

Prolonged exposure to manure from livestock administered antibiotics decreases ecosystem carbon-use efficiency and alters nitrogen cycling

Article

Accepted Version

Wepking, C., Badgley, B., Barrett, J. E., Knowlton, K. F., Lucas, J. M., Minick, K. J., Ray, P. P. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8375-8279, Shawver, S. E. and Strickland, M. S. (2019) Prolonged exposure to manure from livestock administered antibiotics decreases ecosystem carbon-use efficiency and alters nitrogen cycling. Ecology Letters, 22 (12). pp. 2067-2076. ISSN 1461-0248 doi: 10.1111/ele.13390 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/85786/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ele.13390

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other



copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Title: Prolonged exposure to manure from livestock administered antibiotics 1 2 decreases ecosystem carbon-use efficiency and alters nitrogen cycling 3 Carl Wepking^{a,b,*}, Brian Badgley^c, John E. Barrett^b, Katharine F. 4 Authors: Knowlton^d, Jane M. Lucas^e, Kevan Minick^f, Partha P. Ray^g, Sarah Shawver^c, 5 Michael S. Strickland^{e*} 6 7 ^a School of Global Environmental Sustainability, Colorado State University, Fort 8 Collins, CO, 80523; ^b Department of Biological, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, 9 24061; ^c Sciences Department of Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences, Virginia 10 Tech, Blacksburg, VA, 24061; ^d Department of Dairy Science, Virginia Tech, 11 Blacksburg, VA, 24060; e Department of Soil and Water Systems, University of 12 Idaho, Moscow, ID, 83844; ^f Department of Forestry and Environmental 13 Resources, NC State University, Raleigh, NC, 27695; ^g Animal, Dairy and Food 14 15 Chain Sciences, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of 16 Reading, Reading RG6 6AR 17 ORCID: CW: 0000-0003-1910-5999; MSS: 0000-0001-5349-0363 18 19 Keywords: elemental cycles, microbial ecology, stable isotopes, ecosystem 20 21 function, antibiotics, agroecology 22 23 Authorship Statement: C.W. and M.S.S. designed research. C.W., B.B., J.E.B., 24 K.F.K., K.M., P.P.R., S.S., and M.S.S. performed research. C.W., J.M.L and M.S.S. analyzed the data; C.W., and M.S.S. wrote the manuscript; all authors 25 26 participated in editing and revision of the manuscript. 27 28 Data Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available at 29 https://datadryad.org/resource/doi:xxxxxx (placeholder until this Dryad dataset is 30 official). 31 32 Number of words in abstract: 147 33 Number of words in main text: 4954 34 Number of figures: 4 (additionally, 3 supplementary figures 35 and 5 supplementary tables) 36 Number of references: 75 (plus 17 in supplementary materials) 37 47 38 48 *Corresponding authors and contact information: 39 49 Michael S. Strickland 40 Carl Wepking 50 Department of Soil and Water Systems 41 School of Global Environmental Sustainability -51 University of Idaho 42 Colorado State University 52 875 Perimeter Dr. MS 2340 43 108 Johnson Hall 53 Moscow, ID 83844-2340 44 Fort Colllins, CO 80523 54 mstrickland@uidaho.edu 45 carl.wepking@colostate.edu 55 phone: 208-885-0960 46 phone: 970-491-7647 / fax: 970-492-4130

57 Abstract:

58

59 Microbial communities drive soil ecosystem function but are also susceptible to

60 environmental disturbances. We investigated whether exposure to manure

61 sourced from cattle either administered or not administered antibiotics affected

62 microbially-mediated terrestrial ecosystem function. We quantified changes in

63 microbial community composition, and terrestrial elemental cycling via a stable

isotope pulse-chase. Exposure to manure from antibiotic-treated cattle caused: *i*)

changes in microbial community structure; and *ii*) alterations in elemental cycling

66 throughout the terrestrial system. This exposure caused changes in

67 fungal:bacterial, as well as changes in bacterial community structure.

68 Additionally, exposure to manure from cattle treated with pirlimycin resulted in an

approximate two-fold increase in ecosystem respiration of recently fixed-carbon,

and a greater proportion of recently-added nitrogen in plant and soil pools

compared to the control manure. Manure from antibiotic-treated cattle therefore

72 affects terrestrial ecosystem function via the soil microbiome, causing decreased

raise cosystem carbon use efficiency, and altered nitrogen cycling.

75 Introduction:

76

77 Use of antibiotics is under heightened scrutiny due to the increased prevalence 78 of antibiotic resistant pathogens (1-3). Antibiotic resistance is a multifaceted 79 problem and although the primary focus is on more stringent use of antibiotics in 80 medical settings, the use of antibiotics in the livestock sector is gaining increased attention (4–13). In the United States, 80% of antibiotics are used in livestock 81 production, representing approximately 15-million kg of antibiotics annually (14, 82 83 15): globally livestock antibiotic use is projected to increase by 67% between 84 2010 and 2030 (4). After dosing, 40-90% of antibiotics are excreted by livestock 85 either intact or as a biologically active metabolite (16–18). Livestock manure 86 either collects in pastures or is applied to cultivated fields as fertilizer, therefore potentially contributing up to 13-million kg of antibiotics to the environment 87 annually (14, 18). This widespread antibiotic exposure can affect human health 88 89 through the spread of antibiotic resistance, and also has the potential to directly 90 affect soil microbial communities and the ecosystem processes they regulate 91 (19-21).

92

93 The effect of antibiotics is an important consideration because microbial

94 communities are key drivers of ecosystem function. Soil microbial communities 95 play an important role in decomposition and elemental cycling in soils (22–25), 96 and impact the composition and productivity of plant communities (26) often 97 through beneficial and detrimental symbioses, and plant-microbe competition for 98 nutrients (27–31). While it is well known that soil microbes compete and signal 99 via antibiotics (32–34), the type and amount of antibiotics that soil microbial 100 communities are exposed to in agroecosystems are often novel and certainly present in amounts far surpassing those found in soils naturally (8, 35). 101

102

Evidence is mounting that antibiotics can alter both soil microbial composition 103 104 through selection by antibiotic pressure, and physiology (21) through a stress 105 response (36) with the potential to affect ecosystem function. For instance, in 106 settings with known exposure to antibiotics microbial efficiency has been shown 107 to decrease, as evidenced by increased microbial mass-specific respiration with 108 a subsequent increase in the abundance of antibiotic resistance genes (21). indicating that the metabolic costs associated with maintaining active antibiotic 109 110 resistance may reduce microbial efficiency (37). Antibiotic exposure has also been shown to increase methane fluxes from manure (38). In addition to these 111 carbon (C) cycling effects, antibiotic exposure may also affect nutrient cycling. 112 113 Because production of microbial biomass is more demanding for nutrients (e.g. 114 nitrogen; N), the shift away from biomass production towards metabolic pathways associated with a stress response could reduce microbial nutrient immobilization, 115 116 potentially increasing nutrient losses from ecosystems (36).

To investigate the potential effects of prolonged exposure to manure from
livestock treated with antibiotics (hereon these effects are referred to as antibiotic
effects) on microbial communities and ecosystem functioning, we applied manure
from three groups of cattle (those that received the bactericidal antibiotic

121 cephapirin, those that received the bacteriostatic antibiotic pirlimycin, and control 122 cattle receiving no antibiotics) to grassland plots in a common-garden 123 experiment, along with a no-manure control. The relative impacts of antibiotics on 124 soil microbial communities were examined via determination of fungal:bacterial ratio (hereon F:B) and 16S and ITS metabarcoding (to assess bacterial and 125 fungal community composition, respectively), and on ecosystem processes via a 126 127 ¹³C and ¹⁵N stable isotope pulse-chase. We expected that manure itself would positively affect plant growth and lead to an increase in soil C pools. However, 128 129 when manure was sourced from cattle administered antibiotics, we expected a 130 greater loss of C via respiration, as well as, an overall decrease in ecosystem C-131 use efficiency due to decreased microbial efficiency (specifically bacterial; 21). Antibiotics are likely to lead to an increase in F:B (39, 40). The implications of this 132 on an ecosystem-scale are subject to debate: given the classical understanding 133 of fungal versus bacterial contribution to biogeochemical processes, we would 134 expect that systems with a higher F:B would retain more C and N (41, 42). 135 Alternatively, recent work has shown that C and N mineralization are unrelated to 136 137 the relative dominance of bacteria and fungi (43). Therefore, outcomes from this experiment could lend support to either theory in light of recent challenges to the 138 classical understanding of fungal versus bacterial contribution to biogeochemical 139 140 processes.

141 142

Materials and Methods:

143

144 *Experimental Design:*145

146 A common garden experiment with a randomized block design (four treatments. n=6) was conducted at Kentland Farm, Blacksburg, VA, USA (37.199490, -147 80.584659; 547-m elevation; Unison and Braddock cobbly soils; dominant plant 148 cover is grasses, mostly tall fescue, as well as some herbaceous cover including 149 members of the Lamiaceae and Plantaginaceae families). Treatments included 150 three manure additions (manure from cattle given no antibiotics, or manure from 151 152 cattle given either cephapirin benzathine or pirlimycin hydrochloride) and one 153 control treatment that received no manure. Both antibiotics are commonly used in 154 the prevention of mastitis in dairy cattle, however they vary in a number of ways including their fate in the environment (44) and mode of action. Cephapirin 155 benzathine (Molecular weight = 365.4 g mol^{-1} ; pKa = 2.2; water solubility = 3,430156 mg L^{-1}) is bactericidal, damaging the structural integrity of bacterial cell 157 membranes, whereas pirlimycin hydrochloride (Molecular weight = 447.4 g mol⁻¹; 158 159 pKa = 8.4; water solubility = 64,900 mg L^{-1}) is bacteriostatic, inhibiting protein 160 synthesis. Hereon we refer to these four treatments as no-manure control (NMC). control manure (Con), cephapirin manure (Ceph), and pirlimycin manure (Pir). 161 162 163 Manure was applied to appropriate treatments at a monthly rate of 648-g-m⁻² of wet-weight manure starting in October, 2014 until May, 2015 (213 days) -164 165 totaling 4,536-g of manure m⁻². This amount of manure corresponds with the

166 amount of manure expected given a typical dairy cattle stocking density.

168 For information regarding manure properties, sourcing and within-manure 169 antibiotic quantification see supplementary materials.

170

171 Pulse-chase experiment:

172

173 Field sampling was conducted in May, 2015. In order to determine whether 174 antibiotic use in dairy cattle affects system-wide elemental cycling, a ¹³C and ¹⁵N stable isotope pulse-chase experiment was conducted. The use of ¹³C allowed 175 176 for the tracking of recently photosynthesized C through both above- and belowground C pools. To accomplish ¹³C-labeling, a ~1-m² subplot within each 177 treatment plot was covered with a 0.6-m³ (0.99-m × 0.99-m × 0.61-m) transparent 178 acrylic chamber (Figure S1). To prevent gas exchange from outside the 179 chamber, the chamber was fitted into a rubber lined wooden base that was 180 trenched 10-cm into the soil. The rubber liner was then adhered to the acrylic 181 glass chamber using silicon grease. ¹³CO₂ was introduced into each chamber via 182 gas-tight ports by reacting 1-g sodium carbonate (Na¹³CO₃, 99 atom% ¹³C, 183 Sigma-Aldrich; CAS number: 9367-48-4; 113-mg of ¹³C equivalent) with excess 184 hydrochloric acid. Air was circulated within the chambers using a centrally 185 186 located internal battery-operated fan. Chamber temperature was monitored using an internal thermometer. CO₂ concentrations within the chamber were monitored 187 188 via a LI-8100 infrared gas analyzer (Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE). Chambers were removed after CO₂ levels returned to pre-pulse levels. As temperatures in 189 190 the chambers can be high during mid-day, pulsing was limited to early morning and late afternoon. The amount of ¹³C fixed by the plant communities was 191 192 determined by taking foliar clip samples immediately post-pulse.

193

Following the ¹³C pulse-labeling, each plot was also labeled with ¹⁵N ammonium nitrate (¹⁵NH₄¹⁵NO₃; 98 atom%; Sigma-Aldrich; CAS Number: 31432-46-9; 67-mg of ¹⁵N equivalent) in order to examine N-dynamics in response to manure and antibiotic treatments. Ammonium nitrate (300-mg in 1-L of DI water) was added evenly to the soil surface of each 1-m² plot. The amount of ¹⁵N, similar to Fraterrigo *et al.* (45), was kept low to avoid a fertilization effect.

200

201 Upon completion of pulse-labeling, we destructively harvested 0.05-m² sub-plots within each 1-m² plot at 1, 2, and 7-days post-labeling. An additional sub-plot 202 was harvested from each 1-m² experimental plot prior to pulse-labeling in order 203 204 to determine natural abundance of ¹³C and ¹⁵N. Aboveground plant material from 205 each sub-plot was harvested by clipping it at the soil surface. Aboveground plant biomass samples were air-dried, weighed, and milled for elemental and isotope 206 207 analyses. The belowground portion of the sub-plot was sampled to 10-cm depth; 208 roots and soil were then separated. Root material was initially air-dried and then 209 later washed, air-dried, weighed, and milled for elemental and isotopic analyses. 210 Soils were sieved (4.75-mm), homogenized, and stored at either -80°C, 4°C, or 211 air-dried depending on future analyses (see below).

For soils, we determined POM and mineral-associated soil C and N, and soil 213 214 microbial biomass C and N. POM and mineral-associated C and N was determined on air dried soil samples (46). Microbial biomass C and N were 215 216 determined following the chloroform fumigation extraction (CFE) procedure 217 outlined in Fierer and Schimel (47). Briefly, 40 mls of 0.5M K₂SO₄ was added to one of each 7-g dry mass equivalent soil pair. One of each pair is then exposed 218 219 to 1-ml of ethanol-free chloroform to lyse microbial cells and accumulate 220 microbial C and N. Samples are capped, and shaken for 4-h. Samples were then allowed to settle before filtration. Microbial biomass was estimated as the 221 222 difference between the quantity of C and N between the fumigated and un-223 fumigated samples. Total organic C and N were then calculated for both the 224 fumigated and un-fumigated samples using a Vario TOC Cube (Elemtar, 225 Langenselbold, Germany). 226 227 δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in above- and belowground plant biomass, POM and mineral-228 associated pools were determined using a Costech ECS 4010 Elemental 229 Analyzer (Costech Analytical, Valencia, CA, USA) paired with an Thermo Delta

Plus Advantage Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (IRMS; Thermo Fisher
 Scientific™, Waltham, MA, USA).

232

233 Prior to each destructive harvest event ecosystem respiration was measured 234 using a LI-8100 Infrared Gas Analyzer (Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). 235 Additionally, two 15-ml subsamples of respired air were captured using a gas 236 syringe and air-evacuated exetainers in order to determine the δ^{13} C of respired 237 CO₂. The first of two subsamples were collected within the first 15-seconds of a 238 2-minute respiration measurement period, and the second subsample was 239 collected in the final 15-seconds. Both subsamples were then analyzed for δ^{13} C 240 using a GasBench II IRMS (Thermo Fisher Scientific[™], Waltham, MA, USA). Data were then recalculated to account for varying heights of soil collars and 241 adjusted to optimize the r² of the respiration trend-line from each 2-minute 242 243 measurement.

244

The amount of ¹³C fixed, respired, and the amount of both ¹³C and ¹⁵N contained 245 in above- and belowground pools was derived using standard isotopic mixing 246 models (48). The amount of C and N derived from ¹³C and ¹⁵N additions was 247 248 calculated as atom excess in a given C or N pool. The atom% excess of a given pool was then multiplied by the total C or N in that pool, giving the mass of ¹³C or 249 250 ¹⁵N label. The proportion of label in a given pool was calculated as the mass of 251 ¹³C or ¹⁵N label divided by the total amount of C or N of that specific pool. 252 Cumulative ecosystem respiration was calculated via integration. See 253 Supplemental Methods for details related to additional soil parameters, microbial 254 catabolic response profiles, and microbial community composition measured in 255 conjunction with the pulse-chase experiment.

256

257 Statistics and analysis:

259 Data was analyzed using linear mixed models (LMM; 'Ime4' package; 63) with 260 treatment as a fixed effect and plot nested within block as a random effect to 261 account for sampling of plots across time. Model selection (additive vs. 262 interactive) was determined by lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC) score (50). Normality of variance was tested using a Wilk-Shapiro test. Data with non-263 normal variance was either log or square-root transformed. If normality 264 assumptions were still not met, generalized linear models (GLM; 'car' package; 265 64) were used using the Gamma family and either the inverse or log link function 266 267 as all data was continuous and positive (variables containing negative values 268 were standardized). Wald χ^2 tests were used to assess model significance for 269 GLMs. Data was analyzed using the R statistical platform (52). Degrees of 270 freedom for linear mixed models were calculated using Satterthwaite 271 approximations.

272

For all analyses, we consider statistical significance at P < 0.05, and marginal significance at P < 0.10. However, it should be noted that it has typically been deemed acceptable to consider changes in soil C pools at P < 0.10 (53, 54), given that soil C is inherently heterogeneous.

278 **Results/Discussion**:

279

280 Antibiotic effects on active and total microbial biomass:281

282 Prolonged manure additions, regardless of antibiotic involvement, increased C mineralization – an estimate of bioavailable soil C – when compared to NMC 283 284 $(F_{3.15} = 11.8, P < 0.001;$ Table S1). More surprising was the observation that 285 active microbial biomass - determined via SIR - was differentially affected by the antibiotic status of the manure (again, we are referring to the effect of exposure 286 to manure from cows given an antibiotic, as an antibiotic effect; Figure S2a; P < 287 288 0.01). Specifically, the Ceph treatment exhibited greater active microbial biomass 289 in comparison to the other treatments. Similar to the increase in respiration 290 observed for SIR, increased microbial activity was observed across a range of C-291 substrates for the Ceph treatment in a catabolic response profile (CRP; Figure 292 S2b). In contrast, we observed a marginally significant treatment effect on total 293 microbial biomass C (P = 0.07) and N (P = 0.08), primarily driven by a trend 294 towards increased microbial C and N in the Con treatment (Table S2). This contrast between active and total microbial biomass may suggest physiological 295 296 changes, specifically greater mass-specific activity for the Ceph treatment, 297 consistent with findings from previous investigations (21). As discussed in the 298 corresponding section, the Ceph treatment did not differ from the other antibiotic 299 manure treatment, Pir, in terms of microbial community composition. Therefore, 300 elevated microbial activity could be due to two, non-mutually exclusive, factors: i) 301 the increased presence of lysed cellular material from the action of cephapirin, a 302 bactericidal antibiotic, or *ii*) from a stress response of the microbial community, 303 due to the added metabolic cost of maintaining antibiotic resistance (36). This 304 stress response is consistent with previous research on cephapirin use on dairy

cattle in pasture systems, and has been suggested as a possible cause of
 altered ecosystem C cycling, through reduced microbial efficiency (21).

307

308 Antibiotic effects on fungal:bacterial dominance:

309

310 As antibiotics detrimentally effect bacteria, we assessed F:B via qPCR to determine shifts in fungi and bacteria. Overall, we observed a significant 311 treatment effect for F:B (Figure 1; $F_{3,63} = 6.497$, P < 0.001) as has been 312 previously observed (55). Fungal counts increased in the soils receiving manure 313 314 compared to NMC, as well as in Pir compared to Con. NMC had the lowest ratio, 315 indicating that without manure and associated antibiotics the system is relatively 316 more dominated by bacteria. Notably, within the Pir and Ceph manure treatments, the differences in F:B were due to declines in bacteria (i.e. 16S gene 317 318 abundance), whereas little change in fungi (*i.e.* ITS abundance) was observed. 319 This increase in F:B in the Pir treatment may be driven by pirlimycin's 320 bacteriostatic mode of action: pirlimycin typically reduces bacterial growth but 321 does not induce cell lysis. Conversely, cephapirin - a bactericidal antibiotic causes cell lysis. Lysed cells, as suggested above, cause an increase in labile 322 resources that potentially favor bacteria in spite of the direct negative effects of 323 324 the antibiotic (56, 57). This potential net positive effect for bacteria under the 325 Ceph compared to the Pir treatment is supported by a pairwise marginally significant increase in 16S copies (Figure 1; P = 0.08). It is also possible that 326 327 decreased inhibition of bacteria in the Ceph treatment can be attributed to 328 cephapirin being relatively more easily degraded than pirlimycin. However, 329 evidence of cephapirin's effect on microbial functional properties was in fact 330 observed, therefore degradability is unlikely to be the explanation for the difference in bacterial effects between antibiotic treatments. Compared to NMC, 331 the addition of manure, regardless of antibiotic involvement, increased the 332 abundance of fungi (*i.e.* ITS copies) in soil ($F_{3.68}$ = 5.868, P < 0.01), with Con, 333 334 Ceph, and Pir treatments having greater numbers of ITS copies than NMC. 335 Within the manure-addition treatments, Ceph had a marginally lower abundance 336 of fungi compared to Con (P < 0.10), this too could be driven by the mode of 337 action related to this antibiotic.

338

339 The primary fungal effect appeared to be driven by manure itself, given that all 340 manure additions increased ITS copies compared to NMC. This could be attributed in part to coprophilous fungi, which specialize in the decomposition of 341 fecal matter, previously shown to be elevated in conjunction with manure (21). 342 343 Additionally, the highest counts of 16S and ITS copies were measured in the Con 344 treatment. This is likely attributed to the influx of manure-derived resources in the absence of antibiotics. Together these results suggest that while manure 345 346 additions increase F:B, manure from cattle administered antibiotics tends to lead 347 to even greater increases, primarily driven by decreased bacterial abundance. 348

- 349 Antibiotic effects on microbial community composition:
- 350

351 The results of our community composition assessment largely mirrored the 352 results of the F:B analysis. Bacterial communities changed across our treatments (Figure 2: $pseudo-F_{3,23} = 1.15$; P < 0.05; note, the random effect 'block' was 353 354 dropped from this model because it was non-significant), but fungal communities 355 did not (Figure S3: pseudo- $F_{3,23} = 1.01$; P = 0.18). The treatment effect on 356 bacteria was largely driven by differences between Con, and both Pir and Ceph. 357 Notably, a marginally significant pairwise difference was observed between Pir 358 and Ceph (P = 0.064). NMC did not differ from the other three treatments, in fact, 359 as NMC can be viewed as a baseline, shifts in bacterial community composition 360 from manure exposure were dependent on the antibiotic status of the manure. If 361 the manure was sourced from cattle administered an antibiotic, the community 362 shifted to the lower right in ordination space, while control manure caused the community to shift in the opposite direction, with NMC situated between (Figure 363 2a). Additionally, bacterial beta diversity did not differ between treatments (Figure 364 2a; $pseudo-F_{3,20} = 1.12$; p = 0.31), suggesting that the microbial communities in 365 our antibiotic treatments are distinct from control environments, and not just more 366 367 variable in composition. While we did not seek to document the impact of the fecal microbiome on the soil microbiome, previous studies have shown that the 368 fecal microbiome can be impacted by antibiotic exposure (38). Therefore, further 369 370 research into the quantification of this effect would be beneficial, especially 371 investigations into interactions between the fecal and soil microbiomes.

372

373 To further investigate OTUs that possibly drove treatment differences, we 374 identified potential OTUs of interest via SIMPER that were common across all 375 pairwise treatment comparisons. This resulted in 32 common OTUs of which only 376 6 exhibited significant differences between treatments (Figure 2b). Of these 6 377 OTUs, 4 were associated with Phyla Acidobacteria and y-Proteobacteria (2 in each), and 2 were associated with the Phyla Bacteroidetes and Verrucomicrobia 378 379 (1 in each). Interestingly, the two y-Proteobacteria were associated with families 380 Acinetobacter and Xanthomonadaceae, which are typically associated with the 381 environment but also include members of human health concern (58). In fact, 382 Wepking et al. (21) observed a similar increase in the genus Acinetobacter in 383 response to cattle administered a cephalosporin. Our results add further support 384 to the likely influence of antibiotics on soil community structure, and further support the proposition that inputs of manure from cattle given antibiotics can 385 shift soil microbial communities towards organisms that are related to those of 386 387 human health concern (59, 60).

388

389 Interestingly, though, several OTUs associated with phyla that we expect to be 390 more oligotrophic in nature (*i.e.* Acidobacteria, Verrucomicrobia; 49, 50) also 391 exhibited greater relative abundance in Pir and Ceph. Even some taxa in the 392 family Cytophagaceae could be classed as oligotrophs, especially those involved 393 in cellulose degradation (63). This greater relative abundance of oligotrophic 394 taxa, primarily in Pir, may be due *not* to an increase in these groups but to a 395 decrease in other potentially more copiotrophic groups. That is in the Pir 396 treatment there was an observed decrease in 16S abundance, suggesting a

decline in overall bacterial abundance. Such a decrease, if driven by the
antibiotic pirlimycin may have been disproportionate because the antibiotic is
bacteriostatic and, as such is likely to have a more detrimental effect on active
bacteria (64, 65). Overall, these results suggest that manure from cattle given
antibiotics versus those not, has the potential to lead to shifts in soil bacterial
community composition and F:B dominance in a relatively short time (*i.e.* ~8
months) with implications on microbially-mediated ecosystem function.

- 404
- 405 Antibiotic effects on carbon and nitrogen dynamics:
- 406

407 Few differences were observed in most pools of recently fixed C (Table S4), and in the amount of ¹³C fixed across manure-amended treatments (NMC fixed more 408 ¹³C relative to total plant C, likely due to the lower plant biomass and identical 409 amount of labeled C added to the chamber; $F_{3,20} = 3.07$, P = 0.05). However, we 410 did observe a significant effect of both treatment ($\chi^2 = 18.52$, df = 3, P < 0.001), 411 and time ($\chi^2 = 87.18$, df = 2, P < 0.001), as well as a treatment x time interaction 412 $(\chi^2 = 41.13, df = 6, P < 0.001)$, when examining the ecosystem respiration of 413 414 recently fixed C (Figure 2a). Specifically, the Pir treatment exhibited greater initial respiration of ¹³C compared to the other treatments, but by day 7 of the 415 416 experiment, respiration of ¹³C for this treatment was nearly zero (Figure 3a). The 417 NMC, Pir, and Con treatments exhibited similar respiration dynamics (Figure 3a). 418 Ecosystem respiration dynamics for the Ceph treatment were more constant 419 during the sampling period compared to the other three treatments (Figure 3a). A marginally significant difference in cumulative ¹³C respired across the entire 420 421 sampling period was explained by the greatest amount of ¹³C being respired in 422 the Pir treatment with the NMC and Ceph treatments intermediate, and the Con 423 treatment the lowest ($F_{3,15} = 2.72$; P = 0.08; Figure 3a). In fact, nearly twice the 424 amount of newly photosynthesized C was respired – not retained in the soil – in 425 the Pir treatment compared to the Con treatment (Figure 3a).

426

427 These results suggest that manure from cattle administered antibiotics can alter 428 both ecosystem respiration dynamics of recently fixed ¹³C (*i.e.* Ceph) as well as 429 the total amount of C respired (*i.e.* Pir) compared to manure from antibiotic-free 430 cattle. Manure additions from cattle not administered antibiotics may initially suppress respiration slightly compared to sites receiving no manure, possibly 431 432 driven by decreased plant demand for nutrients. Additionally, if less recently fixed 433 C is lost from a system via respiration it is likely that more C will be sequestered in that system. This supposition is supported by the significant treatment effect 434 on the proportion of ¹³C recovered in the mineral pool during the entire 435 experiment (Table S4) with the most ¹³C recovered in the NMC treatment 436 437 followed by the Con treatment. Further, at the conclusion of the pulse-chase we 438 observed a marginally significant treatment effect for ¹³C found in the mineralassociated soil C pool with the most ¹³C recovered in the Con treatment among 439 the treatments containing manure (Figure 3b; $F_{3,15}$ = 3.04, P = 0.06). Given the 440 441 slow turnover of the mineral-associated soil C pool (66), our results suggest that 442 inputs of manure from cattle administered antibiotics may decrease C-

443 sequestration potential. Direct evidence for this potential is the observation of a significant treatment effect for the ratio of fixed ¹³C to respired ¹³C (Figure 3c; 444 $F_{3.15}$ = 3.65, P < 0.05), an indicator of ecosystem-scale C-use efficiency (37). 445 446 Specifically, we observed that the Pir treatment had the lowest overall C-use 447 efficiency, Con had the greatest, and both NMC and Ceph were intermediate. Soils receiving the Con treatment fixed 2.5-fold more C for every unit of C 448 449 respired than did the Pir treatment. Together these results indicate that manure 450 inputs from animals administered antibiotics have the potential to increase C 451 losses from ecosystems compared to manure inputs from animals not 452 administered antibiotics. However, our results also indicate that this effect on C-453 cycling may be influenced by the specific choice of antibiotics. Further 454 investigation to examine the ecosystem effects of administering an array of 455 antibiotics is merited, especially as agricultural management practices are 456 increasingly seen as opportunities to mediate global climate change (67). 457 458 A greater proportion of ¹⁵N relative to the total N pool was observed with the Pir 459 treatment compared to the other manure-amended plots across all pools sampled (Figure 4, Table S4), but not necessarily in comparison to NMC. 460 Measuring ¹⁵N as a proportion of the total N pool addresses potential difference 461 462 in plant biomass between treatments (68). Within the above ground biomass ($F_{3.61}$ 463 = 8.08, P < 0.001; Figure 4a, Table S4; analyzed as additive model based on quality of model using AIC score) and belowground biomass ($F_{3.55} = 4.53$, P <464 465 0.01; Figure 4b, Table S4) significantly more¹⁵N was found in the Pir treatment. In addition, a significant and marginally significant time effect was observed in 466 the proportion of ¹⁵N in the aboveground and the belowground biomass, 467 468 respectively ($F_{2.61} = 6.42$, P < 0.005, $F_{2.55} = 2.74$, P < 0.10, respectively; Table S4: pooled across treatment). This was characterized by an increased in the 469 470 proportion of ¹⁵N in plant biomass across time. We also observed a significant treatment effect for total N in above ground plant biomass ($F_{3.61} = 8.48$, P < 0.001; 471 Table S2) and a marginally significant treatment effect for total N in belowground 472 473 plant biomass ($F_{3.55} = 2.55$, P = 0.06; Table S2; the former was analyzed as 474 additive model, and the latter as an interactive model based on AIC model 475 score). This effect was likely due to greater biomass in the treatments receiving 476 manure versus NMC (Table S2).

477

As observed in plant biomass, a greater proportion of ¹⁵N in POM (Treatment: 478 479 $F_{3.61}$ = 4.77, P < 0.005; Figure 3c, Table S4) and mineral-associated (Treatment: $F_{3.61} = 2.49$, P < 0.10; Figure 3d, Table S4) soil N pools was observed in the Pir 480 481 treatment at the conclusion of the experiment compared to the other treatments. The effect of Pir on ¹⁵N in the POM N fraction was likely attributable to the root 482 biomass, due to the contribution of plant derived constituents to this pool (69). 483 484 Abundance of ¹⁵N in the mineral pool was also increased with Pir, possibly due to 485 decreased plant-microbe competition for N due to an increased F:B in the microbial community. Microbial communities with a higher F:B typically have a 486 487 higher C:N due to reduced N demand of fungi (70). With the Pir system being

488 more fungally dominated, the overall microbial demand for N is likely lower than489 for the other three treatments.

490

491 We see an increased loss of recently fixed C in the Pir treatment but also 492 increased uptake of recently added N in this treatment compared to the other 493 treatments. For C, this is particularly unexpected given the increased F:B 494 associated with the Pir treatment. However, the notion that increased F:B leads 495 to a less leaky C-cycle has been called into question (43, 71). Rousk and Frey 496 (43) found that bacterial dominance is linked to a less leaky C-cycle and a less 497 leaky N-cycle, while we observed the potential for greater plant uptake of N. This 498 disparity between our results and those of Rousk and Frey may be because our 499 research was conducted in a grassland system and theirs in a forest. Of particular relevance – among the many differences between these systems – the 500 uptake of available N is likely greater in grasses and forbs during peak growth, 501 502 over a short period of time, compared to N uptake in trees. When only 503 considering the microbial community, the Pir treatment (*i.e.* higher F:B) appears 504 to have a leakier N cycle – but when the plant community is also included, this 505 effect is diminished. This highlights the potential for antibiotics to alter plant-506 microbe interactions and lead to shifts in ecosystem processes. 507

508 Mechanistically, these effects on N dynamics could be due to altered microbe-509 plant competition for N. In this instance a bacteriostatic antibiotic – pirlimycin – 510 increased F:B leading to a leakier C cycle, but also a decrease in plant-microbe 511 competition for N (evidenced by increased plant N uptake; 52). Another potential mechanism is reduced competition with mycorrhizal fungi for N with the Pir 512 513 treatment. Given recent evidence suggesting a C cost associated with N uptake 514 (73) for mycorrhizal symbionts, if mycorrhizal N uptake increases with a reduction 515 in bacteria then plant N uptake may increase but more C may be lost from the system. Finally, as this experiment was conducted during peak plant growth and 516 517 N demand, more N may in fact be lost from the system with decreased plant N 518 demand.

519

520 Conclusion:

521

522 Antibiotics affect not just the soil microbiome but the entire ecosystem; how the ecosystem is affected depends on the antibiotic's mode of action (*i.e.* bactericidal 523 vs. bacteriostatic). Of the two antibiotics investigated, one in particular -524 pirlimycin – alters both C and N cycling. This is likely due to changes in microbial 525 526 composition – as demonstrated by increased F:B, and shifts in bacterial 527 community composition. Increased availability of N appears to occur with 528 decreased C retention in the system – subsequently decreased whole ecosystem 529 C-use efficiency. In contrast, cephapirin increases microbial activity as a stress 530 response – in keeping with previously published research which showed 531 decreased microbial efficiency and increased soil C loss (21). While the majority 532 of attention is paid to livestock antibiotic use from the perspective of the 533 proliferation of antibiotic resistant pathogens and antibiotic resistance genes (74,

75), the impacts on biogeochemical cycling have been overlooked. With global
livestock antibiotic use projected to increase by 67% between 2010 and 2030 (4)
combined with increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, understanding and
accounting for the effects antibiotics have on soil microbial communities and
whole ecosystem function is imperative.

540 Acknowledgements:

- 542 We thank the University of Georgia Stable Isotope Ecology Laboratory, and the
- 543 Yale Analytical and Stable Isotope Center for analysis of stable isotopic samples.
- 544 Thanks as well to the many graduate and undergraduate researchers who
- helped with the field and lab work associated with this study.
- 547 Funding:
- 548

539

- 549 USDA-NIFA: Award number: 2013-67019-21363
- 550 NSF: Award number: 1832888

551 Works Cited:

- 553 1. O'Neill J (2016) *Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and* 554 *recommendations. The review on antimicrobial resistance.*
- Rubin RJ, et al. (1999) The economic impact of Staphylococcus aureus
 infection in New York City hospitals. *Emerg Infect Dis* 5(1):9–17.
- 557 3. Klein E, Smith DL, Laxminarayan R (2007) Hospitalizations and deaths 558 caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, United States, 559 1999-2005. *Emerg Infect Dis* 13(12):1840–1846.
- 560 4. Van Boeckel TP, et al. (2015) Global trends in antimicrobial use in food 561 animals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112(18):5649–5654.
- 562 5. Koch BJ, Hungate BA, Price LB (2017) Food-animal production and the
 563 spread of antibiotic resistance: the role of ecology. *Front Ecol Environ*564 15(6):309–318.
- 565 6. Van Boeckel TP, et al. (2017) Reducing antimicrobial use in food animals. 566 Science (80-) 357(6358):1350–1352.
- 567 7. Kemper N (2008) Veterinary antibiotics in the aquatic and terrestrial
 568 environment. *Ecol Indic* 8(1):1–13.
- 569 8. Chee-Sanford JC, et al. (2009) Fate and transport of antibiotic residues
 570 and antibiotic resistance genes following land application of manure waste.
 571 *J Environ Qual* 38(3):1086.
- 572 9. Zhu Y-G, et al. (2013) Diverse and abundant antibiotic resistance genes in 573 Chinese swine farms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 110(9):3435–3440.
- 57410.Jechalke S, Heuer H, Siemens J, Amelung W, Smalla K (2014) Fate and575effects of veterinary antibiotics in soil. *Trends Microbiol* 22(9):536–545.
- 576 11. Ghosh S, LaPara TM (2007) The effects of subtherapeutic antibiotic use in
 577 farm animals on the proliferation and persistence of antibiotic resistance
 578 among soil bacteria. *ISME J* 1(3):191–203.
- 57912.Forsberg KJ, et al. (2012) The shared antibiotic resistome of soil bacteria580and human pathogens. Science (80-) 337(6098):1107–1111.
- 581 13. Popowska M, et al. (2012) Influence of soil use on prevalence of
 582 tetracycline, streptomycin, and erythromycin resistance and associated
 583 resistance genes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 56(3):1434–1443.
- 584 14. FDA (2013) 2013 summary report on antimicrobials sold or distributed for 585 use in food-producing animals.
- 586 15. Lipsitch M, Singer RS, Levin BR (2002) Antibiotics in agriculture: When is it 587 time to close the barn door? *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 99(9):5752–5754.
- Toth JD, Feng Y, Dou Z (2011) Veterinary antibiotics at environmentally
 relevant concentrations inhibit soil iron reduction and nitrification. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43(12):2470–2472.
- 591 17. Gutiérrez IR, Watanabe N, Harter T, Glaser B, Radke M (2010) Effect of
 592 sulfonamide antibiotics on microbial diversity and activity in a Californian
 593 Mollic Haploxeralf. J Soils Sediments 10(3):537–544.
- Sarmah AK, Meyer MT, Boxall ABA (2006) A global perspective on the
 use, sales, exposure pathways, occurrence, fate and effects of veterinary
 antibiotics (VAs) in the environment. *Chemosphere* 65(5):725–759.

597	19.	Roose-Amsaleg C, Laverman AM (2016) Do antibiotics have
598		environmental side-effects? Impact of synthetic antibiotics on
599		biogeochemical processes. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23(5):4000–4012.
600	20.	Grenni P, Ancona V, Barra Caracciolo A (2018) Ecological effects of
601		antibiotics on natural ecosystems: A review. <i>Microchem J</i> 136:25–39.
602	21.	Wepking C, et al. (2017) Exposure to dairy manure leads to greater
603		antibiotic resistance and increased mass-specific respiration in soil
604		microbial communities. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 284:1–9.
605	22	Zak DR. Blackwood CB. Waldrop MP (2006) A molecular dawn for
606		biogeochemistry. Trends Ecol Evol 21(6):288–295.
607	23.	Schimel JP. Schaeffer SM (2012) Microbial control over carbon cycling in
608		soil. Front Microbiol 3(348):1–11.
609	24.	Philippot L. et al. (2013) Loss in microbial diversity affects nitrogen cycling
610		in soil. <i>ISME J</i> 7(8):1609–1619.
611	25.	Graham EB, et al. (2014) Do we need to understand microbial communities
612		to predict ecosystem function? A comparison of statistical models of
613		nitrogen cycling processes. Soil Biol Biochem 68:279–282.
614	26.	van der Heijden MGA, Bardgett RD, van Straalen NM (2008) The unseen
615		majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in
616		terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol Lett 11(3):296–310.
617	27.	Bonfante P, Anca I-A (2009) Plants, mycorrhizal fungi, and bacteria: a
618		network of interactions. Annu Rev Microbiol 63(1):363-383.
619	28.	Raaijmakers JM, Paulitz TC, Steinberg C, Alabouvette C, Moënne-Loccoz
620		Y (2009) The rhizosphere: a playground and battlefield for soilborne
621		pathogens and beneficial microorganisms. <i>Plant Soil</i> 321(1–2):341–361.
622	29.	van der Heijden MGA, Wagg C (2013) Soil microbial diversity and agro-
623		ecosystem functioning. Plant Soil 363(1-2):1-5.
624	30.	Dessaux Y, Grandclément C, Faure D (2016) Engineering the rhizosphere.
625		Trends Plant Sci 21(3):266–278.
626	31.	Raaijmakers JM, Mazzola M (2016) Soil immune responses. Science (80-)
627		352(6292):1392–1393.
628	32.	Waksman S, Woodruff HB (1940) The soil as a source of microorganisms
629		antagonistic to disease-producing bacteria. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 40(4):581–600.
630	33.	Martínez JL (2008) Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in natural
631		environments. Science (80-) 321(5887):365–367.
632	34.	Fajardo A, Martínez JL (2008) Antibiotics as signals that trigger specific
633		bacterial responses. Curr Opin Microbiol 11(2):161–167.
634	35.	Aust MO, et al. (2008) Distribution of sulfamethazine, chlortetracycline and
635		tylosin in manure and soil of Canadian feedlots after subtherapeutic use in
636		cattle. <i>Environ Pollut</i> 156(3):1243–1251.
637	36.	Schimel J, Balser TC, Wallenstein M (2007) Microbial stress-response
638		physiology and its implications for ecosystem function. <i>Ecology</i>
639		88(6):1386–1394.
640	37.	Geyer KM, Kyker-Snowman E, Grandy AS, Frey SD (2016) Microbial
641		carbon use efficiency: accounting for population, community, and
642		ecosystem-scale controls over the fate of metabolized organic matter.

- 643 Biogeochemistry 127(2–3):173–188.
- Hammer TJ, et al. (2016) Treating cattle with antibiotics affects greenhouse
 gas emissions, and microbiota in dung and dung beetles. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 283(1831):20160150.
- Thiele-Bruhn S, Beck IC (2005) Effects of sulfonamide and tetracycline
 antibiotics on soil microbial activity and microbial biomass. *Chemosphere*59(4):457–465.
- 40. Demoling LA, Bååth E, Greve G, Wouterse M, Schmitt H (2009) Effects of
 sulfamethoxazole on soil microbial communities after adding substrate. *Soil Biol Biochem* 41(4):840–848.
- 41. Bardgett RD, McAlister E (1999) The measurement of soil fungal:bacterial
 biomass ratios as an indicator of ecosystem self-regulation in temperate
 meadow grasslands. *Biol Fertil Soils* 29(3):282–290.
- 656 42. Malik AA, et al. (2016) Soil fungal:bacterial ratios are linked to altered 657 carbon cycling. *Front Microbiol* 7(AUG):1–11.
- 43. Rousk J, Frey SD (2015) Revisiting the hypothesis that fungal-to-bacterial
 dominance characterizes turnover of soil organic matter and nutrients. *Ecol Monogr* 85(3):457–472.
- 44. Wind L, et al. (2018) Fate of pirlimycin and antibiotic-resistant fecal
 coliforms in field plots amended with dairy manure or compost during
 vegetable cultivation. *J Environ Qual* 47(3):436–444.
- Fraterrigo JM, Strickland MS, Keiser AD, Bradford MA (2011) Nitrogen
 uptake and preference in a forest understory following invasion by an
 exotic grass. *Oecologia* 167(3):781–791.
- 667 46. Lal R (2001) Assessment methods for soil carbon (Lewis Publishers, Boca 668 Raton).
- Fierer N, Schimel JP (2002) Effects of drying–rewetting frequency on soil
 carbon and nitrogen transformations. *Soil Biol Biochem* 34(6):777–787.
- 671
 48.
 Fry B (2006) Stable Isotope Ecology (Springer New York, New York, NY)

 672
 doi:10.1007/0-387-33745-8.
- 49. Bates D, Maechler Martin, Walker S (2016) Package "Ime4." CRAN *Repos*:113.
- 675 50. Akaike H (1973) Information theory and an extension of the maximum
 676 likelihood principle. Second International Symposium on Information
 677 Theory doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-1694-0_15.
- 678 51. Fox J, et al. (2016) Package 'car.' *CRAN Repos*.
- 679 doi:10.1177/0049124105277200.
- 680 52. R Core Team (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical
 681 computing. *R Found Stat Comput Vienna, Austria*: R Foundation for
 682 Statistical Computing.
- 683 53. Carney KM, Hungate BA, Drake BG, Megonigal JP (2007) Altered soil
 684 microbial community at elevated CO2 leads to loss of soil carbon. *Proc*685 *Natl Acad Sci* 104(12):4990–4995.
- 54. Strickland MS, Devore JL, Maerz JC, Bradford MA (2010) Grass invasion
 of a hardwood forest is associated with declines in belowground carbon
 pools. *Glob Chang Biol* 16(4):1338–1350.

689	55.	Thiele-Bruhn S, Beck I-C (2005) Effects of sulfonamide and tetracycline
690		antibiotics on soil microbial activity and microbial biomass. Chemosphere
691		59(4):457–465.
692	56.	Hendrix PF, et al. (1986) Detritus food webs in conventional and no-tillage
693		agroecosystems. Bioscience 36(6):374–380.
694	57.	Rousk J, Bååth E (2007) Fungal and bacterial growth in soil with plant
695		materials of different C/N ratios. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 62(3):258–267.
696	58.	Berendonk TU, et al. (2015) Tackling antibiotic resistance: the
697		environmental framework. Nat Rev Microbiol 13(5):310–317.
698	59.	Marti R, et al. (2013) Impact of manure fertilization on the abundance of
699		antibiotic-resistant bacteria and frequency of detection of antibiotic
700		resistance genes in soil and on vegetables at harvest. Appl Environ
701		Microbiol 79(18):5701–5709.
702	60.	Wind L. et al. (2018) Fate of pirlimycin and antibiotic-resistant fecal
703		coliforms in field plots amended with dairy manure or compost during
704		vegetable cultivation. J Environ Qual 47(3). doi:10.2134/jeg2017.12.0491.
705	61.	Fierer N. et al. (2012) Comparative metagenomic, phylogenetic and
706	• • •	physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogen
707		gradients /SMF / 6(5):1007–1017
708	62.	Bergmann GT, et al. (2011) The under-recognized dominance of
709	02.	Verrucomicrobia in soil bacterial communities. Soil Biol Biochem
710		43(7)·1450–1455
711	63	McBride M L Liu W Lu X Zhu Y Zhang W (2014) The family
712	00.	cytophagaceae The Prokaryotes: Other Major Lineages of Bacteria and
713		The Archaea doi:10.1007/978-3-642-38954-2_382
714	64	Ding C. He J (2010) Effect of antibiotics in the environment on microbial
715	01.	populations Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 87(3):925–941
716	65	Lobritz MA et al. (2015) Antibiotic efficacy is linked to bacterial cellular
717	001	respiration. Proc Natl Acad Sci 112(27):8173–8180.
718	66.	Schlesinger WH, Lichter J (2001) Limited carbon storage in soil and litter of
719		experimental forest plots under increased atmospheric CO2. <i>Nature</i>
720		411:466–469.
721	67.	Griscom BW, et al. (2017) Natural climate solutions. Proc Natl Acad Sci
722		114(44):11645–11650.
723	68.	Fraterrigo JM, Strickland MS, Keiser AD, Bradford MA (2011) Nitrogen
724		uptake and preference in a forest understory following invasion by an
725		exotic grass. Oecologia. doi:10.1007/s00442-011-2030-0.
726	69.	Grandy AS, Neff JC (2008) Molecular C dynamics downstream: The
727		biochemical decomposition sequence and its impact on soil organic matter
728		structure and function. Sci Total Environ 404(2–3):297–307.
729	70.	Mouginot C, et al. (2014) Elemental stoichiometry of fungi and bacteria
730		strains from grassland leaf litter. Soil Biol Biochem 76:278–285.
731	71	Strickland MS, Rousk J (2010) Considering fungal bacterial dominance in
732		soils – Methods, controls, and ecosystem implications. Soil Biol Biochem
733		42(9):1385–1395.
734	72.	Schimel JP, Bennett J (2004) Nitrogen mineralization: Challenges of a

- changing paradigm. *Ecology*. doi:10.1890/03-8002.
- 736 73. Shi M, Fisher JB, Brzostek ER, Phillips RP (2016) Carbon cost of plant
 737 nitrogen acquisition: global carbon cycle impact from an improved plant
 738 nitrogen cycle in the Community Land Model. *Glob Chang Biol* 22(3):1299–
 739 1314.
- 740 74. Udikovic-Kolic N, Wichmann F, Broderick NA, Handelsman J (2014) Bloom
 741 of resident antibiotic-resistant bacteria in soil following manure fertilization.
 742 *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 111(42):15202–15207.
- 743 75. McEachran AD, et al. (2015) Antibiotics, bacteria, and antibiotic resistance
 744 genes: Aerial transport from cattle feed yards via particulate matter.
 745 Environ Health Perspect 123(4):337–343.
- 746
- 747

Figures:

Figure 1.













763 Figure Legends:

764

Figure 1: Fungal-to bacterial ratios (F:B) associated with sites receiving manure
from cattle administered no antibiotics (Control), administered cephapirin
(bactericidal), or pirlimycin (bacteriostatic). Also shown is the ratio for sites
receiving no manure (NMC). Bars represent the mean ± 1 SEM. Letters indicate
pairwise differences between treatments. *16S* and ITS copies are indicated by
open circles and filled squares, respectively.

771

772 **Figure 2:** Effect of manure treatments on soil prokaryotic community

773 composition. A) Principal components analysis showing prokaryote community 774 composition associated with the following treatments: Soil amended with no 775 manure (NMC), soil amended with manure from cattle given no antibiotics 776 (Control), and soil amended with manure from cattle given either a bactericidal 777 antibiotic (Cephapirin) or a bacteriostatic antibiotic (Pirlimycin). Centroids are 778 indicated as symbols with central points and shown as the mean ± 1SE. 779 Significant pairwise differences between centroids are denoted by different letters 780 in the key. Additionally, lines connecting points indicate those treatments 781 receiving antibiotics (solid line) versus those that did not receive antibiotics 782 (dashed line). B) Relative abundance of OTUs that both contributed to 783 dissimilarity between treatments (as determined via similarity percentages) and 784 were statistically significant. Overall treatment statistical significance is indicated 785 by *P*-values, and significant pairwise differences for within OTU comparisons are 786 denoted by different letters.

787

788 Figure 3: Effect of manure and antibiotic treatments on the cycling of C through 789 the above- and belowground pools across the following treatments: soil amended 790 with no manure (NMC), soil amended with manure from cattle given no 791 antibiotics (Control), and soil amended with manure from cattle given either a 792 bactericidal antibiotic (Cephapirin) or a bacteriostatic antibiotic (Pirlimycin). a) 793 Ecosystem respiration dynamics across the 7-day sampling period are shown in 794 the main panel. Points represent the mean ± 1 SE (Treatment: $F_{3.61} = 5.3$, P <795 0.005; Time: $F_{2,61} = 26.6$, P < 0.001). The panel inset shows a boxplot of the cumulative ¹³C respired across the entire pulse-chase (Treatment: $\chi^2 = 6.86$, df = 796 797 3, P < 0.08). While the cumulative ¹³C respired is marginally significant, it 798 represents a doubling of respired CO₂, and is therefore ecologically meaningful. 799 **b)** Total accumulation of ¹³C in the mineral associated soil fraction by the end of the 7-day pulse chase event (Treatment: $F_{3,15} = 3.04$, P = 0.06). c) The ratio of 800 801 ¹³C fixed to ¹³C respired, an indicator of whole ecosystem C-use efficiency (Treatment: $F_{3,15} = 3.65$, P < 0.05). Letters indicate pairwise differences between 802 803 treatments.

804

Figure 4: Effect of manure and antibiotic treatments on the cycling of newly added N through the above- and belowground systems across the following treatments: soil amended with no manure (NMC), soil amended with manure from cattle given no antibiotics (Control), and soil amended with manure from

- 809 cattle given either a bactericidal antibiotic (Cephapirin) or a bacteriostatic
- 810 antibiotic (Pirlimycin), and across time. All panels show the proportion of ¹⁵N
- 811 within each respective N pool. Error bars represent \pm 1 SEM.

812 Supplementary Materials:

- 813814 Supplemental Methods:
- 815

Manure sourcing:

816 817

Manure collection started by selecting two sets of cattle: 12 healthy, peak 818 819 lactation dairy cows, and 6 cows at the end of their current lactation cycle (n=18). The latter group was treated with cephapirin (ToMORROW[®]; Boehringer 820 821 Ingelheim Vetmedica, Inc., Duluth, GA, USA; intramammary dry cow therapy; 822 single dose of 300-mg into each of four guarters). Half of the former group (n=6)was treated therapeutically with pirlimycin (Pirsue[®]; Zoetis, Parsippany, NJ, USA; 823 intramammary dose typical for clinical mastitis; two doses of 50-mg each, 24-h 824 apart). The remaining healthy lactating cows (n=6) were used for control manure 825 826 and therefore were not treated with antibiotics. Experimental cows were selected 827 for homogeneity of body weight and stage of lactation, and none had received 828 previous antibiotic treatment in the current lactation.

829

830 All cattle were offered free choice water and *ad libitum* total mixed ration. Cows 831 assigned to the same treatment were group housed in a single pen located in a 832 free stall barn (*i.e.* total of three pens). On day 1 of the study, cows were treated 833 with the assigned antibiotic. Manure (feces and urine mixed) accumulated over a 834 24-h period was collected from the pen floor on day 2 and 3 post treatment. 835 Manure from each pen was mixed separately to achieve homogeneous manure. All collected manure was then homogenized and stored at -20°C before being 836 837 applied to the plots.

838

839 Manure elemental properties:

840

841 Some treatment differences in manure %C, %N, and C:N were observed when compared with linear models and ANOVAs (%C: $F_{2,33}$ = 12.525, P < 0.001; %N: 842 843 $F_{2,33}$ = 2.858, P < 0.072; CN: $F_{2,33}$ = 4.256, P < 0.05; Table S5). Con manure and 844 Pir manure had a significantly greater %C than Ceph manure – although all manures were between 48-50.5% C. For manure %N a marginally significant 845 treatment effect was found, although no significant pairwise differences between 846 847 treatments were noted – all manures were between 3-4% N. Control manure had 848 the greatest C:N, significantly greater than both of the other manures – all ratios 849 were between 11.9 – 17.4.

850

851 Quantification of antibiotics in manure:

852

Manure samples were analyzed for cephapirin and pirlimycin using the methods
described by Ray *et al.* (76, 77). These methods were modified to make them
suitable for the quantification of cephapirin and pirlimycin in manure samples.
Manure samples (sample size: 1 g) were extracted using 5 mL of extractant

[methanol (70%) and phosphate buffer (50 mM at pH 8.5)]. Extraction was

858 followed by extract clean-up involving solid phase extraction (SPE) using OASIS 859 HLB Plus Short Cartridge (250 mg sorbent; Waters, Milford, MA). An aliquot of 1 mL clean extracts was dried to dryness at 35°C under N₂ gas using a Zipvap 20 860 861 evaporator (Glas-Col, Terre Haute, IN) and dissolved in 1 mL of methanol:water (30:70, v/v) with 0.1% formic acid. Dissolved extracts were filtered through 0.2 862 µm PVDF syringe filter (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA) into 1.5 mL amber glass HPLC 863 vials and analyzed for cephapirin and pirlimycin using UPLC-MS/MS (Agilent 864 1290 UPLC coupled with Agilent 6490 Triple Quad tandem mass spectrometry). 865 A gradient elution program consisting of two mobile phases (mobile phase A: 866 867 0.1% formic acid in water; mobile phase B: 0.1% formic acid in methanol) were 868 used at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The concentration of cephapirin and pirlimycin in manure samples was quantified using the calibration curve of seven matrix-869 matched standards (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, and 20 µg L⁻¹ matrix solution). Matrix-870 match standards were prepared using the SPE cleaned-up extracts of blank 871 872 manure samples.

873 Microbial community composition and statistical analysis:

874 In order to determine the effect that antibiotic exposure has on microbial 875 community composition, bacterial and fungal DNA were analyzed. DNA was 876 extracted using DNeasy PowerSoil Kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The 877 community composition was determined by amplifying the V4 region of the bacterial/archaeal 16S rRNA gene as well as the ITS1 region of the fungal ITS 878 879 spacer region using primer pairs 515FB/806RB, and ITS1f/ITS2 respectively. 880 Caporaso et al. (78) was followed for amplification of 16S and ITS regions. 881 Multiplexing and sequencing was carried out using an Illumina MiSeg, producing 882 250 base pair paired-end reads (78). A UPARSE pipeline was used for quality 883 filtering and for clustering into OTUs – operational taxonomic units (79). Additionally, all chimeric sequences were identified and removed using UCHIME 884 (80). The Ribosomal Database Project Native Bayesian Classifier was used to 885 886 assign OTUs to 269 specific taxonomies with the OTU cutoff for clustering of 97% (81). This was carried out using the GreenGenes 13.8 reference database 887 888 for the bacteria and archaea (82) and the UNITE 6.97 database for fungi (83). 889 Rarefication of OTU tables and alpha diversity estimations were carried out using 890 the QIIME pipeline (84).

891

892 For ITS, only forward reads were used due to the size variability of the ITS 893 region. We processed ITS read sequences using the DADA2 pipeline (85), which 894 is designed to resolve exact biological sequences from Illumina sequence data 895 and does not involve sequence clustering (86). Sequences were trimmed to 896 uniform lengths, dereplicated, and the unique sequence pairs were denoised using the 'dada' function, accounting for errors through the model generated with 897 the 'learnErrors' command. We removed chimeras and then assigned taxonomy 898 899 using the UNITE dynamic general release (ver 01.12.2017; 83) for fungi. To account for differences in sequencing depths, we rarefied fungal samples to 900 36195 sequences per sample. 901 902

903 We compared microbial community composition using Primer-E (Ver. 7.0.13). 904 Microbial community data were square-root transformed before calculating community dissimilarity between each treatment using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. 905 906 These distances were used to generate ordinations (principal coordinates 907 analysis, PCoA) for both bacteria and fungi. Next, we performed PERMANOVA 908 with the community distance matrices to compare community composition using 909 treatments as a fixed effect, and block as a random effect using Primer-E (9999 910 permutations, Ver. 7.0.13; 65). We tested for homogeneity of dispersions from 911 the centroids via betadisper tests (89). To determine the potential OTUs 912 responsible for treatment differences, we first determined the percentage 913 contribution of taxa to overall Bray-Curtis dissimilarity using the SIMPER (similarity percentages) command in Primer. We then identified common OTUs 914 that contributed to the top 20% of dissimilarity between treatment pairs and 915 analyzed each via ANOVA. Analyses were conducted in Primer v6 except 916 917 ANOVA which was conducted in R.

918

919 Additional soil and microbial parameters:

920

921 Soil pH was measured using a SensION+ PH3 laboratory pH probe (Hach, 922 Loveland, CO, USA). In addition to the CFE procedure described above, soil 923 microbial biomass was determined via substrate-induced respiration (SIR). The 924 SIR method is modified from West and Sparling (90) according to Fierer et al. 925 (91) and is considered a measure of active microbial biomass, whereas CFE 926 measures the total standing stock of microbial biomass. Briefly, SIR biomass was 927 determined by pre-incubating 4-g of dry weight equivalent soil at 20°C for 24-h. 928 Next, an excess of autolyzed yeast substrate (792-mg of yeast in dissolved 8-ml 929 of DI water) was added to each sample. The sample was then homogenized and 930 shaken for 1-hour, before the sample was capped and the headspace flushed with CO₂-free air. Samples were then incubated for 5-h at 20°C, before respired 931 932 CO₂ accumulated in the headspace of each sample is measured using a gas 933 syringe and a bench-top infrared gas analyzer (IRGA, LI-7000 CO₂ H_2O 934 Analyzer, Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE).

935

Using the same basic outline as the SIR protocol, C mineralization (CMin) (46) 936 937 and catabolic response profile (CRP) (92) were also measured. In order to 938 determine the rate of C mineralization taking place in the soil – a measurement of microbially accessible C – 6-g of dry weight equivalent soil was incubated at 939 940 20°C for 60-d. During this time samples were flushed with CO₂-free air and 941 incubated for 24-h at 20°C. Headspace was then measured using a bench-top 942 IRGA. Over this time water holding capacity was monitored and maintained at 943 approximately 65% - which is advantageous to microbial function. The integrals 944 between these periodic measurements are then extrapolated to determine 945 cumulative C mineralized over the 60-d period. 946

947 Catabolic response profiles (CRP) were used to measure the range of substrate
 948 utilization capabilities of a given microbial community. This assay provides a

949 profile of responses to substrates, and helps to describe the metabolic 950 capabilities of a given microbial community. To accomplish this, soils were weighed, substrate added, sample and substrate homogenized, headspace 951 952 flushed, incubated at 20°C, and headspace measured in a fashion similar to the 953 SIR protocol. However, instead of an autolyzed yeast solution substrate -954 glucose, glycine, oxalic acid, cellulose, chitin and water were all used as single 955 substrates in individual assays. Each substrate was pH adjusted to 6, and their 956 respective incubation times varied according to recalcitrance (*i.e.* cellulose and 957 chitin were incubated for 24-h, all others were incubated for 4-h after shaking). 958 Finally, all measurements are standardized to calculate the amount of respired C 959 per quantity of soil and unit of time. 960 Works Cited 961 962 Lal R (2001) Assessment methods for soil carbon (Lewis Publishers, Boca 963 46. Raton). 964 965 76. Ray P, Knowlton KF, Shang C, Xia K (2014) Development and validation of a UPLC-MS/MS method to monitor cephapirin excretion in dairy cows 966 following intramammary infusion. PLoS One 9(11):e112343. 967 968 77. Ray P, Knowlton KF, Shang C, Xia K (2014) Method development and 969 validation: solid phase extraction-ultra performance liquid chromatography-970 tandem mass spectrometry quantification of pirlimycin in bovine feces and urine. 971 J AOAC Int 97(6):1730–1736. 972 Caporaso JG, et al. (2012) Ultra-high-throughput microbial community 78. analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. ISME J 6(8):1621–1624. 973 974 79. Edgar RC (2013) UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from 975 microbial amplicon reads. Nat Methods 10:996. 976 Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R (2011) UCHIME 80. 977 improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27(16):2194-978 2200. 979 81. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR (2007) Naïve Bayesian classifier 980 for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl 981 Environ Microbiol 73(16):5261–5267. 982 82. DeSantis TZ, et al. (2006) Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA 983 gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microbiol 984 72(7):5069-5072. 985 83. Nilsson RH, et al. (2019) The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: Handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications. Nucleic Acids 986 987 Res 47(D1):D259-D264. 988 84. Caporaso JG, et al. (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7(5):335–336. 989 990 85. Callahan BJ, et al. (2016) DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from 991 Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13(7):581–583. 992 86. Leff JW, et al. (2018) Predicting the structure of soil communities from 993 plant community taxonomy, phylogeny, and traits. ISME J 12(7):1794–1805.

994 87. Abarenkov K, et al. (2010) The UNITE database for molecular 995 identification of fungi - recent updates and future perspectives. New Phytol 996 186(2):281-285. 997 88. Anderson MJ, Gorley RN, Clarke KR (2008) PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: 998 Guide to Software and Statistical Methods. Plymouth, UK 999 doi:10.13564/j.cnki.issn.1672-9382.2013.01.010. 1000 Clarke KR, Gorley RN (2006) PRIMER v6: User Manual/Tutorial. Prim 89. 1001 Plymouth UK. doi:10.1111/j.1442-9993.1993.tb00438.x. 1002 West AW, Sparling GP (1986) Modifications to the substrate-induced 90. 1003 respiration method to permit measurement of microbial biomass in soils of 1004 differing water contents. J Microbiol Methods 5(3–4):177–189. Fierer N, Schimel JP, Holden PA (2003) Variations in microbial community 1005 91. composition through two soil depth profiles. Soil Biol Biochem 35(1):167–176. 1006 Degens BP, Harris J a. (1997) Development of a physiological approach 1007 92. to measuring the catabolic diversity of soil microbial communities. Soil Biol 1008 Biochem 29(9-10):1309-1320. 1009 1010

- Supplementary Figures:
- 1012 1013 1014 Figure S1.









Supplementary Tables: 1024 1025

1026 Table S1.

Treatment	Cellulose	Chitin	Glucose	Glycine	Oxalic Acid	All Substrates	CMin
NMC	0.68±0.04	0.41±0.02	0.93±0.05	0.55±0.03	0.45±0.02	3.03±0.1	824.69±22.5
Con	0.84±0.03	0.48±0.02	1.18±0.06	0.62±0.03	0.55±0.02	3.66±0.1	1112.29±42.3
Ceph	0.89±0.05	0.54±0.02	1.34±0.07	0.68±0.03	0.54±0.02	4.00±0.1	1190.83±55.6
Pir	0.85±0.05	0.48±0.02	1.11±0.05	0.64±0.03	0.52±0.03	3.61±0.1	1144.89±49.4

1028 Table S2

Treatment	Day	Aboveground – C	Aboveground – N	Aboveground – C:N	Belowground - C	Belowground - N	Belowground – C:N	Microbial - C	Microbial - N	Microbial – C:N	Respiration - C
NMC	1	235.3±33	8.2±0.9	28.3±1.2	327±46	11.9±1.9	27.6±0.9	1.5±0.2	0.3±0.03	7±0.8	2.8±0.3
	2	311.9±33	11.3±1.1	27.6±1.2	353.4±27	13.8±2.5	28±3.0	1.3±0.2	0.2±0.03	8.7±1.1	2.3±0.3
_	7	362.7±62	12.6±2.6	29.6±1.0	532.2±63	13.8±2.7	42±5.7	1.5±0.1	0.2±0.03	7.8±0.5	3.6±0.3
Con	1	329.3±56	15.2±2.6	21.8±2.2	347.3±73	15.1±3.6	23.5±1.3	2.0±0.2	0.3±0.04	7.0±0.4	3.0±0.5
	2	377.5±42	16.7±1.7	22.7±1.6	417.1±29	16.5±1.5	25.9±2.1	1.3±0.2	0.2±0.03	8.9±1.3	2.1±0.1
	7	466.2±28	19±2.1	25.2±1.6	597.3±92	20.4±2.5	30.6±5.2	1.8±0.3	0.2±0.05	8.3±1.2	4.5±0.1
Ceph	1	270.3±42	10.9±1.7	25±1.0	318.9±55	13.3±2.3	24.3±1.3	1.9±0.4	0.3±0.06	10.1±2.5	2.6±0.6
	2	426.1±32	18.8±1.5	22.8±0.9	466±55	16.9±1.7	27.3±1.3	1.1±0.2	0.2±0.03	10.2±2.9	1.7±0.2
_	7	448.8±62	20.7±1.7	21.4±1.9	497.8±45	20.4±2.1	24.7±1.1	1.5±0.1	0.2±0.02	6.7±0.6	4.9±0.3
Pir	1	308.8±47	13.8±1.6	22.1±1.2	367.2±52	15.5±2.6	24.2±1.3	1.5±0.2	0.2±0.02	11.4±1.4	3.2±0.5
	2	368.8±31	14±1.3	26.5±0.9	427.8±61	17.6±3.0	25.2±1.6	1.3±0.1	0.2±0.02	8.3±0.8	2.3±0.2
	7	495.0±44	19.9±1.4	25.2±2.5	657±109	20±3.5	34.4±4.1	1.6±0.2	0.2±0.03	7.7±0.4	5.4±0.5
Treat	Р	0.047	<0.001	<0.001	0.553	0.065	0.022	0.071	0.080	χ ²⁼ 0.364	0.044
Day	Р	<0.001	<0.001	0.624	<0.001	0.018	0.001	<0.001	0.028	χ ²⁼ 0.036	<0.001
T*D	Р	0.869		0.137		0.940					0.068
Treatm Pairw Signific	nent ise ance	Con>NMC Ceph>NMC* Pir>NMC	All>NMC	NMC>Con NMC>Pir		Con>NMC Ceph>NMC Pir>NMC*	NMC>All	Con>NMC Con>Pir	Con>Pir Ceph>Pir		Pir>NMC Pir>Ceph*

Table S3: 1030 1031

Treatment	Day	POM – C	POM – N	POM –C:N	Mineral - C	Mineral - N	1032 1033 Mineral – C:N
NMC	1	708.4±63.9	45.4±4.4	15.7±0.3	1607.8±156.4	166.1±16.9	9.7±0.1
	2	672.6±59.2	45.0±5.2	15.1±0.4	1586.1±150.3	163.7±15.4	9.7±0.1
	7	611.8±52.1	39.7±4.0	15.5±0.2	1419.6±76.2	150.7±7.8	9.4±0.1
Con	1	822.5±72.0	49.1±4.6	16.8±0.3	1440.2±113	148.9±12.2	9.7±0.1
	2	663.5±34.7	40.5±2.6	16.5±0.3	1422.4±71.6	144.5±7.1	9.8±0.1
	7	666.1±63.1	42.4±4.2	15.7±0.2	1453.8±131.8	153.6±11.3	9.4±0.2
Ceph	1	886.8±125.9	54.7±8.7	16.5±0.6	1669.8±109.1	169.7±11.2	9.9±0.1
	2	821.4±86.5	49.0±6.1	17.0±0.7	1489.5±142.8	149.8±13.7	9.9±0.1
	7	508.7±79.5	49.3±6.4	16.7±0.7	1485.6±124.2	148.2±14.3	10.1±0.5
Pir	1	731.6±56.0	42.2±3.6	17.4±0.4	1544.2±109.0	159.6±10.4	9.7±0.1
	2	774.6±55.4	44.0±2.9	17.6±0.2	1467.1±53.0	147.7±6.8	10.0±0.1
	7	756.7±62.0	46.0±4.4	16.6±0.4	1473.3±122.5	152.3±12.2	9.7±0.1
Treat	Р	0.002	0.037	0.001	0.150	0.152	χ ²⁼ 0.182
Day	Ρ	0.115	0.311	0.168	0.058	0.037	
T*D	Р	0.575	0.605	0.369	0.459	0.273	
Treatment Pai Significan (* Margina	irwise ce al)	Ceph>NMC Ceph>Pir	Ceph>NMC* Ceph>Pir	Con>NMC Pir>NMC Pir>Ceph*			

1034 Tab<u>le S4.</u>

Treat	Day	AG- ¹³ C-C ⁻¹	AG- ¹⁵ N-N ⁻¹	BG- ¹³ C-C ⁻¹	BG- ¹⁵ N-N ⁻¹	POM- ¹³ C-C ⁻¹	POM- ¹⁵ N-N ⁻¹	Min- ¹³ C-C ⁻¹	Min- ¹⁵ N-N ⁻¹	Resp- ¹³ C-C ⁻¹
NMC	1	0.015±1.1E-3	0.267±0.03	2.1E-3±6.3E-4	0.167±0.03	9.9E-4±4.2E-4	0.019±5.0E-3	6.5E-4±2.2E-4	0.015±2.8E-3	0.077±0.1
-	2	0.010.1.45.0	0.007.0.05		0.010.0.04		0.010.0.45.0			1 1 1 0 1
	2	0.012±1.4E-3	0.387±0.05	2.8E-3±8.2E-4	0.218±0.04	5.0E-4±3.1E-4	0.019±3.4E-3	5.0E-4±2.5E-4	0.016±2.0E-3	1.14±0.1
	7	9.1E-3±1.2E-3	0.398±0.05	1.7E-3±5.6E-4	0.266±0.05	6.0E-4±3.1E-4	0.027±6.3E-3	6.7E-4±2.5E-4	0.016±4.4E-3	1.91±0.5
Con	1	0.017±2.1E-3	0.214±0.02	1.8E-3±4.0E-4	0.165±0.01	2.9E-4±2.1E-4	0.029±3.7E-3	2.6E-4±9.8E-5	0.024±3.0E-3	0.393±0.1
	2	0.012±6.2E-4	0.231±0.20	1.9E-3±6.4E-4	0.135±0.02	4.6E-4±3.5E-4	0.022±5.2E-3	4.4E-4±2.6E-4	0.017±2.4E-3	0.601±0.1
	7	7.3E-3±1.2E-3	0.250±0.04	8.4E-4±4.8E-4	0.134±0.04	3.6E-4±1.3E-4	0.018±4.4E-3	5.2E-4±1.2E-4	0.012±2.4E-3	1.54±0.5
Ceph	1	0.015±1.8E-3	0.183±0.02	3.4E-3±8.9E-4	0.135±0.02	6.3E-4±4.3E-4	0.023±5.4E-3	4.4E-4±2.0E-4	0.018±5.0E-3	0.316±0.1
	2	0.015±2.1E-3	0.238±0.02	3.6E-3±1.5E-3	0.154±0.02	1.1E-3±6.9E-4	0.017±2.8E-3	3.1E-4±1.7E-4	0.015±1.2E-3	0.607±0.2
	7	8.2E-3±1.3E-3	0.260±0.06	1.5E-3±5.5E-4	0.133±0.04	3.2E-4±2.3E-4	0.025±4.0E-3	3.0E-4±1.6E-4	0.013±1.9E-3	2.75±0.4
Pir	1	0.017±5.0E-4	0.226±0.02	1.1E-3±4.3E-4	0.139±0.03	2.2E-4±1.1E-4	0.028±5.0E-3	2.0E-4±9.7E-5	0.019±3.4E-3	0.993±0.2
	2	0.014±2.0E-3	0.277±0.03	3.3E-3±9.2E-4	0.177±0.03	9.0E-4±2.5E-4	0.033±4.9E-3	6.1E-4±9.5E-5	0.020±2.7E-3	1.44±0.2
	7	0.010±1.9E-3	0.334±0.03	1.2E-3±5.6E-4	0.277±0.05	2.1E-4±2.1E-4	0.039±6.9E-3	1.2E-4±5.9E-5	0.024±3.2E-3	2.44±0.5
Treat	P=	0.54	>0.001	0.150	0.009	0.480	0.005	0.041	0.068	0.027
Day	P=	>0.001	>0.005	0.010	0.088	0.261	0.397	0.621	0.403	0.001
Treatr Pairv Signific (* Marg	ment vise cance ginal)		NMC>All Pir>Con* Pir>Ceph		NMC>Con NMC>Ceph Pir>Con Pir>Ceph		Pir>All	NMC>Con* NMC>Ceph NMC>Pir	Pir>NMC Pir>Ceph	NMC>Con Pir>Con Pir>Ceph

1037 Table S5.

able 55.								
	%C	pw	%N	pw	C:N	pw	Cephapirin (ng g manure ⁻¹)	Pirlimycin (ng g manure ⁻¹)
Con	49.9	b	3.3	а	15.3	b	-	-
Ceph	49.0	а	3.4	а	14.3	а	Below detection (<0.36)	-
Pir	49.6	b	3.5	а	14.3	а	-	149 ± 3.38
SE	0.12		0.06		0.26			

1039 Supplementary Figure and Table Legends:

1040

Figure S1: Plexiglass box for ¹³C pulse-chase experiment, with wooden frame and rubber liner for sealing the chamber to the ground. The wooden frame was trenched 10-cm into the ground to minimize any leakage of ¹³C-CO₂ from the pulsing area, as well as any non-labeled CO₂ entering the pulsing area.

1045

Figure S2: Effect of antibiotic exposure on microbial activity and active microbial 1046 1047 biomass. a) Substrate induced respiration (SIR) by treatment, and b) Catabolic 1048 response profile (CRP) by substrate and treatment (N = 24 for each treatment). 1049 SIR showed a significant treatment effect ($F_{3.87}$ = 4.26, P < 0.01), with treatments receiving manure from cephapirin treated cattle having active microbial biomass 1050 significantly greater than the no-manure control (NMC; P < 0.001) and control 1051 manure (Con; P < 0.05), and marginally greater than manure from pirlimycin 1052 1053 treated cattle (Pir; P = 0.10). A significant treatment effect was observed for glucose ($F_{3,92} = 8.27$, P < 0.001), cellulose ($F_{3,87} = 5.14$, P < 0.005), glycine ($F_{3,15}$) 1054 1055 = 2.53, P = 0.097), oxalic acid ($F_{3.15} = 4.02$, P < 0.05), and chitin ($F_{3.87} = 8.00$, P < 0.05) 0.001). Across CRP the Ceph treatment is higher than all other treatments, 1056 1057 though not consistently significantly different when compared pairwise. This 1058 provides evidence that the exposure to manure from cephapirin treated cattle can 1059 cause an increase in microbial activity – consistent with previous findings. Increased microbial activity has implications for microbial efficiency and soil C 1060 1061 storage.

1062

Figure S3: Nonmetric multidimensional scaling for fungal communities across antibiotic treatments. Distances are based on dissimilarity matrices of sequencebased Bray-Curtis distances. Fungal communities across treatments do not differ significantly from each other (PERMANOVA Fungal: pseudo- $F_{3,15} = 1.10$, P =0.18, Stress = 0.16).

1068

Table S1: Catabolic response profile (CRP) average respired C (mean; CO₂-C (μ g g dry wt soil⁻¹ h⁻¹)) by substrate type (cellulose, chitin, glucose, glycine, oxalic acid, and summed respiration across all substrates) and treatment (NMC: no manure control; Con: control manure; Ceph: manure from cephapirin treated cattle; Pir: manure from pirlimycin treated cattle. Additionally, microbially mineralizable C (CMin; CO₂-C (μ g g dry wt soil⁻¹ h⁻¹)). Error listed is standard error.

1076

1077 **Table S2:** C, N, and C:N of aboveground biomass (Aboveground: g-m⁻²). belowground biomass (Belowground; g-m⁻²), microbial biomass (Microbial; g-m⁻ 1078 1079 ²), and ecosystem respiration (g CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹). These were measured at three 1080 time points (Days 1, 2, and 7) across four treatments (NMC: no manure control; 1081 Con: control manure; Ceph: manure from cephapirin treated cattle; Pir: manure 1082 from pirlimycin treated cattle). The values listed are means and associated 1083 standard errors. Linear mixed models (LMM) and type III analysis of variance 1084 (ANOVA) were used to analyze the data with the exception of the Mineral-C

1085 which was analyzed using generalized linear model (GLM) and type II ANOVA – 1086 Wald χ^2 Test in order to address failure to pass assumptions necessary for LMM. 1087 As a result, these values are reported as χ^2 as opposed to *P*-values. The additive 1088 models all included treatment (Treat) and time (Day) as fixed effects and Block 1089 as a random effect. Aboveground-N, Belowground-C, Belowground-C:N, and 1090 Microbial-N data were log transformed to meet normality assumptions. Significant 1091 and marginally significant *P*-values are designated by italic and bold font.

1092

1093 **Table S3:** C, N, and C:N of particulate organic matter (POM: g-m⁻²) and mineral 1094 associated (Mineral; g-m⁻²) soil pools. These were measured at three time points 1095 (Days 1, 2, and 7) across four treatments (NMC: no manure control; Con: control 1096 manure; Ceph: manure from cephapirin treated cattle; Pir: manure from pirlimycin treated cattle). The values listed are means and associated standard errors. 1097 1098 Linear mixed models (LMM) and type III analysis of variance (ANOVA) were 1099 used to analyze the data with the exception of the Mineral-C:N which was analyzed using generalized linear model (GLM) and type II ANOVA – Wald χ^2 1100 1101 Test in order to address failure to pass assumptions necessary for LMM. As a result, these values are reported as χ^2 as opposed to *P*-values. Models used 1102 were either interactive or nested depending on the best model AIC. For factors 1103 1104 best analyzed with interactive models all *P*-values are reported – for nested 1105 models only treatment *P*-value is reported. For interactive models treatment 1106 (Treat) and time (Day) and interactive (T*D) are used as fixed effects and Block 1107 as a random effect. For the nested model plot is nested within block, thus 1108 accounting for the repeated sampling over time. POM-C:N data were log transformed to meet normality assumptions. Significant and marginally significant 1109 1110 P-values are designated by italic and bold font.

1111

Table S4: Showing the fate of added isotopic C (¹³C) and N(¹⁵N) of the various 1112 pools measured: aboveground biomass (AG) belowground biomass (BG) 1113 1114 particulate organic matter (POM), mineral associated (Min), and ecosystem 1115 respiration (for C only). Isotopic content is reported as the amount of label 1116 recovered as a proportion of the total element pool - as a proportion therefore 1117 unitless. These were measured at three time points (days 1, 2, and 7) across four 1118 treatments (NMC: no manure control; Con: control manure; Ceph: manure from 1119 cephapirin treated cattle; Pir: manure from pirlimycin treated cattle). The values 1120 listed are means and associated standard errors. Linear mixed models (LMM) 1121 and type III analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to analyze the data. The models all included Treatment and Day as fixed effects and Block as a random 1122 1123 effect. AG-¹⁵N-N⁻¹ data were log transformed to pass normality assumptions. 1124 Model quality analysis using AIC favored additive models over interactive ones. 1125 Significant *P*-values are designated by bold and italic font.

1126

Table S5: Manure characteristics for control (Con), cephapirin (Ceph), and
 pirlimycin (Pir) treated cattle. Pairwise comparisons are listed according to *P values* < 0.05. Model standard error is also noted (SE). Statistical tests were not

1130 performed for antibiotic concentrations.