric isomorphism.

Proof. Let $m \in \mathcal{M}$. If $c \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, choose a representation $c = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \star b_k$, where a_k and b_k are finite sequences for every k , and

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \|a_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \|c\|_X + \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$|Jm(c)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \langle M(\alpha)a_k, b_k \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \right| \leq \|m\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} (\|c\|_X + \varepsilon).$$

Hence $\|Jm\|_{\mathcal{X}^*} \leq \|m\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))}$. Choosing finite sequences a and b such that $\|a\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} = \|b\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} = 1$ and $\langle M(\alpha)a, b \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} > \|m\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} - \varepsilon$, and letting $c = a \star b$ gives that

$$\|m\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} - \varepsilon < \|Jm\|_{\mathcal{X}^*} \|c\|_X \leq \|Jm\|_{\mathcal{X}^*}.$$

Hence J is an isometry.

The inclusion of finite sequences into X extends to a contractive map $E: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Let $\ell \in \mathcal{X}^*$ and let $c \in X$. Then $\ell(c) = (\alpha, c)$, where $\alpha = E^*\ell \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Then $m = M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$, since $\ell \in \mathcal{X}^*$. Clearly $Jm = \ell$ and thus J is onto. \square

Theorem 3. For every $c \in X$, let

$$Lc(m) = (\alpha, c), \quad m = M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0.$$

Then L extends to an isometric isomorphism $L: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^*$, and

$$L^*U^{-1} = J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$$

is the isometric isomorphism of Lemma 7. Here $U: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the isometric isomorphism of Theorem 2.

Proof. The quickest proof proceeds by noting that \mathcal{M}_0^* is a strongly unique predual of \mathcal{M}_0^{**} , since \mathcal{M}_0 is M-embedded. This implies that the isometric isomorphism $JU: \mathcal{M}_0^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ is the adjoint of an isometric isomorphism $E: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^*$, $E^* = JU$. But then, for $c \in X$ and $m = M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad Ec(m) &= \iota_{\mathcal{M}_0} m(Ec) = E^* \iota_{\mathcal{M}_0} m(c) = JU \iota_{\mathcal{M}_0} m(c) \\ &= Jm(c) = (\alpha, c) = Lc(m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $L = E$, and thus L is an isometric isomorphism.

Alternatively, the weak*-weak* continuity of JU can be proven by hand. L clearly extends to a contractive operator $L: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^*$. The computation (7) shows that $JU \iota_{\mathcal{M}_0} = L^* \iota_{\mathcal{M}_0}$. Let $m^{**} \in \mathcal{M}_0^{**}$ and let $M(\alpha) = Um^{**}$.

From (5) we deduce that $m_r^{**} = \iota_{\mathcal{M}_0} M(\alpha_r) \rightarrow m^{**}$ weak* in \mathcal{M}_0^{**} . Hence $L^* m_r^{**} \rightarrow L^* m^{**}$ weak* in \mathcal{X}^* . On the other hand, for $c \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} JU m^{**}(c) &= (\alpha, c) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (\alpha_r, c) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} JU m_r^{**}(c) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} L^* m_r^{**}(c) = L^* m^{**}(c). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $JU = L^*$, and hence L is an isometric isomorphism. \square

Remark. In the notation of Theorem 2, $I^*c = Lc$ for $c \in X$. Theorem 3 hence completes the picture of Theorem 2 by giving an interpretation of the operator I^* .

Suppose that we had instead defined the projective tensor product space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \hat{\star} \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ as the sequence space

$$\mathcal{Y} = \left\{ c : c = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \star b_k, a_k, b_k \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}), \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \infty \right\},$$

normed by

$$\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \inf \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})},$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of c . One would like to know that $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}$. Indeed, it is not a priori clear that \mathcal{X} is a sequence space; or if \mathcal{X} is identifiable with a space of Dirichlet series, if considering multiplicative Hankel operators in that context. For \mathcal{Y} these properties are immediate.

Lemma 8. \mathcal{Y} is a Banach space.

Proof. Since $|(a \star b)(n)| \leq \|a\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}$ it is clear that

$$e_n(c) = c(n), \quad c \in \mathcal{Y},$$

defines an element $e_n \in \mathcal{Y}^*$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$ if and only if $c = 0$.

Suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k$ is an absolutely convergent series in \mathcal{Y} . Then there are double sequences $(a_{k,j})$ and $(b_{k,j})$ such that $c_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} \star b_{k,j}$ for every k and

$$\sum_{k,j=1}^{\infty} \|a_{k,j}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_{k,j}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \infty.$$

Then $c = \sum_{k,j=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} b_{k,j}$ is an element of \mathcal{Y} and

$$\|c - \sum_{k=1}^N c_k\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|a_{k,j}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_{k,j}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k$ converges in \mathcal{Y} to c . Thus \mathcal{Y} is complete. \square

We now prove that $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}$. The details are similar to those of [25], where projective tensor products of spaces of holomorphic functions were considered. Note that X is contractively contained in \mathcal{Y} .

Proposition 9. *The inclusion $V: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ extends to an isometric isomorphism $V: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.*

Proof. We make the following preliminary observation. Since for every $0 < r < 1$,

$$D_r(a \star b) = D_r a \star D_r b, \quad \|D_r\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))} \leq 1,$$

D_r defines a bounded operator $D_r: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$,

$$\|D_r\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})} \leq 1.$$

Furthermore, since $D_r \rightarrow \text{id}_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}$ SOT on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, it follows that $\|D_r c - c\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|D_r c - c\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ for every $c \in X$. Hence $D_r \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{X}}$ SOT on \mathcal{X} as $r \rightarrow 1$.

As in Lemma 8, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$e_n(c) = c(n), \quad c \in X,$$

extends to a functional $e_n \in \mathcal{X}^*$ with $\|e_n\|_{\mathcal{X}^*} \leq 1$. We show now that (e_n) is a complete sequence in \mathcal{X}^* with respect to the weak* topology. Suppose that $c \in \mathcal{X}$ and that $e_n(c) = 0$ for all n . Pick a sequence (c_k) in X such that $c_k \rightarrow c$ in \mathcal{X} . Then for fixed $r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_r c\|_{\mathcal{X}} &\leq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\|D_r(c - c_k)\|_{\mathcal{X}} + \|D_r c_k\|_{\mathcal{X}}) \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_r c_k\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_r c_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_k \rightarrow c$ in \mathcal{X} and $e_n \in \mathcal{X}^*$, we have that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_k(n) = e_n(c) = 0$ for every n . Furthermore, $|c_k(n)| \leq \|e_n\|_{\mathcal{X}^*} \|c_k\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|c_k\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ is uniformly bounded in k and n . Hence it follows by the dominated convergence theorem that $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|D_r c_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} = 0$ and thus that $D_r c = 0$. Since $D_r c \rightarrow c$ in \mathcal{X} as $r \rightarrow 1$ we conclude that $c = 0$. Therefore (e_n) is complete.

Hence \mathcal{X} is a space of sequences. More precisely, since every evaluation e_n is a bounded functional on \mathcal{Y} as well, the extension $V: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of the inclusion map is given by

$$(8) \quad Vc = (e_n(c))_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad c \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The completeness of (e_n) implies that V is injective.

We next prove that V is onto. The argument is precisely as in [25], but we include it for completeness. For a sequence a and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a^m = (a(1), \dots, a(m), 0, \dots)$. Given $a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $\delta > 0$, choose a sequence (m_1, m_2, \dots) such that $\|a - a^{m_k}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \leq 2^{-k}$. Let $a_k = a^{m_{k+1}} - a^{m_k}$. Then, for sufficiently large K ,

$$a = a^{m_K} + \sum_{k=K}^{\infty} (a^{m_{k+1}} - a^{m_k}), \quad \sum_{k=K}^{\infty} \|a^{m_{k+1}} - a^{m_k}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \delta.$$

Hence we can write $a = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j$, where each a_j is a finite sequence and $\sum_j \|a_j\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \|a\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} + \delta$.

Given $c \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $(a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $(b_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$c = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \star b_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \varepsilon.$$

For each k , write, as in the preceding paragraph, $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j}$, $b_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{k,j}$, where each $a_{k,j}$ and $b_{k,j}$ is a finite sequence and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|a_{k,j}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \|a_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} + \delta_k, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|b_{k,j}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \|b_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} + \delta_k.$$

Here the δ_k are chosen so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\|a_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} + \delta_k) (\|b_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} + \delta_k) < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} + \varepsilon.$$

Then $c = \sum_{k,j,l=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} \star b_{k,l}$, and

$$\sum_{k,j,l=1}^{\infty} \|a_{k,j}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_{k,l}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_k\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} + \varepsilon < \|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + 2\varepsilon.$$

Relabeling, we have a representation $c = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \star b_n$ where a_n and b_n are finite sequences and $\sum_n \|a_n\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} \|b_n\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} < \|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + 2\varepsilon$. Let $c_N = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \star b_n$. Then $c_N \rightarrow c$ in \mathcal{Y} , and furthermore (c_N) is a Cauchy sequence in X , hence has a limit \tilde{c} in \mathcal{X} . By continuity of the functionals e_n on both \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{X} , we find in view of (8) that $V\tilde{c} = c$. Hence V is onto.

Furthermore, since V is contractive,

$$\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \|\tilde{c}\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|c_N\|_X < \|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + 2\varepsilon.$$

We already showed that V is injective, so that \tilde{c} is uniquely defined by c . On the other hand, ε is arbitrary. We conclude that $\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \|\tilde{c}\|_{\mathcal{X}}$. It follows that V is an isometric isomorphism. \square

References

- [1] ADAMJAN, V. M.; AROV, D. Z.; KREIN, M. G. Analytic properties of the Schmidt pairs of a Hankel operator and the generalized Schur-Takagi problem. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **86(128)** (1971), 34–75. [MR0298453](#), [Zbl 0248.47019](#), doi: [10.1070/sm1971v015n01abeh001531](#).
- [2] ALEMAN, ALEXANDRU; OLSEN, JAN-FREDRIK; SAKSMAN, EERO. Fatou and brothers Riesz theorems in the infinite-dimensional polydisc. *J. Anal. Math.* **137** (2019), no. 1, 429–447. [MR3938009](#), [arXiv:1512.01509](#), doi: [10.1007/s11854-019-0006-x](#).
- [3] ALFSEN, ERIK M.; EFFROS, EDWARD G. Structure in real Banach spaces. I, II. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **96** (1972), 98–128; *ibid.* (2) **96** (1972), 129–173. [MR0352946](#), [Zbl 0248.46019](#), doi: [10.2307/1970895](#).

- [4] ARAZY, J.; FISHER, S. D.; PEETRE, J. Möbius invariant function spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **363** (1985), 110–145. [MR0814017](#), [Zbl 0566.30042](#).
- [5] ARCOZZI, NICOLA; ROCHBERG, RICHARD; SAWYER, ERIC; WICK, BRETT D. Bilinear forms on the Dirichlet space. *Anal. PDE* **3** (2010), no. 1, 21–47. [MR2663410](#), [Zbl 1262.30066](#), [arXiv:0811.4107](#), doi: [10.2140/apde.2010.3.21](#).
- [6] AXLER, SHELDON; BERG, I. DAVID; JEWELL, NICHOLAS; SHIELDS, ALLEN. Approximation by compact operators and the space $H^\infty + C$. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **109** (1979), no. 3, 601–612. [MR0534765](#), [Zbl 0399.47024](#), doi: [10.2307/1971228](#).
- [7] COIFMAN, R. R.; ROCHBERG, R.; WEISS, GUIDO. Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **103** (1976), no. 3, 611–635. [MR0412721](#), [Zbl 0326.32011](#), doi: [10.2307/1970954](#).
- [8] COIFMAN, RONALD R.; WEISS, GUIDO. Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), no. 4, 569–645. [MR0447954](#), [Zbl 0358.30023](#), doi: [10.1090/s0002-9904-1977-14325-5](#).
- [9] DIXMIER, J. Les fonctionnelles linéaires sur l'ensemble des opérateurs bornés d'un espace de Hilbert. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **51** (1950), 387–408. [MR0033445](#), [Zbl 0036.35801](#), doi: [10.2307/1969331](#).
- [10] FERGUSON, SARAH H.; LACEY, MICHAEL T. A characterization of product BMO by commutators. *Acta Math.* **189** (2002), no. 2, 143–160. [MR1961195](#), [Zbl 1039.47022](#), doi: [10.1007/bf02392840](#).
- [11] GODEFROY, GILLES. Sous-espaces bien disposés de L^1 -applications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **286** (1984), no. 1, 227–249. [MR0756037](#), [Zbl 0521.46012](#), doi: [10.2307/1999403](#).
- [12] HARMAND, P.; WERNER, D.; WERNER, W. M -ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1547. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1993. viii+387 pp. ISBN: 3-540-56814-X. [MR1238713](#), [Zbl 0789.46011](#), doi: [10.1007/bfb0084355](#).
- [13] HARTMAN, PHILIP. On completely continuous Hankel matrices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1958), 862–866. [MR0108684](#), [Zbl 0090.09203](#), doi: [10.2307/2033318](#).
- [14] HELSON, HENRY. Hankel forms and sums of random variables. *Studia Math.* **176** (2006), no. 1, 85–92. [MR2263964](#), [Zbl 1108.43003](#), doi: [10.4064/sm176-1-6](#).
- [15] HELSON, HENRY. Hankel forms. *Studia Math.* **198** (2010), no. 1, 79–84. [MR2640082](#), [Zbl 1229.47042](#), doi: [10.4064/sm198-1-5](#).
- [16] HOLMES, RICHARD; SCRANTON, BRUCE; WARD, JOSEPH. Approximation from the space of compact operators and other M -ideals. *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 259–269. [MR0394301](#), [Zbl 0332.47024](#), doi: [10.1215/s0012-7094-75-04224-6](#).
- [17] LACEY, MICHAEL; TERWILLEGGER, ERIN. Hankel operators in several complex variables and product BMO. *Houston J. Math.* **35** (2009), no. 1, 159–183. [MR2491875](#), [Zbl 1163.47024](#), [arXiv:math/0310348](#).
- [18] LACEY, MICHAEL T.; TERWILLEGGER, ERIN; WICK, BRETT D. Remarks on product VMO *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), no. 2, 465–474. [MR2176015](#), [Zbl 1130.42022](#), [arXiv:math/0405097](#), doi: [10.1090/s0002-9939-05-07974-8](#).
- [19] LI, FENGYING. Essential norm of Toeplitz operators and Hankel operators on the weighted Bergman space. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **75** (2013), no. 4, 517–525. [MR3032666](#), [Zbl 1287.47026](#), [arXiv:1210.4793](#), doi: [10.1007/s00020-012-2024-2](#).
- [20] LUECKING, DANIEL H. The compact Hankel operators form an M -ideal in the space of Hankel operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1980), no. 2, 222–224. [MR0565343](#), [Zbl 0437.46043](#), doi: [10.2307/2043239](#).
- [21] NEHARI, ZEEV. On bounded bilinear forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **65** (1957), 153–162. [MR0082945](#), [Zbl 0077.10605](#), doi: [10.2307/1969670](#).

- [22] ORTEGA-CERDÀ, JOAQUIM; SEIP, KRISTIAN. A lower bound in Nehari's theorem on the polydisc. *J. Anal. Math.* **118** (2012), no. 1, 339–342. [MR2993031](#), [Zbl 1276.32005](#), [arXiv:1107.0175](#), doi:[10.1007/s11854-012-0038-y](#).
- [23] PERFEKT, KARL-MIKAEL. Duality and distance formulas in spaces defined by means of oscillation. *Ark. Mat.* **51** (2013), no. 2, 345–361. [MR3090201](#), [Zbl 1283.46011](#), [arXiv:1110.6766](#), doi:[10.1007/s11512-012-0175-7](#).
- [24] PERFEKT, KARL-MIKAEL; PUSHNITSKI, ALEXANDER. On Helson matrices: moment problems, non-negativity, boundedness, and finite rank. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **116** (2018), no. 1, 101–134. [MR3747045](#), [Zbl 06836089](#), [arXiv:1611.03772](#), doi:[10.1112/plms.12068](#).
- [25] RICHTER, STEFAN; SUNDBERG, CARL. Weak products of Dirichlet functions. *J. Funct. Anal.* **266** (2014), 5270–5299. [MR3177336](#), [Zbl 1303.30050](#), doi:[10.1016/j.jfa.2014.02.005](#).
- [26] SARASON, DONALD. Algebras of functions on the unit circle. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1973), 286–299. [MR0324425](#), [Zbl 0257.46079](#), doi:[10.1090/s0002-9904-1973-13144-1](#).
- [27] SARASON, DONALD. Functions of vanishing mean oscillation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **207** (1975), 391–405. [MR0377518](#), [Zbl 0319.42006](#), doi:[10.2307/1997184](#).
- [28] WU, ZHI JIAN. The predual and second predual of W_α . *J. Funct. Anal.* **116** (1993), no. 2, 314–334. [MR1239074](#), [Zbl 0809.47024](#), doi:[10.1006/jfan.1993.1115](#).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF READING, READING
RG6 6AX, UNITED KINGDOM
k.perfekt@reading.ac.uk