

Assessing the reliability of peatland GPP measurements by remote sensing: from plot to landscape scale

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Lees, K. J., Khomik, M., Quaife, T. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6896-4613, Clark, J. M. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0412-8824, Hill, T., Klein, D., Ritson, J. and Artz, R. R. E. (2021) Assessing the reliability of peatland GPP measurements by remote sensing: from plot to landscape scale. Science of the Total Environment, 766. 142613. ISSN 0048-9697 doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142613 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/93388/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142613

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

Assessing the reliability of peatland GPP measurements by 1 remote sensing: from plot to landscape scale. 2

Kirsten J Lees^{1,2*}, Myroslava Khomik³, Tristan Quaife⁴, Joanna M Clark¹, Tim Hill², 3 4 Daniela Klein⁵, Jonathan Ritson⁶, and Rebekka RE Artz⁷

- 6 7 8 9 10 Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading, Whiteknights, RG6 6DW, 1. UK.
 - 2. Department of Geography, University of Exeter, Streatham Campus, Exeter, EX4 4QE, UK
 - University of Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada 3.
 - National Centre for Earth Observation, Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, 4. Whiteknights, RG6 6BB, UK.
- 12 5. Forsinard Flows RSPB Office, Forsinard, KW13 6YT, UK
- 13 Imperial College London, SW7 2A7 UK 6.

5

11

35 36

37

14 The James Hutton Institute, Craigiebuckler, Aberdeen, AB15 8QH, UK 7.

15 Abstract. Estimates of peatland carbon fluxes based on remote sensing data are a useful addition to monitoring 16 methods in these remote and precious ecosystems, but there are questions as to whether large-scale estimates are 17 reliable given the small-scale heterogeneity of many peatlands. Our objective was to consider the reliability of 18 models based on Earth Observations for estimating ecosystem photosynthesis at different scales using the 19 Forsinard Flows RSPB reserve in Northern Scotland as our study site. Three sites across the reserve were 20 monitored during the growing season of 2017. One site is near-natural blanket bog, and the other two are at 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 different stages of the restoration process after removal of commercial conifer forestry. At each site we measured small (flux chamber) and landscape scale (eddy covariance) CO₂ fluxes, small scale spectral data using a handheld spectrometer, and obtained corresponding satellite data from MODIS. The variables influencing GPP at small scale, including microforms and dominant vegetation species, were assessed using exploratory factor analysis. A GPP model using land surface temperature and a measure of greenness from remote sensing data was tested and compared to chamber and eddy covariance CO₂ fluxes; this model returned good results at all scales (Pearson's correlations of 0.57 to 0.71 at small scale, 0.76 to 0.86 at large scale). We found that the effect of microtopography on GPP fluxes at the study sites was spatially and temporally inconsistent, although connected to water content and vegetation species. The GPP fluxes measured using EC were larger than those using chambers at all sites, and 30 the reliability of the TG model at different scales was dependent on the measurement methods used for calibration 31 and validation. This suggests that GPP measurements from remote sensing are robust at all scales, but that the 32 methods used for calibration and validation will impact accuracy. 33

34 Keywords: TG model, photosynthesis, NDVI, satellite, blanket bog

*Corresponding author: K.lees@exeter.ac.uk

38 1 Introduction

- 39 Peatlands are important ecosystems for carbon sequestration, but many areas in the Northern
- 40 Hemisphere have experienced degradation through human land use. As an organic-rich, water-
- 41 saturated substrate, peat stores huge amounts of carbon relative to the land area it occupies due
- 42 to inhibited decomposition. In Scotland, peatlands store 56% of total soil carbon whilst
- 43 occupying 24% of the land area (Chapman et al., 2009). Many peatland areas have, however,
- 44 been subject to managements such as draining, grazing, burning and planting for commercial

45 forestry, which have reduced saturation and increased bulk density of the peat (JNCC, 2011).
46 Restoration of peatland areas is of interest to policy makers as a carbon emissions abatement
47 scheme (IUCN, 2016; European Commission, 2018), but needs to be based on a robust
48 understanding of peatland ecosystems in order to effectively reverse previous damage.
49 Practitioners need techniques to assess changes in peatland carbon fluxes at a landscape scale
50 in order to measure the success of restoration processes and detect where to focus further
51 efforts.

52 Upscaling of ecosystem processes is an important research area in ecology, as landscape and 53 regional scale estimates are needed for policy decisions and carbon accounting (Fu et al., 2014; 54 Le Clec'h et al., 2018). Blanket bogs (peatland covering large areas and sustained by rainfall 55 and relatively low annual temperature fluctuations (Lindsay, 2010)) in particular have small-56 scale heterogeneity in topographic features known as hummocks and hollows, which can vary 57 at scales of less than a metre (Belyea and Clymo, 2001). This microtopographical variation 58 influences vegetation communities, which can induce significant variation in carbon fluxes (Dinsmore et al., 2009; Arroyo-Mora et al., 2018; Peichl et al., 2018). 59

60 Conventional methods of carbon dioxide (CO₂) exchange measurement include flux chambers 61 and Eddy Covariance (EC) towers, both of which cover relatively small areas and are expensive 62 to manage and maintain. Remote sensing has the potential to help monitor carbon fluxes in 63 these important, remote and extensive areas that are difficult to access for conventional fieldbased measurements as well as sensitive to trampling, yet little testing of methods has been 64 65 carried out (Lees et al., 2018). The existence of satellites with very fine spatial resolution (to 66 tens of metres in freely accessible data) means that studies can now consider variation within 67 a landscape, but the microtopography of blanket bogs is still at a scale that is too fine to be 68 detectable from non-commercial satellite data (Becker et al., 2008). Models using satellite data 69 to estimate carbon fluxes are being developed to cover large areas (Lees et al., 2018) and have recently shown successes in estimating carbon fluxes from peatland landscapes (Kross, Seaquist and Roulet, 2016; Lees, Quaife, *et al.*, 2019), but there is still uncertainty over whether these models can adequately detect the variation from small-scale peatland heterogeneity (Zhang *et al.*, 2007; Arroyo-Mora *et al.*, 2018). The focus of this study is therefore to assess whether the small-scale variations in carbon fluxes due to microtopography can be detected using remote sensing data, and whether large scale estimates using these techniques are a reliable estimate of the average fluxes resulting from these mosaic landscapes.

A Temperature and Greenness (TG) model is specifically considered in this study, as this has previously been shown to give good agreement with EC data over the same study area as used in this work (Lees, Quaife, *et al.*, 2019). This model combines a measure of land surface temperature with a vegetation index, in this case the Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), to give an estimate of Gross Primary Productivity (GPP).

82 The aim of this work is to consider what factors affect GPP in blanket bog, and whether the 83 results from large scale models using satellite data can give reliable estimates of photosynthesis 84 measurements made at smaller scales. We hypothesise that the TG model will give good 85 agreement with chamber flux data at the small scale, and with EC data at the larger scale. We 86 also expect that the measurements and estimates at different spatial scales will show similar 87 results in both patterns and values. The approaches are tested at the Forsinard Flows RSPB 88 (Royal Society for the Protection of Birds) reserve, which is an ideal study location as it has a chronosequence of areas undergoing restoration from commercial forestry (Hancock et al., 89 90 2018), and long-term Eddy Covariance (EC) monitoring of greenhouse gas emissions 91 (Hambley et al., 2019) at several of the restoration sites.

92 2 Methods

93 2.1. Field sites

This research is based at three field sites within the Forsinard Flows RSPB reserve in Northern
Scotland (approx. 58.36, -4.04 to 58.43, -3.63, WGS84). The reserve is part of the much larger
blanket bog Flow Country EU Natura site. Cross Lochs is a near natural site (see Levy and
Gray, (2015), where no drainage has been applied. An EC tower is located at 58.3703,-3.9644
(WGS84), elevation 211 m.

99 Talaheel and Lonielist are both sites undergoing restoration, which were previously drained 100 and subsequently planted for commercial conifer (sitka spruce *Picea sitchensis* and lodgepole 101 pine *Pinus contorta*) forestry in the mid to late 1980s.

Talaheel was initially felled in 1998, with the trees laid into the planting furrows; some areas
have since undergone partial further landscaping (which affects half the points in this study) to
crush the decomposing conifer brash and to create peat dams in the furrows (winter 2015/16).
This has led to raised water levels across the site (see Hancock et al., 2018). The EC tower is
located at 58.4146, -3.8006 (WGS84), elevation 196 m.

107 The conifer plantation at Lonielist was felled in winter 2003/2004. At the time of measurement, 108 it retained the distinctive pattern of ridges on which the trees were planted, and drainage ditches 109 infilled with the felled trees. This site had undergone no further management until the end of 110 this project (-end of 2017). The EC tower is located at 58.3910, -3.7651 (WGS84), elevation 111 180 m.

All three sites are subject to some light grazing by wild red deer (*Cervus elephantus*). Talaheel
is fenced as part of a larger enclosure including some forestry, although some deer are present
inside the fence, whilst Lonielist and Cross Lochs are entirely open to grazing.

Small scale measurement points were set up in the area within each site's EC tower footprint.
The precise distances from the tower and dominant wind directions (Northwest and Southwest)
were determined from Hambley (2016) to incorporate appropriate locations within the average

118 flux tower footprints. At each site two perpendicular crossing transects were set up, one 119 including five points and extending away from the tower into the dominant wind direction, and one including four points and extending into the secondary wind direction (see Figure 1). At 120 121 Lonielist the main transect was 80 m and the secondary transect was 60 m, with all points 20 122 m apart. At Talaheel the transects were 100 m and 75 m with the points 25 m apart, and at 123 Cross Lochs the transects were 120 m and 90 m with points 30 m apart. At each point two PVC 124 collars (24 cm in diameter) were placed: one on higher microforms (ridges in the restored sites, 125 hummocks at Cross Lochs) and one on lower microforms (in the furrows at the restored sites, 126 hollows at Cross Lochs); therefore, there were 16 collars at each of the three sites. The collars 127 were 8 cm depth and were inserted to approximately 4 cm below ground. At least 24 hours 128 were allowed between collar insertion and first measurements.



129

Figure 1 – Location of points within the tower footprint. Two collars, one on a higher microform and one in a lower area, were placed at each point.

133 <i>2.2</i> .	Chamber	flux	measurements
------------------	---------	------	--------------

Monthly in situ CO₂ flux measurements beginning March and ending September 2017 were taken using a LICOR-8100A (LICOR Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) portable infrared gas analyser and custom Perspex chambers of 24 cm diameter and 30 cm height. Small 9V batteryoperated fans were installed within the chambers to circulate the air. The two chambers, one clear and one covered with a blackout cloth, were sealed to the collars using rubber mastic

(Terostat), and consecutive measurements were taken with a brief aeration period as the chambers were exchanged. Each measurement period was five minutes, with a 20 second premeasurement stabilisation period. Chamber flux measurements were usually taken between 8 am and 2 pm, although this was sometimes altered due to weather conditions. Each collar was measured once with a clear-chamber and once with a blackout chamber on each visit except when adverse weather conditions prevented a full dataset being collected.

145 2.3. Field spectrometry

146 Spectral measurements in the field were taken on the same visits as the chamber flux data 147 collection using a handheld SVC HR-1024 (Spectra Vista Corporation, spectral resolution 3.5 to 9.5 nm) spectroradiometer mounted on a monopod and held approximately 1m from the 148 surface using an 8° FOV lens with an on-the-ground footprint within the diameter of the collars. 149 150 The spectral range of the instrument is from 337 nm to 2521 nm. Three measurements were 151 taken of the vegetation within each collar, at three different angles to minimise structural effects 152 (opposite the position of the sun and at 90° to either side). A Spectralon reference panel was 153 also measured before each observation (within a minute) to normalise from radiance to 154 reflectance.

155 The Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is calculated from the difference 156 between reflectance in red wavelengths of light, which plants absorb strongly, and the near-157 infrared (NIR), which plants reflect:

158 NDVI = $(R_{NIR} - R_{red})/(R_{NIR} + R_{red})$ (1)

In this study we calculated the red and NIR bands as the average of the values in wavelengths630-680 nm and 845-885 nm respectively.

161 2.4. Other factors measured in the field

162 Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) was measured outside the chamber during clear chamber measurements. Soil moisture was measured using a moisture probe with 6 cm prongs 163 (Theta probe ML2x connected to HH2 moisture meter, Delta-T Devices). At the Lonielist site, 164 165 dipwells were inserted within a metre of each collar, and the water level was monitored 166 manually at the same time as the spectral measurements were taken. A lollipop thermometer (Fisherbrand, accurate to $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C) was used to measure soil temperature outside the collar at two 167 168 different depths, 5 cm and 15 cm. The thermometer was also used to measure temperature 169 within the vegetation inside the chamber at the start and end of each flux measurement. These 170 measurements were taken on the same dates and at the same plots as other monitoring (above). To consider the different vegetation communities of the microforms, the species within the 171 172 collars were surveyed in June 2017. All species were recorded as percentage cover over the 173 area of the collar, and overlapping canopies sometimes allowed total percentage cover to be 174 over 100%. Six species which were found at all three sites were selected as indicators of 175 microform vegetation communities. These are shown in Table I.

176 177 **Table I** – species selected which were present at all three sites, which microform they prefer, and their average (and standard deviation) percentage coverage in collars at each site.

Common name	Latin name	Hummock or Hollow	Lonielist	Talaheel	Cross Lochs
Heather	Calluna vulgaris	Hummock	7.5 ± 11.7 %	4.7 ± 9.8 %	9.7 ± 9.5 %
Common cotton grass	Eriophorum angustifolium	Hollow	10.9 ± 13.6 %	17.9 ± 15 %	9.4 ± 10.5 %
Reindeer lichen	Cladonia portentosa	Hummock	12.8 ± 18 %	17.6 ± 26.9 %	11.4 ± 18.2 %
Red bogmoss	Sphagnum capillifolium	Hummock	19.9 ± 22.5 %	16.7 ± 30.1 %	27.7 ± 18.1 %
Red- stemmed feather moss	Pleurozium schreberi	Hollow	12.3 ± 22.1 %	23.6 ± 27.9 %	3.9 ± 7.2 %

Deer grass	Trichiophorum	Hollow	$0.6~\pm~2.5$	$4.9~\pm~8.3$	21.8	±
	germanicum		%	%	21.6 %	

180 2.5. Eddy Covariance

Eddy covariance data from the whole of 2017 was used, except for Lonielist where data
collection began on the 24th of March.

183 Net ecosystem exchange of CO₂ (NEE) at Lonielist was measured using a LI-7200 enclosed 184 CO₂/H₂O infrared gas analyser (LI-COR Biosciences Inc. Lincoln, NE, USA), and a Gill HS-185 50 3-D sonic anemometer (Gill Instruments, Lymington, UK). Data was collected at 20Hz 186 frequency and recorded every half-hour onto a 16GB USB by the LI-7550 Analyzer Interface 187 Unit (LICOR Biosciences, Inc. NE, USA). An insulated 1-meter intake tube was used and the 188 flow was controlled by the Flow Module (7200-101, Li-Cor Inc., Nebraska, USA) to be about 15L/min. The instruments were mounted on top of a scaffolding-tower at 2.90 m height, 189 190 pointing into the predominant wind direction (W-SW, 240° North offset).

At Talaheel, NEE was measured using the LI-7500A open path CO₂/H₂O gas analyser (LI-COR Biosciences Inc. Lincoln, NE, USA) with a custom enclosure added to the analyser to create an enclosed system (Clement *et al.*, 2009), and a CSAT sonic anemometer (Campbell Scientific, Logan, USA) (Hambley *et al.*, 2019). Data was measured at 10Hz frequency and recorded every half-hour on a flash-card by the CR5000 datalogger. Instruments were set-up at 4.3 m height on a scaffolding tower.

At Cross Lochs NEE was measured by the IRGASON - an open-path infra-red gas analyser integrated into a 3D-CSAT anemometer, and controlled by the EC100 electronics control module (Campbell Scientific Ltd. UK). Data was measured at 10Hz, processed by the onboard EasyFluxDL software (Campbell Scientific Ltd. UK) into half-hourly corrected and averaged fluxes and recorded on a flashcard by the CR3000 datalogger. EasyFluxDL software processes

the EC data using commonly used corrections in the scientific literature (Campbell Scientific,
203 2016). The instruments were set up at 2.3 m height on a tri-pod tower, pointing 310° NW in
the predominant wind direction.

205 The flux data collected by the EC systems at Lonielist and Talaheel were processed using the 206 EddyPro® software (v7.0.4, Li-Cor Inc, Nebraska, USA), in Express mode, on a PC in the 207 office. Similar to EasyFluxDL, EddyPro® uses the most accepted and cited techniques in 208 scientific literature to compute fully-processed half-hourly fluxes. For more details on 209 EddyPro®, please see the EddyPro® manual (LI-COR Biosciences, 2017) and Fratini and 210 Mauder (2014). The processed half-hourly NEE fluxes from all three sites were further 211 processed in a custom R-software script (R Core Team, 2018) to quality check the data -212 making sure that each half hour had at least 80% of records, that each half hour NEE value was 213 within 3.5 standard deviations of the running 10-hour means and that the data was within 214 physically plausible values for each ecosystem. Using R-code adapted from 215 "http://footprint.kljun.net/download.php" [November 2018]), a flux footprint analysis was 216 performed following Kljun et al. (2015) to ensure that all fluxes originated from within 80% 217 of the area of interest. Footprint filtered NEE fluxes were gap-filled and partitioned into GPP 218 and Re, following the methods and code (REddyProc, R-script) of Wutzler et al. (2018). This 219 script also estimated the u-star threshold for the data, which was used to further filter out data 220 during times of low turbulence, before partitioning and gap-filling.

Measurements at Lonielist began in March, so 23% of the data was missing at the start of the 2017 year. 26% of available (13550 hh) NEE half-hours were gap-filled at Lonielist, 52% at Talaheel (of 17520 hh), and 60% at Cross Lochs (of 17520hh).

For comparison with the chamber and spectrometer data (TG1, see Section 2.7), the EC halfhourly data covering the same time periods as the chamber flux measurements were used, doubled to give an hourly timestep. For comparison with the TG model using MODIS data (TG2, see Section 2.7), the EC fluxes were averaged across 8-day periods and then multiplied
to give daily values, following Lees, Quaife, *et al.* (2019).

229 2.6. Satellite data

The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on satellite Terra was used in 230 231 this study as an example of a medium resolution broad band satellite, which is widely used in 232 environmental studies. Pixels containing the EC towers were downloaded for this analysis. 233 Two MODIS products were used in this study, the 250 m MOD13Q1 NDVI product (Didan, 234 2015), and the 1 km MOD11A2 Daytime Land Surface Temperature (LST) product (Wan, 235 Hook and Hulley, 2015). The NDVI product is given in 16-day periods, whilst the LST product 236 is given in 8-day periods. The MODIS data products were downloaded using the MODIS 237 ORNL web service through Matlab code (Santhana Vannan et al., 2009). Cloud filtering was 238 applied to remove pixels extensively affected by cloud cover, whilst letting through data which 239 was affected by clouds but still useable (Lees, Quaife, et al., 2019). Each of the MODIS 240 products contains information about the quality of the data in each pixel, and this was used to 241 select which 8-day or 16-day pixels were useable. MOD13Q1 pixel reliability index was used 242 to remove snow/ice or cloud affected values, whilst allowing marginal data. MOD11A2 quality 243 control data was used to remove periods when data was not produced due to cloud effects or other issues. 17-50% of the data at each site were excluded following this protocol. Gap-filling 244 245 was then performed across each year using the techniques described by Wang et al. (2012), before combining the data into the TG model. 246

247 2.7. *The TG model*

The Temperature and Greenness (TG) model combines a measure of temperature with a vegetation index to give an estimate of GPP (Sims *et al.*, 2008). The model is formulated following Moore et al. (2013), but using NDVI following the results of Lees, Quaife, *et al.*(2019):

252 $GPP = NDVIs \times LSTs \times m$ (2)

- 253 NDVIs = NDVI 0.1 (3)
- LSTs = min[(LST-minLST)/(optLST-minLST), (maxLST-LST)/(maxLST-optLST)](4)

255 Where NDVIs is the scaled Normalised Difference Vegetation Index and LSTs is the scaled 256 Land Surface Temperature (see Sims et al., 2008; Lees, Quaife, et al., 2019). The scaled NDVI 257 removes low values of NDVI which show no GPP. minLST, optLST and maxLST (given in °C) are the minimum, optimum and maximum Land Suface Temperature calculated for a 258 259 specific ecosystem. We have used 40°C, 25°C and -2.5°C for maxLST, optLST and minLST 260 respectively, following Lees, Quaife, et al.'s (2019) work on the same study sites. Furthermore, 261 'm' is a site-optimisation parameter, and the GRG Nonlinear Solver in Microsoft Office Excel 2013 was used to optimise this parameter at both small and large scales (see Section 4 for 262 discussion of calibration). 263

- Three different formulations of the TG model are used in this study to assess the effect of scale
 versus methodological bias. These versions are:
- 266 TG1 Small-scale TG model using spectrometer data
- The 'm' parameter for the TG model using spectrometer data was optimised to the chamber data across all months and sites and was given the value 0.4397. This small-scale version of the TG model gives an estimate of GPP per hour.
- 270 <u>TG2 Large-scale TG model using MODIS data</u>

The 'm' parameter for the TG model using MODIS data was optimised to the EC data across the whole of 2017 (where EC data was available) and across all three sites. It was given the value 8.046. This large-scale version of the TG model gives an estimate of GPP per day.

274 <u>TG3 – Small-scale TG model using MODIS data</u>

The small-scale 'm' parameter was applied to the large-scale TG model to give an hourly estimate of GPP using MODIS data.

277 2.8. Statistical analysis

An Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was used to simplify the large range of variables measured which could affect GPP on a small scale. EFA is a variable reduction technique designed to draw out the underlying factors affecting the measured variables. In this case the EFA was used because we expected that the variables measured were related to each other by means of underlying constructs, for example, the presence of certain vegetation species was likely to be correlated due to underlying features of their microhabitats.

284 The variables considered included those explained in Section 2.4 (selected vegetation species, PAR, surface temperature, soil temperature at 5 cm and 15 cm, soil moisture, and microforms), 285 and also the NDVI, which is a measure of vegetation greenness and health, and the Normalised 286 287 Difference Water Index (NDWI, using NIR and Short-Wave Infrared (SWIR)) which has been 288 shown to have a relationship with moisture conditions in peatland vegetation (Lees *et al.*, 289 2019). Repeated measures were accounted for by including the time of year as a variable; in 290 order to create a linear relationship, daylight period was used as a measure of season. These 291 variables are referred to in the results by short names given in Table II.

292

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{TableII}}$ –Variables used in the EFA, and what they refer to.

Short name	Description
Feather_moss	The proportion of <i>P schreberi</i> in the collar (%)

Reindeer_lichen	The proportion of <i>C portentosa</i> in the collar (%)
S_cap	The proportion of <i>S capillifolium</i> in the collar (%)
Deer_grass	The proportion of <i>T</i> germanicum in the collar (%)
Cotton_grass	The proportion of <i>E angustifolium</i> in the collar (%)
Heather	The proportion of <i>C vulgaris</i> in the collar (%)
NDWI	The calculated NDWI of the collar from the hand-held spectrometer
NDVI	The calculated NDVI of the collar from the hand-held spectrometer
PAR	The average PAR across the clear chamber flux measurement period.
Surface_temp	The temperature amongst the vegetation at the soil surface (°C)
Soil_temp_5cm	The soil temperature at 5 cm depth (°C)
Soil_temp_15cm	The soil temperature at 15 cm depth (°C)
Light_period	Daylight period of the day of measurement in Scotland
microfeature	Whether the collar was on a high area (hummock/ridge) or low area (hollow/ditch)

The EFA was limited to five factors after initial statistical exploration of different numbers of factors suggested that this was the best option for all three sites; we found that using five factors explained the majority of the variance seen in variables at each site (see supplementary material). The resulting factor scores were correlated with the GPP in order to assess which factors and variables were most important in determining peatland GPP at small scales, and whether these could be assessed using remote sensing.

300 All analysis was done in base R (R Core Team, 2017). All results collected specifically for this

301 study are available online (Lees, Clark, *et al.*, 2019).

- 302 **3 Results**
- 303 *3.1. Factors affecting GPP at small scale*

The six vegetation species considered in this analysis show several significant differences between hummock and hollow percentage coverage (see Figure 2). At the near-natural Cross Lochs (Figure 2C) site there is significantly more heather (*C vulgaris*) and *S capillifolium* on the hummocks, but significantly more deer grass (*T germanicum*) in the hollows. The Lonielist
site (Figure 2A) also has significantly more heather on the hummocks, but significantly more
red-stemmed feather moss (*P schreberi*) in the hollows. There were no significant differences
between hummock and hollow vegetation at the Talaheel site in 2017 (Figure 2B).

311 There are also differences between the three sites in terms of vegetation cover. Cross Lochs is

312 richer in deer grass than the other two sites, whilst Talaheel has higher cover of common cotton

313 grass (*E angustifolium*). The intact site Cross Lochs also has a greater variety of species, with

314 some present that were not included in our collars at the other two sites such as bog myrtle

315 (*Myrica gale*), bog asphodel (*Narthecium ossifragum*), and sundew (*Drosera rotundifolia*).







Figure 2 –Species differences between hummocks and hollows at the three sites (A: Lonielist, B: Talaheel, C: Cross Lochs). Stars show significant difference between hummock and hollow (n=8, p<0.05).

318

These selected vegetation species were also used in the EFA, where they are linked to underlying factors which also affect microtopography (all sites), the NDWI (Talaheel and Cross Lochs), and soil moisture (Cross Lochs). These factors also correlate with GPP.

The EFA results are shown in Figure 3, along with the factor Pearson's correlations with GPP. At Lonielist (Figure 3A) the second factor has the highest correlation with GPP (0.68) and is linked with the NDVI and the three temperature variables. The third and fourth factors also show some correlation with GPP (0.21, 0.28) and are connected with the microforms variable and the vegetation species variables.

At Talaheel (Figure 3B) the first and third factors show correlations with GPP (0.45, 0.25). The

331 first factor is connected to the NDVI, NDWI, and temperature variables, whilst the third is

332 linked with the NDWI and NDVI, and percentage cover of S. capillifolium, reindeer lichen,

and feather moss.

At Cross Lochs the first factor is correlated with GPP (0.49) and links with light period, temperature, NDWI and PAR. The second factor also correlates with GPP (-0.22) and is connected to the microform variable, several plant species, soil moisture and the NDWI. The negative correlation here suggests that the collars classed as hollows have a higher GPP than those classed as hummocks; this is opposite of the result at Lonielist. The third factor correlates positively with GPP (0.38) and is connected to the two soil temperature variables, NDWI and NDVI.





341





Figure 3 – Lonielist, Talaheel and Cross Lochs factors. Each of the five factors is indicated by a different
 pattern fill. The variables are given on the y axis, and the factors which underly and are connected with each
 variable have a loading strength shown by the stacked bar lengths. Legends show correlation of the scores for
 each factor with GPP values. For example, the first factor at Cross Lochs is shown by the white bars, and has

- high loading strengths associated with PAR, the three temperature variables, and the light period. It also has a
 correlation of 0.49 with GPP. See supplementary material for more information.
- 350

351 3.2. Comparison of modelled and measured GPP at small scale

Figure 4 shows the TG model using the spectrometer NDVI and the surface temperature applied to each of the sites across the measurement period, with the 'm' parameter calibrated to the chamber data (TG1). The agreement between the model and the chamber data is very good temporally, with the boxplots well within error bars across the year. The chamber fluxes have larger ranges than the TG model results at each site throughout the growing season. The TG model tends to underestimate the highest chamber GPP values, as can be seen from the scatter plots in Figure 4.



Figure 4 - Boxplots and scatterplots (by month) comparing the chamber-measured GPP and GPP calculated
 from the TG model using hand-held spectrometer data and the surface temperature measurements for each site
 (TG1). There is no TG model result in June at Lonielist due to the poor weather causing lack of spectral
 measurement. 1:1 lines are plotted on the scatter graphs.



366 Figure 5 shows the average GPP across the experiment period from the chamber data and EC data, and modelled from the spectrometer (TG1) and MODIS (TG2 and TG3) data. The 367 Pearson's correlations between the chamber fluxes and the spectrometer TG1 fluxes across all 368 369 months are 0.57 (p<0.01, n=98) at Talaheel, 0.71 (p<0.01, n=89) at Lonielist, and 0.70 (p<0.01, 370 n=101) at Cross Lochs. TG2 using MODIS data is calibrated on a daily rather than hourly time 371 frame, and the Pearson's correlations between the EC data and the MODIS TG2 model (DoY 372 70 to 265) are 0.76 (p<0.01, n=23) at Lonielist, 0.76 (p<0.01, n=24) at Cross Lochs, and 0.86 (p < 0.01, n=24) at Talaheel. 373

The chamber GPP is lower than the time-period-matched EC GPP at all three sites (54.9% lower at Lonielist, 72% at Talaheel, 62% at CrossLochs). The TG3 model using MODIS data and the 'm' parameter calibrated from small-scale data matches better with hourly chamber fluxes than EC fluxes.

The difference between chamber GPP from hummocks and hollows is greatest at Lonielist and shows higher GPP values from hummocks. The difference is less pronounced at Cross Lochs, but shows the opposite effect, with higher GPP from hollows. Talaheel shows less clear differences between the two types of microform. At all three sites the differences in microtopography shown by the spectrometer TG results are less pronounced than those from the chambers. As the differences between GPP from hummocks and hollows are small and inconsistent, area-weighting was not used in upscaling estimates for this study. A – Lonielist







DoY

386

22



Figure 5 – The different estimates of GPP for each site across the growing season. The results represented by coloured symbols and the left-hand axes show the measurements and model results that are calibrated to an hourly timestep and only calculated during manual field measurement periods. These include the flux chamber data from hummocks and hollows, the TG1 model results for hummocks and hollows, the EC data averaged across the half-hourly periods covering the chamber flux measurement period, and the TG3 model. The results represented by the black lines and the right-hand axes show the measurements and model results that are calibrated to a daily timestep and are continuous across the growing season of 2017 due to automated measuring systems. These include the EC data averaged over 8-day periods, and the TG2 model.

400 **4 Discussion**

401 The EFA correlations with GPP showed that the NDVI and temperature were dominant in the 402 factors affecting GPP at all sites. This endorses the use of the TG model, which makes use of both 403 these variables. All three temperature variables, at surface, 5 cm and 15 cm, were included as 404 variables, but they are strongly related and only one is necessary in the model. The surface 405 temperature provides much more short-term variation compared to soil temperature, and has a 406 relationship with the incoming radiation available for photosynthesis, as shown by the EFA. The 407 variation which surface temperature adds to the model is therefore more than seasonal change, and 408 can provide information on day-to-day changes in GPP due to weather and radiation, and even 409 changes throughout the day.

410 Lonielist GPP results at small scale showed the greatest difference between hummocks and 411 hollows, particularly in July when we had clear skies and high temperatures during the 412 measurement period. This difference may be more evident at Lonielist than the other sites due to 413 the relic furrow and ridge system creating more extreme microtopographical features than would 414 otherwise be found in a blanket bog. Wu et al. (2011) found that there was no difference in 415 simulated GPP using the McGill Wetland Model between hummocks and hollows at the Mer Bleue 416 bog in Canada, consistent with our results from Talaheel, but did find a significant difference in 417 respiration with hummock ecosystem respiration higher than hollows. They showed that shrubs 418 were the dominant influence on hummock carbon cycling, whilst mosses were the dominant factor 419 in hollows. In contrast, Waddington and Roulet (1996) used flux chamber measurements to show 420 that hummocks at their study site in a Swedish peatland had greater CO₂ uptake than hollows 421 during the growing season, similar to our results at Lonielist. It is somewhat surprising that Cross 422 Lochs, the near-natural site, showed a small but opposite difference in fluxes between microforms.

423 Lindsay et al. (1988) found that some areas of the Flow Country were dominated by pool and 424 hollow type landforms due to the wet climate, and it may be the case that our classifications of 425 landforms at Cross Lochs were based on the need to distinguish areas of different heights within 426 close range, and did not always satisfy the descriptions of true hummocks and hollows. In general, 427 the differences in GPP fluxes between microforms did not seem to be large or temporally 428 consistent during our study period. The period during which measurements were taken was 429 generally quite wet, with June, July and August all having higher rainfall totals than the 1981-2010 430 average (Met Office, 2012, 2018). A stronger difference between fluxes from microforms might 431 have been seen under dryer conditions. This is corroborated by previous studies that have found 432 significant differences between carbon fluxes from different microforms linked to soil moisture 433 (Heikkinen et al., 2002; Laine et al., 2006). Despite small differences in GPP among the chamber 434 locations, we did observe significant differences in vegetation between the microtopographical 435 features at Lonielist and Cross Lochs, and also in general between the sites. The significant 436 differences in selected vegetation species are consistent with their preferred microhabitats. Both 437 Lonielist and Cross Lochs show a greater proportion of heather (*C vulgaris*) on the higher areas of 438 ground. Cross Lochs has higher percentages of S capillifolium, a Sphagnum species well known 439 to be hummock forming (Laine, 2009) on the higher areas, and more deer grass (T germanicum) 440 in the hollows, whilst Lonielist has significantly more red-stemmed feather moss (P Schreberi) in 441 the furrows. It is worth noting that there is ecological succession in play as well as 442 microtopographical features when we consider these three sites, as shown in Hancock *et al.* (2018). 443 The presence of deer grass (*T germanicum*) seems to be associated more with the near-natural site 444 at Cross Lochs, whilst Talaheel has higher relative proportions of common cotton grass (Eangustifolium) which has been found to colonise disturbed areas of ground (Phillips, 1954). 445

Malhotra *et al.* (2016) similarly found that there was there was a clear relationship between
microtopography and species distribution at the Mer Bleue bog in Canada, and that fine spatial
structures explained up to 40% of species distribution.

449 The selected vegetation species showed some influence on GPP, although this varied between the 450 sites. The two wetter sites, Cross Lochs and Talaheel, showed greater connections between GPP 451 and measures of moisture, both NDWI and soil moisture measured using the probe. Both Lonielist 452 and Cross Lochs showed some correlations between factors linked with microtopography and 453 GPP, although the relationship was stronger at Lonielist. Malhotra et al. (2016) found that water 454 table depth was a significant factor in maintaining distinct vegetation communities on 455 microtopographical features. Their work was done on the Mer Bleue bog in Canada, which can be 456 described as near-natural, and therefore is most similar to our site at Cross Lochs which also had 457 links between microtopography and soil moisture, as shown by the EFA.

458 The underestimation of the model at high GPP values evident in Figure 4 is likely due to the 459 temperature component of the TG model. Although the temperature component functions partly 460 as a proxy for PAR (as shown by the EFA), the relationship between these two factors is not always 461 linear, and this relationship may be even less strong in maritime temperate climates, where warm 462 but cloudy days occur in summer, and cold but clear days in winter. It is worth noting that the 463 presence of vegetation and water bodies can impact the LST (Solangi, Siyal and Siyal, 2019). The 464 values used in the temperature scaling equation may also be affecting the relationship between the 465 model and actual GPP values. These values were estimated visually by plotting EC values against 466 MODIS LST (see Lees, Quaife, et al., 2019), and may not be completely accurate, particularly at 467 the higher end of the temperature range where we had very little data available.

There was a clear difference between the GPP values from the chambers and the EC towers, with the EC data giving higher results at all three sites (Figure 5). There are many possible reasons for this, including errors from the chamber methodology. The collar insertion method, which involved cutting into the peat and root mass around the collar base, could have damaged the vegetation and so reduced chamber fluxes. Heinemeyer et al. (2011) found that collar insertion prior to using a flux chamber could reduce respiration at peatland sites by up to 30-50%, even several months after insertion. The chamber measurements were also subject to a reduction in PAR, which would have resulted in a small reduction measured relative to actual GPP. Background concentrations of CO₂ within the chambers were monitored to ensure they were close to atmospheric levels at the start of each measurement, and as the measurements were only five minutes long CO₂ build-up is unlikely to have affected the results. Some of the chamber data showed noise, suggesting that there were minor leaks where the chamber was not perfectly sealed. The data from these measurements was still useable but may show slightly lower results than the actual flux. It is possible that there were some changes in chamber volume throughout the experimental period due to collar settling and

vegetation growth which were not accounted for in the measurements and could have led to slight

483 under or overestimation (Morton and Heinemeyer, 2018).

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

Factors affecting the EC fluxes may also be responsible for the differences seen. Cross Lochs, which shows a large difference between EC and chamber GPP results, has an open path sensor compared to the other two sites which have closed paths, and this may have led to inaccuracies in the flux measurements as measurements were only taken during (heavy) rain-free periods and so the gap filling has a degree of bias. (Helbig *et al.*, 2016). The ecosystem respiration results are similar from the chambers and the EC tower (not shown), suggesting that the difference is not caused by the partitioning equations used in EC data processing. 491 Laine et al. (2006) compared NEE from EC and chamber measurements at a blanket bog site in 492 Glencar, Ireland, which is climatically and structurally similar to the Forsinard Flows reserve, and 493 found a correlation of 0.82 between EC and interpolated chamber NEE, even when footprint size 494 and direction variation was not accounted for. They did note, however, that agreement decreased 495 towards the extremes of the temperature range, agreeing with the current work where differences 496 were particularly noticeable in the hotter measurement period in July. Griffis, Rouse and 497 Waddington (2000) also compared chamber and EC fluxes, at a subarctic fen in Manitoba. They 498 found that chamber measurements of GPP were 32% lower than EC GPP results, similar to the 499 current work. They also showed that hummocks dominated the CO₂ fluxes, which corresponds 500 with the Lonielist site showing greater agreement between hummock and EC GPP than between 501 hollow and EC GPP. Similarly, Heikkinen et al. (2002) found that carbon fluxes from chamber 502 measurements were somewhat lower than those from EC at a subarctic fen in Northern Finland.

Application of the TG model with MODIS data and small-scale 'm' parameter (TG3) matched chamber data better than hourly EC data, suggesting that the difference between chamber and EC GPP is not only a result of spatial scale. The TG model is clearly very dependent on calibration to measured data, and therefore the uncertainty of measurements used in the model calibration will form a large part of the uncertainty estimates of the TG model.

Generally, the agreement between the TG model and the measured fluxes is shown to be good at small scale (TG1), with correlations of 0.57 to 0.71. The Lonielist and Cross Lochs sites show slightly better agreement than the Talaheel site. Talaheel was also the only site to show almost no connection between microtopography and GPP. This may be due to the recent landscaping of the site to put peat dams in the remaining planting furrows, which has created large flat areas and deep pools, rather than the more natural small hummocks and hollows. It may be the case that the vegetation species have not had time since the work done in 2015/16 to develop their ecological niches. It is also clear that the water levels at Talaheel have been increased by the recent plough furrow blocking, and areas which we would consider hollows are often flooded and so unsuitable for taking flux or spectral measurements. This may also be affecting the agreement with the model, as the Talaheel site might be responding to temperature and seasonal changes differently to sites which have had less recent disturbance.

520 The GPP calculated with the TG model that used data from MODIS (TG2) was strongly correlated 521 with the GPP derived from EC data (correlations of 0.76 to 0.86). This was in agreement with the 522 work done on developing the model in Lees, Quaife, et al. (2019). The 'm' parameter calibrated 523 for the TG model against EC data in this study, which uses data from 2017, is higher than that 524 calculated in Lees, Quaife, et al. (2019) which used 2015/16 data. This may be because the growing 525 season of 2017 was particularly wet; this supports the development of the annual Temperature, 526 Greenness and Wetness (TGWa) model (Lees, Quaife, et al., 2019), which associates high summer 527 wetness with increased annual GPP (this model was not used in this study as it is designed to give 528 a single annual estimate of GPP, and is therefore not applicable on timescales of less than a year). 529 The entirety of the available data were used for optimising the parameterisation in this model, but 530 this does not cause a type 1 error for two reasons: firstly, the 'm' parameter does not affect 531 correlation, but only estimate size. Secondly, the error size is only considered in relation to the 532 difference between chamber and EC calibration, and therefore we are not testing the accuracy of 533 the model, but whether the calibration method affects the results.

Several previous studies suggest that vegetation indices using finer resolution remote sensing data
match EC measurements of GPP better than coarser resolution data across a variety of ecosystems
(Fu *et al.*, 2014; Knox *et al.*, 2017; Gonzalez del Castillo *et al.*, 2018). Becker *et al.* (2008) found

that hummocks in an oligotrophic pine fen had higher GPP than lawns, and that the percentage cover of hummocks was overestimated when lower resolution imagery was used, resulting in an overestimate of CO₂ uptake. Gatis *et al.* (2017), however, showed that chamber measurements of GPP had strong correlations with vegetation indices calculated from both small-scale camera data and large-scale MODIS data in an upland peatland environment. Similarly, we have found that both small-scale spectrometer data and large-scale MODIS data can be used to give good estimates of GPP in peatland landscapes, but the results are dependent on the calibration. The results of the large-scale TG model using MODIS data gave an average estimate of GPP for the site based on

544 545 NDVI and LST, and which is not dependent on microfeature classifications. Finer resolution 546 satellites such as Sentinel-2 were not used in this study due to their lack of temperature data 547 meaning that they could not be used to calculate the TG model, but this may become possible in 548 future. Future work should also consider aerial remote sensing as an intermediate scale between 549 field spectrometry and satellite data; data from sensors mounted on both aeroplanes (Carless *et al.*, 550 2019; Räsänen et al., 2019) and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) (Beyer et al., 2019; 551 Scholefield *et al.*, 2019) have begun to be used to assess peatland condition and vegetation 552 communities, and have the potential to be included in methods to estimate carbon fluxes.

553 **5 Conclusions**

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

In this study we have used a Temperature and Greenness (TG) model to estimate GPP from remotely sensed data at small-scale and large-scale, and compared this to chamber and EC measures of GPP.

The TG model successfully incorporates the factors which have the greatest relationship with GPP at our study sites as shown by the exploratory factor analysis, and so produces an estimate of GPP that correlates with measured GPP at both small and large scales. Our results suggest that the differences in GPP caused by peatland small-scale heterogeneity are temporally and spatially inconsistent at our study sites, and that the TG model provides an average estimate. Future iterations of the TG model should consider investigating the link between PAR and temperature in more detail, and its effects on the model output, as it is hypothesised that this aspect of the model may cause the underestimation of higher GPP values.

The EC results for GPP are larger than those from the chambers, possibly due to several reasons including variation within the tower footprint, and the challenges of collar insertion and chamber methodology. The TG model, however, shows good agreement with the chamber data at smallscale and the EC data at large scale, suggesting that the model design is robust at all scales, although dependent on the calibration data used. The authors can therefore recommend the use of the TG model as a powerful tool for estimating peatland GPP across large areas, but reliable local

- 571 ground measurements should be used for calibration in order to give accurate values.
- 572
- 573 Conflicts of Interest
- 574 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 575 Acknowledgments

576 Thanks are due to the RSPB for their work on this project, and for site access and access to 577 facilities. Thanks also to the Environmental Research Institute (ERI) for their role in restoration 578 monitoring at the Forsinard Flows RSPB reserve. Thanks to Graham Hambley, Matthew Saunders, 579 Roxane Andersen and Neil Cowie for site set up and work coordination, and Rebecca McKenzie 580 and Peter Gilbert for site maintenance. Thanks to Kevin White and Suvarna Punalekar for 581 spectroradiometer training. Thanks to Alison Wilkinson for making 48 collars for the fieldwork.

We are very grateful for the help of our field assistants Ainoa Pravia, Jose van Paassen, Paul
Gaffney, Wouter Konings, Elias Costa, Zsofi Csillag, Valeria Mazzola, David and Parissa
Lumsden, and Joe Croft.

585 Funding

- 586 Kirsten Lees was part funded by a studentship from The James Hutton Institute, and part funded
- 587 by the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) SCENARIO DTP (Grant number:
- 588 NE/L002566/1). Tristan Quaife was funded by the NERC National Centre for Earth Observation
- 589 (NCEO). Myroslava Khomik and Rebekka Artz were funded by The Scottish Government
- 590 Strategic Research Programme 2016-2021. Jonathan Ritson was funded by the Engineering and
- 591 Physical Sciences Research Council Twenty-65 project [Grant number EP/ N010124/1]. Much of
- 592 the restoration work reported in this study was funded by EU LIFE, Peatland Action, HLF, and
- the RSPB
- 594 References
- 595 Arroyo-Mora, J. et al. (2018) 'Airborne Hyperspectral Evaluation of Maximum Gross
- 596 Photosynthesis, Gravimetric Water Content, and CO2 Uptake Efficiency of the Mer Bleue
- 597 Ombrotrophic Peatland', *Remote Sensing*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 10(4), p.
- 598 565. doi: 10.3390/rs10040565.
- 599 Becker, T. et al. (2008) 'Do we miss the hot spots? The use of very high resolution aerial
- 600 photographs to quantify carbon fluxes in peatlands', *Biogeosciences*. European Geosciences
- 601 Union, 5(5), pp. 1387–1393. doi: 10.5194/bg-5-1387-2008.
- Belyea, L. R. and Clymo, R. S. (2001) 'Feedback control of the rate of peat formation',
 268(1473), pp. 1315–1321. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2001.1665.
- 604 Beyer, F. et al. (2019) 'Multisensor data to derive peatland vegetation communities using a
- 605 fixed-wing unmanned aerial vehicle', International Journal of Remote Sensing. Taylor and
- 606 Francis Ltd., 40(24), pp. 9103–9125. doi: 10.1080/01431161.2019.1580825.
- 607 Campbell Scientific (2016) 'INSTRUCTION MANUAL EASYFLUX DL CR30000P For
- 608 CR3000 and Open-Path Eddy-Covariance System Revision: 3/18'. Available at:
- 609 www.campbellsci.com. (Accessed: 9 July 2020).
- 610 Carless, D. et al. (2019) 'Mapping landscape-scale peatland degradation using airborne lidar and
- multispectral data', *Landscape Ecology*. Springer Netherlands, 34(6), pp. 1329–1345. doi:
 10.1007/s10980-019-00844-5.
- 613 Chapman, S. J. *et al.* (2009) 'Carbon stocks in Scottish peatlands', *Soil Use and Management*.
 614 Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111), 25(2), pp. 105–112. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-2743.2009.00219.x.
- 615 La Clao'h S, et al. (2018) 'Manning appropriate services at the regional scale: the validity of ar
- 615 Le Clec'h, S. *et al.* (2018) 'Mapping ecosystem services at the regional scale: the validity of an
- 616 upscaling approach', *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*. Taylor &
 617 Francis, 32(8), pp. 1593–1610. doi: 10.1080/13658816.2018.1445256.
- 618 Clement, R. J. *et al.* (2009) 'Improved trace gas flux estimation through IRGA sampling
- 619 optimization', Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. Elsevier, 149(3–4), pp. 623–638. doi:
- 620 10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2008.10.008.
- Didan, K. (2015) 'MOD13Q1 V006 | LP DAAC :: NASA Land Data Products and Services'.
- 622 NASA EOSDIS LP DAAC. doi: 10.5067/MODIS/MOD13Q1.006.
- 623 Dinsmore, K. J. *et al.* (2009) 'Spatial and temporal variability in CH4 and N2O fluxes from a
- 624 Scottish ombrotrophic peatland: Implications for modelling and up-scaling', Soil Biology and
- 625 *Biochemistry*, 41(6), pp. 1315–1323. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.03.022.

- European Commission (2018) Regulation on land use, land use change and forestry in 2030
- 627 *climate and energy framework adopted | Climate Action.* Available at:
- $628 \qquad https://ec.europa.eu/clima/news/regulation-land-use-land-use-change-and-forestry-2030-climate-index-change-and-forestry-2030-cl$
- and-energy-framework-adopted_en (Accessed: 9 July 2018).
- 630 Fratini, G. and Mauder, M. (2014) 'Towards a consistent eddy-covariance processing: an
- 631 intercomparison of EddyPro and TK3', Atmospheric Measurement Techniques. Copernicus
- 632 GmbH, 7(7), pp. 2273–2281. doi: 10.5194/amt-7-2273-2014.
- Fu, D. *et al.* (2014) 'Estimating landscape net ecosystem exchange at high spatial-temporal
- resolution based on Landsat data, an improved upscaling model framework, and eddy covariance
- 635 flux measurements', *Remote Sensing of Environment*. Elsevier, 141, pp. 90–104. doi:
- 636 10.1016/J.RSE.2013.10.029.
- 637 Gatis, N. et al. (2017) 'Evaluating MODIS vegetation products using digital images for
- 638 quantifying local peatland CO ₂ gas fluxes', *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*.
- 639 Edited by N. Pettorelli and M. Disney. Wiley-Blackwell, 3(4), pp. 217–231. doi:
- 640 10.1002/rse2.45.
- 641 Gonzalez del Castillo, E. et al. (2018) 'Integrating proximal broad-band vegetation indices and
- 642 carbon fluxes to model gross primary productivity in a tropical dry forest', *Environmental*
- 643 Research Letters. IOP Publishing, 13(6), p. 065017. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aac3f0.
- 644 Griffis, T. J., Rouse, W. R. and Waddington, J. M. (2000) 'Scaling net ecosystem CO2 exchange
- 645 from the community to landscape-level at a subarctic fen', *Global Change Biology*. John Wiley
- 646 & Sons, Ltd, 6(4), pp. 459–473. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00330.x.
- Hambley, G. (2016) *The effect of forest-to-bog restoration on net ecosystem exchange in The Flow Country peatlands*. University of St Andrews.
- Hambley, G. *et al.* (2019) 'Net ecosystem exchange from two formerly afforested peatlands
- undergoing restoration in the Flow Country of Northern Scotland.', *Mires and Peat*, 23, pp. 1–
 14.
- Hancock, M. H. et al. (2018) 'Vegetation response to restoration management of a blanket bog
- 653 damaged by drainage and afforestation', *Applied Vegetation Science*. Edited by V. Vandvik.
- 654 Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111), 21(2), pp. 167–178. doi: 10.1111/avsc.12367.
- Heikkinen, J. E. P. et al. (2002) 'Carbon dioxide and methane dynamics in a sub-Arctic peatland
- 656 in northern Finland', *Polar Research*. Routledge, 21(1), pp. 49–62. doi:
- 657 10.3402/polar.v21i1.6473.
- 658 Heinemeyer, A. et al. (2011) 'Soil respiration: implications of the plant-soil continuum and
- respiration chamber collar-insertion depth on measurement and modelling of soil CO2 efflux
- rates in three ecosystems', *European Journal of Soil Science*, 62(1), pp. 82–94. doi:
- 661 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01331.x.
- 662 Helbig, M. et al. (2016) 'Addressing a systematic bias in carbon dioxide flux measurements with
- the EC150 and the IRGASON open-path gas analyzers', Agricultural and Forest Meteorology.
- 664 Elsevier, 228–229, pp. 349–359. doi: 10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2016.07.018.
- 665 IUCN (2016) *A Secure Peatland Future A vision and strategy for the protection, restoration and* 666 *sustainable management of UK peatlands*. Available at: http://www.iucn-uk-

- 667 peatlandprogramme.org/sites/www.iucn-uk-peatlandprogramme.org/files/CONSULTATION
- 668DRAFT A Secure Peatland Future_WEB.pdf (Accessed: 9 July 2018).
- 569 JNCC (2011) Towards an assessment of the state of UK peatlands. Available at:
- 670 http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/jncc445_web.pdf (Accessed: 3 August 2018).
- Kljun, N. *et al.* (2015) 'A simple two-dimensional parameterisation for Flux Footprint Prediction
 (FFP)', *Geosci. Model Dev*, 8, pp. 3695–3713. doi: 10.5194/gmd-8-3695-2015.
- 673 Knox, S. H. et al. (2017) 'Using digital camera and Landsat imagery with eddy covariance data
- 674 to model gross primary production in restored wetlands', Agricultural and Forest Meteorology.
- 675 Elsevier, 237–238, pp. 233–245. doi: 10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2017.02.020.
- Kross, A., Seaquist, J. W. and Roulet, N. T. (2016) 'Light use efficiency of peatlands: Variability
 and suitability for modeling ecosystem production', *Remote Sensing of Environment*. Elsevier,
 183, pp. 239–249. doi: 10.1016/J.RSE.2016.05.004.
- 679 Laine, A. et al. (2006) 'Estimating net ecosystem exchange in a patterned ecosystem: Example
- 680 from blanket bog', Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. Elsevier, 138(1–4), pp. 231–243. doi:
- 681 10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2006.05.005.
- 682 Laine, J. (2009) The intricate beauty of Sphagnum mosses : a Finnish guide for identification.
- 683 Department of Forest Ecology, University of Helsinki. Available at:
- 684 https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/29078 (Accessed: 13 August 2018).
- 685 Lees, K. J. *et al.* (2018) 'Potential for using remote sensing to estimate carbon fluxes across
- northern peatlands A review', *Science of The Total Environment*. Elsevier, 615, pp. 857–874.
 doi: 10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2017.09.103.
- 688 Lees, K.J., Quaife, T., et al. (2019) 'A model of gross primary productivity based on satellite
- 689 data suggests formerly afforested peatlands undergoing restoration regain full photosynthesis
- 690 capacity after five to ten years', Journal of Environmental Management. Academic Press, 246,
- 691 pp. 594–604. doi: 10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2019.03.040.
- 692 Lees, Kirsten J. et al. (2019) 'Changes in carbon flux and spectral reflectance of Sphagnum
- mosses as a result of simulated drought', *Ecohydrology*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. doi:
 10.1002/eco.2123.
- Lees, K.J., Clark, J. M., *et al.* (2019) 'Peatland vegetation: field and laboratory measurements of carbon dioxide fluxes and spectral reflectance'. NERC Environmental Information Data Centre.
- 697 Available at: https://catalogue.ceh.ac.uk/documents/ab9f47f9-9faf-4403-a57e-25e31f581ed0.
- 698 Levy, P. E. and Gray, A. (2015) 'Greenhouse gas balance of a semi-natural peatbog in northern
- 699 Scotland', *Environmental Research Letters*. IOP Publishing, 10(9), p. 094019. doi:
- 700 10.1088/1748-9326/10/9/094019.
- LI-COR Biosciences (2017) 'Eddy Covariance Processing Software (Version 7.0.6)'. Available
 at: www.licor.com/EddyPro.
- 703 Lindsay, R. (2010) Peatbogs and Carbon: A critical synthesis. Available at:
- 704 http://ww2.rspb.org.uk/Images/Peatbogs_and_carbon_tcm9-255200.pdf (Accessed: 9 July 2018).
- To Lindsay, R. A. et al. (1988) The Flow Country The peatlands of Caithness and Sutherland.
- 706 Available at: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/page-4281 (Accessed: 19 October 2018).

- 707 Malhotra, A. et al. (2016) 'Ecohydrological feedbacks in peatlands: an empirical test of the
- relationship among vegetation, microtopography and water table', *Ecohydrology*. Wiley-
- 709 Blackwell, 9(7), pp. 1346–1357. doi: 10.1002/eco.1731.
- 710 Met Office (2012) 'Met Office Integrated Data Archive System (MIDAS) Land and Marine
- 711 Surface Stations Data (1853-current).' NCAS British Atmospheric Data Centre. Available at:
- 712 NCAS British Atmospheric Data Centre.
- 713 Met Office (2018) *Altnaharra SAWS climate information Met Office*. Available at:
- 714 https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/public/weather/climate/gfkgdgj2j (Accessed: 9 July 2018).
- 715 Moore, D. J. P. et al. (2013) 'Persistent reduced ecosystem respiration after insect disturbance in
- high elevation forests', *Ecology Letters*. Edited by J. Penuelas. Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111),
- 717 16(6), pp. 731–737. doi: 10.1111/ele.12097.
- 718 Morton, P. A. and Heinemeyer, A. (2018) 'Vegetation matters: Correcting chamber carbon flux
- measurements using plant volumes', *Science of The Total Environment*. Elsevier, 639, pp. 769–
- 720 772. doi: 10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2018.05.192.
- 721 Peichl, M. *et al.* (2018) 'Peatland vegetation composition and phenology drive the seasonal
- trajectory of maximum gross primary production', *Scientific Reports*. Nature Publishing Group,
 8(1), p. 8012. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-26147-4.
- Phillips, M. E. (1954) 'Eriophorum Angustifolium Roth', The Journal of Ecology. British
- 725 Ecological Society, 42(2), p. 612. doi: 10.2307/2256893.
- R Core Team (2017) 'R: A language and environment for statistical computing.' Vienna,Austria.
- Räsänen, A. et al. (2019) 'Comparing ultra-high spatial resolution remote-sensing methods in
- 729 mapping peatland vegetation', Journal of Vegetation Science. Edited by D. Rocchini. Wiley-
- 730 Blackwell, 30(5), pp. 1016–1026. doi: 10.1111/jvs.12769.
- 731 Santhana Vannan, S. K. *et al.* (2009) 'A Web-Based Subsetting Service for Regional Scale
- 732 MODIS Land Products', IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and
- 733 *Remote Sensing*, 2(4), pp. 319–328. doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2009.2036585.
- 734 Scholefield, P. et al. (2019) 'Estimating habitat extent and carbon loss from an eroded northern
- blanket bog using UAV derived imagery and topography', *Progress in Physical Geography:*
- 736 Earth and Environment. SAGE Publications Ltd, 43(2), pp. 282–298. doi:
- 737 10.1177/0309133319841300.
- Sims, D. A. *et al.* (2008) 'A new model of gross primary productivity for North American
- race cosystems based solely on the enhanced vegetation index and land surface temperature from
- 740 MODIS', Remote Sensing of Environment. Elsevier, 112(4), pp. 1633–1646. doi:
- 741 10.1016/J.RSE.2007.08.004.
- 742 Solangi, G. S., Siyal, A. A. and Siyal, P. (2019) 'Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Land Surface
- Temperature and Its Impact on the Vegetation', *Civil Engineering Journal*. Ital Publication, 5(8), pp. 1753–1763. doi: 10.28991/cej-2019-03091368.
- 745 Waddington, J. M. and Roulet, N. T. (1996) 'Atmosphere-wetland carbon exchanges: Scale
- dependency of CO₂ and CH₄ exchange on the developmental topography of a peatland', *Global*

- 747 *Biogeochemical Cycles*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 10(2), pp. 233–245. doi: 10.1029/95GB03871.
- 748 Wan, Z., Hook, S. and Hulley, G. (2015) 'MOD11A2 MODIS/Terra Land Surface
- 749 Temperature/Emissivity 8-Day L3 Global 1km SIN Grid V006'. NASA EOSDIS LP DAAC.
- 750 doi: 10.5067/MODIS/MOD11A2.006.
- 751 Wang, G. *et al.* (2012) 'A three-dimensional gap filling method for large geophysical datasets:
- 752 Application to global satellite soil moisture observations', *Environmental Modelling & Software*.
- 753 Elsevier, 30, pp. 139–142. doi: 10.1016/J.ENVSOFT.2011.10.015.
- Wu, J. *et al.* (2011) 'Dealing with microtopography of an ombrotrophic bog for simulating
 ecosystem-level CO2 exchanges', *Ecological Modelling*. Elsevier, 222(4), pp. 1038–1047. doi:
- 756 10.1016/J.ECOLMODEL.2010.07.015.
- 757 Wutzler, T. *et al.* (2018) 'Basic and extensible post-processing of eddy covariance flux data with 758 REddyProc', *Biogeosciences*, 15(16), pp. 5015–5030. doi: 10.5194/bg-15-5015-2018.
- 759 Zhang, N. *et al.* (2007) 'Scaling up ecosystem productivity from patch to landscape: a case study
- 760 of Changbai Mountain Nature Reserve, China', Landscape Ecology. Springer Netherlands,
- 761 22(2), pp. 303–315. doi: 10.1007/s10980-006-9027-9.