

Appendix 1: Chapter 2 GENMOD and PROBIT analysis output for a susceptible strain of House mouse

Deviance values are highlighted

Chlorophacinone

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Chloro_hms;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.5 0 5
F 0.6 0 5
F 0.7 0 5
F 0.75 5 15
F 0.8 8 14
F 1 10 15
F 1.2 13 15
M 0.5 0 15
M 0.7 0 12
M 0.8 12 16
M 1 33 44
M 2 32 35
M 3 6 6
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.CHLORO_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	13
Number of Observations Used	13
Number of Events	119
Number of Trials	202

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	119
2 Nonevent	83

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	9	30.5013	3.3890
Scaled Deviance	9	30.5013	3.3890
Pearson Chi-Square	9	24.3595	2.7066
Scaled Pearson X2	9	24.3595	2.7066
Log Likelihood		-93.7748	
Full Log Likelihood		-26.0452	
AIC (smaller is better)		60.0904	
AICC (smaller is better)		65.0904	
BIC (smaller is better)		62.3502	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	0.3773	0.1369	0.1090	0.6457	7.59	0.0059	
SEX	F	1	0.1820	0.2486	-0.3051	0.6692	0.54	0.4640
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	1	8.6537	1.9178	4.8949	12.4125	20.36	<.0001
Ldose*SEX	M	1	5.0490	0.8693	3.3453	6.7527	33.74	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.CHLORO_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	13
Number of Observations Used	13
Number of Events	119
Number of Trials	202

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	119
2 Nonevent	83

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	10	33.6605	3.3660
Scaled Deviance	10	33.6605	3.3660
Pearson Chi-Square	10	31.3570	3.1357
Scaled Pearson X2	10	31.3570	3.1357
Log Likelihood		-95.3544	
Full Log Likelihood		-27.6248	
AIC (smaller is better)		61.2496	
AICC (smaller is better)		63.9162	
BIC (smaller is better)		62.9444	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	0.3977	0.1408	0.1217	0.6737	7.97	0.0047	
SEX	F	1	-0.0249	0.2129	-0.4422	0.3925	0.01	0.9070
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	5.8059	0.8313	4.1767	7.4352	48.78	<.0001	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.CHLORO_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	13
Number of Observations Used	13
Number of Events	119
Number of Trials	202

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Total Frequency
1	Event	119
2	Nonevent	83

Parameter Information

Parameter Effect

Prm1	Intercept
Prm2	Ldose

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	11	33.6741	3.0613
Scaled Deviance	11	33.6741	3.0613
Pearson Chi-Square	11	31.2663	2.8424
Scaled Pearson X2	11	31.2663	2.8424
Log Likelihood		-95.3612	
Full Log Likelihood		-27.6316	
AIC (smaller is better)		59.2632	
AICC (smaller is better)		60.4632	
BIC (smaller is better)		60.3931	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	0.3875	0.1108	0.1704	0.6047	12.24	0.0005
Ldose	1	5.8206	0.8220	4.2096	7.4316	50.14	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

Observation Statistics

Observation	Raw Residual	Pearson Residual	Deviance Residual	Std Deviance Residual	Std Pearson Residual	Likelihood Residual
1	-0.430907	-0.686691	-0.949332	-0.993842	-0.718887	-0.972874
2	-0.915295	-1.058486	-1.42193	-1.477471	-1.099831	-1.452969
3	-1.517958	-1.476379	-1.902154	-1.953876	-1.516524	-1.933478
4	-0.505651	-0.270869	-0.272755	-0.292592	-0.290569	-0.292328
5	1.9809678	1.069425	1.062374	1.1234346	1.1308909	1.1242254
6	0.2377595	0.1287763	0.129244	0.137663	0.1371648	0.137604
7	0.9715846	0.6294018	0.6601695	0.7286987	0.6947371	0.7227287
8	-1.29272	-1.189384	-1.644291	-1.914941	-1.385157	-1.79101
9	-3.6431	-2.287197	-2.946805	-3.150952	-2.445648	-3.071414
10	5.1211061	2.5860725	2.6000763	2.7730404	2.758105	2.7712396
11	4.3640944	1.3801031	1.4180314	1.7559023	1.7089369	1.7397108
12	-2.433368	-3.259129	-2.304208	-2.932889	-4.148351	-3.449097
13	0.004658	0.0682758	0.0965378	0.0971394	0.0687012	0.0968392

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Chloro_hms;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 0.5 0 5
F 0.6 0 5
F 0.7 0 5
F 0.75 5 15
F 0.8 8 14
F 1 10 15
F 1.2 13 15
M 0.5 0 15
M 0.7 0 12
M 0.8 12 16
M 1 33 44
M 2 32 35
M 3 6 6
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```


PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	0.34178	0.11490	0.48797
0.02	0.38070	0.14372	0.52519
0.03	0.40766	0.16559	0.55046
0.04	0.42919	0.18417	0.57040
0.05	0.44754	0.20077	0.58726
0.06	0.46377	0.21604	0.60210
0.07	0.47849	0.23035	0.61549
0.08	0.49207	0.24394	0.62781
0.09	0.50475	0.25696	0.63929
0.10	0.51671	0.26954	0.65012
0.15	0.56932	0.32807	0.69784
0.20	0.61493	0.38273	0.73978
0.25	0.65696	0.43586	0.77950
0.30	0.69715	0.48858	0.81908
0.35	0.73658	0.54143	0.86020
0.40	0.77606	0.59458	0.90456
0.45	0.81627	0.64789	0.95418
0.50	0.85787	0.70096	1.01149
0.55	0.90159	0.75330	1.07947
0.60	0.94830	0.80461	1.16164
0.65	0.99913	0.85511	1.26226
0.70	1.05564	0.90567	1.38702
0.75	1.12022	0.95792	1.54460
0.80	1.19678	1.01445	1.75017
0.85	1.29266	1.07962	2.03385
0.90	1.42429	1.16244	2.46788
0.91	1.45804	1.18277	2.58724
0.92	1.49561	1.20506	2.72393
0.93	1.53804	1.22981	2.88312
0.94	1.58686	1.25781	3.07255
0.95	1.64442	1.29023	3.30457
0.96	1.71473	1.32904	3.60060
0.97	1.80530	1.37791	4.00240
0.98	1.93314	1.44502	4.60886
0.99	2.15326	1.55624	5.76119

Coumatetralyl

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Coum_hms;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 1 0 14
F 2 0 15
F 3 4 15
F 5 6 15
F 6 7 14
F 7 12 15
F 8 10 15
F 9 14 14
M 1 6 20
M 2 6 15
M 2.5 7 15
M 3 11 16
M 5 15 15

;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.COUM_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	13
Number of Observations Used	13
Number of Events	98
Number of Trials	198

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	98
2 Nonevent	100

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	9	16.9882	1.8876
Scaled Deviance	9	16.9882	1.8876
Pearson Chi-Square	9	13.3413	1.4824
Scaled Pearson X2	9	13.3413	1.4824
Log Likelihood		-96.6595	
Full Log Likelihood		-22.4189	
AIC (smaller is better)		52.8378	
AICC (smaller is better)		57.8378	
BIC (smaller is better)		55.0976	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-0.8174	0.2750	-1.3563	-0.2784	8.84	0.0030	
SEX	F	1	-2.3870	0.6805	-3.7208	-1.0532	12.30	0.0005
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	1	4.4872	0.8124	2.8949	6.0795	30.51	<.0001
Ldose*SEX	M	1	2.8190	0.6948	1.4572	4.1808	16.46	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.COUM_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	13
Number of Observations Used	13
Number of Events	98
Number of Trials	198

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	98
2 Nonevent	100

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	10	19.5248	1.9525
Scaled Deviance	10	19.5248	1.9525
Pearson Chi-Square	10	15.7059	1.5706
Scaled Pearson X2	10	15.7059	1.5706
Log Likelihood		-97.9278	
Full Log Likelihood		-23.6873	
AIC (smaller is better)		53.3745	
AICC (smaller is better)		56.0412	
BIC (smaller is better)		55.0693	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-1.0800	0.2266	-1.5242	-0.6359	22.71	<.0001	
SEX	F	1	-1.4839	0.2915	-2.0551	-0.9126	25.92	<.0001
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	3.6193	0.5064	2.6268	4.6119	51.08	<.0001	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.COUM_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	13
Number of Observations Used	13
Number of Events	98
Number of Trials	198

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	98
2 Nonevent	100

Parameter Information

Parameter Effect

Prm1	Intercept
Prm2	Ldose

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	11	52.1907	4.7446
Scaled Deviance	11	52.1907	4.7446
Pearson Chi-Square	11	39.9536	3.6321
Scaled Pearson X2	11	39.9536	3.6321
Log Likelihood		-114.2608	
Full Log Likelihood		-40.0202	
AIC (smaller is better)		84.0404	
AICC (smaller is better)		85.2404	
BIC (smaller is better)		85.1703	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-1.1069	0.1983	-1.4956	-0.7181	31.14	<.0001
Ldose	1	2.1172	0.3317	1.4671	2.7672	40.75	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

Observation Statistics

Observation	Raw Residual	Pearson Residual	Deviance Residual	Std Deviance Residual	Std Pearson Residual	Likelihood Residual
1	-1.8784	-1.472917	-2.008461	-2.281539	-1.67318	-2.159621
2	-4.790019	-2.652783	-3.397136	-3.64382	-2.845416	-3.549601
3	-2.921948	-1.513389	-1.550857	-1.625959	-1.586678	-1.622453
4	-3.681049	-1.986749	-1.930322	-2.050278	-2.110211	-2.057173
5	-2.878412	-1.687878	-1.610348	-1.726527	-1.809651	-1.737562
6	0.7127989	0.4264507	0.4366357	0.4777626	0.4666182	0.4759444
7	-1.844254	-1.168319	-1.103166	-1.223852	-1.296133	-1.237726
8	2.5272607	1.7561252	2.3610042	2.6281985	1.9548655	2.5123424
9	3.316571	2.1758467	1.9243139	2.3361635	2.6415304	2.4385155
10	1.2099808	0.6701052	0.6573883	0.7051248	0.7187651	0.7069241
11	1.0638867	0.5617366	0.5570225	0.5880743	0.5930512	0.588588
12	3.6165888	1.8136894	1.8242795	1.9189791	1.9078393	1.9179096
13	5.3189509	2.8707634	3.6244169	3.8496481	3.0491606	3.7672928

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a parallel response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Coum_hms;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
F 1 0 14
F 2 0 15
F 3 4 15
F 5 6 15
F 6 7 14
F 7 12 15
F 8 10 15
F 9 14 14
M 1 6 20
M 2 6 15
M 2.5 7 15
M 3 11 16
M 5 15 15

;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex dose;
run;
```

PROBIT response data for females

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	1.54900	0.76908	2.20178
0.02	1.77765	0.94739	2.44606
0.03	1.93991	1.08096	2.61597
0.04	2.07167	1.19341	2.75223
0.05	2.18542	1.29319	2.86885
0.06	2.28715	1.38446	2.97246
0.07	2.38023	1.46956	3.06681
0.08	2.46678	1.55000	3.15420
0.09	2.54823	1.62679	3.23620
0.10	2.62558	1.70066	3.31391
0.15	2.97160	2.04133	3.66067
0.20	3.27885	2.35555	3.96968
0.25	3.56765	2.65824	4.26373
0.30	3.84861	2.95696	4.55571
0.35	4.12867	3.25624	4.85513
0.40	4.41325	3.55903	5.17070
0.45	4.70724	3.86748	5.51155
0.50	5.01568	4.18342	5.88815
0.55	5.34433	4.50887	6.31323
0.60	5.70034	4.84672	6.80286
0.65	6.09324	5.20166	7.37838
0.70	6.53665	5.58139	8.07006
0.75	7.05143	5.99861	8.92455
0.80	7.67250	6.47503	10.02147
0.85	8.46581	7.05116	11.51556
0.90	9.58152	7.81716	13.77250
0.91	9.87235	8.01024	14.38825
0.92	10.19831	8.22391	15.09114
0.93	10.56915	8.46378	15.90681
0.94	10.99930	8.73808	16.87365
0.95	11.51128	9.05958	18.05266
0.96	12.14333	9.44972	19.54916
0.97	12.96810	9.94883	21.56781

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.98	14.15187	10.64791	24.59013
0.99	16.24081	11.83996	30.26405

PROBIT data response data for males

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	0.29155	0.04389	0.57521
0.02	0.36427	0.06727	0.67084
0.03	0.41954	0.08817	0.73997
0.04	0.46658	0.10803	0.79688
0.05	0.50871	0.12741	0.84661
0.06	0.54755	0.14658	0.89155
0.07	0.58404	0.16573	0.93309
0.08	0.61877	0.18496	0.97209
0.09	0.65214	0.20434	1.00912
0.10	0.68446	0.22394	1.04460
0.15	0.83618	0.32661	1.20755
0.20	0.98040	0.43950	1.35915
0.25	1.12381	0.56506	1.50940
0.30	1.27038	0.70524	1.66518
0.35	1.42322	0.86156	1.83326
0.40	1.58521	1.03481	2.02202
0.45	1.75947	1.22454	2.24306
0.50	1.94966	1.42857	2.51306
0.55	2.16041	1.64340	2.85532
0.60	2.39790	1.86605	3.30099
0.65	2.67083	2.09674	3.89186
0.70	2.99215	2.34062	4.68903
0.75	3.38241	2.60832	5.79368
0.80	3.87716	2.91787	7.39471
0.85	4.54591	3.30193	9.89711
0.90	5.55358	3.83275	14.37511
0.91	5.82874	3.97037	15.74278
0.92	6.14313	4.12446	17.38067
0.93	6.50844	4.29970	19.38401
0.94	6.94216	4.50297	21.90167
0.95	7.47222	4.74509	25.18240
0.96	8.14690	5.04442	29.68063

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.97	9.06037	5.43601	36.34232
0.98	10.43517	6.00037	47.59671
0.99	13.03769	7.00370	72.89622

Diphacinone

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Diphac_hms;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.5 0 15
F 0.8 2 15
F 1 10 14
F 2 15 15
M 0.5 1 15
M 0.8 2 15
M 1 12 15
M 2 15 15
M 3 8 8
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIPHAC_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	65
Number of Trials	127

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	65
2 Nonevent	62

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	6.1933	1.2387
Scaled Deviance	5	6.1933	1.2387
Pearson Chi-Square	5	6.2510	1.2502
Scaled Pearson X2	5	6.2510	1.2502
Log Likelihood		-34.4327	
Full Log Likelihood		-9.3876	
AIC (smaller is better)		26.7753	
AICC (smaller is better)		36.7753	
BIC (smaller is better)		27.5642	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	0.4156	0.2775	-0.1282	0.9594	2.24	0.1342
SEX	F	0.1504	0.4507	-0.7329	1.0337	0.11	0.7386
SEX	M	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	17.3028	5.5765	6.3730	28.2326	9.63	0.0019
Ldose*SEX	M	8.2839	2.2069	3.9584	12.6094	14.09	0.0002
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIPHAC_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	65
Number of Trials	127

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	65
2 Nonevent	62

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	6	8.6217	1.4370
Scaled Deviance	6	8.6217	1.4370
Pearson Chi-Square	6	13.5265	2.2544
Scaled Pearson X2	6	13.5265	2.2544
Log Likelihood		-35.6469	
Full Log Likelihood		-10.6018	
AIC (smaller is better)		27.2037	
AICC (smaller is better)		32.0037	
BIC (smaller is better)		27.7953	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	0.5434	0.2805	-0.0063	1.0931	3.75	0.0527	
SEX	F	1	-0.2980	0.3440	-0.9723	0.3763	0.75	0.3864
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	10.0701	2.2107	5.7373	14.4030	20.75	<.0001	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIPHAC_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	65
Number of Trials	127

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	65
2 Nonevent	62

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	7	9.3796	1.3399
Scaled Deviance	7	9.3796	1.3399
Pearson Chi-Square	7	16.9244	2.4178
Scaled Pearson X2	7	16.9244	2.4178
Log Likelihood		-36.0258	
Full Log Likelihood		-10.9808	
AIC (smaller is better)		25.9616	
AICC (smaller is better)		27.9616	
BIC (smaller is better)		26.3560	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	0.3893	0.2126	-0.0274	0.8060	3.35	0.0671
Ldose	1	9.8739	2.1487	5.6625	14.0854	21.12	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Diphac_hms;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 0.5 0 15
F 0.8 2 15
F 1 10 14
F 2 15 15
M 0.5 1 15
M 0.8 2 15
M 1 12 15
M 2 15 15
M 3 8 8
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	0.53084	0.07117	0.67918
0.02	0.56569	0.09746	0.70624
0.03	0.58897	0.11890	0.72440
0.04	0.60711	0.13802	0.73868
0.05	0.62228	0.15577	0.75074
0.06	0.63549	0.17262	0.76138
0.07	0.64731	0.18884	0.77104
0.08	0.65807	0.20460	0.77997
0.09	0.66801	0.22002	0.78836
0.10	0.67730	0.23519	0.79634
0.15	0.71714	0.30901	0.83279
0.20	0.75047	0.38179	0.86769
0.25	0.78030	0.45460	0.90501
0.30	0.80810	0.52687	0.94859
0.35	0.83473	0.59659	1.00325
0.40	0.86082	0.66070	1.07493
0.45	0.88684	0.71643	1.16976
0.50	0.91321	0.76297	1.29274
0.55	0.94037	0.80162	1.44809
0.60	0.96879	0.83460	1.64124
0.65	0.99907	0.86400	1.88120
0.70	1.03201	0.89157	2.18322
0.75	1.06877	0.91878	2.57360
0.80	1.11124	0.94714	3.10048
0.85	1.16289	0.97871	3.86248
0.90	1.23130	1.01730	5.10592
0.91	1.24842	1.02653	5.46365
0.92	1.26728	1.03654	5.88135
0.93	1.28836	1.04754	6.37833
0.94	1.31230	1.05983	6.98406
0.95	1.34016	1.07387	7.74652
0.96	1.37365	1.09042	8.75079
0.97	1.41597	1.11088	10.16771
0.98	1.47424	1.13833	12.41634

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability dose 95% Fiducial Limits

0.99 1.57100 1.18234 17.02118

Warfarin sodium

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data WarfSod_hms;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.5 1 14
F 1 2 15
F 2 11 15
F 2.5 9 15
F 3 15 15
M 0.5 1 15
M 1 2 15
M 2 10 15
M 2.5 15 15
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT
run;
```


The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.WARFSOD_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	66
Number of Trials	134

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	66
2 Nonevent	68

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	15.8495	3.1699
Scaled Deviance	5	15.8495	3.1699
Pearson Chi-Square	5	13.6699	2.7340
Scaled Pearson X2	5	13.6699	2.7340
Log Likelihood		-55.3230	
Full Log Likelihood		-16.9235	
AIC (smaller is better)		41.8470	
AICC (smaller is better)		51.8470	
BIC (smaller is better)		42.6359	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-0.7049	0.2630	-1.2204	-0.1894	7.18	0.0074
SEX F	1	-0.0574	0.3664	-0.7755	0.6607	0.02	0.8755
SEX M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX F	1	3.8959	0.7693	2.3880	5.4038	25.64	<.0001
Ldose*SEX M	1	4.7480	0.9606	2.8653	6.6306	24.43	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.WARFSOD_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	66
Number of Trials	134

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	66
2 Nonevent	68

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	6	16.3355	2.7226
Scaled Deviance	6	16.3355	2.7226
Pearson Chi-Square	6	14.1950	2.3658
Scaled Pearson X2	6	14.1950	2.3658
Log Likelihood		-55.5660	
Full Log Likelihood		-17.1665	
AIC (smaller is better)		40.3330	
AICC (smaller is better)		45.1330	
BIC (smaller is better)		40.9247	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-0.6250	0.2244	-1.0650	-0.1851	7.76	0.0054
SEX	F	1	-0.2244	0.2765	-0.7663	0.3176	0.4171
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	4.2540	0.6018	3.0746	5.4334	49.97	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.WARFSOD_HMS
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	66
Number of Trials	134

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	66
2 Nonevent	68

Parameter Information

Parameter Effect

Prm1	Intercept
Prm2	Ldose

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	7	17.0003	2.4286
Scaled Deviance	7	17.0003	2.4286
Pearson Chi-Square	7	14.5500	2.0786
Scaled Pearson X2	7	14.5500	2.0786
Log Likelihood		-55.8984	
Full Log Likelihood		-17.4989	
AIC (smaller is better)		38.9979	
AICC (smaller is better)		40.9979	
BIC (smaller is better)		39.3923	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-0.7341	0.1813	-1.0895	-0.3787	16.39	<.0001
Ldose	1	4.1741	0.5890	3.0197	5.3285	50.23	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

Observation Statistics

Observation	Raw Residual	Pearson Residual	Deviance Residual	Std Deviance Residual	Std Pearson Residual	Likelihood Residual
1	0.6743453	1.1956785	0.9638259	1.089495	1.3515778	1.1515537
2	-1.471692	-0.900968	-0.957997	-1.124873	-1.057909	-1.106882
3	0.5102296	0.2872956	0.2906108	0.32047	0.3168142	0.3198236
4	-3.345369	-2.263266	-2.023964	-2.275934	-2.545027	-2.334785
5	1.5643909	1.3215682	1.8177593	2.0670413	1.5028041	1.9534908
6	0.6510843	1.1152896	0.9127175	1.0421127	1.2734033	1.1003356
7	-1.471692	-0.900968	-0.957997	-1.124873	-1.057909	-1.106882
8	-0.48977	-0.275776	-0.273111	-0.301172	-0.304111	-0.301696
9	2.654631	1.7959559	2.4172455	2.7181761	2.0195403	2.5876941

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data WarfSod_hms;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 0.5 1 14
F 1 2 15
F 2 11 15
F 2.5 9 15
F 3 15 15
M 0.5 1 15
M 1 2 15
M 2 10 15
M 2.5 15 15
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	0.41547	0.11285	0.67656
0.02	0.48289	0.14995	0.75304
0.03	0.53123	0.17945	0.80656
0.04	0.57076	0.20531	0.84970
0.05	0.60507	0.22900	0.88678
0.06	0.63590	0.25124	0.91988
0.07	0.66422	0.27243	0.95014
0.08	0.69064	0.29287	0.97829
0.09	0.71558	0.31271	1.00481
0.10	0.73934	0.33211	1.03005
0.15	0.84638	0.42511	1.14403
0.20	0.94241	0.51550	1.24784
0.25	1.03343	0.60612	1.34901
0.30	1.12263	0.69845	1.45214
0.35	1.21215	0.79328	1.56104
0.40	1.30369	0.89109	1.67955
0.45	1.39882	0.99208	1.81204
0.50	1.49923	1.09632	1.96387
0.55	1.60684	1.20393	2.14183
0.60	1.72410	1.31532	2.35479
0.65	1.85430	1.43150	2.61496
0.70	2.00216	1.55448	2.94016
0.75	2.17499	1.68791	3.35871
0.80	2.38505	1.83822	3.92025
0.85	2.65563	2.01751	4.72433
0.90	3.04013	2.25275	6.01516
0.91	3.14105	2.31162	6.38192
0.92	3.25450	2.37660	6.80779
0.93	3.38397	2.44937	7.31129
0.94	3.53466	2.53238	7.92059
0.95	3.71474	2.62942	8.68123
0.96	3.93807	2.74688	9.67334
0.97	4.23110	2.89675	11.05639
0.98	4.65467	3.10607	13.21699

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability dose 95% Fiducial Limits

0.99 5.40998 3.46182 17.53783

Appendix 2: Chapter 3 GENMOD and PROBIT analysis output for a resistant strain of House mouse homozygous for the VKORC1 mutation Y139C

Deviance values are highlighted

Chlorophacinone

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Chloro_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.88 0 4
F 500 4 14
M 15 0 3
M 50 0 3
M 100 0 4
M 500 7 15
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.CHLORO_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	11
Number of Trials	43

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	11
2 Nonevent	32

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	2	0.0000	0.0000
Scaled Deviance	2	0.0000	0.0000
Pearson Chi-Square	2	0.0000	0.0000
Scaled Pearson X2	2	0.0000	0.0000
Log Likelihood		-18.7396	
Full Log Likelihood		-3.0614	
AIC (smaller is better)		14.1227	
AICC (smaller is better)		54.1227	
BIC (smaller is better)		13.2898	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-26.0593	0.3240	-26.6944	-25.4242	6468.33	<.0001
SEX	F	19.1027	0.4808	18.1604	20.0450	1578.64	<.0001
SEX	M	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	2.3678	0.0000	2.3678	2.3678	.	.
Ldose*SEX	M	9.6243	0.0000	9.6243	9.6243	.	.
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.CHLORO_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	11
Number of Trials	43

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	11
2 Nonevent	32

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	3	0.0000	0.0000
Scaled Deviance	3	0.0000	0.0000
Pearson Chi-Square	3	0.0000	0.0000
Scaled Pearson X2	3	0.0000	0.0000
Log Likelihood		-18.7396	
Full Log Likelihood		-3.0614	
AIC (smaller is better)		12.1227	
AICC (smaller is better)		24.1227	
BIC (smaller is better)		11.4980	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-26.0701	0.3240	-26.7052	-25.4350	6473.69	<.0001	
SEX	F	1	-0.4823	0.4808	-1.4246	0.4600	1.01	0.3158
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	0	9.6283	0.0000	9.6283	9.6283	.	.	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	.	.	

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.CHLORO_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	11
Number of Trials	43

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Total Frequency
1	Event	11
2	Nonevent	32

Parameter Information

Parameter Effect

Prm1	Intercept
-------------	-----------

Prm2	Ldose
-------------	-------

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	1.0168	0.2542
Scaled Deviance	4	1.0168	0.2542
Pearson Chi-Square	4	1.0071	0.2518
Scaled Pearson X2	4	1.0071	0.2518
Log Likelihood		-19.2480	
Full Log Likelihood		-3.5698	
AIC (smaller is better)		11.1396	
AICC (smaller is better)		15.1396	
BIC (smaller is better)		10.7231	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-25.4984	0.2368	-25.9625	-25.0343	11597.5	<.0001
Ldose	0	9.3336	0.0000	9.3336	9.3336	.	.
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	.	.

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

Observation Statistics

Observation	Raw Residual	Pearson Residual	Deviance Residual	Std Deviance Residual	Std Pearson Residual	Likelihood Residual
1	-643E-151	.	0	0	.	.
2	-1.310345	-0.72175	-0.7363	-1.023783	-1.003553	-1.014067
3	-1.33E-47	.	0	0	.	.
4	-8.06E-22	.	0	0	.	.
5	-1.68E-11	-4.104E-6	-5.804E-6	-5.804E-6	-4.104E-6	-5.804E-6
6	1.3103448	0.6972771	0.6889802	0.9916118	1.0035531	0.9978062

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

```
data Chlorophac_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 0.88 0 4
F 500 4 14
M 15 0 3
M 50 0 3
M 100 0 4
M 500 7 15
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	302.37601	.	.
0.02	323.62142	.	.
0.03	337.86848	.	.
0.04	348.99788	.	.
0.05	358.32063	.	.
0.06	366.45169	.	.
0.07	373.73271	.	.
0.08	380.37467	.	.
0.09	386.51770	.	.
0.10	392.26003	.	.
0.15	416.95683	.	.
0.20	437.68937	.	.
0.25	456.29571	.	.
0.30	473.67791	.	.
0.35	490.37565	.	.
0.40	506.76412	.	.
0.45	523.14127	.	.
0.50	539.77542	.	.
0.55	556.93849	.	.
0.60	574.93713	.	.
0.65	594.15166	.	.
0.70	615.09626	.	.
0.75	638.52783	.	.
0.80	665.67189	.	.
0.85	698.77140	.	.
0.90	742.76625	.	.
0.91	753.80121	.	.
0.92	765.97506	.	.
0.93	779.58793	.	.
0.94	795.07755	.	.
0.95	813.11955	.	.
0.96	834.84034	.	.
0.97	862.34002	.	.

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits
0.98	900.30353	. .
0.99	963.56026	. .

Coumatetralyl

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
ata Coum_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
ldose=log10(dose);
cards;
F 25 0 5
F 40 0 5
F 45 0 19
F 50 0 10
F 100 7 28
M 20 2 20
M 25 7 15
M 50 10 22
M 100 19 34
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.COUM_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	45
Number of Trials	158

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	45
2 Nonevent	113

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	5.0464	1.0093
Scaled Deviance	5	5.0464	1.0093
Pearson Chi-Square	5	4.9120	0.9824
Scaled Pearson X2	5	4.9120	0.9824
Log Likelihood		-73.6235	
Full Log Likelihood		-10.9013	
AIC (smaller is better)		29.8025	
AICC (smaller is better)		39.8025	
BIC (smaller is better)		30.5914	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-2.4601	0.8299	-4.0868	-0.8335	8.79	0.0030	
SEX	F	1	-38.4191	0.8690	-40.1222	-36.7159	1954.73	<.0001
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	0	20.1024	0.0000	20.1024	20.1024	.	.
Ldose*SEX	M	1	1.3342	0.4827	0.3881	2.2802	7.64	0.0057
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.COUM_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	45
Number of Trials	158

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	45
2 Nonevent	113

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	6	11.3869	1.8978
Scaled Deviance	6	11.3869	1.8978
Pearson Chi-Square	6	9.9048	1.6508
Scaled Pearson X2	6	9.9048	1.6508
Log Likelihood		-76.7937	
Full Log Likelihood		-14.0715	
AIC (smaller is better)		34.1430	
AICC (smaller is better)		38.9430	
BIC (smaller is better)		34.7347	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-3.0976	0.7878	-4.6416	-1.5536	15.46	<.0001	
SEX	F	1	-1.3092	0.2687	-1.8358	-0.7826	23.75	<.0001
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	1.7094	0.4560	0.8158	2.6031	14.06	0.0002	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.COUM_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	45
Number of Trials	158

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	45
2 Nonevent	113

Parameter Information

Parameter Effect

Prm1	Intercept
Prm2	Ldose

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	7	39.1044	5.5863
Scaled Deviance	7	39.1044	5.5863
Pearson Chi-Square	7	32.6747	4.6678
Scaled Pearson X2	7	32.6747	4.6678
Log Likelihood		-90.6525	
Full Log Likelihood		-27.9303	
AIC (smaller is better)		59.8605	
AICC (smaller is better)		61.8605	
BIC (smaller is better)		60.2550	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-2.5681	0.7563	-4.0503	-1.0858	11.53	0.0007
Ldose	1	1.1478	0.4269	0.3110	1.9845	7.23	0.0072
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

Observation Statistics

Observation	Raw Residual	Pearson Residual	Deviance Residual	Std Deviance Residual	Std Pearson Residual	Likelihood Residual
1	-0.838221	-1.003517	-1.354604	-1.411907	-1.045968	-1.386346
2	-1.164652	-1.232198	-1.628428	-1.66349	-1.258729	-1.648594
3	-4.774011	-2.525092	-3.316022	-3.572842	-2.720657	-3.467259
4	-2.682882	-1.914832	-2.499474	-2.588178	-1.982788	-2.551908
5	-3.993542	-1.545473	-1.593568	-2.047941	-1.986133	-2.023782
6	-0.824872	-0.529605	-0.554506	-0.723411	-0.690925	-0.710192
7	4.4853383	3.1002799	2.6853288	3.0773908	3.5529254	3.1972698
8	4.0976597	1.9717586	1.8693071	2.0254241	2.1364318	2.0422581
9	5.6506993	1.9844722	1.955014	2.7086401	2.7494539	2.7282681

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a separate response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Coum_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
F 25 0 5
F 40 0 5
F 45 0 19
F 50 0 10
F 100 7 28
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```

```
data Coum_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
M 20 2 20
M 25 7 15
M 50 10 22
M 75 7 9
M 100 19 34
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```

PROBIT data response data for females

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	83.19127	.	.
0.02	85.75648	.	.
0.03	87.42489	.	.
0.04	88.70133	.	.
0.05	89.75334	.	.
0.06	90.65860	.	.
0.07	91.45984	.	.
0.08	92.18326	.	.
0.09	92.84615	.	.
0.10	93.46056	.	.
0.15	96.04793	.	.
0.20	98.15527	.	.
0.25	100.00000	.	.
0.30	101.68615	.	.
0.35	103.27399	.	.
0.40	104.80361	.	.
0.45	106.30510	.	.
0.50	107.80378	.	.
0.55	109.32360	.	.
0.60	110.88984	.	.
0.65	112.53227	.	.
0.70	114.28947	.	.
0.75	116.21656	.	.
0.80	118.40073	.	.
0.85	120.99851	.	.
0.90	124.34824	.	.
0.91	125.17111	.	.
0.92	126.07121	.	.
0.93	127.06840	.	.
0.94	128.19143	.	.
0.95	129.48438	.	.
0.96	131.02009	.	.

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits
0.97	132.93303	. .
0.98	135.51927	. .
0.99	139.69803	. .

PROBIT data response data for males

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	1.61330	0.00409	6.39161
0.02	2.47171	0.01296	8.34300
0.03	3.24005	0.02693	9.88730
0.04	3.97177	0.04665	11.24071
0.05	4.68724	0.07290	12.48246
0.06	5.39689	0.10658	13.65189
0.07	6.10698	0.14863	14.77196
0.08	6.82172	0.20013	15.85751
0.09	7.54412	0.26223	16.91888
0.10	8.27653	0.33621	17.96373
0.15	12.14652	0.93689	23.11455
0.20	16.47642	2.10001	28.45165
0.25	21.40227	4.15600	34.33837
0.30	27.06907	7.55997	41.25769
0.35	33.65147	12.84877	50.09859
0.40	41.37180	20.39585	62.76666
0.45	50.52318	29.86514	83.36606
0.50	61.50365	40.09848	119.48926
0.55	74.87056	50.29593	183.32718
0.60	91.43181	60.63121	295.76481
0.65	112.40813	71.71928	497.26784
0.70	139.74249	84.31815	872.90580
0.75	176.74291	99.42337	1618
0.80	229.58256	118.61187	3239
0.85	311.42247	144.89172	7316
0.90	457.03903	185.44390	20497
0.91	501.41007	196.72074	26303
0.92	554.50834	209.70957	34494
0.93	619.40556	224.93759	46484
0.94	700.90382	243.20174	64877
0.95	807.02047	265.78313	94915
0.96	952.39555	294.92100	148456
0.97	1167	335.03509	257380

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.98	1530	396.73150	535145
0.99	2345	517.37619	1697868

Diphacinone

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Diphac_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 1.24 0 5
F 500 6 14
M 15 0 3
M 50 0 4
M 100 0 4
M 500 10 16
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```


The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIPHAC_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	16
Number of Trials	46

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	16
2 Nonevent	30

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	2	0.0000	0.0000
Scaled Deviance	2	0.0000	0.0000
Pearson Chi-Square	2	0.0000	0.0000
Scaled Pearson X2	2	0.0000	0.0000
Log Likelihood		-20.1457	
Full Log Likelihood		-3.1502	
AIC (smaller is better)		14.3003	
AICC (smaller is better)		54.3003	
BIC (smaller is better)		13.4674	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-27.1277	0.3192	-27.7533	-26.5021	7223.72	<.0001
SEX	F	19.7650	0.4641	18.8553	20.6746	1813.59	<.0001
SEX	M	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	2.6613	0.0000	2.6613	2.6613	.	.
Ldose*SEX	M	10.1692	0.0000	10.1692	10.1692	.	.
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIPHAC_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	16
Number of Trials	46

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	16
2 Nonevent	30

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	3	0.0000	0.0000
Scaled Deviance	3	0.0000	0.0000
Pearson Chi-Square	3	0.0000	0.0000
Scaled Pearson X2	3	0.0000	0.0000
Log Likelihood		-20.1457	
Full Log Likelihood		-3.1502	
AIC (smaller is better)		12.3003	
AICC (smaller is better)		24.3003	
BIC (smaller is better)		11.6756	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-27.1520	0.3192	-27.7776	-26.5265	7236.68	<.0001	
SEX	F	1	-0.4987	0.4641	-1.4083	0.4110	1.15	0.2826
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	0	10.1782	0.0000	10.1782	10.1782	.	.	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	.	.	

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIPHAC_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	16
Number of Trials	46

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	16
2 Nonevent	30

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	1.1639	0.2910
Scaled Deviance	4	1.1639	0.2910
Pearson Chi-Square	4	1.1575	0.2894
Scaled Pearson X2	4	1.1575	0.2894
Log Likelihood		-20.7277	
Full Log Likelihood		-3.7321	
AIC (smaller is better)		11.4643	
AICC (smaller is better)		15.4643	
BIC (smaller is better)		11.0478	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-26.5476	0.2291	-26.9967	-26.0986	13426.1	<.0001
Ldose	0	9.8672	0.0000	9.8672	9.8672	.	.
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Diphac_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 1.24 0 5
F 500 6 14
M 15 0 3
M 50 0 4
M 100 0 4
M 500 10 16
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	283.38065	.	.
0.02	302.17845	.	.
0.03	314.74650	.	.
0.04	324.54429	.	.
0.05	332.73856	.	.
0.06	339.87596	.	.
0.07	346.25992	.	.
0.08	352.07768	.	.
0.09	357.45353	.	.
0.10	362.47453	.	.
0.15	384.02445	.	.
0.20	402.06174	.	.
0.25	418.20984	.	.
0.30	433.26331	.	.
0.35	447.69587	.	.
0.40	461.83525	.	.
0.45	475.94014	.	.
0.50	490.24194	.	.
0.55	504.97349	.	.
0.60	520.39587	.	.
0.65	536.83130	.	.
0.70	554.71384	.	.
0.75	574.68078	.	.
0.80	597.76181	.	.
0.85	625.83817	.	.
0.90	663.04563	.	.
0.91	672.35916	.	.
0.92	682.62537	.	.
0.93	694.09465	.	.
0.94	707.13197	.	.
0.95	722.30029	.	.
0.96	740.53731	.	.
0.97	763.58962	.	.
0.98	795.34842	.	.

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits
0.99	848.10715	. .

Warfarin sodium

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data WarfSod_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 300 1 15
F 400 2 16
M 200 1 16
M 280 1 15
M 300 10 15
M 500 0 2
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.WARFSOD_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	15
Number of Trials	79

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	15
2 Nonevent	64

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	2	16.8213	8.4107
Scaled Deviance	2	16.8213	8.4107
Pearson Chi-Square	2	16.1715	8.0857
Scaled Pearson X2	2	16.1715	8.0857
Log Likelihood		-35.0753	
Full Log Likelihood		-14.0917	
AIC (smaller is better)		36.1834	
AICC (smaller is better)		76.1834	
BIC (smaller is better)		35.3505	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-9.9912	5.2462	-20.2737	0.2912	3.63	0.0569
SEX	F	1.5362	14.0907	-26.0810	29.1534	0.01	0.9132
SEX	M	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	2.8073	5.1213	-7.2303	12.8449	0.30	0.5836
Ldose*SEX	M	3.8308	2.1482	-0.3796	8.0411	3.18	0.0745
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.WARFSOD_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	15
Number of Trials	79

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	15
2 Nonevent	64

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	3	16.8550	5.6183
Scaled Deviance	3	16.8550	5.6183
Pearson Chi-Square	3	16.1700	5.3900
Scaled Pearson X2	3	16.1700	5.3900
Log Likelihood		-35.0921	
Full Log Likelihood		-14.1086	
AIC (smaller is better)		34.2171	
AICC (smaller is better)		46.2171	
BIC (smaller is better)		33.5924	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-9.6224	4.8396	-19.1079	-0.1369	3.95	0.0468	
SEX	F	1	-1.0607	0.4382	-1.9195	-0.2019	5.86	0.0155
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	3.6796	1.9820	-0.2050	7.5642	3.45	0.0634	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.WARFSOD_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	15
Number of Trials	79

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	15
2 Nonevent	64

Parameter Information

Parameter Effect

Prm1	Intercept
Prm2	Ldose

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	23.1261	5.7815
Scaled Deviance	4	23.1261	5.7815
Pearson Chi-Square	4	27.3301	6.8325
Scaled Pearson X2	4	27.3301	6.8325
Log Likelihood		-38.2276	
Full Log Likelihood		-17.2441	
AIC (smaller is better)		38.4882	
AICC (smaller is better)		42.4882	
BIC (smaller is better)		38.0717	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-3.2562	4.1053	-11.3024	4.7900	0.63	0.4277
Ldose	1	0.9621	1.6578	-2.2871	4.2113	0.34	0.5617
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

Observation Statistics

Observation	Raw Residual	Pearson Residual	Deviance Residual	Std Deviance Residual	Std Pearson Residual	Likelihood Residual
1	-1.869995	-1.227482	-1.380538	-1.535554	-1.365312	-1.50441
2	-1.612604	-0.964245	-1.030268	-1.556715	-1.456956	-1.501467
3	-1.377765	-0.968337	-1.076143	-1.924509	-1.731716	-1.794226
4	-1.753634	-1.169575	-1.312	-1.467013	-1.30776	-1.43655
5	7.1300053	4.6802003	4.0128613	4.4634538	5.2057264	4.6150155
6	-0.50954	-0.826883	-1.08455	-1.188373	-0.906039	-1.146046

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a parallel response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data WarfSod_hmr_f;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
F 300 1 15
F 400 2 16
M 200 1 16
M 280 1 15
M 300 10 15
M 500 0 2

;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex dose;
run;
```

PROBIT data response data for females

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	186.67932	.	.
0.02	221.40116	.	.
0.03	246.70821	.	.
0.04	267.63486	.	.
0.05	285.95952	.	.
0.06	302.54183	.	.
0.07	317.87060	.	.
0.08	332.25357	.	.
0.09	345.89862	.	.
0.10	358.95370	.	.
0.15	418.45978	.	.
0.20	472.71288	.	.
0.25	524.82973	.	.
0.30	576.51138	.	.
0.35	628.93446	.	.
0.40	683.07994	.	.
0.45	739.89900	.	.
0.50	800.42966	.	.
0.55	865.91230	.	.
0.60	937.93948	.	.
0.65	1019	.	.
0.70	1111	.	.
0.75	1221	.	.
0.80	1355	.	.
0.85	1531	.	.
0.90	1785	.	.
0.91	1852	.	.
0.92	1928	.	.
0.93	2016	.	.
0.94	2118	.	.
0.95	2240	.	.
0.96	2394	.	.
0.97	2597	.	.

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits
0.98	2894	. .
0.99	3432	. .

PROBIT data response data for males

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	96.12570	.	.
0.02	114.00481	.	.
0.03	127.03603	.	.
0.04	137.81166	.	.
0.05	147.24747	.	.
0.06	155.78611	.	.
0.07	163.67926	.	.
0.08	171.08540	.	.
0.09	178.11157	.	.
0.10	184.83395	.	.
0.15	215.47506	.	.
0.20	243.41130	.	.
0.25	270.24753	.	.
0.30	296.85966	.	.
0.35	323.85357	.	.
0.40	351.73439	.	.
0.45	380.99190	.	.
0.50	412.16060	.	.
0.55	445.87920	.	.
0.60	482.96773	.	.
0.65	524.54682	.	.
0.70	572.24468	.	.
0.75	628.59543	.	.
0.80	697.89842	.	.
0.85	788.38060	.	.
0.90	919.07555	.	.
0.91	953.76377	.	.
0.92	992.93312	.	.
0.93	1038	.	.
0.94	1090	.	.
0.95	1154	.	.
0.96	1233	.	.
0.97	1337	.	.

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits
0.98	1490	. .
0.99	1767	. .

Brodifacoum

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Brod_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.45 0 11
F 0.6 7 16
F 0.85 7 10
M 0.55 8 24
M 0.75 18 34
M 0.9 17 20
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROD_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	57
Number of Trials	115

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	57
2 Nonevent	58

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	2	4.6072	2.3036
Scaled Deviance	2	4.6072	2.3036
Pearson Chi-Square	2	3.8388	1.9194
Scaled Pearson X2	2	3.8388	1.9194
Log Likelihood		-66.6159	
Full Log Likelihood		-10.4230	
AIC (smaller is better)		28.8460	
AICC (smaller is better)		68.8460	
BIC (smaller is better)		28.0131	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	1.0230	0.3153	0.4051	1.6409	10.53	0.0012	
SEX	F	1	0.3109	0.6375	-0.9385	1.5603	0.24	0.6258
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	1	8.0631	2.5761	3.0140	13.1122	9.80	0.0017
Ldose*SEX	M	1	6.0258	1.8628	2.3749	9.6768	10.46	0.0012
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROD_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	57
Number of Trials	115

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	57
2 Nonevent	58

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	3	5.0272	1.6757
Scaled Deviance	3	5.0272	1.6757
Pearson Chi-Square	3	3.9465	1.3155
Scaled Pearson X2	3	3.9465	1.3155
Log Likelihood		-66.8260	
Full Log Likelihood		-10.6330	
AIC (smaller is better)		27.2660	
AICC (smaller is better)		39.2660	
BIC (smaller is better)		26.6413	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	1.1323	0.2684	0.6061	1.6584	17.79	<.0001
SEX	F	1	-0.0543	0.2848	-0.6124	0.5038	0.8487
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	6.7574	1.4910	3.8351	9.6798	20.54	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROD_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	6
Number of Observations Used	6
Number of Events	57
Number of Trials	115

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	57
2 Nonevent	58

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	5.0636	1.2659
Scaled Deviance	4	5.0636	1.2659
Pearson Chi-Square	4	3.9285	0.9821
Scaled Pearson X2	4	3.9285	0.9821
Log Likelihood		-66.8441	
Full Log Likelihood		-10.6512	
AIC (smaller is better)		25.3024	
AICC (smaller is better)		29.3024	
BIC (smaller is better)		24.8859	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	1.1281	0.2673	0.6043	1.6520	17.82	<.0001
Ldose	1	6.8303	1.4396	4.0088	9.6519	22.51	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Brod_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 0.45 0 11
F 0.6 7 16
F 0.85 7 10
M 0.55 8 24
M 0.75 18 34
M 0.9 17 20
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	0.31207	0.17744	0.39695
0.02	0.34210	0.20724	0.42419
0.03	0.36264	0.22865	0.44252
0.04	0.37890	0.24617	0.45689
0.05	0.39266	0.26138	0.46896
0.06	0.40477	0.27504	0.47953
0.07	0.41569	0.28758	0.48903
0.08	0.42572	0.29928	0.49772
0.09	0.43505	0.31031	0.50580
0.10	0.44382	0.32080	0.51338
0.15	0.48205	0.36787	0.54640
0.20	0.51478	0.40964	0.57489
0.25	0.54461	0.44858	0.60139
0.30	0.57288	0.48583	0.62734
0.35	0.60038	0.52192	0.65386
0.40	0.62769	0.55701	0.68206
0.45	0.65530	0.59099	0.71316
0.50	0.68365	0.62367	0.74847
0.55	0.71324	0.65500	0.78933
0.60	0.74461	0.68527	0.83698
0.65	0.77848	0.71516	0.89283
0.70	0.81585	0.74565	0.95882
0.75	0.85819	0.77802	1.03818
0.80	0.90793	0.81404	1.13665
0.85	0.96956	0.85660	1.26553
0.90	1.05308	0.91180	1.45108
0.91	1.07431	0.92548	1.50012
0.92	1.09786	0.94051	1.55538
0.93	1.12435	0.95725	1.61861
0.94	1.15469	0.97623	1.69240
0.95	1.19029	0.99824	1.78082
0.96	1.23352	1.02464	1.89082
0.97	1.28883	1.05792	2.03565
0.98	1.36621	1.10366	2.24588

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.99	1.49771	1.17942	2.62298

Bromadiolone

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Brom_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 25 2 16
F 35 8 15
F 60 14 15
F 100 5 5
M 15 2 14
M 25 12 24
M 60 18 24
M 100 22 29
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT
run;
```


The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROM_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	8
Number of Observations Used	8
Number of Events	83
Number of Trials	142

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	83
2 Nonevent	59

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	3.2091	0.8023
Scaled Deviance	4	3.2091	0.8023
Pearson Chi-Square	4	3.0677	0.7669
Scaled Pearson X2	4	3.0677	0.7669
Log Likelihood		-73.5711	
Full Log Likelihood		-11.9142	
AIC (smaller is better)		31.8284	
AICC (smaller is better)		45.1617	
BIC (smaller is better)		32.1462	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-2.7440	0.7783	-4.2694	-1.2186	12.43	0.0004	
SEX	F	1	-8.0949	2.7310	-13.4476	-2.7422	8.79	0.0030
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	1	7.0023	1.6942	3.6817	10.3230	17.08	<.0001
Ldose*SEX	M	1	1.8144	0.4667	0.8996	2.7291	15.11	0.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROM_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	8
Number of Observations Used	8
Number of Events	83
Number of Trials	142

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	83
2 Nonevent	59

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	15.2203	3.0441
Scaled Deviance	5	15.2203	3.0441
Pearson Chi-Square	5	13.4103	2.6821
Scaled Pearson X2	5	13.4103	2.6821
Log Likelihood		-79.5767	
Full Log Likelihood		-17.9198	
AIC (smaller is better)		41.8396	
AICC (smaller is better)		47.8396	
BIC (smaller is better)		42.0779	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-3.7440	0.7384	-5.1912	-2.2968	25.71	<.0001	
SEX	F	1	0.0496	0.2391	-0.4190	0.5182	0.04	0.8358
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	2.4243	0.4418	1.5584	3.2901	30.11	<.0001	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROM_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	8
Number of Observations Used	8
Number of Events	83
Number of Trials	142

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	83
2 Nonevent	59

Parameter Information

Parameter Effect

Prm1	Intercept
Prm2	Ldose

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	6	15.2633	2.5439
Scaled Deviance	6	15.2633	2.5439
Pearson Chi-Square	6	13.4282	2.2380
Scaled Pearson X2	6	13.4282	2.2380
Log Likelihood		-79.5982	
Full Log Likelihood		-17.9413	
AIC (smaller is better)		39.8826	
AICC (smaller is better)		42.2826	
BIC (smaller is better)		40.0415	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-3.7114	0.7203	-5.1230	-2.2997	26.55	<.0001
Ldose	1	2.4154	0.4392	1.5545	3.2763	30.24	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a separate response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Brom_Hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
F 25 2 16
F 35 8 15
F 60 14 15
F 100 5 5
M 15 2 14
M 25 12 24
M 60 18 24
M 100 22 29
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
by sex;
run;
```

PROBIT data response data for females

The PROBIT Procedure
SEX=F

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	16.43144	8.15107	21.49266
0.02	17.97236	9.63099	22.92356
0.03	19.02413	10.70101	23.89236
0.04	19.85571	11.57981	24.65614
0.05	20.55887	12.34451	25.30173
0.06	21.17695	13.03233	25.86990
0.07	21.73416	13.66442	26.38333
0.08	22.24551	14.25403	26.85604
0.09	22.72099	14.81011	27.29737
0.10	23.16766	15.33900	27.71390
0.15	25.11235	17.70605	29.55935
0.20	26.77369	19.79085	31.19874
0.25	28.28630	21.71345	32.76860
0.30	29.71737	23.52859	34.34759
0.35	31.10801	25.26389	35.99487
0.40	32.48772	26.93396	37.76336
0.45	33.88083	28.54785	39.70520
0.50	35.31015	30.11417	41.87493
0.55	36.79978	31.64484	44.33290
0.60	38.37779	33.15778	47.15131
0.65	40.07993	34.67867	50.42510
0.70	41.95549	36.24320	54.29142
0.75	44.07811	37.90194	58.96601
0.80	46.56836	39.73201	64.81982
0.85	49.64916	41.86747	72.56991
0.90	53.81670	44.59347	83.88495
0.91	54.87466	45.26228	86.90292
0.92	56.04758	45.99447	90.31601
0.93	57.36622	46.80689	94.23659
0.94	58.87565	47.72401	98.83167
0.95	60.64569	48.78356	104.36445
0.96	62.79337	50.04821	111.28458
0.97	65.53818	51.63447	120.45539

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.98	69.37356	53.80123	133.87668
0.99	75.87936	57.36342	158.23782

PROBIT data response data for males

The PROBIT Procedure
SEX=M

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	1.69905	0.06032	5.23448
0.02	2.40133	0.12068	6.61668
0.03	2.99073	0.18726	7.68158
0.04	3.52767	0.26051	8.59733
0.05	4.03476	0.34067	9.42480
0.06	4.52341	0.42796	10.19391
0.07	5.00032	0.52261	10.92195
0.08	5.46985	0.62488	11.61993
0.09	5.93505	0.73505	12.29544
0.10	6.39816	0.85342	12.95394
0.15	8.73280	1.58035	16.10983
0.20	11.18216	2.57047	19.22043
0.25	13.82425	3.88779	22.44361
0.30	16.72493	5.61323	25.90675
0.35	19.95352	7.84607	29.75312
0.40	23.59161	10.70206	34.18008
0.45	27.74167	14.30288	39.49439
0.50	32.53807	18.74880	46.20744
0.55	38.16374	24.07220	55.19454
0.60	44.87722	30.20571	67.92685
0.65	53.05961	37.04530	86.78666
0.70	63.30224	44.61993	115.66884
0.75	76.58469	53.24086	161.55891
0.80	94.67989	63.61191	238.81221
0.85	121.23554	77.13468	382.18715
0.90	165.47360	97.07715	699.34498
0.91	178.38534	102.48453	810.31221
0.92	193.55648	108.65379	951.31678
0.93	211.73141	115.81460	1135
0.94	234.05458	124.31196	1384
0.95	262.40097	134.69642	1735
0.96	300.12060	147.92234	2265
0.97	354.00188	165.85495	3146

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.98	440.89098	192.91465	4872
0.99	623.12650	244.39348	9726

Difenacoum

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Difen_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 1 0 5
F 1.3 5 16
F 1.35 5 16
F 1.4 8 16
F 1.5 9 15
F 2.5 4 5
F 5 5 5
M 0.5 0 14
M 0.8 2 6
M 1 6 21
M 1.1 9 15
M 1.2 9 10
M 1.3 6 9
M 1.4 5 5
M 1.5 4 5
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFEN_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	15
Number of Observations Used	15
Number of Events	77
Number of Trials	163

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	77
2 Nonevent	86

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	11	11.9217	1.0838
Scaled Deviance	11	11.9217	1.0838
Pearson Chi-Square	11	10.6453	0.9678
Scaled Pearson X2	11	10.6453	0.9678
Log Likelihood		-87.4826	
Full Log Likelihood		-20.6575	
AIC (smaller is better)		49.3149	
AICC (smaller is better)		53.3149	
BIC (smaller is better)		52.1471	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-0.1841	0.1794	-0.5358	0.1676	1.05	0.3049	
SEX	F	1	-0.9622	0.4196	-1.7846	-0.1397	5.26	0.0219
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	1	6.3983	2.3464	1.7994	10.9972	7.44	0.0064
Ldose*SEX	M	1	8.3841	2.1523	4.1658	12.6025	15.18	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFEN_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	15
Number of Observations Used	15
Number of Events	77
Number of Trials	163

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	77
2 Nonevent	86

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	12	12.3073	1.0256
Scaled Deviance	12	12.3073	1.0256
Pearson Chi-Square	12	11.6897	0.9741
Scaled Pearson X2	12	11.6897	0.9741
Log Likelihood		-87.6753	
Full Log Likelihood		-20.8502	
AIC (smaller is better)		47.7005	
AICC (smaller is better)		49.8823	
BIC (smaller is better)		49.8246	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-0.1528	0.1668	-0.4796	0.1741	0.84	0.3597	
SEX	F	1	-1.1656	0.2786	-1.7118	-0.6195	17.50	<.0001
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	7.5675	1.5587	4.5125	10.6225	23.57	<.0001	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFEN_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	15
Number of Observations Used	15
Number of Events	77
Number of Trials	163

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	77
2 Nonevent	86

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	13	31.5551	2.4273
Scaled Deviance	13	31.5551	2.4273
Pearson Chi-Square	13	26.6320	2.0486
Scaled Pearson X2	13	26.6320	2.0486
Log Likelihood		-97.2993	
Full Log Likelihood		-30.4742	
AIC (smaller is better)		64.9483	
AICC (smaller is better)		65.9483	
BIC (smaller is better)		66.3644	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-0.4295	0.1354	-0.6949	-0.1641	10.06	0.0015
Ldose	1	4.1941	0.9655	2.3018	6.0864	18.87	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a parallel response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Difen_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
F 1 0 5
F 1.3 5 16
F 1.35 5 16
F 1.4 8 16
F 1.5 9 15
F 2.5 4 5
F 5 5 5
M 0.5 0 14
M 0.8 2 6
M 1 6 21
M 1.1 9 15
M 1.2 9 10
M 1.3 6 9
M 1.4 5 5
M 1.5 4 5
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex dose;
run;
```

PROBIT response data for females

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	0.73588	0.46808	0.90005
0.02	0.79952	0.53675	0.95696
0.03	0.84272	0.58525	0.99525
0.04	0.87675	0.62446	1.02529
0.05	0.90545	0.65816	1.05059
0.06	0.93061	0.68818	1.07277
0.07	0.95324	0.71553	1.09275
0.08	0.97398	0.74084	1.11109
0.09	0.99323	0.76456	1.12817
0.10	1.01128	0.78697	1.14425
0.15	1.08959	0.88571	1.21501
0.20	1.15613	0.97068	1.27735
0.25	1.21644	1.04736	1.33677
0.30	1.27328	1.11818	1.39648
0.35	1.32832	1.18428	1.45883
0.40	1.38275	1.24633	1.52579
0.45	1.43752	1.30491	1.59907
0.50	1.49355	1.36074	1.68015
0.55	1.55177	1.41479	1.77055
0.60	1.61324	1.46822	1.87208
0.65	1.67934	1.52237	1.98731
0.70	1.75193	1.57884	2.12013
0.75	1.83379	1.63968	2.27683
0.80	1.92946	1.70795	2.46823
0.85	2.04729	1.78892	2.71507
0.90	2.20582	1.89384	3.06495
0.91	2.24592	1.91979	3.15651
0.92	2.29030	1.94827	3.25926
0.93	2.34012	1.97995	3.37632
0.94	2.39704	2.01580	3.51227
0.95	2.46365	2.05733	3.67430
0.96	2.54427	2.10702	3.87460

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.97	2.64702	2.16949	4.13635
0.98	2.79005	2.25504	4.51265
0.99	3.03134	2.39603	5.17804

PROBIT data response data for males

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	0.51615	0.30692	0.64895
0.02	0.56079	0.35213	0.68961
0.03	0.59109	0.38412	0.71688
0.04	0.61496	0.41003	0.73821
0.05	0.63508	0.43234	0.75611
0.06	0.65273	0.45225	0.77175
0.07	0.66861	0.47041	0.78580
0.08	0.68315	0.48727	0.79865
0.09	0.69665	0.50308	0.81057
0.10	0.70931	0.51807	0.82175
0.15	0.76424	0.58455	0.87036
0.20	0.81091	0.64265	0.91213
0.25	0.85321	0.69620	0.95075
0.30	0.89308	0.74703	0.98821
0.35	0.93169	0.79613	1.02593
0.40	0.96986	0.84405	1.06514
0.45	1.00828	0.89105	1.10710
0.50	1.04758	0.93726	1.15321
0.55	1.08841	0.98276	1.20503
0.60	1.13153	1.02777	1.26434
0.65	1.17789	1.07278	1.33328
0.70	1.22881	1.11869	1.41460
0.75	1.28623	1.16695	1.51246
0.80	1.35333	1.21983	1.63383
0.85	1.43597	1.28128	1.79214
0.90	1.54717	1.35959	2.01839
0.91	1.57529	1.37880	2.07780
0.92	1.60642	1.39983	2.14455
0.93	1.64136	1.42317	2.22067
0.94	1.68129	1.44953	2.30916
0.95	1.72801	1.47998	2.41472
0.96	1.78456	1.51634	2.54532
0.97	1.85662	1.56196	2.71612

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.98	1.95694	1.62430	2.96186
0.99	2.12618	1.72679	3.39673

Difethialone

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Difeth_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.4 0 11
F 0.5 0 20
F 0.75 2 6
F 1 12 25
F 1.2 4 16
M 0.4 0 15
M 0.5 3 20
M 1 5 15
M 1.2 4 8
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFETH_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	30
Number of Trials	136

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	30
2 Nonevent	106

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	10.7915	2.1583
Scaled Deviance	5	10.7915	2.1583
Pearson Chi-Square	5	9.4118	1.8824
Scaled Pearson X2	5	9.4118	1.8824
Log Likelihood		-59.0679	
Full Log Likelihood		-14.0944	
AIC (smaller is better)		36.1889	
AICC (smaller is better)		46.1889	
BIC (smaller is better)		36.9778	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-0.3264	0.2436	-0.8039	0.1511	1.79	0.1804	
SEX	F	1	-0.0996	0.3022	-0.6919	0.4926	0.11	0.7416
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	1	4.4639	1.3905	1.7386	7.1891	10.31	0.0013
Ldose*SEX	M	1	3.3081	1.1249	1.1033	5.5129	8.65	0.0033
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFETH_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	30
Number of Trials	136

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	30
2 Nonevent	106

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	6	11.2236	1.8706
Scaled Deviance	6	11.2236	1.8706
Pearson Chi-Square	6	9.7138	1.6190
Scaled Pearson X2	6	9.7138	1.6190
Log Likelihood		-59.2840	
Full Log Likelihood		-14.3105	
AIC (smaller is better)		34.6210	
AICC (smaller is better)		39.4210	
BIC (smaller is better)		35.2127	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-0.2693	0.2269	-0.7141	0.1755	1.41	0.2353
SEX	F	1	-0.1790	0.2751	-0.7181	0.3601	0.5152
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	3.8047	0.8535	2.1318	5.4775	19.87	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFETH_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	9
Number of Observations Used	9
Number of Events	30
Number of Trials	136

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
SEX	2	F M

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Total Frequency
1	Event	30
2	Nonevent	106

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	7	11.6482	1.6640
Scaled Deviance	7	11.6482	1.6640
Pearson Chi-Square	7	9.9977	1.4282
Scaled Pearson X2	7	9.9977	1.4282
Log Likelihood		-59.4963	
Full Log Likelihood		-14.5228	
AIC (smaller is better)		33.0456	
AICC (smaller is better)		35.0456	
BIC (smaller is better)		33.4400	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-0.3842	0.1437	-0.6658	-0.1026	7.15	0.0075
Ldose	1	3.6941	0.8299	2.0676	5.3206	19.81	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Difeth_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 0.45 0 11
F 0.5 0 20
F 0.75 2 6
F 1 12 25
F 1.2 4 16
M 0.4 0 15
M 0.5 3 20
M 1 5 15
M 1.2 4 8
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```


The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	0.30222	0.13000	0.42641
0.02	0.35759	0.17474	0.48161
0.03	0.39787	0.21059	0.52080
0.04	0.43114	0.24215	0.55279
0.05	0.46024	0.27110	0.58061
0.06	0.48655	0.29829	0.60574
0.07	0.51086	0.32420	0.62899
0.08	0.53365	0.34911	0.65091
0.09	0.55526	0.37324	0.67185
0.10	0.57592	0.39672	0.69208
0.15	0.66998	0.50689	0.78838
0.20	0.75558	0.60742	0.88659
0.25	0.83768	0.69848	0.99589
0.30	0.91898	0.78015	1.12203
0.35	1.00135	0.85416	1.26807
0.40	1.08633	0.92316	1.43607
0.45	1.17540	0.98972	1.62876
0.50	1.27019	1.05598	1.85050
0.55	1.37262	1.12376	2.10786
0.60	1.48517	1.19486	2.41056
0.65	1.61120	1.27126	2.77312
0.70	1.75561	1.35553	3.21804
0.75	1.92600	1.45135	3.78221
0.80	2.13528	1.56470	4.53148
0.85	2.40809	1.70663	5.59880
0.90	2.80139	1.90190	7.31246
0.91	2.90564	1.95210	7.80052
0.92	3.02330	2.00805	8.36808
0.93	3.15818	2.07132	9.04034
0.94	3.31595	2.14424	9.85571
0.95	3.50552	2.23040	10.87644
0.96	3.74214	2.33589	12.21242
0.97	4.05502	2.47220	14.08315
0.98	4.51182	2.66542	17.02328

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability dose 95% Fiducial Limits

0.99 5.33850 3.00028 22.95837

Flocoumafen

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Floc_hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.25 0 15
F 0.3 0 15
F 0.4 0 16
F 0.45 3 13
F 0.5 17 26
F 0.65 7 10
F 1 17 17
M 0.25 0 5
M 0.3 2 5
M 0.4 6 15
M 0.45 8 15
M 0.5 10 13
M 0.8 5 10
M 1 9 9
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.FLOC_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	14
Number of Observations Used	14
Number of Events	84
Number of Trials	184

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	84
2 Nonevent	100

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	10	22.3893	2.2389
Scaled Deviance	10	22.3893	2.2389
Pearson Chi-Square	10	20.3119	2.0312
Scaled Pearson X2	10	20.3119	2.0312
Log Likelihood		-78.8750	
Full Log Likelihood		-22.7010	
AIC (smaller is better)		53.4021	
AICC (smaller is better)		57.8465	
BIC (smaller is better)		55.9583	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	1.1409	0.3566	0.4419	1.8399	10.23	0.0014	
SEX	F	1	2.2489	0.8989	0.4872	4.0107	6.26	0.0124
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	1	11.5797	2.5885	6.5064	16.6531	20.01	<.0001
Ldose*SEX	M	1	3.2426	1.0210	1.2415	5.2437	10.09	0.0015
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.FLOC_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	14
Number of Observations Used	14
Number of Events	84
Number of Trials	184

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	84
2 Nonevent	100

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	11	36.7823	3.3438
Scaled Deviance	11	36.7823	3.3438
Pearson Chi-Square	11	42.9805	3.9073
Scaled Pearson X2	11	42.9805	3.9073
Log Likelihood		-86.0715	
Full Log Likelihood		-29.8975	
AIC (smaller is better)		65.7951	
AICC (smaller is better)		68.1951	
BIC (smaller is better)		67.7123	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	1.9801	0.3152	1.3623	2.5979	39.46	<.0001	
SEX	F	1	-0.3851	0.2210	-0.8183	0.0481	3.04	0.0814
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	5.8759	0.8330	4.2434	7.5085	49.76	<.0001	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.FLOC_HMR
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	14
Number of Observations Used	14
Number of Events	84
Number of Trials	184

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	84
2 Nonevent	100

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	12	39.8354	3.3196
Scaled Deviance	12	39.8354	3.3196
Pearson Chi-Square	12	39.8039	3.3170
Scaled Pearson X2	12	39.8039	3.3170
Log Likelihood		-87.5981	
Full Log Likelihood		-31.4241	
AIC (smaller is better)		66.8481	
AICC (smaller is better)		67.9390	
BIC (smaller is better)		68.1262	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	1.7722	0.2885	1.2067 2.3377	37.73	<.0001
ldose	1	5.8963	0.8280	4.2734 7.5191	50.71	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000 1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a separate response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Floc_Hmr;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
F 0.25 0 15
F 0.3 0 15
F 0.4 0 16
F 0.45 3 13
F 0.5 17 26
F 0.65 7 10
F 1 17 17
M 0.25 0 5
M 0.3 2 5
M 0.4 6 15
M 0.45 8 15
M 0.5 10 13
M 0.8 5 10
M 1 9 9
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
by sex;
run;
```

PROBIT response data for females

The PROBIT Procedure
SEX=F

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	0.32090	0.22875	0.36894
0.02	0.33877	0.25150	0.38375
0.03	0.35062	0.26702	0.39355
0.04	0.35981	0.27928	0.40116
0.05	0.36746	0.28963	0.40751
0.06	0.37411	0.29870	0.41304
0.07	0.38003	0.30686	0.41800
0.08	0.38541	0.31433	0.42252
0.09	0.39037	0.32125	0.42672
0.10	0.39499	0.32772	0.43065
0.15	0.41472	0.35557	0.44782
0.20	0.43110	0.37871	0.46277
0.25	0.44567	0.39899	0.47690
0.30	0.45917	0.41722	0.49103
0.35	0.47205	0.43382	0.50569
0.40	0.48460	0.44905	0.52133
0.45	0.49706	0.46310	0.53830
0.50	0.50964	0.47621	0.55687
0.55	0.52253	0.48866	0.57731
0.60	0.53597	0.50074	0.59992
0.65	0.55022	0.51277	0.62516
0.70	0.56565	0.52510	0.65373
0.75	0.58279	0.53817	0.68676
0.80	0.60248	0.55258	0.72620
0.85	0.62628	0.56936	0.77572
0.90	0.65756	0.59065	0.84363
0.91	0.66534	0.59584	0.86101
0.92	0.67391	0.60151	0.88032
0.93	0.68345	0.60777	0.90210
0.94	0.69427	0.61482	0.92711
0.95	0.70682	0.62292	0.95654
0.96	0.72185	0.63253	0.99237

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.97	0.74077	0.64449	1.03836
0.98	0.76669	0.66066	1.10294
0.99	0.80939	0.68683	1.21326

PROBIT response data for males

The PROBIT Procedure
SEX=M

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	0.08526	0.00506	0.16920
0.02	0.10346	0.00836	0.19123
0.03	0.11698	0.01150	0.20675
0.04	0.12831	0.01462	0.21930
0.05	0.13832	0.01776	0.23012
0.06	0.14746	0.02095	0.23978
0.07	0.15597	0.02422	0.24862
0.08	0.16400	0.02757	0.25685
0.09	0.17166	0.03102	0.26459
0.10	0.17903	0.03457	0.27196
0.15	0.21307	0.05406	0.30517
0.20	0.24468	0.07692	0.33527
0.25	0.27552	0.10381	0.36450
0.30	0.30650	0.13538	0.39437
0.35	0.33832	0.17227	0.42640
0.40	0.37155	0.21491	0.46262
0.45	0.40682	0.26318	0.50632
0.50	0.44479	0.31570	0.56310
0.55	0.48630	0.36951	0.64182
0.60	0.53246	0.42136	0.75437
0.65	0.58477	0.47019	0.91503
0.70	0.64547	0.51756	1.14365
0.75	0.71806	0.56638	1.47454
0.80	0.80855	0.62036	1.97522
0.85	0.92850	0.68502	2.79655
0.90	1.10504	0.77149	4.35689
0.91	1.15248	0.79346	4.85239
0.92	1.20634	0.81788	5.45587
0.93	1.26847	0.84542	6.20771
0.94	1.34164	0.87707	7.17216
0.95	1.43026	0.91439	8.45840
0.96	1.54189	0.95999	10.27019

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.97	1.69114	1.01883	13.04229
0.98	1.91213	1.10214	17.92717
0.99	2.32052	1.24647	29.62260

APPENDIX 3 Chapter 4 GENMOD and PROBIT analysis output for a resistant strain of House mouse heterozygous for the *VKORC1* mutation Y139C

Deviances are highlighted

Brodifacoum

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Brod_wildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.38 0 20
F 0.45 3 12
F 0.6 14 15
M 0.38 1 12
M 0.6 7 12
M 1 16 20
M 1.3 15 15
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROD_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	56
Number of Trials	106

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	56
2 Nonevent	50

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	3	3.5053	1.1684
Scaled Deviance	3	3.5053	1.1684
Pearson Chi-Square	3	2.8028	0.9343
Scaled Pearson X2	3	2.8028	0.9343
Log Likelihood		-33.7750	
Full Log Likelihood		-8.0282	
AIC (smaller is better)		24.0564	
AICC (smaller is better)		44.0564	
BIC (smaller is better)		23.8400	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	1.1383	0.2673	0.6143	1.6622	18.13	<.0001
SEX	F	1	4.7721	1.4126	2.0035	7.5407	0.0007
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	1	19.4446	4.2981	11.0204	27.8688	<.0001
Ldose*SEX	M	1	5.5088	1.1831	3.1900	7.8276	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROD_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	56
Number of Trials	106

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	56
2 Nonevent	50

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	17.9915	4.4979
Scaled Deviance	4	17.9915	4.4979
Pearson Chi-Square	4	14.9786	3.7447
Scaled Pearson X2	4	14.9786	3.7447
Log Likelihood		-41.0181	
Full Log Likelihood		-15.2713	
AIC (smaller is better)		36.5426	
AICC (smaller is better)		44.5426	
BIC (smaller is better)		36.3803	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	1.4320	0.2955	0.8529	2.0111	23.49	<.0001	
SEX	F	1	0.6838	0.3868	-0.0743	1.4418	3.13	0.0771
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	7.5530	1.2637	5.0763	10.0297	35.73	<.0001	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROD_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	56
Number of Trials	106

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	56
2 Nonevent	50

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	21.3369	4.2674
Scaled Deviance	5	21.3369	4.2674
Pearson Chi-Square	5	18.4740	3.6948
Scaled Pearson X2	5	18.4740	3.6948
Log Likelihood		-42.6908	
Full Log Likelihood		-16.9440	
AIC (smaller is better)		37.8879	
AICC (smaller is better)		40.8879	
BIC (smaller is better)		37.7798	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	1.5043	0.2923	0.9314 2.0772	26.49	<.0001
ldose	1	6.3561	1.0125	4.3716 8.3406	39.41	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000 1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a separate response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Brod_WildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
F 0.38 0 20
F 0.45 3 12
F 0.6 14 15
M 0.38 1 12
M 0.6 7 12
M 1 16 20
M 1.3 15 15
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
by sex;
run;
```

PROBIT response data for females

The PROBIT Procedure
SEX=F

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	0.377052	0.305200	0.415056
0.02	0.389422	0.322259	0.425602
0.03	0.397480	0.333464	0.432572
0.04	0.403652	0.342079	0.437982
0.05	0.408742	0.349196	0.442501
0.06	0.413126	0.355326	0.446439
0.07	0.417008	0.360752	0.449969
0.08	0.420515	0.365646	0.453194
0.09	0.423730	0.370125	0.456185
0.10	0.426711	0.374267	0.458991
0.15	0.439278	0.391569	0.471211
0.20	0.449530	0.405381	0.481757
0.25	0.458515	0.417160	0.491531
0.30	0.466737	0.427599	0.500982
0.35	0.474488	0.437090	0.510386
0.40	0.481961	0.445889	0.519940
0.45	0.489304	0.454181	0.529809
0.50	0.496640	0.462115	0.540145
0.55	0.504085	0.469820	0.551113
0.60	0.511765	0.477424	0.562906
0.65	0.519826	0.485063	0.575775
0.70	0.528458	0.492899	0.590069
0.75	0.537934	0.501148	0.606317
0.80	0.548687	0.510129	0.625386
0.85	0.561491	0.520396	0.648877
0.90	0.578028	0.533111	0.680324
0.91	0.582095	0.536161	0.688232
0.92	0.586545	0.539468	0.696961
0.93	0.591478	0.543099	0.706725
0.94	0.597036	0.547149	0.717834
0.95	0.603439	0.551767	0.730770
0.96	0.611049	0.557192	0.746332
0.97	0.620536	0.563871	0.766006

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.98	0.633376	0.572776	0.793098
0.99	0.654155	0.586909	0.838028

PROBIT response data for males

The PROBIT Procedure
SEX=M

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	0.23500	0.10080	0.33779
0.02	0.26337	0.12233	0.36716
0.03	0.28311	0.13826	0.38725
0.04	0.29893	0.15157	0.40318
0.05	0.31245	0.16330	0.41669
0.06	0.32444	0.17398	0.42861
0.07	0.33533	0.18389	0.43939
0.08	0.34539	0.19322	0.44932
0.09	0.35480	0.20210	0.45858
0.10	0.36369	0.21062	0.46732
0.15	0.40293	0.24960	0.50585
0.20	0.43711	0.28522	0.53955
0.25	0.46874	0.31933	0.57112
0.30	0.49909	0.35286	0.60198
0.35	0.52896	0.38641	0.63314
0.40	0.55896	0.42038	0.66549
0.45	0.58961	0.45507	0.69991
0.50	0.62140	0.49073	0.73744
0.55	0.65491	0.52758	0.77933
0.60	0.69082	0.56586	0.82726
0.65	0.72999	0.60589	0.88346
0.70	0.77369	0.64820	0.95113
0.75	0.82378	0.69372	1.03512
0.80	0.88339	0.74421	1.14346
0.85	0.95833	0.80311	1.29151
0.90	1.06172	0.87825	1.51499
0.91	1.08832	0.89670	1.57581
0.92	1.11798	0.91692	1.64511
0.93	1.15152	0.93939	1.72538
0.94	1.19017	0.96481	1.82028
0.95	1.23583	0.99426	1.93565
0.96	1.29173	1.02954	2.08151
0.97	1.36392	1.07403	2.27727

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.98	1.46617	1.13526	2.56831
0.99	1.64312	1.23720	3.10872

Bromadiolone

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Brom_wildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 5 1 14
F 15 11 15
F 20 14 15
F 23.3 15 15
M 5 0 9
M 10 5 15
M 20 14 14
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROM_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	60
Number of Trials	97

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	60
2 Nonevent	37

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	3	1.5060	0.5020
Scaled Deviance	3	1.5060	0.5020
Pearson Chi-Square	3	1.0272	0.3424
Scaled Pearson X2	3	1.0272	0.3424
Log Likelihood		-26.2758	
Full Log Likelihood		-5.7024	
AIC (smaller is better)		19.4049	
AICC (smaller is better)		39.4049	
BIC (smaller is better)		19.1885	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-24.9464	0.3348	-25.6025	-24.2903	5553.51	<.0001
SEX	F	19.7716	1.2593	17.3033	22.2398	246.49	<.0001
SEX	M	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	5.1162	1.0487	3.0608	7.1716	23.80	<.0001
Ldose*SEX	M	24.5157	0.0000	24.5157	24.5157	.	.
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROM_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	60
Number of Trials	97

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	60
2 Nonevent	37

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	4.5214	1.1303
Scaled Deviance	4	4.5214	1.1303
Pearson Chi-Square	4	3.6895	0.9224
Scaled Pearson X2	4	3.6895	0.9224
Log Likelihood		-27.7835	
Full Log Likelihood		-7.2101	
AIC (smaller is better)		20.4203	
AICC (smaller is better)		28.4203	
BIC (smaller is better)		20.2580	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-6.2699	1.0968	-8.4197	-4.1202	32.68	<.0001
SEX	F	1	0.0887	0.3900	-0.6757	0.8532	0.05
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	5.9963	1.0113	4.0141	7.9785	35.15	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.BROM_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	60
Number of Trials	97

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	60
2 Nonevent	37

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	4.5728	0.9146
Scaled Deviance	5	4.5728	0.9146
Pearson Chi-Square	5	4.0504	0.8101
Scaled Pearson X2	5	4.0504	0.8101
Log Likelihood		-27.8092	
Full Log Likelihood		-7.2358	
AIC (smaller is better)		18.4717	
AICC (smaller is better)		21.4717	
BIC (smaller is better)		18.3635	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	-6.2939	1.1045	-8.4588	-4.1291	32.47	<.0001
Ldose	1	6.0613	0.9841	4.1325	7.9901	37.94	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Brom_WildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 5 1 14
F 15 11 15
F 20 14 15
F 23.3 15 15
M 5 0 9
M 10 5 15
M 20 14 14
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	4.51414	2.70089	5.96898
0.02	5.00667	3.13487	6.47542
0.03	5.34667	3.44464	6.82091
0.04	5.61757	3.69697	7.09425
0.05	5.84802	3.91525	7.32569
0.06	6.05160	4.11070	7.52947
0.07	6.23592	4.28967	7.71352
0.08	6.40572	4.45616	7.88278
0.09	6.56415	4.61284	8.04053
0.10	6.71346	4.76162	8.18907
0.15	7.36861	5.42605	8.84091
0.20	7.93462	6.01278	9.40650
0.25	8.45472	6.55955	9.93093
0.30	8.95079	7.08540	10.43765
0.35	9.43641	7.60199	10.94210
0.40	9.92155	8.11754	11.45660
0.45	10.41466	8.63860	11.99265
0.50	10.92388	9.17105	12.56245
0.55	11.45799	9.72085	13.18026
0.60	12.02747	10.29475	13.86405
0.65	12.64583	10.90135	14.63788
0.70	13.33192	11.55268	15.53592
0.75	14.11414	12.26726	16.61022
0.80	15.03931	13.07621	17.94738
0.85	16.19452	14.03817	19.71038
0.90	17.77492	15.28451	22.27131
0.91	18.17921	15.59277	22.95143
0.92	18.62885	15.93127	23.71896
0.93	19.13610	16.30808	24.59840
0.94	19.71896	16.73500	25.62603
0.95	20.40541	17.23025	26.85883
0.96	21.24250	17.82427	28.39369
0.97	22.31880	18.57388	30.41572
0.98	23.83444	19.60613	33.35086

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.99	26.43495	21.32454	38.61003

Difenacoum

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Difen_wildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.65 0 9
F 0.72 15 28
F 0.8 15 20
F 0.99 12 12
M 0.83 2 20
M 0.93 12 15
M 1.05 15 15
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFEN_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	71
Number of Trials	119

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	71
2 Nonevent	48

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	3	3.9359	1.3120
Scaled Deviance	3	3.9359	1.3120
Pearson Chi-Square	3	2.8439	0.9480
Scaled Pearson X2	3	2.8439	0.9480
Log Likelihood		-46.5590	
Full Log Likelihood		-8.1045	
AIC (smaller is better)		24.2090	
AICC (smaller is better)		44.2090	
BIC (smaller is better)		23.9926	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.2204	0.6225	1.0003	3.4406	12.72	0.0004
SEX F	1	0.8621	1.0420	-1.1802	2.9044	0.68	0.4081
SEX M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX F	1	22.6516	6.2132	10.4740	34.8291	13.29	0.0003
Ldose*SEX M	1	43.3600	10.3160	23.1411	63.5789	17.67	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFEN_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	71
Number of Trials	119

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	71
2 Nonevent	48

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	7.2068	1.8017
Scaled Deviance	4	7.2068	1.8017
Pearson Chi-Square	4	6.8876	1.7219
Scaled Pearson X2	4	6.8876	1.7219
Log Likelihood		-48.1944	
Full Log Likelihood		-9.7399	
AIC (smaller is better)		25.4799	
AICC (smaller is better)		33.4799	
BIC (smaller is better)		25.3176	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	1.5194	0.3570	0.8196	2.2192	18.11	<.0001	
SEX	F	1	2.5615	0.5151	1.5520	3.5710	24.73	<.0001
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	30.2513	5.2392	19.9826	40.5199	33.34	<.0001	
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFEN_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	71
Number of Trials	119

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	71
2 Nonevent	48

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	40.2920	8.0584
Scaled Deviance	5	40.2920	8.0584
Pearson Chi-Square	5	35.9146	7.1829
Scaled Pearson X2	5	35.9146	7.1829
Log Likelihood		-64.7370	
Full Log Likelihood		-26.2825	
AIC (smaller is better)		56.5651	
AICC (smaller is better)		59.5651	
BIC (smaller is better)		56.4569	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	1.2774	0.2545	0.7786 1.7763	25.19	<.0001
ldose	1	11.9195	2.3893	7.2366 16.6025	24.89	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000 1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a parallel response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Difen_wildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
F 0.65 0 9
F 0.72 15 28
F 0.8 15 20
F 0.99 12 12
M 0.83 2 20
M 0.93 12 15
M 1.05 15 15
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex dose;
run;
```

PROBIT data response data for females

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	0.614042	0.554889	0.647432
0.02	0.626916	0.572208	0.657984
0.03	0.635224	0.583428	0.664823
0.04	0.641546	0.591982	0.670049
0.05	0.646735	0.599009	0.674357
0.06	0.651184	0.605037	0.678067
0.07	0.655111	0.610356	0.681355
0.08	0.658647	0.615143	0.684329
0.09	0.661879	0.619516	0.687059
0.10	0.664868	0.623557	0.689595
0.15	0.677389	0.640415	0.700366
0.20	0.687508	0.653908	0.709295
0.25	0.696310	0.665487	0.717286
0.30	0.704311	0.675833	0.724782
0.35	0.711806	0.685323	0.732055
0.40	0.718992	0.694194	0.739299
0.45	0.726014	0.702607	0.746671
0.50	0.732992	0.710687	0.754316
0.55	0.740036	0.718539	0.762379
0.60	0.747264	0.726270	0.771017
0.65	0.754808	0.733998	0.780421
0.70	0.762841	0.741869	0.790840
0.75	0.771606	0.750088	0.802637
0.80	0.781484	0.758961	0.816394
0.85	0.793158	0.769023	0.833177
0.90	0.808095	0.781391	0.855311
0.91	0.811745	0.784345	0.860814
0.92	0.815729	0.787544	0.866857
0.93	0.820132	0.791050	0.873580
0.94	0.825077	0.794956	0.881181
0.95	0.830753	0.799401	0.889969
0.96	0.837473	0.804615	0.900449
0.97	0.845807	0.811020	0.913562

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.98	0.857016	0.819538	0.931374
0.99	0.874984	0.833005	0.960317

PROBIT data response data for males

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial	Limits
0.01	0.746229	0.675622	0.787572
0.02	0.761874	0.696407	0.800757
0.03	0.771970	0.709832	0.809342
0.04	0.779653	0.720042	0.815927
0.05	0.785959	0.728412	0.821373
0.06	0.791367	0.735577	0.826077
0.07	0.796139	0.741888	0.830256
0.08	0.800436	0.747558	0.834046
0.09	0.804364	0.752728	0.837534
0.10	0.807997	0.757498	0.840781
0.15	0.823213	0.777308	0.854647
0.20	0.835511	0.793050	0.866237
0.25	0.846207	0.806475	0.876667
0.30	0.855930	0.818409	0.886483
0.35	0.865039	0.829316	0.896011
0.40	0.873772	0.839493	0.905477
0.45	0.882306	0.849152	0.915062
0.50	0.890785	0.858456	0.924927
0.55	0.899346	0.867550	0.935235
0.60	0.908130	0.876573	0.946168
0.65	0.917298	0.885675	0.957950
0.70	0.927060	0.895040	0.970885
0.75	0.937712	0.904912	0.985414
0.80	0.949717	0.915664	1.002253
0.85	0.963904	0.927940	1.022705
0.90	0.982057	0.943104	1.049607
0.91	0.986492	0.946733	1.056289
0.92	0.991333	0.950663	1.063627
0.93	0.996684	0.954973	1.071789
0.94	1.002694	0.959775	1.081018
0.95	1.009592	0.965239	1.091687
0.96	1.017758	0.971648	1.104415
0.97	1.027887	0.979519	1.120342

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.98	1.041508	0.989979	1.141986
0.99	1.063344	1.006500	1.177179

Difethialone

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Difeth_wildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.8 9 15
F 1.2 9 13
F 1.5 12 15
F 1.8 15 15
M 0.5 0 15
M 0.8 3 5
M 1.5 12 15
M 1.9 6 6
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFETH_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	8
Number of Observations Used	8
Number of Events	66
Number of Trials	99

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Total Frequency
1	Event	66
2	Nonevent	33

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	7.8804	1.9701
Scaled Deviance	4	7.8804	1.9701
Pearson Chi-Square	4	6.2497	1.5624
Scaled Pearson X2	4	6.2497	1.5624
Log Likelihood		-40.4366	
Full Log Likelihood		-10.8030	
AIC (smaller is better)		29.6060	
AICC (smaller is better)		42.9393	
BIC (smaller is better)		29.9237	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	0.0430	0.2779	-0.5016	0.5877	0.02	0.8769	
SEX	F	1	0.4232	0.3517	-0.2661	1.1125	1.45	0.2289
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX	F	1	3.6931	1.4643	0.8232	6.5629	6.36	0.0117
Ldose*SEX	M	1	5.9924	1.3820	3.2836	8.7011	18.80	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000			

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFETH_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	8
Number of Observations Used	8
Number of Events	66
Number of Trials	99

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	66
2 Nonevent	33

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	9.2220	1.8444
Scaled Deviance	5	9.2220	1.8444
Pearson Chi-Square	5	7.4372	1.4874
Scaled Pearson X2	5	7.4372	1.4874
Log Likelihood		-41.1075	
Full Log Likelihood		-11.4738	
AIC (smaller is better)		28.9476	
AICC (smaller is better)		34.9476	
BIC (smaller is better)		29.1860	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	0.0646	0.2561	-0.4373	0.5664	0.06	0.8009
SEX	F	1	0.3225	0.3259	-0.3163	0.9613	0.3225
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	5.0144	0.9495	3.1534	6.8754	27.89	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.DIFETH_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	8
Number of Observations Used	8
Number of Events	66
Number of Trials	99

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX 2 F M

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Total Frequency
1	Event	66
2	Nonevent	33

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	6	10.1919	1.6987
Scaled Deviance	6	10.1919	1.6987
Pearson Chi-Square	6	7.9410	1.3235
Scaled Pearson X2	6	7.9410	1.3235
Log Likelihood		-41.5924	
Full Log Likelihood		-11.9588	
AIC (smaller is better)		27.9175	
AICC (smaller is better)		30.3175	
BIC (smaller is better)		28.0764	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	0.2629	0.1588	-0.0483 0.5741	2.74	0.0978
ldose	1	5.1621	0.9187	3.3615 6.9627	31.57	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000 1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Difeth_WildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 0.8 9 15
F 1.2 9 13
F 1.5 12 15
F 1.8 15 15
M 0.5 0 15
M 0.8 3 5
M 1.5 12 15
M 1.9 6 6
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```


The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	0.31508	0.15962	0.44234
0.02	0.35581	0.19196	0.48515
0.03	0.38435	0.21575	0.51455
0.04	0.40732	0.23553	0.53793
0.05	0.42701	0.25292	0.55779
0.06	0.44451	0.26870	0.57533
0.07	0.46045	0.28333	0.59120
0.08	0.47521	0.29708	0.60583
0.09	0.48904	0.31014	0.61949
0.10	0.50213	0.32265	0.63237
0.15	0.56014	0.37979	0.68911
0.20	0.61099	0.43188	0.73859
0.25	0.65828	0.48173	0.78468
0.30	0.70386	0.53080	0.82941
0.35	0.74891	0.58002	0.87419
0.40	0.79432	0.63008	0.92017
0.45	0.84088	0.68151	0.96853
0.50	0.88936	0.73479	1.02060
0.55	0.94063	0.79036	1.07801
0.60	0.99576	0.84869	1.14293
0.65	1.05614	0.91038	1.21832
0.70	1.12373	0.97631	1.30842
0.75	1.20154	1.04805	1.41958
0.80	1.29454	1.12850	1.56229
0.85	1.41206	1.22353	1.75624
0.90	1.57521	1.34651	2.04697
0.91	1.61736	1.37701	2.12569
0.92	1.66443	1.41057	2.21521
0.93	1.71777	1.44801	2.31863
0.94	1.77937	1.49056	2.44059
0.95	1.85232	1.54009	2.58840
0.96	1.94186	1.59977	2.77463
0.97	2.05789	1.67550	3.02349
0.98	2.22294	1.78058	3.39146

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.99	2.51036	1.95744	4.06916

Flocoumafen

Comparison of male and female PROBIT Response

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Floc_wildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
Ldose=Log10(dose);
cards;
F 0.45 3 15
F 0.5 10 15
F 0.55 19 20
M 0.35 0 12
M 0.4 2 20
M 0.5 15 20
M 0.6 14 15
;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex sex*Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=sex Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
Proc GENMOD;
class sex;
model resp/n=Ldose / dist=b link=PROBIT;
run;
```

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.FLOC_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	63
Number of Trials	117

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
------------	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	63
2 Nonevent	54

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	3	1.2402	0.4134
Scaled Deviance	3	1.2402	0.4134
Pearson Chi-Square	3	1.2475	0.4158
Scaled Pearson X2	3	1.2475	0.4158
Log Likelihood		-43.0665	
Full Log Likelihood		-8.3391	
AIC (smaller is better)		24.6783	
AICC (smaller is better)		44.6783	
BIC (smaller is better)		24.4619	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	5.7869	1.1189	3.5940	7.9798	26.75	<.0001
SEX F	1	3.2219	2.3644	-1.4123	7.8561	1.86	0.1730
SEX M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose*SEX F	1	28.4352	6.7242	15.2560	41.6144	17.88	<.0001
Ldose*SEX M	1	17.6111	3.3451	11.0548	24.1674	27.72	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.FLOC_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	63
Number of Trials	117

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	63
2 Nonevent	54

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	4	3.4265	0.8566
Scaled Deviance	4	3.4265	0.8566
Pearson Chi-Square	4	4.2944	1.0736
Scaled Pearson X2	4	4.2944	1.0736
Log Likelihood		-44.1596	
Full Log Likelihood		-9.4323	
AIC (smaller is better)		24.8646	
AICC (smaller is better)		32.8646	
BIC (smaller is better)		24.7023	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald	95% Confidence Limits	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	6.6401	1.0669	4.5489	8.7312	38.73	<.0001
SEX	F	1	-0.1528	0.3105	-0.7614	0.4559	0.6228
SEX	M	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	.	.
Ldose	1	20.2169	3.1962	13.9524	26.4814	40.01	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.FLOC_WILDHH
Distribution	Binomial
Link Function	PROBIT
Response Variable (Events)	resp
Response Variable (Trials)	n

Number of Observations Read	7
Number of Observations Used	7
Number of Events	63
Number of Trials	117

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

SEX	2 F M
-----	-------

Response Profile

Ordered Binary Outcome Value	Total Frequency
1 Event	63
2 Nonevent	54

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit

Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF
Deviance	5	3.6701	0.7340
Scaled Deviance	5	3.6701	0.7340
Pearson Chi-Square	5	3.9999	0.8000
Scaled Pearson X2	5	3.9999	0.8000
Log Likelihood		-44.2814	
Full Log Likelihood		-9.5541	
AIC (smaller is better)		23.1082	
AICC (smaller is better)		26.1082	
BIC (smaller is better)		23.0000	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	6.4606	0.9983	4.5041	8.4172	41.89	<.0001
Ldose	1	19.9094	3.1386	13.7578	26.0610	40.24	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.

PROBIT Dose Response data assuming a coincident response for males and females

SAS data input file (sex; dose; responders; number tested)

```
data Floc_WildHh;
input SEX$ dose resp n;
cards;
f 0.45 3 15
F 0.5 10 15
F 0.55 19 20
M 0.35 0 12
M 0.4 2 20
M 0.5 15 20
M 0.6 14 15
;
proc PROBIT log10 inversecl lackfit hprob=0.05;
model resp/n=dose;
run;
```

The PROBIT Procedure

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability	dose	95% Fiducial Limits	
0.01	0.361952	0.315613	0.390256
0.02	0.373545	0.330112	0.400053
0.03	0.381093	0.339626	0.406430
0.04	0.386870	0.346944	0.411316
0.05	0.391635	0.352999	0.415352
0.06	0.395736	0.358224	0.418831
0.07	0.399367	0.362859	0.421918
0.08	0.402647	0.367050	0.424711
0.09	0.405653	0.370896	0.427278
0.10	0.408441	0.374463	0.429663
0.15	0.420185	0.389503	0.439793
0.20	0.429759	0.401733	0.448184
0.25	0.438147	0.412378	0.455680
0.30	0.445819	0.422015	0.462697
0.35	0.453048	0.430966	0.469490
0.40	0.460016	0.439433	0.476248
0.45	0.466860	0.447554	0.483128
0.50	0.473694	0.455430	0.490276
0.55	0.480629	0.463151	0.497847
0.60	0.487779	0.470805	0.506009
0.65	0.495281	0.478497	0.514964
0.70	0.503312	0.486366	0.524974
0.75	0.512125	0.494617	0.536415
0.80	0.522120	0.503567	0.549887
0.85	0.534018	0.513779	0.566487
0.90	0.549373	0.526437	0.588630
0.91	0.553147	0.529479	0.594176
0.92	0.557277	0.532782	0.600285
0.93	0.561854	0.536412	0.607102
0.94	0.567010	0.540469	0.614838
0.95	0.572948	0.545103	0.623818
0.96	0.580004	0.550560	0.634578
0.97	0.588797	0.557295	0.648118
0.98	0.600693	0.566308	0.666645

PROBIT Analysis on dose

Probability dose 95% Fiducial Limits

0.99 0.619933 0.580683 0.697079

APPENDIX 4: Chapter 5 House mouse *VKORC1* gene exon sequences

A text version of the *VKORC1* gene sequence can be obtained from the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website; the link for the sequence for House mice is <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/27973>.

The gene sequence can be viewed as text, the bases are red and green in colour and the exons are red highlighted sections. Exons 1 and 3 are the areas of the gene sequence where single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) which cause anticoagulant resistance occur. Exon 1 is the area where the *Spretus* mutations are found, exon 3 is the area where first generation and second generation anticoagulant active ingredient mutations are found. The primers are produced using the website <http://primer3.ut.ee/>. The exon sequence is pasted into the page and the primer sequence is given as the output which shows a left (forward) and right (reverse) primer

Exon 1

```
atgggcaccacctggaggagccctggactcgtgcggccttcactgtgcctcgtggccttagccctctcactgtacgcaactgcacgtgaaggcggc
gcgcgcccgcgatgaaaattaccgcgcgctctgcgatgtgggcacggccatcagctgttcccgcgtcttctcctctcg
```

Left primer: ctggactcgtgcggccttg (highlighted yellow)

Right primer: gagaggagaagacgcggg (highlighted blue)

Exon 3

gatttcttccctcctggtccctgggctgtactgtcgacatgggcaccacctggaggagccctggactcgtgcggctt
 gcactgtgctcctgctggcttagccctctcactgtacgcactgcacgtgaaggcggcgcgcgcccgatgaaaat
 taccgcgcgctctgcgatgtgggcacggccatcagctgttcccgcgtcttctcctctcgggtggggcggggctt
 gggctgggtggagcacatgctaggagcggacagcgtcctcaaccaatccaacagcatatttggtgacctgttctac
 accttacagctggtgtaggttgcttgaggggacgttgggcctctatcc**tactggtgctgagttccctg**ggtgcc
 gtcgctgggtccggtgtacctggcctggatcctggttctttgtg**ttat**atgatttctgcattgtgtgcattaccacc
tatgccatcaatgtgggtctgatgttgcttagcttccagaaggtaccagaaacacaagacacaaaagcactgagtt
cccacctcatgccagactaacctaacttgctttgacctggcacatgaccttgcccaagtgtgtgggttcctaga
 aggcctaccacatcattcaaacccccctccataaccacacacagagaaatgaccaaactgcctctaagttct
 gttcccctatgggctgcattctgctgttggttaaagagaaggattttgaacaataaaatcttctatgttgggtcaaaa
 aaaaaaaaaaaaaa

Left primer: tactggtgctgagttccctg (highlighted yellow)

Right primer: ttagtctggcatgaggtggg (highlighted blue)

The base letters in bold on both exons are the original wild-type codons for the *VKORC1* gene.

Table A4.1: Codon sequences for *VKORC1* mutations on exon 1 and exon 3 in House mice

Mutation	Wild-type Codon	Codon Sequence	New Codon
Exon 1			
R12W	CGG	GTG- CGG -CTT	TGG
A26S	GCA	TAC- GCA -CTG	TCA
A48T	GCC	ACG- GCC -ATC	ACC
R61L	CGC	TCC- CGC -GTC	CTC
Exon 3			
L128S	TTA	TTT-GTG- TTA -TAT	TCA
Y139C	TAT	ACC-ACC- TAT -GCC	TGT

APPENDIX 5: Chapter 6 INR values of House mice homozygous for the VKORC1 mutation Y139C selected for breeding

Male House mice received a dose equivalent to 32.5mg.kg⁻¹, females received a dose equivalent to 35.3mg.kg⁻¹ bromadiolone via oral gavage.

Generation 0

Male House mice

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	52.3	0.52	4.0	Y
2	46.4	0.46	4.8	N
3	39.9	0.40	2.9	Y
4	51.7	0.52	7.2	N
5	49.9	0.50	4.0	Y

Female House mice

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	53.7	0.54	4.0	Y
2	31.7	0.32	5.6	N
3	31.7	0.32	-	N
4	45.5	0.46	3.5	Y
5	51.9	0.52	1.8	Y

Generation 1

Male House mice

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	45.0	0.45	7.2	N
2	49.6	0.50	5.6	N
3	40.3	0.40	4.8	N
4	46.3	0.46	4.4	Y
5	45.3	0.45	4.0	Y
6	43.3	0.43	5.3	N
7	42.4	0.42	4.2	Y
8	44.8	0.45	3.2	Y
9	43.9	0.44	6.7	N
10	42.8	0.43	5.3	N
11	43.9	0.44	3.9	Y
12	43.4	0.43	7.0	N
13	49.9	0.50	6.8	N

Female House mice

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	44.0	0.44	2.8	Y
2	40.3	0.40	2.4	Y
3	46.6	0.47	1.7	Y
4	40.2	0.40	3.9	N
5	37.0	0.37	1.9	Y
6	39.9	0.40	1.8	Y
7	42.2	0.42	2.9	Y

Generation 2

Male House mice

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	39.0	0.39	5.6	N
2	38.2	0.38	>8.0	N
3	39.1	0.39	1.6	Y
4	41.6	0.42	3.2	Y
5	42.8	0.43	2.4	Y

Female House mice

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	35.5	0.36	1.2	N
2	27.7	0.28	1.5	N
3	31.6	0.32	1.1	N
4	33.0	0.33	1.2	N
5	30.0	0.30	2.4	N
6	35.0	0.35	1.8	Y
7	34.6	0.35	2.1	Y
8	30.1	0.30	1.2	Y

Generation 3**Male House mice**

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	47.6	0.48	5.2	Y
2	43.2	0.43	4.9	Y
3	43.6	0.44	>8.0	N
4	45.7	0.46	3.5	Y
5	48.1	0.48	5.5	Y

Female House mice

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	32.7	0.33	1.3	Y
2	38.4	0.38	1.3	Y
3	35.5	0.36	4.2	N
4	38.4	0.38	2.4	Y
5	42.2	0.42	2.2	Y
6	39.6	0.40	2.3	Y

Generation 4**Male House mice**

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	43.9	0.44	>8.0	N
2	49.2	0.49	>8.0	N
3	45.2	0.45	>8.0	N
4	41.2	0.41	>8.0	N
5	40.2	0.40	>8.0	N
6	39.6	0.40	>8.0	N

Female House mice

Mouse	Body Wt (g)	Volume of dose (ml)	INR	Selected for breeding Y/N
1	39.4	0.39	4.9	N
2	39.1	0.39	3.5	N
3	35.7	0.36	7.6	N
4	34.8	0.35	>8.0	N