

Impacts of polystyrene microplastics on Daphnia magna: a laboratory and a mesocosm study

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Al-Jaibachi, R., Laird, W. B., Stevens, F. and Callaghan, A. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2731-3352 (2020) Impacts of polystyrene microplastics on Daphnia magna: a laboratory and a mesocosm study. Science of the Total Environment, 705. 135800. ISSN 0048-9697 doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135800 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/87683/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135800

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

- Impacts of polystyrene microplastics on *Daphnia magna*: a laboratory and a mesocosm study. Rana Al-Jaibachi¹, William Bruce Laird¹, Freya Stevens¹, Amanda Callaghan¹ 1
- 2
- ¹Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, 3
- 4 Harborne Building, Whiteknights, Reading, Berkshire, RG6 6AS, United Kingdom.
- 5
- 6 **Corresponding author: e-mail, a.callaghan@reading.ac.uk*

7 Abstract

8 Most research into microplastics (MPs) in freshwaters has concentrated on measurements 9 under controlled conditions without any link to the natural environment. Here we studied the 10 effects of a 15 µm polystyrene MP on *Daphnia magna* survival, growth, and reproduction in 11 the laboratory. We also exposed fifteen 25L freshwater mesocosms to a high concentration of 12 the same MPs. Five were controls seeded with five species found in all ponds (mosquito, water 13 flea, midge, spire shell and water mite), five identical but treated with 15 µm polystyrene MPs 14 and five seeded with only mosquitoes and water fleas. The laboratory chronic toxicity test for 15 both adults and neonate Daphnia magna revealed that effects were more related to the 16 availability of food rather than the toxicity of MPs. In the mesocosms most of the MPs settled 17 in the sediment after the first week of exposure. After four weeks the D. magna population 18 decreased significantly in the MP mesocosms compared to the control mesocosms, although it 19 subsequently recovered. There was no impact on other organisms added to the mesocosms, 20 other than a difference in timing of lesser water boatman (*Corixa punctata*) colonisation, which 21 colonised the control mesocosms in week 4 and the treated 4 weeks later. The detrivorous, 22 sediment sifting, mayfly *Leptophlebia marginata* appeared in mesocosms in the fourth week of sampling and with significantly higher numbers in the MP treated mesocosm. Their activity 23 24 had no significant impact on MPs in the water column, although numbers did increase above 25 zero. The significant decline of *D. magna* suggests that their effect in a natural situation is 26 unpredictable where environmental conditions and invertebrate communities may add 27 additional stresses.

28 Introduction

29 Plastic pollution in aquatic habitats is a serious environmental issue worldwide that has 30 galvanised businesses, the general public and governments into taking action. Much of the 31 early research focussed on highly visible macroplastics in marine ecosystems with fewer than 32 4% of research papers on freshwater (Wagner and Lambert 2018). In recent years, interest 33 has shifted towards freshwater ecosystems and, in particular, the impact of microplastics (MPs) (Wagner et al. 2014; Eerkes-Medrano et al. 2015; Wagner and Lambert 2018). 34 35 Microplastics are diverse plastics, including polyethylene and polystyrene, whose fragments 36 are smaller than 5 mm in size and are produced by the degradation of larger particles or are 37 manufactured as microbeads for use in, for example, cosmetics and toiletries (Andrady, 2011; 38 Imhof et al., 2013; Eriksen et al., 2014). Whilst bans on the use of MPs in toiletries have been 39 in place for a number of years, the problems remain significant since there are many pollution 40 routes and types of MP (Rochman et al, 2019).

41

42 There is no doubt that MP pollution is widespread, with a growing body of evidence to suggest 43 that much higher MP concentrations are found in sediments compared to the water column. In 44 Lake Taihu (China) the average number of MPs found in the water body was 3.4 - 25.8 MPs L⁻¹, while 11–234.6 MPs kg⁻¹ was found in the benthic sediment (Su et al., 2016). Similarly 45 in Lake Chiusi (Italy) an average of 0.03 MPs L⁻¹ were found in the surface water whereas 234 46 MPs kg⁻¹ found in the sediment (Fischer et al., 2016). Higher levels of MPs have also been 47 48 measured in river sediments including sediment of the River Thames, found to contain up to 660 MPs kg⁻¹ (Horton et al., 2016). It is almost certain that the organisms living in these 49 50 waters are ingesting MPs. However it is premature to generalise on whether the sediment or 51 water column will have higher numbers of MPs since the data collected, as illustrated above, 52 use very different methodologies.

54 Although there are numerous studies to investigate the occurrence and abundance of MPs in 55 freshwater environments including rivers and lakes, relatively few have looked at the impact 56 on the organisms being exposed (Sighicelli et al., 2018; Wagner and Lambert, 2018). Their size results in them being easily ingested by many aquatic organisms at various trophic levels 57 58 and stages of development, including freshwater invertebrates (Cole et al., 2013; Scherer et 59 al., 2017; Al-Jaibachi et al., 2018a; Aljaibachi and Callaghan, 2018; Liu et al., 2019). 60 Microplastics can be carriers of toxic chemicals e.g. polychlorinated biphenols or plasticizers 61 added during production and bacteria that can absorb onto their surface (Talsness et al., 62 2009). Therefore the behaviour of MPs in a pristine state may be very different from those 63 released into the environment.

64

The majority of research on the uptake and effect of MPs in freshwater organisms has been 65 66 conducted in the laboratory which does not reflect the many variables found in the environment 67 (Phuong et al., 2016; de Sá et al., 2018). Laboratory studies are nearly all undertaken on individual organisms which ignores the interactions that occur in the natural environment 68 69 (Rosenkranz et al., 2009; Jemec et al., 2016). That said, these studies do give useful information 70 on the uptake and ecotoxicity of polystyrene MPs in both laboratory and natural field 71 conditions. Laboratory work on *D. magna* has shown that MPs can enter their gut system and 72 show concentration-time dependent patterns (Nasser and Lynch, 2016; Ogonowski et al., 2016; 73 Aljaibachi and Callaghan, 2018; Canniff and Hoang, 2018; Martins and Guilhermino, 2018). 74 Similar results have been found in Gammarus fossarum (Blarer and Burkhardt-Holm, 2016), 75 annelids (Lumbriculus variegatus), crustaceans (Gammarus pulex), ostracods (Notodromas 76 monacha), mosquitoes (Culex pipiens), and gastropods (Potamopyrgus antipodarum) (Imhof 77 et al. 2013; Al-Jaibachi, et al. 2018b). These studies are important since initial ingestion is more likely in lower trophic organisms which could enhance the transfer through the food chain
(Anbumani & Kakkar 2018; Al-Jaibachi, et al. 2018a).

80

81 The relationship between laboratory results and the behaviour and interaction of MPs and invertebrates in the natural environment must be determined using more natural exposure 82 83 methods. Microplastics entering a natural environment are unlikely to remain stationary but will instead be transported between environmental compartments (Lambert and Wagner, 84 85 2018). The fate and movement of MPs will depend on hydrology and vegetation (Lambert 86 and Wagner, 2018) and in lakes is likely to depend on sediment disturbance. The abundance 87 of MPs in most freshwater environments investigated highlights questions about their impact 88 on the biota biodiversity, food chain, community composition and predator-prey interactions 89 and the possibility to accumulate in the food chain or transfer ontogenically to different 90 environments (Wright et al., 2013; Al-Jaibachi et al., 2018a; Cuthbert et al., 2019).

91 Here we investigated the chronic ecotoxicological impact of PS MPs size 15 µm in laboratory 92 condition on adults and neonate *Daphnia magna* before taken it out into the field to study the 93 abundance and impact on a community of freshwater invertebrates. Daphnia magna is a 94 standard ecotoxicity model and shows a high sensitivity to toxicants (Pablos et al., 2015). They 95 are also used as models of filter feeders in the freshwater environment and have been utilised 96 to examine the uptake and depuration of MP sizes from 1 nm to 2 µm (Besseling, Wang, Lu, 97 et al. 2014; Aljaibachi and Callaghan 2018). Work has also been directed to life-history effects 98 and both the acute and chronic toxicity of MPs on D. magna (Ogonowski et al., 2016; 99 Aljaibachi and Callaghan, 2018; Martins and Guilhermino, 2018).

Freshwater mesocosms are widely recognised as supporting greater regional invertebrate diversity than most other freshwater ecosystems in the UK and across Europe and can be rapidly colonised by variety of organism (Krebs and Davies, 2009; Céréghino et al., 2010). 103 The small mesocosms chosen to implement the experiment have been studied previously and 104 have demonstrated their value in rapidly measuring the impact of environmental stressors on 105 freshwater communities in a controlled but natural environment (Céréghino et al., 2008).

106 Fluorescent 15 µm PS MPs were chosen for studies into the ecotoxicological effect on Daphnia 107 magna because of concerns regarding our ability to detect smaller MPs in the mesocosms. The 108 impact of MPs on the population size and community were examined by manipulating the 109 mesocosms so that at the start of the study had the same population size and composition. The 110 animals used were all taken from the mesocosms where they had naturally colonised. They 111 included C. pipiens and D. magna as well as predators and animals that dwell in the sediment. 112 The mesocosms were monitored for 12 weeks. We hypothesized that MPs would sink to the 113 sediment and be unavailable to animals in the water column with a consequent lack of effect 114 on population size or community composition.

115 **2.** Materials and Methods

116 2.1. Preparation of microplastics (MPs)

117 Fluorescent 15 µm green carboxylate-modified polystyrene MPs (density 1.06 g cm⁻³, excitation 470 nm; emission 505 nm, Sigma-Aldrich, UK) were used in all 118 119 experiments. Microplastics were stored as a stock suspension (1%) and mixed as per Aljaibachi 120 et al. (2018a). The number of PS particles from the stock solution were counted under the epi-121 fluorescent microscope at 10x magnification (Carl Zeiss Axioskop, Wetzlar, Germany). Each one milliliter of stock solution contained 5 x 10⁶, MPs mL⁻¹. 2.2. *Daphnia* cultures 122 123 Daphnia magna were obtained from the Water Research Centre (WRC, Medmenham, UK) 124 and cultured at the University of Reading for more than ten years prior to this experiment. 125 Full details of culturing methods are given in (Hooper et al., 2006). Daphnia were maintained 126 in Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) reconstituted water 127 (media) and fed yeast and C. vulgaris var Viridis following the methods of (Hayashi et al., 128 2008). New cultures of Daphnia were prepared with 15 neonates in 1,200 ml beakers filled 129 with OECD media (the progeny of these neonates are the first brood). Juveniles were 130 removed regularly from the culture and the media was changed once a week. The third brood 131 produced by the original 15 neonates were used for experiments.

132 **2.2** Uptake of microplastics with and without algae

133

Individual 18 day old *D. magna* were placed in 50 ml beakers filled with media and starved for 24 h prior to exposure. In a random design, animals were exposed to one of 4 concentrations of MP (2, 4, 8 and 16 x 10^5 ml⁻¹) with varying amounts of algae (Table 1) for 60 min. Each treatment was replicated three times. Animals were rinsed in distilled water to remove any MPs adhering to the outside and frozen at -20°C. Individual animals were homogenized using a glass Kontes Pellet Pestle (Fisher Sciences Loughborough, UK), in 500 µl distilled water in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. A further 500 μl distilled water was pipetted over to rinse the pestle. The homogenate was mixed using a whirlimixer and 500 μl removed and placed onto a nucleopore track-etched membrane (Whatman, UK) 10 μm with a white background. A manual air pump was used to filter the homogenate. The membrane was examined under an epi-fluorescent microscope (Zeiss Axioskop) at a magnification of 10x to count the MPs.

145 2.3 Adult Chronic Toxicity Tests

Third brood *D. magna* adults (18 days old) were placed individually into glass beakers filled with 50 mL of OECD reconstituted water (media) and exposed to one of six treatments ranging from only algae or only MPs and combinations of the two (Table 1), each with five replicates. Media and concentrations of MPs were renewed three times per week. In all treatments, life history characteristics (survival and reproduction) were monitored for 21 days. Neonates were counted daily and removed. Animals unable to swim after gentle stirring for 15 s were counted as dead. The experiment was run at 20 ± 2 °C, light:dark 16:8 h.

153 2.4. Neonate Chronic Toxicity Test

A standard chronic toxicity test was conducted with reference to OECD guideline 211, with the exception that five individuals were used (OECD, 2012). Five individuals from third-brood neonates (< 24 h) were placed in 50 mL glass beakers and exposed to MPs and/or green algae *Chlorella vulgaris* (Table 2). Media and concentrations were renewed three times a week and life history characteristics (survival, reproduction and growth) were monitored daily for 21 days. Body length (from the top of the head to the base of the tail spine) was measured every other day under a stereomicroscope. The experiment was run at 20 ± 2 °C, light : dark 16:8 h.

161 2.4. Study site and mesocosms

Thirty two mesocosms had previously been dug in the experimental grounds at the University
of Reading, Berkshire, England (51°26'12.2"N, 0°56'31.2"W) in 2012. The mesocosms were

laid out in a Latin square, with three metre intervals in three rows of eight mesocosms. Each
mesocosm was a sunked bucket of diameter 48 cm depth 30 cm lined with a rubber pond liner.
Fifteen of these mesocosms were randomly selected for use in this study.

167 The mesocosms had been naturally colonised by macroinvertebrates over the previous five 168 years. These were all removed including the sediments by passing the mesocosm water through 169 a sieve (dimensions 6 x 12 cm; 250 μ m pore size) and placing contents onto a white plastic 170 sampling tray (25 x 35 x 5 cm) with some water.

171 2.4.1 Preparation and sampling of the mesocosms community

172 Ten of the 15 mesocosms were randomly selected for this experiment. Previous analysis of 173 abundances during a pilot state determined that five species could be reintroduced in the same 174 numbers into each mesocosm, in numbers that reflected the natural populations at the time 175 (species and numbers in Table 2). Each mesocosm was filled with 25L of rain water then the 176 level marked to allow refilling each week to maintain the water level. Five randomly selected mesocosms were left untreated as a control. Another five were treated with 500 µl of the 177 original washed MP stock (5,000,000 MPs mL⁻¹) as a final concentration of 100 MPs mL⁻¹. 178 179 The mesocosms were then left for one week to allow for any disturbance and stress to 180 organisms caused by setting up the experiment.

181

Weekly sampling then followed using a standardised technique; using a mesocosm net of approx. 60mm x 120mm, with a small enough mesh size to collect both the zooplankton such as *D. magna* and other invertebrates such as mosquito larvae (*Culex* spp.). The net was swept through the water using a figure of 8 motion four times 10-15 cm below the surface of the water. Samples were then placed in a 1 litre plastic bottle and removed to identify 187 macroinvertebrates in the laboratory using a stereo microscope and number of keys (Croft, 1986; Greenhalgh and Ovenden, 2007; Dobson et al, 2013). Identified organisms were counted 188 and then returned to the mesocosm from which they came. All members of each species were 189 190 individually counted, except for *D. magna*, numbers of which were estimated by counting the 191 number of individuals in 1mL, and then multiplying this by the number of mL in the sample due to the very high numbers of individuals. This process was repeated once per week over 12 192 weeks, with the initial set up on and addition of the MPs on the 12th June 2017, first data 193 collection on the 19th June 2017, and the final samples taken on the 29th August 2017. Samples 194 195 were taken between 10am and 12pm weekly.

196 2.4.2 Distribution of microplastics in mesocosms

Five of the 15 mesocosms not used in section 2.4.1 were treated as before but to each was added 2 kg of soil from the area around the mesocosms along with 25 L of rain water. These were set up to specifically measure the distribution of the MPs in the pond over time, not the animals. Nevertheless approximately equal numbers of *D. magna* and *Culex* larvae were added to the mesocosms since they were the dominant organisms in the mesocosms. The mesocosms were then treated with 500 μ l of stock MP solution as detailed in section 2.4.1. The mesocosms were re-filled with rain water to 25 L weekly after samples were taken.

204

The mesocosms were left undisturbed for a week, then samples were taken weekly from the 206 22^{nd} June 2017 until the 10th August 2017. Five 1mL water samples were taken from each of 207 two depths (5cm under water surface and 5cm above sediment), using a 1 mL and then water 208 samples were mixed together before being filtered onto a nucleopore track-etched membrane 209 (Whatman, Kent, UK) <10 µm, by using a glass vacuum filter holder connected to a manual 210 air pump. Approximately 5g of sediment was collected using a spatula and stored in a 5 mL plastic tube.
Half of this sediment was spread directly onto a glass microscope slide to count the MPs under
an epi-fluorescent microscope.

214 2.5. Statistical analysis

Generalized linear model (GLM) and *post hoc* Tukey's comparisons of laboratory life history data were undertaken using SPSS 21 (SPSS, 2012),. Growth rate data were analysed using UNIANOVA (mixed model), followed by post-hoc pairwise comparisons (growth rate × treatments × time).

Probit analysis was conducted for the chronic toxicity tests (mortality rate for adults and
neonates) and response curves for different concentrations were produced as a scatter plot using
(Minitab V. 17).

The abundance of MPs in the mesocosms were analysed using (GLM). Analysis assumed a quasi-Poisson error distribution as counts were found to be over dispersed compared to degrees of freedom.

The weekly abundance of invertebrate groups was analysed in R v3.4.2 (R Development Core
Team, 2017). Generalized Linear Model (GLM) was used assuming a quasi-Poisson error
distribution since they were not normally distributed, as assessed by Shapiro-Wilk's test (p <
0.05).

Rainfall and air temperature data were obtained from the University of Reading Atmospheric
Observatory and analysed by correlation analysis package in R v3.4.2 against MPs number in
the mesocosm water column.

232 **3. Results**

- 233 3.1. Uptake of increasing concentrations of MPs
- 234 Ingestion of MPs by *D. magna* without algae increased significantly as MP concentration
- increased (F $_{3,32}$ =14.12, p < 0.001, Fig S1). The same was true wherever MPs> algae (F
- $_{3,32}=29.20, p < 0.001$). When *D. magna* were exposed to equal amounts of MP and algae
- 237 (MP=algae), there was no increase in ingestion with increasing concentration (F _{3,32}=0.415,
- 238 *p*=0.743). The mean number of MPs ingested by *D. magna* exposed to algae>MPs
- significantly decreased as algal concentration increased (F $_{3,32}$ =148.63, p < 0.001).
- 240
- 241 3.2 Adult Chronic toxicity test
- Low availability of algae significantly increased adult mortality ($X^2(5, n=30) = 17.4, p=0.004$) (Fig. 1). The presence of MPs had no impact, positively or negatively on survival, either with
- low (U=10, p=0.317) or high algal concentrations (U=10, p=0.513).
- 245 3.3. Reproduction Test
- A 21-day reproduction test of adult *D. magna* revealed significant differences in the mean
- number of offspring between treatments ($X^2(5, n=30) = 216.1, p=0.001$) (Fig. 2). This was because treatments with low food were associated with low numbers of offspring (S1 Table
- 249 1).
- 250 *3.4. Neonate chronic toxicity test*
- Mortality tests were significantly different between treatments exposed to low and high algae concentrations, irrespective of the presence of MPs ($X^2(5, n=30) = 17.79, p = 0.003$) (Fig. 3).
- 254 3.5. Reproduction test following neonate exposure to MPs
- A 21-day reproduction test of adult *D. magna* revealed significant differences in the mean number of offspring between treatments ($X^2(5, n=30) = 1032, p>0.001$, Fig. 4). This was

because low amounts of algae were associated with a reduction in reproduction: MPs had noimpact (S1 Table 2).

259

260 *3.6 Growth Rate*

There were highly significant differences in growth rate between the treatments (F $_{45,283}$ =3.455, p < 0.001) (Fig. 5). Growth rate was higher in treatments with high levels of algal food (S1 Table 3).

264 *3.7* Distribution of microplastics between the water and sediment in mesocosms

Significantly more MPs were measured in sediment compared to water over time ($F_{(2,68)}=59.4$, p <0.001) (Fig. 6). The number of MPs in the water body remained constant over time, with no evidence of a change in number ($F_{(1,33)}=0.33$, p=0.567) (Fig. 6). The abundance of MPs in the water column showed no correlation with increase in air temperature, correlation = - 0.06 ; $F_{(1,5)}=0.018$, p=0.898 and a non-significant negative with rainfall correlation of = - 0.70; $F_{(1,5)}=0.1361$, p=0.727.

271

272 3.8.Effects of microplastics on species abundance

273 *Daphnia magna* numbers fluctuated between weeks but overall there were no significant 274 differences between controls and mesocosms exposed to MPs at the end of 12 weeks (Fig. 7) (275 Z=0.918, p=0.36). However, on a week by week basis, there were some highly significant 276 differences, with lower *D. magna* numbers in the MP treated mesocosms in the first half of the 277 experiment (SI Table 4).

- 279 the 12 weeks (*C. pipiens* Z=-1.055, p=0.29; *P. antipodarum* Z= 1.596; P = 0.110;
- 280 *Hydrachnidia* Z=0.005; P=0.996; *C. plumbeus* Z=-1.168, P=0.24). Abundances of

²⁷⁸ Similarly overall abundances of other macroinvertebrates showed no effect of treatment over

281 macroinvertebrates that had independently colonised the mesocosms suggested an impact on only one species, the mayfly *Leptophlebia* spp. which started to appear from the fourth week 282 of sampling and was significantly dominant in mesocosms treated with MPs $X^{2}(1) = 5.62$, p 283 284 =0.018 (Fig. 8 A). Corixa punctata (Lesser water boatman), which also appeared in the fourth week of sampling in the control mesocosm was not affected by MP treatment $X^{2}(1) =$ 285 286 0.683, p = 0.40) (Fig. 8 B). Despite the lack of overall significant differences, there were 287 clearly significant differences between treatments in various mesocosms in certain weeks 288 (Tables SI 5-7).

289 **4. Discussion**

Microplastic pollution in freshwater environments is a global challenge to ecosystem and human health, and the long-term effect are still poorly understood (Horton et al., 2017; Rochman et al., 2019). Most studies have focused on laboratory experiments to examine the uptake and toxicity of MPs in freshwater invertebrates with limited results from fields studies (Wagner and Lambert, 2018). Here, for the first time we examine the abundance and chronic ecotoxicological effects of 15 µm polystyrene MPs on freshwater organisms in the laboratory and in small mesocosms.

Laboratory chronic toxicity tests with *D. magna* adults and neonates exposed to two 15 μm
polystyrene MP concentrations (100 and 800 MPs/mL) revealed that mortality was linked to
algal food availability, not exposure to MPs, despite MP ingestion. This suggests some
selectivity in eating algae over MPs, something that has been demonstrated previously. *Daphnia* exposed to primary MPs or kaolin, with low and high food concentrations, revealed
life history trait changes solely linked to food concentration, not MPs (Ogonowski et al., 2016).

303 Our previous research using 2 µm polystyrene MPs was designed to look specifically at the 304 impact of food, using MPs of approximately the same size of the algal cell with algal 305 concentrations chosen based on the minimum and maximum normal daily feeding of Daphnia 306 (Aljaibachi and Callaghan, 2018). When exposed to a single concentration of 2 µm MPs 307 Daphnia almost immediately ate them in large quantities in proportion to their concentration, a finding replicating that of Pavlaki et al., (2014). However we found that *Daphnia* given algae 308 309 with MPs were quite selective, preferentially eating algae over 2 µm MPs (Aljaibachi and 310 Callaghan, 2018), a result found elsewhere with *Daphnia* selectively feeding on phytoplankton 311 rather than clay particles (DeMott, 1986).

A number of studies have shown that MPs fed to laboratory organisms have practically no impact in the confines of the systems used (Schür et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). Reproduction tests for adults and neonates showed a similar effect in that food availability had an impact, but MPs did not. A similar result was found with *Daphnia* exposed to primary MPs or kaolin, with low and high food concentrations, where *Daphnia* life history trait differences were linked to food concentration, not MPs (Ogonowski et al., 2016).

Given that the MPs used were pristine and had not been in contact with any toxins which might adhere to their surface, we can say with confidence that, in themselves, these particles have no important effect on laboratory *Daphnia*. It could simply be the case that they are too large to be ingested by neonates, but the experiments here took neonates through to adults, with no effect and animals definitely ingested MPs.

323 Studies on different types of MPs and smaller sizes of MP have found toxic effects. Deposit-324 feeding marine lugworms, *Arenicola marinara*, fed on plasticised polyvinylchloride under 325 laboratory conditions at concentrations found in the environment suffered depleted energy 326 reserves which were probably linked to a reduction in feeding and an inflammatory response 327 (Wright et al., 2012). Likewise, marine mussels *Mytilus edulis* fed factory clean high-density 328 polyethylene up to 80 μ m in size displayed toxic effects including a strong inflammatory 329 response and was related to cellular uptake (von Moos et al 2012). One explanation for the lack 330 of effect in our research is that the polystyrene is less toxic than other MPs (Wright et al., 2012; 331 von Moos et al., 2012). A study on 20 µm polystyrene MPs in the marine copepod Calanus 332 hegolandicus also found no effect on egg production or survival (Cole et al 2015). Looking at these and other studies, a theme has emerged in that different plastics and MP sizes are being 333 334 used in different studies, generating conflicting results. MPs cannot be treated as though they 335 are one type of stressor and conclusions based on simple experiments and approaches are 336 probably not informative. There is an argument for a systematic analysis of MP size, type, 337 concentration, test organism and exposure method (de Sa et al 2018). . We would also argue 338 that studies of effects should not be confined to laboratory systems. Laboratory experiments 339 have little relation to natural systems where external factors can play a role in the disturbance 340 and abundance of MPs, including the presence of competitors, predators and temperature and 341 rainfall.

The mesocosm experiment was conducted during a year with an extremely hot and dry summer and evaporation of water from the mesocosms was an issue; water had to be added to maintain the volume. This was an issue in both control and treated mesocoms and there was no evidence that this mixed the MPs up into the water column. Temperature and rainfall were also not significantly correlated with MP numbers in the water column. After the second week of the experiment MPs fell to the bottom of the mesocosms, leaving almost none in the water column. This has also been shown in larger, more natural systems such as lakes (Su et al., 2016).

349 *Daphnia magna* population numbers were lower in mesocosms exposed to MPs compared to350 the controls for the first seven weeks of exposure. Although MPs fell to the sediment after two

weeks, *Daphnia* would have been exposed to high levels of MPs initially. Any negative impact would be evident in the first few weeks but disappear in a new generation (after 21 days) as the MPs effectively disappeared. This is in line with transgenerational research where *D. magna* exposed to pristine microspheres (mixed sizes of $1-5 \mu m$) recovered if they were placed into clean water, although they suffered effects on mortality, reproduction and the population growth rate up to third generations post exposure (Martins and Guilhermino, 2018).

The population abundance of other species in the mesocosms was variable. The mosquito *C. pipiens* fluctuated in number over 12 weeks in both control and treated mesocosms but it is well known that mosquito populations are very variable and seasonal (Ortiz-Perea et al., 2018; Townroe and Callaghan, 2015). *Culex pipiens* was not significantly affected by the presence of MPs which agrees with research on *Culex* mosquitoes showing that 15 MPs had no effect on mortality or growth rate (Al-Jaibachi et al, 2018).

363

364 Although not added at the start of the experiment, the mayfly *Leptophlebia* spp rapidly 365 colonised the mesocosms with significantly more in MP-treated mesocosms. Since 366 Leptophlebia species are detritivores (Sweeney et al, 1986), there would have been more food 367 availability in treated ponds from D. magna deaths in the first 6 weeks. This may have resulted 368 in more individuals surviving and being collected during sampling. A second species to 369 colonise ponds was the lesser water boatman, Corixa punctata. This is a potential competitor 370 for food since it has a diet of algal cells but there was no significant difference in numbers 371 between mesocosm treatments.

372

The abundance of MPs in most freshwater environments investigated highlights questions about their impact on the biota biodiversity, food chain, community composition and predatorprey interactions and the possibility to accumulate in the food chain or transfer ontogenically 376 to different environments. Trophic transfer via predation has been identified as a potentially 377 major pathway through which MPs can move through food webs (Batel et al., 2016; Chae and 378 An, 2017; Nelms et al., 2018; Provencher et al., 2018), however quantifications of how 379 exposure to MP pollution influences trophic interaction strengths are lacking, especially in 380 highly vulnerable, understudied freshwater environments (Blettler et al., 2018). aibachi, et al. 381 2018a; Al-Jaibachi, et al. 2018b; Rillig 2012; Wright et al. 2013) we demonstrate that MPs can be transferred and retained trophically from filter feeding organisms to higher predators, and 382 383 that trophic transference relates to consumption rates. Predation by larval C. flavicans towards 384 larval mosquito prey was significant irrespective of prior prey exposure to MPs. Neither search 385 efficiency (attack rate) nor time taken to subdue, capture and digest prey (handling time) was 386 significantly affected by prey MP exposure. Whilst both the area of attack rate and handling 387 time parameters have been shown to be heavily context-dependent e.g. (Barrios-O'Neill et al., 388 2016; Cuthbert et al., 2018b), here we show that the presence of MP pollution does not elicit 389 changes to predation rates. Therefore, MPs are likely to be readily transferred to predators from 390 prey in MP-polluted systems.

391

392 To conclude, this research addresses a key knowledge gap, namely that little is known about 393 the ecological impacts of MPs in the freshwater natural environment. Most research to date has 394 focused on laboratory studies which don't take biotic and abiotic environmental changes into 395 account. Daphnia numbers were significantly reduced in MP treated mesocosms despite no 396 effect on other organisms, other than an increase in *de novo* colonisation, and no effect on 397 Daphnia life history parameters in the laboratory. The effects of 15 µm polystyrene MPs on 398 Daphnia magna survival, growth, and reproduction in the laboratory were similar to a parallel 399 study of ours using 2 µm polystyrene MPs. This showed that the availability of algal food was far more important than any toxic impact of the MPs. This demonstrates that laboratory studies 400

401 can indicate effects only under the conditions set. Most of the MPs had settled in the mesocosm
402 sediment after the first week of exposure which was not possible in the laboratory since no
403 sediment was used. The study highlights a need to look at the availability of both food and
404 MPs in natural environments where a community of organisms are interacting.

405

406 Figure legends

407

- Figure 1 Mortality of *Daphnia magna* for 21 days, expressed as a function of time, after chronic
 MP exposure in the laboratory under high and low food conditions.
- 410 Figure 2 Effects of combinations of high and low MPs and algae concentrations on the mean
- 411 number of offspring of *Daphnia magna*. Error bars indicate \pm 95% confidence intervals.
- 412 Results obtained under laboratory conditions.
- Figure 3 Mortality rate over 21 days for neonate *Daphnia magna* after exposure to different
 treatments of MPs and algae, under laboratory conditions.
- 415 Figure 4 Daphnia magna reproduction (neonate production) after 21 days exposure to a range
- 416 of MP and algae treatments (algae (Low), algae (High), Algae = MP (Low), Algae = MP(High),
- 417 Algae>MP, MP>Algae). Error bars indicate ± 95% confidence. Results obtained under
 418 laboratory conditions.
- 419 Figure 5 Effect of 21 days exposure to different combinations of MPs and algae (Algae (Low),
- 420 Algae (High), Algae = MP(Low), Algae = MP(High), Algae > MP, MP > Algae) on the body
- 421 length of *Daphnia magna*. Each point represents the mean of five replicates ± standard error
- 422 (SE). Results obtained under laboratory conditions.
- Figure 6 The mean number of MPs in the mesocosm sediment and water body \pm SE. In the mesocosms.
- 425 Figure 7 Mean abundance of *Daphnia magna* in the mesocosms over the experimental period
- 426 in relation to treatments. The error bars indicate the standard error (\pm SE) of the mean.

- 427 Figure 8 The mean abundance of (A) Leptophlebia spp. (mayfly larvae) and (B) Corixa
- 428 *punctate* (lesser water boatman) in the mesocosms over the experimental period in relation to
- 429 treatments. The error bars indicate the standard error \pm SE of the mean.

430	Ethics
431	Ethics committee approval was not required.
432	Data accessibility
433	Data files are available in online supplementary material.
434	Author contribution
435	All authors provided substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of
436	data, or analysis and interpretation of data; were involved in drafting the article or revising it
437	critically for important intellectual content; approved the final version to be published; and
438	agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the
439	accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.
440	Competing interests
441	We declare we have no competing interests.
442	Funding
443	This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
444	commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
445	
446	
447	5. References
448	Al-Jaibachi, R., Cuthbert, R.N., Callaghan, A., 2018a. Examining effects of ontogenic
449	microplastic transference on <i>Culex</i> mosquito mortality and adult weight. Sci. Total
450	Environ. 651, 871-876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.236
451	Al-Jaibachi, R., Cuthbert, R.N., Callaghan, A., 2018b. Up and away: ontogenic transference
452	as a pathway for aerial dispersal of microplastics. Biol. Lett. 14.
453	Aljaibachi, R., Callaghan, A., 2018. Impact of polystyrene microplastics on Daphnia magna
454	mortality and reproduction in relation to food availability. PeerJ 6, e4601.

- 455 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4601
- 456 Anbumani, S., Kakkar, P., 2018. Ecotoxicological effects of microplastics on biota: a review.
- 457 Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 14373–14396. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1999-x
- 458 Andrady, A.L., 2011. Microplastics in the marine environment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 62, 1596–
- 459 605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.05.030
- 460 Besseling, E., Wang, B., Lu, M., Koelmans, A.A., 2014a. Nanoplastic A ff ects Growth of S.
- 461 obliquus and Reproduction of D. magna. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 12336–12343.
- 462 Besseling, E., Wang, B., Lürling, M., Koelmans, A. a, 2014b. Nanoplastic Affects Growth of
- 463 S. obliquus and Reproduction of D. magna. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 12336–12343.
- 464 https://doi.org/10.1021/es503001d
- 465 Blarer, P., Burkhardt-Holm, P., 2016. Microplastics affect assimilation efficiency in the
- 466 freshwater amphipod Gammarus fossarum. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 23522–23532.
 467 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7584-2
- 468 Canniff, P.M., Hoang, T.C., 2018. Microplastic ingestion by Daphnia magna and its
- 469 enhancement on algal growth. Sci. Total Environ. 633, 500–507.
- 470 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.176
- 471 Céréghino, R., Biggs, J., Oertli, B., Declerck, S., 2008. The ecology of European ponds:
- 472 Defining the characteristics of a neglected freshwater habitat. Hydrobiologia 597, 1–6.
- 473 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-9225-8
- 474 Céréghino, R, Ruggiero, A., Marty, P., Angélibert, S., 2010. Biodiversity and distribution
- 475 patterns of freshwater invertebrates in farm ponds of a south-western French agricultural
- 476 landscape, in: Oertli, B., Céréghino, Régis, Biggs, J., Declerck, S., Hull, A., Miracle,
- 477 M.R. (Eds.), Pond Conservation in Europe. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 43–51.
- 478 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9088-1_5
- 479 Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Fileman, E., Halsband, C., Goodhead, R., Moger, J., Galloway, T.S.,

- 480 2013. Microplastic ingestion by zooplankton. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 6646–6655.
- 481 https://doi.org/10.1021/es400663f
- 482 Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsband, C., Galloway, T.S., 2011. Microplastics as contaminants in
- 483 the marine environment: a review. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 62, 2588–2597.
- 484 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.09.025
- 485 Cuthbert, R.N., Al-Jaibachi, R., Dalu, T., Dick, J.T.A., Callaghan, A., 2019. The influence of
- 486 microplastics on trophic interaction strengths and oviposition preferences of dipterans.
- 487 Sci. Total Environ. 651, 2420–2423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.108
- 488 de Sá, L.C., Oliveira, M., Ribeiro, F., Rocha, T.L., Futter, M.N., 2018. Studies of the effects
- 489 of microplastics on aquatic organisms: What do we know and where should we focus
- 490 our efforts in the future? Sci. Total Environ. 645, 1029–1039.
- 491 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.207
- 492 Eerkes-Medrano, D., Thompson, R.C., Aldridge, D.C., 2015. Microplastics in freshwater
- 493 systems: A review of the emerging threats, identification of knowledge gaps and
- 494 prioritisation of research needs. Water Res. 75, 63–82.
- 495 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.02.012
- 496 Eriksen, M., Lebreton, L.C.M., Carson, H.S., Thiel, M., Moore, C.J., Borerro, J.C., Galgani,
- 497 F., Ryan, P.G., Reisser, J., 2014. Plastic Pollution in the World's Oceans: More than 5
- 498 Trillion Plastic Pieces Weighing over 250,000 Tons Afloat at Sea. PLoS One 9,
- 499 e111913. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111913
- 500 Fischer, E.K., Paglialonga, L., Czech, E., Tamminga, M., 2016. Microplastic pollution in
- 501 lakes and lake shoreline sediments A case study on Lake Bolsena and Lake Chiusi
- 502 (central Italy). Environ. Pollut. 213, 648–657.
- 503 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.012
- 504 Hartmann, N.B., Hüffer, T., Thompson, R.C., Hassellöv, M., Verschoor, A., Daugaard, A.E.,

505	Rist, S., Karlsson, T., Brennholt, N., Cole, M., Herrling, M.P., Hess, M.C., Ivleva, N.P.,
506	Lusher, A.L., Wagner, M., 2019. Are We Speaking the Same Language?
507	Recommendations for a Definition and Categorization Framework for Plastic Debris.
508	Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 1039–1047. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b05297
509	Horton, A.A., Svendsen, C., Williams, R.J., Spurgeon, D.J., Lahive, E., 2016. Large
510	microplastic particles in sediments of tributaries of the River Thames, UK – Abundance,
511	sources and methods for effective quantification. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 114, 218–226.
512	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.004
513	Horton, A.A., Walton, A., Spurgeon, D.J., Lahive, E., Svendsen, C., 2017. Microplastics in
514	freshwater and terrestrial environments: Evaluating the current understanding to identify
515	the knowledge gaps and future research priorities. Sci. Total Environ. 586, 127–141.
516	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.190
517	Imhof, H.K., Ivleva, N.P., Schmid, J., Niessner, R., Laforsch, C., 2013. Contamination of
518	beach sediments of a subalpine lake with microplastic particles. Curr. Biol. 23, R867-8.
519	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.09.001
520	Jemec, A., Horvat, P., Kunej, U., Bele, M., Kržan, A., 2016. Uptake and effects of
521	microplastic textile fibers on freshwater crustacean Daphnia magna. Environ. Pollut.
522	219, 201-209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.10.037
523	Krebs, J.R., Davies, N.B., 2009. Behavioural ecology: an evolutionary approach. John Wiley
524	& Sons.
525	Lambert, S., Wagner, M., 2018. Microplastics Are Contaminants of Emerging Concern in
526	Freshwater Environments: An Overview Scott, in: Lambert, S., Wagner, M. (Eds.),
527	Freshwater Microplastics. Springer, Cham, pp. 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-
528	61615-5
529	Liu, Z., Yu, P., Cai, M., Wu, D., Zhang, M., Huang, Y., 2019. Polystyrene nanoplastic

- 530 exposure induces immobilization, reproduction, and stress defense in the freshwater
- 531 cladoceran Daphnia pulex. Chemosphere 215, 74–81.
- 532 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.09.176
- 533 Martins, A., Guilhermino, L., 2018. Transgenerational effects and recovery of microplastics
- 534 exposure in model populations of the freshwater cladoceran Daphnia magna Straus. Sci.
- 535 Total Environ. 631–632, 421–428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.054
- 536 Nasser, F., Lynch, I., 2016. Secreted protein eco-corona mediates uptake and impacts of
- 537 polystyrene nanoparticles on Daphnia magna. J. Proteomics 137, 45–51.
- 538 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2015.09.005
- 539 OECD, 2012. Test No. 211: Daphnia magna Reproduction Test. OECD Guidel. Test. Chem. -
- 540 Eff. Biot. Syst. Section 2, 23 p. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264070127-en
- 541 Ogonowski, M., Schür, C., Jarsén, Å., Gorokhova, E., 2016. The effects of natural and
- 542 anthropogenic microparticles on individual fitness in daphnia magna. PLoS One 11, 1–
- 543 20. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155063
- 544 Ortiz-Perea, N., Gander, R., Abbey, O., Callaghan, A., 2018. The effect of pond dyes on
- 545 oviposition and survival in wild UK Culex mosquitoes. PLoS One 13, 1–15.
- 546 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193847
- 547 Pablos, M.V., García-Hortigüela, P., Fernández, C., 2015. Acute and chronic toxicity of
- 548 emerging contaminants, alone or in combination, in Chlorella vulgaris and Daphnia
- 549 magna. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22, 5417–5424. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-
- 550 4119-1
- 551 Phuong, N.N., Zalouk-Vergnoux, A., Poirier, L., Kamari, A., Châtel, A., Mouneyrac, C.,
- 552 Lagarde, F., 2016. Is there any consistency between the microplastics found in the field
- and those used in laboratory experiments? Environ. Pollut. 211, 111–123.
- 554 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.12.035

- 555 Rist, S., Baun, A., Hartmann, N.B., 2017. Ingestion of micro- and nanoplastics in Daphnia
- 556 magna Quantification of body burdens and assessment of feeding rates and
- reproduction. Environ. Pollut. 228, 398–407.
- 558 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.05.048
- 559 Rochman, C.M., Brookson, C., Bikker, J., Djuric, N., Earn, A., Bucci, K., Athey, S.,
- 560 Huntington, A., McIlwraith, H., Munno, K., De Frond, H., Kolomijeca, A., Erdle, L.,
- 561 Grbic, J., Bayoumi, M., Borrelle, S.B., Wu, T., Santoro, S., Werbowski, L.M., Zhu, X.,
- 562 Giles, R.K., Hamilton, B.M., Thaysen, C., Kaura, A., Klasios, N., Ead, L., Kim, J.,
- 563 Sherlock, C., Ho, A., Hung, C., 2019. Rethinking microplastics as a diverse contaminant
- 564 suite. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 38, 703–711. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4371
- 565 Rosenkranz, P.H.R., Chaudhry, Q.A.C., Stone, V.I.S., Ernandes, T.E.F.F., 2009. A
- 566 Comparision of Nanoparticle and Fine Particle Uptake by Daphnia Magna. Environ.
- 567 Toxicol. Chem. 28, 2142–2149.
- 568 Scherer, C., Brennholt, N., Reifferscheid, G., Wagner, M., 2017. Feeding type and
- 569 development drive the ingestion of microplastics by freshwater invertebrates. Sci. Rep.
- 570 7, 17006. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17191-7
- 571 Schür, C., Rist, S., Baun, A., Mayer, P., Hartmann, N.B., Wagner, M., 2019. When
- 572 Fluorescence is not a particle: The tissue translocation of microplastics in Daphnia
- 573 magna seems an artIfact. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. etc.4436.
- 574 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4436
- 575 Sighicelli, M., Pietrelli, L., Lecce, F., Iannilli, V., Falconieri, M., Coscia, L., Di Vito, S.,
- 576 Nuglio, S., Zampetti, G., 2018. Microplastic pollution in the surface waters of Italian
- 577 Subalpine Lakes. Environ. Pollut. 236, 645–651.
- 578 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.02.008
- 579 Smilauer, P., Lepš, J., 2014. Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data using CANOCO 5.

- 580 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139627061
- 581 SPSS, 2012. No Title SPSS Statistics for Windows.
- 582 Su, L., Xue, Y., Li, L., Yang, D., Kolandhasamy, P., Li, D., Shi, H., 2016. Microplastics in
- 583 Taihu Lake, China. Environ. Pollut. 216, 711–719.
- 584 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.06.036
- 585 Townroe, S., Callaghan, A., 2015. Morphological and fecundity traits of Culex mosquitoes
- 586 caught in gravid traps in urban and rural Berkshire, UK. Bull. Entomol. Res. 105, 615–
- 587 620. https://doi.org/10.1017/S000748531500053X
- 588 Wagner, M., Lambert, S., 2018. Freshwater Microplastics, The Handbook of Environmental
- 589 Chemistry. Springer International Publishing, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319590 61615-5
- 591 Wagner, M., Scherer, C., Alvarez-Muñoz, D., Brennholt, N., Bourrain, X., Buchinger, S.,
- 592 Fries, E., Grosbois, C., Klasmeier, J., Marti, T., Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Urbatzka, R.,
- 593 Vethaak, A., Winther-Nielsen, M., Reifferscheid, G., 2014. Microplastics in freshwater
- 594 ecosystems: what we know and what we need to know. Environ. Sci. Eur. 26, 12.
- 595 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-014-0012-7
- 596 Wang, W., Gao, H., Jin, S., Li, R., Na, G., 2019. The ecotoxicological effects of
- 597 microplastics on aquatic food web, from primary producer to human: A review.
- 598 Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 173, 110–117.
- 599 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2019.01.113
- 600 Wright, S.L., Thompson, R.C., Galloway, T.S., 2013. The physical impacts of microplastics
- 601 on marine organisms: a review. Environ. Pollut. 178, 483–92.
- 602 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.031
- 603
- 604

$605 \qquad \text{Table 1 Concentrations of MPs (MPs ml^{-1}) and algae (\mu l) added to each treatment to study}$

606 chronic toxicity in *D. magna*.

Treatments	Algae concentrations	Microplastics
	(µl)	concentrations
		(MPs ml ⁻¹)
Algae (Low)	100	0
Algae (High)	800	0
Algae=MPs (Low)	100	100
Algae=MPs (High)	800	800
Algae>MPs	800	100
MPs>Algae	100	800

607

608

609 **Table 2 Classification and number of the species added to each mesocosms.**

Species	Habitat and feeding	Classification	Number in each
			pond
Daphnia magna	Water column	Class: Branchiopoda	1000
	Filter feeder	Order: Cladocera	
		Family: Daphniidae	
Culex pipiens	Water column and	Class: Insecta	15
	surface	Order: Diptera	
	Filter feeder	Family: Culicidae	

Chironomus	Sediment	Class: Insecta	30
plumosus	Filter feeder	Order: Diptera	
		Family: Chironomidae	
Jenkins spire-shell	Water surface and	Class: Gastropoda	15
Potamopyrgus	sides	Order: Littorinimorpha	
antipodarum	Herbivore	Family: Tateidae	
Water mite	Water column	Class: Arachnida	15
Hydrachnidia	Predator	Order: Trombidiformes	
		Family: Hydrachnidiae	

612