

Rapeseed meal hydrolysate as substrate for microbial astaxanthin production

Article

Accepted Version

Harith, Z. T., Charalampopoulos, D. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1269-8402 and Chatzifragkou, A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9255-7871 (2019) Rapeseed meal hydrolysate as substrate for microbial astaxanthin production. Biochemical Engineering Journal, 151. 107330. ISSN 1369-703X doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2019.107330 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/85546/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2019.107330

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Rapeseed meal hydrolysate as substrate for microbial astaxanthin production
Zuharlida Tuan Harith ^{a,b} , Dimitris Charalampopoulos ^b , Afroditi Chatzifragkou ^{b*}
^a Faculty of Agro Based Industry, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan Jeli Campus, 17600 Jeli, Kelantan, Malaysia
^b Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, RG6 6AP, Reading, United Kingdom
*Corresponding author: <u>a.chatzifragkou@reading.ac.uk</u>

1 Abstract

2 Rapeseed meal, a by-product of oil processing industry, was evaluated as a substrate for astaxanthin 3 production by the yeast Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous DSMZ 5626. Four commercial enzymes 4 were tested at different concentrations (1 - 15 %, v/v) for their ability to break down the cellulosic 5 and hemicellulosic compounds of rapeseed meal into fermentable sugars. Viscozyme® L and cellulase demonstrated the highest glucose recovery yields (47 - 52%, w/w for 15 % (v/v) of 6 7 enzyme loading) with 7-11 g/l of net glucose released in the hydrolysates. Pectinase and 8 Accellerase[®] hydrolysates supported the best cell growth and astaxanthin production in batch shake 9 flask cultures, with maximum biomass of 26 g/l and 15 g/l, respectively, and astaxanthin yields 10 $(Y_{P/X})$ of 258 to 332 µg per g of biomass. In batch bioreactor trials, pectinase hydrolysates resulted 11 in high biomass (42 g/l) and astaxanthin production (11 mg/l) aided by the presence of glycerol 12 (originating from the enzyme formulation) which served as additional energy and carbon source. Finally, simple glass beads disruption lead into satisfactory astaxanthin extraction (95 %, w/w) in 13 14 acetone. The findings of this study generate knowledge towards scale-up potential of microbial 15 astaxanthin production using rapeseed meal hydrolysate as fermentation feedstock.

16

Keywords: Astaxanthin, rapeseed meal, fermentation, hydrolysate, extraction, *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*

1 1 Introduction

Oilseed crops, such as rapeseed, sunflower and soybean, are extensively cultivated for their oil. The European Union (EU) is the largest producer of rapeseed with a reported total production of 2 million tonnes in 2015/16 and these figures are expected to increase annually [1]. In the United Kingdom (UK), rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) is a major oilseed crop, which is cultivated alongside sunflower with a reported total of 579 kHa of land planted in 2016 [2].

7 The oil extraction process leaves behind a solid residue, known as rapeseed meal, which is 8 generally underutilised and considered as a low value by-product [3]. The world production of 9 rapeseed meal in 2016/17 was estimated at 40 million tonnes, with 13.9 million tonnes generated in 10 the EU [1], a rise linked with intense rapeseed farming to meet the increasing demand for vegetable 11 oils. This in turn suggests that opportunities still exist for developing alternative uses for rapeseed meal beyond animal feed [4]. Currently, rapeseed meal is used as an organic fertiliser and as a 12 13 supplement in livestock feed due to its high protein content (26-31%) [5,6]. However, its protein is 14 not easily digested by monogastric animals compared to other protein sources such as soy meal, thus rendering it less valuable as a feed component [7]. Moreover, rapeseed meal may contain anti-15 16 nutritional compounds such as glucosinolates, erucic acid and phenols, thus making it less 17 favourable for livestock [4,8].

18 There is significant potential for the valorisation of rapeseed meal, particularly as a substrate 19 for microbial bioconversions, since besides protein, it also contains large amounts of carbohydrates (36-36%, w/w) [6,9] as well as minerals such as calcium, phosphorous and iron [6,10]. The 20 21 utilisation of rapeseed meal in microbial bioconversions is not a single step process, since most 22 microorganisms lack the necessary enzymes for cellulose/hemicellulose hydrolysis. As such, the transformation of rapeseed meal into a fermentation feedstock requires the application of 23 24 physicochemical and/or enzymatic approaches, aiming to break down the complex structure of the 25 biomass and concurrently generate sugar and nitrogen components that are directly assimilable by microorganisms [7,10]. The rapeseed meal hydrolysates can be potentially used for several
microbial conversions, including the production of platform and speciality chemicals such as
succinic acid [11], 1,3-propanediol [10], biopolymers (poly-hydroxy-alkanoates)[12] and lipids [7].

4 Astaxanthin belongs to the xanthophyll group of carotenoids and is a powerful antioxidant, 5 which acts by donating electrons from the conjugated double bonds and reacts with free radicals to 6 produce high stability products and terminate the free radical chain reaction in a wide range of 7 living organisms [13]. The application of an external source of antioxidants such as astaxanthin is 8 necessary for human body to regulate the free radicals and suppress the occurrence of oxidative 9 stress which subsequently can alter lipids, proteins and DNA, as well as trigger human diseases 10 [14]. Astaxanthin also possesses anti-inflammatory activities and has potential as a therapeutic 11 agent against cardiovascular diseases in humans [15]. Additionally, astaxanthin is used in 12 aquaculture as feed additive because it contributes to the attractive colouration in the bodies of 13 salmons, shrimps and crustaceans as well as maintains their growth and survival [16,17]. Currently, 14 the commercial demand for astaxanthin is met by its synthetic production (petroleum derived) via 15 the Wittig reaction and Grignard condensation methods [18]. However, natural astaxanthin is more favourable as it has been reported to possess high stability due to its esterified nature, which 16 17 prevents oxidation and thus exhibits prolonged shelf life [19]. The natural source of astaxanthin has 18 been approved to be used as food colouring (E161j) in the EU. Currently, the naturally produced 19 astaxanthin by microalgae (Haematococcus pluvialis) and yeasts (Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous) 20 face a competitive market price compared to synthetically produced astaxanthin due to their 21 complex production process. The value of natural astaxanthin is estimated around USD 2500-7000 22 per kg, depending on its purity, as opposed to the value of synthetically-produced astaxanthin, 23 which is estimated around USD 2000 per kg [20,21].

Studies aiming to extract rapeseed protein have been conducted using various methods such as commercial cellulolytic enzymes [22] as well as the use of the proteolytic fungus, *Aspergillus oryzae* [10]. However, studies involving carbohydrates hydrolysis from rapeseed meal are scarce in comparison to protein hydrolysis [22,23]. Thus, the aim of this study was to enzymatically
hydrolyse the lignocellulosic components of rapeseed meal and produce a nutrient-rich hydrolysate,
which was subsequently evaluated as a fermentation substrate for astaxanthin production by the
yeast *X. dendrorhous* DSMZ 5626. In addition, the application of glass beads was investigated as a
simple method for the extraction of intracellular astaxanthin from yeast cells.

6

7 2 Materials and Methodology

8 2.1 Microorganism and Growth Medium

9 The yeast strain *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* DSMZ 5626 was used in this study and was 10 purchased from the Leibniz Institute DSMZ, Germany. The strain was proliferated and maintained 11 in Yeast and Mold (YM) media containing (in g/L): yeast extract, (3.0); malt extract, (3.0); peptone 12 from soybean, (5.0); glucose, (10.0); and agar (15).

13 2.2 Materials

The rapeseed meal used in this study was kindly provided by Stainswick Farm (Oxfordshire, UK) and was generated via cold pressing oil extraction process. Rapeseed meal samples were grinded using a dry-grinder and sieved to obtain uniform sized particles (< 850 μ m). The remaining oil in the meal was removed using a supercritical CO₂ extraction rig (SciMed, UK) at 60 °C and 300 bar pressure for 1 h, with ethanol (10%, v/v) as co-solvent. The residual defatted meal was kept at 4 °C prior to use.

20 2.3 Enzymatic Hydrolysis

Enzymatic hydrolysis of rapeseed meal was performed using four different commercial enzymes, namely Accellerase® 1500 (DuPont), Viscozyme® (Novozymes), cellulase from *Aspergillus niger* (Sigma-Aldrich) and pectinase (Novozymes) (Table 1). Enzymatic hydrolysis was 1 carried out in 2 ml Eppendorf tubes containing 0.150 g rapeseed meal with different enzyme 2 concentrations (1-15%, v/v). Distilled water was used to make up the volume to 1.5 ml. Optimum 3 temperatures for each enzyme and adequate mixing (1600 rpm) were maintained in a thermomixer 4 F 1.5 (Eppendorf, Germany). The reaction time varied from 2 h to 24 h and the reactions were 5 terminated by incubation at 95 °C for 10 min. The mixtures were then centrifuged at 10 845 x g 6 (Heraeus Multifuge X3R, Thermo Fisher, USA) for 10 min and the supernatants were collected and 7 analysed for sugar composition using HPLC as described in section 2.7. The schematic diagram of the experimental procedure is illustrated in Figure 1. 8

9 2.4 Rapeseed meal pre-treatment

10 The thermal pre-treatment of rapeseed meal was carried out prior to enzymatic hydrolysis 11 using the commercial enzyme Accellerase 1500®. 10% (w/v) of rapeseed meal were added to 30 ml 12 of distilled water and subjected to autoclaving at different temperatures (126 and 135 °C, pressure 13 ~2 bar) for 15, 30 or 60 min. Once the heat treatment was completed, 10% (v/v) of enzyme was 14 added to the mixture and hydrolysis was carried out at 50 °C for 24 h under continuous stirring (250 15 rpm) in an orbital shaker (GFL, 3015, SciQuip, UK).

16 2.5 Microbial Fermentations

17 **2.5.1 Shake flask fermentation**

In order to investigate the suitability of rapeseed meal hydrolysates as substrates for *X*. *dendrorhous* growth, batch shake flask experiments were conducted. Rapeseed meal hydrolysates were prepared using different enzymes under identified optimised conditions. In one case, rapeseed meal was thermally pre-treated, by autoclaving at 126°C for 30 min prior to enzyme addition (10 %, v/v of Acellerase® 1500). The produced hydrolysates were subsequently filter-sterilised using 0.25 µm Millipore stericup filters, prior to the fermentation. Suspensions of *X. dendrorhous* pre-cultures were then aseptically added to the 50 ml of hydrolysates in 250 ml conical flasks to obtain an initial OD of ~0.1. All flask fermentations were carried out for 5 days at 20 °C under constant agitation
 (250 rpm), in duplicate. Data shown are the mean values of these measurements.

3 **2.5.2 Batch fermentation (Separate hydrolysis and fermentation)**

4 150 g of defatted rapeseed meal was heat pretreated in a 2-litre glass bottle containing 1.35 litre of distilled water in an autoclave at 126 °C for 30 min. After cooling down, 150 ml of enzyme 5 6 (10% v/v) were added to the vessel (giving a total of solids content 10% w/v). Enzymatic hydrolysis 7 was carried out in an orbital shaker (GFL 3015, SciQuip, UK) at 250 rpm at 50 °C for 24 h. Heat 8 inactivation was applied at 95 °C for 10 min in a water bath (GD 120, Grant, Cambridge). The 9 produced hydrolysates were then filter-sterilised using 0.25 µm Millipore stericup unit (EMD Millipore StericupTM) and were aseptically transferred to a 2-litre bioreactor (BIOSTAT B, 10 Sartorious AG, German) with a working volume of 1.5 litres, previously autoclaved at 121°C for 20 11 12 min. Fermentation conditions were as follows; temperature, 20 °C; agitation speed, 600 rpm; aeration, 1 l/min. The pH of the hydrolysates was maintained at pH 6 throughout the fermentation 13 14 by automatic additions of NaOH (2 M) via a peristaltic pump.

15 **2.5.3 Batch fermentation (Pre-hydrolysis and fermentation)**

16 In pre-hydrolysis and fermentation (pSSF) approach, enzymatic hydrolysis and subsequent 17 fermentation of rapeseed meal were performed in one reactor. Two different enzymes were separately tested in this study (pectinase and Accellerase® 1500). Firstly, 150 g/l of rapeseed meal 18 19 were added to the reactor with 1.35 litre of distilled water. The bioreactor was then subjected to 20 autoclaving at 126 °C for 30 min. After cooling, 150 ml of enzyme were added to the fermentation 21 vessel (giving a total of solids content 10% w/v) and the hydrolysis step was initiated at 50 °C for 22 24 h. Agitation was controlled at 250 rpm during this period. Once the hydrolysis step was 23 completed, the vessel was cooled down to 20 °C followed by inoculation of 5% (v/v) of X. 24 *dendrorhous* pre-culture suspension. Fermentation conditions were as follows; temperature, 20 °C; 25 pH 6; agitation speed, 600 rpm; aeration, 1 l/min.

1 2.6 Glass bead / solvent extraction

Approximately 30 mg freeze dried X. dendrorhous cells were prepared in 2 ml Eppendorf 2 tubes. 0.3 g of glass bead (diameter 1 mm) were added to the vials followed by addition of 1 ml 3 4 organic solvent. The yeast and beads suspension were mixed at 2000 rpm for 10 min in a 5 ThermoMixer C (Eppendorf, Germany) to ensure similar rate of mixing for each sample. The 6 extraction temperature was controlled at 25 °C. Subsequently, 0.1 ml of NaOH (20% w/v) was 7 added to the mixture, followed by further mixing for 5 min at 2000 rpm in a ThermoMixer C. The 8 aqueous and organic phases were separated by centrifugation at 5423 x g for 5 min and organic 9 phase (top layer) was collected for astaxanthin measurement by spectrophotometer. The organic 10 solvents evaluated in this experiment were ethanol (EtOH, 99.8%, MERCK), methanol (MeOH, 100%, Fisher Scientific), acetone (100%, Merck), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 100% Sigma-11 12 Aldrich) and mixture of acetone: DMSO (1:1); distilled water was used as control/

13 2.7 Solubility of Astaxanthin in Solvents

14 The solubility of astaxanthin in organic solvents was tested. As such, ethanol (EtOH, 99.8%, 15 MERCK), methanol (MeOH, 100%, Fisher Scientific), acetone (100%, Merck), dimethyl sulfoxide 16 (DMSO, 100% Sigma-Aldrich), mixture of acetone:DMSO (1:1) as well as water were used as 17 solvents. Approximately 10 mg of pure astaxanthin (Sigma Aldrich) were added to 1 ml of solvent 18 in 2 ml (Eppendorf) tubes and were then agitated at 1200 rpm for 24 h at 25°C in a thermomixer 19 (Eppendorf). If a clear solution was observed, then additional amounts of astaxanthin (~ 2 mg) were 20 added to the mixture until there was a visual indication of undissolved material. The obtained solvent/astaxanthin mixtures were diluted 100 times in methanol and filtered through a 0.2µm 21 22 Polyvinyl Difluoride (PVDF) membrane filter. Finally, the concentration of astaxanthin in different 23 types of solvents was determined using HPLC analysis.

2 The carbohydrate content of the rapeseed meal was modified based on analytical procedure 3 provided by National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL/TP-510-42618) [24]. Briefly, 300 mg 4 of rapeseed meal was pre-hydrolysed with 3 ml of 72 % (v/v) H₂SO₄ at 30 °C for 1 h. Subsequently, 5 84 ml of distilled water were added to the mixture in order to dilute the sulphuric acid content to 4 6 % (v/v) and hydrolysis was carried out at 121 °C for 30 min. The obtained mixtures were 7 neutralised with calcium carbonate to pH 5-6 and were analysed using a High Performance Anion 8 Exchange Chromatography with Pulsed Amperometric Detection (HPAEC-PAD) system (Dionex, 9 Thermo, UK). Samples were passed through a CarboPac PA1 column (4 x 250mm) at a flow rate of 10 1 ml/min and the mobile phase consisted of 0.016 mM of Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH) (eluent A) 11 and 0.5 mM of Sodium Acetate (NaOAc) (eluent B) in a gradient method. Operating conditions 12 were as follows: Sample volume: 20µl; mobile phase: 0.5 M NaOH, 0.1 M NaOAc; column temperature: 25°C; flow rate: 1 ml/min; gradient conditions: T₀₋₄₀, 100% A, T₄₁₋₅₀, 60% A, 40% B, 13 14 T₅₁, 100% A.

The protein and fat content were measured by Kjeldahl and Soxhlet method, respectively 15 16 (AOAC, 1990) and the lignin content was determined according to NREL protocol [24]. After 17 hydrolysis with 72 % (w/w) H₂SO₄ for 1 h at 30°C, samples were hydrolysed with 1.0 M H₂SO₄ at 18 121 °C for 30 min in an autoclave. Samples were then filtered, and the filtrate was measured for 19 acid soluble lignin spectrophotometrically at 240 nm. Acid Soluble Lignin (ASL) was calculated 20 according to equation 1 (Eq 1). The washed residue was dried at 100 °C for 18 h. Subsequently, the 21 dried samples were placed in a furnace (500 °C; 5 h) and the residue was weighed and classified as 22 acid insoluble lignin. Total lignin was calculated as the sum of acid soluble and acid insoluble 23 lignin.

$$\% ASL = \frac{A \times DF \times V(ml)}{\varepsilon \times P(g)} \times 100$$
 Eq 1

Where, *A* is the absorbance at 240 nm, DF is the dilution factor, *V* is the volume of filtrate, ε is the
 coefficient at 30 and *P* is the weight of biomass.

3 In the case of yeast fermentations, samples of 2 ml were periodically withdrawn at regular 4 time intervals. 1 ml of sample was added into a pre-weight tube and centrifuged at 10845 x g for 10 5 min. The supernatant was collected for sugar and ethanol analysis via HPLC. The pellet was 6 washed twice with distilled water and frozen at -20 °C prior to freeze drying (Virtis Sentry 2.0, 7 UK). Biomass was measured by weight difference. In bioreactor experiments that involved pre-8 hydrolysis and fermentation steps, cell growth was monitored by total plate count method. Hence, 9 100 µl of serially diluted samples were plated on Yeast and Mold agar plates (YM agar), incubated 10 at 20°C for 5 days and biomass was expressed in CFU/ ml. The sugar composition of the 11 hydrolysates was determined by HPLC analysis (Agilent 1260 Infinity) with an Aminex HPX-87H 12 column coupled to a differential refractometer and a diode array detector. Operating conditions 13 were as follows: Sample volume: 20µl; mobile phase: 5 mM H₂SO₄; flow rate: 0.6 ml/min; column 14 temperature: 65 °C. Quantification of monosaccharides (glucose, galactose, xylose, arabinose), 15 uronic acids and ethanol were achieved on the basis of standard curves, which were conducted 16 using standard solutions.

Total carotenoid was quantified using Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) disruption method [26]. Briefly, 1 ml of Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) was preheated at 55 °C and added to the freeze dried biomass, followed by vortexing for 30-40 sec. Subsequently, 0.2 ml of 20 % sodium chloride (NaCl) and 1.0 ml of acetone were added to the mixture to extract the intracellular carotenoids. The aqueous and organic phases were separated after centrifugation at 5423 x g for 5 min. The extraction process was repeated until a colourless biomass was obtained. The organic phases were then pooled together, and their absorbance was measured at 480 nm in a spectrophotometer. Values 1 were then divided by the extinction coefficient of 2150. The equation for total carotenoids 2 concentration is given in equation 2(Eq 2) [26].

Carotenoid content
$$(\mu g/g) = \frac{A \times V(ml) \times 10^4}{A_{1cm}^{1\%} \times P(g)}$$
 Eq. 2

3 Where, *A* is the absorbance at 480 nm, *V* is the volume, and *P* is the weight of biomass and $A_{1cm}^{1\%}$ is 4 the coefficient of 2150 (based on determination using synthetic astaxanthin) [26].

5 2.9 Scanning Electron Microscopy

6 The morphology of the cell biomass samples prior and after extraction were analysed using 7 Quanta FEG 600 Environmental Scanning Electron Microscopy instrument (FEI Co. Inc., 8 Hillsboro, Oregon). Samples were mounted onto SEM stubs using carbon tape and then sputter 9 coated with a thin layer of gold to prevent charging during imaging. The parameters used for 10 imaging were: 20 kV of accelerating voltage, 4.0 spot size and a working distance approximately 10 11 – 12 mm. Images were recorded under vacuum at 6000 x magnification.

12 **3** Results and Discussion

13 **3.1** Compositional analysis of rapeseed meal

During cold pressing, rapeseed undergoes a mild oil extraction process (< 40 °C) without the use of solvents. Approximately 50-60 % of the initial oil is normally extracted from the seed and in correspondence [27], the rapeseed meal used in this study contained substantial amounts of oil (16.7 \pm 0.1 %). Table 2 shows the composition of rapeseed meal on a dry basis. The rapeseed meal was rich in protein and carbohydrates, accounting for 26 % and 35 % (w/w) of each, respectively. The protein content was lower than that reported in the literature [6] (i.e., 30 %, w/w), possibly due to
 differences among rapeseed cultivars as well as due to differences in the oil extraction process [28].

3 The carbohydrate content was similar to that reported in the literature accounting for 36 % 4 (w/w) [6]. The monosaccharide composition indicated that the main polysaccharides present in the 5 rapeseed meal were cellulose, hemicellulose and pectin. Glucose was the most abundant 6 monosaccharide, i.e., equal to 20.2 % (w/w). The arabinose content was ~ 6 % (w/w), the highest 7 among the other sugars that are normally present in hemicellulose, namely galactose and xylose. It 8 was reported that arabinose is the major hemicellulosic sugar present in rapeseed meal, suggesting 9 the presence of arabinans, which might be attached in the side chains of pectin [6]. Besides, the 10 presence of xylose and arabinose probably originates from arabinoxylan structure in rapeseed meal. Arabinoxylan is a hemicellulose consisting of a linear backbone of β -1,4 xylose residues with 11 12 arabinose substitution [29].

13 The presence of galactose (2.9 %, w/w) could indicate the presence of galactomannan and 14 arabinogalactan in the rapeseed meal. Moreover, the presence of galacturonic acid (2.5 %, w/w) and glucuronic acid (0.6 %, w/w) further supported the presence of pectin in the rapeseed meal. Pectins 15 16 have been reported as major polysaccharides in rapeseed meal apart from hemicellulose and 17 cellulose [6, 30]. Small amounts of fructose (0.3 % w/w) were detected in the acid treated fraction 18 of the rapeseed meal, in agreement with previously reported data in the literature [4,30]. In the 19 water soluble fractions of rapeseed meal, small amounts of sucrose were also detected (data not 20 shown). Sucrose and fructose have been previously reported in the water soluble fractions of 21 rapeseed meal and normally act as an energy reserve in plants [4,6].

The analysis indicated the presence of ~ 18 % (w/w) of total lignin, consisting of 1.9 ± 0.1 % (w/w) of acid soluble lignin and $16.1 \pm 4.6\%$ (w/w) of Klason lignin. The amount of total lignin in rapeseed meal was similar to those (~16 %) reported by studies investigating the production of biodiesel from rapeseed meal [31,32].

1 3.2 Enzymatic hydrolysis of non-treated and thermally pre-treated rapeseed meal

Rapeseed meal contained high amounts of carbohydrates and protein, however, most microorganisms cannot assimilate these compounds directly as they usually lack of cellulolytic and proteolytic enzymes in their cluster [10]. Therefore, commercial enzymes were employed, targeting the conversion of cellulose and hemicellulose into monomeric sugars (glucose, galactose, xylose and arabinose). The generated hydrolysates were subsequently tested for their capability to support astaxanthin production by the yeast *X. dendrorhous*.

8 Figure 2 shows the effect of different enzyme concentrations on the release of monomeric 9 sugars after 24 h of hydrolysis using four different commercial enzymes (cellulase, pectinase, Viscozyme® L and Accellerase® 1500). The sugar yield was defined as g of sugars released after 10 11 24 h of hydrolysis per g of sugar originally present in the rapeseed meal. The highest glucose yield 12 was observed by Viscozyme® L (53 %, w/w), followed by cellulase (47 %, w/w), pectinase (41.8 13 %, w/w) and Accellerase® 1500 (30 %, w/w). Viscozyme® L is a multi-enzyme complex 14 containing mixtures of cellulases, hemicellulases and xylanase and has also a proteolytic activity [22,33,34]. Hence, the synergistic effect of these enzymes rendered the rapeseed meal structure 15 16 more accessible to enzymatic attack, resulting in the production of a hydrolysate rich in cellulose-17 and hemicellulose-derived monomeric sugars. Galactose and arabinose were obtained at their highest yields when Viscozyme® L was used, equal to 74 % (w/w) and 79 % (w/w), respectively 18 19 (Figure 2A).

According to the manufacturer's datasheet (Sigma Aldrich), cellulase contained mainly endo- and exo- cellulases, thus their documented synergistic activities led to the effective hydrolysis of cellulose and β -glucans [35,36]. Endo-cellulases attack the β -1,4-glycosidic bonds randomly, targeting the amorphous region along the cellulose structure and produce either cellobiose or glucose. On the other hand, exo-cellulases act on the linkages from the non-reducing end of cellulose releasing cellobiose as the main reaction product [36]. Similar patterns were observed for the hemicellulose-derived monosaccharides in this study. Generally, increased enzyme
 concentrations resulted in increased amounts of galactose, xylose and arabinose in the hydrolysate.
 Xylose was detected in all hydrolysates except for those produced by cellulase (Figure 2C).

4 In terms of sugar concentrations in the hydrolysates, glucose and galactose were mainly 5 present. In the case of Viscozyme® L hydrolysates (Figure 2A), the total sugar concentration when 6 high enzyme loadings (15 %, v/v) were used was ~74 g/l, with glucose being ~ 26 g/l and fructose ~ 7 17 g/l. It must be noted that approximately ~16.5 g/l of glucose and ~17 g/l of fructose were derived 8 from the enzymatic hydrolysis of sucrose that was present in the Viscozyme® L formulation and is 9 generally used as a preservative in enzyme formulations. In the case of pectinase (Figure 2B), the 10 total concentration of monomeric sugars in the hydrolysates accounted for approximately 20 g/l, 11 with glucose and galactose being the principle sugars. However, 66 g/l of glycerol was also detected 12 in the hydrolysates that originated from the enzyme formulation itself, which could potentially act 13 as an additional carbon source for microbial fermentation. As for cellulase (Figure 2C), the total 14 sugar concentration was ~76 g/l, the majority of which was glucose (71 g/l), although ~64 g/l of 15 glucose were attributed to the enzyme formulation. In Accellerase® 1500 treated hydrolysates, 11 g/l of total sugars were present, with glucose (~ 6 g/l) and galactose (~4 g/l) being the most 16 17 prominent sugars. The synergistic action of enzyme mixtures assists in further break down of 18 carbohydrate polymers, as indicated by the action of pectinase on rapeseed meal. This was 19 demonstrated by a greater hydrolysis yield of rapeseed meal, as 41 % (w/w) of glucose was 20 extracted using pectinase enzyme, which contained a mixture of side activities including cellulase 21 and hemicellulase. In contrast, Accellerase® 1500 treatment that did not exert pectinase activity, 22 resulted in lower glucose yields (30 %, w/w). The enzymatic hydrolysis of rapeseed meal has been 23 widely reported on the rapeseed protein extraction rather than on carbohydrates. Three different enzymes (Celluclast, Viscozyme® L and Pectinase G) were tested, both singularly and in 24 25 combination, in order to hydrolyse dilute acid pretreated rapeseed meal that was subsequently used 26 for succinic acid production by Actinobacillus succinogenes [11]. Around 28.6 g/l of total sugars

were released from rapeseed meal after Pectinase G (2 %, w/w) hydrolysis that consisted of sucrose,
glucose, fructose and arabinose [11]. In another study, Viscozyme® L was found to assist in protein
hydrolysis from rapeseed meal with high protein yield (68 %) and total carbohydrates yield (80 %
of total reducing sugars) [22].

Due to the absence of additional preservatives in the formulation, the Accellerase® 1500 5 enzyme was chosen for further investigation, as the composition of its hydrolysate is a direct 6 7 reflection of rapeseed meal derived sugars. As such, a thermal pre-treatment step was carried out to 8 evaluate its effectiveness towards enhancing the enzymatic hydrolysis of rapeseed meal. Table 3 9 presents the composition of rapeseed meal hydrolysates following heat pre-treatment and enzymatic 10 digestion by Accellerase 1500 (10 % v/v). Heat pre-treatment at 126 °C for 30 min was found to 11 significantly increase (p < 0.05) glucose hydrolysis yield by 17 % compared to control (no pre-12 treatment). However, higher temperature conditions (135 °C for 30 min) resulted in lower sugar yields compared to those at 126 °C. This was most likely due to the more prominent degradation of 13 glucose to furfural and 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde (HMF) under higher temperature in the 14 15 presence of mild acid conditions. The acidic environment is generated due to the partial acetylation of hemicellulose, leading to the hydrolysis of acetyl ester bonds into acetic acid during the heat pre-16 17 treatment process [54]. Similar to glucose, the recovery of the hemicellulosic-derived sugars also 18 decreased when higher pre-treatment temperatures were applied.

19

20 3.3 Microbial Production of Astaxanthin in Rapeseed Meal Hydrolysates

21 **3.3.1 Batch Shake flask fermentations**

A key objective of this study was to investigate the suitability of rapeseed meal hydrolysates as fermentation media for the microbial production of astaxanthin. Therefore, rapeseed meal hydrolysates produced by the action of the four commercial enzymes were initially tested in flask
 cultures for their capability to support *X. dendrorhous* growth and astaxanthin production.

3 As shown in Table 4, significantly different cell biomass and astaxanthin production values 4 were obtained depending upon the hydrolysate. In terms of total biomass and astaxanthin production, the best substrate was the pectinase hydrolysate (~26 g/l of cell biomass, 6.7 mg/l of 5 6 astaxanthin), whereas Viscozyme® hydrolysate had the poorest performance (~3 g/l of cell 7 biomass, 0.4 mg/l of astaxanthin). However, in terms of biomass yield on substrate consumption 8 $(Y_{X/S})$ and astaxanthin yield on cell biomass $(Y_{P/X})$, the highest values (0.84 g/g and 332 µg/g, 9 respectively) were obtained when Accellerase® 1500 hydrolysate was used. In the pectinase 10 hydrolysate, the $Y_{P/X}$ value was 258 μ g/g, whereas, lower values were obtained for the Viscozyme® 11 hydrolysate (156 μ g/g) and the Cellulase hydrolysate (71 μ g/g). The low astaxanthin concentrations 12 obtained in the Viscozyme® and cellulase hydrolysates were most likely associated with the relatively high hexose concentrations (> 50 g/l). Such high sugar concentrations can initiate 13 14 Crabtree effect in X. dendrorhous which changes the yeast metabolism from respiration to alcoholic 15 fermentation, leading to ethanol production, which inhibits cell growth and astaxanthin accumulation in the cells [37–39]. Indeed, in the case of the cellulase hydrolysate, the highest 16 17 amount of ethanol was produced (6.9 g/l) and the second highest in the case of Viscozyme® (3.8 18 g/l). In the former, the high initial glucose concentration the hydrolysate (45 g/l) benefited cell 19 biomass production (14 g/l) but compromised the production of astaxanthin during secondary 20 metabolism (1.1 mg/l); correspondingly, a similar trend was observed in the latter.

However, in the case of the pectinase hydrolysate, no ethanol was detected, a fact which indirectly suggested that the Crabtree effect was suppressed as glycerol was the predominant carbon source in the medium rather than hexose sugars. As for Accellerase® 1500 hydrolysis, two types of rapeseed meal samples were used (crude samples and thermal pretreated samples). Between these two samples, different fermentation results were obtained where pretreated samples resulted in higher biomass (12 g/l) and astaxanthin production (3.2 mg/l). It is interesting to note that ethanol
was detected in this fermentation only in trace amounts (< 1 g/l).

3 The involvement of two possible mechanisms in the induction of pigmentation by ethanol has 4 been proposed before [40]. The first proposed mechanism involves the enzyme aldehyde oxidase 5 that generates superoxide radicals, which are responsible for the induction of carotenoid synthesis. 6 The second mechanism is the conversion of ethanol by alcohol dehydrogenase to acetate; in the 7 presence of energy (ATP), acetate can then be converted to acetyl CoA, which is the major 8 precursor for both the Tricarboxylic Acid (TCA) cycle and the mevalonate pathway, the latter being 9 responsible for carotenoid production [40,41]. In addition, ethanol might induce the production of 10 pigments by inducing the expression of phytoene β -carotene synthase (crtYB) and astaxanthin 11 synthase (crtS) genes, which are responsible for the production of carotenoids in mevalonate pathway [40]. The observed decrease in the ethanol concentration indicated the presence of alcohol 12 13 dehydrogenase in this particular X. dendrorhous strain and the generated acetyl-CoA was used for 14 astaxanthin synthesis (hence the increase in astaxanthin concentration during the stationary phase) 15 rather than for the production of biomass through the TCA cycle.

16 Figure Error! Reference source not found.3 depicts the kinetic profile of X. dendrorhous 17 cultivated in the pectinase hydrolysate in batch flasks fermentations. The pectinase hydrolysate 18 consisted of ~15.7 g/l of sugars (glucose, galactose, xylose and arabinose) as well as 40 g/l of 19 glycerol, which was originally present in the enzyme formulation. All sugars were utilised by the 20 cells, with glucose being the most preferred one (hence utilised first), followed by hemicellulose 21 derived sugars. Once all sugars were exhausted from the hydrolysate, glycerol was utilised as an 22 energy and carbon source for biomass production and astaxanthin accumulation. In contrast to the 23 Accellerase® 1500 hydrolysate, the cells did not experience a lag phase in the pectinase hydrolysate. The cells continued to grow throughout the fermentation period (1 h - 120 h) and 24 25 showed a growth pattern similar to diauxic growth, reflecting the sequential consumption of sugars and glycerol (Fig. 3B). The cell biomass achieved in the case of the pectinase hydrolysate was the 26

1 highest amongst all hydrolysates (~ 26 g/l). This was due to the relatively low sugar concentration and the fact the glycerol, which was present in substantial amounts does not initiate a Crabtree 2 3 effect. Astaxanthin was produced throughout the fermentation (including during the glycerol 4 consumption phase) reaching 6.7 mg/l, significantly higher than in the case of the two Accellerase® 5 1500 hydrolysates (2.7 and 3.2 mg/l) although the astaxanthin yield was slightly lower (Table 4). 6 The reason for this could be the fact that in the case of the Accellerase® 1500 hydrolysates, small 7 amounts of ethanol were produced which (as discussed above) can potentially stimulate astaxanthin 8 production, whereas in the pectinase hydrolysate fermentation ethanol was not detected. Another 9 reason for the lower astaxanthin yield could be the absence of certain minerals in the pectinase 10 hydrolysate, which are important for carotenoid production [42]. More specifically, several metals 11 including zinc, iron, copper and magnesium have been reported to act as carotenoid inducers in 12 certain yeast species such as *Rhodotorula glutinis* and *Rhodotorula graminis* [43]. Moreover, high levels of antinutritional components such as glucosinolates and phenols, which are present in 13 14 rapeseed meal might also inhibit carotenoid synthesis [44].

15 To our knowledge, no studies are currently available in the literature investigating the use of rapeseed meal for the growth of X. dendrorhous. However, previous studies have reported that X. 16 17 dendrorhous was able to grow well on enzymatic hydrolysates of wood supplemented with glucose 18 and produce under optimised conditions approximately 1.8 mg of total carotenoids/1 [45]. In this 19 study, the rapeseed meal hydrolysates were able to support higher astaxanthin production and high 20 astaxanthin yields, indicating that this medium contained sufficient nutrients for optimal cell 21 growth. In a different study, 5% molasses supplemented with urea (30 g/l) and sodium phosphate 22 (4.5 g/l) were used to cultivate X. dendrorhous GM807 in shake flasks. The use of molasses 23 demonstrated positive effects of yeast growth (3.5 g/l), but not on the astaxanthin formation (105 $\mu g/g$ [46]. Besides that, a mutant strain of *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* was cultivated in a 24 25 Yucca plant-based medium supplemented with date juice in fed-batch fermentation system and 26 resulted to very high cell biomass (39 g/l), astaxanthin production (24 mg/l) and astaxanthin yield

1 (600 μ g/g) [47]. This indicates the potential to genetically engineer X. dendrorhous strains in order to achieve high conversion yields, which will render the potential commercialisation of the 2 3 microbial production of astaxanthin from low value agri-food materials such as rapeseed meal more 4 economically viable.

5

Batch fermentations (Separate hydrolysis and fermentation) 3.3.2

6 Fermentations were carried out in a 2-1 stirred tank bioreactor to investigate the yeast growth 7 and astaxanthin production under controlled conditions. In addition, separate saccharification and 8 fermentation (SHF) strategy was implemented, whereby rapeseed meal hydrolysis was performed in 9 a separate vessel and prior to X. dendrorhous fermentation. Two types of rapeseed meal hydrolysates treated by different enzymes (Accellerase® 1500 and pectinase) were used. 10

11 Figure 4 shows the growth profile of X. dendrorhous in two different rapeseed meal 12 hydrolysates and Table 5 presents the main fermentation data of these trials. The composition of rapeseed meal hydrolysates derived from Accellerase® 1500 consisted of 13 g/l of total sugars 13 14 (glucose, xylose and galactose). The utilisation of this hydrolysate as fermentation medium resulted in 14.3 g/l of biomass and 3.6 mg/l of astaxanthin (P). By observing the substrate consumption 15 16 profile, it can be noted that glucose was used as a primary carbon source, and upon its depletion, 17 hemicellulosic derived sugars were then consumed. The astaxanthin yield on cell biomass (Y _{P/X}), 18 was equal to 250 µg/g of produced biomass. These values were higher compared to those obtained 19 in shake flask fermentations utilising rapeseed meal hydrolysates as substrate (12 g/l of biomass and 20 3.2 g/l of astaxanthin). It is evident that the optimised process conditions led to higher biomass and 21 astaxanthin production, due to the provision of better dispersion of nutrients and adequate oxygen 22 supply in the bioreactor, in which had a positive impact on cell growth and astaxanthin production.

23 Batch bioreactor fermentations using pectinase derived hydrolysates were also carried out, 24 with the hydrolysates consisting of 20 g/l of total monomeric sugars and glycerol (52 g/l) that was 25 sourced from the enzyme formulation as previously mentioned. X. dendrorhous cultivation in this hydrolysate was most preferable for biomass (42 g/l). The concentration of astaxanthin, P (10.2 mg/l) was positively correlated with high biomass production. These data clearly showed that glycerol acted as additional carbon source for biomass production; upon exhaustion of the main sugars in the media, glycerol started to be consumed as demonstrated by the diauxic growth curve on this occasion (indicated by an arrow in Figure 5B).

6 Total biomass production was positively correlated with increased sugars concentration in all 7 tested hydrolysates. However, in terms of biomass yield (Yx/s), it was found out that Accellerase® 8 treated hydrolysates best supported biomass production (Table 5). Furthermore, the biomass yield 9 was higher under controlled environment (bioreactor) as compared to shake flasks fermentation 10 using similar hydrolysates. In terms of astaxanthin yield on biomass $(Y_{P/X})$, the values for both hydrolysates were similar (~ 250 μ g/g), indicating that the type of carbon source did not affect the 11 12 accumulation of astaxanthin. In comparison with semi-defined media with 30 g/l of glucose as initial carbon source, it was expected that biomass production was higher (16 g/l) compared to 13 14 Accellerase® 1500 treated hydrolysates (14 g/l) that contained less carbon source available (13 g/l), 15 however, astaxanthin production (P) were similar (3.6 mg/l) in both fermentations. This suggests the ability of the particular yeast to produce higher intracellular astaxanthin in Accellerase® 1500 16 17 hydrolysates ($Y_{p/x} = 251 \ \mu g$ g) as compared to semi-defined media ($Y_{p/x} = 221 \ \mu g/g$). The complex 18 composition of the rapeseed meal hydrolysates, composed of mixtures of sugars, protein and other 19 nutrients, seems to promote astaxanthin production in X. dendrorhous.

20 3

3.3.3 Batch fermentation (Pre-hydrolysis and fermentation)

The possibility of conducting pre-hydrolysis and fermentation (pSSF) strategy was investigated, with a view to improve yeast growth as well as astaxanthin production in *X. dendrorhous.* pSSF strategy is an improvement of simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) strategy that has been widely used in bioethanol production by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [35]. In SSF strategy, enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulosic material and microbial fermentation is performed simultaneously. However, problems often arise mainly due to the difference in the optimal temperatures of the enzymatic hydrolysis and yeast fermentation. In our case, the significant difference between optimal temperature for enzymatic hydrolysis of rapeseed meal and *X. dendrorhous* growth rendered the SSF approach not feasible. To this end, the vessel temperature was set at 50 °C for 24 h (the optimal temperature for enzyme hydrolysis), followed by reducing the temperature to 20 °C (optimal temperature for *X. dendrorhous*), prior to inoculation.

Figure 5 5 depicts the growth profile of *X. dendrorhous* cultivated in a 2-litre bioreactor using pSSF approach in Accellerase® 1500 hydrolysates (A) and pectinase hydrolysates (B). In the case of pSSF using Accellerase® 1500 hydrolysates, total astaxanthin production was 30 % lower than in SHF cultivation process utilising the same hydrolysates. This might occur due to the presence of rapeseed biomass in the bioreactor, in which led into collisions between rapeseed meal biomass and yeast cells during agitation and eventually cell disruption.

13 In the case of pectinase derived rapeseed meal hydrolysates, it was observed that the sugars 14 released during hydrolysis step were used as primary carbon sources. Generally, about 70 g/l of total carbon sources (~ 22 g/l sugars and ~48 g/l glycerol) were available for yeast consumption. It 15 16 was observed that glycerol started to be consumed once available sugars were exhausted in the 17 media, demonstrating that cells were able to divert their metabolism towards glycerol consumption. 18 It is interesting to observe that during the period of glycerol metabolism, glucose was also released 19 in the hydrolysates by the slow activity of pectinase on rapeseed meal at 20°C (as opposed to 20 optimum temperature of pectinase activity at 50°C). At the end of the fermentation, glycerol was 21 completely consumed by the yeast and about 3.5 g/l of excess glucose remained in the media. In the 22 pSSF approach with pectinase derived rapeseed meal hydrolysates similar results for astaxanthin 23 production were noted as in batch fermentation with separate hydrolysis and fermentation 24 experiment (~10 mg/l).

1 **3.4** Glass beads / solvent extraction

2 Figure 6 presents the results from the astaxanthin extraction with glass beads aiming to 3 disrupt the yeast cell, coupled with different types of solvent to extract astaxanthin simultaneously. 4 The highest extraction was achieved with acetone (95 %, w/w), followed by DMSO: Acetone (84 5 %, w/w). Methanol and ethanol were not significantly different from each other (54-58 %, w/w), 6 whereas DMSO on its own resulted in low extraction yield (42 %, w/w) and water in the lowest (14 7 %, w/w). This might have occurred due to the fact that acetone has good permeability through the 8 cell wall and membrane of X. dendrorhous as well as high solubility to astaxanthin [48]. The 9 difference in the extraction yield obtained with the different solvents could be attributes to the 10 differences in their polarity which were: Ethanol (4.3) < methanol (5.1) < acetone (5.4) < DMSO11 (7.2) < water (9.00) [48]. As astaxanthin contains both polar (at the end of the molecule) and non-12 polar moieties (in the middle of the molecule) in its chemical structure [49], the use of slightly polar 13 solvent helps to extract astaxanthin from the cell wall membrane of the yeast that might be attached 14 by the non-covalent binding to specific protein [50].

15 In order to understand better the mechanisms of astaxanthin extractability, the solubility of 16 astaxanthin in different solvents were tested (Table 6). The highest solubility of pure astaxanthin 17 was observed with a combination of DMSO and acetone (1:1), whereas a lower astaxanthin 18 solubility was found in DMSO and acetone alone. The solubility of astaxanthin is highly correlated 19 with polarity of the solvent used. The highest astaxanthin solubility was observed a when mixture 20 of DMSO: Acetone (1:1) was used with 2.03 mg/ml. As for astaxanthin solubility in individual 21 solvent, highest solubility of astaxanthin was observed when DMSO was used, that correlated to the 22 high polarity of DMSO. Even though astaxanthin has low solubility in acetone (0.55 mg/ml) as 23 compared to DMSO, it has high permeability through the yeast cell wall and resulted in higher 24 astaxanthin extractability from the yeast cells [48]. The mechanism of astaxanthin extraction with 25 organic solvents occur by permeation of solvents through the cell wall and cell membrane, and subsequently interact with astaxanthin compound using Van der Waals forces. This 26

1 solvent/astaxanthin complex will diffuse across the cell membrane and remain dissolve in solvents

2 [51].

3 Several studies report the utilisation of glass beads to extract intracellular products from 4 various yeast species using beads extraction on wider aspects but are limited with regards to 5 astaxanthin extraction form X. dendrorhous. Glass beads extraction was achieved by disrupting the 6 yeast cells via bead collision zones by compaction or shear force with energy transfer from beads to 7 the cells [52]. Glass beads accompanied with acetone were used to rupture Sporodiobolus 8 *pararoseus* and *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*. Results showed that about 66 % (w/w) and 52 % (w/w) 9 of carotenoids extractability was obtained as compared to standard DMSO method [53]. In different 10 study, two different methods (glass beads in bead beater and DMSO/solvent) of astaxanthin 11 extraction in four different strains of *Phaffia rhodozyma* cells was investigated [26]. Similar results 12 were obtained between these methods $(275 - 276 \,\mu g/g)$. However, the DMSO disruption method is more rapid than glass bead disruption process, in which multiple samples can be processed at the 13 14 same time, the DMSO method is considered more suitable for large number of samples. However, 15 the drawbacks of this process are that it is a non-selective extraction process where all polar/non-16 polar compounds were extracted depending on the solvents used during the process.

17 The resultant morphology of the X. dendrorhous cells after glass beads treatment was 18 studied by environmental scanning microscopy (Figure 7). Before the glass beads treatment, cells 19 were in intact sphere shapes with a smooth surface. After treatment, small particles (< 5 mm) were 20 produced as a result of beads abrasion (Figure 7B), as compared with intact cells before disruption (Figure 7A). The small sized cells and debris produced after glass beads treatment resulted in an 21 22 increased surface area for subsequent solvent extraction, leading to higher astaxanthin 23 extractability. Apparently, at this point, astaxanthin extraction was highly dependent on the solubility and polarity of organic solvents used, as the degree of cell disruption was already high. 24 25 For example, in glass beads accompanied with water extraction, minimum astaxanthin pigments were extracted even though the cells were ruptured. This is attributed to the ability of the solvent 26

used to penetrate the debris/cells to extract the pigments as well as astaxanthin was not soluble in
water. It can be deduced that the types of organic solvents used in astaxanthin extraction are very
crucial for the success rate of the process.

4 Yeast derived astaxanthin is characterised as natural and can be used as an ingredient in 5 products formulation including feed, food and as a colourant. The experiments demonstrated that 6 the application of mechanical extraction (glass beads) gave high degree of cell disruption and 7 subsequently increased astaxanthin extraction by solvent. This is considered as a feasible method to 8 be used in large scale as it is simple, cheap and reliable and does not require special 9 instrumentation. Moreover, further studies on the astaxanthin extract are required to investigate the 10 stability of the extract in different types of solvents. Investigating the performance of the extracted 11 astaxanthin in product formulation such as in feed and colourant, is a key future step for the 12 biotechnological production of astaxantin.

13

14 **4** Conclusion

One of the challenges in natural astaxanthin production is associated with its expensive 15 16 production route compared to synthetically produced astaxanthin, as it involves a series of processes 17 including fermentation and downstream processing. Improvement of the process should target high astaxanthin yields in order to render the overall process more valuable and allow for microbial 18 astaxanthin to compete with synthetically produced astaxanthin. Rapeseed meal holds potential as 19 20 substrate for the microbial production of astaxanthin. This work demonstrated a process for 21 rapeseed meal bioconversion into astaxanthin by X. dendrorhous DSMZ 5626. The process flow 22 starts from screening commercial enzymes for their potential as biocatalysts for rapeseed meal 23 conversion into carbon source rich hydrolysate, down to fermentation optimisation and extraction 24 process. This approach could offer a sustainable alternative to chemically synthesised astaxanthin 25 and can stimulate the circular bioeconomy through the utilisation of low value, abundant resources.

2 5 Acknowledgments

- 3 Financial support has been provided by the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia under Academic
- 4 Training Scheme Sponsorship.

5 **References**

- [1] Krautgartner R, Audran X, Erik Rehder L, Boshnakova M, Dobrescu M, Rossetti A. Oilseeds
 market Update. USDA Foreign Agric. Serv. 2017;
- 8 [2] Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Department of Agriculture,
 9 Environment and Rural Affairs (Northern Ireland) Welsh Assembly, The Department for
 10 Rural Affairs and Heritage The Scottish Government R & amp; ES & amp; AS. Agriculture In
 11 the United Kingdom 2016. 2017.
- [3] Wang R, Shaarani SM, Godoy LC, Melikoglu M, Vergara CS, Koutinas A, et al.
 Bioconversion of rapeseed meal for the production of a generic microbial feedstock. Enzyme
 Microb. Technol. 2010;47:77–83.
- [4] Lomascolo A, Uzan-Boukhris E, Sigoillot J-C, Fine F. Rapeseed and sunflower meal: a
 review on biotechnology status and challenges. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2012;95:1105–
 14.
- Isong H-S, Kim H-Y, Ahn SH, Oh SC, Yang I, Choi I-G. Effects of combination processes
 on the extraction of pectins from rapeseed cake (Brassica napus L.). Food Chem.
 2013;139:9–15.
- [6] Pustjens AM, Schols HA, Kabel MA, Gruppen H. Characterisation of cell wall
 polysaccharides from rapeseed (Brassica napus) meal. Carbohydr. Polym. 2013;98:1650–6.
- [7] Uçkun Kiran E, Salakkam A, Trzcinski AP, Bakir U, Webb C. Enhancing the value of
 nitrogen from rapeseed meal for microbial oil production. Enzyme Microb. Technol.
 2012;50:337–42.
- [8] El-Beltagi HES, Mohamed AA. Variations in fatty acid composition, glucosinolate profile
 and some phytochemical contents in selected oil seed rape (Brassica napus L.) cultivars.
 Grasas y Aceites 2010;61:143–50.
- [9] Jeong H-SS, Kim H-YY, Ahn SH, Oh SC, Yang I, Choi I-GG. Optimization of enzymatic
 hydrolysis conditions for extraction of pectin from rapeseed cake (Brassica napus L.) using
 commercial enzymes. Food Chem. 2014;157:332–8.
- [10] Chatzifragkou A, Papanikolaou S, Kopsahelis N, Kachrimanidou V, Dorado MP, Koutinas
 A. Biorefinery development through utilization of biodiesel industry by-products as sole
 fermentation feedstock for 1,3-propanediol production. Bioresour. Technol. 2014;159:167–
 75.

- [11] Chen K, Zhang H, Miao Y, Wei P, Chen J. Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation
 of acid-pretreated rapeseed meal for succinic acid production using Actinobacillus
 succinogenes. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 2011;48:339–44.
- 4 [12] García IL, López JA, Dorado MP, Kopsahelis N, Alexandri M, Papanikolaou S, et al.
 5 Evaluation of by-products from the biodiesel industry as fermentation feedstock for poly(3hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) production by Cupriavidus necator. Bioresour.
 7 Technol. 2013;130:16–22.
- 8 [13] Ambati RR, Moi PS, Ravi S, Aswathanarayana RG. Astaxanthin: Sources, extraction,
 9 stability, biological activities and its commercial applications A review. Mar. Drugs
 10 2014;12:128–52.
- [14] Lobo V, Patil A, Phatak A, Chandra N. Free radicals, antioxidants and functional foods:
 Impact on human health. Pharmacogn. Rev. 2010;4:118–26.
- [15] Fassett RG, Coombes JS. Astaxanthin: A potential therapeutic agent in cardiovascular
 disease. Mar. Drugs 2011;9:447–65.
- Ip P-F, Wong K-H, Chen F. Enhanced production of astaxanthin by the green microalga
 Chlorella zofingiensis in mixotrophic culture. Process Biochem. 2004;39:1761–6.
- [17] Baker R, Günther C. The role of carotenoids in consumer choice and the likely benefits from
 their inclusion into products for human consumption. Trends Food Sci. Technol.
 2004;15:484–8.
- [18] Panel EF. Scientific Opinion on the Safety and Efficacy of Synthetic Astaxanthin as Feed
 Additive for Salmon and Trout, Other Fish, Ornamental Fish, Crustaceans and Ornamental
 Birds. EFSA J. 2014;12:3724.
- [19] Bhatt PC, Ahmad M, Panda BP. Enhanced bioaccumulation of astaxanthin in Phaffia
 rhodozyma by utilising low cost agro products as fermentation substrate. 2012;
- [20] Panis G, Carreon JR. Commercial astaxanthin production derived by green alga
 Haematococcus pluvialis: A microalgae process model and a techno-economic assessment all
 through production line. Algal Res. 2016;18:175–90.
- [21] Guerin M, Huntley ME, Olaizola M. Haematococcus astaxanthin: applications for human health and nutrition [Internet]. Trends Biotechnol.2003 [cited 2017 Apr 5];21:210–6.
 Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0167779903000787
- [22] Rodrigues IM, Carvalho MGVS, Rocha JMS. Increasing the protein content of rapeseed meal
 by enzymatic hydrolysis of carbohydrates. BioResources 2014;9:2010–25.
- Sari YW, Bruins ME, Sanders JPM. Enzyme assisted protein extraction from rapeseed,
 soybean, and microalgae meals. Ind. Crops Prod. 2013;43:78–83.
- Sluiter A, Hames B, Ruiz RO, Scarlata C, Sluiter J, Templeton D, et al. Determination of
 Structural Carbohydrates and Lignin in Biomass. Laboratory ANalytical Procedure (LAP).
 2004.
- 38 [25] AOAC. AOAC: Official Methods of Analysis (Volume 1). Off. Method Anal. AOAC
 39 1990;15.

- [26] Sedmak JJ, Weerasinghe DK, Jolly SO. Extraction and Quantification of Astaxanthin from
 Phaffia Rhodozyma. Biotechnol. Tech. 1990;4:107–12.
- 3 [27] Mintec. Rapeseed oil : commodity factsheet. 2012.
- 4 [28] Leming R, Lember A. Chemical Composition of Expeller-Extracted and Cold-Pressed
 5 Rapeseed Cake. 2002;103–9.
- [29] Butardo VM, Sreenivasulu N. Tailoring Grain Storage Reserves for a Healthier Rice Diet and
 its Comparative Status with Other Cereals. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 2016;323:31–70.
- 8 [30] Bell JM. Nutrients and toxicants in rapeseed meal: a review. J. Anim. Sci. 1984;58:996–
 9 1010.
- [31] Briones R, Serrano L, Labidi J. Valorization of some lignocellulosic agro-industrial residues
 to obtain biopolyols. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 2012;87:244–9.
- [32] Egües I, Alriols MG, Herseczki Z, Marton G, Labidi J. Hemicelluloses obtaining from
 rapeseed cake residue generated in the biodiesel production process. J. Ind. Eng. Chem.
 2010;16:293–8.
- [33] Guan X, Yao H. Optimization of Viscozyme L-assisted extraction of oat bran protein using
 response surface methodology. Food Chem. 2008;106:345–51.
- [34] Hanmoungjai P, Pyle DL, Niranjan K. Enzyme-assisted water-extraction of oil and protein
 from rice bran. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 2002;77:771–6.
- [35] Taherzadeh MJ, Karimi K. Enzyme-based hydrolysis processes for ethanol from
 lignocellulosic materials: A review. 2007.
- [36] Yoo CG. Pretreatment and fractionation of lignocellulosic biomass for production of biofuel
 and value-added products. 2012;
- [37] Liu Y. Investigation of Major Biochemical Factors for Improved Carotenoid (Astaxanthin)
 Production by the Yeast Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous. 2006;
- [38] Marova I, Certik M, Breierova E. Production of Enriched Biomass by Carotenogenic Yeasts Application of Whole-Cell Yeast Biomass to Production of Pigments and Other Lipid
 Compounds. Biomass Detect. Prod. Usage 2011;345–84.
- [39] Yamane YI, Higashida K, Nakashimada Y, Kakizono T, Nishio N. Influence of oxygen and
 glucose on primary metabolism and astaxanthin production by Phaffia rhodozyma in batch
 and fed-batch cultures: Kinetic and stoichiometric analysis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
 1997;63:4471–8.
- [40] Marcoleta A, Niklitschek M, Wozniak A, Lozano C, Alcaíno J, Baeza M, et al. "Glucose and ethanol-dependent transcriptional regulation of the astaxanthin biosynthesis pathway in Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous." BMC Microbiol. 2011;11:190.
- [41] Thomson JM, Gaucher EA, Burgan MF, De Kee DW, Li T, Aris JP, et al. Resurrecting
 ancestral alcohol dehydrogenases from yeast. Nat. Genet. 2005;37:630–5.
- [42] Sanpietro LMD. Studies of Astaxanthin Biosynthesis in Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous
 (Phaffia rhodozyma). Effect of Inhibitors and Low Temperature. Yeast 1998;14:1007–16.

- [43] Mata-Gómez LC, Montañez JC, Méndez-Zavala A, Aguilar CN. Biotechnological production
 of carotenoids by yeasts: an overview. Microb. Cell Fact. 2014;13:12.
- [44] Wang C, Zhou F, Yang Z, Wang W, Yu F, Wu Y, et al. Hydrolysis of cellulose into reducing sugar via hot-compressed ethanol/water mixture. Biomass and Bioenergy 2012;42:143–50.
- 5 [45] Parajó JC, Santos V, Vázquez M, Cruz JM. Production of carotenoids by
 6 Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous growing on enzymatic hydrolysates of prehydrolysed
 7 wood. Food Chem. 1997;
- 8 [46] Jirasripongpun K, Pewlong W, Natsathmonthra W, Suthiyaporn S. Carotenoids Production
 9 by Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous Mutant Grown on Molasses. Kasetsart J. Nat. Sci.
 10 2007;041:667–74.
- [47] Ramírez J, Obledo N, Arellano M, Herrera E. Astaxanthin production by Phaffia rhodozyma
 in a fedbatch culture using a low cost medium feeding. e-Gnosis 2006;4:0–9.
- [48] Yin C, Yang S, Liu X, Yan H. Efficient extraction of astaxanthin from phaffia rhodozyma
 with polar and non-polar solvents after acid washing. Chinese J. Chem. Eng. 2013;21:776–
 80.
- [49] Blasko A, Belagyi J, Dergez T, Deli J, Papp G, Papp T, et al. Effect of polar and non-polar
 carotenoids on Xanthophylomyces dendrorhous membranes by EPR. Eur. Biophys. J.
 2008;37:1097–104.
- [50] Amaro HM, Fernandes F, Valentão P, Andrade PB, Sousa-Pinto I, Malcata FX, et al. Effect
 of Solvent System on Extractability of Lipidic Components of Scenedesmus obliquus (M2-1)
 and Gloeothece sp. on Antioxidant Scavenging Capacity Thereof. Mar. Drugs 2015;13:6453–
 71.
- [51] Halim R, Danquah MK, Webley PA. Extraction of oil from microalgae for biodiesel
 production: A review. Biotechnol. Adv. 2012;30:709–32.
- [52] Duarte SH, Santos P dos, Michelon M, Oliveira SM de P, Martínez J, Maugeri F. Recovery
 of yeast lipids using different cell disruption techniques and supercritical CO2 extraction.
 Biochem. Eng. J. 2017;125:230–7.
- [53] Lopes NA, Remedi RD, dos Santos Sá C, Burkert CAV, de Medeiros Burkert JF. Different
 cell disruption methods for obtaining carotenoids by Sporodiobolus pararoseus and
 Rhodothorula mucilaginosa. Food Sci. Biotechnol. 2017;26:759–66.
- [54] Sun S, Wen J, Sun S, Sun RC. Systematic evaluation of the degraded products evolved from
 the hydrothermal pretreatment of sweet sorghum stems. Biotechnol Biofuel 2015; 8: 37.
- 33

Table 1: Details of the industrial enzymes used in the study

Enzyme	Source	Main Activity	Side activities	Activity	Stabiliser/
·		·		·	Protectant
			Xylanase		
Viscozyme® L	Aspergillus aculeatus	Endoglucanse	Cellulase	100 FBG/g	Sucrose, NaCl
			Hemicellulase		
Cellulase	Aspergillus niger	Endo-glucanase	-	~ 0.8 unit/mg	Not given
Pectinase	Aspergillus aculeatus	Polygalacturonase	Cellulase, β- Galactosidase	\geq 3800 unit/ml	Glycerol
Accellerase® 1500	Trichoderma reesei	Exoglucanase, Endoglucanase and β- Glucosidase	Hemicellulases	2200-2800 CMC unit/g	Not given

Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6	Protein 25.3 ± 0.2 Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Protein 25.3 ± 0.2 Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Protein 25.3 ± 0.2 Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Protein 25.3 ± 0.2 Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Protein 25.3 ± 0.2 Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Composition	Percentage (%,
Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Lignin 18.0 ± 4.6 Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Oil	16.7 ± 0.1
Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Ash 5.3 ± 0.1 Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Protein	25.3 ± 0.2
Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Moisture 6.8 ± 0.1 Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Jignin	18.0 ± 4.6
Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Sugars (% carbohydrate) 34.2 ± 6.2 Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Ash	5.3 ± 0.1
Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucose 20.2 ± 2.3 Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Moisture	6.8 ± 0.1
Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Galactose 2.9 ± 0.5 Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Sugars (% carbohydrate)	34.2 ± 6.2
Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Arabinose 5.9 ± 0.7 Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucose	20.2 ± 2.3
Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Xylose 1.6 ± 0.2 Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Galactose	2.9 ± 0.5
Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fructose 0.3 ± 0.2 Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Arabinose	5.9 ± 0.7
Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fucose 0.2 ± 0.1 Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Xylose	1.6 ± 0.2
Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Glucoronic acid 0.4 ± 0.3	Fructose	0.3 ± 0.2
						Fucose	0.2 ± 0.1
Galacturonic acid 2.8 ± 0.1	Galacturonic acid 2.8 ± 0.1	Glucoronic acid	0.4 ± 0.3				
						Galacturonic acid	2.8 ± 0.1

1 Table 3: Effect of thermal pre-treatment (at 126 °C and 135 °C) of rapeseed meal suspension (10 %,

w/v followed by 15% (v/v) of Accellerase 1500 treatment for 24 h on hydrolysis yield and sugar

2 w/v) followed b3 concentrations4

Temperature (T°C)	Time (min)]	Hydrolysis Yield	(%)		Concentra	tion (g/l)
		Glu	Xyl	Gal	Glu	Xyl	Gal
Control (no pre-treatr	nent)	29.82 (0.31) ^a	19.82 (0.36) ^a	79.28 (1.43) ^a	6.01 (0.06) ^a	0.95 (0.02) ^a	3.81 (0.07) ^a
126	15	37.37 (0.22) ^{cd}	15.59 (0.32) ^a	62.37 (1.27) ^a	7.54 (0.04) ^{cd}	0.74 (0.02) ^a	2.97 (0.06) ^a
126	30	40.44 (2.12) ^{bc}	16.97 (0.82) ^b	67.88 (3.27) ^b	8.15 (0.43) ^{bc}	0.81 (0.04) ^b	3.24 (0.16) ^b
126	60	34.25 (0.15) ^c	16.67 (0.09) ^b	66.69 (0.36) ^b	6.91 (0.03) ^c	0.79 (0.01) ^b	3.18 (0.02) ^b
135	15	38.06 (0.66) ^b	16.74 (0.33) ^b	66.95 (1.32) ^b	7.67 (0.13) ^b	0.80 (0.02) ^b	3.19 (0.06) ^b
135	30	38.46 (0.31) ^b	15.72 (0.16) ^a	62.89 (0.63) ^a	7.75 (0.03) ^b	0.75 (0.01) ^b	3.00 (0.01) ^b

5 Different letters (a-c) represent significant differences among the data in the same column (p<0.05)

6

7

Table 4: Growth of X. dendrorhous and astaxanthin production in different rapeseed meal
hydrolysates at 20 °C in flasks fermentation

Rapeseed meal hydrolysates	Time (h)	Biomass (g/l)	<i>P</i> (mg/l)	Υ _{P/X} (μg/g)	Y x/s (g/g)	EtOH _{max} (g/l)
Viscozyme® L	115	3.07 ± 0.07	0.4 ± 0.03	156 ± 11.75	0.07 ± 0.02	3.8 ± 0.13
Cellulase	115	14.63 ± 0.64	1.10 ± 0.18	71 ± 11.4	0.25 ± 0.01	6.92 ± 0.0
Pectinase	120	25.83 ± 1.52	6.71 ± 0.44	258 ± 1.83	0.46 ± 0.03	-
Accellerase® 1500	118	8.2 ± 0.01	2.69 ± 0.05	332 ± 12	0.84 ± 0.01	2.02 ± 0.1
Thermal treated plus Accellerase® 1500	140	11.95 ± 0.35	3.2 ± 0.4	271 ± 26.4	0.81 ± 0.05	0.5 ± 0.01
Y _{P/X} : Yield of astax	kanthin on	biomass produc	ced.			
$Y_{P/S}$: Yield of astax fermentation	kanthin on	substrate used.l	EtOH _{max} : Maxi	mum ethanol c	concentration pr	roduced duri
P: Astaxanthin pro-	duction					
X: Dry cell weight						

Hydrolysates	Time	Total carbon concentration	X	Р	Y p/x	Y x/s
nyurorysates	(h)	(g/l)	(g/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/g)	(g/g)
RSM+10% Accellerase®	112	17.66 ± 0.2	14.3 ± 0.9	3.6 ± 0.1	251 ± 5	0.81 ± 0.0
RSM + 10% Pectinase	113	72.1 ± 4.3	42.0 ± 0.4	10.2 ± 0.2	242 ± 6	0.58 ± 0.0
Semi – defined media (30 g/l glucose)	123	27.6 ± 1.1	16.3 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 0.1	221 ± 8	0.59 ± 0.0
	conditions:	agitation, 1 l/min	; temperatur	e, 20 °C; pH	6; agitatior	ı, 600 rpm
Y _{P/X} : Yield	of astaxanthi	n on biomass produce	d.			
Y _{P/S} : Yield of fermentation		n on substrate used.Et	OH _{max} : Maxim	um ethanol con	centration pro	duced during
P: Astaxant	hin productio	n				
X: Dry cell	weight					

Table 5: Fermentation data of X. dendrorhous in batch bioreactor cultures of rapeseed meal hydrolysates and semi-defined media

Solvent	Concentration (mg/ml)
Water	0
Ethanol	0.038 ± 0.004^{a}
Acetone	0.55 ± 0.02^{b}
Methanol	$0.04{\pm}0.002^{a}$
Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO)	$1.64\pm0.03^{\circ}$
DMSO:Acetone (1:1)	2.03 ± 0.04^{d}
Different letters represent significant difference b $(p < 0.0)$	

Table 6: Solubility of astaxanthin in solvents after incubation for 24 h at 25 °C.



Figures



Figure 1: Schematic diagram of experimental procedure for astaxanthin production from rapeseed meal in X. dendrorhous.



Figure 2: Effect of different enzyme concentrations on the total sugars released after 24 hrs of hydrolysis of 10% (w/v) rapeseed meal using commercial enzymes [Viscozyme® (A), pectinase (B), cellulase (C) and Accellerase® 1500 (D)]. Line graph represents the sugars yield (%), whereas the bar graph represents the concentration of sugars in rapeseed meal hydrolysates.

6 Symbols represent: ●- glucose, ■- xylose, ▼- arabinose, ◆- galactose, galactose (g/l), galactose



Figure 3:Kinetic profile of (A) carbon sources consumption and (B) product formation during X.
 dendrorhous growth in pectinase rapeseed meal hydrolysate in shake flasks.

Symbols represent: Glucose (●, g/l), arabinose (◆, g/l), galactose and xylose (▼, g/l), glycerol (×, g/l), yield of astaxanthin on biomass, Yp/x (□, µg/g), astaxanthin production P (▲, mg/l), dry cell weight (Δ, g/l).



Figure 4: Growth profile of X. dendrorhous cultivated in 2-L stirred tank bioreactor with rapeseed
meal hydrolysates as sole fermentation substrate with separate hydrolysis and fermentation
strategy. A) Accellerase® 1500 treated hydrolysates (15% v/v of enzyme and 10% w/v of
substrate); B) pectinase treated rapeseed meal hydrolysates (10% v/v of enzyme and 10% w/v of
substrate).

9 Fermentation conditions: aeration, 1 l/min; temperature, 20 °C; pH 6.
10 Symbols represent: - astaxanthin, AXN (▲, μg/ml); glucose, Glu (●, g/l); xylose/ galactose, Xyl,

Galac (♥, g/l), arabinose, Ara (♦, g/l); dry cell weight, DCW (Δ, g/l); glycerol, Gly (×, g/l);
 dissolved oxygen, DO (+, %).



Figure 5: Growth profile of X. dendrorhous using prehydrolysis and fermentation approach. (A)
10% (v/v) Accellerase® B Accellerase® B 1500 in 10% (w/v) substrate; (B) 10% (v/v) pectinase in 10% (w/v) substrate
Hydrolysis conditions: agitation, 250 rpm; temperature, 50 °C; time, 24 h
Fermentation conditions: aeration, 1 l/min; agitation, 600 rpm; temperature, 20 °C; pH 6
Symbols represent: - astaxanthin, AXN (▲, µg/ml); glucose, Glu (●, g/l); xylose/ galactose, Xyl, Galac (♥, g/l); glycerol, Gly (×, g/l); CFU (□, CFU/ml)



Figure 6: Percentage of astaxanthin extractability (%) using glass beads (0.3 g) with different types of solvents. Extraction was performed at room temperature under agitation at 2000 rpm Different letters show the significant difference between each treatment using Tukey pairwise *comparison* (p < 0.05). Abbreviations: dH₂0 (distilled water), MeOH (methanol), EtOH (ethanol), DMSO (dimethyl *sulfoxide*)



Figure 7: Scanning electron microscopy images X. dendrorhous cells A) intact cells, B) cells after
 treatment with glass beads (0.3 g) followed by acetone (100%) extraction.