

Mirid feeding preference as influenced by light and temperature mediated changes in plant nutrient concentration in cocoa

Article

Accepted Version

Awudzi, G. K., Hadley, P., Hatcher, P. E. and Daymond, A. J. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7597-9423 (2020) Mirid feeding preference as influenced by light and temperature mediated changes in plant nutrient concentration in cocoa. Annals of Applied Biology, 177 (3). pp. 395-403. ISSN 0003-4746 doi: 10.1111/aab.12636 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/92391/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/aab.12636

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1	Short running page heading: Mirid feeding preference on cocoa
2	Title: Mirid feeding preference as influenced by light and temperature mediated changes in
3	plant nutrient concentration in cocoa
4	
5	¹ G.K. Awudzi*, ² P. Hadley, ³ P.E. Hatcher, ² A.J. Daymond.
6	¹ Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana (CRIG), Box 8, New Tafo-Akim, Ghana. ² School of
7	Agriculture Policy and Development, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6
8	6AR, UK. ³ School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading,
9	RG6 6AS.
10 11 12 13	Corresponding author*: godfred.awudzi@crig.org.gh ; anthocyanin22@yahoo.com
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

1 Abstract

2 Cocoa mirids are the most important insect pests of cocoa in West Africa. This study 3 investigated the effect of environmental parameters that are modulated by overhead shade, 4 i.e. light intensity and temperature, on nutrient and phenolic concentrations in cocoa and their 5 subsequent effect on mirid feeding. Eight-month-old cocoa seedlings were maintained for 50 days in two growth chambers set to day temperatures of 25°C or 30°C. Each chamber had 6 7 sections with different light intensities (541, 365 and 181 µmolm⁻²s⁻¹ PAR). For the field 8 studies at Akim-Tafo in Ghana, eight-month-old plants of three cocoa clones were subjected to shaded (PAR= 180 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, between 11:00 and 12:00) and unshaded (PAR= 1767) 9 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ between 11:00 and 12:00) treatments for 50 days after which nutrient 10 11 measurements and mirid choice tests were carried out. No significant effect of environment was observed on the phenolic concentration of stems under controlled environment chamber 12 13 conditions. However, in the field, the phenolic concentration of stems was significantly 14 greater for unshaded compared with shaded plants (P=0.04). Under controlled conditions, the 15 leaf nitrogen concentration increased slightly with light intensity (P=0.003). The same trend 16 was seen in stems but only at 30°C. In the field, the impact of overhead shade on nitrogen 17 varied between cocoa clones. The concentration of carbohydrates in both leaves and stems in 18 the field was higher under unshaded conditions. When subjected to feeding tests, stems from 19 unshaded cocoa had significantly more mirid feeding lesions (P=0.003) after 24 hours 20 exposure to mirids compared to shaded cocoa. Mirid feeding therefore appears not to be 21 deterred by the higher phenolic levels but rather there was a preference for cocoa tissue 22 grown under unshaded conditions. These findings highlight the need to consider the growing 23 environment of cocoa clones when screening for varieties with resistance to mirids.

24 Key words: cocoa, mirids, phenolics, plant nutrient, choice-test

1 **1.0 Introduction**

2 Plants have evolved mechanisms over time to reduce insect feeding. Many plant secondary 3 metabolites are known to affect the feeding, growth and oviposition of insects (Halkier and Du, 1997, Ossipov et al., 2001, Lattanzio et al., 2009). Such plant defence compounds 4 5 include proteinase inhibitors, which inhibit digestion of proteins in insects thereby causing 6 retarded growth and may eventually result in insect mortalities due to starvation (Stotz et al., 7 1999). As a group, the mirid species, Sahlbergella singularis Haglund and Distantiella theobroma (Distant) (both Hemiptera: Miridae), are the most important insect pests on cocoa 8 9 (Theobroma cacao) in West Africa. Since plant phenolics and nutrients influence insect herbivory in a number of plant species (Dudt and Shure, 1994, Duffey and Stout, 1996, 10 11 Lattanzio et al., 2009), understanding the effects of environmental factors on plant nutrient 12 concentration and plant defence compounds could aid mirid management on cocoa farms.

13

14 Campbell (1984) reported that knowledge of mirid nutrient requirements and defence 15 compounds produced by cocoa against mirids is limited and this still remains the case today. 16 Specifically, there is little information on the relationship between soluble carbohydrates in 17 tissues and mirid feeding or the extent to which phenolics might deter feeding. On the other 18 hand, nitrogen is suggested to be a limiting factor as feeding by mirids on cocoa tissue with a 19 high nitrogen concentration has been associated with an increase in weight and overall 20 growth of mirids as compared with mirids on nitrogen poor diets (Entwistle, 1972). Anikwe 21 (2010) also showed that Sahlbergella singularis preferred cocoa pods that had high protein 22 concentration. This might explain, in part, why fertilizer application generally has been associated with an increase in insect feeding (White, 1984, Thompson and Hagen, 1999, Lee 23 24 et al., 2003) since nitrogen concentration would be expected to be higher in the leaves, 25 chupons and young unhardened stems making them a preferred choice over food sources with 26 a lower nitrogen concentration (Altieri and Nicholls, 2003).

Mirids are known to prefer unshaded areas of cocoa farms, where they create extensive damage referred to as pockets (Padi and Owusu, 1998, Bigger, 1981, Entwistle, 1985, Awudzi et al., 2009, Babin et al., 2010). High solar radiation in unshaded areas of cocoa farms or portions with a break in the shade canopy enhances photosynthetic rate and vegetative growth of the cocoa trees (Bos et al., 2007, Babin et al., 2010). These new shoots provide feeding and breeding sites which sustain mirid growth and development. The quality and quantity of light has also been reported to affect nutrient concentrations in plant tissues, which has a consequent influence on insect feeding (Bryant et al., 1983, Dudt and Shure,
 1994). However, the extent to which environmentally induced changes in cocoa tissue
 nutrient concentrations and defence compounds might impact on mirid feeding is not known.

Here, we hypothesise that different concentrations of defence compounds and/or nutrients in the leaves and stems of shaded compared with unshaded cocoa affect the feeding preference of mirids. The impact of environmental factors that are modulated by shade, i.e. temperature and light on defence compounds and nutrients were studied through a combination of controlled environment and field studies.

9 **2.0** Materials and methods

10 2.1 Controlled environment experiment

11 Eight-month-old seedlings (variety: Amelonado) from the International Cocoa Quarantine 12 Centre, at the University of Reading were used. Seedlings selected were those whose new 13 leaves were just about to emerge (flush). Plants were grown in pots (volume 800ml) and the 14 potting medium used was an inert mixture of sand, gravel and vermiculite (1:2:2 v:v). They were fed daily with a modified Long Ashton nutrient solution developed for cocoa (End, 15 16 1990) with pH maintained between 5.5 and 5.7 and an electrical conductivity of 2mS. Two walk-in growth chambers were used (dimensions, 3.2m long 2.5m wide and 1.8m high; 17 18 Fitotron WEISS Gallenkamp, Loughborough, UK). The chambers were set to provide two 19 different day temperatures (30°C or 25°C day \pm 0.5 °C) with a common night temperature $(22^{\circ}C \pm 0.5^{\circ}C)$ and a 12-hour day length to mimic tropical daylength. Each chamber was sub-20 divided into sections to give three different light intensities: 541 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹; 365 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ 21 22 ^{1;} and at 181 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). Light was provided by 23 fluorescent lamps (MASTER/TL/D/Reflex-58W/840/1SL, Philips) and their intensities were 24 adjusted with a dimmer switch. PAR in each treatment was measured with a LI-COR quantum sensor (LI-191SA; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE 68504, USA) attached to a quantum flux 25 26 meter (Skye Instruments, Llandrindod Wells).

PAR was measured periodically, to note any changes incident on the plants as they grew taller; values recorded on day 30 were as follows: $550 \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$; $380 \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$ and $185 \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$. Even though plants grew taller as the experiment progressed, the resultant increases in PAR at the shoot apex were relatively small. The experiment was carried out for 50 days in a split plot design with temperature as the main plot and light intensity as subplots with 5 plants in each treatment. The last six fully expanded leaves and stem cuttings were removed from plants from all treatments after day 50 and kept at -20 °C until required for analysis. These samples were later ground in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for subsequent analysis. Tissue concentrations of total phenolics, nitrogen and carbohydrates were determined on three replicate stem and leaf samples under each light and temperature treatment.

7 2.2 Field experiment

8 An experiment to study the effect of solar radiation and temperature on the nutrient and total 9 phenolic concentration in cocoa stems and leaves was carried out in the field at the Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana (CRIG), Akim-Tafo (latitude 06° 13'N, longitude 0°22'W), in 10 11 the Eastern Region of Ghana. The cocoa clones used were: CATIE 1000, IMC 67 and T 12 85/799, originally sourced from the International Cocoa Quarantine Centre, University of 13 Reading, UK. Eight-month-old clonal plants in pots containing loamy soil were transplanted 14 into field plots at a spacing of 2m x 2m in shaded and unshaded treatments with 5 replicate 15 plants per clone per treatment. Shade was provided by shade cloths and plants were watered 16 daily in the mornings at 8:00am. Plants were maintained for 6 months after which stem 17 cuttings and the last six fully expanded leaves were sampled for nitrogen, soluble 18 carbohydrates and total phenolic concentration. Three replicates of stem cuttings and leaf 19 samples were taken from each treatment.

20 Measurements of light quantity and quality were taken between the hours of 11:00am and 21 12:00 noon under the shaded and unshaded conditions over 5 days and averaged. A light 22 meter (Skye Instruments, Llandrindod Wells) fitted with a LI-COR light sensor was used to 23 measure PAR, whilst UV radiation (UVA & UVB) was measured with a UV meter 24 (Solartech Inc. Solar meter model 5.7, UK). Temperature and relative humidity 25 measurements were recorded with miniature data loggers (Gemini Tiny Tags, UK) placed in 26 Stevenson screens, set to log at 30 minutes intervals, for 5 days and averaged. Total 27 phenolics, nitrogen and carbohydrates were determined in leaf and stem samples. The 28 experiment was an un-replicated split plot design with shade regime as main plots and cocoa 29 clones as sub-plots in replicates of five. The field experiment was carried out from February 30 to July, 2012 and the whole experiment was repeated between August 2012 and January 2013. 31

1 Total phenolics extraction and analysis

Total phenolic concentration of samples taken from both the controlled environment and field studies were determined using a method described by Singleton and Rossi (1965), using Folin-Ciocalteu as the reactive reagent on samples ground while frozen under liquid nitrogen. Preparation of the calibration curve for total phenolic concentration determination was carried out using gallic acid at a concentration of 0.5g/500ml and diluted serially 8 times. The total phenolic concentration was expressed as Gallic acid equivalents (GAE).

8 Nitrogen analysis

9 Nitrogen concentration of dried ground samples from the controlled environment studies was 10 determined by a micro-Kjeldhal method. This analysis was carried out by the Farm Advisory 11 Services Team (FAST), Faversham, UK. Samples were subjected to sulphuric acid/selenium 12 digest followed by dilution and analysis through a Foss Fiastar 5000 Flow Analysis Injection 13 analyser. The digested solution was made highly alkaline by merging with a sodium 14 hydroxide stream, which releases ammonia gas that permeates a gas permeable membrane 15 and into an indicator stream. The intensity of the colour produced was read photometrically at 16 590nm and the concentration of ammonium nitrogen was read against a calibration curve.

Determination of nitrogen concentration for field samples in Ghana was carried out using a
modified form of the Kjeldhal method as described by Bremner and Mulvaney (1982).

19 Carbohydrate analysis

20 The carbohydrate concentration of ground stem and leaf samples taken from the controlled 21 environment experiment was determined using the method described by Yemm and Willis 22 (1954) with anthrone as a reagent. The green colour produced when carbohydrates are heated 23 with anthrone in acid solution is the basis for this test. The carbohydrate concentration in 24 field samples was determined by the method described by Dubois et al. (1956). This method 25 is based on the reaction between simple sugars and phenol and concentrated sulphuric acid, 26 which generates a yellow-orange colour. Different methods for carbohydrate extraction had 27 to be used for the controlled environment and field experiments as the same equipment was 28 not available in both places. Thus, we do not compare absolute carbohydrate values between 29 the two sets of data. However, de Toledo et al. (2012) demonstrated the different methods 30 measure the same type of carbohydrates and give comparable results.

1 **2.3** Mirid feeding preference test for cocoa clones (choice test)

2 Stem cuttings were taken from different clones to evaluate their attractiveness (defined as a 3 combination of attraction and antixenosis) to mirids after exposure to either shaded and 4 unshaded treatments in the field for six months in Ghana using the method described by N' 5 Guessan et al. (2008). Healthy young twigs of each of the three cocoa clones from the shaded 6 and unshaded treatments in the field experiment were cut into 5-cm sections and arranged 7 randomly each time with each piece touching another in Petri dishes forming a hexagon of 8 six sections. Cuttings were selected from plants of the same age and similar size at the mid-9 sections with similar circumference. Adult mirids were collected from CRIG plots at Tafo with hybrid cocoa and reared on chupons and pods in an insectary as described by Babin et 10 al. (2008). One 4th instar (nymph which has just developed wing buds) S. singularis mirid 11 12 nymph of the next generation, starved for 24 hours to the time of screening, was placed in the 13 middle of each Petri dish and the number of feeding lesions on stem cuttings counted and 14 recorded after 24hrs. The test was conducted twice with 8 replicates on each occasion making 15 a total of 16 cuttings per clone * shade treatment. Petri dishes were placed on insectary 16 benches to obtain uniform distribution of light on test materials at an average room 17 temperature of 25°C.

18 **3.0 Statistical analysis**

19 The differences in the concentration of nitrogen, carbohydrate and phenolics in samples as a 20 result of the different treatments under both controlled and field conditions were determined 21 using an ANOVA. In the mirid feeding preference tests, the impact of shaded and unshaded 22 treatments as well as the different cocoa clones on mirid feeding was also analysed by means 23 of ANOVA. For the field experiment, the analysis was performed on the combined data of 24 the two repeated experiments since initial analysis showed no significant differences in the 25 repeated experiments for phenolics, nitrogen, carbohydrate concentrations and mirid feeding preference. Data was analysed with GenStat version 11. 26

27

28 **4.0 Results**

29

30 4.1 Controlled environment

31 4.1.1 Total phenolics

There was a non-significant trend of a reduction in phenolic concentration in stems with an increase in PAR (P=0.06) or temperature (P=0.79). There was also no significant effect of

light (P=0.9) or temperature (P=0.64) on the total phenolic concentration in leaf samples
 measured (data not shown).

3

11 12

13

4 **4.1.2** Nitrogen

5 The nitrogen concentration of leaves was significantly greater in plants grown under higher 6 light intensity (P=0.003) (Fig. 1 A). A significant interaction of light and temperature was 7 observed on percentage nitrogen in stems (P=0.05) (Fig. 1 B). Stem nitrogen concentration of 8 plants grown at 30°C increased with increasing light intensity. However, this trend was not 9 observed at 25°C. As with leaves, stems under the highest light level also had the greatest 10 percentage nitrogen (P=0.04) while temperature had no significant effect (P=0.28).

Figure 1 here

14 **4.1.3** Soluble carbohydrates

A significant interaction of light and temperature on soluble carbohydrate concentration of cocoa leaves was observed (P=0.04) such that an effect of temperature (P=0.04) (Fig. 2A) was only observed at a PAR of 365 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (where carbohydrate concentration was higher at 25°C). There was also a significant interaction of light and temperature on the carbohydrate concentration of stems (P=0.03) whereby carbohydrate concentration was higher at 30°C at PAR levels of 181 and 365 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ but no significant differences between temperatures were evident at the highest PAR (Fig. 2 B).

22

Figure 2 here

1 **4.2 Field experiment**

2 4.1. Microenvironment

3 UVA radiation was significantly higher in the unshaded treatment (mean=0.40 mw cm⁻²) 4 relative to shade treatment (mean=2.4 mw cm⁻²) (P<0.001; Lsd= 0.18). UVB radiation was 5 also significantly higher under the unshaded treatment (mean=289.67 μ w cm⁻²) than the shaded treatment (mean= 27.33 μ w cm⁻²) (P<0.001; Lsd=3.5). PAR (between 11:00 and 6 7 12:00) measured under unshaded conditions was significantly greater (mean= 1767 µmol m⁻² 8 s⁻¹) compared with the shade treatment (180 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) (P<0.001; Lsd=122.7). Day time 9 mean temperature under unshaded conditions (mean=32 °C) was significantly greater than that measured under shade (mean= 25 °C) (P=0.01; Lsd=4.14), whilst there was no significant 10 11 difference in relative humidity measured in unshaded (mean=57%) compared with the shaded 12 treatment (62%) (P=0.08; Lsd=6). 13

14 **4.2.1 Total phenolics**

15 A significant interaction between clone and shade treatments was observed in the concentration of total phenolics in leaves (P=0.03). For all three cocoa clones, the 16 17 concentration of phenolics was higher under non-shaded conditions but the magnitude of the 18 difference was not consistent across clones (Fig. 3A). The difference between the phenolic 19 concentration of unshaded and shaded IMC 67 was greater than 18mg g-¹ while for CATIE 1000 and T85/799, the differences were approximately 12mg/g and 7mg/g, respectively. 20 21 Phenolic concentration in stems was also influenced by the shade treatments (P=0.04) (Fig. 3 22 B). There was a significant effect of shade on the phenolic concentration of CATIE 1000 23 (higher under no shade conditions) but not on the other two clones. In all, the phenolic 24 concentration of leaves (mean=89 mg/g) was significantly greater than that in stems (42 25 mg/g) (P<0.001; Lsd= 8.6).

26

Figure 3 here

27 **4.2.2** Nitrogen

There was a significant interaction between shade treatments and clone on the nitrogen concentration of stems (P=0.01). The effect of shade was significant only for CATIE 1000 and IMC 67. However, the direction of response was inconsistent as under the shaded

1	condition the nitrogen concentration of CATIE 1000 in stems was significantly greater than				
2	in unshaded trees while the reverse was observed for IMC 67 (Fig. 4).				
3					
4	Figure 4 here				
5	4.2.3 Soluble carbohydrates				
6	Carbohydrate concentration of leaves was significantly influenced by clone (P<0.001) as well				
7	as by shade treatments (P<0.001) (Fig. 5A). Carbohydrate concentration was greater in				
8	unshaded conditions and highest for IMC 67 (25mg/g). There was no significant interaction				
9	between clone type and shade treatments. It can be seen from Figure 5B that there is a				
10	significant interaction between shade treatments and clones on carbohydrate concentration in				
11	stems (P=0.01). In all cases, carbohydrate concentration was greater under the unshaded				
12	treatment but the magnitude of the difference was greatest for CATIE 1000.				
13					
14	Figure 5 here				
15	4.2.4 Mirid preference test for cocoa clones				
16	Stem cuttings from unshaded cocoa clones had significantly (P=0.003) more mirid feeding				
17	lesions after 24hrs exposure to previously starved 4 th instar mirids compared to stem cuttings				
18	from shaded cocoa clones (Fig. 6). The effect of shade on mirid feeding preference was				
19	greater for IMC 67 and T 85/799 than for CATIE 1000. There was however no significant				
20	effect of clone on mirid feeding preference.				
21	Figure 6 here				
22	5.0 Discussion				
23	Most phytophagous insects have a narrow range of host plants on which they feed. This host				
24	range is often limited by the presence or absence of chemical (secondary metabolites) or				
25	physical feeding stimulants or deterrents. Such chemical stimulants or deterrents are usually				
26	complex in nature and may have more than one function depending on the plant species in				
27	question (Close and McArthur, 2002, Lattanzio et al., 2009). Plant phenolic compounds, an				
28	example of such secondary metabolites, are found mainly in the epidermis and its appendages				
29	and may act as the first line of defence absorbing the harmful UV region of the light spectrum				
30	(Caldwell et al., 1983, Grammatikopoulos et al., 1999, van Emden, 1966). However, phenolic				
31	compounds may have some other important functions. They are reported to function as				
32	antifungal agents and due to their bitter taste, are considered as potential feeding deterrents to				
	10				

1 insect herbivores (Matern and Kneusel, 1988, Bernays et al., 1989, Berenbaum, 1995, 2 Haukioja et al., 2002). On the other hand, nutrients such as nitrogen and carbohydrates have 3 been reported to enhance insect growth and development (van Emden, 1966, Waring and 4 Cobb, 1992, Entwistle, 1985). This study sought to clarify the effect of light and temperature 5 on plant nutrients and phenolic compounds in cocoa, thereby potentially providing some 6 understanding as to why mirids prefer unshaded to shaded cocoa. Mirid numbers increase 7 under shaded cocoa when there is a break in the canopy permitting more light into the crop 8 canopy (Padi and Owusu, 1998, Babin et al., 2010).

9

Differences in phenolic concentration of leaves and stems observed under different light and 10 11 temperature treatments under controlled environment and shaded and unshaded cocoa in the field experiment suggest that light and temperature influences nutrients and phenolic 12 13 concentrations in leaves and stems of cocoa. Under controlled conditions, there was a trend of 14 increasing concentration of total phenolic compounds in young cocoa stems as PAR levels 15 decreased. This result was different from that observed in the field where significantly more 16 phenolic compounds were measured in unshaded compared to shaded cocoa. The difference 17 in the quality and quantity of light that plants were exposed to could explain the difference in 18 results obtained between controlled and field experiments. In the field, plants were subjected 19 to a broader spectrum of light and high levels of UVA and UVB were measured, which are 20 reported to influence the phenolic synthesis pathway in plants (Hatcher and Paul, 1994, 21 Zavala et al., 2001). However, UV light was absent in fluorescent tubes used in providing 22 light under the controlled environment experiment. As mirids preferred feeding on twigs kept 23 under unshaded conditions with relatively high phenolic concentrations as observed in the 24 mirid preference tests, high phenolic concentration of stems however, does not appear to be a 25 major deterrent to mirid feeding. These results suggest that, whilst phenolic compounds in 26 cocoa could provide protection against photo-damage from harmful rays from the sun they do 27 not necessarily act as defence against insect herbivory. This is in agreement with the report of 28 Close and McArthur (2002) as they concluded that plant phenolic compounds do not 29 necessarily provide defence against insect herbivory, but rather provide protection from 30 photo-damage. However, the results are not consistent with the report of Dudt and Shure (1994) that slow growing dogwood under shade produce more phenolics to act as feeding 31 32 deterrents as they are unable to grow rapidly enough to recover from pest damage. Hatcher 33 and Paul (1994) highlighted the risk in attributing changes in insect feeding preference only

to the effect of phenolic compounds and other plant secondary metabolites. It would appear from our results that, for cocoa, the presence of phenolics are not a major deterrent to insect feeding. Another hypothesis may be that mirids have evolved to be able to metabolize phenolic compounds.

5

6 The observation of higher levels of nitrogen in the controlled environment study with 7 increased light intensity was not experienced in the field. Moreover, the direction of response 8 to light conditions differed between cocoa genotypes. Entwistle (1972) and Anikwe et al. 9 (2009) have reported enhanced mirid feeding and development under high levels of nitrogen. 10 White et al. (1984), Ohmart et al. (1985) and Myers (1981) also reported enhanced insect 11 (Glycaspis spp.) growth and activity under conditions that increased the amount of nitrogen 12 available to insects in their food. Even though mirids preferred twigs obtained from unshaded conditions in the choice test, nitrogen level was only higher for one clone (IMC 67) under 13 14 such conditions. Thus, the results did not produce conclusive evidence of an effect of 15 nitrogen concentration of cocoa stem tissues on mirid feeding preference. A reduction in 16 nitrogen in some plant species is related to an increase in carbon-based phenolic compounds 17 (Kytö et al., 1996). Keski-Saari and Julkunen-Tiitto (2003) demonstrated that the 18 concentration of phenolics was higher in different parts of juvenile mountain birch plants 19 (Betula pubescens ssp. czerepanovii (N.I. Orlova) Hämet-Ahti) at lower levels of nitrogen 20 than at moderate nitrogen level. However, in the present study the effect of the variation in cocoa nitrogen concentrations on the level of phenolics was not consistent across clones. 21

22 Carbohydrate concentrations of leaves and stems in the field were higher under unshaded 23 compared with shaded conditions. This could be attributed to enhanced photosynthetic 24 activity and hence greater carbohydrate production under high light intensities. High 25 concentrations of carbohydrates in young shoots/stems under no shade may be a reason why 26 mirids prefer unshaded to shaded cocoa. The tender nature of such young stems with high 27 moisture content may also be a reason why mirids prefer them to older shoots/stems. The fact 28 that mirids preferentially fed on cocoa grown under unshaded conditions with higher 29 carbohydrate concentrations suggests that nutrient concentration could be an important 30 determinant of mirid feeding activity on cocoa. As there were no significant effect of clone on the number of mirid feeding lesions, the exposure of cocoa plants to different 31 32 environmental conditions was the critical factor determining mirid feeding preference. The 33 fact that nutrient status appears to impact on mirid feeding preference could explain

1 inconsistencies in reporting of which cocoa clones are resistant to mirid damage across West 2 Africa. Mirid resistant clones in one country have been reported to be susceptible in another 3 (N'Guessan et al., 2008, Anikwe et al., 2009). The effects of prevailing environmental 4 conditions and hence stem carbohydrate levels are usually not considered when clones are 5 tested. However, our results show that, in some cases, environmental conditions may override 6 inherent genotypic factors that might incur pest resistance. Therefore, it is important that 7 when screening for mirid resistance the cocoa clones should be grown and tested under a 8 range of uniform conditions.

9

10 Conclusion

Light intensities and temperature both had an impact on nitrogen and carbohydrate concentrations in cocoa tissues, whilst UV radiation was associated with an increase in phenolics. Since mirids preferentially fed on cocoa stems that were higher in phenolics and nutrients, it is concluded that phenolics do not deter mirid feeding but that higher nutrient concentration, specifically carbohydrates, provides a plausible explanation for preferential feeding.

17

18 Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Mondelez Inc. and BBSRC-Dorothy Hodgkin Postgraduate Award for sponsoring this study as well as CRIG management for logistical support. We are also grateful to staff of the Entomology, Physiology-Biochemistry and Soil Science divisions of CRIG for their help in collecting data for the study, notably Dickson Ampadu, Abraham Sowah, Ebenezer Obeng Mintah, Godson Fiakegbe, Rafiatu Kotie and Enoch Chawudzi. This paper is published with the kind permission of the Executive Director of CRIG (CRIG/03/2020/009/006).

- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31

1 **References**

- Acheampong, K., Hadley, P & Daymond, A.J. (2013). Photosynthetic activity and early
 growth of four cacao genotypes as influenced by different shade regimes under West
 African dry and wet season condition. *Experimental Agriculture*, 49, 31-42.
- Acheampong, K. (2010). A physiological study on the field establishment of cacao clones
 through the improvement of agro-ecological conditions. PhD thesis, University of
 Reading, Reading.
- Ahenkorah, Y. & Akrofi, G. S. (1971). Recent results of fertilizer experiments on shaded
 cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) in Ghana. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Cocoa Research Conference, pp 65-78.* Accra, Ghana.
- Ahenkorah, Y., Akrofi, G. S. & Adri, A. K. (1974). The end of the first cocoa shade and
 manurial experiment at the Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana. *Journal of Horticultural Science*, 49, 43-51.
- Altieri, M. A. & Nicholls, C. I. (2003). Soil fertility management and insect pests:
 harmonizing soil and plant health in agroecosystems. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 72, 203-211.
- Anikwe, J. C. (2010). Feeding preference and morphometrics of *Sahlbergella singularis* (Hemiptera: Miridae) on cocoa pod at different stages of physiological development.
 Academic Journal of Entomology, *3*, 39-44.
- Anikwe, J. C., Omoloye, A. A., Aikpokpodion, P. O., Okelana, F. A. & Eskes, A. B. (2009).
 Evaluation of resistance in selected cocoa genotypes to the brown cocoa mirid,
 Sahlbergella singularis Haglund in Nigeria. Crop Protection, 28, 350-355.
- Awudzi, G. K., Ackonor, J. B., Cudjoe, A. R., Dwomoh, E. A. & Sarfo, J. E. (2009). *Manual for cocoa insect pests, symptoms of their damage and methods of their control,* New Tafo, Akim, Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana.
- Babin, R., Bisseleua, D. H. B., Dibog, L. & Lumaret, J. P. (2008). Rearing method and lifetable data for the cocoa mirid bug *Sahlbergella singularis* Haglund (Hemiptera:
 Miridae). *Journal of Applied Entomology*, *132*, 366–374.
- Babin, R., Ten Hoopen, G. M., Cilas, C., Enjalric, F., Gendre, P. & Lumaret, J.-P. (2010).
 Impact of shade on the spatial distribution of *Sahlbergella singularis* in traditional cocoa agroforests. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*, *12*, 69-79.
- Berenbaum, M. R. (1995). The chemistry of defense: Theory and practice. *In:* Meinwald, J.
 & Eisner, T. (eds.) *Chemical Ecology: The Chemistry of Biotic Interaction*.
 Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.
- Bernays, E. A., Cooper Driver, G. & Bilgener, M. (1989). Herbivores And Plant Tannins. *In:* Begon, M., Fitter, A. H., Ford, E. D. & Macfadyen, A. (eds.) *Advances in Ecological Research*. London: Academic Press.
- Bigger, M. (1981). Observations on the insect fauna of shaded and unshaded Amelonado
 cocoa. Bulletin of Entomological Research, 71, 107-119.
- Bos, M. M., Steffan-Dewenter, I. & Tscharntke, T. (2007). Shade tree management affects
 fruit abortion, insect pests and pathogens of cacao. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 120*, 201-205.
- Bremner, J. M. & Mulvaney, C. S. (1982). Total Nitrogen. In: Page, A. L., Miller, R. H. &
 Keeney, D. R. (eds.) Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological
 properties. American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America.:
 Madison Wisconsin Inc. 593-624.
- Bryant, J. P., Chapin, F. S., Iii & Klein, D. R. (1983). Carbon/Nutrient Balance of Boreal
 Plants in Relation to Vertebrate Herbivory. *Oikos, 40*, 357-368.

- Caldwell, M., Robberecht, R. & Flint, S. (1983). Internal filters: Prospects for UVacclimation in higher plants. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 58, 445-450.
- Campbell, C. A. M. (1984). The influence of overhead shade and fertilizers on the
 Homoptera of mature Upper-Amazon cocoa trees in Ghana. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 74, 163-174.
- 6 Close, D. C. & Mcarthur, C. (2002). Rethinking the role of many plant phenolics protection
 7 from photodamage not herbivores? *Oikos*, *99*, 166-172.
- Bubois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Reber, P. A. & Smith, F. (1956). Calorimetric
 method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Analytical Chemistry*, 28, 350-356.
- Dudt, J. F. & Shure, D. J. (1994). The influence of light and nutrients on foliar phenolics and
 insect herbivory. *Ecology*, 75, 86-98.
- Duffey, S. S. & Stout, M. J. (1996). Antinutritive and toxic components of plant defense
 against insects. Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology, 32, 3-37.
- End, M. J. 1990. A study of the effects of the photo-thermal environment on fruit and seed
 growth and development in Theobroma cacao L., The University of Reading.
- 17 Entwistle, P. F. (1972). *Pests of Cocoa*, Longman Group Ltd. 221-311.
- Entwistle, P. F. (1985). Insects and cocoa. *In:* Wood, G. A. R. & Lass, R. A. (eds.) *Cocoa*,
 pp. 366-409. 4th ed.: Longman Group Limited.
- Grammatikopoulos, G., Petropoulou, Y. & Manetas, Y. (1999). Site-dependent differences in
 transmittance and UV-B-absorbing capacity of isolated leaf epidermes and mesophyll
 in Urginea maritima (L.) Baker. Journal of Experimental Botany, 50, 517-521.
- Halkier, B. A. & Du, L. (1997). The biosynthesis of glucosinolates. *Trends in Plant Science*, 2, 425-431.
- Hatcher, P. E. & Paul, N. D. (1994). The effect of elevated UV-B radiation on herbivory of
 pea by Autographa gamma. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 71, 227-233.
- Haukioja, E., Ossipov, V. & Lempa, K. (2002). Interactive effects of leaf maturation and
 phenolics on consumption and growth of a geometrid moth. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 104, 125–136.
- Keski-Saari, S. & Julkunen-Tiitto, R. (2003). Resource allocation in different parts of
 juvenile mountain birch plants: effect of nitrogen supply on seedling phenolics and
 growth. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 118, 114-126.
- Kytö, M., Niemelä, P. & Larsson, S. (1996). Insects on trees: Population and individual
 response to fertilization. *Oikos*, 75, 148-159.
- Lattanzio, V., Kroon, P. A., Quideau, S. & Treutter, D. (2009). *Plant Phenolics Secondary Metabolites with Diverse Functions*, Wiley-Blackwell.
- Lee, K. P., Raubenheimer, D., Behmer, S. T. & Simpson, S. J. (2003). A correlation between
 macronutrient balancing and insect host-plant range: evidence from the specialist
 caterpillar Spodoptera exempta (Walker). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 49, 1161 1171.
- 41 Matern, U. & Kneusel, R. E. (1988). Phenolic compounds in plant disease resistance.
 42 *Phytoparasitica*, 16, 153–170.
- Myers, J. H. & Post, B. J. (1981). Plant nitrogen and fluctuations of insect populations: A test
 with the Cinnabar Moth: Tansy Ragwort System. *Oecologia*, 48, 151-156.
- N'guessan, K. F., N'goran, J. A. K. & Eskes, A. B. (2008). Resistance of cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) to *Sahlbergella singularis* (Hemiptera: Miridae): investigation of antixenosis, antibiosis and tolerance. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, 28, 201-210.

- Ohmart, C. P., Stewart, L. G., Thomas, J. R. & Steward, L. G. (1985). Effects of food quality,
 particularly nitrogen concentrations, of *Eucalyptus blakelyi* foliage on the growth of
 Paropsis atomaria larvae (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Oecologia*, 65, 543-549.
- 4 Ossipov, V., Haukioja, E., Ossipova, S., Hanhimäki, S. & Pihlaja, K. (2001). Phenolic and
 5 phenolic-related factors as determinants of suitability of mountain birch leaves to an
 6 herbivorous insect. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 29, 223-240.
- Padi, B. & Owusu, G. K. (1998). Towards an integrated pest management for sustainable
 cocoa production in Ghana. *Workshop*. Panama, Smithsonian Institution, Washington,
 D.C.
- Singleton, V. L. & Rossi, J. A. (1965). Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolibdic phosphotungstic acid reagents. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 16, 144 158.
- Stotz, H. U., Kroymann, J. & Mitchell-Olds, T. (1999). Plant-insect interactions. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 2, 268-272.
- Thompson, S. N. & Hagen, K. S. (1999). Nutrition of entomophagous insects and other
 arthropods. *In:* Thomas, S. B., Fisher, T. W., Caltagirone, L. E., Dahlsten, D. L.,
 Gordh, G. & Huffaker, C. B. (eds.) *Handbook of Biological Control*. San Diego:
 Academic Press.
- Vagner De Alencar Arnaut De Toledo, Maria Claudia Colla Ruvolo-Takasusuki, Arildo José
 Braz De Oliveira, Emerson Dechechi Chambó & Lopes, S. M. S. (2012).
 Spectrophotometry as a Tool for Dosage Sugars in Nectar of Crops Pollinated by
 Honeybees. *In:* Uddin, D. J. (ed.) *Macro To Nano Spectroscopy*. InTech.
- Van Emden, H. F. (1966). Studies on the relationships of insect and plant host. III. A
 comparison of the reproduction of *Brevicoryne brassicae* and *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on Brussels sprout plants supplied with different rates of
 nitrogen and potassium. *Entomologia experimentalis et applicata*, 9, 444-460.
- Waring, R. H. & Cobb, N. S. (1992). The impact of plant stress on herbivore population
 dynamics. *In:* Bernays, E. (ed.) *Insect-plant interactions*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- White, T. C. R. (1984). The abundance of invertebrate herbivores in relation to the availability of nitrogen in stressed food plants. *Oecologia*, 63, 90-105.
- Yemm, E. W. & Willis, A. J. (1954). The estimation of carbohydrates in plant extracts by
 anthron. *Biochemical Journal*, 57, 508–514.
- Zavala, J. A., Scopel, A. L. & Ballaré, C. L. (2001). Effects of ambient UV-B radiation on
 soybean crops: Impact on leaf herbivory by *Anticarsia gemmatalis*. *Plant Ecology*,
 156, 121-130.
- 36
- 20
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 40
- 42
- 43

1 Figure legends

2

Figure 1: Effect of light and temperature on percentage nitrogen concentration in the leaves
(A) and stems (B) of young cocoa. Each bar represents a mean of three replicates.

5
6 Figure 2: Effect of PAR and temperature on carbohydrate concentration in cocoa leaves (A)
7 and stems (B). Each bar represents a mean of three replicates. Note difference in scales
8 between A & B.

- Figure 3: The interaction of shade treatments and clone on total phenolic concentration of
 young leaves (A) and stems (B). Note the difference in scales. Each bar represents a mean of
- 11 six replicates.

Figure 4: The interaction of shade and unshaded treatments and clone types on nitrogenconcentration of stems. Each bar represents a mean of six replicates.

- 14 **Figure 5:** Interaction between shade treatments and clone type on soluble carbohydrate
- 15 concentration in the leaves (A) and stems (B) of young cocoa. Each bar represents a mean of16 six replicates.

Figure 6: Mirid feeding preference on stem cuttings from shaded and unshaded cocoa. Eachbar represents a mean of sixteen replicates.

19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			

1 Figures



Figure 1: Effect of light and temperature on percentage nitrogen concentration in the leaves
(A) and stems (B) of young cocoa. Each bar represents a mean of three replicates.





Figure 3: The interaction of shade treatments and clone on total phenolic concentration of
 young leaves (A) and stems (B). Note the difference in scales. Each bar represents a mean of
 six replicates.









Figure 6: Mirid feeding preference on stem cuttings from shaded and unshaded cocoa. Each
 bar represents a mean of sixteen replicates.