

The stratospheric polar vortex and sudden stratospheric warmings

Article

Accepted Version

Lee, S. H. (2021) The stratospheric polar vortex and sudden stratospheric warmings. *Weather*, 76 (1). pp. 12-13. ISSN 0043-1656 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/wea.3868> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/93156/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wea.3868>

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

41 this reason that, unlike the Antarctic, a large ozone hole does not form in the Arctic stratosphere
42 each winter. The much colder temperatures within the Antarctic vortex allow for the formation
43 of polar stratospheric clouds that catalyse ozone depletion.

44 **What is a sudden stratospheric warming?**

45 A particularly extreme case of stratospheric vortex weakening is known as a *sudden*
46 *stratospheric warming* (SSW), so-called because of the rapid rise in the temperature of the
47 polar stratosphere (~50°C in a few days). Associated with the rapid rise in temperature is a
48 dramatic deceleration of the polar night jet. In cases when this is particularly strong, the event
49 may be classified as a *major* SSW (usually defined as easterly zonal-mean winds at 10 hPa and
50 60°N). Major SSWs occur approximately once every other winter in the Arctic, while only 1
51 has been observed in the Antarctic in 2002; a significant deceleration in 2019 came close.

52 SSWs take on a variety of forms. The two most common categories are those where the polar
53 vortex is nudged off the pole in a *displacement* event, driven largely by amplification of
54 wavenumber 1, and those where it is broken into two smaller vortices in a *split* event, driven
55 largely by an amplification of wavenumber 2. An example of a split-type SSW in January 2009
56 is shown in Figure 1, contrasted with an undisturbed vortex during February 2020. The
57 disrupted vortex following an SSW can persist for several weeks until it reforms.

58 **How predictable is the stratosphere?**

59 Generally, the stratosphere varies on longer timescales than the troposphere, so is more
60 predictable overall. However, the onset of both major SSWs and strong vortex events can be
61 difficult to predict more than 2 weeks in advance (although *probabilistic* skill exists for
62 seasonal forecasts), partly due to the predictability of the wave activity from the troposphere
63 and how it interacts with the vortex. Despite this limitation, forecasts which are started in
64 particularly weak or strong vortex states tend to have better longer-term skill. This, combined
65 with the long duration of extreme stratospheric states, can provide longer-term ('sub-seasonal')
66 predictability beyond the typical 2-week timeframe of weather forecasts.

67 **Further Reading**

68 Charlton, A.J. and Polvani, L.M., 2007. A new look at stratospheric sudden warmings. Part I:
69 Climatology and modeling benchmarks. *Journal of Climate*, 20(3), pp.449-469,
70 <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3996.1>


71 Lee, S.H. and Butler, A.H., 2020. The 2018–2019 Arctic stratospheric polar vortex. *Weather*,
72 75(2), pp.52-57, <https://doi.org/10.1002/wea.3643>

73 Kidston, J., Scaife, A.A., Hardiman, S.C., Mitchell, D.M., Butchart, N., Baldwin, M.P. and
74 Gray, L.J., 2015. Stratospheric influence on tropospheric jet streams, storm tracks and surface
75 weather. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(6), pp.433-440, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2424>

76

77

78



79

80 *Figure 1: 10 hPa temperatures (top row) and wind speeds (bottom row) during a strong*
 81 *vortex event on 17 February 2020 (left-hand column) and during a major SSW on 25 January*
 82 *2009 (right-hand column). Data from ECMWF ERA5 reanalysis.*