

A novel role for the glutamate decarboxylase system in Listeria monocytogenes; protection against oxidative stress

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Boura, M., Brensone, D. and Karatzas, K.-A. G. (2020) A novel role for the glutamate decarboxylase system in Listeria monocytogenes; protection against oxidative stress. Food Microbiology, 85. 103284. ISSN 0740-0020 doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2019.103284 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/85402/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.103284

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

Journal Pre-proof

A novel role for the glutamate decarboxylase system in *Listeria monocytogenes*; protection against oxidative stress

Marcia Boura, Dace Brensone, Kimon A.G. Karatzas

PII: S0740-0020(19)30666-5

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.103284

Reference: YFMIC 103284

To appear in: Food Microbiology

Received Date: 28 June 2019

Accepted Date: 30 July 2019

Please cite this article as: Boura, M., Brensone, D., Karatzas, K.A.G., A novel role for the glutamate decarboxylase system in *Listeria monocytogenes*; protection against oxidative stress, *Food Microbiology* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.103284.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



or of

1 2	A novel role for the glutamate decarboxylase system in <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> ; protection against oxidative stress
3	Marcia Boura ¹ , Dace Brensone ¹ , Kimon A.G. Karatzas ^{1*}
4	
5 6	1. Department of Food & Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom
7	* Authors for correspondence

- Dr. Kimon Andreas G. Karatzas
- Department of Food & Nutritional Sciences
- University of Reading,
- Whiteknights, PO Box 226
- Reading RG6 6AD UK
- Room 3-38
- Tel. +44 118 378 6678 Fax. +44 118 931 0080
- Email: k.karatzas@reading.ac.uk

32 Abstract

33

34 The GAD system is widely present in several types of organisms and is known to play an 35 important role in bacterial acid tolerance. There is only one account of this system playing a role in oxidative stress in bacteria and one in yeasts. Here we show for first time that it affects the 36 oxidative stress resistance of a Gram-positive bacterium, (L. monocytogenes, tested in three 37 38 strains; 10403S, EGD-e, and LO28). We found a statistically significant reduction in survival 39 after H₂O₂ exposure in $\Delta gadD3$ and $\Delta gadD2$ of EGD-e and in $\Delta gadD1$ of LO28. Furthermore, 40 we observed a lag phase prolongation in $\Delta gadD3$ of 10403S and EGD-e and a larger inhibition zone in disk diffusion assay for $\Delta gadD1$ and $\Delta gadD3$ of EGD-e upon H₂O₂ exposure. All GAD 41 42 genes playing a role in oxidative stress resistance are part of GAD_i system while this occurs 43 partly through catalase activity, while the most potent GAD_e system plays no role. The latter effects could occur through the GABA shunt, but we show here that mutants in succinate 44 45 semialdehyde dehydrogenase do not show a phenotype suggesting that either effects are through the GABA transaminase or, this pathway is not involved. Our study highlights for first time the 46 47 role of the GAD system in oxidative stress resistance of a Gram-positive bacterium, which could be used in Food Hurdle Technology to eliminate pathogens such as L. monocytogenes, 48 while it gives an insight on the general mechanism. 49 50 51 52 53

- 54 55
- 56
- ---
- 57
- 58

59 1. Introduction

Listeria monocytogenes is the causative agent of listeriosis, a life-threatening food borne 60 61 disease, with a mortality rate reaching the 30% in some outbreaks (Allerberger and Wagner, 2010; Mead et al., 1999). Its success is often attributed to the ability to survive in a large range 62 of adverse conditions, including acidic environments (Cole et al., 1990; Mcclure et al., 1989). 63 Such feature is especially important for foodborne pathogens, in order to withstand the acidic 64 65 pH encountered both during food disinfection and during the infectious process, in the human 66 stomach (Feehily and Karatzas, 2013). In order to cope with acid challenge, bacteria employ a 67 variety of strategies. One of best characterized acid resistance mechanisms is the glutamate 68 decarboxylase (GAD) system (Cotter et al., 2001; Feehily and Karatzas, 2013), which is present in all kingdoms of life (Paudyal and Karatzas, 2016), and presents a wide variety of functions in 69 different organisms (Feehily and Karatzas, 2013). Although the system is a major part of the 70 nervous system of animals, and a defence mechanism to stress such as anoxic conditions in 71 72 plants, in a wide variety of microorganisms including fungi and bacteria such as L. 73 monocytogenes, Escherichia coli, Francisella tularensis, it is a major acid resistance mechanism 74 (Karatzas et al., 2012; Ramond et al., 2014; Smith et al., 1992). Despite the architecture of the system showing variability in different species, generally, in L. monocytogenes, the system 75 76 comprises three glutamate decarboxylase enzymes (GadD1, GadD2 and GadD3), and two 77 glutamate/GABA antiporters (GadT1 and T2; Paul D. Cotter et al., 2005; Karatzas et al., 2012). 78 There is, however, a high variability in the architecture of the GAD system, even between 79 different strains of the same species. For instance, L. monocytogenes stains belonging to 80 serotype 4, which is the serotype more often associated with foodborne outbreaks, lack the 81 gadD1T1 operon (P. D. Cotter et al., 2005). The system works in a cycle that initiates with the 82 import of extracellular glutamate (Glt_e) by the antiporters, which is decarboxylated by the 83 decarboxylase enzymes through replacement of the α -carboxyl group with a proton, resulting in γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) formation. The GABA produced is subsequently exported back by 84 85 the antiporter, while simultaneously more glutamate is imported, hence continuing the cycle 86 (O'Byrne and Karatzas, 2008). The consumption of one proton during glutamate

Journal Pre-proo

87 decarboxylation is responsible for an increase of the intracellular pH, attenuating the acid stress (Cotter et al., 2001). Furthermore, the GAD enzymes can utilize intracellular glutamate (Glt_i) in 88 89 order to increase the intracellular pH (Karatzas et al., 2012). In L. monocytogenes, a GAD enzyme, generally the GadD3, is not associated with any antiporter and it is believed to be 90 responsible for processing Glt_i (Karatzas et al., 2012). The GABA produced via the intracellular 91 92 GAD system (GAD_i) is catabolised to succinate via the GABA shunt pathway, which is a two-93 step enzymatic pathway catalysed by the enzymes GABA amino-transferase (GABA-AT) and succinate semialdheyde dehydrogenase (SSADH; Zhu et al., 2010). The contribution of GAD_i in 94 95 acid tolerance is dependent on the strain. In L. monocytogenes EGD-e the GAD_i system plays crucial role for acid resistance, since this strain has a defective extracellular GAD (GAD_e) 96 97 system and is unable to export GABA (Karatzas et al., 2012).

98 In other organisms, the GAD system is known to play other roles aside from conferring acid resistance. For instance, in plants the production of GABA has been associated with several 99 100 types of stress such as mechanical, draught, salt, heat and cold stresses (Kinnersley et al., 2000). 101 Aside from stress-related functions, the GAD system is known to play an important role in the 102 mammalian brain where GABA functions as the major inhibitory neuro-transmitter (Petroff, 103 2002). Interestingly, in several organisms, ranging from F. tularensis (Ramond et al., 2014) to 104 Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Coleman et al., 2001) and astrocytes (Bellier et al., 2000; Lamigeon 105 et al., 2001), the presence of a functional GAD system has been associated with an adequate 106 response to oxidative stress. The exact mechanism is not well understood, however most studies 107 suggest that a deficient GAD system leads to a reduction of intermediates of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and other molecules, such as NADPH and glutathione, which have potent 108 109 anti-oxidant capacity (Bellier et al., 2000; Coleman et al., 2001; Lamigeon et al., 2001; Ramos 110 et al., 1985; Smirnova and Oktyabrsky, 2017; Vogel et al., 1999).

In *L*. monocytogenes, possibly due to the well-known role for acid resistance, to date, it has not been assessed if the GAD system mediates the response to other types of stress apart from resistance to nisin mediated by GadD1 in LO28 (Begley et al., 2010). This is important since the role of GadD1 in acid resistance is not clear. There is limited evidence involving GAD system

Journal Pre-proo

115 and GABA metabolism in oxidative tolerance. This is especially relevant, since oxidative stress 116 is one of the most common stresses L. monocytogenes has to cope with. It occurs not only in the 117 environment, as a consequence of aerobiosis but also during the infectious process, inside the 118 phagolysosome, or during disinfection, as oxidants are often used, and in the processing of foods with technologies such as plasma and ozone (Cabiscol et al., 2000; Imlay, 2003; Jaksch et 119 al., 2004; O'Byrne and Karatzas, 2008; O'Donnell et al., 2012). The utilization of oxidising 120 121 agents is highly appealing for the food industry since it reduces the microbial activity significantly and without the inconvenient traces of toxic residues and by-products (Jaksch et 122 123 al., 2004; O'Donnell et al., 2012).

In the current study, we aimed to elucidate the role of different components of the GAD system 124 125 in three L. monocytogenes strains (10403S, EGD-e and LO28) in oxidative stress tolerance. We 126 show, for the first time in L. monocytogenes, a secondary role of protection against oxidative 127 stress for the GAD system. This effect is only seen with components of the GAD; system, which 128 lead us to hypothesise that the GAD_i in L. monocytogenes contributes to the maintenance of 129 intracellular antioxidant molecules key for bacterial tolerance to oxidative stress. Our study 130 highlights for first time the important role of the GAD system in oxidative stress in a Grampositive bacterium. Our observations have great impact on the understanding of this 131 132 phenomenon in all organisms from all kingdoms of life and most importantly in bacteria. More 133 specifically in L. monocytogenes this phenomenon is important for the wider understanding of 134 its complex behaviour when it encounters different types of stress. This work could be 135 considered in Hurdle Technology and thus help us in the easier elimination of this pathogen 136 from foods and food preparation environments.

137

138 2. Materials and Methods

139 2.1 Bacterial strains and growth

L. monocytogenes WT strains of EGD-e, 10403S and LO28 and their isogenic mutants in
different genes of the GAD system (Table 1) were used in this study. Stock cultures were stored
at -80°C in 15% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, United Kingdom).

143 Prior to experiments, stock cultures were streaked onto brain heart infusion (BHI) agar (LAB M,

Lancashire, United Kingdom) and incubated at 37°C overnight.

A single colony was transferred to 3 ml of either sterile BHI broth (LAB M) for EGD-e and 146 10403S or tryptone soy broth (Lab M) supplemented with 0.6% (w/v) of yeast extract (TSBY) 147 for LO28 and incubated at 37°C with shaking (160 rpm). Bacteria were allowed to grow until 148 stationary phase and used to inoculate the experimental culture with a 1% inoculum (v/v). These 149 cultures were prepared in 250 ml conical flasks containing 20 ml of the same medium as used 150 for the inoculum and incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking (120 rpm).

151

152 2.2. Growth in the presence of sub-lethal doses of H_2O_2

L. monocytogenes was grown overnight in the appropriate broth containing a sub-lethal concentration of H_2O_2 (0.01%). The cultures were incubated at 37°C and the optical density at 620 nm was measured every 20 minutes in a Sunrise plate reader (Tecan, Austria). The Baranyi and Roberts model was used to estimate the growth parameters (length of lag phase, growth rate and maximum OD; Baranyi et al., 1996; Baranyi and Roberts, 1994), taking advantage of the embedded analysis tool of Microsoft Excel 2013, *Solver*, as previously described (Walsh and Diamond, 1995).

160

161 2.3. Hydrogen Peroxide Disk Diffusion Assay

162 Overnight liquid cultures grown either in BHI (EGD-e and 10403S) or TSBY (LO28) were 163 diluted to an OD_{600nm} of 0.2, and 100 µl was spread onto Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA; Oxoid, 164 Basingstoke, United Kingdom). Then, 10 µl of 30% (v/v) H₂O₂ was pipetted onto Whatman 165 3MM paper disks (0.7-cm diameter), and these disks were placed on top of the agar and 166 incubated for 18 h at 37°C. The zones of inhibition (in mm) denoting H₂O₂ sensitivity were 167 measured in three dimensions, and the mean values and standard deviations were calculated. All 168 experiments were performed on three independent biological replicates.

Journal Pre-proo

170 2.4. Survival against H_2O_2

171 Stationary phase aerobic cultures, grown as described for 18 h, were challenged with 4% 172 (10403S and LO28) or 4.5% (EGD-e) of H_2O_2 . The survival pattern was assessed by plating 173 serial dilutions in either BHI or TSBY agar before and after (20, 40 and 60 min) the H_2O_2 174 challenge. The agar plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and colony forming units (CFU) 175 were enumerated to assess the concentration of bacteria in each time point.

176

177 2.5. Catalase activity assay

178 The catalase activity was assessed using the methodology described by Iwase et al. (2013), with 179 minor modifications. Briefly, 10 mg of bacterial culture pellet was re-suspended in 100 µl 180 phosphate buffer (K₂HPO₄/KH₂PO₄; pH 7) and transferred to a test-tube containing 100 µl of 1% (v/v) Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK). One hundred µl of H₂O₂ were then added 181 to each test tube. The formation of oxygen bubbles, as a result of the enzymatic degradation of 182 H_2O_2 , was visualised in the form of foam. After 5 min the height of the foam was measured and 183 184 photographic images were taken as well. All experiments were performed on six independent 185 biological replicates.

186

187 2.6. Statistical analysis

In all cases, experiments were run at least in triplicate, and results were assessed with a paired
Student T -test. A p value lower than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

190

191

192 **3. Results**

193 3.1. Survival against hydrogen peroxide

194 *L. monocytogenes* strains (10403S, EGD-e and LO28) WT and the respective deletion mutants 195 in the different genes of the GAD system, were challenged with H_2O_2 . In *L. monocytogenes* 196 10403S, the WT strain showed approximately 3 log reduction of CFU/ml after being challenged 197 with H_2O_2 (Fig. 1A) which did not show any statistically significant difference compared to any 198 of its isogenic mutants ($\Delta gadD1$, $\Delta gadD2$, $\Delta gadD3$, $\Delta lmrg_02013$) despite $\Delta gadD3$ showing a 199 4.6 log reduction of CFU/ml. 200 In L. monocytogenes EGD-e, all GAD mutants showed lower survival than the WT against an 201 oxidative stress (Fig. 1B) but only $\Delta gadD2$ and $\Delta gadD3$ showed statistical significance. Sixty 202 min after being challenged with H₂O₂, the WT strain showed 0.5 log reduction of CFU/ml, 203 while the GAD mutants $\Delta gadD1$, $\Delta gadD2$, $\Delta gadD3$ and $\Delta lmo0913$ showed a reduction of 2.4, 204 3.5, 4.8 and 2 log CFU/ ml respectively. However, despite the major differences relatively to the 205 WT, only these for $\Delta gadD2$ and $\Delta gadD3$ were statistically significant. 206 In L. monocytogenes LO28, only the $\Delta gadD1$ showed a significant reduction of 5.67 log 207 CFU/ml (p=0.01) after exposure to H_2O_2 in comparison to a reduction of 1.05 log CFU/ml for 208 the WT (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, both $\Delta gadD2$ and the double mutant that lacks both glutamate

209 decarboxylases, $\Delta gadD1/D2$, showed negligible difference relatively to the WT.

210

211 3.2. Hydrogen Peroxide Disk Diffusion Assay

212 Disk diffusion assays were performed in all three WT strains and their respective isogenic 213 mutants missing GAD system genes. *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e $\Delta gadD1$ and $\Delta gadD3$ showed 214 larger inhibition zones relatively to the WT (p=0.04 and p=0.001 respectively; Fig. 2). All the 215 remaining GAD mutants, both in 10403S and LO28, showed no significantly different growth 216 inhibition in comparison with the WT.

217

218 3.3. Catalase Assay

The catalase activity was assessed in *L. monocytogenes* 10403S, EGD-e and LO28 WT and their respective isogenic mutants missing GAD system genes (Fig. 3), using a visual approach. Briefly, the degradation of H_2O_2 by catalase produces water and molecular oxygen that is released and forms a foam. It has been demonstrated previously that the height of the foam is directly proportional to the catalase activity (Iwase et al., 2013). The activity of catalase in EGD-e $\Delta gadD3$ was significantly reduced comparatively to the WT (p=0.01). No statistically significant difference in catalase activity was found in the GAD mutants in *L. monocytogenes*

Journal Pre-proo

226 10403S and LO28 or in the remaining mutants in EGD-e. However, in LO28 the $\Delta gadD2$ and 227 $\Delta gadD1/2$ seemed to have slightly higher catalase activity than the WT.

228

229 3.4. Effect of H_2O_2 on growth

The effect H_2O_2 on the growth of *L. monocytogenes* WT and the respective GAD mutants was assessed by inoculating the bacterial cultures in the presence of 0.01% of H_2O_2 and monitoring the absorbance at 620 nm (OD₆₂₀).

As expected, growth in the presence of H_2O_2 caused a significant extension in the lag phase of all WT strains and mutants in study (comparison with and without H_2O_2 ; Table 2). The maximum growth (max OD_{620}) was only significantly decreased in LO28 WT and the corresponding $\Delta gadD2$ (Table 3).

In the absence of H_2O_2 , none of the mutants showed a significant difference in the growth parameters in comparison to the WT. However, in the presence of H_2O_2 some of the GAD mutants showed alterations of the growth parameters, in comparison with the parental WT strain. In both 10403S (Fig. 4) and EGD-e (Fig. 5), the $\Delta gadD3$ had a significant delay in the lag phase (Table 2), while in LO28 (Fig. 6) the $\Delta gadD1$ and $\Delta gadD1/2$ showed a significant decrease of the max OD_{620} (Table 3).

243

244 **4. Discussion**

Oxidative stress resistance is very important for survival of *L. monocytogenes* in foods, food preparation environments and finally in its ability to cause disease. Various disinfectants, ozone treatments, cold plasma or sonication are used or investigated to eliminate microbes and pathogens such as *L. monocytogenes* through the application of oxidative stress in foods or food preparation environments (Cabiscol et al., 2000; Imlay, 2003; Jaksch et al., 2004; O'Byrne and Karatzas, 2008; O'Donnell et al., 2012). Understanding the behaviour of this pathogen in oxidative environments is important for our ability to design effective treatments, especially as some of these novel techniques such as cold plasma and sonication have not yet found their way

in the food industry.

254 Furthermore, oxidative stress resistance plays a role in the pathogenesis of intracellular 255 pathogens as oxidative stress occurs in the phagocytic lysosome. However, up to now, there is 256 no evidence showing that in L. monocytogenes. In contrast, our work suggests the opposite, as 257 hypersensitive to oxidative stress L. monocytogenes $\Delta sigB$ mutants, have similar intracellular 258 proliferation to their corresponding WT (Boura et al., 2016). In the latter work we also demonstrated that SigB, the main stress gene regulator in L. monocytogenes, affects oxidative 259 260 stress resistance in a different fashion to other stresses (Boura et al., 2016). Although upregulation of sigB enhances general resistance to multiple stresses, it results in 261 262 hypersensitivity to oxidative stress and this occurs through lower catalase activity. It is not known how SigB affects catalase (Boura et al., 2016). It is possible that SigB negatively affects 263 264 oxidative stress resistance through regulation of another mechanism, which in turn affects 265 catalase and possibly other oxidative stress mechanisms. The L. monocytogenes GAD system is 266 under the control of SigB (Wemekamp-Kamphuis et al., 2004) while in the Gram-negative 267 bacterium, Francisella tularensis (Ramond et al., 2014), the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae 268 (Coleman et al., 2001) and the animal cells astrocytes (Bellier et al., 2000; Lamigeon et al., 269 2001) it has been implicated in oxidative stress resistance. We thought of the possibility that the GAD system could mediate the effect of SigB to catalase and oxidative stress in L. 270 271 monocytogenes. However, this theory is problematic because in L. monocytogenes, SigB 272 upregulates the GAD system (Wemekamp-Kamphuis et al., 2004), and based on the results obtained in these three aforementioned organisms, it should be expected that SigB-mediated 273 274 GAD system upregulation results in the opposite effect (enhancement of oxidative stress 275 resistance) from what is actually observed (hypersensitivity to oxidative stress). In addition, 276 none of the above organisms except L. monocytogenes possesses a sigB gene, while the GAD 277 system effects on oxidative stress have been only observed in three organisms so far and only 278 once in a bacterium which is Gram-negative.

Journal Pre-proo

279 Despite the above, we decided to assess for first time the role of the Listeria monocytogenes 280 GAD system in oxidative stress. We looked first at the role of the different GAD system genes 281 in oxidative stress tolerance, in three common reference L. monocytogenes strains namely, 282 10403S, LO28, EGD-e which reflect the major differences between different strains of L. 283 monocytogenes in terms of GAD system activity which significantly affects acid resistance. L. monocytogenes 10403S possesses one of the most active GAD systems resulting in one of the 284 285 most acid resistant phenotypes described in the literature, LO28 has a normal GAD activity and acid resistance, while EGD-e has a defective GAD_e system unable to export GABA and it is one 286 287 of the most acid sensitive strains described in the literature (Karatzas et al., 2012).

The GAD system is the major determinant of acid resistance in L. monocytogenes and many 288 289 other organisms (Cotter et al., 2001; Ryan et al., 2008) with mutants in GAD genes showing severe impairment in acid resistance (Paudyal et al., 2018). The GAD system comprises two 290 291 distinctive subsystems, which are defined by the original location of glutamate utilised by the GAD system. Our group has previously described the extracellular GAD system (GAD_e), which 292 293 is the one investigated in vast majority of publications, utilising extracellular glutamate 294 imported by the GAD glutamate/GABA antiporter and the intracellular GAD system (GAD_i), 295 which utilises glutamate transported by glutamate transporter(s) or that produced by metabolic 296 pathways (Karatzas et al. 2012).

297 In the present study we show for first time that the GAD system affects oxidative stress in a 298 Gram-positive bacterium (L. monocytogenes) and this is the second account in any bacterium. 299 We found that gadD3 deletion resulted in a decrease in survival against oxidative stress in EGD-e (Fig. 1B) and growth inhibition (lag phase extension) in both EGD-e and 10403S (Table 300 301 2) and larger inhibition zone in EGD-e (Fig. 2). Unfortunately, it was impossible for other 302 workers and for us to obtain a $\Delta gadD3$ mutant in the LO28 background (Cotter et al. 2005). 303 Results clearly highlight the role of GadD3 in oxidative cell defence. GadD3 only contributes to the GAD_i system (Karatzas et al., 2012) but not to the GAD_e system as it is not associated with 304 any antiporter and it does not affect the GABA export. The GAD_i plays an important role in 305 306 acid tolerance and in EGD-e it is the only functional GAD system component, while it 307 contributes in acid resistance in other strains that use the GAD_e (e.g. 10403S; Karatzas et al.,
308 2012).

309 Another GAD gene that affected oxidative stress resistance was gadD1. Deletion of this gene 310 resulted in lower survival in LO28 (Fig. 1C) and larger inhibition zone in EGD-e (Fig. 2) 311 underpinning its role in oxidative stress defence. It has been suggested previously that GadD1 plays a role in growth under mildly acidic conditions, as strains that belong to serotype 4 lack 312 313 gadD1 and grow poorly at pH 5.1 (Cotter et al., 2005). This however, might not be solely the 314 result of the lack of gadD1 but the result of other genetic differences in this serotype. In 315 addition, following various attempts we have never detected GABA being exported by GadD1T1, while a mutant lacking gadD1 has a comparable survival to its corresponding WT 316 317 under acidic conditions (Karatzas et al., 2012; Cotter et al., 2005). All these suggest that the role 318 of gadD1 in acid resistance is debatable however, it has a clear role in tolerance of LO28 against 319 nisin, which has been suggested to stem from its contribution to ATP production as its absence resulted in 60% reduction of ATP pools (Begley et al., 2010). ATP levels in L. monocytogenes 320 321 are critical for survival against nisin (Bonnet et al., 2006). Interestingly, a study in astrocytes 322 found that GAD-expressing cells not only produced more ATP (Bellier et al., 2000) but also had 323 increased ability to cope with oxidative stress (Lamigeon et al., 2001). We expected that also the 324 double LO28 mutant lacking both gadD1 and gadD2 ($\Delta gadD1/D2$) should demonstrate a 325 similar phenotype since $\Delta gadD2$ showed no phenotype to $\Delta gadD1$ in this background. 326 However, this was not the case with $\Delta gadD1/D2$ which showed a similar phenotype to WT and $\Delta gadD2$ (Fig. 1C). It has been commented previously for acid resistance that $\Delta gadD1/D2$ could 327 328 result in an unknown response which could counteract the double deletion (Feehily et al., 2014) 329 and possibly explain the lack of phenotype in this mutant.

We also assessed the role of *gadD2* gene in oxidative stress and we found that its deletion affected survival in EGD-e (Fig. 1B). GadD2 is the main component of the GAD_e system, which however, is inactive in EGD-e resulting in a highly acid sensitive phenotype in this strain (Karatzas et al. 2012). It is not known if the absence in GAD_e activity in EGD-e stems from a

Journal Pre-proo

334 defect in the decarboxylase GadD2 or the antiporter GadT2. Since our results show a phenotype 335 for $\Delta gadD2$, this could suggest that GadD2 is active in EGD-e utilising only intracellular 336 glutamate as part of the GAD_i system and a possible defect in the GadT2 antiporter prevents 337 glutamate/GABA antiport activity. Although GadD2 is highly active especially in 10403S and 338 in LO28 we did not see any phenotype, which might be related with the fact that these strains are not highly resistant to oxidative stress and changes in oxidative stress resistant mechanisms 339 340 might not bring major changes in the phenotypes. This might also explain why all GAD mutants in EGD-e, which is the most resistant strain to oxidative stress (Boura et al., 2016), showed 341 342 lower survival than the WT, with only in $\Delta gadD3$ and $\Delta gadD2$ however, showing statistical significance. Furthermore, our results show that the GAD genes that might play a role in 343 344 survival against H_2O_2 in one strain, might be different from the ones playing a role in growth in broth or agar in the presence of H_2O_2 . This might be due to the fact that survival assays assessed 345 346 oxidative defences at a different growth stage from disk diffusion and growth experiments, 347 while the latter two were also performed in different media phases (liquid or solid agar) 348 resulting in different responses (Boura et al., 2016).

Furthermore, it should be mentioned that all phenotypes seem to be associated with glutamate
decarboxylases working on intracellular glutamate and therefore, contributing only to the GAD_i
but not to the GAD_e system.

352 The GAD_i system results in the production of intracellular GABA which is metabolised through 353 the GABA shunt (Fig. 7). The latter pathway contributes to the maintenance of the intracellular 354 pools of NADPH and other anti-oxidant molecules (Bellier et al., 2000; Feehily et al., 2013; 355 Lamigeon et al., 2001; Ramond et al., 2014) which could be involved in the oxidative stress 356 resistance and maybe explain the observed phenotypes. In Francisella tularensis, oxidative 357 stress resistance is important for replication in the cytosol of infected cells and the GadC 358 antiporter is crucial for this process and the escape from the phagosome compartment (Ramond 359 et al., 2014). The authors suggest that a decrease of glutamate acquisition results in a reduction 360 of tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle intermediates with potent anti-oxidant effect, such as 361 oxoglutarate and NADPH, produced by the conversion of glutamate into oxoglutarate (Ramond

Journal Pre-proo

362 et al., 2014). Reduced NADPH pools could also result in the reduction of glutathione, a potent 363 antioxidant tripeptide with a well-known role in oxidative stress tolerance, since NADPH 364 functions as a co-factor of the glutathione reductase (Smirnova and Oktyabrsky, 2017; Vogel et 365 al., 1999). A similar hypothesis was postulated to explain the increased resistance of GAD-366 expressing astrocyte cells (Lamigeon et al., 2001) which was the result of a 10-fold increase in 367 the levels of released glutathione (Lamigeon et al., 2001), and of increased NADPH and ATP 368 levels (Bellier et al., 2000). Furthermore, a study in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, showed that the presence of a functional GAD system and GABA shunt pathway, are essential for oxidative 369 370 stress resistance (Coleman et al., 2001) which is expected as GABA shunt contributes in 371 NADPH pools (Ramos et al., 1985).

372 To investigate the above hypothesis that the GABA shunt plays a role in oxidative stress and 373 can explain the above phenotypes in L. monocytogenes, we employed mutants lacking succinate 374 semialdehyde dehydrogenase (SSDH), the second step of the GABA shunt, in two different backgrounds; EGD-e ($\Delta lmo0913$) and 10403S ($\Delta lmrg_02013$). Deletion of SSDH resulted in no 375 376 phenotype in 10403S and a slight effect in the EGD-e background (2 log cycles of CFU/ml further reduction compared to WT) without any statistical significance. We have previously 377 shown that Lmo0913 and Lmrg_02013 are the only SSDH proteins in EGD-e and 10403S L. 378 379 monocytogenes backgrounds respectively, as both mutants were defective in SSDH activity 380 (Feehily et al., 2013). Given that the SSDH reaction results in NADPH production that contributes in oxidative stress resistance, the absence of a strong phenotype from both SSDH 381 382 mutants is surprising (Fig. 7). Furthermore, since this oxidative stress resistance is directly 383 associated with the GAD, which directly feeds GABA into the GABA shunt it should be 384 expected that its disruption would result in a phenotype which is not the case. We can not 385 exclude the possibility that GABA shunt plays a role in oxidative stress resistance, but this might happen through the GABA transaminase, the first step of the GABA shunt pathway, 386 387 which affects the TCA cycle by removing a-ketoglutaric acid that is converted to glutamate 388 (Fig. 7). Furthermore, it should be considered that the GABA shunt in L. monocytogenes and 389 various other bacteria (e.g. several lactic acid bacteria) plays an important role as it bypasses

two missing steps of the TCA cycle while other organisms have a complete TCA cycle (Fig. 7).

Further work is required to identify the actual link between the GAD system - GABA shunt andoxidative stress possibly focusing on GABA transaminase.

We further looked at the mechanism resulting in these phenotypes by investigating the catalase activity of these mutants. We found a statistically significant decrease in catalase activity of $\Delta gadD3$ in EGD-e, which correlated with the lower survival of this strain under oxidative stress (Fig. 3). However, the same was not observed in LO28 $\Delta gadD1$, which also showed a marked reduction in survival. Despite catalase being the main pathway of H₂O₂ degradation, there are other antioxidant molecules, such as NADPH and NADH pools, ascorbic acid etc. that help to maintain an intracellular reducing environment (Cabiscol et al., 2000).

It should also be mentioned that experiments in this study were performed in native pH (6.4 for *L. monocytogenes* in stationary phase), where the GAD system is not in its maximum activity level, which occurs between pH 4 and 5 (Karatzas et al., 2012). It is possible that at lower pH these phenotypes might be more enhanced. However, we did not use these conditions to avoid the application of an additional stress to the bacteria, as it is known that the mutants of the GAD system are sensitive to acidic conditions, and this additional stress could have a significant impact in understanding their role in oxidative stress response (Paudyal et al., 2018).

407 It should be mentioned that this work could have major implications for applications of 408 oxidative stress in the food industry and hurdle technology. Modern hurdle technology design could be based on knowledge of the molecular bacterial mechanisms to predict bacterial 409 410 behaviour, instead of randomly looking at combinations of stresses. We have exemplified this in 411 our previous work where we demonstrated that the use of a GAD system inhibitor such as 412 maleic acid, could render L. monocytogenes cells highly sensitive to acidic stress and eliminate 413 them in disinfection regimes (Paudyal et al., 2018). Our work here shows that the GAD system, 414 the main acid resistance mechanism in L. monocytogenes, protects against oxidative stress, 415 suggesting that downregulation or inhibition through a signal could make cells highly sensitive to oxidative stress processes and further work should demonstrate that in various oxidative 416 stress food applications. In addition, further work also needs to look if this this involvement of 417

the GAD system in oxidative stress is a common characteristic among other bacteria and other organisms and identify the molecular mechanisms involved in this phenomenon further to the ones described in this manuscript.

421

422 5. Conclusions

423 Overall, our results suggest that the GAD_i in *L. monocytogenes* plays a role in survival and 424 growth under oxidative stress. This is concluded since, all GAD genes affecting oxidative stress 425 resistance (gadD3 and gadD2 in EGD-e, gadD1 in LO28) or tolerance during growth (gadD3 in 426 10403S, gadD1 and gadD3 in EGD-e) are all part of the GAD_i system. Furthermore, in an 427 attempt to assess if these effects are through the GABA shunt we show that, if this is the case, it 428 rather occurs through the GABA-AT step and not through SSDH step as disruption of the latter 429 step did not result in a phenotype linked with oxidative stress resistance.

The targeted inhibition of the GAD system, as a way to make *L. monocytogenes* more sensitive to acid stress has been recently exploited as a promising tool to eliminate this pathogen (Paudyal et al., 2018; Paudyal and Karatzas, 2016) and similar steps could be taken in hurdle technology using oxidative stress. Furthermore, additional work should be carried out in other microorganisms that possess the GAD system, to identify if GAD system affects oxidative stress resistance.

436

437

438 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all colleagues at the Microbiology research team and the Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading. The work was supported by a Marie Curie European Reintegration Grant (ERG 265154), awarded to Dr. K.A.G. Karatzas and funds from the University of Reading.

443

444 **References**

445 Allerberger, F., Wagner, M., 2010. Listeriosis: A resurgent foodborne infection. Clin.

	Journal Pre-proof
446	Microbiol. Infect. 16, 16–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.03109.x
447	Baranyi, J., Roberts, T.A., 1994. A dynamic approach to predicting bacterial growth in food. Int.
448	J. Food Microbiol. 23, 277–294. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-
449	1605(94)90157-0
450	Baranyi, J., Ross, T., McMeekin, T.A., Roberts, T.A., 1996. Effects of parameterization on the
451	performance of empirical models used in "predictive microbiology." Food Microbiol. 13,
452	83-91. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1006/fmic.1996.0011
453	Begley, M., Cotter, P.D., Hill, C., Ross, R.P., 2010. Glutamate decarboxylase-mediated nisin
454	resistance in Listeria monocytogenes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76, 6541-6546.
455	https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00203-10
456	Bellier, J.P., Sacchettoni, S., Prod'hon, C., Perret-Liaudet, A., Belin, M.F., Jacquemont, B.,
457	2000. Glutamic acid decarboxylase-expressing astrocytes exhibit enhanced energetic
458	metabolism and increase PC12 cell survival under glucose deprivation. J. Neurochem. 75,
459	56–64.
460	Bonnet, M., Rafi, M.M., Chikindas, M.L., Montville, T.J., 2006. Bioenergetic mechanism for
461	nisin resistance, induced by the acid tolerance response of Listeria monocytogenes. Appl.
462	Environ. Microbiol. 72, 2556-2563. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.4.2556-2563.2006

- Boura, M., Keating, C., Royet, K., Paudyal, R., O'Donoghue, B., O'Byrne, C.P., Karatzas,
 K.A.G., 2016. The presence of SigB in *Listeria monocytogenes* strains EGD-e and 10403S
 leads to hypersensitivity to hydrogen peroxide in stationary phase under aerobic
 conditions. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, AEM.00709-16.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00709-16
- Cabiscol, E., Tamarit, J., Ros, J., 2000. Oxidative stress in bacteria and protein damage by
 reactive oxygen species. Int. Microbiol. 3, 3–8.
- Cole, M.B., Jones, M. V, Holyoak, C., 1990. The effect of pH, salt concentration and
 temperature on the survival and growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 69,
 63–72.
- 473 Coleman, S.T., Fang, T.K., Rovinsky, S. a., Turano, F.J., Moye-Rowley, W.S., 2001.

474	Expres	ssion of a	gluta	amate decarboxyl	ase homolog	ue	is requi	ired for	normal	oxidative
475	stress	tolerance	in	Saccharomyces	cerevisiae.	J.	Biol.	Chem.	276,	244–250.
476	https://	/doi.org/10.	1074	/jbc.M007103200)					

- 477 Cotter, P.D., Gahan, C.G.M., Hill, C., 2001. A glutamate decarboxylase system protects *Listeria*478 *monocytogenes* in gastric fluid. Mol Microbiol. 40(2), 465-75.
 479 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02398.x
- 480 Cotter, P.D., Ryan, S., Gahan, C.G.M., Hill, C., 2005. Presence of GadD1 glutamate decarboxylase in selected Listeria monocytogenes strains is associated with an ability to 481 Microbiol. 482 grow low pH. Environ. 71, 2832-2839. at Appl. 483 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.6.2832-2839.2005
- Feehily, C., 2014. Elucidation of the role of the glutamate decarboxylase system and the γaminobutyric acid shunt pathway in the stress response of *Listeria monocytogenes*. NUI
 Galway Theses. https://aran.library.nuigalway.ie/handle/10379/4628
- Feehily, C., Finnerty, A., Casey, P.G., Hill, C., Gahan, C.G.M., O'Byrne, C.P., Karatzas,
 K.A.G., 2014. Divergent evolution of the activity and regulation of the glutamate
 decarboxylase systems in *Listeria monocytogenes* EGD-e and 10403S: Roles in virulence
- 490and acid tolerance. PLoS One 9, e112649. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112649
- 491 Feehily, C., Karatzas, K. A G., 2013. Role of glutamate metabolism in bacterial responses
 492 towards acid and other stresses. J. Appl. Microbiol. 114, 11–24.
 493 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05434.x
- 494 Feehily, C., O'Byrne, C.P., Karatzas, K.A.G., 2013. Functional γ-aminobutyrate shunt in
 495 *Listeria monocytogenes*: role in acid tolerance and succinate biosynthesis. Appl. Environ.
 496 Microbiol. 79, 74–80. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02184-12
- 497 Imlay, J.A., 2003. Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 57, 395–418.
 498 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.57.030502.090938
- 499 Iwase, T., Tajima, A., Sugimoto, S., Okuda, K., Hironaka, I., Kamata, Y., Takada, K., Mizunoe,
- 500 Y., 2013. A simple assay for measuring catalase activity: A visual approach. Sci. Rep. 3,
- 501 3081. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03081

	Journal Pre-proof
502	Jaksch, D., Margesin, R., Mikoviny, T., Skalny, J.D., Hartungen, E., Schinner, F., Mason, N.J.,
503	Märk, T.D., 2004. The effect of ozone treatment on the microbial contamination of pork
504	meat measured by detecting the emissions using PTR-MS and by enumeration of
505	microorganisms. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 239, 209-214.
506	Karatzas, K.A.G., Suur, L., O'Byrne, C.P., 2012. Characterization of the intracellular glutamate
507	decarboxylase system: Analysis of its function, transcription, and role in the acid
508	resistance of various strains of Listeria monocytogenes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78,
509	3571–3579. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00227-12
510	Karatzas, K.A.G., Brennan, O., Heavin, S., Morrissey, J., O'Byrne, C.P., 2010. Intracellular
511	accumulation of high levels of gamma-aminobutyrate by Listeria monocytogenes 10403S
512	in response to low pH uncoupling of gamma-aminobutyrate synthesis from efflux in a
513	chemically defined medium. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76, 3529–3537.

- 514 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03063-09
- Kinnersley, A.M., Turano, F.J., 2000. γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and plant responses to
 stress, Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 19:6, 479-509. doi: 10.1080/07352680091139277
- Lamigeon, C., Bellier, J.P., Sacchettoni, S., Rujano, M., Jacquemont, B., 2001. Enhanced
 neuronal protection from oxidative stress by coculture with glutamic acid decarboxylaseexpressing astrocytes. J. Neurochem. 77, 598–606. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.14714159.2001.00278.x
- Mcclure, P.J., Roberts, T.A., Oguru, P.O., 1989. Comparison of the effects of sodium chloride,
 pH and temperature on the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* on gradient plates and in
 liquid medium. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 9, 95–99. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472765X.1989.tb00299.x
- Mead, P.S., Slutsker, L., Dietz, V., McCaig, L.F., Bresee, J.S., Shapiro, C., Griffin, P.M.,
 Tauxe, R. V., 1999. Food-related illness and death in the United States. Emerg. Infect. Dis.
 5, 607–625. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0505.990502
- Murray, E.G.D., Webb, R.A., Swann, M.B.R., 1926. A disease of rabbits characterised by a
 large mononuclear leucocytosis, caused by a hitherto undescribed bacillus *Bacterium*

- 530 monocytogenes (n.sp.). J. Pathol. Bacteriol. 29, 407–439.
 531 https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1700290409
- O'Byrne, C.P., Karatzas, K.A.G., 2008. The role of Sigma B (σ^B) in the stress adaptations of
 Listeria monocytogenes: overlaps between stress adaptation and virulence, Adv. Appl.
 Microbiol. Elsevier Masson SAS.
- 535 O'Donnell, C., Tiwari, B.K., Cullen, P.J., Rice, R.G., 2012. Ozone in Food Processing. Wiley536 Blackwell.
- Paudyal, R., Barnes, R.H., Karatzas, K.A.G., 2018. A novel approach in acidic disinfection
 through inhibition of acid resistance mechanisms; Maleic acid-mediated inhibition of
 glutamate decarboxylase activity enhances acid sensitivity of *Listeria monocytogenes*.
 Food Microbiol. 69, 96–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.07.013
- 541 Paudyal, R., Karatzas, K.A., 2016. Stress adaptation of *Listeria monocytogenes* in acidic ready542 to-eat products, Food Hygiene and Toxicology in Ready-to-Eat Foods. Elsevier Inc.
- 542 to-eat products, rood Hygiene and Toxicology in Ready-to-Eat roods. Elsevier inc.
 543 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-801916-0.00010-8
- Petroff, O.A.C., 2002. Book Review: GABA and Glutamate in the Human Brain. Neurosci. 8,
 562–573. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858402238515
- 546 Ramond, E., Gesbert, G., Rigard, M., Dairou, J., Dupuis, M., Dubail, I., Meibom, K., Henry, T.,
- 547 Barel, M., Charbit, A., 2014. Glutamate utilization couples oxidative stress defense and the
- tricarboxylic acid cycle in *Francisella* phagosomal escape. PLoS Pathog. 10.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003893
- Ramos, F., el Guezzar, M., Grenson, M., Wiame, J.M., 1985. Mutations affecting the enzymes
 involved in the utilization of 4-aminobutyric acid as nitrogen source by the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Eur. J. Biochem. 149, 401–4.
- 553 Ryan, S., Hill, C., Gahan, C.G.M., 2008. Acid Stress Responses in *Listeria monocytogenes*, in:
- 554 Adv. Appl. Microbiol. pp. 67–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2164(08)00603-5
- 555 Smirnova, G. V, Oktyabrsky, O.N., 2017. Glutathione in bacteria.
 556 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10541-005-0248-3
- 557 Smith, D.K., Kassam, T., Singh, B., Elliott, J.F., 1992. Escherichia coli has two homologous

	Journal Pre-proof
558	glutamate decarboxylase genes that map to distinct loci. J. Bacteriol. 174, 5820-6.
559	Vogel, R., Wiesinger, H., Hamprecht, B., Dringen, R., 1999. The regeneration of reduced
560	glutathione in rat forebrain mitochondria identifies metabolic pathways providing the
561	NADPH required. Neurosci. Lett. 275, 97–100.
562	Walsh, S., Diamond, D., 1995. Non-linear curve fitting using Microsoft Excel solver. Talanta
563	42, 561-572. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0039-9140(95)01446-I
564	Wemekamp-Kamphuis, H.H., Wouters, J.A., de Leeuw, P.P., Hain, T., Chakraborty, T., Abee,
565	T. 2004. Identification of sigma factor sigma B-controlled genes and their impact on acid
566	stress, high hydrostatic pressure, and freeze survival in Listeria monocytogenes EGD-e.
567	Appl Environ Microbiol. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.6.3457-3466.2004.
568	Zhu, L., Peng, Q., Song, F., Jiang, Y., Sun, C., Zhang, J., Huang, D., 2010. Structure and
569	regulation of the gab gene cluster, involved in the γ -aminobutyric acid shunt, are
570	controlled by a sigma54 factor in Bacillus thuringiensis. J. Bacteriol. 192, 346-55.
571	https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01038-09
572	
573	
574	Legends to the Figures

575

Fig. 1. Survival of *Listeria monocytogenes* 10403S (A), EGD-e (B) and LO28 (C) WT and respective GAD mutants, after being challenged with 4 % (A and C) or 4.5 % (B) H_2O_2 . DL denotes the detection limit of the assay. The error bars represent standard deviations of triplicate observations (biological replicates) and asterisks denote statistically significance relatively to the WT.

581

Fig. 2. Catalase activity of *Listeria monocytogenes* 10403S, EGD-e and LO28 WT and
respective GAD mutants. Asterisks denote statistically significance and the error bars represent
standard deviations.

Fig. 3. Growth inhibition zone (diameter, mm) of *L. monocytogenes* 10403S, EGD-e and LO28
WT and respective isogenic mutants of the GAD, in diffusion assay using 30% H₂O₂. Asterisks
denote statistically significance and the error bars represent standard deviations.

589

Fig. 4. Effect of sub-lethal concentration of H_2O_2 on *L. monocytogenes* 10403S WT (A), $\Delta gadD1$ (B), $\Delta gadD2$ (C), $\Delta gadD3$ (D), $\Delta lmrg_02013$ (E) growth (squared symbols, red line). As a control 10403s cells grown with no H_2O_2 were used (round symbols, black lines). Symbols represent individual data points of three independent experiments and lines the respective fit curve.

595

Fig. 5. Effect of sub-lethal concentration of H_2O_2 on *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e WT (A), $\Delta gadD1$ (B), $\Delta gadD2$ (C), $\Delta gadD3$ (D), $\Delta lmo0913$ (E) growth (squared symbols, red line). As a control EGD-e cells grown with no H_2O_2 were used (round symbols, black lines). Symbols represent individual data points of three independent experiments and lines the respective fit curve.

601

Fig. 6. Effect of sub-lethal concentration of H_2O_2 on *L. monocytogenes* LO28 WT (A), $\Delta gadD1$ (B), $\Delta gadD2$ (C), $\Delta gadD1/D2$ (D) growth (squared symbols, red line). As a control LO28 cells grown with no H_2O_2 were used (round symbols, black lines). Symbols represent individual data points of three independent experiments and lines the respective fit curve.

606

Fig. 7. Overview of chemical reactions carried out by the extracellular and intracellular GAD
system (GAD_e and GAD_i respectively) the GABA shunt and the TCA cycle. GABA-AT is
GABA aminotransferase and SSDH is succinate semialdehyde dehydrogenase.

Tables

Reference/ **Strains Relevant properties** Source 10403S (Karatzas et al., 2010) Serotype $\frac{1}{2}$ a, wild type 10403S with an in-frame deletion of gadD1 (Feehily et al., 2014) 10403S $\Delta gadD1$ 10403S with an in-frame deletion of gadD2 10403S $\Delta gadD2$ (Feehily et al., 2014) 10403S with an in-frame deletion of gadD3 (Feehily et al., 2014) 10403S $\Delta gadD3$ 10403S Δ*lmrg_02013* 10403S with an in-frame deletion of (Feehily, 2014) lmrg_02013 EGD-e Serotype $\frac{1}{2}$ a, wild type (Feehily, 2014; Murray et al., 1926) EGD-e $\Delta gadD1$ EGD-e with an in-frame deletion of gadD1 (Feehily, 2014) EGD-e $\Delta gadD2$ EGD-e with an in-frame deletion of gadD2 (Feehily, 2014) EGD-e $\Delta gadD3$ EGD-e with an in-frame deletion of gadD3 (Feehily, 2014) EGD-e $\Delta lmo0913$ EGD-e with an in-frame deletion of *lmo0913* (Feehily et al., 2013) LO28 Serotype $\frac{1}{2}$ c, wild type (Cotter et al., 2001) LO28 with an in-frame deletion of gadD1 LO28 $\Delta gadD1$ (Cotter et al., 2001) LO28 $\Delta gadD2$ LO28 with an in-frame deletion of *gadD2* (Cotter et al., 2001) LO28 $\Delta gadD1/D2$ LO28 with an in-frame deletion of gadD1 and (Cotter et al., 2001) gadD2

Table 1. Strains used in this study

* All above genes encode glutamate decarboxylases except for *lmo0913 and lmrg_02013* which encode a succinate semialdehyde dehydrogenase in EGD-e and 10403S strains respectively.

Lag phase	104	03S	EG	D-e	LO28		
	$H_2O_2(+)$	$H_2O_2(-)$	$H_2O_2(+)$	$H_2O_2(-)$	$H_2O_2(+)$	$H_2O_2(-)$	
WT	5.11	2.66 (†)	4.49	2.92 (†)	6.60	3.71 (†)	
W I	(±0.52)	(±0.20)	(±0.11)	(± 0.01)	(±0.32)	(±0.95)	
AgadD1	5.83	2.92 (†)	3.93	2.86 (†)	5.26	3.83 (†)	
	(±0.81)	(±0.02)	(±0.34)	(±0.05)	(±0.37)	(±0.14)	
AgadD2	6.77	3.01 (†)	3.78	2.85 (†)	6.22	3.66 (†)	
AgaaD2	(±1.09)	(±0.04)	(±0.36)	(±0.01)	(±0.45)	(±1.06)	
AgadD3	6.63 (*)	2.97 (†)	5.26 (*)	3.09 (†)			
AgaaDJ	(±0.78)	(±0.002)	(±0.23)	(±0.05)			
Δ <i>lm</i> 00913	6.59	2.90 (†)	5.61	3.13 (†)			
or	(±0.82)	(±0.01)	(±0.79)	(±0.07)			
Δ <i>lmrg_</i> 02013				S I			
AgadD1/D2					5.99	4.19 (†)	
∆guuD1/D2					(±0.64)	(±0.31)	

Table 2. Duration of lag phase (in hours) of *L. monocytogenes* strains grown in the presence (+) or absence (-) of H₂O₂.

(*) denotes statistically significant difference relatively to the WT strain, under the same condition.

(†) denotes statistically significance between (+) and (-) H_2O_{2} , in each strain.

Max growth	104	03S	EG	D-e	LO28		
	$H_2O_2(+)$	H ₂ O ₂ (-)	$H_2O_2(+)$	H ₂ O ₂ (-)	$H_2O_2(+)$	H ₂ O ₂ (-)	
WT	0.625	0.676	0.680	0.705	0.563	0.723 (†)	
** 1	(±0.045)	(0.057)	(±0.015)	(±0.013)	(±0.068)	(±0.040)	
AgadD1	0.636	0.623	0.661	0.675	0.81 (*)	0.822	
AguaD1	(±0.030)	(±0.005)	(±0.023)	(±0.012)	(±0.023)	(±0.01)	
AgadD2	0.691	0.621	0.652	0.661	0.588	0.737 (†)	
AgaaD2	(±0.075)	(±0.025)	(±0.018)	(±0.008)	(±0.040)	(±0.040)	
AgadD3	0.660	0.633	0.643	0.666			
AguaD5	(±0.08)	(±0.02)	(±0.02)	(±0.005)			
Δlmo0913	0.642	0.600	0.711	0 702			
or	(± 0.040)	(± 0.024)	(+0.005)	(+0.02)			
Δlmrg_02013	(±0.040)	(±0.024)	(±0.005)	(±0.02)			
AgadD1/D2					0.797 (*)	0.797	
					(±0.01)	(±0.003)	

Table 3. Maximum optical density (620 nm) of *L. monocytogenes* strains grown in the presence (+) or absence (-) of H_2O_2 .

(*) denotes statistically significant difference relatively to the WT strain, under the same condition.

(†) denotes statistically significance between (+) and (-) H_2O_2 , in each strain.



Journal Pre-proof







EGDe

10403S



LO28

Fig. 3



Fig4

10403S



Fig5

EGD-e

Fig. 6



Lo28



Highlights

- The GAD system affects oxidative stress resistance in a Gram-positive bacterium (L. monocytogenes)

- The GAD_i and mainly GadD3 affects oxidative stress resistance in L. monocytogenes
- The GAD_e system does not affect oxidative stress resistance in L. monocytogenes
- GadD1in LO28 has a novel role in oxidative stress resistance
- SSDH of GABA shunt does not affect oxidative stress in L. monocytogenes.

. L. monocy

Declarations of interest: none

Journal Prevention