

Deviations from Gaussianity in deterministic discrete time dynamical systems

Article

Accepted Version

Wouters, J. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5418-7657>
(2020) Deviations from Gaussianity in deterministic discrete
time dynamical systems. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of
Nonlinear Science*, 30 (2). 023117. ISSN 1089-7682 doi:
10.1063/1.5127272 Available at
<https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/88857/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the
work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.5127272>

Publisher: American Institute of Physics

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law,
including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other
copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in
the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Deviations from Gaussianity in deterministic discrete time dynamical systems

Jeroen Wouters^{1, a)}

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AX, UK

In this paper we examine the deviations from Gaussianity for two types of random variable converging to a normal distribution, namely sums of random variables generated by a deterministic discrete time map and a linearly damped variable driven by a deterministic map. We demonstrate how Edgeworth expansions provide a universal description of the deviations from the limiting normal distribution. We derive explicit expressions for these asymptotic expansions and provide numerical evidence of their accuracy.

Central limit theorems are a cornerstone of probability theory and have many applications. The limits appearing in such theorems are in reality never attained, so it is important to investigate the deviations from Gaussianity as the limit is approached. In this article we explore deviations from the limiting normal distribution for variables generated by deterministic dynamical systems. We derive expressions for an expansion around the limiting distribution.

I. INTRODUCTION

Randomness provides a powerful way of describing the large-scale behaviour of many systems in the natural and man-made world. Well-known examples are Brownian particle motion, price evolution on financial markets and the evolution of the Earth's climate. However, many of these systems are described by deterministic dynamical systems on small scales. A natural question is then how randomness arises from deterministic dynamics.

One much-explored way in which a random variable can arise from deterministic dynamical systems is through variations of the central limit theorem. In such theorems, many nearly independent contributions are added or integrated over to result in a Gaussian random variable. This principle has for example been explored for systems with a bath of a large number of deterministic oscillators¹. Another way to obtain sums of nearly independent contributions is to sum over time series of sufficiently mixing dynamical systems. The evolution is completely deterministic, with the only randomness appearing through the initial conditions. This approach has been investigated for discrete time dynamical systems²⁻⁴. An extension of this case can be found in the study of slow-fast dynamical systems where instead of simply summing the output of a dynamical system the slow variable has a non-trivial dynamics of its own⁵⁻⁷.

In these theorems we have to consider specific limits, for example, taking the number of oscillators, the length of sums or the time scale separation to infinity. Such conditions are of course never fulfilled in reality. Therefore, the distributions observed in a physical system will deviate from

the limiting distribution predicted by theory. These deviations can in many cases be successfully described by Edgeworth expansions, which provide correction terms to the limiting distribution⁸⁻¹¹. Edgeworth expansions have furthermore been used to develop reduced order models for slow-fast dynamical systems¹².

Here we consider two applications of Edgeworth expansions. First of all, we describe a method to derive the Edgeworth coefficients of sums of dependent random variables. We corroborate our results by numerical experiments. Secondly, we show that some recent results on approximations of invariant distributions of slow-fast discrete maps are in fact a specific case of the Edgeworth expansion.

The article is structured as follows. In Section II we give a brief overview of central limit theorems and the Edgeworth expansion. In Section III we examine sums of time series of a deterministic dynamical system with random initial conditions. In Section IV we study a type of slow-fast dynamical system with linear damping of the slow variable. We show that the deviations of the invariant measure of the slow variable can effectively be described by an Edgeworth expansion.

II. CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS AND EDGEWORTH EXPANSIONS

The convergence of appropriately normalized sums of random variables to Gaussian, Poisson or other infinitely divisible distributions is an important topic in probability theory and dynamical systems theory. Theorems showing such convergence are known as central limit theorems (CLT). A CLT holds for a sequence of random variables z_i with $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{E}[z_i] = 0$ if $x_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$ converges in distribution to a normal distribution $\mathcal{N}_{0, \sigma^2}$ with mean 0 and variance σ^2 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If x_n converges to a normal distribution $\mathcal{N}_{0, \sigma^2}$ the variance σ^2 is given by $\sigma^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{V}[x_n]$, with $\mathbb{V}[x_n]$ the variance of x_n . For a stationary generating process z_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}[x_n] &:= \mathbb{E}[x_n^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[z_i z_j] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[z_1^2] + 2 \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E}[z_1 z_2] + 2 \frac{n-2}{n} \mathbb{E}[z_1 z_3] \\ &\quad + \dots + 2 \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[z_1 z_n], \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds by stationarity of the sequence z_i . Therefore σ^2 is determined by the correlation structure of

^{a)}Electronic mail: j.wouters@reading.ac.uk

z_i as

$$\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[z_1^2] + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[z_1 z_i]. \quad (1)$$

This expression is sometimes referred to as the Green-Kubo formula.

Central limit theorems have been shown to hold for i.i.d random variables¹³, independent but non-identical random variables¹⁴, weakly dependent random variables¹⁵ and deterministic discrete time maps^{2-4,16,17}. In the case of deterministic maps randomness is introduced by a random choice of the initial condition.

Formally, the CLT can be derived by considering the characteristic function $\chi_n(\theta) := \mathbb{E}[e^{i\theta x_n}]$. By Taylor expanding $\ln \chi_n$ in θ we have that

$$\ln \chi_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n^{(k)}}{k!} (i\theta)^k$$

where $c_n^{(k)}$ is the k -th cumulant of X_n , satisfying the recursive relation

$$c_n^{(k)} = m_n^{(k)} - \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{l-1} m_n^{(k-l)} c_n^{(l)},$$

where $m_n^{(k)}$ is the k -th central moment of x_n : $m_n^{(k)} := \mathbb{E}[x_n^k]$. If it can be demonstrated that $c_n^{(2)} \rightarrow \sigma^2$ and $c_n^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ for $k \geq 3$ then $\chi_n \rightarrow e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta^2}$, the characteristic function of the normal distribution \mathcal{N}_{0,σ^2} . The convergence in distribution of x_n then follows from Lévy's continuity theorem¹³.

A. Deviations from the limiting distribution

The formal derivation of the CLT in the previous section can be extended to provide more details on the way in which the limiting distribution is approached. This results in a so-called Edgeworth expansion, describing the deviations from the limiting distribution in orders of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$.

We assume that the cumulants $c_n^{(k)}$ can be expanded in orders of \sqrt{n} as

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^{(2)} &= \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{n} c^{(2,1)} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ c_n^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} c^{(3,1)} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ c_n^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{n} c^{(4,1)} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with $c^{(3,1)}$ and $c^{(4,1)}$ constants, and we assume that $c_n^{(p)} = o(n)$ for $p > 4$. This assumption can be easily verified for i.i.d. random variables and has been also shown to hold for weakly dependent random variables¹⁸. These assumptions allow to expand the characteristic function

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_n(\theta) &= \exp\left(c_n^{(2)} \frac{(i\theta)^2}{2!} + c_n^{(3)} \frac{(i\theta)^3}{3!} + c_n^{(4)} \frac{(i\theta)^4}{4!} + \dots\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{n} c^{(2,1)} \frac{(i\theta)^2}{2!} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} c^{(3,1)} \frac{(i\theta)^3}{3!} + \frac{1}{n} c^{(4,1)} \frac{(i\theta)^4}{4!} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \exp\left(-\sigma^2 \frac{\theta^2}{2}\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} c^{(3)} \frac{(i\theta)^3}{3!} + \frac{1}{n} \left(c^{(2,1)} \frac{(i\theta)^2}{2!} + c^{(4,1)} \frac{(i\theta)^4}{4!} + \frac{1}{2} \left(c^{(3,1)} \frac{(i\theta)^3}{3!}\right)^2\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \times \exp\left(-c_{\infty}^{(2)} \frac{\theta^2}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since χ_n is essentially the Fourier transform of ρ_n , the distribution of x_n , an expansion in orders of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ of ρ_n can be obtained by taking the inverse Fourier transform of χ_n . This results in the so-called Edgeworth expansion¹³ $\rho_n(x) = \rho_n^{(2)}(x) + o(\frac{1}{n})$ uniformly in x , with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n^{(2)}(x) &= \mathbf{n}_{0,\sigma^2}(x) \left(1 + \frac{c^{(3,1)}}{6\sigma^3\sqrt{n}} H_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \frac{c^{(2,1)}}{2\sigma^2 n} H_2\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \frac{c^{(4,1)}}{24\sigma^2 n} H_4\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \frac{(c^{(3,1)})^2}{72\sigma^4 n} H_6\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_{0,\sigma^2}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ is the limiting normal distribution and $H_k(x) = (-1)^k e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} e^{-x^2/2}$ are Hermite polynomials. We have $\rho_n(x) = \rho_n^{(2)}(x) + o(\frac{1}{n})$ and $\rho_n(x) = \rho_n^{(1)}(x) + o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ with

$$\rho_n^{(1)}(x) = \mathbf{n}_{0,\sigma^2}(x) \left(1 + \frac{c^{(3,1)}}{6\sigma^3\sqrt{n}} H_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)\right). \quad (4)$$

The expansion can also be continued to higher orders of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, resulting in higher order Hermite polynomials. Note that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the CLT result again.

III. SUMS OF DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

In this section we examine the convergence of normalized sums $x_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(y_i)$, where the y_i are generated by a dynamical system $y_{i+1} = g(y_i)$, with $y_1 \sim \mu$, i.e. the initial conditions are distributed according to the natural invariant measure of this dynamical system μ . In Appendix A we formally show that sums $x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(y_i)$ indeed follow a cumulant expansion as in Eq. (2). We derive explicit expressions relating the coefficients $c^{(2,1)}$, $c^{(3,1)}$ and $c^{(4,1)}$ to the correlation functions of the dynamical system g , supplementing the Green-Kubo formula of Eq. (1). The functional form of the expansion is that presented in Eqs. (3) and Eqs. (4).

We obtain

$$c^{(2,1)} = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y))] \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c^{(3,1)} = & \mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^3] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 3(\mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2 f_1(g^k(y))] \\ & + \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y))^2]) \\ & + 6 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y)) f_1(g^{k+l}(y))] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c^{(4,1)} = & \mathbb{E}[f_1^4] + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y))^3] + \mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^3 f_1(g^k(y))] \right) + 6 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2 f_1(g^k(y))^2] - \mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2]^2 \right) \\ & + 12 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y)) f_1(g^{k+l}(y))^2] - \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y))] \mathbb{E}[f_1^2] \right) \\ & + 12 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y))^2 f_1(g^{k+l}(y))] + 12 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2 f_1(g^k(y)) f_1(g^{k+l}(y))] - \mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2] \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^l(y))] \right) \\ & + 24 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y)) f_1(g^{k+l}(y)) f_1(g^{k+l+m}(y))] - \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y))] \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^m(y))] \right) - 3\sigma^4 + 6\sigma^2 c^{(2,1)} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here the expectation value \mathbb{E} is taken with respect to the physical invariant measure μ of $y_{i+1} = g(y_i)$. Note that these correction coefficients involve higher-order correlation functions when compared to the Green-Kubo equation (1).

We remark that our equation (7) for $c^{(4,1)}$ differs substantially from the one given in¹⁸ without derivation. The numerical experiments described in Section III A show an excellent agreement with our equations, but not with those in¹⁸.

A. Numerical experiment

We verify the expansion (3) for the case where y_i is generated by the deterministic tripling map $y_{i+1} = 3y_i \bmod 1$ and $f_1(y) = y^5 + y^4 - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{5}$. The invariant measure μ of the tripling map is the uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$, therefore $\mathbb{E}[f_1] = 0$.

The expansion coefficients $c^{(2,1)}$, $c^{(3,1)}$ and $c^{(4,1)}$ can be explicitly computed in this case by iterating over the Markov partitions of the tripling map. A code listing to perform this calculation in the open-source mathematics software system SageMath¹⁹ can be found in Appendix C.

Figure 1 demonstrates the approximation of histograms of sums $x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(y_i)$ of the tripling map with the approximation by both the CLT and the Edgeworth expansion. The Edgeworth expansion clearly approximates the true histogram much closer.

IV. LINEARLY DAMPED MULTI-SCALE SYSTEMS

We now consider dynamical systems of the linear Langevin type, where the deterministic output y_n is not simply summed,

but an additional damping term is introduced as

$$x_{n+1} = \lambda x_n + \sqrt{\tau} y_n \quad (8)$$

$$y_{n+1} = g(y_n) \quad (9)$$

where $\lambda = e^{-\tau}$, the expectation value of y_n w.r.t. the invariant measure μ of g is zero and we will take the limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$. These maps have been studied in^{10,20} and are a specific case of the slow-fast maps considered in⁶²¹. As demonstrated in⁶, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, the paths of y converge weakly to an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $dX = -Xdt + \sigma dW$, where σ^2 is the Green-Kubo variance $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[y_0^2] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[y_0 y_i]$. Specifically, the invariant measure of x converges to a Gaussian distribution. We will now study the deviations of this measure from the Gaussian distribution for small but non-zero τ .

A. Limiting distribution

For the system (8)-(9), the dependence of x_n on the history of the deterministic noise y_i can be made explicit by iterating Eq. (8). We get $x_n = \lambda^n x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sqrt{\tau} \lambda^i y_{n-1-i}$. In the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ the impact of the initial condition x_0 will disappear exponentially fast as λ^n . By a change of time $i \rightarrow i - n + 1$ we are left to consider the distribution of $x_\infty = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\tau} \lambda^i y_{-i}$.

An expression for the variance of the limiting invariant




Figure 1. The probability density of sums $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(y_i)$ with $n = 32$, $f_1(y) = y^5 + y^4 - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{5}$ and y_i generated by the tripling map from initial conditions y_1 uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$ (ρ_n , dashed line) using 10^7 samples. Compared to the Gaussian distribution of the Central Limit Theorem (n_{0, σ^2} , top figure, solid line) and the second order Edgeworth expansion as in Eq. (3) ($\rho_n^{(2)}$, bottom figure, solid line).

measure is easily obtained, since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[x_\infty^2] &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \tau \lambda^{i+j} \mathbb{E}[y_{-i} y_{-j}] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau \lambda^{2i} \mathbb{E}[y_{-i} y_{-i}] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau \lambda^{2i+k} \mathbb{E}[y_{-i} y_{-i-k}] \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau \lambda^{2i+k} \mathbb{E}[y_{-i-k} y_{-i}] \\ &= \tau \frac{1}{1-\lambda^2} \mathbb{E}[y_0^2] + 2\tau \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{1-\lambda^2} \mathbb{E}[y_0 y_k]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\sigma_\infty^2 = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[x_\infty^2] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[y_0^2] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[y_0 y_k].$$

B. Corrections to the limiting distribution

A similar calculation allows us to obtain the first Edgeworth correction term. Calculating the third cumulant of the invariant distribution, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[x_\infty^3] &= \sqrt{\tau}^3 \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{i+j+k} \mathbb{E}[y_{-i} y_{-j} y_{-k}] \\ &= \sqrt{\tau}^3 \mathbb{E}[y_0^3] \frac{1}{1-\lambda^3} + 3\sqrt{\tau}^3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[y_0^2 y_j] \frac{\lambda^{2j}}{1-\lambda^3} \\ &\quad + 3\sqrt{\tau}^3 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[y_0 y_i^2] \frac{\lambda^i}{1-\lambda^3} + 6\sqrt{\tau}^3 \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[y_0 y_i y_j] \frac{\lambda^{2j+i}}{1-\lambda^3} \end{aligned}$$

and in the limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} c^{(3,1)} &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \mathbb{E}[x_\infty^3] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \mathbb{E}[y_0^3] + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[y_0^2 y_j] + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[y_0 y_i^2] + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[y_0 y_i y_j]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The functional form of the expansion is that presented in Eq. (4).

C. Numerical experiments

Here we consider the second order Chebyshev map $g(y) = 2y^2 - 1$. For this map, we have that $\mathbb{E}[y_0 y_i] = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{0,i}$, so $\sigma_\infty^2 = \frac{1}{4}$. The map is conjugate to the Bernoulli shift by $y_0 = \cos(\pi u) = (\exp(i\pi u) - \exp(-i\pi u))/2$. Iterates are given by $y_n = \cos(\pi n u)$ and correlation functions are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[y_{n_1} \dots y_{n_r}] &= \sum_{\sigma} \int_0^1 du \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{2} \exp(i\pi \sigma_j 2^{n_j} u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{\sigma} \delta(\sigma_1 2^{n_1} + \dots + \sigma_r 2^{n_r}) \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over the set $\{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r) | \sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}\}^{2^r}$. The only third order correlation function that is non-zero is therefore $\mathbb{E}[y_1^2 y_2] = \frac{1}{4}$. This shows that, by Eq. (10), $c^{(3,1)} = \frac{1}{4}$.

Figure 2 shows that the first Edgeworth approximation closely matches the deviations from Gaussianity observed in the distribution of x_n for large n and small τ .

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we consider two applications of Edgeworth expansions.

Firstly, we have derived the Edgeworth coefficients of sums of dependent random variables. To the author's knowledge, this is the first explicit derivation of this expansion in the literature. Equations for the expansion coefficients can be found in¹⁸, however without derivation. Furthermore, the coefficient




Figure 2. The difference of the cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F_n(x) := \mathbb{P}\{x_n \leq x\}$ to the limiting Gaussian cumulative distribution function $\mathcal{N}_{0, \sigma^2}$ (solid line) and the difference of the cdf of the Edgeworth expansion $F_n^{(1)}(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \rho_n^{(1)}(x) dx$ to $\mathcal{N}_{0, \sigma^2}$ (dashed line). Here $\tau = 0.01$, $n = 10^5$ and 10^{10} samples are generated to estimate F_n .

$c^{(4,1)}$ derived here differs substantially from the one found there. The numerical experiments in this manuscript corroborate the correctness of the expressions derived here. Furthermore, they show the high accuracy of the Edgeworth approximation. This in turn supports the hypothesis that an Edgeworth expansion holds for this dynamical system, an assumption we have not proved here.

Secondly, we show that recent results on approximations of invariant distributions of slow-fast discrete maps fit into the general framework of Edgeworth expansions. Approximations for the invariant distribution of the specific class of slow-fast linear Langevin maps have been derived in^{10,20} by different methods. The derivation given here puts these result in the context of the well-established topic of Edgeworth expansions. This provides a new view on these results and opens the way to extension to other classes of dynamical systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Georg Gottwald for stimulating and enjoyable discussions.

REFERENCES

- ¹G. W. Ford, M. Kac, and P. Mazur, “Statistical mechanics of assemblies of coupled oscillators,” *J. Mathematical Phys.* **6**, 504–515 (1965).
- ²M. Denker, “The central limit theorem for dynamical systems,” *Banach Center Publications* **1**, 33–62 (1989).
- ³S. Luzzatto, “Stochastic-Like Behaviour in Nonuniformly Expanding Maps,” *Handbook of Dynamical Systems* **1**, 265–326 (2006).
- ⁴L.-S. Young, “Recurrence times and rates of mixing,” *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **110**, 153–188 (1999).
- ⁵I. Melbourne and A. Stuart, “A note on diffusion limits of chaotic skew-product flows,” *Nonlinearity* **24**, 1361–1367 (2011).

- ⁶G. A. Gottwald and I. Melbourne, “Homogenization for deterministic maps and multiplicative noise,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Science* **469** (2013).
- ⁷D. Kelly and I. Melbourne, “Deterministic homogenization for fast–slow systems with chaotic noise,” *Journal of Functional Analysis* **272**, 4063–4102 (2017).
- ⁸K. Fernando and C. Liverani, “Edgeworth expansions for weakly dependent random variables,” arXiv:1803.07667 [math] (2018), arXiv: 1803.07667.
- ⁹L. Hervé and F. Pène, “The Nagaev-Guivarc’h method via the Keller-Liverani theorem,” *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **138**, 415–489 (2010).
- ¹⁰G. Williams and C. Beck, “Stochastic differential equations driven by deterministic chaotic maps: analytic solutions of the Perron–Frobenius equation,” *Nonlinearity* **31**, 3484–3511 (2018).
- ¹¹J. Wouters and G. A. Gottwald, “Edgeworth expansions for slow–fast systems with finite time-scale separation,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **475**, 20180358 (2019).
- ¹²J. Wouters and G. A. Gottwald, “Stochastic model reduction for slow-fast systems with moderate time-scale separation,” *Multiscale Modeling and Simulation* (to appear).
- ¹³W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, 2nd ed., A Wiley publication in mathematical statistics (Wiley, New York, 1957).
- ¹⁴E. Çinlar, *Probability and Stochastics*, Graduate texts in mathematics No. 261 (Springer, New York ; London, 2011).
- ¹⁵I. A. Ibragimov, “Some Limit Theorems for Stationary Processes,” *Theory of Probability & Its Applications* **7**, 349–382 (1962).
- ¹⁶W. Bahsoun and C. Bose, “Mixing rates and limit theorems for random intermittent maps,” *Nonlinearity* **29**, 1417–1433 (2016).
- ¹⁷M. Nicol, A. Török, and S. Vaienti, “Central limit theorems for sequential and random intermittent dynamical systems,” *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **38**, 1127–1153 (2018).
- ¹⁸F. Götze and C. Hipp, “Asymptotic expansions for sums of weakly dependent random vectors,” *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* **64**, 211–239 (1983).
- ¹⁹The Sage Developers, *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.6)* (2019), <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- ²⁰C. Beck, “Dynamical systems of Langevin type,” *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **233**, 419–440 (1996).
- ²¹In the notation of⁶, $\tau = \varepsilon^2$, $f_0(y) = y$ and $f(x, y, \varepsilon) = \frac{e^{-\varepsilon^2} - 1}{\varepsilon^2} x$.
- ²²C. Beck, “Higher correlation functions of chaotic dynamical systems—a graph theoretical approach,” *Nonlinearity* **4**, 1131 (1991).

Appendix A: Derivation of the Edgeworth expansion of sums

The aim is to derive expansions in orders of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ of the cumulants of $x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(y_i)$ as in Eq. (2). The expansion is most straightforwardly calculated after taking the z -transform w.r.t. n .

Taking the z -transform of the second moment $m_n^{(2)} := \mathbb{E}[x_n^2 | x_0 = 0, y_0 \sim \mu]$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}^{(2)}(\lambda) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n m_n^{(2)} = \int \delta_{x_0} \otimes \mu(dx, dy) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n P^n x^2 \\ &= \int \delta_{x_0} \otimes \mu(dx, dy) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda P} x^2 \end{aligned}$$

where P is the Koopman operator $PA(x, y) = A(x + \varepsilon f_1(g(y)), g(y))$ of the system

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= x_n + \varepsilon f_1(g(y_n)) \\ y_{n+1} &= g(y_n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this system, setting $x_0 = 0$, we have $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon f_1(g(y_k))$. We will later be setting $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ to obtain sums of the CLT form. The operator P can be expanded as $P = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!} P_x^k \right) P_y$ with $P_x A(x, y) = f_1(g(y)) \partial_x A(x, y)$ and $P_y A(x, y) = A(x, g(y))$.

Then since $(C - D)^{-1} = C^{-1} + C^{-1} D C^{-1} + C^{-1} D C^{-1} D C^{-1} + \dots$ we have taking $C = 1 - \lambda P_y$ and $D = \lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!} P_x^k \right) P_y$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}^{(2)}(\lambda) &= \int \delta_{x_0} \otimes \mu(dx, dy) \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!} P_x^k \right) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!} P_x^k \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1 - \lambda P_y} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!} P_x^k \right) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \right) x^2 \end{aligned}$$

other terms in the expansion are zero since they have either not enough or too many derivatives ∂_x . By the same reasoning, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}^{(2)}(\lambda) &= \int \mu(dy) \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} f_1(g(y))^2 \right) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} (\varepsilon f_1(g(y))) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1 - \lambda P_y} (\varepsilon f_1(g(y))) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \right) 2 \\ &= \varepsilon^2 \frac{\lambda}{(1 - \lambda)^2} \mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2] + 2\varepsilon^2 \frac{\lambda}{(1 - \lambda)^2} \mathbb{E} \left[f_1(y) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1 - \lambda P_y} f_1(y) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{E}[A] = \int \mu(dy) A(y)$ with μ the physical invariant measure of $y_{i+1} = g(y_i)$. We now expand the Koopman operator as $P_y = p_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i p_i$, with $p_0 = |1\rangle\langle\mu|$ and $p_i = |l_i\rangle\langle r_i|$, where the left eigenfunctions (1 and l_i) and right eigenfunctions (μ and r_i) are mutually orthogonal. We then obtain

$$\hat{m}^{(2)}(\lambda) = \varepsilon^2 \frac{\lambda}{(1 - \lambda)^2} \mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2] + 2\varepsilon^2 \frac{\lambda}{(1 - \lambda)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1 - \lambda \alpha_i} \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) |l_i\rangle\langle r_i| f_1(y)]$$

By the inverse z -transform (calculating the residue at $\lambda = 1$ of $\hat{m}^{(2)}(\lambda)$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_n^{(2)} &= \varepsilon^2 n \mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2] + 2\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(n \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_i} - \frac{\alpha_i}{(1 - \alpha_i)^2} \right) \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) |l_i\rangle\langle r_i| f_1(y)] \\ &= \varepsilon^2 n \mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2] + 2\varepsilon^2 n \mathbb{E} \left[f_1(y) \frac{P_y}{1 - P_y} f_1(y) \right] - 2\varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) \frac{P_y}{(1 - P_y)^2} f_1(y)] \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\frac{P_y}{1 - P_y} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_y^k$ and $\frac{P_y}{(1 - P_y)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k P_y^k$, by setting $\varepsilon = 1/\sqrt{n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^{(2)} = m_n^{(2)} &= \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2] + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y))] \right) + \frac{1}{n} \left(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y))] \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \\ &= \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{n} c^{(2,1)} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with σ^2 as given in Eq. (1) and $c^{(2,1)}$ as given in Eq. (5).

Similarly, we obtain for the third moment of x_n

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^{(3)} = m_n^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^3] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 3 \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1(y)^2 f_1(g^k(y))] + \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y))^2] \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 6 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[f_1(y) f_1(g^k(y)) f_1(g^{k+l}(y))] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} c^{(3,1)} \end{aligned}$$

with $c^{(3,1)}$ as in Eq. (6).

For the fourth moment, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}^{(4)}(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n m_n^{(4)} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \left(f_1^4 \frac{\varepsilon^4}{4!} \right) \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right] 4! \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1^3 \frac{\varepsilon^3}{3!} \right) \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right] 4! \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \left(f_1^3 \frac{\varepsilon^3}{3!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right] 4! \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \left(f_1^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} \right) \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right] 4! \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} \right) \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right] 4! \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right] 4! \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \left(f_1^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right] 4! \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{\lambda P_y}{1-\lambda P_y} \left(f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} \right) \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right] 4! \\ &= \varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \mathbb{E}[f_1^4] \\ &\quad + 4\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} p_i \right) f_1^3 \right] \\ &\quad + 4\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[f_1^3 \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} p_i \right) f_1 \right] \\ &\quad + 6\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \mathbb{E} \left[f_1^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} p_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} p_i \right) f_1^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 12\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} p_i \right) f_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} p_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_j}{1-\lambda \alpha_j} p_j \right) f_1^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 12\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} p_i \right) f_1^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_j}{1-\lambda \alpha_j} p_j \right) f_1 \right] \\ &\quad + 12\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \mathbb{E} \left[f_1^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} p_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} p_i \right) f_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_j}{1-\lambda \alpha_j} p_j \right) f_1 \right] \\ &\quad + 24\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} p_i \right) f_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} p_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_j}{1-\lambda \alpha_j} p_j \right) f_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_k}{1-\lambda \alpha_k} p_k \right) f_1 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \mathbb{E}[f_1^4] \\
&+ 6\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda^2}{(1-\lambda)^3} \mathbb{E}[f_1^2]^2 \\
&+ 2\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} (2\mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1^3] + 2\mathbb{E}[f_1^3 p_i f_1] + 3\mathbb{E}[f_1^2 p_i f_1^2]) \\
&+ 12\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda^2}{(1-\lambda)^3} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} (2\mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1] \mathbb{E}[f_1^2]) \\
&+ 12\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} \frac{\lambda \alpha_j}{1-\lambda \alpha_j} (\mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1 p_j f_1^2] + \mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1^2 p_j f_1] + \mathbb{E}[f_1^2 p_i f_1 p_j f_1]) \\
&+ 24\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda^2}{(1-\lambda)^3} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} \frac{\lambda \alpha_j}{1-\lambda \alpha_j} \mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1] \mathbb{E}[f_1 p_j f_1] \\
&+ 24\varepsilon^4 \frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda \alpha_i}{1-\lambda \alpha_i} \frac{\lambda \alpha_j}{1-\lambda \alpha_j} \frac{\lambda \alpha_k}{1-\lambda \alpha_k} \mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1 p_j f_1 p_k f_1]
\end{aligned}$$

By inverse z-transform of $\hat{m}^{(4)}(\lambda)$, calculating the residue of $\hat{m}^{(4)}(\lambda) \lambda^{-n-1}$ at $\lambda = 1$ we obtain $m_n^{(4)}$. Note that there are also poles at $1/\alpha_i$, but these contribute terms of order α_i^n , which decay exponentially with n and therefore don't appear in the Edgeworth expansion.

$$\begin{aligned}
m_n^{(4)} &= \varepsilon^4 n \mathbb{E}[f_1^4] \\
&+ 6\varepsilon^4 \frac{1}{2} (n^2 - n) \mathbb{E}[f_1^2]^2 \\
&+ 2\varepsilon^4 n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i}{1-\alpha_i} (2\mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1^3] + 2\mathbb{E}[f_1^3 p_i f_1] + 3\mathbb{E}[f_1^2 p_i f_1^2]) \\
&+ 12\varepsilon^4 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(n^2 \frac{\alpha_i}{1-\alpha_i} - n \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{1-\alpha_i} + 2 \frac{\alpha_i}{(1-\alpha_i)^2} \right) \right) (2\mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1] \mathbb{E}[f_1^2]) \\
&+ 12\varepsilon^4 n \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i}{1-\alpha_i} \frac{\alpha_j}{1-\alpha_j} (\mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1 p_j f_1^2] + \mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1^2 p_j f_1] + \mathbb{E}[f_1^2 p_i f_1 p_j f_1]) \\
&+ 24\varepsilon^4 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left(n^2 \frac{\alpha_i}{1-\alpha_i} \frac{\alpha_j}{1-\alpha_j} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - n \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{1-\alpha_i} \frac{\alpha_j}{1-\alpha_j} + 2 \frac{\alpha_i}{(1-\alpha_i)^2} \frac{\alpha_j}{1-\alpha_j} + 2 \frac{\alpha_i}{1-\alpha_i} \frac{\alpha_j}{(1-\alpha_j)^2} \right) \right) \mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1] \mathbb{E}[f_1 p_j f_1] \\
&+ 24\varepsilon^4 n \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i}{1-\alpha_i} \frac{\alpha_j}{1-\alpha_j} \frac{\alpha_k}{1-\alpha_k} \mathbb{E}[f_1 p_i f_1 p_j f_1 p_k f_1] \\
&= \varepsilon^4 n^2 \left(3\mathbb{E}[f_1^2]^2 + 12\mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right] \mathbb{E}[f_1^2] + 12\mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right]^2 \right) \\
&+ \varepsilon^4 n \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1^4] - 3\mathbb{E}[f_1^2]^2 + 4\mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1^3 \right] + 4\mathbb{E} \left[f_1^3 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right] + 6\mathbb{E} \left[f_1^2 \frac{P_{\perp}}{1-P_{\perp}} f_1^2 \right] \right. \\
&\quad - 12\mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right] \mathbb{E}[f_1^2] - 24\mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{(1-P_y)^2} f_1 \right] \mathbb{E}[f_1^2] \\
&\quad + 12 \left(\mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \frac{P_{\perp}}{1-P_{\perp}} f_1^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1^2 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[f_1^2 \frac{P_{\perp}}{1-P_{\perp}} f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right] \right) \\
&\quad \left. - 12 \left(\mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right] \mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right] + 4\mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{(1-P_y)^2} f_1 \right] \mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right] \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 24\mathbb{E} \left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \frac{P_{\perp}}{1-P_{\perp}} f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \right] \right) \\
&= 3\varepsilon^4 n^2 \sigma^4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \varepsilon^4 n \left(\mathbb{E}[f_1^4] + 4\mathbb{E}\left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1^3\right] + 4\mathbb{E}\left[f_1^3 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1\right] + 6\mathbb{E}\left[f_1^2 \frac{P_\perp}{1-P_\perp} f_1^2\right] \right. \\
& + 12 \left(\mathbb{E}\left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \frac{P_\perp}{1-P_\perp} f_1^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1^2 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[f_1^2 \frac{P_\perp}{1-P_\perp} f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1\right] \right) \\
& \left. + 24\mathbb{E}\left[f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1 \frac{P_\perp}{1-P_\perp} f_1 \frac{P_y}{1-P_y} f_1\right] - 3\sigma^4 + 12\sigma^2 c^{(2,1)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_\perp = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i$.

Finally, setting $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ and noting the $P_\perp^k = P^k - p_0$, we get for the fourth cumulant $c_n^{(4)} = m_n^{(4)} - 3(m_n^{(2)})^2 = m_n^{(4)} - 3(\sigma^2 + \frac{c^{(2,1)}}{n})^2 = \frac{1}{n}c^{(4,1)}$ with $c^{(4,1)}$ as given in Eq. (7).

Appendix B: Convergence of cumulants for the tripling map

Here we present additional evidence of the validity of the cumulant expansion of Eq. (2) for sums $x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(y)$ with $f_1(y) = y^5 + y^4 - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{5}$ of the tripling map $y_{i+1} = 3y_i \bmod 1$.

Figure 3 demonstrates that the cumulants of x_n indeed vary with n as described in Eqs. (2). The values for σ^2 , $c^{(2,1)}$, $c^{(3,1)}$ and $c^{(4,1)}$ analytically derived here (see Eqs. (5)-(7)) indeed give the leading order asymptotics of these cumulants. Furthermore, we show for the third and fourth cumulants that by including a higher order correction of $1/n$, the numerical values are matched extremely well. An analytic expression for this higher order correction is not derived here, but could be found by the same techniques developed here.




Figure 3. Cumulants of sums of the tripling map, comparing Monte Carlo simulation (blue dots) with analytic asymptotics (yellow dotted lines) and a fit of the analytic asymptotics with one higher order term (green dashed lines) for (a) the second cumulant, (b) the third cumulant and (c) the fourth cumulant.

Appendix C: SageMath code to calculate tripling map cumulant expansion

Tested in SageMath version 8.6, release date 2019-01-15.

```

k, n, p = var('k,n,p')
assume(p>1)
assume(n, 'real')
assume(n>0)

# observable
A(x) = x^5 + x^4
A(x) = A(x) - integral(A,x,0,1)

# second order correlation
C(n,p) = sum(integral((A(x))*(A((p^n)*x - k)), x, k/(p^n),
                    (k+1)/(p^n)),k,0,(p^n) - 1)
C(n) = C(n,3)

# Green-Kubo equation
sigma2 = C(0) + 2*sum( (C(n)).expand().simplify(),
                    n, 1, oo, algorithm='giac')
# First correction to second cumulant
c21 = - 2*sum((n*C(n)).expand(),n,1,oo, algorithm='giac')

# third order correlations
k1, k2, n1, n2 = var('k1,k2,n1,n2', domain="positive")
C3(n1,n2) = sum(sum(integral((A(x))*(A((3^n1)*x - (k1-1)))
    *(A((3^(n1+n2))*x - (3^n2)*(k1-1) - (k2-1))),
    x, (k1-1)/(3^n1) + (k2-1)/(3^(n1+n2)), (k1-1)/(3^n1) + k2/(3^(n1+n2))),
    k1, 1, 3^n1), k2, 1, 3^n2)

# first correction to the third cumulant
c31 = (C3(0,0) + 3*sum(C3(n1,0).expand(),n1,1,oo, algorithm='giac')
    + 3*sum(C3(0,n2).expand(),n2,1,oo, algorithm='giac')
    + 6*sum(sum(C3(n1,n2).expand(),n2,1,oo, algorithm='giac'),
    n1,1,oo, algorithm='giac'))

# fourth order correlations
k1, k2, k3, n1, n2, n3 = var('k1,k2,k3,n1,n2,n3', domain="positive")
C4(n1,n2,n3) = sum(sum(sum(integral((A(x))*(A((3^n1)*x - (k1-1)))
    *(A((3^(n1+n2))*x - (3^n2)*(k1-1) - (k2-1)))
    *(A((3^(n1+n2+n3))*x - (3^(n2+n3))*(k1-1) - 3^n3*(k2-1) - (k3-1))),
    x, (k1-1)/(3^n1) + (k2-1)/(3^(n1+n2)) + (k3-1)/(3^(n1+n2+n3)),
    (k1-1)/(3^n1) + (k2-1)/(3^(n1+n2)) + k3/(3^(n1+n2+n3))), k1, 1, 3^n1),
    k2, 1, 3^n2), k3, 1, 3^n3)

# first correction to the fourth cumulant
algo = 'sympy'
c41a = C4(n1=0,n2=0,n3=0)
c41b = sum(C4(n1=n1,n2=0,n3=0).expand(),n1,1,oo,algorithm=algo)
c41c = sum(C4(n1=0,n2=0,n3=n3).expand(),n3,1,oo,algorithm=algo)
c41d = sum((C4(n1=0,n2=n2,n3=0) - C(0)^2).expand(),n2,1,oo,algorithm=algo)
c41e = sum(sum((C4(n1=n1,n2=n2,n3=0) - C(n1)*C(0)).expand(),
    n1,1,oo,algorithm=algo),n2,1,oo,algorithm=algo)
c41f = sum(sum((C4(n1=n1,n2=0,n3=n3)).expand(),
    n1,1,oo,algorithm=algo),n3,1,oo,algorithm=algo)
c41g = sum(sum((C4(n1=0,n2=n2,n3=n3) - C(0)*C(n3)).expand(),
    n3,1,oo,algorithm=algo),n2,1,oo,algorithm=algo)

```

```

c41h = sum(sum(sum((C4(n1=n1,n2=n2,n3=n3) - C(n1)*C(n3)).expand(),
    n2,1,oo,algorithm=algo).expand(),n1,1,oo,algorithm=algo).expand(),
    n3,1,oo,algorithm=algo)

```

```

c41 = c41a + 4*c41b + 4*c41c + 6*c41d + 12*(c41e + c41f + c41g) + 24*c41h
      - 3*sigma2^2 + 6*sigma2*c21

```