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In the widely spread Historia Regum Britanniae by Geoffrey of Monmouth (1138),¹ which survives in about 200 manuscripts, Merlin's magic helps King Utherpandragon to fulfill his love for the duchess of Tintagel, by giving him the duke's appearance. Ygerne is deceived, but this union eventually leads to the conception of Arthur. The episode has been discussed in relation with its sources: according to E. Faral, the story could be inspired by the love of Jupiter, who with the help of Mercury takes the shape of Amphitryon to deceive his wife Alcmene, who gives birth to Hercules.² For L. Mathey-Maille, the story of the conception of Arthur could come from a legendary or folkloric source from Celtic origins with a later moralising addition of a biblical reference.³ The passage has also been analysed through mythical structures like the three Dumezilian indo-european functions which involve fertility, war and religion. J. Grisward has shown that in order to lie with Ygerne, Uther successively offers her gold and jewelry, engages in military conflict, and eventually resorts to magic.⁴ Politically, because of Merlin's essential participation and privileged connection with the supernatural, Uther cannot assume alone a full sovereignty, but he needs Merlin's assistance.⁵ The change brought by the author of the prose Merlin to the story of Arthur's conception makes it fit even better with the myth of the hero's birth examined by O. Rank:⁶ after an extraordinary birth, and an obscure childhood, the hero makes a triumphant come back and becomes king.
This paper will examine the adaptation and variations at work in the later French or Anglo-Norman rewritings of this episode within the chronicles tradition. The corpus includes Wace's *Brut* (1155),\textsuperscript{7} based on the first variant version of the *Historia Regum Britanniae*,\textsuperscript{8} but also a wider range of later adaptations, in verse and in prose, which have otherwise been little studied. The story, retold in the Anglo-Norman prose *Bruts*, was widely spread in England from the 14\textsuperscript{th} c. onwards,\textsuperscript{9} with Peter of Langtoft's *Chronicle*,\textsuperscript{10} John of Canterbury's *Polistorie*,\textsuperscript{11} Anglo-Norman genealogical rolls of the kings of Britain, Thomas Gray's *Scalacronica*.\textsuperscript{12} On the Continent, the 13\textsuperscript{th} c. prose romance of *Merlin*\textsuperscript{13} considerably expands the episode,\textsuperscript{14} but is not used as a source for the later Arthurian chronicles. The *Chroniques des Bretons*,\textsuperscript{15} an anonymous translation of the *Historia Regum Britanniae*, were written at the beginning of the 15\textsuperscript{th} century at the court of Burgundy.\textsuperscript{16}

The relation between Utherpandragon and Ygerne does not follow a typical situation associated with the development of courtly love.\textsuperscript{17} All the adaptations of the *Historia Regum Britanniae* involve Merlin's magic tricks in the satisfaction of the sovereign's will and desire, at the expense of a deceived woman. As Claude Buridant reminds us, medieval translation is never literal (except for the Bible) but tends to transmit the deep meaning of a text, rather than its form, which can involve glossing it, and induces all kinds of choices, additions and transformations.\textsuperscript{18} Examining the textual and narrative choices of the chronicles and including the visual dimension of genealogical rolls, I will study how different strategies of translation and rewriting of the Galfridian material, acknowledge and deal with the ethical problem raised by the story of Uther and Ygerne, questioning the use of magic by the character of Merlin and the implications of adultery in terms of royal succession and legitimacy.

Merlin's problematic use of magic: from enchantments to necromancy

From a moral perspective, Uther's adultery with Ygerne constitutes a problematic episode, despite the fact that it induces a better good in the conception of Arthur.\textsuperscript{19} Ygerne is deceived by Merlin's trick, Uther's appearance and his duplicitous speech. Canon law allowed for the legitimation of an illegitimate child if his parents later contracted a valid
marriage. But in the prose *Merlin*, Arthur is not acknowledged by Uther: he is given away by the king and becomes the foster son of Antor, under Merlin's supervision. As a result, Arthur is not recognized as the legitimate child of the royal couple, a key political and narrative aspect in the prose *Merlin* and its Vulgate Sequel.\(^{21}\)

Merlin's magic powers result from his demonic ascendency and magical conception, and although he uses them to serve the Kings of Britain, the circumstances of Arthur's conception and the use of human metamorphosis immediately cast a shadow on the morality of these practices. Merlin's magic allows Uther to take the appearance of Gorlois and thus deceive Ygerne and her men. His supernatural powers lead to varied degrees of emphasis, with appreciation or condemnation, in the different adaptations of the story. From a clerical perspective, powers of metamorphosis are highly suspicious in that shape-shifting is a diabolic attribute and reminds us of Merlin's demonic conception. He uses this gift repeatedly in the prose *Merlin*, but only once in the Historia, in this episode leading to the conception of Arthur. Merlin himself is the son of an incubus, a demon able to lie with a woman. Arthur's illegitimate conception by a transformed Uther is reminiscent of Merlin's,\(^{22}\) and is as much the product of the king's as of Merlin's action.

For medieval theologians, metamorphosis was considered as sacrilegious in that while God created man in his own image,\(^{23}\) the transformation was an appropriation of God's power: it changed the order of a perfect creation and established a discrepancy between being and appearance. The belief in metamorphosis was considered as the survival of pagan superstitions.\(^{24}\) In the story of Uther and Ygerne, Merlin gives the king the appearance of Duke Gorlois (dare tibi *figuram* Gorlois) and takes himself another figure (alia *specie*, p. 187). The nouns ‘figura’ and ‘species’ both refer to a modification of the external shape of the characters, rather than to an inner change: their identity remains the same despite their outer transformation.\(^{25}\) The *Historia* does not dwell on the magic performed by Merlin, but rather stresses its efficiency. Merlin uses new techniques (utendum est tibi nouis artibus, p. 187),\(^{26}\) including personal medicines or drugs (medicaminis meis) which give Uther, Ulfin and himself the appearance of Gorlois and his men, Jordan and Bretel. The First Variant version states more explicitly the use of magic:
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Novi medicaminibus meis mutare hominum figuras ita ut per omnia is videatur similis esse cuius formam arte magica impressero VV p. 134

[I learnt to change the aspects of men by my potions so that it is perceived by all as being similar to the shape of what I will reproduce through the magical art]

It highlights the role of Merlin in the transformation through the use of words from the family of (trans)-mutare: ‘mutare’, ‘transmutatus’, ‘transmutabo’ (p. 134).

Wace’s Brut also stresses Merlin’s prodigious gifts, and active role, detailing all the aspects of the transformation which give Uther ‘Le cors, le vis, la cuntenance / Et la parole e la semblance’ of Gorlois:

‘Mais jo te mettrai bien dedenz
Par nuvels medicinemenz;
Figure d’ume sai muer
E l’un en l’autre tresturner,
L’un faz bien a l’autre sembler
Et l’un faz bien a l’autre per’. [...]
Merlin fist ses enchantemenz,
Vis lur mua e vestemenz. RB ll. 8701-28

[‘But I will easily get you inside, using new potions: I know how to change a man’s face so that one turns into another, the first seeming to be the second and the second identical to the first’. [...] Merlin performed his enchantment and changed their faces and clothes.]

The use of the binary structure of the octosyllable, with the recurring use of the balancing ‘l’un... l’autre’, reinforces the idea of duplication. The transformation operated by Merlin is only external but it skillfully replicates the aspect and manner of speech of the characters involved, and even their clothing is matched. Variants in Wace’s text express different attitudes towards Merlin’s magical powers. Manuscript F, London, BL, Add. 32125, replaces line 8702, mentioning the
medicinemenz’ used by Merlin, by ‘art’ and ‘enchantement’, highlighting his mastery of supernatural means. In manuscript P, BL, Add. 45103, Merlin reassures Uther about the efficiency of the transformation: ‘Ja n’i seras aperceüz / ne pur alter hume mescreüz’ (ll. 8721-22), but these lines are omitted in manuscripts D, Durham, Cathedral Library, C iv 27 (I) and L, Lincoln, Cathedral Library, 104,27 maybe because they add moral ambiguity to the wizard’s behaviour.28

In the 14th c. Scalacrónica, by Thomas Gray, Ulfin praises for Uther Merlin’s art and knowledge (‘ly counsailla q’il feist quer Merlyn, que de maynt art estoit sachaunt’, f. 68b). As in Wace, an enchantment enables the transformation of Uther, providing him with Gorlois’ speech and composure: ‘[Merlin] dist sez enchantementz. Lor semblance fust al hor mue’ (f. 68va).

Despite this example, 14th century chroniclers seem more cautious than 12th century writers, omitting any direct reference to magical art or enchantment, even when they describe the transformations operated by Merlin. The Anglo-Norman prose Brut mentions Merlin’s ‘art’, but does not dwell on its exact nature, highlighting outer changes by the use of the word ‘figure’ and the systematic repetition of ‘semblance’ (meaning both appearance and deceit):

Merlin par sa art qil sauoit changa la figure le roi e sa semblance a la semblance le counte, e la semblance Ulfin a la semblance Jordan Cadyn, e sa semblance demene a la semblance Bertel Barin. PB p. 154, ll. 1547-49

[Through the art he knew, Merlin changed the king’s appearance to the appearance of the earl, and the appearance of Ulfin to the appearance of Jordan Cadyn, and his own appearance to the appearance of Bertel Barin.]

Peter of Langtoft was an Augustinian canon at Bridlington Priory in Yorkshire at the end of the 13th and at the beginning of the 14th century. In his Chronicle, Merlin promises Uther ‘Le duk sire Gorloyz te frai ressembler’, while Ulfin and himself take the identity of Jordan and Bretel: ‘Ulfyn serra Jordan [...] E joe serrai Britel’ (p. 138). Uther takes the appearance of the duke, ‘la semblance Gorloyz si ad revestuz’ (p. 138), but the text does not explain how Merlin operates. Uther goes
twice to Ygerne in the shape of Gorlois, during the siege of Tintagel and after the duke's death and the defeat of his troops. The second time, the duchess understands that she has been abused and victim of an illusion: ‘Tu m'as desceu’ (p. 140). After Ygerne agrees to marry him, Uther explains how he was able to lie with her. His confidence seems to assuage her: ‘li counta coment / Of ly avayt jeu, dont ele fu meyns dolement’ (p. 140), but it does not shed light on the use of magic and on the nature of Merlin's powers.

In the Polistorie, written around 1314 by the Benedictine monk of Christ Church, John of Canterbury, Merlin's wisdom allows him to perform the transformation (‘Par mes queintises vous sai donner la figure Gorlois, ici ke en totes choses li resemblereis’, ‘Merlin [ad] lures figures changes’, f. 17va-b). His knowledge seems to encompass his supernatural powers, as his military advice allows for the capture of the otherwise impregnable Tintagel (‘Uther se hasta oue se gens a Tintagel, si l'ad pris par le conseil Merlyn ke par force nel ust ja enquis, f. 17vb). The Anonimalle chronicle, written in the diocese of York, is even more concise as it describes how Merlin uses ‘medicines’ to change the ‘figure’ and ‘fourme’ (f. 60) of Uther, Ulfin and himself.

By contrast with the texts who plainly refer to Merlin's art and describe his enchantments, even when they do not elaborate on them, other chronicles characterize Merlin's action as ‘nigromance’, black magic. Despite its concision, the Petit Bruit, written by Rauf de Boun, a Canon of St Paul's cathedral in London, for Henry de Lacy, earl of Lincoln, in 1309, gives a thorough account of Uther's relationship with Ygerne. In this work, Uther's strategy, to summon his court in order to bring Ygerne close to him, is first depicted as an ‘engyne’ (p. 11), a cunning trick unworthy of a king, and later as a ‘fauce cautele’ (p. 12), a fallacious pretext. Contrary to Geoffrey (p. 185) and Wace (l. 8646), who say that the siege led by Uther lasts a week, and to the prose Brut, where it lasts two weeks (p. 12), in the Petit Bruit, the king stalls for more than two years (‘i. aunz et .iii. semeynis, p. 12), until the castle's supplies are exhausted, and the duke and his wife transfer from Tintagel to Bodmound. This extension stresses the resistance of the duke, highlighting the need for Merlin: ‘Ne unques n'out le roy, cco dit le Bruit, cele duchesse conquist, sy non par la queyntyse un Merleyne nigromoucien a l'hour, qi le transfigure a la semblance l'avantdit duk’ (p. 12). Merlin, like Uther, is characterised by his cunning (‘queyntyse’
and ‘ongeyn’), but contrary to the ‘enchantment’ of Wace, ‘nigromance’ unequivocally designates black arts invoking the dead and evil spirits and draws us back to the character's demonic origin.  

The *Chroniques des Bretons*, a French translation for the Burgundian court of a Latin source considered as historical, is quite influenced by the French romance tradition. The stylistic elaboration at work in the story of Uther and Ygerne probably fitted the tastes of a 15th c. lay and aristocratic audience interested in both narrative literature and lyric poetry who valued rhetorical ornaments in historical writings. The passage relative to the transformation favours amplification and variety of expression in its description, using the nouns ‘forme’, ‘figure’, and ‘espece’, as well as derivatives of verbs of change (‘muer’) and perception (‘sembler’, ‘paraître’):

A ceste chose parfaire nous convendra user de *soubtillite non acoustumnee et des ars merveilleux* […]. Je scay certaines choses par quoy je feray que tu auras proprement la *fourme et figure* du duc Gorlois par telle maniere que tu le *ressambleras* en toutes choses et se ainsy te plaist je *transmueray* apres par *apparance* Ulphin, ton chevalier, en la *semblance* de Jourdain de Tintagol [...] et moy-meismes me feray *apparoir* estre tel que Briselle [...]. [Le roy] se soubmist es *ars ou cautelles* de Merlin, sy fut sans delay *transformez par lapparence en lespece ou samblance* du duc Gorlois, et Ulphin en Jourdain, et Merlin en Briselle, par telle *fourme* que jamais ne feust congneu quilz ne feussent les dessus nommez, par *samblance de viaire et de contenances. CB* p. 337-38

[In order to achieve this, we will need to use an exceptional subtlety and marvellous arts. [...] I know things through which I will make you take the exact shape and figure of Duke Gorlois, so that you will look like him in every way, and if it pleases you, I will then change the appearance of your knight Ulfin in the likeness of Jourdain of Tintagel [...] and I will make myself appear like Briselle [...]. [The king] submitted himself to the art or cunning of Merlin, so that without delay his appearance was transformed in the form or likeness of Duke Gorlois, and Ulfin in Jourdain, and Merlin in Briselle, in such
a shape that it could never be realised that they were not those above mentioned, because of the appearance of their face and demeanour.]

Merlin's prodigious art is associated with subtlety (‘soubillite’), and cunning (‘cautelle’), but this cleverness is also presented as devilish when at the end of the passage, Merlin's art is called ‘ingromance’ CB p. 342. In this later text, the negative implications of Merlin's magic contrast with the more positive presentation of his supernatural gifts in Geoffrey and Wace and with the more neutral description of the transformations in the Brut chronicles. The magic operated by Merlin, depicted with ingenuity in Geoffrey, Wace, and Peter of Langtoft, leads to more cautious introductions in 14th century chronicles, while the Petit Bruit and Chroniques des Bretons do not hesitate to relate them to satanic arts. In the Petit Bruit, Merlin's involvement in the metamorphosis reminds the reader of his devilish origins and spreads the responsibility of the sin committed by the king. The abrupt end of the story, which falls back on the structure of the historical narrative, with a change to Arthur's reign, contrasts with Merlin, which develops the moral resolution of the story by the idea of a divine plan leading to the conception of Arthur and by the depiction of Uther's good death.

Royal adultery and the issue of illegitimacy

The suspicion related to Merlin's use of magic and transformation is not the only problem raised by the story of Uther and Ygerne. In the first Variant version of the Historia Regum Britanniae, the religious and moral background of Uther's adultery -lust being one of the seven deadly sins-, is more clearly stated than in the Vulgate version. At the beginning of the episode, the narrator alludes to the mythological representation of the goddess Discord raising evil passions among the guests and suggests an intervention of the Devil himself:

Sed quia in conuiuiis tetra solet esse libido insidatrix et inimica leticie, nequaquam preterire uoluit hostis humani generis quin huic interesset conuiuio. VV p. 132
[But as there is usually among the guests a horrible desire, treacherous and hostile to the joy, the Enemy of the human species did not want at all to overlook the occasion brought by this banquet.]

The text also makes a parallel with the story of David and Bathsheba, attributing the origin of this passion to Satan. Considering that the First Variant usually tends to abridge the Vulgate version, these small additions show the author's interest for this passage and support the idea that details unique to the Variant version often lend it ‘a tone more markedly pious or christianising than the Vulgate’: as shown by Neil Wright, it has ‘independent biblical borrowings’ and ‘additional religious emphasis or content’.35

Quam cum ex aduerso respexisset rex tamquam Dauid in Bersabee, subito Sathana mediante incaluit et postpositis omnibus curam amoris sui totam in eam uertit...
VV p. 132

[Because the king, who was opposite her, was watching her, as David did Bathsheba, Satan inflamed him, and leaving aside everything else, David turned all his loving attention towards her.]

If Uther is clearly guilty of lechery and adultery, Arthur's illegitimacy is not entirely clear in the chronicles. In Wace in particular, there is certain confusion relative to the exact moment of the conception of Arthur,36 because of the ambiguous use of the definite article in the second reference: ‘Le reis ot mult Ygerne amee, / Senz ensuite l'ad espusee. / La nuit ot un fiz conceü...’ (RB ll. 8813-15). If Arthur is conceived on the wedding night, rather than the night of the transformation and adultery (ll. 8733-34),37 he is the legitimate fruit of a rightful union, a setting which removes the legal and political issues raised by illegitimacy. For Laurence Mathey-Maille, this duplication and the related confusion could come from an interference with the story of David and Bathsheba. The biblical couple's first son dies because it was conceived in sin (only later do they produce the future King Salomon, within the frame of their rightful marriage).38
The Variant version of the *Historia* does not mention Uther and Ygerne's reciprocal feelings, as in the Vulgate version, but it adopts a more legalistic perspective regarding their union. It stresses that the wedding is celebrated in a legitimate and magnificent manner (*Nuptiis igitur legittime atque magnificē celebratis*, p. 135). In a similar way, the prose *Merlin* does not dwell on Uther's passion for Ygerne but develops the legal issues related to Gorlois's abrupt departure from court, an unrepentant act of rebellion directly inspired by the personal outrage he endured on behalf of his wife. This text's interest for judicial matters appears in the long description of the peace negotiations following the death of the duke and leading to the wedding of the king and the widowed duchess (*M*, p. 196-261).

The judicial and moral aspect of the story reappears in the *Chroniques des Bretons*, though they are based on the Vulgate version of the *Historia*. For Géraldine Veysseyre, the *Chroniques* make explicit the underlying moral and didactic teachings from Geoffrey's narrative, an explanatory perspective widespread in 15th c. historical literature. As in Wace, Uther expresses both the will to redeem his sin and his enduring love for Ygerne. The allusion to his salvation suggests that the wedding enables an ethical, religious and judicial resolution of the episode:

> Son cœur disoit: ‘Maintenant auray-je ce que tant ay desire; maintenant sera complee a moy par la loy de marriage Ygerne qui est mon seul confort et le refuge de mon salut’. *CB* p. 343

[His heart said: ‘Now will I have all I had so much desired; now Ygerne, who is my only comfort and the shelter of my salvation, will be united with me through the wedding law’.]

The concern regarding Uther's adultery echoes the moral condemnation of the episode indicated by the Variant version of the *Historia*, but contrary to this text, it affects the conclusion rather than the introduction of the story. If the *Chroniques* elaborate on Uther's feelings for Ygerne, their moral ending enables the religious validation of a union started as a transgressive passion. The adultery is not publicly revealed and its perpetrator is the king himself, two factors which affect the way it is integrated in the history of the kings of Britain. Even if the
author of the *Chronicles* is not a churchman, the study of this passage seems to confirm that he was characterised by his ‘orthodox piety’ and ‘given to moralizing’.42

In the *Chroniques des Bretons*, as Merlin takes charge of the transformation, out of compassion and concern for the king, he endorses responsibility for the adultery, making it possible and allowing the conception of Arthur. The king does not seem entirely absolved by Merlin's intervention: Uther does not show any remorse for his actions but enjoys acting the part of the duke. During his night with Ygerne, he playfully endorses the role of the husband, expressing his love and concern to fool Ygerne and take advantage of her: ‘Et sy la *decepvoyt* le roy par laournement ses paroles lesquelles *il faignoyt mout cautlement*’, p. 338. He internalises the cunning trick provided by Merlin. When the aggrieved messengers from Gorlois' camp arrive at Tintagel, Uther keeps acting in a joyful manner, delivering an elaborate speech where he promises Ygerne to start negotiations with the king. Uther expresses no culpability regarding the adultery. His grief for the death of the duke is quickly replaced by excitement at the possibility of now having Ygerne for himself.43

In the prose *Merlin*, the culpability of Merlin, Uther and Ulfin is more clearly stated:

‘Ulfins est auques bien quitez dou pechié que il ot des amors faire, mais je ne me sui mie aquitez dou pecié que j’ai de la dame et de l’engendreure que ele a dedanz soi, et si ne set de cui’. Et li rois responst: ‘Vos estes si saiges et si prodom que vos vos en savroiz bien aquiter’. Et Merlins dist: ‘Il convendra que vos m’aïdiez’. M p. 247

[‘Ulfin is now well absolved of the sin of his involvement in the intercourse, but I am not absolved of my sin towards her in that she conceived a child and does not know whom it is from’. And the king answered: ‘You are so wise and such a good man that you will know how to get absolved of it’. And Merlin replied: ‘You will have to help me about this’.]

Ulfin's involvement is redeemed by his negotiation of the peace and wedding between Uther and Ygerne, but Merlin presents Uther's
abandoning of his illegitimate child as a way of clearing their sin, while he himself takes charge of the new born. The king's own culpability is not explicitly mentioned, but it is suggested by the need for him to share Merlin's penance. In the short version of *Merlin*, the character specifies that he is guilty of helping to deceive the lady: ‘je ne me suis mie acquités del peché que je aïdai la dame a decevoir’ (Pl. p. 742). Merlin only presents himself as an accessory to Uther who implicitly is the main actor of the deception. Nevertheless, the adultery is redeemed in that it allows, through the conception and rise of Arthur, the manifestation of God's plan.

While in the Anglo-Norman *Brut*, Uther dies quickly of poisoning, the idea of penance is again more developed in *Merlin*, where Uther's sin and guilt are brought forward when he asks on this deathbed about the child he abandoned to Merlin (*M* p. 258). Encouraged by Merlin, the king distributes his treasures and gives alms, thus preparing for a good Christian death, and possibly redeeming his adultery with Ygerne. Merlin witnesses this moral achievement and prophesies about Arthur's destiny: ‘Tu as fait molt bele fin, se la conscience est tele come la semblance, et je te di que tes filz Artus sera chiés de ton roiaume après toi par la vertu Jhesu Crist...’ (*M* p. 260). The match of ‘conscience’ and ‘semblance’ contrasts with the previous deception of Ygerne through the adoption of Gorlois's ‘semblance’. A capital sin has been committed, but it may be expiated.

Adultery redeemed? The birth and reign of Arthur in chronicles and genealogies

Although some texts raise the question of Uther's sin of adultery, in many 14th century chronicles, Arthur's illegitimacy does not seem to be problematic. Like Anna, Arthur is above all presented as the king of Uther, and this paternity is sufficient to enable a smooth transmission of power. In Peter of Langtoft's *Chronicle*, Arthur's conception is presented as the result of a collective scheme involving the king and his counselors, including Merlin: ‘La dame conceust la nouyt par lour dayler / Un fiz; quant fist nez Arthur le fist nomer’ (p. 138). However, the end of the episode, with the wedding and the birth of Arthur, seems to put aside the question of the adultery and the use of the supernatural.
The conclusion shows Uther and his marriage in a very positive light: ‘Le ray sustynt le regne en molt grant honur / E of dame Ingerne vesquit en amour’ (p. 140). Peace and stability, associated to the conquest of Ygerne, succeed to the king’s love sickness and to the ensuing schemes.

The *Petit Bruit* gives a very short conclusion to the episode, mentioning the conception of Arthur and the royal wedding: ‘meyme cele noit et par mesme cele ongeyn mena meyme cely Merlin le roy a la duchesse, et meyme cele noit fut Artour engendré, *ceo dit le Bruit*, et puis l’esposa ly roys’ (p. 12). The reference to the (grant) *Bruit* as a textual source stresses the importance of the passage in the light of Arthur’s birth. The retelling of Arthur’s conception works as a transition before the history of his reign.

In the *Polistorie*, the reference to Arthur’s conception immediately highlights his glorious destiny, and despite the shadows surrounding his conception, narratorial interventions stress that Arthur is Uther’s son (‘Cele nuyt cunsust Igerne de Uther le renomé Arthur *ke après fist meynt honurable chevalerie, si estoyt cremu e doté en totes teres cum ja mustray*, ‘si engendra [Uther] en li [Ygerne] une file ke fust appelé Anne, mes *lur premere engendrure, cum desuz est dist, fist Arthur*, f. 17va-b). The *Anonimalle Brut* also mentions both Arthur and Anna’s conception (‘s’en coucherent tute la nute ensemble, et mesme la nute ele [Ygerne] consut un enfant qe pus fut apelé Arthur’; ‘Et puis la esposa et de ly après engendra une feill Ama nomé’ f. 60v). Even if Arthur was conceived outside of marriage, his legitimacy is not questioned.
The Anglo-Norman genealogical rolls of the kings of Britain also stress the positive consequence of the adultery—the birth of Arthur. Oxford, Bodleian, Add. E 14 (early 14th c.) offers a synthetic but comprehensive summary: it evokes Uther's rapture for Ygerne, the circumstances of the duke's departure and the siege of Tintagel. Merlin's ‘art’ allows for the illegitimate conception of Arthur (whose name is introduced by two positive terms: ‘[Uther] parjut la cuntesse, si engendra le noble beer Arthur’); Gorlois' death finally enables the king to marry Ygerne. In this roll, the genealogy is structured on the right side by a diagram executed in red and brown ink containing the names of the successive British kings, with the commentary on the left side. Arthur features in two roundels, a double-entry system already set up by Matthew Paris and used above for King Constans. It is a common feature of genealogical rolls to represent twice the same character, first as son of a king, and then as sovereign, as if the acquisition of the royal dignity presented him with a new persona.
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Two other genealogical rolls, London, College of Arms 20/5 (beg. 14th c.)\textsuperscript{8} and BL Add. 8101 (14th-15th c.),\textsuperscript{9} which contain the same version of the commentary, although their \textit{mise en page} differs, also highlight Merlin's part in the adventure. The use of \textquote{cointise}, which echoes the earlier \textquote{sacointer}, referring to the relationship between Uther and Ygerne, suggests wisdom and cunning rather than the use of magic:

\begin{quote}
A cele feste Uter s'acointa oue la femme duk de Cornewaille, Igerne par noun, de la quele aprs par la cointise Merlin en le chastel de Tyntagel, en Cornewaille, il engendra le noble barun Arthur, a qi toutz les roiaumes decea les mountz puis furent suggetz (London, College of Arms 20/5).
\end{quote}

[At this feast, Uther met the wife of the Duke of Cornwall, called Ygerne, by whom later on, through Merlin's cunning, he conceived in the castle of Tintagel in Cornwall the noble and valiant Arthur, who later submitted all kingdoms beyond the mountains.]

The immediate insistence on Arthur's value and later accomplishments tones down the question of his illegitimacy. In BL Add 8101, the struggle of two different dynasties for the throne of Britain appears visually with the representation of roundels for Vortigern and Vortimer after those of Constans, Aurelius and Uther, and before that of Arthur. The lines linking the roundels of Uther and his son Arthur actually cross the roundel of Vortimer, who with his father, interfered in the dynastic succession of the sons of Constantine.

London, College of Arms 20/5 push the roundels for Vortigern and his son even more conspicuously to the left of the roll, a placement usually reserved to the text which marginalizes them, while the central disposition of the roundels for Constantine, his three sons and Arthur stresses their legitimacy as kings of Britain. Both rolls are characterised by the presence of a developed commentary on Arthur's reign, but this passage is not situated, like the others, along the genealogy. Maybe because of its unusual length, it is moved to the other side of the roll, a placement which breaks the traditional chronological order but distinguishes Arthur's reign and highlights its importance.
Figure 3: London, College of Arms 20/5
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Figure 4: London, College of Arms, 20/2
London, College of Arms, 20/2 (mid 14th c.), is more ornate, using blue, green and red paint, along with red ink in some of the medallions and for the initials. It occasionally features roundels with female characters, daughters and spouses (including Ronwen, but not Ygerne). In this roll, Arthur's adulterous conception is clearly stated, by contrast with that of his sister:

Cist roy engendra Arthur en Ingerne, femme au Gorlois, duk de Corwayle, que surmunta en beauté tuz les femmes de Britaine. Et après la mort Gorlois, la prist a femme et engendrat une fille qe out noun Anne (London, College of Arms, 20/2).

[This king begat Arthur in Ygerne, wife of Gorlois, Duke of Cornwall, who surpassed in beauty all women of Britain. And after the death of Gorlois, he married her and begat a daughter called Anne.]

The courtly reference to Ygerne's beauty, already mentioned in the Historia, seems to act as a kind of explanation for the adultery. Despite Arthur's problematic conception, visually, he appears as equal to Anne: their two symmetrical roundels stress their family relationship to Uther, with the statements ‘Arthur fu sun fiz’ and ‘Anna fu sa fille’. The next roundel is circled in blue and written in red ink, to emphasize Arthur's reign, and it adds genealogical information to the notion of political succession: ‘Arthur regna aprés sun pere Uterpendragon’. In this roll, Arthur's paternity is on the foreground and enables a smooth succession, despite the extra information provided by the textual commentary to the genealogy. While the first two rolls stress Merlin's intervention and praise Arthur's qualities, the last one presents both Arthur and Anna as Uther's children, passing over the distinctive circumstances of their conception to retain the idea of lineage continuity, a statement reinforced by the visual staging of the genealogies.

The green line running vertically in the centre of London, College of Arms, 20/2 has an esthetical function, as it is placed between in the gutter between the two columns of texts and echoed by two other sets of green and red lines framing the outer sides of the commentaries.
However, its presence stresses the idea of continuity in the succession of the kings of Britain, hiding dynastic ruptures and political rivalries. In this roll, the roundels for Vortigern and Vortimer are thus inserted between those of the two brothers and sons of Constantine, Constans and Aurelius. The vertical green line which connects the medallions and follows the chronological succession of the rulers of Britain is more visually striking than the plainer double lines traced in ink which indicate dynastic relations.

The conception of the famous King Arthur may explain the inclusion of the story of Uther's adultery in British chronicles, despite its transgressive dimension, and may also contribute to legitimate or redeem it in the longer term. In many chronicles, the adultery remains a secret, and Arthur is presented as the legitimate son of Uther and Ygerne, whose wedding is enabled by the duke's expedient death. But in the 13th c. prose Merlin and in Thomas Gray's Scalacronica (1355-69), this problematic conception, which could lead to a depreciation of Arthur's character, paradoxically contributes to the development of his legend, since it leads to the sword in the stone episode which reveals Arthur's divine election. The Scalacronica highlights the beneficial result of the intercourse between Uther and Ygerne, presenting Arthur in a heroic fashion: ‘Si conceust la dame enfant meisme la nuyt, qy puis fust Arthur ly Vaillaunt’ (f. 68va). However, the final wedding of Uther and Ygerne does not dispel the idea of secrecy and mystery, exposing the duchess's deception:

C'est aventure n'estoit pas descovry vivaunt Uter. [...] Merlyne attirast quaintement la volounté Igerne. Le roy Uter la prist en espuse, la fist royne. El en avoit grant merveil, supposaunt bien faucein, que ceo n'estoit pas soum marry q'ele avoit delee luy en Tyntagel, mais el ne savoir my le poynt vivaunt Uter. S, f. 68vb

[This adventure was not discovered in Uther's lifetime. [...] Merlyn skillfully manipulated Ygerne's will. King Uther took her as his wife and made her queen. She was marveling at this, suspecting a deception, because it was not her husband that she had next to her in Tintagel, but she did not learn the truth in Uther's lifetime.]
The repetition of ‘aventure’ points to the supernatural aspect of the story. Contrary to the other historiographical texts derived from the *Historia*, the *Scalacronica* includes, like *Merlin* and its *Sequel*, the episode of the sword in the stone, showing the influence of the romance tradition on the chronicle and Thomas Grays's desire to complete Geoffrey's narrative with other sources. Although Arthur is not adopted but presented as Uther's son, the British barons are suspicious of his paternity and legitimacy, hence the necessity for a miracle establishing his divine election.

Tot tenoit le roy Uter Arthur soun fitz, unqor les grantz du realme *en avooint dout*, por ceo que le temps de soune neisement estoit trop prés la solemnieté du matremoin le roy, et por ceo que l'aventure n'estoit pas discovert pur l'onor la royne vivaunt le roy... S, f. 69vb

[Even if King Uther held Arthur as his son, the barons of the kingdom were doubtful about it, since Arthur's birth had been too close to the celebration of the king's wedding, and during the king's lifetime, the adventure was not disclosed, in order to preserve the queen's honour.]

Only after Arthur's success at the sword trial, does Ulfin reveal the circumstances of his conception: ‘Adonques fust discouervert de Urfyne la maner de soun naisement’ (f. 70b). Written by a knight, rather than a clerk, and introduced by a dream vision, this chronicle, which betrays literary aspirations and influences, opens itself to romance when Arthur's birth is presented as a marvelous adventure leading to the miracle of the sword of the stone.

In both chronicles and romances, the story of Uther and Ygerne shows how human desire can threaten social, political and moral order, but the texts deal differently with the didactic or exemplary potential of this problematic episode. The moral condemnation of Uther's adultery with Ygerne appears in the Variant version of the *Historia* and in the *Chroniques des Bretons*, but the transformation which made it possible and demonstrates the enchanter's prodigious gifts is not explicitly condemned as such, and most 14th century chronicles and genealogical rolls of the Kings of Britain announce Arthur's glorious future from the
moment of his conception, discarding the question of his illegitimacy to emphasize the dynastic transmission of power, from father to son. The Scalacronica, influenced by Merlin and its Sequel, differs from contemporary chronicles by showing the difficulty of Arthur's accession to the throne, and the damage caused by the suspicion of illegitimacy, but this enables a dramatic revelation of Arthur's origins and divine election.

Conclusion

The success of Geoffrey's Historia throughout the Middle Ages went beyond the wide manuscript transmission of this text. The examination of the story of Uther and Ygerne in its successive French translations and adaptations up to the 15th century shows how these historical narratives retain and engage with both dramatic and supernatural elements. It highlights different ways of dealing with a problematic passage, which implies the use of magic, through the ambiguous character of Merlin, and involves a royal adultery raising the political question of illegitimacy. Most adaptors and translators of Geoffrey include this passage and contribute to its diffusion, although it does not appear in Nicolas Trevet's Chronicles (14th c.), a vernacular universal history which makes a selective use of the Historia.

The 12th c. versified Anglo-Norman adaptation by Wace for the Plantagenet kings tends to develop the courtly aspect of the story and the marvelous transformation performed by Merlin. This focus on romance and enchantments might explain why after Wace, only later Anglo-Norman prose chronicles started to show an interest in the legendary history of Britain.

The 14th c. prose Brut seems to favour a more condensed and factual historical narrative, although they keep the structure of the episode and the marvel produced by Merlin to help the fulfillment of Uther's desire. For J. Taylor, with the move of the chancery to York at the turn of the century, ‘the presence of the royal administration in the North acted as a stimulus to the production of a number of historical writings’, including the Anonimalle Chronicle and Peter of Langtoft's chronicle. In this verse text, as in the prose Brut, the wedding and the birth of Arthur provide a swift conclusion, leaving aside moral considerations. The Petit Bruit's treatment of the episode depreciates
the figure of Uther, who uses scheming and betrayal without presenting the moral repentance suggested by other texts. The importance given to the passage is all the more notable given the abridgement of this text. In parallel with the chronicles tradition, the Anglo-Norman genealogies of the kings of Britain retain the highlights of this complex episode, reaffirming the principle of dynastic succession. The *Scalacronica*'s account of Arthur's conception integrates history and romance, in accordance with their lay author's interest in literary and chivalric culture.

Translating the *Historia* in the 15th c. at the court of Burgundy shows the lasting influence and authority of Geoffrey of Monmouth. Using the source text for all vernacular narratives dealing with the matter of Britain enables an exploration of the historical aspect of Arthurian romances. The *Chroniques des Bretons*, transmitted in quality manuscripts, probably aiming at a noble or wealthy audience interested in both chronicles and romances, at a time when the hybridity of literary productions questions genre distinctions, develop the supernatural aspect of a metamorphosis due to the practice of black magic. They also question the transgressive dimension of this royal adultery from both a moral and a legal perspective. Paradoxically, it is the 13th c. prose romance of *Merlin* which seems to confront and resolve more directly the guilt of the king and Merlin.

Appendix: Medieval French and Anglo-Norman adaptations of Geoffrey of Monmouth's *Historia* (1138)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Century</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12th c.</td>
<td>Geoffrey of Monmouth's <em>Historia</em> (1138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wace's <em>Brut</em> (verse) (1155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th c.</td>
<td><em>Merlin</em> (prose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th c.</td>
<td>Anglo-Norman prose <em>Bruts</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peter of Langtoft's († 1305) <em>Chronicle</em> (verse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Petit Bruit</em> (prose), 1309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John of Canterbury's <em>Polistorie</em> (prose), 1314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Anonimalle Chronicle</em> (prose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anglo-Norman genealogies of the Kings of Britain (prose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thomas Gray's <em>Scalacronica</em> (prose) (1355-69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th c.</td>
<td><em>Chroniques des Bretons</em> (prose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&gt; Jean de Wavrin's <em>Chronique</em>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes


18 As is the case in our adaptations of the *Historia Regum Britanniae*, being faithful to the meaning of the original text can imply insisting on its didactic aim, with rhetorical ornaments aimed at seducing the reader and supporting moral amplifications. C. Buridant, ‘*Translatio Medievalis. Théorie et pratique de la traduction médiévale*, *Travaux de linguistique et littérature*, 21 (1983), p. 81-136.
19 In the *Vulgate Sequel*, the same contorted argument could be used to justify the love spell cast by Merlin on King Ban and the daughter of Agravain des Marais, leading to the conception of the future knight Hector (Pl. p. 1517-26). However, Merlin then takes entire responsibility and his intervention comes from an impulse rather than a long-planned decision.

21 Trachsler, 2000, p. 135-42.

22 In both cases, the mother is innocent and deceived, the day and hour of the conception is recorded, and both Merlin and Arthur accomplish wonders before the revelation of their extraordinary conception. Boutet, 2000, p. 36-37.


26 The ‘new arts’ could also hint to the new magical science introduced in the West by the Latin translation of Greek, Arabic and Hebrew astronomic and medical treatises.


28 These two manuscripts often present a shorter version of the text, omitting up to seven lines (ll. 8695-8702) in the passage describing the impregnable Tintagel, where Ygerne is kept. Contrary to J, BNF, fr. 1416, which seems to favour amplification, they prefer abridgment and concision: ‘D omet 2000 vers en couplets entre les vers 1 et 12000’. Wace. *Le Romande Brut*, 1938, vol. 1, p. XXIX.

29 Only the later parts of this chronicle (1307-1381) have been edited. *The Anonomalle chronicle 1333-1381 from a MS written at St Mary's Abbey, York*, ed. V.H. Galbraith (Manchester, Manchester University Press, 1927) and *The Anominalle Chronicle, 1307 to 1334 from Brotherton Collection MS 29*, ed. and trans. W.R. Childs and J. Taylor (York, Yorkshire Archaeological Society, 1991). Transcriptions are from BL, Royal 20 A XVIII, which according to Taylor's initial examination ‘preserves a better text’ than Leeds, UL, Brotherton 29, whose later part was written in the Benedictine abbey of St Mary's in York. J. Taylor, ‘The Origins of the Anominalle Chronicle’, *Northern History*, 31 (1995), p. 45-64.


31 This shift is historically significant: ‘Merlin appeared just as interest in natural magic and occult powers was growing, but before Church law and
theology had been systematized and updated in ways very hostile to magic’. ‘The key difference between natural magic and necromancy is that the natural magician respects the boundaries imposed upon human knowledge by God’. Lawrence-Mathers, The True History of Merlin the Magician (New Haven, Yale University Press, 2012), p. 119-30.

32 The style of the Chroniques is close to that of prose romances, using ‘figure and imaginative touches’ and taking us ‘into the confidence of the characters’. They describe Uther’s love for Ygerne ‘with the warmth and in the conventional language of amatory secular literature’. Fletcher, 1906, p. 226-28.


36 Micha, 1980, p. 125 ss.


41 In the prose Merlin, which stages several cases of adultery and fornication, there is a striking difference between their consequences, according to the sex and status of the offender (see the story of the daughters of Merlin l'Ancien, M27 and 44-69). Robert de Boron seems ambivalent regarding lust: when he wants to condemn it, he uses technical terms like ‘luxure’, from a religious and moral perspective, or ‘avoutire’, from a judicial perspective, but when he wants to excuse it, he uses euphemisms like the verbs ‘gesir’ and ‘couchier’. C. Blons-Pierre, ‘Les enjeux de la luxure dans le Merlin de Robert de Boron’, Merlin, roman du XIIIe siècle, dir. D. Quéruel and C. Ferlampin-Acher (Paris, Ellipses, 2000), p. 75.

42 Fletcher, 1906, p. 227.

43 ‘La mort du bon duc Gorlois [...] luy atendry le ceur... Mais toustefois son cuer quy estoit en Tintagol, non obstant quelconques apparence de courroux le mettoit en souveraine joye’. CBp. 342-43.
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45 There is no sin in the conception of Arthur in that the adultery obeys a superior necessity, according to plans of the divine Providence. Boutet, 2000, p. 35.

46 See Merlin’s statement: ‘tu as fait molt bele fin, se la conscience est tele come la semblance’, M p. 260.


49 Laborderie (de), 2002, t. 5 p. 1373-74.

50 Laborderie (de), 2002, t. 4 p. 1096 and t. 5 p. 1410-11.

51 Wace’s insistence makes ‘Ygerne’s conception and bearing of Arthur her primary function’, ‘portraying her as a passive and fearful female’, while in Geoffrey, she ‘enjoys a royal marriage based upon mutual love and shared power’. Tolhurst, 2012, p. 57-58.

52 It is the first inclusion of the sword in the stone episode in a historical text. Thomas Gray gives a preeminent place to Arthur in his own chronicle, although he is aware of his absence in Bede and he includes marvellous aspects absent in Geoffrey, like the mention of Arthur’s possible return: ‘bien pust estre qe Bede ne voloit remenouier ses gestez pur ceo qe tauntz estoient vayns fæves et mervaillous, que autres n’en prissent ensaunple ne creascent tiels fantasies [...] lez queux sont mervaillous et doutous a crio as tiels saintz gentz...’ S, f. 82b. His aristocratic audience would have been able to read continental romances and was probably familiar with them. R.J. Moll, Before Malory: Reading Arthur in Later Medieval England (Toronto, University of Toronto Press, 2003), p. 31-32 and 53 and J. Spence, Reimagining History in Anglo-Norman Prose Chronicles (Woodbridge, York Medieval Press, 2013), p. 64-72.

53 The will of Thomas Gray’s daughter, Elizabeth Darcy, written in 1412, mentions two books a ‘Sainz Ryall’ and a ‘Lanselake’, probably inherited from her father. The Holy Grail could refer to a volume including both the Estoire del saint Graal, Merlin and its Sequel, as it was a frequent manuscript combination. Such a collection appears in Cambridge, University Library, Add. 7071, copied in England around 1300.

55 Spence, 2013, p. 46.
58 A few references in chapter 96 concerning the final fight between Arthur and Mordred show that the author of the *Chroniques des Bretons* knew *la Mort le roi Artu*. Veysseyre, 2002, p. 145.
59 In Burgundian literature, ‘the historic-realist romances have many features in common with the chronicles and chivalric biographies of the period’: they were ‘just as concerned with the commemoration of the deeds of the supposed ancestors of their audience’, despite differences in their writing style. R. Brown-Grant, ‘Narrative style in Burgundian prose romances of the later Middle Ages’, *Romania*, 130 (2) (2012), p. 354-406 and ‘Narrative style in Burgundian chronicles of the later Middle Ages’, *Víator* 42 (2) (2011), p. 233-82.