

Developing smarter host mixtures to control plant disease

Article

Accepted Version

Mikaberidze, A., McDonald, B. A. and Bonhoeffer, S. (2015) Developing smarter host mixtures to control plant disease. Plant Pathology, 64 (4). pp. 996-1004. ISSN 00320862 doi: 10.1111/ppa.12321 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/86109/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12321

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading



Reading's research outputs online

How to develop smarter host mixtures to control plant disease?

Alexey Mikaberidze, Bruce A. McDonald, Sebastian Bonhoeffer

Running Head: Smarter host mixtures Affiliation: Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zurich

Keywords: epidemiology, plant disease, mathematical model, host-pathogen interaction, host diversity, cultivar mixture, host mixture, multiline cultivar, population dynamics

*alexey.mikaberidze@env.ethz.ch

Abstract

1

Adaptation of plant pathogens to disease control measures (both chemical and genetic) is facilitated 2 by the genetic uniformity underlying modern agroecosystems. One path to sustainable disease 3 control lies through increasing genetic diversity at the field scale by using genetically diverse host 4 mixtures. We utilized a robust population dynamical approach to investigate how host mixtures 5 can improve disease control. We find that when pathogens exhibit host specialization, the overall 6 disease severity decreases with the number of components in the mixture. This finding makes it 7 possible to determine an optimal number of components to use in the host mixture. In a simple 8 case where two host varieties are exposed to two host-specialized pathogen species or strains we 9 identify quantitative criteria for optimal mixing ratios. Using these model outcomes, we propose 10 ways to optimize the use of host mixtures to decrease disease in agroecosystems. 11

12 Introduction

The two most widely used disease control measures are applications of chemicals (fungicides and 13 antibiotics) and breeding for disease resistant crop cultivars by incorporating resistance genes. 14 Both of these control measures are highly vulnerable to pathogen adaptation. Many pathogens 15 have repeatedly evolved to overcome resistance conferred by major resistance genes (reviewed in 16 (McDonald and Linde, 2002; Parlevliet, 2002; Singh et al., 2011)). Similarly, many fungicides 17 rapidly lose their efficacy because of the emergence and fixation of mutations encoding fungicide 18 resistance (e.g. (Torriani et al., 2009; Brunner et al., 2008). As a result of pathogen evolution, 19 the current commonly practiced disease control measures will likely be inadequate to enable a 20 sustainable intensification of food production. 21

Quantitative or partial resistance is thought to be more durable (Parlevliet, 2002; Papaïx et al., 22 2011), but has not been as widely utilized as major gene resistance. Recent research has begun 23 to provide insights into the molecular mechanisms responsible for quantitative resistance (Poland 24 et al., 2009; Kou and Wang, 2010), but studies that include quantitative resistance in epidemiolog-25 ical models are rare (Lo Iacono et al., 2012). Pathogens can still adapt to quantitative resistance 26 leading to an erosion of its effects (Stuthman et al., 2007; Mundt et al., 2002; McDonald and Linde, 27 2002; Lehman and Shaner, 1997), although at a much slower pace compared to major resistance 28 genes. 29

More effective and longer-lasting disease control methods are urgently needed to achieve a sustainable intensification of crop production. One way to develop such methods is to focus on the underlying properties of modern agricultural ecosystems (agroecosystems) that make them vulnerable to plant pathogens. Compared to natural ecosystems, agroecosystems are more environmentally homogeneous, have a higher density of plants, and possess much less genetic and species diversity. It is increasingly recognized that these underlying properties of agroecosystems, especially the lack of genetic diversity due to the dominance of monoculture crops grown as clones, make them especially susceptible to disease epidemics (Mundt, 2002; Wolfe, 2000; Garrett and Mundt, 1999).

For these reasons, many researchers propose to deliberately increase genetic diversity in agroecosystems (McDonald, 2014; Newton et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2000) in order to decrease disease in the short-term and enhance the durability of disease resistance in the long-term. This diversity can be created within a single genetic background by developing multiline cultivars (Browning and Frey, 1969) or involve many genetic backgrounds by using variety mixtures (Wolfe, 1985; Smithson and Lenne, 1996; Mundt, 2002). In this study, we do not distinguish between multiline cultivars and variety mixtures and we will refer to both options simply as host mixtures.

Many field experiments have been performed to determine whether host mixtures reduce the 46 amount of fungal disease on crop plants (e.g. (Huang et al., 2012; Ning et al., 2012; Newton and 47 Guy, 2011; Cowger and Mundt, 2002; Zhu et al., 2000; Newton et al., 1997; Mundt et al., 1994; 48 Chin and Wolfe, 1984), see also reviews (Walters et al., 2012; Mundt, 2002; Finckh et al., 2000; 49 Smithson and Lenne, 1996; Wolfe, 1985) and references therein). The findings of over 30 studies 50 (mostly in barley, wheat, rice and beans) were summarized in (Smithson and Lenne, 1996). The 51 vast majority of experiments showed less disease in mixtures as compared to the mean of the pure 52 stands for obligate pathogens such as rusts and mildews. However, there was a large variation in 53 the percentage of disease reduction: for example, between 9 % and 80 % for powdery mildew in 54 barley, and between 13 % and 97 % for stripe rust in wheat. A recent meta-analysis of stripe rust 55 on wheat considered 161 mixture cases reported in 11 publications (Huang et al., 2012). In 83 % 56 of these cases the average disease level was found to be lower in mixtures compared to the mean 57 of the pure stands. A reduction in disease of between 30 % and 50 % was found most frequently. 58

A large-scale study performed in China demonstrated that row mixtures of rice varieties could strongly reduce rice blast (Zhu et al., 2000). Thus, host mixtures reduce the amount of disease in most studied cases, but the outcomes exhibit a wide variation, even within a single study (for example (Cowger and Mundt, 2002)).

This variation is one of the reasons why multilines and cultivar mixtures have so far gained little 63 acceptance among seed companies or growers. To achieve reliable disease control, we need to 64 identify the conditions under which mixtures work best and use this knowledge to design optimal 65 mixtures. This requires a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms of disease reduc-66 tion in mixtures. Our study contributes to this understanding in three important ways by using a 67 population dynamics model of plant-pathogen interactions. First, we identified conditions where 68 mixtures are superior compared to pure stands. Second, we defined optimal ratios of components 69 to include in the mixture. Third, we determined optimal numbers of components to include in the 70 mixture. 71

This was done by exploring possible disease outcomes when two or more hosts are mixed in the presence of two or more pathogen strains or species. Moreover, we obtained analytical solutions that allowed us to investigate the disease reduction over the whole range of parameters that includes both qualitative and quantitative host resistance (see Appendix A.5).

5

76 Materials and methods

81

⁷⁷ We first consider a general case of a mixture with n hosts that is exposed to n pathogens. These ⁷⁸ could be either different strains (races or pathotypes) of the same pathogen or different pathogen ⁷⁹ species capable of infecting the same host tissue. The dynamics of the host-pathogen interactions ⁸⁰ are described by the susceptible-infected model that consists of 2n equations:

$$\frac{dH_i}{dt} = r_H(K_i - H_i) - \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{ki} I_k H_i,\tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dI_i}{dt} = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{ik} I_i H_k - \mu I_i, \ i = 1, ..., n$$
⁸²
⁸³
⁽²⁾

This model is an extension of the model described previously (Mikaberidze et al., 2014) for the case of two pathogen strains infecting a single host variety. This model can be applied to a variety of aerially and splash-dispersed, polycyclic pathogens of cereal crops, such as the fungi and bacteria causing rusts, mildews, blasts, spots and blotches. There are 2n compartments in the model: susceptible hosts H_i , hosts I_i infected by the pathogen i, where i = 1, ..., n. The quantities H_i , I_i represent the total amount of the corresponding host tissue within one field, which could be leaves, stems or grain tissue, depending on the host-pathogen combination.

Susceptible hosts H_i grow with the same rate r_H . Their growth is limited by their "carrying capacities" K_i , implying limitations in space or nutrients.

The matrix elements β_{ik} in Eqs. (1)-(2) constitute the transmission matrix **B**, an $n \times n$ square matrix [often called WAIFW (Who Acquires Infection From Whom) matrix]. The element β_{ik} describes the transmission rate of the pathogen that originates from the infected host of type *i* and infects the healthy host of variety *k*. We assume that the two host varieties differ only in their susceptibility to the two pathogens, and the two pathogens differ only in their capability to infect ⁹⁸ different hosts, which is reflected in the rate of spore production and the ability of resulting spores ⁹⁹ to infect additional host tissue. Both host susceptibility and pathogen virulence are described in the ¹⁰⁰ model by the transmission rates β_{ik} . The infected host tissue loses its infectivity (i. e. the ability to ¹⁰¹ produce infectious spores) with the rate μ (μ^{-1} is the average infectious period), which is assumed ¹⁰² to be the same for all I_i , i = 1, ..., n.

We neglected spatial dependence of pathogen dispersal: every infected host is equally likely to 103 infect every other infected host within the population (often called the "mass-action" approxima-104 tion). This approximation is valid for air-borne pathogens with long-range dispersal (for example, 105 rusts and mildews), for sufficiently small plot sizes and for a uniform mixture of host varieties. 106 There is evidence that when the overall disease severity is large enough, the disease may develop 107 uniformly across the experimental plots [for example, observations in (Robert et al., 2004) for Zy-108 *moseptoria tritici* and *Puccinia striiformis* on wheat]. In other cases this assumption appears to 109 be an idealization (i.e. (Lannou et al., 2008; Mundt, 2009)), especially when looking at the initial 110 stages of an epidemic. In the current study, we are focusing more on the disease severity at the 111 end of the growing season. Understanding of the basic model presented here is a necessary step 112 and a point of reference for further inquiries that will consider autoinfection and spatial dimension 113 explicitly. 114

We will vary the number of host varieties in the mixture n, while keeping the total carrying capacity constant: $K_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_i = nK$. We will consider the total amount of healthy and infected hosts at the infected equilibrium (denoted by an "*"-superscript) of the system of Eqs. (1)-

119
$$H_{\text{tot}}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n H_i^*, \ I_{\text{tot}}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n I_i^*, \tag{3}$$

¹²⁰ The equilibrium corresponds a fixed point of the system Eqs. (1)-(2) (as explained in the Appendix

A.1 and A.2) and can achieved over long periods of time, depending on the stability properties of the system. The total disease severity is defined by

123
$$y_{\rm tot}^* = \frac{I_{\rm tot}^*}{I_{\rm tot}^* + H_{\rm tot}^*}.$$
 (4)

In order to obtain an analytical solution for the disease severity Eq. (4), we consider the transmission matrix of a simple form

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{d} & \beta_{nd} & \cdots & \beta_{nd} \\ \beta_{nd} & \beta_{d} & \cdots & \beta_{nd} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \beta_{nd} & \cdots & & \beta_{d} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

126

Here, every diagonal element of the matrix **B** is equal to β_d and every non-diagonal element is β_{nd} . We generally assume partial specialization, where $\beta_d \ge \beta_{nd}$. Furthermore, assuming that all healthy and infected hosts start with the same initial conditions, their dynamics will be the same. Hence, the amount of healthy and infected hosts is the same in each compartment *i* and equal to H_p and I_p , correspondingly. So, we substitute $H_i = H_p$, $I_i = I_p$ in Eqs. (1)-(2) and simplify these equations:

$$\frac{dH_p}{dt} = r_H (K - H_p) - \beta_{\text{eff}} I_p H_p, \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{dI_p}{dt} = \beta_{\text{eff}} I_p H_p - \mu I_p, \tag{7}$$

136 where $\beta_{\text{eff}} = \beta_{\text{d}} + (n-1)\beta_{\text{nd}}$.

We also consider the simpler case when two host varieties H_1 and H_2 are exposed to two types

of pathogen: 1 and 2 (we also refer to them as P_1 and P_2), because mixtures of two host varieties are used most often. The model of susceptible-infected dynamics is described schematically in Fig. 1 and mathematically by the four equations:

$$\frac{dH_1}{dt} = r_H(K_1 - H_1) - (\beta_{11}I_1 + \beta_{21}I_2)H_1, \tag{8}$$

¹⁴²
$$\frac{dH_2}{dt} = r_H (K_2 - H_2) - (\beta_{12}I_1 + \beta_{22}I_2)H_2, \tag{9}$$

¹⁴³
$$\frac{dI_1}{dt} = (\beta_{11}H_1 + \beta_{12}H_2)I_1 - \mu I_1, \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{dI_2}{dt} = (\beta_{21}H_1 + \beta_{22}H_2)I_2 - \mu I_2.$$
(11)

There are four compartments in the model: susceptible hosts H_1 of variety 1, susceptible hosts H_2 of variety 2, hosts I_1 infected by pathogen 1 and hosts I_2 infected by pathogen 2. One can vary the proportion of host plants of the two varieties by adjusting the ratio of the corresponding seeds to be planted. This is reflected in the change of the ratio $\phi_1 = K_1/(K_1 + K_2)$ in the model. We assume that the seeds of the two host varieties are well mixed before planting, such that the spatial distribution across the field is uniformly random for both types of plants.

Both host susceptibility and pathogen virulence are described in the model by the four transmission rates β_{11} , β_{22} , β_{12} , and β_{21} . The corresponding transmission matrix has the form

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{vmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} \end{vmatrix}.$$
 (12)

As before, the first index of matrix elements represents the source of infection and the second index represents the recipient of infection (see Fig. 2). For example, β_{12} describes the transmission rate from I_1 to H_2 . Possible relationships between the elements of the transmission matrix (12) are discussed in Appendix A.5.

The model describes two distinct limiting cases. First case corresponds to the situation when 159 I_1 includes the tissue of both hosts infected by pathogen 1. Similarly, I_2 includes host tissue of 160 both hosts infected by the pathogen 2. This formulation assumes that the transmission rate does 161 not depend on the host variety of the source of infection, but only depends on the host variety of 162 the recipient of infection. In other words, under this assumption, the spore production rate and the 163 quality of spores produced depend on the pathogen genotype, but not on the host genotype. But 164 the infection efficiency (or infection success) of a spore depends on the host genotype on which 165 it lands. Second case is realized when I_1 includes the tissue of host 1 that is infected by any one 166 of the two pathogens. Similarly, I_2 includes the tissue of host 2 that is infected by any one of the 167 two pathogens. This represents the other limiting case, when the spore production rate and the 168 quality of spores produced depend on the host genotype, but not on the pathogen genotype. We In 169 order to relax these assumptions, one needs to subdivide each of I_1 and I_2 into two compartments, 170 according to the type of host tissue infected (first case), or according to the infecting pathogen 171 (second case). 172

In this simplified case, the equilibrium disease severity Eq. (4) has the form

174
$$y_{\text{tot}}^* = (I_1^* + I_2^*) / (I_1^* + I_2^* + H_1^* + H_2^*).$$
(13)

¹⁷⁵ We use y_{tot}^* that corresponds to the disease severity close to the end of the growing season, to ¹⁷⁶ quantify the efficacy of host mixtures in terms of disease reduction. Previous modeling studies ¹⁷⁷ (Gumpert et al., 1987; Gumpert, 1989; Gumpert and Geiger, 1995) considered the other limiting ¹⁷⁸ case by assuming that the amount of disease is growing exponentially over time.

Results

First, we present the outcomes of the general model that describes many pathogen strains and host varieties and determine an optimal number of components in a host mixture. Next, we determine proportions of hosts in the mixture that will minimize the disease.

What is the optimal number of components to use in a host mixture?

In order to answer this question, we consider a mixture of *n* hosts exposed to *n* pathogens. and use the mathematical framework of Eqs. (6)-(7). In the case of partial specialization all elements of the transmission matrix **B** are positive. All the diagonal elements are equal to β_d and the nondiagonal ones are equal to β_{nd} , with $\beta_d > \beta_{nd} > 0$ (see Eq. (5)). In this case, we determined (see Appendix A.3) the analytical expression for the total disease severity at the infected equilibrium, assume that every host variety is planted at the same proportion, i. e. $K_i = K$:

190
$$y_{\text{tot}}^*(n) = r_H \frac{(\beta_d + (n-1)\beta_{\text{nd}}) K_{\text{tot}} - \mu n}{n\mu(\mu - r) + rK_{\text{tot}} (\beta_d + (n-1)\beta_{\text{nd}})}.$$
 (14)

Using this expression, we plotted in Fig. 3 the disease severity as a function of the number of 19 components in the mixture n. Panel (a) illustrates the case of a pathogen with the high rate of 192 transmission and panel (b) shows the case a pathogen with the intermediate rate of transmission. 193 The grey solid curves represent the homogeneous case when $\beta_{nd} = \beta_d > 0$, i. e. no specialization, 194 every pathogen strain or species is equally likely to infect every host. Evidently, in this case the 195 disease severity is independent of the number of mixture components. In all other cases considered 196 in Fig. 3, the disease severity decreases with n. The black solid curves in Fig. 3 illustrate the case 197 of full specialization, when $\beta_{nd} = 0$, $\beta_d > 0$. In this case, the disease severity decreases steeply 198

with increasing n, eventually reaching zero. The dashed curves in Fig. 3 correspond to intermediate cases with different degrees of partial specialization. As the degree of host specialization increases, the decrease in disease severity becomes stronger.

Can one eradicate the disease by adding a large enough number of components to the host 202 mixture? As we increase the number of components in the host mixture, each pathogen strain can 203 infect less of its preferred host. At the limit of very large n, the amount of preferred host tissue 204 available for each pathogen strain is so small that they are not able to survive only on it. Therefore, 205 whether we can eradicate the disease depends on the ability of pathogen strains to survive on hosts 206 that are not their favorite. This is determined by the parameter $R_{0nd} = \beta_{nd} K_{tot} / \mu$, which is the 207 basic reproductive number of pathogen strains as a whole in the absence of their preferred hosts. 208 If $R_{0nd} > 1$, then pathogen strains can survive in the absence of their preferred hosts. In this case, 209 disease severity tends to a constant positive value at large n and never decreases to zero (dash-210 dotted curve in Fig. 3). In contrast, when $R_{0nd} < 1$, pathogen strains die out in the absence of their 211 preferred hosts. 212

We take the limit of very large n in Eq. (14) and find that the disease severity is proportional to $R_{0nd} - 1$ in this case:

$$y_{\text{tot}}^*(n)_{n \to \infty} = r_H \frac{R_{0\text{nd}} - 1}{\mu + r_H(R_{0\text{nd}} - 1)},$$
(15)

where $R_{0nd} = \beta_{nd} K_{tot}/\mu$ is the basic reproductive number of pathogen strains overall in the absence of their preferred hosts. It follows from Eq. (15) that if $R_{0nd} \leq 1$, then the disease severity will eventually reach (or approach) zero as we increase n. However when $R_{0nd} > 1$, the disease severity will approach a constant positive value given by Eq. (15). This means that, by increasing the number of components in the mixture, we decrease (eventually to zero) the impact of hostspecialized infections characterized by rate β_d . However, the impact of non-specialized infections ²²² characterized by β_{nd} remains unchanged with the corresponding severity given by Eq. (15).

From the expression for the disease severity in Eq. (14), one can determine the optimal number of components to use in the mixture. One way to do this is to define an economically acceptable disease severity, y_{acc} , (for example 5%), and then determine the number of components in the mixture that decrease the disease severity down to y_{acc} . This is done by solving Eq. (14) with respect to *n*. As a result, we obtain

228
$$n_{\text{opt1}} = r_H K_{\text{tot}} \frac{(\beta_d - \beta_{\text{nd}})(1 - y_{\text{acc}})}{\mu (r_H + y_{\text{acc}}(\mu - r_H)) - r_H \beta_{\text{nd}} K_{\text{tot}}(1 - y_{\text{acc}})}.$$
 (16)

Here, n_{opt1} is the number of mixture components at which the disease severity y_{acc} is reached. This is illustrated in Fig. 3, where the horizontal dashed line corresponds to $y_{acc} = 5 \%$. The values of n at which this line intersects with disease severity curves correspond to optimum n_{opt1} given by Eq. (16). The optimum shifts to larger values with decreasing degrees of specialization [e. g. from $n_{opt1} = 9$ for the solid curve corresponding to full specialization to $n_{opt1} = 16$ for the dashed curve representing partial specialization in Fig. 3(a)]. Also, the optimum number of components is proportional to the total host population size K_{tot} .

Another way to determine an optimal number of mixture components uses the fact that $y^*(n)$ de-236 creases with n, but also considers that the rate of this decrease (i. e. the derivative $\frac{dy^*(n)}{dn}$) decreases 237 with n. Hence, the benefit of adding one more component to a mixture that already has n compo-238 nents decreases with increasing n. Because of this, the dependence $y^*(n)$ eventually saturates to a 239 constant value given by Eq. (15). Therefore, one can define a minimum decrease in disease severity 240 due to adding one more host variety to the mixture Δy_{\min} that is still economically plausible. The 241 number of mixture components at this minimum is optimal, i. e. $n = n_{opt2}$. Mathematically, n_{opt2} 242 can be found from the equation $y_{\text{tot}}^*(n_{\text{opt2}}-1) - y_{\text{tot}}^*(n_{\text{opt2}}) = \Delta y_{\min}$, where $y_{\text{tot}}^*(n)$ is given by 243

Eq. (14). The solution reads as

245
$$n_{\text{opt2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta y} \left[\mu^2 - r_H \left(K_{\text{tot}} (2\beta_{\text{d}} - 3\beta_{\text{nd}}) + \mu \right) \right] + \sqrt{4(\beta_{\text{d}} - b_{\text{nd}}) r_H K_{\text{tot}} \mu^2 + \Delta y C}}{2\sqrt{\Delta S} C^2}, \quad (17)$$

where $C = \mu^2 + r_H(\beta_{nd}K_{tot} - \mu)$. This is also illustrated in Fig. 3, where the dotted vertical lines shows $n_{opt2} = 3$ [panel (a)] and $n_{opt2} = 2$ [panel (b)] that correspond to the severity curves for the case of strong partial specialization (dashed curves). When the degree of specialization is increased further up to full specialization (solid curve), n_{opt2} shifts to the larger value of four.

We expect mixtures to be more effective against pathogens with intermediate and low transmission [cf. panels (a) and (b) in Fig. 3]. In Fig. 3(b) a mixture with three components not only decreased the disease below the acceptable level [optimum number of components, according to Eq. (16)], but even eradicated the pathogen. A two-component mixture provided an economical optimum, according Eq. (17). In contrast, for pathogens with high transmission [Fig. 3(a)], mixtures with more components need to be used to reach the optimal effects.

The optimum number of components in the mixture, defined according to Eq. (16), can only 256 be found if the acceptable severity $y_{\rm acc}$ can be reached by increasing n (that is when $R_{\rm 0nd} < 1$). 257 This restriction is removed in the definition based on Eq. (17). But even in cases when y_{acc} can 258 be reached by increasing n, the second definition seems to be more plausible, since it incorporates 259 the economic costs of introducing an additional component into the mixture. However, it does not 260 ensure that the disease will be reduced down to an acceptable value. Hence, additional disease 26 control measures (e.g. applications of fungicides) may need to be implemented in order to further 262 reduce the disease. 263

²⁶⁴ Is there an optimal mixture of host varieties?

Planting a mixture of host varieties provides an additional parameter that can be adjusted, namely the proportions of the varieties in the mixture. Does planting a mixture of hosts reduce the total amount of disease compared to the case of monoculture stands? Furthermore, is there an optimal proportion of the host varieties at which the amount of disease is minimized? Answers to these questions depend on the relationships between the elements of the transmission matrix **B**.

We calculate the disease severity at equilibrium y^* [Eq. (13)] as a function of the proportion of the host variety 1 in the mixture $\phi_1 = K_1/K$ [see Fig. 4(a)]. The quantity ϕ_1 is varied from zero to one, while keeping the total carrying capacity of hosts $K = K_1 + K_2$ constant.

²⁷³ When each pathogen can infect both hosts equally well (i. e. $\beta_{12} = \beta_{11}$, $\beta_{21} = \beta_{22}$, no special-²⁷⁴ ization), disease severity does not depend on ϕ_1 [horizontal dashed curve in Fig. 4(a)]. The same ²⁷⁵ outcome is observed when the host-pathogen interaction follows the pure gene-for-gene scheme ²⁷⁶ (scenario (A) in Appendix A.5), i. e. $\beta_{11} = \beta_{21} = \beta_{22} > 0$, $\beta_{12} = 0$ [horizontal dashed curve in ²⁷⁷ Fig. 4(a)]. We used the values of the transmission rates, which satisfy $\beta_{22} > \beta_{11}$. Hence, pathogen ²⁷⁸ 2 is fitter than pathogen 1 and dominates the population and at any value of ϕ_1 [the two horizontal ²⁷⁹ dashed curves overlap completely in Fig. 4(b)].

In the case of a single pathogen infecting a mixture of hosts with different degrees of susceptibility ($\beta_{22} = \beta_{12} > \beta_{11} = \beta_{21}$), the disease severity decreases linearly with ϕ_1 . In this case, simply using a monoculture with the more disease-resistant host variety ($\phi_1 = 1$) would reduce the disease most strongly [green dashed-dotted curve in Fig. 4(a)]. This is in agreement with findings of an experiment, in which a mixture of a susceptible and resistant barley variety was infected by barley powdery mildew (caused by *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *hordei*) reported in (Finckh et al., 2000). In this study the disease reduction was found to decrease linearly with the proportion of the susceptible variety in the mixture.

The picture changes if there is a degree of specialization of pathogen strains or species to host 288 varieties ($\beta_{22}, \beta_{11} > \beta_{12}, \beta_{21}$, scenario (D) in Appendix A.5). In this case the disease severity y^* 289 first decreases with ϕ_1 , then reaches a constant value, and after that increases again. Thus, the 290 disease is reduced over a range of intermediate values of ϕ_1 (solid and dotted curves in Fig. 4(a)). 29 The magnitude of this reduction increases with the degree of specialization and reaches a maximal 292 value at full specialization (solid red curve). Also, the range of ϕ_1 -values, over which the propor-293 tion of disease remains minimal, increases with the degree of specialization [cf. solid and dotted 294 curves in Fig. 4(a)]. 295

The ranges over which the frequency of pathogen 2 remains constant or changes as a function 296 of the cropping ratio ϕ_1 correspond to the ranges of stability of different fixed points of the model 297 system Eqs. (8)-(11). This can be seen from Fig. 4(b), where the frequency f_2 of pathogen 2 is 298 shown versus ϕ_1 . In the region where y^* decreases with ϕ_1 , pathogen 2 dominates the population 290 $(f_2 = 1)$. In the region where y^* stays constant, the two pathogens co-exist, but the frequency of 300 pathogen 2 decreases with ϕ_1 until it reaches zero. This occurs at the border, where another fixed 30 point becomes stable, the one corresponding to pathogen 1 dominating the population ($f_2 = 1$). 302 Here, the disease severity increases with ϕ_1 . 303

Why does the disease severity decrease with ϕ_1 at small values of ϕ_1 ? In this parameter range, pathogen 2 dominates the population in the long term. Since pathogen 2 specializes on host 2, it develops best when only host 2 is planted, i. e. at $\phi_1 = 0$. By adding a small amount of host 1 to the mixture, we create suboptimal conditions for pathogen 2: it is still able to outcompete pathogen 1, but since there is less of its preferred host tissue, the resulting disease severity is smaller. A similar explanation holds for the increase of disease severity with ϕ_1 at large values of ϕ_1 .



range corresponds to co-existence of the two pathogens. Since there is a degree of specialization, by increasing ϕ_1 we make pathogen 1 more fit while pathogen 2 becomes less fit. These two changes compensate each other, so that the total disease severity, which includes both pathogen strains, remains the same.

Thus, mixing host varieties reduces the overall disease severity if each of the pathogens performs better on its preferred host. In this case, an optimal proportion of host varieties in the mixture lies in the intermediate range, over which the two pathogens exhibit stable co-existence. This result is in agreement with previous theoretical studies (Lively, 2010) and also explains some experimental findings (Zhan and McDonald, 2013).

We also investigated the time dependence of the disease severity before the equilibrium is reached (see Appendix 4, Figure A.1) by numerically solving the system of Eqs. (8)-(11). The solutions indicate that the optimal suppression of disease at intermediate cropping ratios, ϕ_1 , appears much before the equilibrium is approached. Also, the optimal range of ϕ_1 -values at the equilibrium that we determined analytically is indicative of the optimal range in the early phases of the dynamics.

Further, our results indicate that the benefit of mixing two host varieties increases with decreas-326 ing the pathogen's basic reproductive number. To illustrate this effect, we quantified this benefit 327 using the ratio between the mean disease severity in pure stands and the disease severity of the 328 50/50 host mixture. We considered this quantity as a function of the mean basic reproductive num-329 ber of the two pathogens. This reveals, that mixing host varieties can be an effective measure to 330 control pathogens (with the reduction of disease severity by more than 20%) with intermediate 33values of R_0 (between 5 and 20, for example, Zymoseptoria tritici has R_0 of about 10), but will 332 bring only about 10 % reduction in disease severity when contolling diseases with high R_0 's, such 333 as stripe rust of wheat (where R_0 is about 50 (Segarra et al., 2001)). 334

In addition, within the range of maximal overall suppression of disease, the ratio of the two pathogens can be controlled by varying the proportion of hosts in the mixture [Fig. 4(a) and (b)]. This can be useful, if one of the pathogens is much less desirable, for example, because of mycotoxin production or the risk of fungicide resistance.

Discussion

We have shown that when a population of crop plants is exposed to two host-specialized pathogen 340 strains or species, the overall severity of both diseases is smaller in the mixture of two host varieties 341 than in either of the pure stands. We obtained analytical expressions for the disease reduction 342 which allowed us to quantify it across the whole range of parameters. These findings may help to 343 identify crop cultivars to be deployed in mixtures that will successfully control diseases prevalent 344 in a given region. The overall disease severity can be minimized over a range of mixing ratios. The 345 two pathogens coexist in this range and further adjusting the mixing ratio within this range makes 346 it possible to control the relative abundance of each pathogen. This can be useful when one of 347 the pathogens is less desirable, for example due to mycotoxin production or fungicide resistance, 348 while a certain amount of the other pathogen can be tolerated. Alternatively, the mixing ratio can 349 be adjusted within this optimal range to increase the economic output of the crop, if the two host 350 varieties differ in their quality or commercial value. 351

We also generalized the model to describe host mixtures with more than two components. We find that when there is a degree of host specialization, the overall disease severity decreases with the number of components in the mixture. The more specialized the host-pathogen pairs are, the stronger is the decrease in the disease severity. Based on this understanding, we proposed ways to determine economically optimal numbers of components in host mixtures. Furthermore, this

more general framework is capable of describing many hosts exposed to many pathogen strains 357 or species and can also be used to better understand plant-pathogen dynamics in natural ecosys-358 tems, such as Linum marginale-Melampsora lini (Thrall et al., 2002), or Plantago lanceolata-359 Podosphaera plantaginis (Laine, 2007). Local adaptation was observed in these natural inter-360 actions (Thrall et al., 2002; Laine, 2007) and also modelled within a simplified metapopulation 36 framework (Papaïx et al., 2014). Hence, the insight we gained in the case of partial specializa-362 tion may advance our understanding of evolutionary forces operating in these wild plant-pathogen 363 systems. 364

It is desirable to study the benefit of mixing host varieties representing the whole range of val-365 ues of the matrix elements of B (see Appendix A.5 for the discussion of plausible relationships 366 between the matrix elements). We have done this here by obtaining analytical expressions for 367 the disease severity and frequencies of pathogens as functions of the matrix elements β_{ij} and 368 other model parameters (see Appendix A.3). This is an advantage of our study with compared to 369 previous theoretical investigations that assumed a "pure GFG" interaction, without fitness costs 370 associated with losing effectors (Ohtsuki and Sasaki, 2006; van den Bosch and Gilligan, 2003; Lo 371 Iacono et al., 2013), or that assumed full specialization (Lively, 2010), where each pathogen can 372 only infect its preferred host and is unable to infect any other hosts (also called the "matching al-373 leles" model (King and Lively, 2012)). The latter scenario seems to represent only a hypothetical 374 limiting case, because it requires full resistance, which is unlikely given the simultaneous presence 375 of many pairs of R- and E-proteins. In contrast, partial specialization (scenario (D)), when the 376 diagonal elements β_{11} and β_{22} are larger than non-diagonal ones β_{12} and β_{21} , but the non-diagonal 377 ones are still significantly larger than zero, seems to be the most generic case. This is because it 378 arises from a ubiquitous GFG-type of interaction with many R-proteins present in the host, many 379 corresponding E-proteins present in the pathogen, as well as fitness costs for the pathogen due to 380

elimination or modification of E-proteins and fitness costs for the host due to having unnecessary
 R-proteins.

Some modeling studies considered the effect of varying the proportion of mixture components 383 and partial specialization in host mixtures (Gumpert and Geiger, 1995), but they did not investigate 384 the dependence of the mixture efficacy on the degree of specialization. To the best of our knowl-385 edge, the optimal number of components in a host mixture and the optimal ratios of two-component 386 mixtures as functions of the pathogen's reproductive ability and the degree of host specialization 387 have not yet been quantified in the existing modeling literature. Here, we obtained analytical ex-388 pressions for these quantities that allow to investigate the mixture efficacy in the whole range of 389 parameters and also understand the underlying mechanisms of disease reduction in mixtures. 390

Mixtures and pure stands of several wheat cultivars were inoculated using a mixture of two 39 wheat stripe rust races in a series of field experiments (Finckh and Mundt, 1992a,b). The pathogen 392 population exhibited host specialization with respect to two of the host mixtures. The pattern of 393 disease severity corresponding to different proportions of host cultivars in mixtures corresponds 394 qualitatively to our model predictions (i.e. solid curve in Fig. 4(a) in the case of host specialization 395 and dash-dotted curve for mixtures of susceptible and resistant cultivars). Our model also predicts 396 coexistence of host-specialized pathogen races in the intermediate range of mixing ratios. This 397 could be tested in experiments similar to (Finckh and Mundt, 1992a,b) by measuring the frequen-398 cies of the different pathogen races in the experimental plots. However, interactions between plant 399 genotypes had considerable effect on the disease severity in host mixtures (Finckh and Mundt, 400 1992*a*,*b*) and need to be included in the model in order to achieve quantitative agreement. 40⁻

Four distinct mechanisms of disease reduction by host mixtures are described in the literature (Chin and Wolfe, 1984; Wolfe, 1985; Finckh et al., 2000): (i) the effect of reduced density of susceptibles; (ii) the "barrier effect"; (iii) induced resistance (Goleniewski, 1996); and (iv) com-

petition between pathogens. In scenario (i) the disease is reduced in the mixture simply because 405 it has less of the susceptible variety than the susceptible pure stand. This "reduced density" effect 406 can be observed most clearly by comparing the amount of disease in two pure stands of the suscep-407 tible variety, which differ only in planting density (Chin and Wolfe, 1984). The introduction of the 408 resistant variety further reduces the disease in the mixture (scenario (ii)), because the transmission 409 between susceptible hosts is hindered (a resistant "barrier" is created between adjacent susceptible 410 plants). Induced resistance (scenario (iii)) takes place when spores of an avirulent pathogen acti-411 vate a host resistance mechanism that is also effective against another pathogen (or another race 412 of the same pathogen), which is normally able to infect the host (Chin and Wolfe, 1984; Lannou 413 et al., 1995, 2005). Finally, in scenario (iv) mixing host cultivars is expected to make the pathogens 414 compete with each other for host tissue (Finckh et al., 2000; Ohtsuki and Sasaki, 2006). 415

The "reduced density" effect originally referred to the mixture of a susceptible and a resistant variety (Chin and Wolfe, 1984). Hence, it cannot lead to a disease level lower than in the pure stand of the resistant variety. Here we extended the notion of the "reduced density" effect to the case of two or more host-specialized pathogen strains or species. For example, this may correspond to host 1 being susceptible to pathogen 1, but resistant to pathogen 2 and host 2 being susceptible to pathogen 2, but resistant to pathogen 1. We find that it is only in such cases that disease level in the mixture is lower than in both pure stands.

Our model does not include the "barrier" effect, since it does not explicitly consider the spatial dependence of pathogen dispersal (see Sec.). Also, induced resistance (Chin and Wolfe, 1984; Lannou et al., 1995, 2005) was not considered. Therefore, we likely underestimate the effect of host mixtures on disease reduction. Moreover, interesting effects of adjusting other landscape variables than the cropping ratio ϕ_1 , such as the host patch size and the size of initial disease foci, were observed in recent field experiments on wheat stripe rust (Mundt et al., 2011; Estep et al., 2014). These developments stimulate the extension of the basic model for host mixtures presented here using a spatially-explicit approach. In this way, a unified mathematical framework for description of the effect of host mixtures on plant disease can be developed on the basis of the model presented here. This would allow one to better understand the relative contributions of each of these effects in disease reduction and design better host mixtures.

Acknowledgements

AM and SB gratefully acknowledge support by the ERC advanced grant PBDR 268540. AM
 would like to thank Gabriel Leventhal for helpful discussions.

437 References

- Browning, J., and K. Frey. 1969. Multiline cultivars as a means of disease control. Annual Review
 of Phytopathology 14:355–82.
- Brunner, P., F. Stefanato, and B. McDonald. 2008. Evolution of the CYP51 gene in Mycosphaerella
 graminicola : evidence for intragenic recombination and selective replacement. Molecular plant
 pathology 9:305–316.
- ⁴⁴³ Chin, K., and M. Wolfe. 1984. The spread oi Erysiphe graminis f . sp . hordei in mixtures of barley
 ⁴⁴⁴ varieties. Plant Pathology **33**:89–100.
- Cowger, C., and C. C. Mundt. 2002. Effects of Wheat Cultivar Mixtures on Epidemic Progression
 of Septoria Tritici Blotch and Pathogenicity of Mycosphaerella graminicola. Phytopathology
 92:617–23.

- Estep, L. K., K. E. Sackett, and C. C. Mundt. 2014. Influential disease foci in epidemics
 and underlying mechanisms: A field experiment and simulations. Ecological Applications in
 press:dx.doi.org/10.1890/13–1408.1.
- ⁴⁵¹ Finckh, M., E. Gacek, H. Goyeau, C. Lannou, U. Merz, C. C. Mundt, L. Munk, J. Nadziak, A. C.
- Newton, C. de Vallavieille-Pope, and M. S. Wolfe. 2000. Cereal variety and species mixtures in
- ⁴⁵³ practice, with emphasis on disease resistance. Agronomie **20**:813–837.
- ⁴⁵⁴ Finckh, M., and C. Mundt. 1992a. Plant competition and disease in genetically diverse wheat
 ⁴⁵⁵ populations. Oecologia 91:82–92.
- ⁴⁵⁶ Finckh, M., and C. Mundt. 1992b. Stripe rust, yield, and plant competition in wheat cultivar
 ⁴⁵⁷ mixtures. Phytopathology 82:905.
- Garrett, K. A., and C. C. Mundt. 1999. Epidemiology in mixed host populations. Phytopathology
 89:984–90.
- Goleniewski, G. 1996. Modelling Cultivar Mixtures Using SEIR Compartmental Models. Bio metrical Journal 38:281–297.
- ⁴⁶² Gumpert, F. M. 1989. Measuring disease progress in pure and mixed stands of plant cultivars.
 ⁴⁶³ Phytopathology **79**:968.
- Gumpert, F. M., and H. H. Geiger. 1995. Long-term strategies of disease reduction by suse of
 cultivar mixtures I. Exponential growth of epidemics. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection
 102:191–202.
- 467 Gumpert, F. M., H. H. Geiger, and S. U. 1987. A mathematical model of the epidemics in

- homogeneous and heterogeneous host stands. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection 94:206–
 215.
- ⁴⁷⁰ Huang, C., Z. Sun, H. Wang, Y. Luo, and Z. Ma. 2012. Effects of wheat cultivar mixtures on stripe
 ⁴⁷¹ rust: A meta-analysis on field trials. Crop Protection **33**:52–58.
- ⁴⁷² King, K. C., and C. M. Lively. 2012. Does genetic diversity limit disease spread in natural host
 ⁴⁷³ populations? Heredity **109**:199–203.
- Kou, Y., and S. Wang. 2010. Broad-spectrum and durability: understanding of quantitative disease
 resistance. Current opinion in plant biology 13:181–5.
- ⁴⁷⁶ Laine, A.-L. 2007. Detecting local adaptation in a natural plant-pathogen metapopulation: a
 ⁴⁷⁷ laboratory vs. field transplant approach. Journal of evolutionary biology **20**:1665–73.
- Lannou, C., P. Hubert, and C. Gimeno. 2005. Competition and interactions among stripe rust
 pathotypes in wheat-cultivar mixtures. Plant Pathology 54:699–712.
- Lannou, C., S. Soubeyrand, L. Frezal, and J. Chadoeuf. 2008. Autoinfection in wheat leaf rust
 epidemics. The New phytologist 177:1001–11.
- Lannou, C., C. Vallavieille-Pope, and H. Goyeau. 1995. Induced resistance in host mixtures and
 its effect on disease control in computer-simulated epidemics. Plant Pathology 44:478–489.
- Lehman, J. S., and G. Shaner. 1997. Selection of Populations of Puccinia recondita f. sp. tritici for
- ⁴⁸⁵ Shortened Latent Period on a Partially Resistant Wheat Cultivar. Phytopathology **87**:170–6.
- Lively, C. M. 2010. The Effect of Host Genetic Diversity on Disease Spread. The American
 naturalist 175:E149–52.

- Lo Iacono, G., F. van den Bosch, and C. a. Gilligan. 2013. Durable Resistance to Crop Pathogens:
 An Epidemiological Framework to Predict Risk under Uncertainty. PLoS computational biology
 9:e1002870.
- McDonald, B. A. 2014. Using dynamic diversity to achieve durable disease resistance in agricul tural ecosystems. Tropical Plant Pathology **39**:191–196.
- ⁴⁹³ McDonald, B. A., and C. Linde. 2002. Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and ⁴⁹⁴ durable resistance. Annual Review of Phytopathology **40**:349–79.
- ⁴⁹⁵ Mikaberidze, A., B. A. McDonald, and S. Bonhoeffer. 2014. Can high risk fungicides be used in

⁴⁹⁶ mixtures without selecting for fungicide resistance? Phytopathology **104**:324–331.

- ⁴⁹⁷ Mundt, C., C. Cowger, and K. Garrett. 2002. Relevance of integrated disease management to ⁴⁹⁸ resistance durability. Euphytica **124**:245–252.
- ⁴⁹⁹ Mundt, C., P. Hayes, and C. Schön. 1994. Influence of barley variety mixtures on severity of scald
 ⁵⁰⁰ and net blotch and on yield. Plant pathology **43**:356–361.
- ⁵⁰¹ Mundt, C., K. Sackett, and L. Wallace. 2011. Landscape heterogeneity and disease spread: exper-⁵⁰² imental approaches with a plant pathogen. Ecological Applications **21**:321–328.
- Mundt, C. C. 2002. Use of multiline cultivars and cultivar mixtures for disease management.
 Annual review of phytopathology 40:381–410.
- Mundt, C. C. 2009. Importance of autoinfection to the epidemiology of polycyclic foliar disease.
 Phytopathology **99**:1116–20.
- ⁵⁰⁷ Newton, A., G. Begg, and J. Swanston. 2009. Deployment of diversity for enhanced crop function.
- ⁵⁰⁸ Annals of Applied Biology **154**:309–322.

- Newton, A., R. Ellis, C. Hackett, and D. Guy. 1997. The effect of component number on Rhyn chosporium secalis infection and yield in mixtures of winter barley cultivars. Plant Pathology
 45:930–938.
- Newton, A., and D. Guy. 2011. Scale and spatial structure effects on the outcome of barley cultivar
 mixture trials for disease control. Field Crops Research 123:74–79.
- Ning, L. I., J. I. A. Shao-feng, X.-n. Wang, X.-y. Duan, Y.-l. Zhou, Z.-h. Wang, and G.-d. Lu. 2012.
 The Effect of Wheat Mixtures on the Powdery Mildew Disease and Some Yield Components.
 Journal of Integrative Agriculture 11:611–620.
- ⁵¹⁷ Ohtsuki, A., and A. Sasaki. 2006. Epidemiology and disease-control under gene-for-gene plant-⁵¹⁸ pathogen interaction. Journal of theoretical biology **238**:780–94.
- Papaïx, J., J. J. Burdon, C. Lannou, and P. H. Thrall. 2014. Evolution of pathogen specialisation in
 a host metapopulation: joint effects of host and pathogen dispersal. PLoS computational biology
 10:e1003633.
- Papaïx, J., H. Goyeau, P. Du Cheyron, H. Monod, and C. Lannou. 2011. Influence of cultivated
 landscape composition on variety resistance: an assessment based on wheat leaf rust epidemics.
 The New phytologist 191:1095–107.
- Parlevliet, J. 2002. Durability of resistance against fungal, bacterial and viral pathogens; present
 situation. Euphytica 124:147–156.
- ⁵²⁷ Poland, J. a., P. J. Balint-Kurti, R. J. Wisser, R. C. Pratt, and R. J. Nelson. 2009. Shades of gray:
 ⁵²⁸ the world of quantitative disease resistance. Trends in plant science 14:21–9.
- ⁵²⁹ Robert, C., M.-O. Bancal, P. Nicolas, C. Lannou, and B. Ney. 2004. Analysis and modelling of

effects of leaf rust and Septoria tritici blotch on wheat growth. Journal of experimental botany
55:1079–94.

Segarra, J., M. J. Jeger, and F. van den Bosch. 2001. Epidemic dynamics and patterns of plant
 diseases. Phytopathology 91:1001–10.

- Singh, R. P., D. P. Hodson, J. Huerta-Espino, Y. Jin, S. Bhavani, P. Njau, S. Herrera-Foessel, P. K.
- Singh, S. Singh, and V. Govindan. 2011. The emergence of Ug99 races of the stem rust fungus
 is a threat to world wheat production. Annual review of phytopathology 49:465–81.
- Smithson, J., and J. Lenne. 1996. Varietal mixtures: a viable strategy for sustainable productivity
 in subsistence agriculture. Annals of Applied Biology 128:127–158.
- Stuthman, D., K. Leonard, and J. MillerGarvin. 2007. Breeding Crops for Durable Resistance to
 Disease. Advances in Agronomy 95:319–367.
- Thrall, P. H., J. J. Burdon, and J. D. Bever. 2002. Local adaptation in the Linum marginale Melampsora lini host-pathogen interaction. Evolution; international journal of organic evolution
 56:1340–51.
- Torriani, S. F., P. C. Brunner, B. A. McDonald, and H. Sierotzki. 2009. QoI resistance emerged
 independently at least 4 times in European populations of Mycosphaerella graminicola. Pest
 Manag. Sci. 65:155–62.
- van den Bosch, F., and C. A. Gilligan. 2003. Measures of durability of resistance. Phytopathology
 93:616–25.
- ⁵⁴⁹ Walters, D. R., A. Avrova, I. J. Bingham, F. J. Burnett, J. Fountaine, N. D. Havis, S. P. Hoad,
 ⁵⁵⁰ G. Hughes, M. Looseley, S. J. P. Oxley, A. Renwick, C. F. E. Topp, and A. C. Newton. 2012.

- ⁵⁵¹ Control of foliar diseases in barley: towards an integrated approach. European Journal of Plant
 ⁵⁵² Pathology 133:33–73.
- ⁵⁵³ Wolfe, M. 1985. The current status and prospects of multiline cultivars and variety mixtures for ⁵⁵⁴ disease resistance. Annual Review of Phytopathology **23**:251–73.
- ⁵⁵⁵ Wolfe, M. S. 2000. Crop strength through diversity. Nature **20**:681–2.
- Zhan, J., and B. a. McDonald. 2013. Experimental measures of pathogen competition and relative
 fitness. Annual review of phytopathology 51:131–53.
- ⁵⁵⁸ Zhu, Y., H. Chen, J. Fan, Y. Wang, Y. Li, J. Chen, S. Yang, L. Hu, H. Leung, T. W. Mew, P. S.
- Teng, Z. Wang, and C. C. Mundt. 2000. Genetic diversity and disease control in rice. Nature
 406:718–22.

Figure captions

⁵⁶² Figure 1. Sheme of the model equations (8)-(11).

Figure 2. Scheme of the host-pathogen interaction. "+" refers to full susceptibility, "-" refers to full resistance to disease, and these signs correspond to a "pure" gene-for-gene (GFG) interaction. The transmission matrix β_{ij} , i, j = 1, 2 represents a more general description with "pure" GFG $(\beta_{11} = \beta_{22} = \beta_{21} > 0; \beta_{12} = 0)$ and full host specialization $(\beta_{11}, \beta_{22} > 0; \beta_{12} = \beta_{21} = 0)$ as limiting cases.

⁵⁶⁸ Figure 3. Disease severity at the infected equilibrium versus the number of components in ⁵⁶⁹ the host mixture plotted according to Eq. (14) in the case of no specialization (grey solid), full specialization (solid), partial specialization with the specialization index $\sigma = \beta_{nd}/\beta_d = 0.5$ (dashdotted) and $\sigma = 0.05$ (dashed). Paramer values: (a) pathogen with high transmission $\beta_d = 2$; (b) pathogen with low transmission $\beta_d = 0.5$. The rest of parameters are the same in (a) and (b): $K_{tot} = 1, \mu = 0.2, r = 0.1$. Dotted horizontal curve shows an example of a maximum disease severity, $S_{acc} = 5$ %, that is still economically acceptable. Dotted vertical lines show the optimal number of components $n_{opt2} = 3$ [panel (a)] and $n_{opt2} = 2$ [panel (b)], according to Eq. (17) taking $\Delta S = 10$ %, for the dashed curves.

Figure 4. Disease severity y^* (upper panel) and the frequency $f_2^* = I_2^*/(I_1^* + I_2^*)$ of pathogen 2 577 (lower panel) at equilibrium as functions of the proportion of host 1 in the mixture $\phi_1 = K_1/(K_1 + K_1)$ 578 K_2), according to Eqs. (A.16), (A.17). Parameter values: $\beta_{11} = 6$ (unless specified otherwise 579 below), $\beta_{22} = 8$, $K = K_1 + K_2 = 1$, r = 0.2, $\mu = 1$. The non-diagonal elements of the infection 580 matrix B determine the degree of specialization: (a) full specialization $\beta_{12} = \beta_{21} = 0$ (red dotted); 58 (b) small degree of specialization $\beta_{12} = \beta_{21} = 0.9$ (blue, solid); (c) no specialization $\beta_{12} = \beta_{11} =$ 582 6, $\beta_{21} = \beta_{22} = 8$ (black, upper); (d) "pure" gene-for-gene interaction $\beta_{11} = \beta_{21} = \beta_{22} = 8$, 583 $\beta_{12} = 0$ (yellow, upper); (e) single pathogen $\beta_{11} = \beta_{21} = 6$, $\beta_{22} = \beta_{12} = 8$ (green, dash-dotted). 584 Cases (c) and (d) correspond to the upper horizontal lines and overlap completely. 585



Figure 1

	H ₁	H ₂
P1	β_{11} +	β_{12} _
P ₂	β_{21} +	β_{22} +

Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4