

The 2020 report of The Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: responding to converging crises

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Watts, N., Amann, M., Arnell, N. ORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2691-4436>, Ayeb-Karlsson, S.,
Beagley, J., Belesova, K., Boykoff, M., Byass, P., Cai, W.,
Campbell-Lendrum, D., Capstick, S., Chambers, J., Coleman,
S., Dalin, C., Daly, M., Dasandi, N., Dasgupta, S., Davies, M.,
Di Napoli, C., Dominguez-Salas, P., Drummond, P., Dubrow,
R., Ebi, K. L., Eckelman, M., Ekins, P., Escobar, L. E.,
Georgeson, L., Golder, S., Grace, D., Graham, H., Hagggar, P.,
Hamilton, I., Hartinger, S., Hess, J., Hsu, S.-C., Hughes, N.,
Jankin Mikhaylov, S., Jimenez, M. P., Kelman, I., Kennard, H.,
Kiesewetter, G., Kinney, P. L., Kjellstrom, T., Kniveton, D.,
Lampard, P., Lemke, B., Liu, Y., Liu, Z., Lott, M., Lowe, R.,
Martinez-Urtaza, J., Maslin, M., McAllister, L., McGushin, A.,
McMichael, C., Milner, J., Moradi-Lakeh, M., Morrissey, K.,
Munzert, S., Murray, K. A., Neville, T., Nilsson, M., Sewe, M.
O., Oreszczyn, T., Otto, M., Owfi, F., Pearman, O., Pencheon,
D., Quinn, R., Rabbaniha, M., Robinson, E. ORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4950-0183>, Rocklöv, J.,
Romanello, M., Semenza, J. C., Sherman, J., Shi, L.,
Springmann, M., Tabatabaei, M., Taylor, J., Triñanes, J.,
Shumake-Guillemot, J., Vu, B., Wilkinson, P., Winning, M.,

Gong, P., Montgomery, H. and Costello, A. (2021) The 2020 report of The Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: responding to converging crises. *Lancet*, 397 (10269). pp. 129-170. ISSN 0140-6736 doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32290-X Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/94739/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32290-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32290-X)

To link to this article DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32290-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32290-X)

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

The 2020 Report of The Lancet Countdown on Health and Climate Change

Nick Watts, Markus Amann, Nigel Arnell, Sonja Ayeb-Karlsson, Jessica Beagley, Kristine Belesova, Maxwell Boykoff, Peter Byass, Wenjia Cai, Diarmid Campbell-Lendrum, Stuart Capstick, Jonathan Chambers, Samantha Coleman, Carole Dalin, Meaghan Daly, Niheer Dasandi, Shouro Dasgupta, Michael Davies, Claudia Di Napoli, Paula Dominguez-Salas, Paul Drummond, Robert Dubrow, Kristie L. Ebi, Matthew Eckelman, Paul Ekins, Luis E. Escobar, Lucien Georgeson, Su Golder, Delia Grace, Hilary Graham, Paul Haggar, Ian Hamilton, Stella Hartinger, Jeremy Hess, Shih-Che Hsu, Nick Hughes, Slava Jankin Mikhaylov, Marcia P. Jimenez, Ilan Kelman, Harry Kennard, Gregor Kieseewetter, Patrick Kinney, Tord Kjellstrom, Dominic Kniveton, Pete Lampard, Bruno Lemke, Yang Liu, Zhao Liu, Melissa Lott, Rachel Lowe, Jaime Martinez-Urtaza, Mark Maslin, Lucy McAllister, Alice McGushin, Celia McMichael, James Milner, Maziar Moradi-Lakeh, Karyn Morrissey, Simon Munzert, Kris A. Murray, Tara Neville, Maria Nilsson, Maquins Odhiambo Sewe, Tadj Oreszczyn, Matthias Otto, Fereidoon Owfi, Olivia Pearman, David Pencheon, Ruth Quinn, Mahnaz Rabbaniha, Elizabeth Robinson, Joacim Rocklöv, Marina Romanello, Jan C. Semenza, Jodi Sherman, Liuhua Shi, Marco Springmann, Meisam Tabatabaei, Jonathon Taylor, Joaquin Trinanes, Joy Shumake-Guillemot, Bryan Vu, Paul Wilkinson, Matthew Winning, Peng Gong*, Hugh Montgomery*, Anthony Costello*

* Denotes Co-Chair

Word Count: 18,002

35 Table of Contents

36	List of Figures, Tables and Panels	3
37	List of Figures.....	3
38	List of Tables	4
39	List of Panels	4
40	List of Abbreviations	5
41	Executive Summary	7
42	The Emerging Health Profile of the Changing Climate	7
43	A Growing Response from Health Professionals	9
44	The Next Five Years: A Joint Response to Two Public Health Crises	10
45	Introduction	12
46	Expanding and strengthening a global monitoring system for health and climate change	13
47	Section 1: Climate Change Impacts, Exposures, and Vulnerability	17
48	1.1 Health and Heat	17
49	Indicator 1.1.1: Vulnerability to Extremes of Heat	18
50	Indicator 1.1.2: Exposure of Vulnerable Populations to Heatwaves.....	18
51	Indicator 1.1.3: Heat-Related Mortality	19
52	Indicator 1.1.4: Change in Labour Capacity	21
53	1.2 Health and Extreme Weather Events	23
54	Indicator 1.2.1: Wildfires.....	23
55	Indicator 1.2.2: Flood and Drought	24
56	Indicator 1.2.3: Lethality of Extreme Weather Events.....	25
57	1.3 Climate-Sensitive Infectious Diseases	28
58	Indicator 1.3.1: Climate Suitability for Infectious Disease Transmission	28
59	Indicator 1.3.2: Vulnerability to Mosquito-Borne Diseases	29
60	1.4 Food Security and Undernutrition	30
61	Indicator 1.4.1: Terrestrial Food Security and Undernutrition	30
62	Indicator 1.4.2: Marine Food Security and Undernutrition	32
63	Indicator 1.5: Migration, Displacement and Sea Level Rise	33
64	Conclusion	35
65	Section 2: Adaptation, Planning, and Resilience for Health	36
66	2.1 Adaptation Planning and Assessment	37
67	Indicator 2.1.1: National Adaptation Plans for Health.....	37
68	Indicator 2.1.2: National Assessments of Climate Change Impacts, Vulnerabilities, and	
69	Adaptation for Health	38
70	Indicator 2.1.3: City Level Climate Change Risk Assessments.....	38
71	Indicator 2.2: Climate Information Services for Health.....	39
72	2.3 Adaptation Delivery and Implementation	40
73	Indicator 2.3.1: Detection, Preparedness and Response to Health Emergencies	40
74	Indicator 2.3.2: Air Conditioning Benefits and Harms.....	40
75	Indicator 2.3.3: Urban Green Space	42
76	Indicator 2.4: Spending on Adaptation for Health and Health-Related Activities	43
77	Conclusion	45
78	Section 3: Mitigation Actions and Health Co-Benefits.....	46
79	3.1 Energy System and Health.....	47
80	Indicator 3.1.1: Carbon Intensity of the Energy System	47
81	Indicator 3.1.2: Coal Phase-Out	48
82	Indicator 3.1.3: Zero-Carbon Emission Electricity	50

83	Indicator 3.2: Clean Household Energy	50
84	Indicator 3.3: Premature mortality from ambient air pollution by sector	53
85	Indicator 3.4: Sustainable and Healthy Transport.....	54
86	3.5 Food, Agriculture, and Health	55
87	Indicator 3.5.1: Emissions from Agricultural Production and Consumption.....	55
88	Indicator 3.5.2: Diet and Health Co-Benefits	56
89	Indicator 3.6: Mitigation in the Healthcare Sector	58
90	Conclusion	60
91	Section 4: Economics and Finance	61
92	4.1 Health and Economic Costs of Climate Change and its Mitigation	62
93	Indicator 4.1.1: Economic Losses due to Climate-Related Extreme Events	62
94	Indicator 4.1.2: Costs of Heat-Related Mortality	62
95	Indicator 4.1.3: Loss of Earnings from Heat-Related Labour Capacity Reduction	63
96	Indicator 4.1.4: Economics of the Health Impacts of Air Pollution	64
97	4.2 The Economics of the Transition to Zero-Carbon Economies	66
98	Indicator 4.2.1: Investment in New Coal Capacity	66
99	Indicator 4.2.2: Investments in Zero-Carbon Energy and Energy Efficiency	67
100	Indicator 4.2.3: Employment in Renewable and Fossil Fuel Energy Industries	68
101	Indicator 4.2.4: Funds Divested from Fossil Fuels.....	69
102	Indicator 4.2.5: Net Value of Fossil Fuel Subsidies and Carbon Prices.....	70
103	Conclusion	72
104	Section 5: Public and Political Engagement	73
105	Indicator 5.1 Media Coverage of Health and Climate Change	74
106	Indicator 5.2: Individual Engagement in Health and Climate Change	76
107	Indicator 5.3: Coverage of Health and Climate Change in Scientific Journals.....	77
108	Indicator 5.4: Government Engagement in Health and Climate Change	78
109	Indicator 5.5: Corporate Sector Engagement in Health and Climate change	81
110	Conclusion	82
111	Conclusion: The 2020 Report of the Lancet Countdown	83
112	References	84

113

114

115 List of Figures, Tables and Panels

116 List of Figures

117	Figure 1: Change in days of heatwave exposure relative to the 1986-2005 baseline in the over 65 population.....	19
118	Figure 2: Global heat-related mortality for populations over the age of 65, from 2000-2018.	20
119	Figure 3: Annual heat-related mortality in the over 65 population, averaged from 2014 to 2018.	21
120	Figure 4: Population-weighted mean changes in extremely high and very high fire danger days in 2016-2019 compared with 2001-2004	24
121	Figure 5: Change in climate suitability for infectious diseases	29
122	Figure 6: Change in crop growth duration for maize, soybean, spring wheat, winter wheat, and rice, relative to the 1981-2010 global average.....	32
123	Figure 7: Number of people exposed to 1m and 5m of global mean sea level rise by country.	34

127	Figure 8: Global proportion of households with air conditioning	42
128	Figure 9: Urban greenness in capital cities >1 million inhabitants in 2019.	43
129	Figure 10: Adaptation and Resilience to Climate Change (A&RCC) spending for financial years	
130	2015/16 to 2018/19 by WHO Region	44
131	Figure 11: Carbon intensity of Total Primary Energy Supply (TPES) for selected regions and countries,	
132	and global CO ₂ emissions by fuel type, 1971-2019.....	48
133	Figure 12: Share of electricity generation coal in selected countries and regions, and global coal	
134	generation.....	49
135	Figure 13: Household energy usage.....	52
136	Figure 14: Estimated net effect of housing design and indoor fuel burning on premature mortality	
137	due to air pollution in 2018.	52
138	Figure 15: Premature deaths attributable to exposure to ambient fine particulate matter (PM _{2.5}) in	
139	2015 and 2018	54
140	Figure 16: Per capita fuel use for road transport	55
141	Figure 17: Agricultural production and consumption emissions 2000-2017.....	56
142	Figure 18: Deaths attributable to high red meat consumption 1990-2017 by WHO region.....	57
143	Figure 19: National per capita healthcare GHG emissions against the Healthcare Access and Quality	
144	Index for 2015.....	59
145	Figure 20: Monetised value of heat-related mortality represented as the number of people to whose	
146	income this value is equivalent, on average, for each WHO region.....	63
147	Figure 21: Annual monetised value of YLLs due to anthropogenic PM _{2.5} exposure	65
148	Figure 22: Annual investment in coal-fired capacity 2006-2019	67
149	Figure 23: Annual Investment in energy supply and efficiency.....	68
150	Figure 24: Cumulative divestment – Global total and in healthcare institutions.....	70
151	Figure 25: Net carbon prices; net carbon revenues; and net carbon revenue as a share of current	
152	national health expenditure, across 75 countries, 2016 and 2017	71
153	Figure 26: Average monthly coverage of (a) health and climate change and (b) climate change in 61	
154	newspapers (36 countries), 2007-2019.	75
155	Figure 27: Scientific journal articles relating to health and climate change, 2007-2019.	78
156	Figure 29: Reference to health in the NDCs by WHO region.....	80
157	Figure 30: Proportion of healthcare sector companies referring to climate change, health, and the	
158	intersection of health and climate change in Communication on Progress reports, 2011-2019.....	81

159

160 [List of Tables](#)

161	Table 1: Work hours lost (WHL) due to heat.	22
162	Table 2: Detection and attribution studies linking recent extreme weather events to climate change	
163	from 2015 to 2020.	26

164

165 [List of Panels](#)

166	Panel 1: Health, Climate Change, and COVID-19.....	15
167	Panel 2: The Lancet Countdown Indicators.....	16
168	Panel 3: Quantifying the Links between Climate Change, Human Health, and Extreme	
169	Events.....	27
170	Panel 4: For a Greener NHS.....	60

171 List of Abbreviations

172	A&RCC – Adaptation & Resilience to Climate Change
173	CDP – Carbon Disclosure Project
174	CFU – Climate Funds Update
175	CO ₂ – Carbon Dioxide
176	CO ₂ e – Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
177	COP – Conference of the Parties
178	ECMWF – European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
179	EE MRIO – Environmentally-Extended Multi-Region Input-Output
180	EJ – Exajoule
181	EM-DAT – Emergency Events Database
182	ERA – European Research Area
183	ETS – Emissions Trading System
184	EU – European Union
185	EU28 – 28 European Union Member States
186	FAO – Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
187	GBD – Global Burden of Disease
188	GDP – Gross Domestic Product
189	GHG – Greenhouse Gas
190	GNI – Gross National Income
191	GtCO ₂ – Gigatons of Carbon Dioxide
192	GW – Gigawatt
193	GWP – Gross World Product
194	HIC – High Income Countries
195	IEA – International Energy Agency
196	IHR – International Health Regulations
197	IPC – Infection Prevention and Control
198	IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
199	IRENA – International Renewable Energy Agency
200	LMICs – Low- and Middle-Income Countries
201	LPG – Liquefied Petroleum Gas
202	Mt – Metric Megaton
203	MtCO ₂ e – Metric Megatons of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
204	MODIS – Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
205	MRIO – Multi-Region Input-Output
206	NAP – National Adaptation Plan
207	NASA – National Aeronautics and Space Administration
208	NDCs – Nationally Determined Contributions
209	NHS – National Health Service
210	NO _x – Nitrogen Oxide
211	NDVI – Normalised Difference Vegetation Index
212	OECD – Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
213	PM _{2.5} – Fine Particulate Matter
214	PV – Photovoltaic

215	SDG – Sustainable Development Goal
216	SIDS – Small Island Developing State
217	SDU – Sustainable Development Unit
218	SSS – Sea Surface Salinity
219	SST – Sea Surface Temperature
220	tCO ₂ – Tons of Carbon Dioxide
221	tCO ₂ /TJ – Total Carbon Dioxide per Terajoule
222	TJ – Terajoule
223	TPES – Total Primary Energy Supply
224	TWh – Terawatt Hours
225	UN – United Nations
226	UNFCCC – United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
227	UNGA – United Nations General Assembly
228	UNGD – United Nations General Debate
229	VC – Vectorial Capacity
230	WHO – World Health Organization
231	WMO – World Meteorological Organization

232 Executive Summary

233 The Lancet Countdown is an international collaboration, established to provide an
234 independent, global monitoring system dedicated to tracking the emerging health profile of
235 the changing climate.

236 The 2020 report presents 43 indicators across five sections: climate change impacts,
237 exposures, and vulnerability; adaptation, planning, and resilience for health; mitigation
238 actions and health co-benefits; economics and finance; and public and political engagement.
239 This report represents the findings and consensus of the 35 leading academic institutions
240 and UN agencies that make up the Lancet Countdown, and draws on the expertise of
241 climate scientists, geographers, and engineers; of energy, food, and transport experts; and
242 of economists, social and political scientists, data scientists, public health professionals, and
243 doctors.

244 245 The Emerging Health Profile of the Changing Climate

246 Five years ago, countries committed to limit warming to “well below 2°C”, as part of the
247 landmark Paris Agreement. Five years on, global CO₂ emissions continue to rise steadily,
248 with no convincing or sustained abatement, and a resultant 1.2°C of global average
249 temperature rise. Indeed, the five hottest years on record have occurred since 2015.

250 The changing climate has already produced significant shifts in the underlying social and
251 environmental determinants of health, at the global level. Indicators in all of the domains of
252 *impacts, exposures and vulnerabilities* that the collaboration tracks are worsening. Here,
253 concerning, and often accelerating trends are seen for each of the human symptoms of
254 climate change monitored, with the 2020 indicators presenting the most worrying outlook
255 reported since the Lancet Countdown was first established.

256 These effects are often unequal, disproportionately impacting populations who have
257 contributed the least to the problem. This reveals a deeper question of justice, whereby
258 climate change interacts with existing social and economic inequalities and exacerbates
259 long-standing trends within and between countries. An examination of the causes of climate
260 change reveals similar issues, and many carbon-intensive practices and policies lead to poor
261 air quality, poor food quality, and poor housing quality, which disproportionately harms the
262 health of disadvantaged populations.

263 Vulnerable populations experienced an additional 475 million heatwave exposure events
264 globally, which is in turn reflected in excess morbidity and mortality, with a 53.7% increase
265 in heat-related deaths over the last 20 years, up to a total of 296,000 deaths in 2018
266 (Indicators 1.1.2 and 1.1.3). The high cost in terms of human lives and suffering is associated

with impacts on economic output, with more than 80 billion hours of potential labour capacity lost in 2019 (Indicators 1.1.3 and 1.1.4). China, India, and Indonesia are among the worst affected countries, experiencing potential labour capacity losses equivalent to 4-6% of their annual gross domestic product (Indicator 4.1.3). In Europe, the monetised cost of heat-related mortality was equivalent to 1.2% of its gross national income, or the average income of 11 million European citizens (Indicator 4.1.2).

Turning to extremes of weather, advancements in climate science increasingly allow for greater accuracy and certainty in attribution, with studies from 2015 to present day demonstrating the fingerprints of climate change in 76 floods, droughts, storms, and temperature anomalies (Indicator 1.2.3). Further, 114 countries experienced an increased number of days where people were exposed to very high or extremely high wildfire risk up to present day (Indicators 1.2.1). Correspondingly, 67% of global cities surveyed expect climate change to seriously compromise their public health assets and infrastructure (Indicator 2.1.3).

The changing climate has down-stream effects, impacting broader environmental systems, which in turn harms human health. Global food security is threatened by rising temperatures and increases in the frequency of extreme events, with a 1.8-5.6% decline in global yield potential for major crops observed from 1981 to present day (Indicator 1.4.1). The climate suitability for infectious disease transmission has been growing rapidly since the 1950s, with a 15% increase for dengue from *Aedes albopictus* globally, and similar regional increases for malaria and *Vibrio* (Indicator 1.3.1). Projecting forward based on current populations, between 145 million and 565 million people face potential inundation from sea level rise (Indicator 1.5).

Despite these clear and escalating signs, the global response to climate change has been muted and national efforts continue to fall far short of the commitments made in the Paris Agreement. The carbon intensity of the global energy system has remained almost flat for 30 years, with global coal use increasing by 74% over this time (Indicators 3.1.1 and 3.1.2). The reduction in global coal use that had been observed since 2013 has now reversed for the last two consecutive years as coal use rose by 1.7% from 2016 to 2018. The health burden here is substantial – over one million deaths occur every year as a result of air pollution from coal-fired power, and some 390,000 of these as a result of particulate pollution in 2018 (Indicator 3.3). The response in the food and agricultural sector has been similarly concerning. Emissions from livestock grew by 16% from 2000 to 2017, 82% of which came from cattle (Indicator 3.5.1). This mirrors increasingly unhealthy diets seen around the world, with excess red meat consumption contributing to some 990,000 deaths in 2017 (Indicator 3.5.2). Five years on from when countries reached agreement in Paris, a concerning number of indicators are showing an early, but sustained reversal of previously positive trends identified in past reports (Indicators 1.3.2, 3.1.2 and 4.2.3).

307 Despite limited economy-wide improvement, relative gains have been made in a number of
 308 key sectors, with a 21% annual increase in renewable energy capacity from 2010 to 2017,
 309 and low-carbon electricity now responsible for 28% of capacity in China (Indicator 3.1.3).
 310 However, the indicators presented in the 2020 report of the Lancet Countdown suggest that
 311 some of the most significant progress can be seen in the growing momentum of the health
 312 profession's engagement with climate change, globally. Doctors, nurses, and the broader
 313 profession have a central role to play in health system adaptation and mitigation, in seeking
 314 to understand and maximise the health benefits of any intervention, and in communicating
 315 the need for an accelerated response.

316 In the case of national health system adaptation, this change is underway. Impressively,
 317 health services in 86 countries are now connected with their equivalent meteorological
 318 services to assist in health adaptation planning (Indicator 2.2). At least 51 countries have
 319 developed national health adaptation plans, which is coupled with a sustained 5.3% rise in
 320 health adaptation spending globally, reaching US\$18.4 billion in 2019 (Indicators 2.1.1 and
 321 2.4).

322 The healthcare sector – responsible for 4.6% of global greenhouse gas emissions – is taking
 323 early but significant steps to reduce its own emissions (Indicator 3.6). In the United
 324 Kingdom, the National Health Service has declared an ambition to deliver a 'net-zero health
 325 service' as soon as possible, building on a decade of impressive progress that achieved a
 326 57% reduction in 'delivery of care' emissions from 1990, and a 22% reduction when
 327 considering its supply chain and broader responsibilities. Elsewhere, the Western Australian
 328 Department of Health used its 2016 *Public Health Act* to conduct Australia's first Climate
 329 and Health Inquiry, and the German Ministry of Health has restructured to include a new
 330 department on Climate, Sustainability and Health Protection. This progress is becoming
 331 more evenly distributed around the world, with 73% of countries making explicit reference
 332 to health and wellbeing in their national commitments under the Paris Agreement, and
 333 100% of countries in South East Asia and the East Mediterranean doing so (Indicator 5.4).
 334 Similarly, Least Developed Countries and Small Island Developing States are providing
 335 increasing global leadership within the UN General Debate on the connections between
 336 health and climate change (Indicator 5.4).

337 Individual health professionals and their associations are responding as well, with health
 338 institutions committing to divest over US\$42 billion worth of assets from fossil fuels
 339 (Indicator 4.2.4). In academia, there has been a nine-fold increase in publication of original
 340 scientific articles on health and climate change from 2007 to 2019 (Indicator 5.3).

341 These shifts are being translated into the broader public discourse. From 2018 to 2019, the
 342 coverage of health and climate change in the media has risen by 96% around the world,
 343 outpacing the increased attention in climate change overall, and reaching the highest

observed point to-date (Indicator 5.1). Just as it did with advancements in sanitation and hygiene and with tobacco control, growing and sustained engagement from the health profession over the last five years is now beginning to fill a crucial gap in the global response to climate change.

The Next Five Years: A Joint Response to Two Public Health Crises

December 12, 2020, marks the anniversary of the 2015 Paris Agreement, with countries set to update their national commitments and review them every five years. These next five years will be pivotal. In order to reach the 1.5°C target and maintain temperature rise “well below 2°C”, the 56 gigatons of CO₂e currently emitted annually will need to drop to 25 Gt CO₂e within only 10 years (by 2030). In effect, this requires a 7.6% reduction every year, representing a five-fold increase in current levels of national government ambition. Without further intervention over the next five years, the reductions required increase to 15.4% every year, moving the 1.5°C target out of reach.

The need for accelerated efforts to tackle climate change over the next five years will be contextualised by the impacts of, and the global response to, COVID-19. With the loss of life from the pandemic and from climate change measured in the hundreds of thousands, the potential economic costs measured in the trillions, and the broader consequences expected to continue for years to come, the measures taken to address both of these public health crises must be carefully examined, and closely linked. In May 2020, over 40 million health professionals wrote to global leaders, emphasising this point. These health professionals are well placed to act as a bridge between the two issues, and considering the clinical approach to managing a patient with COVID-19 may be useful in understanding the ways in which these challenges should be jointly addressed.

In an acute setting, a high priority is placed on rapidly diagnosing and comprehensively assessing the situation. Likewise, further work is required to understand the problem, including: which populations are vulnerable to both the pandemic and to climate change; how global and national economies have reacted and adapted, and the health and environmental consequences of this; and which aspects of these shifts should be retained to support longer term sustainable development. Secondly, appropriate resuscitation and treatment options are reviewed and administered, with careful consideration of any potential side-effects, the goals of care, and the life-long health of the patient. Economic recovery packages that prioritise out-dated fossil fuel-intensive forms of energy and transport will have unintended side-effects, unnecessarily adding to the seven million people that die every year from air pollution. Instead, investments in health imperatives such as renewable energy and clean air, active travel infrastructure and physical activity, and resilient and climate-smart healthcare, will ultimately be more effective.

381 Thirdly, attention turns to secondary prevention and long-term recovery, seeking to
382 minimise the permanent effects of the disease and prevent its recurrence. Many of the
383 steps taken to prepare for unexpected shocks such as a pandemic are similar to those
384 required to adapt to the extremes of weather and new threats expected from climate
385 change. This includes the need to identify vulnerable populations, assess the capacity of
386 public health systems, develop and invest in preparedness measures, and emphasise
387 community resilience and equity. Indeed, without considering the current and future
388 impacts of climate change, efforts to prepare for future pandemics will likely be
389 undermined.

390 At every step and in both cases, acting with a level of urgency proportionate to the scale of
391 the threat, adhering to the best-available science, and practising clear and consistent
392 communications is paramount. The consequences of the pandemic will contextualise
393 governments' economic, social, and environmental policies over the next five years, a
394 period that is crucial in determining whether temperatures will remain "well below 2°C".
395 Unless the global response to COVID-19 is aligned with the response to climate change, the
396 world will fail to meet the target laid out in the Paris Agreement, damaging public health
397 both in the short-term and in the long-term.
398

The world has already warmed by over 1.2°C compared to pre-industrial levels, resulting in profound, immediate, and rapidly worsening health impacts, and moving dangerously close to the agreed limit of maintaining temperatures “well below 2°C”.¹⁻⁴ These are seen on every continent, with the ongoing spread of dengue fever across South America; the cardiovascular and respiratory effects of record heatwaves and wildfires in Australia, California, and Western Europe; and the undernutrition and mental health impacts of flood and drought in China, Bangladesh, Ethiopia, and South Africa.⁵⁻⁸ In the long-term, climate change threatens the very foundations of human health and wellbeing, with the Global Risks Report registering it as one of the five most damaging or likely global risks, every year, for the last decade.⁹

It is clear that human and environmental systems are inextricably linked, and that any response to climate change must harness, rather than damage these connections.¹⁰ Indeed, a response commensurate to the size of the challenge – which prioritises health system strengthening, invests in local communities, and ensures clean air, safe drinking water, and nourishing food – will provide the foundations for future generations to not only survive, but to thrive.¹¹ Recent evidence suggests that increasing ambition from current climate policies to those which would limit warming to 1.5°C by 2100 would generate a net global benefit of US\$264 to \$610 trillion.¹² The economic case is further strengthened when the benefits of a healthier workforce and of reduced healthcare costs are considered.¹³⁻¹⁵

The present-day impacts of climate change will continue to worsen without meaningful intervention. These tangible, if less-visible, public health impacts have so far resulted in a delayed and inadequate policy response. By contrast and on a significantly shorter time-scale, COVID-19, the disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has rapidly developed in to a global public health emergency. Since it was first detected in December 2019, the loss of life and livelihoods has occurred with staggering speed. However, as for climate change, much of the impact is expected to unfold over the coming months and years, and is likely to disproportionately affect vulnerable populations as both the direct impacts of the virus, and the indirect effects of the response to the virus are felt throughout the world. Panel 1 takes stock of this, and draws a number of lessons and parallels between climate change and COVID-19, focusing on the response to, and recovery from the two health crises.

The Lancet Countdown exists as an independent, multi-disciplinary collaboration dedicated to tracking the links between public health and climate change. It brings together 35 academic institutions and UN agencies from every continent, and structures its work across five key domains: climate change impacts, exposures, and vulnerability; adaptation planning and resilience for health; mitigation actions and their health co-benefits; economics and finance; and public and political engagement (Panel 2). The 43 indicators and conclusions presented in this report are the cumulative result of the last eight years of collaboration,

438 and represent the consensus of its 86 climate scientists; geographers; engineers; energy,
439 food, and transport experts; economists; social and political scientists; public health
440 professionals; and doctors.

441 Where the pandemic has direct implications for an indicator being reported (and where
442 accurate data exists to allow meaningful comment), these will be discussed in-text. Beyond
443 this, the 2020 report of the Lancet Countdown will maintain its focus on the connections
444 between public health and climate change, and the collaboration has worked hard to ensure
445 the continued high quality of its indicators, with only minor amendments and omissions
446 resulting from the ongoing disruptions.

447

448

449 [Expanding and strengthening a global monitoring system for health and climate](#)
450 [change](#)

451 The Lancet Countdown's work draws on decades of underlying scientific progress and data,
452 with the initial indicator set selected as part of an open, global consultation that sought to
453 identify which of the connections between health and climate change could be meaningfully
454 tracked.¹⁶ Proposals for indicators were considered and adopted based on a number of
455 criteria, including: the existence of a credible underlying link between climate change and
456 health that was well described in the scientific literature; the availability of reliable and
457 regularly updated data across expanded geographical and temporal scales; the presence of
458 acceptable methods for monitoring; and the policy relevance and availability of actionable
459 interventions.

460 An iterative and adaptive approach has seen substantive improvements to the vast majority
461 of this initial set of indicators, as well as the development of a number of additional
462 indicators. Given this approach, and the rapidly evolving nature of the scientific and data
463 landscape, each annual update replaces the analysis from previous years. The Appendix
464 describes the methods, data sources, and improvements for each indicator in full, and is an
465 essential companion to the main report.

466 The 2020 report of the Lancet Countdown reflects an enormous amount of work refining
467 and improving these indicators, conducted over the last 12 months, including an annual
468 update of the data.

469 A number of key developments have occurred, including:

- 470 - The strengthening and standardisation of methods and datasets for indicators that
471 capture heat and heatwave; flood and drought; wildfires; the climate suitability of
472 infectious disease; food security and undernutrition; health adaptation spending;

473 food and agriculture; low-carbon healthcare; the economics of air pollution; and
 474 engagement in health and climate change from the media, the scientific community,
 475 and individuals.

- 476 - Improved or expanded geographical or temporal coverage of indicators that track:
 477 heat and heatwave; labour capacity loss; flood and drought; the climate suitability of
 478 infectious disease; climate change risk assessments in cities; use of healthy
 479 household energy; and household air pollution.
- 480 - The development of new indicators, exploring: heat-related mortality; migration and
 481 population displacement; access to urban green space; the health benefits of low-
 482 carbon diets; the economics of extremes of heat and of labour capacity loss; net
 483 carbon pricing; and the extent to which the UNFCCC's Nationally Determined
 484 Contributions (NDCs) engage with public health.

485 This continued progress has been supported by the Lancet Countdown's Scientific Advisory
 486 Group and the creation of a new, independent Quality Improvement Process, which
 487 provides independent expert input on the indicators prior to the formal peer review
 488 process, adding rigour and transparency to the collaboration's research. In every case, the
 489 most up-to-date data available is presented, with the precise nature and timing of these
 490 updates varying depending on the data source. This has occurred despite the impact of
 491 COVID-19, which has only impacted on the production of a small sub-set of indicators for
 492 this report.

493 The Lancet Countdown has also taken a number of steps to ensure that it has the expertise,
 494 data, and representation required to build a global monitoring system. Partnering with
 495 Tsinghua University and Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, the collaboration launched
 496 two new regional offices for South America (in Lima), and for Asia (in Beijing), as well as the
 497 development of a new partnership to build capacity in West Africa. This expansion is
 498 coupled with ongoing work to develop national and regional Lancet Countdown reports: in
 499 Australia, in partnership with the Medical Journal of Australia; in the European Union, in
 500 partnership with the European Environment Agency; in China; and in the United States. At
 501 the same time, a new data visualisation platform has been launched, allowing health
 502 professionals and policymakers to investigate the indicators in this report.
 503 (lancetcountdown.org/data-platform).

504 Future work will be concentrated on supporting these regional and national efforts, on
 505 building communications and engagement capacity, on developing new indicators (with a
 506 particular interest in developing indicators related to mental health and to gender), and on
 507 further improving existing indicators. To this end, the continued growth of the Lancet
 508 Countdown depends on the dedication of each of its composite experts and partners,
 509 continued support from the Wellcome Trust, and ongoing input and offers of support from
 510 new academic institutions willing to build on the analysis published in this report.

Panel 1: Health, Climate Change, and COVID-19

As of the 31st of July 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic has spread to 188 countries, with over 17,320,000 cases confirmed, and over 673,800 deaths recorded.¹⁷ The scale and extent of the suffering, and the social and economic toll will continue to evolve over the coming months, with its effects likely felt for years to come.¹⁸ The relationship between the spread of existing and novel infectious diseases, and worsening environmental degradation, deforestation and land-use change, and animal ill-health have long been analysed and described. Equally, both climate change and COVID-19 act to exacerbate existing inequalities within and between countries.¹⁹⁻²¹

As a direct consequence of the pandemic, an 8% reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions is projected for 2020, which would be the most rapid one-year decline on record.²² Crucially, these reductions do not represent the decarbonisation of the economy required to respond to climate change, but simply the freezing of economic activity. Equally, the 1.4% reduction which followed the 2008 global financial crisis was followed by a rebound, with emissions rising by 5.9% in 2010. Likewise, it is unlikely that the current fall in emissions will be sustained, with any reductions potentially outweighed by a shift away from otherwise ambitious climate change mitigation policies. However, this need not be the case.²² Over the next five years, considerable financial, social, and political investment will be required to continue to protect populations and health systems from the worst effects of COVID-19, to safely restart and restructure national and local economies, and to rebuild in a way that prepares for future economic and public health shocks. Harnessing the health co-benefits of climate change mitigation and adaptation will ensure the economic, social, and environmental sustainability of these efforts, while providing a framework that encourages investment in local communities and health systems, as well as synergies with existing health challenges.²³

Multiple, 'ready-to-go' examples of such alignment are available, such as commonalities seen in future pandemic preparedness and effective health adaptation climate-related impacts.²⁴ In the latter, decision-making under deep uncertainty necessitates the use of the principles of flexibility, robustness, economic low-regrets, and equity to guide decisions.^{25,26} At the broader level, poverty reduction and health system strengthening will both stimulate and restructure economies, and are among the most effective measures to enhance community resilience to climate change.²⁷

Turning to mitigation, at a time when more and more countries are closing down the last of their coal-fired power plants and oil prices are reaching record lows, the fossil fuel sector is expected to be worse affected than renewable energy.²² If done with care and adequate protection for workers, government stimulus packages are well placed to prioritise investment in healthier, cleaner forms of energy. Finally, the response to COVID-19 has encouraged a re-thinking of the scale and pace of ambition. Health systems have restructured services practically overnight to conduct millions of general practitioner and specialist appointments online, and a sudden shift to online work and virtual conferencing has shifted investment towards communications infrastructure instead of aviation and road transport.^{28,29} A number of these changes should be reviewed, improved on, and retained over the coming years.

It is clear that a growing body of literature and rhetoric will be inadequate, and this work must take advantage of the moment, to combine public health and climate change policies in a way that addresses inequality directly. The UNFCCC's COP26 – postponed to 2021, in Glasgow – presents an immediate opportunity for this, to ensure the long-term effectiveness of the response to COVID-19 by linking the recovery to countries' revised commitments (Nationally Determined Contributions) under the Paris Agreement. It is essential that the solution to one economic and public health crisis does not exacerbate another, and in the long-term, the response to COVID-19 and climate change will be most successful when they are closely aligned.

Working Group	Indicator	
Climate Change Impacts, Exposure, and Vulnerability	1.1: Health and Heat	1.1.1: Vulnerability to Extremes of Heat
		1.1.2: Exposure of Vulnerable Populations to Heatwaves
		1.1.3: Heat-Related Mortality
		1.1.4: Change in Labour Capacity
	1.2: Health and Extreme Weather Events	1.2.1: Wildfires
		1.2.2: Flood and Drought
		1.2.3: Lethality of Weather-Related Disasters
	1.3: Climate-Sensitive Infectious Diseases	1.3.1: Climate Suitability for Infectious Disease Transmission
		1.3.2: Vulnerability to Mosquito-Borne Diseases
	1.4: Food Security and Undernutrition	1.4.1: Terrestrial Food Security and Undernutrition
		1.4.2: Marine Food Security and Undernutrition
	1.5: Migration, Displacement and Sea-Level Rise	
Adaptation, Planning, and Resilience for Health	2.1: Adaptation Planning and Assessment	2.1.1: National Adaptation Plans for Health
		2.1.2: National Assessments of Climate Change Impacts, Vulnerability, and Adaptation for Health
		2.1.3: City-Level Climate Change Risk Assessments
	2.2: Climate Information Services for Health	
	2.3: Adaptation Delivery and Implementation	2.3.1: Detection, Preparedness and Response to Health Emergencies
		2.3.2: Air Conditioning Benefits and Harms
		2.3.3: Urban Green Space
	2.4: Spending on Adaptation for Health and Health-Related Activities	
Mitigation Actions and Health Co-Benefits	3.1: Energy System and Health	3.1.1: Carbon Intensity of the Energy System
		3.1.2: Coal Phase-Out
		3.1.3: Zero-Carbon Emission Electricity
	3.2: Clean Household Energy	
	3.3: Premature Mortality from Ambient Air Pollution by Sector	
	3.4: Sustainable and Healthy Transport	
	3.5: Food, Agriculture, and Health	3.5.1: Emissions from Agricultural Production and Consumption
		3.5.2: Diet and Health Co-Benefits
	3.6: Mitigation in the Healthcare Sector	
Economics and Finance	4.1: The Health and Economic Costs of Climate Change and Benefits from Mitigation	4.1.1: Economic Losses due to Climate-Related Extreme Events
		4.1.2: Costs of Heat-Related Mortality
		4.1.3: Loss of Earnings from Heat-Related Labour Capacity Loss
		4.1.4: Costs of the Health Impacts of Air Pollution
	4.2: The Economics of the Transition to Zero-Carbon Economies	4.2.1: Investment in New Coal Capacity
		4.2.2: Investments in Zero-Carbon Energy and Energy Efficiency
		4.2.3: Employment in Low-Carbon and High-Carbon Industries
		4.2.4: Funds Divested from Fossil Fuels
		4.2.5: Net Value of Fossil Fuel Subsidies and Carbon Prices
Public and Political Engagement	5.1: Media Coverage of Health and Climate Change	
	5.2: Individual Engagement in Health and Climate Change	
	5.3: Coverage of Health and Climate Change in Scientific Journals	
	5.4: Government Engagement in Health and Climate Change	
	5.5: Corporate Sector Engagement in Health and Climate Change	

512 Panel 2: The Indicators of the 2020 report of the Lancet Countdown

513 Section 1: Climate Change Impacts, Exposures, and Vulnerability

514 A changing climate threatens to undermine the last 50 years of gains in public health,
515 disrupting the wellbeing of communities, and the foundations on which health systems are
516 built.³⁰ Its effects are pervasive, and impact the food, air, water, and shelter that society
517 depends on, extending across every region of the world and every income group. These
518 effects act to exacerbate existing inequities, with vulnerable populations within and
519 between countries affected more frequently, and with more lasting impact.³

520 Section 1 of the 2020 report tracks the links between climate change and human health
521 along several exposure pathways, from the climate signal through to the resulting health
522 outcome. This section begins by examining a number of dimensions of the effects of heat
523 and heatwave, ranging from exposure and vulnerability, through to the effects on labour
524 capacity, and on mortality (Indicators 1.1.1-1.1.4). The indicator on heat mortality has been
525 developed for 2020, and while ongoing work will strengthen these findings in subsequent
526 years, it complements existing indicators on exposure and vulnerability, and represents an
527 important step forward.

528 The second cluster of indicators navigate the effects of extreme weather events, tracking
529 wildfire risk and exposure, flood and drought, and the lethality of extreme weather events
530 (Indicators 1.2.1-1.2.3). The wildfire indicator now tracks wildfire risk as well as exposure,
531 the classification of drought has been updated to better align with climate change trends,
532 and an overview of the attribution of climate change to the health impacts of certain
533 extreme weather events is presented for the first time presented. The climate suitability
534 and associated population-vulnerability of several infectious diseases are monitored, and so
535 too are the evolving impacts of climate change on terrestrial and marine food security
536 (Indicators 1.3.1-1.4.2), with the consideration of regional variation providing more robust
537 estimates of the effects of temperature rise on crop yield potential. Another new indicator
538 closes this section, tracking population exposure to sea level rise in the context of migration
539 and displacement, alongside the resulting health impacts and the policy responses
540 (Indicator 1.5).

541

542

543 1.1 Health and Heat

544 Exposure to high temperature and heatwave results in a range of negative health
545 impacts, from morbidity and mortality due to heat stress and heat stroke, to exacerbations
546 of cardiovascular and respiratory disease.^{31,32} The worst affected are the elderly, those with
547 disability or pre-existing medical conditions, those working outdoors or in non-cooled
548 environments and those living in regions already at the limits for human habitation.³³ The

following indicators track the vulnerability, exposure, and impacts of heat and heatwave in every region of the world.

Indicator 1.1.1: Vulnerability to Extremes of Heat

Headline finding: Vulnerability to extremes of heat continue to rise in every region of the world, led by populations in Europe, and with those in the Western Pacific, South East Asia and Africa all seeing an increase of more than 10% since 1990.

This indicator re-examines the index results presented in the 2019 report, and introduces a more comprehensive index of heat vulnerability, which combines heatwave exposure data with data on the population susceptibility and the health system's ability to cope.³⁰

As a result of aging populations, high prevalence of chronic disease and rising levels of urbanisation, since 1990, European and the Eastern Mediterranean populations have been the most vulnerable to extremes of heat, with vulnerabilities of 40.6% and 38.7% respectively in 2017. However, no region of the world is immune, with vulnerability worsening everywhere, and has risen since 1990 in Africa (28.4% to 31.3%), South-East Asia (28.3% to 31.3%) and the Western Pacific (33.2% to 36.6%). By taking into account health system strengthening and heat wave exposure across these regions, this vulnerability indicator can be more usefully built in to one which captures population risk. This has been done for the 2020 report (see Appendix), demonstrating trends similar to those seen above, with risk rising in every region. This index will be further developed over the course of 2020, and presented in-full alongside a broader suite of risk indicators, in future reports.

Indicator 1.1.2: Exposure of Vulnerable Populations to Heatwaves

Headline finding: A record 475 million additional heatwave exposures affecting vulnerable populations were observed in 2019, representing some 2.9 billion additional days of heatwave experienced.

Figure 1 presents the change in days of heatwave exposure since 1980, relative to a historic 1986-2005 baseline. It highlights a dramatic rise since 2010, driven by the combination of increasing heatwave occurrences and aging populations. In 2019 there were 475 million additional exposure events. Expressed as the number of days a heatwave was experienced, this breaks the previous 2016 record by an additional 160 million person-days.

Indicator 1.1.2 tracks heatwave exposure of vulnerable populations, now updated to make use of the latest climate data and a hybrid population dataset.³⁴⁻³⁶ This indicator has

undergone several additional improvements (detailed in full, in the Appendix) in order to best capture heatwave exposure in every region of the world, including an improved definition of heatwave; the quantification of exposure-days to capture changing frequency and duration; and improved estimates of demographic breakdown.




Figure 1: Change in days of heatwave exposure relative to the 1986-2005 baseline in the over 65 population.

Indicator 1.1.3: Heat-Related Mortality

Headline finding: In the past two decades, heat-related mortality in the over-65 population has increased by 53.7%, reaching 296,000 deaths in 2018, with the majority occurring in Japan, eastern China, northern India, and central Europe.

This metric, newly created for the 2020 report, tracks global heat-related mortality in populations over 65. Using methods originally described by the World Health Organization (WHO), it applies the exposure-response function and optimum temperature described by Honda et al (2014) to the daily maximum temperature exposure of the over 65 population to estimate the attributable fraction and thus the heat-related excess mortality.^{37,38} Daily maximum temperature data is taken from ERA5 and gridded population data was taken from a hybrid of NASA GPWv4 and ISIMIP population data, with a full methodology described in the Appendix.³⁴⁻³⁶

This indicator estimates that global average annual heat-related mortality in the over 65 population has increased by 53.7% from 2000-2004 to 2014-2018, with a total of 296,000 deaths in 2018 (Figure 2 and Figure 3). With the largest populations, China and India were greatest affected, with over 62,000 and 31,000 heat-related deaths respectively, followed by Germany (over 20,000), the USA (almost 19,000), Russia (18,600), and Japan (over 14,000). At over 104,000 deaths, Europe was the most affected of the WHO regions. Importantly, the effects of temperature on mortality vary by region, and are modified by local factors including population urban green space, and inequality both within and between countries.^{39,40} Work has begun to develop a future form of this indicator, which builds in more localised exposure-response functions, as they become available.




Figure 2: Global heat-related mortality for populations over the age of 65, from 2000-2018.




Figure 3: Annual heat-related mortality in the over 65 population, averaged from 2014 to 2018.

Indicator 1.1.4: Change in Labour Capacity

Headline finding: Rising temperatures were responsible for an excess of 100 billion potential work-hours hours lost globally in 2019 compared to 2000, with India's agricultural sector among the worst affected.

This indicator tracks the effects of heat exposure on working people, with impact expressed as potential work hours lost.⁴¹ It has been updated to capture construction, alongside service, manufacturing, and agriculture sectors, drawing climate data from the ERA5 models, with methods and data described in full in the Appendix and previously.^{35,42-45}

Across the globe a potential 302 billion work hours were lost in 2019 – 103 billion hours greater than in 2000. Thirteen countries represent approximately 80% of the global hours lost in 2019 (Table 1), with India experiencing by far the greatest loss (39% of total global work hours lost in 2019) and Cambodia the highest impact per capita loss. Agricultural workers experience the worst of these effects in many countries in the world, whereas the burden is often on those in construction in high-income countries such as the USA.

633 *Table 1: Work hours lost (WHL) due to heat. These estimates are assuming all agricultural and*
634 *construction work was in the shade or indoors – the lower bounds of potential work hours lost. Work*
635 *hours lost per person are estimated for the population over 15.*

636

Country	WHL 2000 (billions)	WHL 2019 (billions)	% of Global WHL, 2019	WHL per person, 2019
<i>Global</i>	199.0	302.4	100%	52.7
<i>India</i>	75.0	118.3	39.1%	111.2
<i>China</i>	33.4	28.3	9.4%	24.5
<i>Bangladesh</i>	13.3	18.2	6.0%	148.0
<i>Pakistan</i>	9.5	17.0	5.6%	116.2
<i>Indonesia</i>	10.7	15.0	5.0%	71.8
<i>Vietnam</i>	7.7	12.5	4.1%	160.3
<i>Thailand</i>	6.3	9.7	3.2%	164.4
<i>Nigeria</i>	4.3	9.4	3.1%	66.7
<i>Philippines</i>	3.5	5.8	1.9%	71.4
<i>Brazil</i>	2.8	4.0	1.3%	23.3
<i>Cambodia</i>	1.7	2.2	0.7%	202.2
<i>USA</i>	1.2	2.0	0.7%	7.1
<i>Mexico</i>	0.9	1.7	0.6%	17.4
<i>Rest of world</i>	28.7	58.3	19.3%	27.5

637

638 1.2 Health and Extreme Weather Events

639 Extreme weather events, including wildfires, floods, storms, and droughts, affect human
640 health in a variety of ways, with the frequency and intensity of such events shifting as a
641 result of climate change. Death and injury as a direct result of an extreme event is often
642 compounded by effects that are mediated through the environment – for example, the
643 exacerbation of respiratory symptoms from wildfire smoke, or the spread of vector- and
644 water-borne diseases following a flood or drought. Finally, impacts are mediated through
645 social systems – for example, the disruption to health services, and the mental ill-health that
646 can result from storms and fires.^{3,46} The following indicators track population risk and
647 exposure to wildfires, changes in meteorological flood and drought, and the lethality of
648 extreme weather events.

649

650 Indicator 1.2.1: Wildfires

651 *Headline finding: 114 countries experienced an increase in the number of days people were*
652 *exposed to ‘very high’ or ‘extremely high’ fire danger risk for the four-year period ending*
653 *2019. At the same time, 128 countries experienced an increase in population exposure to*
654 *wildfires.*

655 For the 2020 report, analysis on the effects of wildfires has been developed to track the
656 average number of days people are exposed to very high and extremely high wildfire risk
657 annually, as well as the change in actual population wildfire exposure across the globe,
658 using both model-based risk to wildfires and satellite-observed exposure. Climatological
659 wildfire risk is estimated by combining fire danger indices ($FDI \geq 5$) with climate and
660 population data for every $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ grid cell.^{34,47} For wildfire exposure, satellite-observed
661 active fire spots were detected using the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
662 (MODIS), and then aggregated and spatially joined with gridded global population data on a
663 global 10 km resolution grid, with urban areas excluded.^{34,48} A full description of the
664 methodology can be found in the Appendix.

665 Increased wildfire risk was observed in 114 out of 196 countries for the period 2016-2019
666 compared to 2001-2004, with the most prominent increases occurring in Lebanon, Kenya
667 and South Africa (Figure 4). Considering area-weighted rather than population-weighted
668 change, Australia, devastated by the 2019-2020 fire season, had one of the largest increases
669 in wildfire risk. Over the same time period, this risk translated into an additional 194,000
670 daily exposures to wildfires happening annually, around the world, and 128 countries
671 experiencing an increase in this metric. Driven by the record-breaking 2017 and 2018 fires,

the USA experienced one of the largest increases globally, with over 470,000 additional annual daily exposures to wildfires occurring from 2001-2004 to 2016-2019.




Figure 4: Population-weighted mean changes in extremely high and very high fire danger days in 2016-2019 compared with 2001-2004. Large urban areas with population density ≥ 400 persons/km² are excluded.

Indicator 1.2.2: Flood and Drought

Headline finding: 2019 saw over twice the global land surface area affected by excess drought compared with the historical baseline.

Climate change alters hydrological cycles, tending to make dry areas drier and wet areas wetter.²⁷ By altering rainfall patterns and increasing temperatures, climate change affects the intensity, duration and frequency of drought events.^{3,49} Drought poses multiple risks for health, threatening drinking water supplies and sanitation, crop and livestock productivity, enhancing the risk of wildfires and potentially leading to forced migration.⁵⁰ At the same time, altered precipitation patterns increase the risk of localised flood events, resulting in direct injury, the spread of infectious diseases and impacts on mental health.⁵¹

In the 2020 report, meteorological drought is tracked through using the Standardised Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI), which takes into account both precipitation

and temperature, as well as its impact on the loss of soil moisture. This measures significant increases in the number of months of drought compared with an extended historical baseline, from 1950-2005, in order to account for periodic variations such as those generated by the El Niño Southern Oscillation.⁵² A full explanation of the methodology and additional analysis are in the Appendix.

Since the turn of the century, the area affected by excess number of months in drought has increased globally, with more exceptional drought events affecting all populated continents in 2018. Areas that experienced unusually high number of months under excess drought in 2018 include Europe, the Eastern Mediterranean region, and specifically, Mongolia.

Indicator 1.2.3: Lethality of Extreme Weather Events

Headline finding: Long term increasing trends in the number of weather-related disasters from 1990 to 2019 were accompanied by increasing trends in the number of people affected by these disasters, in the countries where health expenditure has reduced or minimally increased over the last two decades.

The links between climate change and the health impacts of extreme weather events are presented in two ways for this indicator. The first studies long-term trends in the occurrence of such events along with the change in the number of people affected, and the resultant mortality. The methods and data for this are similar to that used in previous reports, and described in full in the Appendix.^{53,54} Recognising that an increase in the variability and intensity of these events is also expected, the second part considers the attribution of climate change to individual extreme events in recent years, and the effects that a selection of events have had on the health of populations (Table 2 and Panel 3).

There are clear, statistically significant trends in the number of occurrences of weather-related disasters, however insufficient evidence in either direction with respect to the number of deaths or number of people affected per event. Within the sub-set of countries demonstrating a reduction, or minimal increase in healthcare expenditure from 2000-2017, a significant increase in the number of people affected is identified. By contrast, in countries with the greatest increase in healthcare expenditure, the number of people affected by extreme weather events has declined despite an increasing frequency of events. One possible explanation for this could be the adaptive effects of health system strengthening. This relationship will be further explored, considering variables such as expenditure for specific healthcare functions and excess deaths in addition to the immediate event-related deaths.

Table 2: Detection and attribution studies linking recent extreme weather events to climate change from 2015 to 2020.

Event type	Anthropogenic influence increased event likelihood or strength	Anthropogenic influence decreased event likelihood or strength	Anthropogenic influence not identified or uncertain, or had varied effects (*)
Heat 36 studies 32 events	2015: India; Pakistan; China; Indonesia; Europe; ^{8,55} Egypt; Japan; Southern India and Sri Lanka; Australia; Global. ^{8,56} 2016: Southern Africa; Thailand; Asia; Global. 2017: Australia; ⁵⁷ USA; South Korea; Western Europe; ⁵⁸ China; Euro-Mediterranean. 2018: Northeast Asia; Iberia; Europe. 2019: France; ⁵⁹ Western Europe. ⁶⁰ 2020: Australia. ⁶¹		2015-2016: India. ⁶²
Cold and frost 9 studies 8 events	2016: Australia.	2015: USA. 2016: China. 2018: North America; ⁶³ UK.	
Drought and reduced precipitation 26 studies 24 events	2015: USA; Canada; Ethiopia; Indonesia; Australia. 2016: Southern Africa; Thailand. 2017: East Africa; USA; China. 2018: South Africa; ⁶⁴ China; USA		2015: Brazil; ⁶⁵ Nigeria; Ethiopia. ⁶⁶ 2016: Brazil; USA; Somalia; ⁶⁷ Western Europe. 2017: Kenya. ⁶⁸ USA. 2019: Australia. ⁶¹
Wildfire 5 studies 6 events	2015: USA. 2016: Australia; Western North America. 2018: Australia. 2020: Australia. ⁶¹		2017: Australia.
Heavy precipitation and flood 23 studies 19 events	2015: China; USA. 2016: France; ⁶⁹ China; Louisiana, USA. ⁷⁰ 2017: Bangladesh; Peru; Uruguay; China. 2018: USA; Japan. ^{6,71}	2018: China.	2015: India. 2016: Germany; ⁶⁹ Australia; 2017: Bangladesh. ⁷² 2018: Mozambique, Zimbabwe and Zambia; Australia; India; ⁷³ China.*
Storms 8 events 8 studies	2015: UK; ⁷⁴ Western North Pacific ⁷⁵ 2017: USA. ⁷⁶ 2018: USA. ⁷⁷ 2019: USA. ⁷⁸		2016: USA. 2018: Western Europe. ⁷⁹
Marine heat and melting sea ice 10 events 13 studies	2015: Northern Hemisphere. 2016: USA; Australia; Coral Sea; ^{7,80} North Pole; ^{7,81} Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea; Central Equatorial Pacific. 2018: Tasman Sea; Bering Sea.		2015: Central Equatorial Pacific. 2016: Eastern Equatorial Pacific.
Total events and studies	76 events, 81 studies	5 events, 6 studies	28 events, 27 studies

Events have been listed according to the year in which they ended. In some countries and regions multiple events in the same year were studied. References are in Herring et al, 2016,⁸ Herring et al, 2018,⁷ Herring et al, 2019,⁵ Herring et al 2020,⁶ or listed separately. Adapted from the Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society.

Panel 3: Quantifying the Links between Climate Change, Human Health, and Extreme Events

Formal statistical methods, grouped as detection and attribution studies (D&A) are already used widely in other sectors, and are increasingly deployed to quantify the extent to which climate change has had observed impacts on population health and health systems.⁸²⁻⁸⁴ However, recent D&A studies focusing on the changing likelihood and intensity of extreme events are generally limited to meteorological events in high- and upper-middle income countries. Further development of this body of literature offers an essential and unique way of improving understanding of current impacts and future risks of climate change on lives and livelihoods, guiding evidence-based management and adaptation.

The following three case studies illustrate the linkage of D&A studies of meteorological events to the resulting health impacts.

1. Reduced sea ice in the Arctic Region

The Arctic Region is warming two to three times faster than the global annual average, with observable impacts for Arctic communities, but limited data on the health consequences.⁸⁵ Extreme weather events, shifting migration patterns, and warmer and shorter winters now threaten food security and vital infrastructure.

The winter of 2017-18 heralded warm temperatures and an extreme 'low ice year' in the Bering Sea.⁸⁶ Sea ice extent was the lowest in recorded and reconstructed history: an estimated two in 1800-year event compared with pre-industrial levels. One study suggested that climate change was responsible for 90% of the attributable risk, and that this level may become the mean within 20 years.⁸⁷

This had multiple detrimental effects on communities in Western Alaska, although the health impacts have rarely been measured. These communities generally depend on sea ice for transportation, hunting and fishing, coastal buffering from storms, and a host of other ecosystem services. During this period of record-low sea ice, a range of events occurred, from the loss of power, and damage to the water treatment plant in Little Diomedea to a fatal accident that resulted from open water-holes along a previously frozen travel corridor on the Kuskokwim River.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰

2. Northern European Heatwaves in 2018 and 2019

During the summer of 2018, parts of northern Scandinavia experienced record-breaking daily temperatures more than 5°C warmer than in 1981-2010, an occurrence that evidence suggests was made five times more likely as a result of climate change.⁹¹ In Sweden, the Public Health Agency estimated an excess mortality of 750 deaths between July and August, with more than 600 of these attributed to higher temperatures when compared with the same weeks in 2017.⁹²

Countries across Western Europe and Scandinavia again experienced record-breaking temperatures in 2019, with several countries exceeding 40°C for 3-4 days during June and July. Attribution studies suggest climate change was responsible for a 10-fold increase in the likelihood of the event occurring, and a 1.2-3°C increase in temperature of these events, with almost 1,500 deaths in France and 400 deaths in the Netherlands.^{60,93,94}

3. Japan Heatwave 2018

The summer of 2018 in Japan saw a combination of a national emergency resulting from extreme precipitation, followed closely by record-breaking temperatures. The event had roughly a 20% probability of occurring in today's world compared with a zero probability in a world without climate change.^{95,96} Another attribution study compared modest and extreme heatwave days with a 1941-79 baseline, concluding that the probability of the defined heatwave event was 1.5 times higher for 1980-2018 and 7-8 times higher for 2019-2050. This hot summer had large health implications. In 2018, there were an estimated 14,200 heat-related deaths in Japan's over 65 population – over 3,000 more deaths than the previous record set in 2010, and 8,100 greater than the 2000-2004 average (Indicator 1.1.3).

1.3 Climate-Sensitive Infectious Diseases

Indicator 1.3.1: Climate Suitability for Infectious Disease Transmission

*Headline finding: Changing climatic conditions are increasingly suitable for the transmission of numerous infectious diseases. From 1950 to 2018, the global climate suitability for the transmission of dengue fever increased by 8.9% for *A. aegypti*, and 15.0% for *A. albopictus*. In the last 5 years, suitability for malaria transmission in highland areas was 38.7% higher in the WHO African region and 149.7% higher in the WHO Western Pacific Region compared to a 1950s baseline.*

Climate change is affecting the distribution and risk of many infectious diseases to humans, including vector-, food- and water-borne diseases.³ Using three different models, this indicator tracks the change in climate suitability for the transmission of infectious diseases of particular global significance: dengue; malaria; and pathogenic *Vibrio* bacteria (*V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. vulnificus*, and non-toxigenic *V. cholerae*). In the case of *Aedes aegypti* and *A. albopictus*, temperature-driven process-based mathematical models were used to capture the vectorial capacity (VC) for the transmission of dengue.⁹⁷ Change in the climate suitability for *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria is modelled based on empirically derived thresholds of precipitation, temperature and relative humidity.^{97,98} Highland areas ($\geq 1500\text{m}$ above sea-level) are highlighted in the model, as increasing temperatures are eroding the effect altitude once had as a barrier to malaria transmission, resulting in more favourable conditions in densely populated highland areas, as seen in Ethiopia.⁹⁹ In the case of pathogenic *Vibrio* species, which cause a range of human infections including gastroenteritis, wound infections, septicaemia, and cholera, recent changes in climate suitability were compared with a 1980s baseline globally, as well as for one region each in Europe (Baltic), the Northeast Atlantic coast of the USA and the Pacific North West coast of North America.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰² Full descriptions of the context of these diseases, the methodology of the models, and additional analysis can be found in the Appendix.

Climate suitability for disease transmission is rising globally, for all diseases being tracked. 2018 was particularly favourable for the transmission of dengue, with a global rise of 8.7% and 14.5% above the 1950s baseline for *A. aegypti* and *A. albopictus*, respectively (Figure 5). Although average suitability for dengue remains low in Europe, 2018 was the most suitable year yet recorded for both vector species in this region (25.8% and 40.7% for *A. aegypti* and *A. albopictus*, respectively). There have been significant increases in the environmental suitability for the transmission of falciparum malaria in highland areas of four of the five malaria-endemic regions, with an increase of 38.7% in the African Region and 149.7% in the Western Pacific Region in 2015-2019 compared to a 1950s baseline (**Error! Reference source not found.**). The coastal area suitable for *Vibrio* infections in the past five years has increased at northern latitudes (40-70° N) by 50.6% compared to a 1980s baseline. Regionally, the area of coastline suitable for *Vibrio* has increased by 61.2% and 98.9% for the

Baltic and USA Northeast respectively. In 2019, for the second consecutive year, the entirety of the Baltic coastline was suitable for disease transmission.




Figure 5: Change in climate suitability for infectious diseases: dengue (*A. aegypti*); malaria (highland regions $\geq 1500\text{m}$); and *Vibrio* species.

Indicator 1.3.2: Vulnerability to Mosquito-Borne Diseases

Headline finding: Following a sharp decline over the last decade, 2016 to 2018 saw small upticks in national vulnerability to dengue outbreaks in four out of six WHO regions, with further data required to establish a trend.

As discussed above, climate change is expected to facilitate the expansion of *Aedes* mosquito vectors that transmit dengue. Improvements in public health services may counteract these threats in the short- to medium-term, however climate change will continue to make such efforts increasingly difficult and costly.¹⁰³ This indicator tracks vulnerability to mosquito-borne disease by combining the above indicator on climate suitability for the transmission of dengue, with countries' health system core capacities as outlined by the International Health Regulations (IHR), which have been shown to be an effective predictor of protection against disease outbreak.¹⁰⁴ The methods used here remain unchanged from previous reports, and are described in the Appendix in full.^{97,105}

From 2010, a substantial decline in vulnerability for the four most vulnerable WHO regions, is seen around the world, reflecting significant improvements in their core health capacities. However, from 2016 to 2018, this trend begins to halt, and then reverse, with further data required to confirm any long-term shift.

1.4 Food Security and Undernutrition

Whilst the global food system still produces enough to feed a growing world population, poor management and distribution has resulted in a lack of progress on the second Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) on hunger, as the global number of under-nourished people projected to rise to over 840 million in 2030.¹⁰⁶

Climate change threatens to exacerbate this further, with increasing temperatures, climatic shocks and ground-level ozone impacting crop yields, and with sea surface temperature (SST) and coral bleaching impacting marine food security.¹⁰⁷ These effects will be experienced unequally, disproportionately affecting countries and populations already facing poverty and malnutrition, and exacerbating existing inequalities. The following two indicators monitor these changes, tracking the change in crop yield potential and SST.

Indicator 1.4.1: Terrestrial Food Security and Undernutrition

Headline finding: Crop yield potential for maize, winter wheat, soybean, and rice has followed a consistently downward trend from 1980 to 2019, with reductions of 5.6%, 2.1%, 4.8% and 1.8% seen respectively.

Here, crop yield potential is characterised by “crop growth duration” (the time taken to reach a target sum of accumulated temperatures), over its growing season. If this sum is reached early then the crop matures too quickly and yields are lower than average, with a reduction in crop growth duration therefore representing a reduction in yield potential.¹⁰⁸

817 This indicator tracks the change in the crop growth duration for four key staple crops:
818 maize, wheat, soybean, and rice at the individual country level and globally, using a similar
819 approach to previous reports, which has been improved to provide more accurate local
820 estimates, and now uses ERA5 data.³⁶

821 The yield potential of maize, winter wheat, soybean, and rice continue to decline globally
822 and for most individual countries, with this indicator demonstrating that it is increasingly
823 difficult to continue to increase or even maintain global production due to the changing
824 climate. In 2019, the reduction in crop growth duration relative to baseline, was 7.9 days
825 (5.6%), 4.9 days (2.1%), 6.1 days (4.8%), and 2 days (1.8%) for maize, winter wheat, soybean,
826 and rice respectively (Figure 6). For maize, most countries in the world experienced a
827 decline, with large areas of South Africa, the USA, and Europe experiencing reductions in
828 their crop growing seasons of over 20 days – a reduction of over 14% of the global average
829 crop duration. This compounds the current negative impacts of weather and climate shocks,
830 made more frequent and more extreme by climate change, that are hampering localised
831 efforts to reduce undernutrition.

832




Figure 6: Change in crop growth duration for maize, soybean, spring wheat, winter wheat, and rice, relative to the 1981-2010 global average.

Indicator 1.4.2: Marine Food Security and Undernutrition

Headline finding: Average sea surface temperature rose in 46 of 64 investigated territorial waters between 2003-2007 and 2015-2019, presenting a risk to marine food security.

A large proportion of the global population, especially in low- and middle-income countries is highly dependent on fish sources of protein.¹⁰⁹ Additionally, omega-3 is important in the prevention of ischaemic heart disease and diets low in seafood omega-3 fatty acids, a risk factor to which over 1.4 million deaths globally were attributed in 2017.¹¹⁰ Sea surface temperatures, rising as a consequence of climate change, impair marine fish capacity and capture through a number of mechanisms, including the bleaching of coral reefs and

reduced oxygen content, putting populations at risk.¹¹¹ This indicator tracks SST in territorial waters of 64 countries located in 16 Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) fishing areas.¹¹²⁻¹¹⁴

Comparing 2003-07 and 2015-19 time periods, average SST rose in 46 of the 64 investigated areas, with a maximum increase of 0.87°C observed in the territorial waters of Ecuador. Farm-based fish consumption has increased consistently over the last four decades, with a corresponding decline in capture-based fish consumption, exacerbated in part by these evolving temperature trends.¹¹¹ Between 1990 and 2017, diets low in seafood ω 3 increased by 4.7% at global level with more than 70% of the countries experiencing an increase in exposure to this risk factor, increasing the mortality risk from ischemic heart disease.

Indicator 1.5: Migration, Displacement and Sea Level Rise


Headline finding: Without intervention, between 145 million and 565 million people living in coastal areas today will be exposed to and affected by future sea level rise.

Through its impacts on extreme weather events, land degradation, food and water security, and sea level rise (SLR), climate change is influencing human migration, displacement, and relocation with human health consequences.^{115,116} Left unabated, average estimates for global mean sea level rise (GMSLR) range from 1-2.5 metres (m) by the end of the century, with projections rising as high as 5m when taking into account regional and local coastal variation.^{117,118} This indicator, newly introduced for the 2020 report, tracks current population exposure to future SLR and provides a measure of the extent to which health or well-being are considered in national policies which connect climate change and human mobility.

Population exposure to GMSLR of 1m and 5m was determined using a Coastal Digital Elevation Model (CoastalDEM) and current population distribution data, with a full description of this new indicator outlined in the Appendix.^{119,120} Based on today's population distributions, 1m of GMSLR could expose 145.5 million of the world's current population to potential inundation, rising to 565 million people with 5m of SLR (Figure 7). A range of SLR-related health impacts are likely to be experienced, with changes in water and soil quality and supply, livelihood security, disease vector ecology, flooding, and saltwater intrusion.^{121,122} The health consequences of these effects will depend on a variety of factors, including both *in situ* and migration adaptation options.¹²³⁻¹²⁵ These effects could be moderated if countries begin to prepare. A review in 2019 identified 43 national policies, across 37 countries, connecting climate change and migration, and 40 of these policies across 35 countries explicitly referencing health or wellbeing. The policies commonly accept

that mobility could be domestic and international, although mention of immobility was lacking.

Exposure to 1m Global Mean Sea Level Rise



Exposure to 5m Global Mean Sea Level Rise




Figure 7: Number of people exposed to 1m and 5m of global mean sea level rise by country.

889 Conclusion

890 The indicators that comprise Section 1 of the 2020 report describe a warming world that is
891 affecting human health both directly and indirectly, and putting already vulnerable
892 populations at higher risk. Metrics of exposure and vulnerability to extreme weather are
893 complemented by trends of worsening global yield potential and climatic suitability for the
894 transmission of infectious disease. Subsequent reports will continue to develop the
895 methods and data underlying these indicators, with a particular focus on the creation of a
896 new indicator on mental health, and the exploration of the gender dimensions of existing
897 indicators.

898 Correlating climate change and mental health is challenging for a number of reasons,
899 including local and global stigma and underreporting, differences in health systems, and
900 variation in cultural understandings of wellbeing. In part because of this, the literature has
901 focused on extremes of heat, with investigations reporting correlations between higher
902 temperatures and heatwaves, and the risk of violence or suicide. Proposed reasons for this
903 association vary from the effects of disrupted sleep through to short-term agitation.^{126,127}
904 Stronger evidence exists outlining the links between extreme weather events and mental ill-
905 health, with emerging research describing the impact of a loss of access to the environment
906 and ecosystem services.¹²⁸

907 Taken as a whole, the data described in Section 1 provides a compelling justification for an
908 accelerated response. There are clear limits to adaptation, necessitating increasingly urgent
909 interventions to reduce GHG emissions. How communities, governments, and health
910 systems will be able to moderate the impacts of a changing climate is discussed in Section 2
911 and Section 3.

912

913

Section 2: Adaptation, Planning, and Resilience for Health

With a growing understanding of the human costs of a warming climate, the need for adaptation measures to protect health is now more important than ever. The current COVID-19 pandemic makes clear the challenges experienced by health systems around the world, when faced with large unexpected shifts in demand, without sufficient adaptation or integration of health services across other sectors.¹²⁹ As this public health crisis continues, and is compounded by climate-attributable risks, rapid and proactive interventions are crucial in order to prepare for and build resilience to both the health threats of climate change and of pandemics.¹³⁰

Heavily determined by regional hazards and underlying population health needs, the implementation of adaptation and resiliency measures require localised planning and intervention. National adaptation priorities must take into account subnational capacities, as well as the distribution of vulnerable populations and inequality, locally. As health adaptation interventions are being increasingly introduced, evidence of their success often remains mixed.¹³¹ Measuring the impact of these long-term interventions at the global scale presents particular challenges, and the indicators in this section aim to monitor adaptation progress through the lens of the WHO Operational Framework for Building Climate Resilient Health Systems.²⁴ The adaptation indicators expand beyond the health system to focus on the following domains: planning and assessment (Indicators 2.1.1-2.1.3), information systems (Indicator 2.2), delivery and implementation (Indicators 2.3.1-2.3.3), and spend (Indicator 2.4). As is often the case in adaptation, several of these indicators rely on self-reported data on adaptation plans, assessments, and services, which also presents challenges. Where possible, efforts have been made to validate this data.

Numerous indicators in this section have been further developed for the 2020 report and one new indicator is presented. The data on national health adaptation planning and assessments (Indicators 2.1.1 and 2.1.2) has been presented in greater detail, whilst calculations of the effectiveness of air conditioning as an intervention (Indicator 2.3.2) have been improved using more recent evidence. The definition of health-related adaptation spending (Indicator 2.4) has been expanded to capture activities that are closely health-related, in a variety of non-health sectors. Importantly, a new indicator, focusing on the use of urban green spaces as an adaptive measure with numerous health benefits, has been introduced in this year's report (Indicator 2.3.3).

948 2.1 Adaptation Planning and Assessment

949 Adaptation planning and risk management is essential across all levels of government, with
950 national strategy and coordination linked to sub-national and local implementation and
951 delivery.¹³² In every case, risk assessments are an important first step of this process.

952 The following three indicators track national- and city-level adaptation plans and
953 assessments, using data from the WHO Health and Climate Change Survey and the CDP
954 Annual Cities Survey.^{133,134} Information on the data and methods for each are presented in
955 the Appendix. Data from the WHO survey has not been updated for this year, and hence
956 further qualitative analysis has been conducted to investigate the barriers to adaptation.

957

958 Indicator 2.1.1: National Adaptation Plans for Health

959 *Headline finding: 51 out of 101 of countries surveyed have developed national health and*
960 *climate change strategies or plans. However, funding remains a key barrier to*
961 *implementation, with less than 10% of countries reporting to have the funds to fully*
962 *implement their plans.*

963 National governments identified financing as one of the main barriers to the
964 implementation of national health and climate change plans.^{30,134} Of the countries with
965 these plans, only four report having adequate national funding available to fully implement
966 them. This highlights the importance of access to international climate finance for
967 governments from low-resource settings. Despite this, less than half of national health
968 authorities from low and lower-middle income countries (17 out of 35 LLMICs) report having
969 current access to climate funds from mechanisms such as the Global Environment Facility,
970 the Adaptation Fund, the Green Climate Fund (GCF) or other donors. The GCF, which so far
971 has not funded a single health sector project for the 10th year running, is now looking to
972 align its programming to incorporate health and wellbeing co-benefits in light of, and in
973 response to COVID-19. While not yet accredited to submit and implement projects, WHO
974 became a GCF Readiness Partner in 2020, giving WHO the ability to support countries in
975 their efforts to develop health components of National Adaptation Plans and to strengthen
976 health considerations related to climate change.

977 A second key barrier to the implementation of national health and climate strategies is a
978 lack of multisectoral collaboration within government. Progress on cooperation across
979 sectors remains uneven, with 45 out of 101 countries reporting the existence of a
980 memorandum of understanding between the health sector and the water and sanitation
981 sector, on climate change policy. However, less than a third of countries have a similar
982 agreement with the agricultural, or social service sectors. Furthermore, only about a quarter
983 of countries reported agreements in places between health and the transport, household

energy or electricity generation sectors. This represents a significant missed opportunity to recognise the health implications of national climate policies and to promote activities that maximise health benefits, avoid negative health effects and evaluate the associated health savings that may result.

Indicator 2.1.2: National Assessments of Climate Change Impacts, Vulnerabilities, and Adaptation for Health

Headline finding: Just under half of 101 countries surveyed have conducted a national vulnerability and adaptation assessment for health, with further investment required to adequately fund these vital components of health system resilience.

Strengthening all aspects of a health system allows it to protect and promote the health of a population in the face of known and unexpected stressors and pressures. In the case of climate change, this requires a comprehensive assessment of current and projected risks, and population vulnerability. This indicator focuses on national-level vulnerability assessments and the barriers faced by national health systems.¹³⁴

Similar to the lack of funding highlighted above, it is clear that vulnerability assessments for health are also under-resourced. Indeed, conducting vulnerability assessments were among the top three adaptation priorities identified as being underfunded by national health authorities, alongside the strengthening of surveillance and early warning systems, and broader research on health and climate change. This was thought to be particularly true for sub-national assessments and for those designed to be particularly sensitive to the needs of vulnerable population groups.

Indicator 2.1.3: City Level Climate Change Risk Assessments

Headline finding: Of the 789 global cities surveyed, 76% have either already completed or are currently undertaking climate-change risk assessments, with 67% expecting climate change to seriously compromise their public health assets and services, a substantial increase from 2018.

Cities are home to more than half of the world's population, produce 80% of global gross domestic product (GDP), consume two thirds of the world's energy, and represent a crucial component of the local adaptation response to climate change.¹³⁵ As such, this indicator captures cities that have undertaken a climate change risk or vulnerability assessment, as well as their expectations on the vulnerability of their public health assets. First presented in

1017 the 2017 report of the Lancet Countdown and since improved to include further public
1018 health-specific questions, data for this indicator is sourced from the CDP's 2019 survey of
1019 789 global cities: a 33% increase in survey respondents from 2018.^{133,136}

1020 In 2019, 62% of cities had completed a climate-change risk or vulnerability assessment, and
1021 a further 28% of city assessments were either in the process of doing so, or will have
1022 completed one within the next two years. While some selection bias likely exists, it is
1023 important to note that a growing number of risk assessments are being completed by cities
1024 in low-income countries (63% of cities in LICs in 2019), highlighting the beginning of
1025 adaptation where it is arguably most needed. The survey also reveals a core driving factor in
1026 these assessments - some 67% of cities report that their vital public health infrastructure
1027 would be seriously compromised by climate change.

1028

1029 [Indicator 2.2: Climate Information Services for Health](#)

1030 *Headline finding: The number of countries with meteorological services providing climate*
1031 *information to the health sector has continued to grow, increasing from 70 to 86 countries*
1032 *over the past 12 months.*

1033 The use of meteorological services in the health sector is an essential component of
1034 adaptation. This indicator tracks the collaboration between these two parts of government,
1035 using data reported by national meteorological and hydrological services to the World
1036 Meteorological Organization (WMO).¹³⁷ Further detail is provided in the Appendix.

1037 A total of 86 national meteorological and hydrological services of WMO member states
1038 reported providing climate services to the health sector, an increase of 16 from the 2019
1039 report of the Lancet Countdown.³⁰ By WHO region, 19 of the countries reporting were from
1040 Africa, 16 from the Americas, seven from the Eastern Mediterranean Region, 23 from
1041 Europe, eight from South East Asia, and 13 from the Western Pacific Region. Of the 86
1042 positive respondents, 66 reported being 'highly engaged' with their corresponding health
1043 service, alongside other sectors such as agriculture, water, and electricity generation. As
1044 detailed in Indicator 2.1.1, multi-sector collaborations present governments with the
1045 opportunity to support a fully integrated adaptation approach to the risks of climate
1046 change.

1047

1048

1049 2.3 Adaptation Delivery and Implementation

1050 Indicator 2.3.1: Detection, Preparedness and Response to Health Emergencies

1051 *Headline finding: In preparation for a multi-hazard public health emergency, 109 countries*
1052 *have reported medium to high implementation of a national health emergency framework.*

1053 The International Health Regulations (IHR) are an instrument of international law designed
1054 to aid the global community in preventing and responding to potential public health
1055 emergencies.¹⁰⁵ This indicator focuses on core capacity eight (C8), which evaluates the
1056 degree to which countries have implemented a national health emergency framework by
1057 assessing levels of planning, management and resource allocation.¹⁰⁵ The national health
1058 emergency framework applies to all public health events and emergencies, air pollution,
1059 extreme temperatures, droughts, floods, and storms. The IHR core capacities are also
1060 important components of the response to infectious disease threats, with similar capacities
1061 and functions considered when assessing preparedness to a pandemic such as COVID-19.¹³⁸
1062 The results of this survey are provided in full, in the Appendix.

1063 In 2019, 166 out of 194 WHO member states completed the assessment portion related to
1064 C8, 16 fewer than in 2018. Of these, 109 countries have reported having medium to high
1065 degrees of implementation of multi-hazard preparedness and capacity, a 10% increase
1066 compared to 2018 data. The level of implementation varies by region, with medium-to-high
1067 levels reported in over 85% of countries in the Americas, Western Pacific, and Europe, 60%
1068 of Eastern Mediterranean and South East Asian countries, but only 26% of African countries.
1069 Despite disparities here, capacities have increased across all regions, and the global average
1070 increased from 59% in 2018 to 62% in 2019.

1071

1072 Indicator 2.3.2: Air Conditioning Benefits and Harms

1073 *Headline finding: Between 2016 and 2018, the world's air conditioning stock continued to*
1074 *rise, further contributing to climate change, air pollution, peak electricity demand and urban*
1075 *heat islands, whilst also conferring protection against heat-related illness.*

1076 Air conditioning represents one of a number of effective indoor cooling mechanisms for
1077 preventing heat-related illness and mortality.¹³⁹ However, in 2018, air conditioning
1078 accounted for an enormous 8.5% of total global electricity consumption, contributing to, if
1079 sourced from fossil fuels, CO₂ emissions, fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) emissions, and
1080 ground-level ozone formation, with the potential to leak hydrofluorocarbons which act as
1081 powerful GHGs. On hot days, air conditioning can be responsible for more than half of peak
1082 electricity demand locally, and emits waste heat that contributes to the urban heat island

1083 effect.^{140,141} Further research is needed to determine if the overall harms of air conditioning
1084 outweigh its benefits. However, increased air conditioning use in response to the warming
1085 climate could result in around 1,000 additional air-pollution-related deaths every summer in
1086 the eastern USA by 2050.¹⁴²

1087 International programs and organisations, including Sustainable Energy for All, the Kigali
1088 Cooling Efficiency Program, and the International Energy Agency (IEA), are working to
1089 develop solutions to provide efficient indoor cooling that protects vulnerable populations
1090 against heat-related illness whilst minimising the health-associated harms. Such measures
1091 include building designs with improved insulation, energy efficiency measures, and
1092 improved ventilation, as well as increasing urban green space, detailed in Indicator 2.3.3.
1093 Recent evidence suggests that simple electric fans could also be an effective stay-at-home
1094 measure against most heatwaves during the COVID-19 pandemic.¹⁴³

1095 This indicator draws on data provided by the IEA, and includes an improved calculation of
1096 the prevented fraction of deaths from air conditioning, making use of an updated meta-
1097 analysis which builds on the previously available 2007 assessment, with full detail described
1098 in the Appendix.^{139,144}

1099 Between 2016 and 2018, the world's air conditioning stock (residential and commercial)
1100 increased from 1.74 to 1.90 billion units and the proportion of households with air
1101 conditioning increased from 31.1% to 33.0%: a 56.7% rise since 2000 (Figure 8).
1102 Correspondingly, the global prevented fraction of heatwave related mortality increased
1103 from 23.6% in 2016 to 25.0% in 2018, but global emissions from air conditioning electricity
1104 consumption increased from 1.04 to 1.07 GtCO₂ (2% of total global emissions), highlighting
1105 the need for sustainable cooling methods in the face of a warming climate.




Figure 8: Global proportion of households with air conditioning (red line), prevented fraction of heatwave-related mortality due to air conditioning (blue line), and carbon dioxide emissions from air conditioning (green line), 2000-2018.

Indicator 2.3.3: Urban Green Space

Headline finding: Urban green space is an important measure to reduce population heat exposure, with 8.5% of global urban centres having a very high or exceptionally high degree of greenness in 2019, and over 156 million people living in urban centres with concerning low levels.

Access to urban green space provides benefits to human health by reducing exposure to air and noise pollution, relieving stress, providing a setting for social interaction and physical activity, and reducing all-cause mortality.^{145,146} In addition, green space sequesters carbon and provides local cooling benefits which disrupt urban heat islands, providing both climate change mitigation and heat adaptation benefits. As access can often disproportionately benefit the most privileged in society, it is important that careful consideration is given to how green spaces are designed and distributed, ensuring safety and equitable access.^{147,148}

This indicator, new in the 2020 report, quantifies urban green space exposure for 2019 in the 467 urban centres of over one million inhabitants, as defined by the Global Human Settlement (GHS).^{149,150} It is based on remote sensing of green vegetation through the satellite-based normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI), which measures the reflectance signature of visible red and near-infrared parts of spectrum of green plants, providing an indication of the level of green coverage of the earth surface. The maximum

NDVI for all seasons was used to define the average level of greenness of each urban area. A full description of the methodology can be found in the Appendix.

In 2019, only 8.5 % of global urban centres had very high to exceptionally high levels of greenness, with five capital cities – Colombo, Washington DC, Dhaka, San Salvador, and Havana – highlighted (Figure 9). Concerningly, 9.9% of urban centers, home to over 156 million people and including 21 capital cities, lie at the opposite end of the spectrum, with very low levels of urban green space.⁴⁰




Figure 9: Urban greenness in capital cities >1 million inhabitants in 2019.

Indicator 2.4: Spending on Adaptation for Health and Health-Related Activities

Headline finding: At US\$18.43 billion in 2019, global spending on health adaptation rose to 5.3% of total adaptation spending, while health-related spending remained flat at approximately 28.4% from 2015 to 2019.

As noted in the evaluation of national adaptation plans (Indicator 2.1.1), inadequate financial resource poses the largest barrier to the implementation of adaptation measures. This indicator tracks health and health-related adaptation spending within the Adaptation and Resilience to Climate Change dataset from the data research firm, kMatrix, which includes spend data from 191 countries.¹⁵¹ Health-specific spend is that which occurs within the formal healthcare sector. For the 2020 report, an enhanced definition of health-related spending was developed through an expert review workshop to more accurately categorise spend. It captures adaptation spending within other sectors (agriculture & forestry, the built environment, disaster preparedness, energy, transportation, waste, or water) that have a direct impact on one or more of the basic determinants of health (food, water, air, or

shelter), with a demonstrated link to health outcomes in the literature. A full description of the methodology can be found in the Appendix.

Climate change adaptation spending within the healthcare sector increased by 12.7% to US\$18.43 billion in 2018/19, compared to 2017/18 data (Figure 10). As a share of all adaptation spending globally, health adaptation spending is now at 5.3% in 2018/19, above 5% for the first time. The wider measure of health-related adaptation spending increased by 7.2% to US\$99.9 billion in 2018/19, although as a share of global adaptation spending, it has remained more or less constant: 28.4% in 2015/16 and 28.5% in 2018/19.

Grouped by WHO region, spending for health adaptation varies from US\$0.48 per capita in Africa to US\$5.92 in the Americas, remaining below US\$1 per capita in South East Asia. Again, taking the broader health-related adaptation spend, a wider variation, ranging from US\$2.63 (Africa) to US\$30.82 (Americas), is evident.




Figure 10: Adaptation and Resilience to Climate Change (A&RCC) spending for financial years 2015/16 to 2018/19 by WHO Region. A) Health A&RCC spending (\$m), B) Health-related A&RCC adaptation spending (\$m).

1172 Conclusion

1173 The indicators presented in this section continue to move in a positive direction, with
1174 growing recognition of the impacts of climate change within the health community.
1175 However, there is much more work to do, with a need to move from planning to
1176 implementation, and to better engage with other sectors of society in adaptation
1177 interventions (Indicators 2.1.2, 2.1.2, and 2.2). The IHR core capacity scores show a need for
1178 support across many African and Eastern Mediterranean countries (Indicator 2.3.1),
1179 requiring additional engagement and resource.

1180 Global spending trends have shown promise over recent years for health and health-related
1181 adaptation (Indicator 2.4), however governments remain unable to fully implement their
1182 national health adaptation plans (Indicator 2.1.1). The findings here reiterate the need to
1183 strengthen underlying health systems and create multi-sectoral alignment to protect human
1184 health, particularly for the most vulnerable populations. COVID-19 has dramatically altered
1185 the pattern of healthcare demand, with health systems restructuring services overnight.¹⁵²
1186 While the full impact of these changes are unclear, the rapid introduction of new online and
1187 telemedicine services brings many synergies with efforts to reduce the emissions of the
1188 healthcare sector, and with those to increase service delivery resilience. As governments
1189 continue to respond to the public health and economic effects of COVID-19, it will be
1190 important to align these priorities and ensure that enhanced preparedness for future
1191 pandemics also confers increased capacity to respond to climate change.

1192

1193 Section 3: Mitigation Actions and Health Co-Benefits

1194 In 2018, GHG emissions rose to an unprecedented 51.8 GtCO₂e (55.3 GtCO₂e including land
1195 use change), with fossil fuel emissions from transport, power generation, and industry
1196 accounting for 72%.¹⁵³ The vast majority of the growth in emissions, the economy, and the
1197 demand for energy occurred in low- and middle-income countries, despite global economic
1198 headwinds.¹⁵⁴

1199 COVID-19 has had a profound effect on the global economy and on emissions. Ongoing
1200 volatility makes the projections of any long-term effects challenging, although daily CO₂
1201 emissions were 17% lower in April 2020 compared with April 2019, with some countries
1202 experiencing emissions reductions of up to 26%.¹⁵⁵ Current estimates suggest that global
1203 emissions will fall by 8% in 2020 as a result of both the economic downturn, and restrictions
1204 to local and international travel.^{22,155} As efforts to revitalise the economy take effect,
1205 aligning such interventions with those necessary to mitigate climate change will allow
1206 governments to generate a synergistic response, improving public health in the short-term
1207 and in the long-term.

1208 If carefully planned and implemented, these interventions will yield major health benefits,
1209 underlining the importance of a “health in all policies” approach.^{156,157} Highlighting this
1210 practice, the following section tracks climate change mitigation efforts in the sectors most
1211 relevant to public health: power generation and air pollution (Indicators 3.1.1-3.1.3 and
1212 3.3); household energy and buildings (Indicator 3.2); transport (Indicator 3.4); diets and
1213 agriculture (Indicators 3.5.1 and 3.5.2); as well as mitigation within the healthcare sector
1214 (Indicator 3.6). New in the 2020 report are indicators of the national emissions from
1215 agricultural consumption (Indicator 3.5.1) as well as the associated premature mortality
1216 from unhealthy and emissions-intensive diets (Indicator 3.5.2). The methodologies of each
1217 of the existing indicators have also improved, particularly Indicator 3.6, which, based on
1218 feedback, has been revised to better estimate emissions from the healthcare sector.

1219 Importantly, this section must be interpreted with the understanding that enhanced
1220 ambition is urgently required, and that countries will need to increase the strength of their
1221 mitigation commitments within the Paris Agreement’s NDCs by a factor of three to achieve
1222 a 2°C target, and by a factor of five for 1.5°C.¹⁵³

1223

1224 3.1 Energy System and Health

1225 Indicator 3.1.1: Carbon Intensity of the Energy System


1226 *Headline finding: The carbon intensity of the global primary energy supply has remained flat*
1227 *for the last three decades. Whilst in 2017 it was at its lowest since 2006, it still remained*
1228 *0.4% higher than 1990 levels.*

1229 As fossil fuel combustion in the energy system continues to be the biggest source of GHG
1230 emissions, mitigation in this area is key to meeting the commitments of the Paris
1231 Agreement. This indicator tracks the carbon intensity of the global energy system, expressed
1232 as the CO₂ emitted per terajoule of total primary energy supply (TPES), with methods and
1233 data described in the Appendix.^{158,159}

1234 The carbon intensity of the global energy system has barely altered in almost 30 years: in
1235 2017 it was 0.4% higher than in 1990 (Figure 11). Regional values have changed
1236 substantially, however, with reductions in the carbon intensity of the USA and north and
1237 western Europe now 12% and 20% lower than 1990 levels. China's carbon intensity of TPES
1238 remains high at 72 tCO₂/TJ, however it is decreasing, and in 2017 was 4% lower than its
1239 peak in 2013. Early statistics for 2020 suggest that global demand for all fossil fuels has
1240 reduced in the first quarter due to COVID-19, and will continue to decline across the year,
1241 with resulting reductions in emissions.²² However, without targeted intervention, emissions
1242 could rebound, as they did following the 2008-2009 global financial crisis, where a 1.4%
1243 decrease in CO₂ emissions in 2009 was offset by a 5.9% rise in 2010.¹⁶⁰

1244

1245



1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

Figure 11: Carbon intensity of Total Primary Energy Supply (TPES) for selected regions and countries, and global CO₂ emissions by fuel type, 1971-2019. Carbon intensity trends are shown by trend line (primary axis) and global emissions by stacked bars (secondary axis). This carbon intensity metric estimates the tonnes of CO₂ for each unit of total primary energy supplied (tCO₂/TJ). For reference, carbon intensity of fuels (tCO₂/TJ) are as follows: coal 95-100, oil 70-75, and natural gas 56.

1252

1253

Indicator 3.1.2: Coal Phase-Out

1254

1255

Headline finding: Global energy supply from coal in 2018 increased by 1.2% from 2017 and was 74% higher than in 1990.

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

Coal combustion continues to be the largest contributor to emissions from the energy sector, and is a major contributor to premature mortality due to air pollution (Indicator 3.3). The phase-out of coal-fired power is therefore an important first step in the mitigation of climate change. This indicator reports on progress towards a global phase-out, tracking the TPES from coal, as well as coal's share of total electricity generation, with methods provided in full in the Appendix.¹⁶¹

1262

1263

1264

Global coal use for energy increased by 1.2% from 2017 to 2018, and while it remains below its 2014 peak, it has increased by 74% overall since 1990. China, responsible for 52% of global coal consumption, has driven the rise in recent years, counteracting a 2017-2018

reduction in coal use from other major economies such as Germany (-6%), the USA (-4.2%), Australia (-3.3%), and Japan (-1.2%). Importantly, Figure 12 makes clear that this is not the full picture: China's share of coal in its power generation is falling rapidly, from 80% in 2007, to 66% in 2018, as it moves to other sources to meet rising demand for electricity. Likewise, northern and western Europe have seen falls in their share of coal power, from 21% in 2013 to 13% in 2018.

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as cheap oil and continued growth in renewables, global demand for coal fell by almost 8% in the first quarter of 2020, where it is expected to remain throughout the year.²² Additionally, Austria and Sweden closed their last coal-fired power plants in April 2020, with other countries soon to follow.¹⁶²




Figure 12: Share of electricity generation coal in selected countries and regions, and global coal generation. Regional shares of coal generation are shown by the trend lines (primary axis) and total coal generation by the bars (secondary axis). Global share of generation from coal is shown with the thick black line. Data series are shown to at least 2017 and extended to 2018 where data allows.

1283 Indicator 3.1.3: Zero-Carbon Emission Electricity

1284 *Headline finding: The average annual growth rate in power generation from wind and solar*
1285 *was 21% globally and 38% in China, from 2010 to 2017, with all forms of low-carbon energy*
1286 *responsible for 33% of total generation, globally.*

1287 Continued growth in renewable energy, particularly wind and solar, is key to displacing fossil
1288 fuels. This indicator tracks electricity generation (in TWh) and the share of total electricity
1289 generation from all low-carbon sources (nuclear and all renewables, including hydro) as well
1290 as renewables (wind and solar, excluding hydro and biomass). A full description of the
1291 methods and data can be found in the Appendix.¹⁶¹

1292 Low-carbon electricity generation continues to rise, growing by 10% from 2015 to 2017, to
1293 then account for 33% of total generation. China experienced a 21% increase over the same
1294 period, reaching 1800 TWh and 28% of all electricity produced.

1295 Focussing on wind and solar energy reveals a similar picture, with a global annual rate of
1296 21% between 2010 and 2017. China saw an even higher growth rate of approximately 38%
1297 per year, due to a rapid increase in solar, reaching 425 TWh in 2017. Despite this, its share
1298 of renewable energy generation remains relatively small at 6.5%; comparable to India's at
1299 5%. Contrary to the decline in demand for fossil fuels, the IEA expect renewable energy
1300 demand to increase in 2020, due to low operational costs compared to fossil fuel sources,
1301 but further policy support is necessary in order to continue this growth.^{22,163}

1302

1303 Indicator 3.2: Clean Household Energy

1304 *Headline finding: Primary reliance on healthy fuels and technology for household cooking*
1305 *continued to rise, reaching 63% in 2018. However total consumption of zero emission energy*
1306 *for all household needs remains low, at 26%.*

1307 The use of unhealthy and unsustainable fuels and technologies for cooking, heating and
1308 lighting in the home contributes both to GHG emissions and to dangerous concentrations of
1309 household air pollution.¹⁶⁴ Primary reliance on such fuels and technologies for cooking is
1310 particularly problematic, resulting in recurrent direct exposure to high concentrations of
1311 poor quality air, causing over 3.8 million premature deaths every year.¹⁶⁵ This
1312 disproportionately affects women and children, who in many cultural contexts spend more
1313 time in the home, may be in charge of food preparation, and face threats to their safety
1314 associated with the gathering of cooking fuels.¹⁶⁴

1315 This indicator draws on national surveys collected by the WHO across 194 countries, to track
1316 the proportion of the population using clean fuels and technologies for cooking, defined

1317 those whose emission rate targets meeting WHO air quality guidelines. It also tracks zero-
1318 emission energy usage in the residential sector, measured as fuels with both zero GHG and
1319 zero particulate emissions at the point of use (mainly electricity and renewable heating)
1320 using data from the IEA.¹⁶¹

1321 In 2018, 63% of the global population relied primarily on clean fuels and technologies for
1322 cooking, an increase of 26% since 2000. In China, this proportion increased from 43% in
1323 2000 to 64% in 2018, while in Viet Nam it increased from 13% to 64% over the same period
1324 (Figure 19). However, little progress has been made in Sub-Saharan Africa, where only 15%
1325 of households rely on clean fuels and technology for cooking. Importantly, overall use of
1326 zero emission energy in the home (for all sources, including heating and lighting) remains
1327 low, at 26% globally, increasing by only 2% per year since 2010 (Figure 13).

1328 This section of the report is continuously evolving to understand the health co-benefits of
1329 mitigation efforts, and is now able to present findings from a new indicator under
1330 development, that tracks mortality from household air pollution. Taking data on fuel and
1331 stove types used for cooking as well as typical housing ventilation characteristics, this
1332 indicator calculates household fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) exposure, both from cooking
1333 and from air pollution infiltrating from outside. A full explanation of the methods is
1334 described in the Appendix. Here, the estimated effect of household factors on deaths
1335 attributable to PM_{2.5} pollution in 2018 are presented for selected countries (Figure 14). In
1336 the middle-income countries assessed, the use of solid fuels for cooking is combined with
1337 poor housing ventilation to increase mortality from PM_{2.5} exposure. For other mostly high-
1338 income countries, housing design and extract ventilation are preventing ambient air
1339 pollution from entering the home. Combined with the use healthy cooking fuels, this results
1340 in a net negative effect on total (both household and ambient) PM_{2.5} attributable mortality,
1341 demonstrating a clear co-benefit of mitigation.

1342

1343




Figure 13: Household energy usage: proportion of population with primary reliance on healthy fuels and technology for cooking by WHO region 2000-2018 (left); and proportion of clean energy consumption in the global residential sector, 2000-2016 (right). Proportion is measured as fuels with no emissions at point of use (not generation) over total residential sector consumption. Electricity comprises 75% of total clean energy use in 2016.




Figure 14: Estimated net effect of housing design and indoor fuel burning on premature mortality due to air pollution in 2018.

1354 Indicator 3.3: Premature mortality from ambient air pollution by sector

1355 *Headline finding: Premature deaths from ambient particulate pollution attributed to coal use*
1356 *are rapidly declining, from 440,000 in 2015 to 390,000 in 2018. However, total deaths from*
1357 *ambient particulate pollution have increased slightly over this time period, from 2.95 million*
1358 *to 3.01 million, highlighting the need for accelerated intervention.*

1359 Many of the leading contributors to global GHG emissions also contribute to ambient air
1360 pollution, disproportionately impacting on the health of low-socioeconomic communities.¹⁶⁶
1361 Indeed, some 91% of deaths from ambient air pollution come from LMICs.¹⁶⁷ This indicator
1362 tracks the source-attributable premature mortality from outdoor ambient air pollution. The
1363 methods remain unchanged and are described in the Appendix.^{168,169}

1364 Trends in air pollution mortality vary by world region, with decreases in Europe and China
1365 as a result of the implementation of emission control technologies and reductions in the use
1366 of raw coal in the power and residential sectors.¹⁷⁰ The overall number of deaths
1367 attributable to ambient PM_{2.5} in 2018 is estimated at 3.01 million, a slight increase from 2.95
1368 million deaths in 2015. Nonetheless, the total and per-capita deaths attributable to coal
1369 combustion have decreased from roughly 440,000 in 2015 to fewer than 390,000 in 2018
1370 (Figure 15). Decreases are also seen in the contribution from biomass burning to ambient
1371 PM_{2.5} deaths (about 410,000 deaths in 2015 decreasing to 360,000 in 2018), mostly due to
1372 increasing access to cleaner household fuels, although 2.6 billion people still rely on
1373 fuelwood combustion in the home.¹⁷¹

1374 If measures to respond to the economic fall-out from COVID-19 are aligned with the
1375 priorities of the Paris Agreement, transient reductions in air pollution following the sudden
1376 halt in economic activities and road transport, could become more permanent, resulting in
1377 further improvements in health and air quality in 2020 and into the future.

1378




Figure 15: Premature deaths attributable to exposure to ambient fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in 2015 and 2018, by key sources of pollution in WHO-specified regions. Coloured bars: attributable deaths with constant 2015 population structure, diamonds: totals for 2018 when considering demographic changes.

Indicator 3.4: Sustainable and Healthy Transport


Headline finding: While fossil fuels continue to dominate the transport sector, the use of electricity rose by 18.1% from 2016 to 2017, and the global electric vehicle fleet increased to more than 5.1 million in 2018 (rising by 2 million in only 12 months).

The transition to ultra-low emissions vehicles is another essential component of climate change mitigation. In addition, policies that reduce overall vehicle use and increase walking and cycling will yield the greatest benefits in terms of reductions in GHG emissions and air pollution, as well as the health benefits of increased physical activity.¹⁷² Well-designed public transport and active travel infrastructure can also help reduce inequality and improve mobility for those who otherwise have limited travel options.¹⁷³ For the 2020 report, global trends in fuel use for road transport are monitored, with methods and data available in the Appendix.¹⁷⁴

Global per-capita road transport fuel use increased by 0.5% from 2016 to 2017, with the rate of growth slowing slightly from previous years (Figure 16). Although fossil fuels continue to contribute the vast majority of total fuel use, the use of clean fuels is growing at a much faster pace. Total fossil fuel use for transport increased by 1.7% between 2016 and 2017, compared with 18.1% growth in electricity. From 2017 to 2018, the global electric vehicle fleet grew by an enormous 64.5%, rising above 5.1 million in 2018. In line with this

1403 rapid growth, there are now more than 5.2 million charging stations available for passenger
 1404 vehicles and another 157,000 fast-chargers available for buses worldwide.

1405



1406
 1407 *Figure 16: Per capita fuel use for road transport: A) All fossil fuels, biofuels, electricity; B) Electricity*
 1408 *only. NB. The varying scales in y-axes.*

1409

1410

1411 3.5 Food, Agriculture, and Health

1412 Indicator 3.5.1: Emissions from Agricultural Production and Consumption

1413 *Headline finding: Ruminant livestock continue to dominate agriculture's contribution to*
 1414 *climate change, responsible for 56% of its total emissions, and 93% of all livestock emissions*
 1415 *globally. This represents a 5.5% increase in the per capita emissions from beef consumption*
 1416 *since 2000, which is particularly concerning, given the sharp rise in population over this time*
 1417 *period, and the health impacts of excess red meat consumption.*

1418 The food system is responsible for 20-30% of global GHG emissions, with the majority
 1419 originating from meat and dairy livestock.¹⁷⁵ Improved for the 2020 report, agricultural
 1420 emissions from countries' production and consumption (adjusting for international trade)
 1421 are tracked using data from the FAO, with a full description of methods and data provided in
 1422 the Appendix.¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁷⁸ While countries' emissions are typically measured on a production
 1423 basis, it is their consumption that generates the demand, and results in diet-related health
 1424 outcomes.

1425 Overall emissions from livestock production have increased by 16% since 2000 to over 3.2
 1426 billion tonnes of CO₂e in 2017. Ruminants contribute 93% of total livestock emissions, with
 1427 non-dairy cattle contributing 67% of this. Moving to consumption emissions, beef industry

products dominate, both in absolute and per-capita terms (Figure 17). Average beef consumption emissions were 402 kg CO₂e per person in 2017, compared to 380 kg CO₂e per person in 2000.

Ultimately, effective mitigation will maximise human health while reducing food and agricultural emissions, however no one diet is applicable everywhere, and there are important nuances and variations to be considered across regions and countries. Excessive consumption of red meat brings significant health consequences, as outlined below, and less emissions-intensive plant-based sources are important alternatives, particularly in Europe and the Americas, where per capita emissions are high. In other parts of the world, sustainable farming and agricultural practices are being implemented to meet the nutritional requirements of rapidly growing populations while also keeping emissions low.¹⁷⁹




Figure 17: Agricultural production and consumption emissions 2000-2017 calculated using FAO trade data: per capita production (solid line) and consumption (dotted line) emissions by WHO region (left); Global agricultural consumption emissions by commodity (right).

Indicator 3.5.2: Diet and Health Co-Benefits

Headline finding: The global number of deaths due to excess red meat consumption has risen to 990,000 in 2017, a 72% increase since 1990.

Unhealthy diet is one of the leading risk factors for premature death, both globally and in most regions.¹¹⁰ Combined with a range of food-system-wide interventions, it is possible to achieve dietary change consistent with the Paris Agreement and the SDGs, by reducing reliance on red meat consumption and prioritising healthier alternatives, with a variety of diets and choices available depending on the region, individual, and cultural context.^{180,181} New to the 2020 report, this indicator presents the change in deaths attributable to dietary risks, by focusing in on one particular area – the consumption of excess red meat. Here, it

links food consumption from the FAO's food balance sheets with dietary and weight-related risk factors, with a full description of methods and data presented in the Appendix.^{112,182}

Globally, diet and weight-related risk factors accounted for 8.8 million deaths in 2017, which represented 19% of total mortality, with little overall change since 1990. The regions with the largest ratio of diet-related deaths include the Eastern Mediterranean (28%), Europe (25%), and the Americas (22%). High red meat consumption was responsible for 990,000 deaths globally in 2017 (Figure 18). The greatest contribution to this total came from the Western Pacific, where red meat consumption was responsible for an estimated 411,500 deaths (3.3% of all deaths) and, while there has been an overall improvement in dietary risk factors in Europe, the share of all deaths attributable to red meat consumption still accounts for 3.4% (306,800 deaths) .




Figure 18: Deaths attributable to high red meat consumption 1990-2017 by WHO region.

1470 Indicator 3.6: Mitigation in the Healthcare Sector

1471 *Headline finding: The healthcare sector was responsible for approximately 4.6% of global*
1472 *GHG emissions in 2017, with substantial variations in per capita emissions and healthcare*
1473 *access and quality.*

1474 Healthcare is among the most important sectors in managing the effects of climate change
1475 and, simultaneously, it has an important role to play in reducing its own carbon emissions
1476 (Panel 4). Emissions from the global healthcare sector are modelled using environmentally
1477 extended multi-region input-output (EE MRIO) models combined with WHO healthcare
1478 expenditure data.¹⁸³⁻¹⁸⁷ Based on external review and feedback, the methodology
1479 improvements include adjustments in the EE MRIO satellite accounts that reflect recent
1480 shifts in emissions intensities, particularly in the energy sector, with a full description of
1481 methods and additional analysis in the Appendix.

1482 In updated results to 2017, the healthcare sector contributed approximately 4.6% of global
1483 GHG emissions, a rise of 6.1% from 2016. On a per capita level, comparing emissions alone
1484 fails to capture vital differences in health outcomes among countries, including access to
1485 care. Similarly, increases in emissions in a single country over time may reflect additional
1486 healthcare spending that improves population health. Figure 19 plots per capita healthcare
1487 GHG emissions against the Healthcare Access and Quality (HAQ) Index.¹⁸⁴ There is a clear
1488 positive relationship between the two, up to 400 kgCO₂e per person. Above this point,
1489 countries achieve very similar HAQ levels with vastly different emissions profiles. For
1490 example, France, Japan, and the USA have very high HAQ attainment, with per capita
1491 emissions ranging from 350 kgCO₂e, through to 1,220 kgCO₂e, and 1,720 kgCO₂e
1492 respectively, suggesting that much of healthcare can achieve high-quality patient outcomes,
1493 with significantly reduced emissions.

1494




Figure 19: National per capita healthcare GHG emissions against the Healthcare Access and Quality Index for 2015.

Panel 4: For a Greener NHS

With over 1.5 million employees, England's National Health Service (NHS England) is the largest single employer in Europe and is the largest single-payer healthcare system in the world, with an annual budget of £134 billion. While providing high-quality healthcare to a population of almost 56 million, NHS England contributes 4-5% of the country's total GHG emissions. Accountable to both NHS England and Public Health England, the Sustainable Development Unit was founded in 2008 to ensure the health service met its commitments under the UK Climate Change Act. Since then, the NHS has achieved impressive reductions in GHG emissions whilst maintaining high standards of care and reducing costs.¹⁸⁸ In January 2020, NHS England announced its commitment to become the world's first 'net zero health system', alongside its new campaign "For a greener NHS".¹⁸⁹ A new baseline of NHS England's current carbon footprint was quantified, identifying the different sources of emissions using a hybrid model of bottom-up measurements of direct emissions (on-site fossil fuel use, fleet and transport, and anaesthetic gases) and energy use and top-down MRIO-based measurements to estimate other indirect emissions (including upstream energy system emissions, pharmaceutical procurement, and patient use of metered dose inhalers). NHS England is now working to develop a strategy for how and when Net Zero emissions can be achieved.

1499 Conclusion

1500 The trends over the past year show a concerning lack of progress in a number of sectors,
1501 including a continued failure to reduce the carbon intensity of the global energy system, a
1502 rise in the use of coal-fired power, and rising agricultural emissions and premature deaths
1503 from excess red meat consumption. This is in-part counteracted by the growth of renewable
1504 energy and improvements in low-carbon transport. While these continue to rise at a pace, it
1505 is important to consider that they are starting from a low baseline.

1506 In many cases, it is likely that 2020 will be an inflection point for a number of indicators
1507 presented over the coming decade, with the direction of future trends yet to be seen..
1508 Ensuring that the recovery from the pandemic is synergistic with the long-term public health
1509 imperative of responding to climate change will be vital in the coming months, years, and
1510 decades.

1511

1512

1513 Section 4: Economics and Finance

1514 Section 1 described the emerging human symptoms of climate change, while Sections 2 and
1515 3 detailed efforts to adapt and mitigate against the worst of these effects. In turn, Section 4
1516 examines the financial and economic dimensions of both the impacts of climate change, and
1517 efforts to respond.

1518 The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) estimate limiting warming to 1.5°C
1519 would require annual investment in the energy system equivalent to around 2.5% of global
1520 GDP, through to 2035.⁸⁵ Such investment would both limit the cost of the damage from
1521 climate change (up to US\$4 trillion per year by 2100 from a 3°C world as compared to a 2°C
1522 world) and generate a range of other economic benefits (including the creation of new
1523 technologies and industries) and health benefits from avoiding the effects of climate change
1524 current carbon-intensive activities. Once such factors are considered, the overall economic
1525 implications of limiting warming to 1.5°C are likely to be positive – particularly if policy
1526 responses are accelerated as soon as possible to a level commensurate with the scale of the
1527 challenge. Recent estimates suggest that investment to “bend the curve” from the world’s
1528 current path, to a limited temperature rise of 1.5°C by 2100, would generate global net
1529 benefit of US\$264-610 trillion (3.1-7.2 times of the size of the global economy in 2018).¹²

1530 The global economy will look substantially different following the recovery from the COVID-
1531 19 pandemic. As governments around the world grapple with the challenge of restarting
1532 their economies, it will be important to ensure these efforts are aligned with the response
1533 to climate change. If the enormous fiscal stimulus that will be required is directed away
1534 from high-carbon, and towards low-carbon infrastructure and activities, an opportunity to
1535 permanently bend the curve presents itself. Metrics examining these core concepts are
1536 currently tracked in this report, allowing future data to reveal the long-term effect of
1537 COVID-19 on the low-carbon economy.

1538 The nine indicators in this section fall into two broad domains. The first is the health and
1539 economic costs of climate change and its mitigation (Indicators 4.1.1 to 4.1.4). This includes
1540 two new indicators for the 2020 report, on the economics of heat-related mortality and the
1541 potential reduction in earnings from heat-related labour capacity loss (Indicators 4.1.2 and
1542 4.1.3). The second domain examines the economics of the transition to zero-carbon
1543 economies (Indicators 4.2.1 to 4.2.5), which is fundamental to the improvement of human
1544 health and wellbeing. This theme also includes a new indicator, (Indicator 4.2.5), which
1545 merges three indicators presented in previous reports (on fossil fuel subsidies, the strength
1546 and coverage of carbon prices, and carbon pricing revenues) to examine the “net” carbon
1547 prices in place around the world.

1548

1549 4.1 Health and Economic Costs of Climate Change and Benefits from Mitigation

1550 Indicator 4.1.1: Economic Losses due to Climate-Related Extreme Events

1551 *Headline finding: Economic losses from climate-related extreme events in 2019 were nearly*
1552 *five times greater in low-income economies than high-income economies, and with just 4%*
1553 *of these losses insured, compared to 60% in high-income economies.*

1554 Section 1 presented the evidence linking the impacts of climate change to human health
1555 and wellbeing. The loss of physical infrastructure (agricultural land, homes, health
1556 infrastructure) due to such events will further exacerbate these health impacts. This
1557 indicator tracks the total annual economic losses (insured and uninsured) that result from
1558 climate-related extreme events. The methodology is described in full in the Appendix, which
1559 has changed compared to previous years.^{190,191}

1560 In 2019 there were 236 recorded climate-related extreme events, with absolute economic
1561 losses totalling US\$132 billion. Although most of these losses occurred in high-income
1562 economies, when normalised by GDP, the value of total economic losses in low-income
1563 countries is nearly five times greater. In addition, while 60% of losses in high-income
1564 economies were insured, this reduces to 3-5% for other income groups. It is important to
1565 note that, when normalised by GDP, relative economic losses have been decreasing, while
1566 the number of total extreme events is increasing, suggesting that adaptation and prevention
1567 are reducing their impacts.¹⁹²

1568

1569 Indicator 4.1.2: Costs of Heat-Related Mortality

1570

1571 *Headline finding: In 2018, the monetised value of global heat-related mortality reached*
1572 *0.37% of Gross World Product, compared to 0.23% in 2000. Europe suffered the most in*
1573 *2018, with costs equal to the average income of 11 million of its citizens, and 1.2% Gross*
1574 *National Income.*

1575 As Indicator 1.1.3 highlights, rising temperatures and extremes of heat are resulting in
1576 worsening morbidity and mortality for populations around the world. The 2020 report
1577 introduces a new indicator, which considers the economic impact of this, by tracking the
1578 monetised value of global heat-related mortality. To do so, it makes use of the value of a
1579 statistical life (VSL), drawing on estimates produced for the Organisation for Economic Co-
1580 operation and Development (OECD) for those countries, making use of a fixed ratio of VSL to
1581 gross national income (GNI) for non-OECD countries, and applying this to the heat-related
1582 mortality data from Indicator 1.1.3.^{193,194} To address any distributional effects, and more
1583 accurately capture the economic harm that climate change presents to low- and middle-
1584 income countries, two indices have been calculated. The value of mortality is presented as a

proportion of total GNI, and as the average income per person this loss would be equivalent to, in a given country and region. A full description of the methods, data, caveats and further analysis are described in the Appendix.

As global heat-related mortality increased from 2000, so too did the monetised cost of these deaths. At a global level and represented as a proportion of Gross World Product (GWP), the cost increased from 0.23% in 2000 to 0.37% in 2018. Due the high number of heat-related deaths, Europe was the worst affected, reaching a cost equivalent to the income of 11 million of its citizens in 2018 (led by Germany at 1.9 million, Figure 20), and 1.2% of regional GNI. While the value in terms of proportion of GNI for the Western Pacific and South East Asia were comparatively low at 0.43% and 0.19% respectively, these impacts are more substantial when considered against the average income in those regions.




Figure 20: Monetised value of heat-related mortality represented as the number of people to whose income this value is equivalent, on average, for each WHO region.

Indicator 4.1.3: Loss of Earnings from Heat-Related Labour Capacity Reduction

Headline finding: Rising temperatures make outdoor labour increasingly difficult, often resulting in public health and economic consequences for a wide range of occupations. If

1605 *borne out, the heat related reduction in labour capacity experienced would result in earnings*
1606 *losses equivalent to an estimated 4-6% of GDP in lower-middle income countries tracked.*

1607 Higher temperatures, driven by climate change, are affecting people's ability to work
1608 (Indicator 1.1.4). This new indicator considers the loss of earnings that could result from
1609 such reduced capacity, compounding the initial cause of ill health and impacting on
1610 wellbeing. It adopts the outputs of Indicator 1.1.4 for 25 countries, selected by the impact
1611 their workers experience and for geographical coverage, and combines these with data on
1612 average earnings by country and sector held in the International Labor Organization (ILO)
1613 databases.⁴² These estimates will be modified by a variety of factors, ranging from whether
1614 or not sick leave was taken, the presence of workers sick pay rights, and the availability of
1615 shade. A full description of the methods and additional analysis is provided in the Appendix.

1616 When taken as a share of GDP, low- and lower middle-income countries are the hardest hit,
1617 with losses predominantly seen in agriculture, despite this being on average the lowest paid
1618 of the sectors considered. By 2015, averaged estimated earnings losses reached the
1619 equivalent of 4-6% of GDP for lower-middle income countries tracked including Indonesia,
1620 India, and Cambodia, and between 0.6-1% for upper-middle income countries, including
1621 China, Brazil, and Mexico.

1622

1623 [Indicator 4.1.4: Economics of the Health Impacts of Air Pollution](#)

1624

1625 *Headline finding: Across Europe, ongoing reductions in particulate air pollution from human*
1626 *activity were seen from 2015 to 2018. If held constant, this improvement alone would lead*
1627 *to an annual average reduction in years of life lost to the current population worth \$8.8*
1628 *billion.*

1629 As described in Indicator 3.3, global mortality due to ambient PM_{2.5} pollution has risen from
1630 around 2.95 million in 2015 to 3.01 million in 2018. However, due to improvements in air
1631 quality, including the closure of coal power stations, premature mortality due to air
1632 pollution in Europe has decreased over the same period. This indicator captures the cost of
1633 that change in the European Union (EU) by placing an economic value on the Years of Life
1634 Lost (YLL) that result from exposure to PM_{2.5} from anthropogenic sources, with the methods
1635 and data described in full in the Appendix.¹⁹⁵

1636 If the population of the EU in 2015 were to experience anthropogenic PM_{2.5} emissions at
1637 2018 levels instead of levels experienced in 2015, consistently over the course of their lives,
1638 the total average economic value of the reduction in YLLs would be around \$8.8 billion
1639 (€9.85 billion), every year. Despite this, 2018 PM_{2.5} levels are still damaging to
1640 cardiovascular and respiratory systems, and the total annual average cost to the current
1641 population would still be \$116 billion (€129 billion). Based on 2018 levels of air pollution,

the average life lost per person in the EU is 5.7 months, but this loss of life is estimated at over 8 months per person for Poland, Romania, Hungary, Italy and Belgium (Figure 21).




Figure 21: Annual monetised value of YLLs due to anthropogenic PM2.5 exposure, and average months of life lost per person (2018 pollution levels).

1650 4.2 The Economics of the Transition to Zero-Carbon Economies

1651 Indicator 4.2.1: Investment in New Coal Capacity

1652 *Headline finding: Largely driven by China, investment in new coal capacity has been*
1653 *declining since 2011 and reduced by 6% from 2018 to 2019. Despite this, global coal capacity*
1654 *continues to increase, with fewer coal plant retirements than additions for every year*
1655 *tracked.*

1656 As identified in Section 3, coal phase-out is essential, not only for the mitigation of climate
1657 change, but also for the reduction of premature mortality due to air pollution. Taking data
1658 from the IEA, this indicator points to future coal use, tracking investment in new coal-fired
1659 power generation. The data represents ‘ongoing’ capital spending, with investment in a new
1660 plant spread evenly from the year new construction begins, to the year it becomes
1661 operational.¹⁹⁶ For the 2020 report, data is presented for key countries and regions,
1662 alongside the global trend. Further details on the methods and data are found in the
1663 Appendix.

1664 Following the trend since 2011, global investment reduced a further 6% between 2018 and
1665 2019. With a 27% reduction in investments over these two years, China has been driving
1666 this decline. Final Investment Decisions (FIDs, the point at which the project’s future
1667 development is approved) have reached their lowest point in 40 years, with a further 11%
1668 reduction in investment forecast for 2020 – driven by declining investment in Asia, in part as
1669 a result of COVID-19. However, despite a substantial decline in actual investment, FIDs in
1670 China increased in 2019 compared to 2018, and, with the approval of 8 GW of new capacity,
1671 reached 2019 levels by March 2020. Additionally, with fewer coal plant retirements than
1672 additions in 2019 (and in every year presented), there was an overall increase in global
1673 capacity.

1674




Figure 22: Annual investment in coal-fired capacity 2006-2019 (an index score of 100 corresponds to 2006 levels).

Indicator 4.2.2: Investments in Zero-Carbon Energy and Energy Efficiency

Headline finding: Progress towards zero-carbon energy has stalled in recent years, and investments in zero-carbon energy and energy efficiency have not risen since 2016, and are a long way from the doubling by 2030 required to be consistent with the Paris Agreement.

This indicator monitors annual global investment in these areas, as well as investment in all fossil fuels, complementing and providing a wider context to Indicator 4.2.1, above. Data is sourced from the IEA, and the methodology remains the same as the 2019 report of Lancet Countdown, with hydropower now considered separately and all values presented in US\$2019.¹⁹⁶

Since 2016, investment in global energy supply and energy efficiency has remained relatively stable at just under US\$1.9 trillion, with fossil fuel supply consistently accounting for around half this value, and all renewables and energy efficiency combined maintaining a share of 32%. For a pathway consistent with 1.5°C of warming this century, annual investments must increase to US\$4.3 trillion by 2030, with investment in renewable electricity, electricity networks and storage, and energy efficiency accounting for at least 50%.¹⁹⁷

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, short-term disruption and long-term reassessments of likely returns mean that total energy investment is estimated to reduce by 20% in 2020 – the largest fall ever recorded – with oil and gas supply investment to be reduced by a third. Renewable investment is likely to fare better than fossil fuel capacity, with investment in zero-carbon energy (nuclear, hydropower and other renewables) and energy efficiency projected to jump from 32% to 37% of investment in 2020, due to falling investments in fossil fuels.¹⁹⁶ Stimulus plans focussed on boosting energy efficiency and renewable energy will be essential to ensure that the power generation system is on track to meet the SDGs and the goals of the Paris Agreement.¹⁶³




Figure 23: Annual Investment in energy supply and efficiency.

Indicator 4.2.3: Employment in Renewable and Fossil Fuel Energy Industries

Headline finding: Renewable energy provided 11 million jobs in 2018, a 4.2% rise from 2017. Whilst still employing more people overall, employment in fossil fuel extraction declined by 3% from 2018 to 2019.

There is mounting evidence that employees in some fossil-fuel extractive industries, particularly coal mining, and populations living in close proximity, suffer a greater incidence of certain illnesses, such as chronic respiratory diseases, cancers and congenital

1714 anomalies.^{198,199} Combined with increased job certainty, a managed transition of
1715 employment opportunities away from fossil fuel-related industries, and towards low-carbon
1716 industries will result in improved occupational health of employees within the energy
1717 sector. This indicator tracks global direct employment in fossil fuel extraction industries
1718 (coal mining and oil and gas exploration and production) and direct and indirect (supply
1719 chain) employment in renewable energy for the most recent year available, with a full
1720 description of the methods and data available in the Appendix.²⁰⁰⁻²⁰²

1721 Around 11 million people globally were employed directly or indirectly by the renewable
1722 energy industry in 2018, representing an increase of 4.2% from 2017. Solar photovoltaic
1723 (PV) continues to provide the largest share of jobs, at over 3.6 million, with employment
1724 also rising in wind, bioenergy, and other technologies. Fossil fuel extraction industries
1725 continue to employ more people globally than all renewable energy industries, although the
1726 number of jobs in 2019 are slightly lower than in 2018, at 12.7 million compared with 13.1
1727 million.

1728 As the demand for fossil fuels declines, planned efforts, including retraining and job
1729 placement is important to ensure the ongoing employment of those currently working in
1730 fossil fuel extraction industries. The same will be true as part of the response to COVID-19,
1731 with structured re-training and deployment programmes for renewable energy potentially
1732 forming an important component of a recovery plan. Indeed, the IEA estimates that such a
1733 strategy, which accelerates the deployment of low-carbon electricity sources, expands
1734 electricity grid access and energy efficiency, and delivers cleaner transport, would create an
1735 additional nine million jobs a year, globally over the next three years.¹⁶³

1736

1737 [Indicator 4.2.4: Funds Divested from Fossil Fuels](#)

1738 *Headline finding: The global value of new funds committed to fossil fuel divestment in 2019*
1739 *was US\$4.01 trillion, of which health institutions accounted for around US\$19 million. This*
1740 *represents a cumulative sum of US\$11.51 trillion since 2008, with health institutions*
1741 *accounting for US\$42 billion.*

1742 By encouraging investors to reduce their financial interests in the fossil fuel industry,
1743 divestment efforts both remove the 'social license to operate' and guard against the risk of
1744 losses due to 'stranded assets' in a world in which demand for fossil fuels rapidly
1745 reduces.^{203,204} This indicator tracks the total global value of funds divested from fossil fuels,
1746 and the value of divested funds coming from health institutions, using data provided by
1747 350.org, with annual data and full methodology described in the Appendix.²⁰⁵

1748 From 2008 to the end of 2019, 1,157 organisations, with cumulative assets worth at least
1749 US\$11.51 trillion have committed to fossil fuel divestment. Of these, only 23 are health

institutions, including the World Medical Association, the British Medical Association, the Canadian Medical Association, the UK Faculty of Public Health, the Royal College of General Practitioners, the Royal Australasian College of Physicians, Gundersen Health System, the Berlin Doctors Pension Fund, and the Royal College of Emergency Medicine, with total assets of approximately US\$42 billion. The annual value of new funds committed to divesting increased from US\$2.14 trillion in 2018 to US\$4.01 trillion in 2019. However, divestment from health institutions has slowed, with US\$19 million divested in 2019, compared to US\$867 million in 2018, owing primarily to divestment from particularly large institutions in previous years.




Figure 24: Cumulative divestment – Global total and in healthcare institutions.

Indicator 4.2.5: Net Value of Fossil Fuel Subsidies and Carbon Prices

Headline finding: 58 out of 75 countries reviewed were operating with a net-negative carbon price in 2017. The resulting net loss of revenue was in many cases equivalent to substantial proportions of the national health budget.

Placing a price on GHG emissions provides an incentive to drive the transition towards a low-carbon economy.^{206,207} It also allows for a closer reflection of the true cost of emissions-intensive practices, particularly fossil fuel use, capturing some of the negative externalities resulting from their impact on health. However, not all countries explicitly set carbon prices, and in some cases the strength of any carbon price may be undermined by the opposing influence of subsidies on fossil fuel production and consumption.^{208,209}

Indicator 4.2.5 has been created for the 2020 report by combining previous indicators on fossil fuel subsidies and carbon pricing. It calculates “net” economy-wide average carbon prices and associated net carbon revenue to government. The calculations are based on the value of overall fossil fuel subsidies, the revenue from carbon pricing mechanisms, and the total CO₂ emissions of the economy. Data on fossil fuel subsidies are calculated based on analysis from the IEA and OECD.^{210,211} Together these sources cover 75 countries and account for around 92% of global CO₂ emissions. Carbon prices and revenues are derived from data in the World Bank Carbon Pricing Dashboard and include international, national and subnational mechanisms within countries, 38 of which overlap with those covered by subsidy data and thus form part of this analysis.²¹² A full description of the methodology, other data sources, and the methods for integrating them, can be found in the Appendix.

Most of the 75 countries in 2016 and 2017 had net-negative carbon prices (61 and 58 respectively), and only 25% with a price above zero in both years, resulting from substantial subsidies for fossil fuel production and consumption (Figure 25). The median net carbon revenue was negative – a pay-out of US\$0.7 billion, with some countries providing net fossil fuel subsidies in the tens of billions of dollars each year. In many cases these subsidies are equivalent to substantial proportions of the national health budget – greater than 100% in eight of the 75 countries in 2017. Of the 38 countries that had formal carbon pricing mechanisms in place in 2017, 21 nonetheless had net-negative carbon prices.




Figure 25: Net carbon prices; net carbon revenues; and net carbon revenue as a share of current national health expenditure, across 75 countries, 2016 and 2017. Boxes show the interquartile range (IQR), horizontal lines inside the boxes showing the medians. The means are shown by crosses. The brackets represent the range from minimum to maximum, however points are represented as outliers beyond this range if they are 1.5 times the IQR below the 1st quartile, or above the 3rd quartile.

1801 Conclusion

1802 The economic and financial dimensions of public health and climate change are central to
1803 any comprehensive mitigation and adaptation effort. This section has covered both the
1804 health and economic costs of climate change, as well as indicators of progress underlying a
1805 transition to a low-carbon economy. It has developed a number of new metrics to inform
1806 this and will continue to expand the geographical coverage and reach of these in
1807 subsequent reports.

1808 The outlook presented here is mixed. On the one hand, investment in new coal capacity
1809 continues to decline, and employment in renewable energy continues to rise. On the other
1810 hand, composite indicators of net carbon pricing reveal that government policies are often
1811 mis-coordinated, resulting in inefficiencies and disrupted price signals. The full economic
1812 impacts of COVID-19 will continue to play out over the course of a number of years, leaving
1813 a lasting impact on the world. Indeed, the nature and extent of the economic impact and
1814 response to this pandemic will play a defining role in determining whether or not the world
1815 meets its commitments under the Paris Agreement. It is for this reason that strong
1816 investment in mitigation and adaptation technologies and interventions is more important
1817 now than ever before, leading to healthier and more prepared hospitals, economies, and
1818 populations.

1819 Section 5: Public and Political Engagement

1820 As previous sections make clear, the health impacts of climate change are multiplying,
1821 hitting hardest those who have contributed least to rising global temperatures. The public
1822 are voicing concern as individuals, and as members of Indigenous communities, and new
1823 social movements, urging greater ambition from those with the power to curb carbon
1824 emissions.²¹³⁻²²⁰

1825 This section tracks engagement in health and climate change across multiple parts of
1826 society, including the media, by individuals, scientists, governments, and the corporate
1827 sector. For each of these, methods used in previous Lancet Countdown reports have been
1828 enhanced, increasing the sensitivity and specificity of health and climate change
1829 engagement in each.

1830 The media, and national newspapers in particular, are central to shaping public perceptions
1831 of climate change.²²¹⁻²²⁴ The media indicator (Indicator 5.1) tracks newspaper coverage of
1832 health and climate change in 36 countries, with additional analysis provided for China's
1833 *People's Daily*, the official voice of the government and China's most influential newspaper,
1834 and content analysis of newspaper coverage in India and the USA.^{225,226}

1835 Individual engagement (Indicator 5.2) is tracked through the use of Wikipedia, an online
1836 information source that has outpaced traditional encyclopaedias in terms of reach, coverage
1837 and comprehensiveness.²²⁷⁻²³¹

1838 Reintroduced in 2020 with a revised methodology, the scientific indicator (Indicator 5.3)
1839 tracks academic engagement with health and climate change in peer-reviewed journals, the
1840 premier source of high-quality research that provides evidence used by the media,
1841 government, and the public.^{228,232,233}

1842 The fourth indicator (Indicator 5.4) focuses on the governmental domain, a key arena for
1843 driving the global response to climate change. It tracks government engagement in health
1844 and climate change at the UN General Assembly, where the UN General Debate provides a
1845 platform for national leaders to address the global community.^{234,235} New to the 2020
1846 report, it also examines engagement with health in the NDCs which underpin the UN
1847 Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) 2015 Paris Agreement.^{4,236,237}

1848 The final indicator (Indicator 5.5) focuses on the corporate sector, which, through its
1849 behaviour and wider political influence is central to the transition to a low-carbon
1850 economy.²³⁸⁻²⁴⁰ This indicator tracks engagement with health and climate change in
1851 healthcare companies within the UN Global Compact, the world's biggest corporate
1852 sustainability framework.²⁴¹

1853 Indicator 5.1 Media Coverage of Health and Climate Change

1854 *Headline finding: While total climate change coverage increased substantially from 2018 to*
1855 *2019, the rise was even greater for health and climate change coverage, which increased by*
1856 *96% over this period, and has increased substantially from 2007 to 2019.*

1857 This indicator tracks coverage of health and climate change from 2007 to 2019 in 36
1858 countries, together with separate analyses of China’s People’s Daily and the content of
1859 coverage in leading newspapers in India and the USA. Full descriptions of the methods, data
1860 sources and further analyses are presented in the Appendix.

1861 Across the 36 countries, an increasing proportion of newspaper articles on climate change
1862 refer to human health. From 2018 to 2019, health and climate change coverage increased
1863 by 96%, outpacing the increase in overall climate change coverage (74%). From 2007 to
1864 2019, the average monthly number of newspaper articles on health and climate change
1865 increased by 57% compared to a 23% increase in articles on climate change. Overall, the
1866 coverage for health and climate change only makes up 16% of all climate change coverage in
1867 the 2007-19 period (Figure 26).

1868 Coverage of health and climate change peaked in months that coincided with COP15 in 2009
1869 (Copenhagen) and COP21 in 2015 (Paris). It rose again in late 2018 and remained high across
1870 2019, corresponding with the time of the rise of the School Climate Strikes and a series of
1871 extreme weather events, including the Californian and southern Australian wildfires.

1872 The analysis was based on key word searches for health and climate change in 61
1873 newspapers (English, German, Portuguese, Spanish) selected to provide a global spread of
1874 higher-circulation papers. The search strategy was revised for the 2020 report in order to
1875 exclude false positives whilst retaining true positive articles.

1876

1877




Figure 26: Average monthly coverage of (a) health and climate change and (b) climate change in 61 newspapers (36 countries), 2007-2019.

Additionally, coverage of health and climate change in *Renmin Ribao*, the Chinese language edition of *People's Daily*, was tracked using keyword searches, algorithm-based natural language processing and manual screening. Between 2008 and 2019, 2% of articles on climate change were related to health. Health-related coverage spiked in 2013 with coverage of the health threats of air pollution and heatwaves.²⁴²

The content of coverage of health and climate change was analysed in India (the *Times of India* and the *Hindustan Times*) and the USA (the *New York Times* and the *Washington Post*) from July-September and November-December 2019, chosen to include periods of extreme weather (monsoons, drought) and COP25.³⁰ The newspapers form part of the 'elite press' which, via their influence on the country's political and economic elites, have an influence on the policy agenda.²⁴³⁻²⁴⁸

Three broad themes were identified in articles linking health and climate change. The dominant theme was the health impacts of climate change, discussed in 68% of articles. References were often to broad health impacts (e.g. "few countries are likely to suffer from the health effects of climate change as much as India", *Hindustan Times*, 14 November). More specific connections were also made to climate-related stressors (e.g. extreme weather events, wildfires, population displacement) and health sequelae (e.g. vector-borne disease, mental ill-health).

1900 The second theme relates to the common causes and co-benefits of addressing climate
 1901 change and health, discussed in 39% of articles. Air pollution was the most frequently
 1902 highlighted. Co-benefits of lifestyle changes to protect health and reduce emissions were
 1903 also noted. The third theme focused on adaptation, discussed in 12% of articles. For
 1904 example, the *Times of India*, 10 December, noted that “all levels of government need to
 1905 prioritize building health system resilience to climate change”. In addition, a small group of
 1906 articles (six across the corpus) made a link between health and climate change with respect
 1907 to activism and protest.

1908 The relative prominence of the three main themes in the 2019 analysis matches that for
 1909 2018 and the *Times of India* again gave greater emphasis to common causes and co-benefits
 1910 than the other newspapers.³⁰

1911 For this indicator, articles were searched by health and climate change keywords and
 1912 manually screened; the final sample of 209 articles was independently coded using the
 1913 template developed for the 2018 analysis.^{30,249}

1914

1915

1916 [Indicator 5.2: Individual Engagement in Health and Climate Change](#)

1917 *Headline finding: Individual information-seeking about health and climate change increased*
 1918 *by 24% from 2018 to 2019, driven primarily by initial interest in health.*

1919 Wikipedia usage provides a digital footprint of individual information-seeking.^{250,251} This
 1920 indicator tracks individuals’ engagement in health and climate change, by capturing visits to
 1921 pairs of articles, for example, an individual clicking from a page on human health to one on
 1922 climate change. Using data from the Wikimedia Foundation on the English version of
 1923 Wikipedia (representing around 50% of global traffic to all Wikipedia language editions), this
 1924 indicator is based on 6,902 articles related to health and 1,837 articles related to climate
 1925 change.^{252,253} Methods, data sources and further analyses are described in the Appendix.

1926 In both 2018 and 2019, individuals typically visited articles on either health or climate
 1927 change, with little co-click activity between them, and when they were linked, the majority
 1928 (75%) of co-visits started from a health-related page. While the overall number of health
 1929 and climate change co-views is low, it increased by 24% across from 2018 to 2019, pointing
 1930 to a rising individual engagement in the links between these two topics. In both years, co-
 1931 clicks increased in months coinciding with key events in climate politics. As well as the 2019
 1932 COP, co-clicks from articles on climate change to health in 2019 spiked in September at the
 1933 time of Greta Thunberg’s speech at the UN’s Climate Action Summit.²⁵⁴

1934

1935 **Indicator 5.3: Coverage of Health and Climate Change in Scientific Journals**

1936 *Headline finding: There was a nine-fold increase in original research on health and climate*
1937 *change between 2007 and 2019, a trend driven by research led by scientists in high-income*
1938 *countries.*


1939 Between 2007 and 2019, 5,579 published academic articles referred to links between
1940 climate change and health. The period saw a nine-fold increase in original research (primary
1941 studies and evidence reviews) and a three-fold increase in research-related articles
1942 (editorials, reviews, comments, letters). Since 2011, original research has now surpassed
1943 research-related articles, with new research representing 61% of total scientific output in
1944 2019 (Figure 27).

1945 Consistent with observations in Section 1 (see Panel 3), the overall increase in research on
1946 health and climate change was primarily led by scientists based in high-income countries.
1947 USA-led and UK-led research made up 27% and 15% of the total output for 2007 to 2019,
1948 and respectively, 26% and 15% in 2019. Major contributions to 2019 output also come from
1949 the Netherlands (8%) and Switzerland (7%). Increases were also evident for China, South
1950 Africa, and India.

1951 Across the period, articles on health and climate change represented only a small
1952 proportion (9%) of total articles on climate change. However, the increase in articles relating
1953 to health and climate change was greater than for overall climate change output.

1954 This indicator is based on key word searches for health and climate change in OVID Medline
1955 and OVID Embase using the comprehensive indexing systems and thesaurus of Medical
1956 Subject Headings (MeSH) for Medline and Emtree for Embase. Methods, data sources and
1957 further analyses are described in the Appendix.

1958



1959

1960 *Figure 27: Scientific journal articles relating to health and climate change, 2007-2019.*

1961

1962

1963 **Indicator 5.4: Government Engagement in Health and Climate Change**

1964 *Headline finding: National governments are increasingly paying attention to health and*
1965 *climate change. Small island developing states are leading this trend at the UN General*
1966 *Debate, and poorer and more climate-vulnerable countries are more likely to reference*
1967 *health in their NDCs, with 95% of the least developed countries making these references.*

1968 This indicator examines engagement with health and climate change in the UN General
1969 Debate (UNGD) and with health in the NDCs committed to as part of the 2015 Paris
1970 Agreement.^{4,234} The indicator is based on a key word search of the United Nations General
1971 Debate corpus, with algorithm-based natural language processing applied to the official
1972 English versions of the statements.^{255,256} References to health-related terms (e.g. ‘health’,
1973 ‘illness’, ‘disease’ and ‘malnutrition’) and climate-related health exposures were examined
1974 in the 185 countries registering their NDCs in the UNFCCC repository by March 2020, with a
1975 total of 2,159 pages of text analysed. Building on previous analyses, this indicator analyses
1976 not only references, but the prominence they are given in the text.^{237,257} Methods, data
1977 sources and further analyses are described in the Appendix.

1978 As part of the annual UN General Assembly, the UNGD provides a global forum for national
1979 leaders to discuss issues they consider important. Health has been a long-standing issue,
1980 whilst engagement with climate change was limited until the late 1980s (**Error! Reference**
1981 **source not found.**). From the mid-2000s, national leaders began to focus on the
1982 connections between health and climate change, with the proportion rising rapidly from
1983 2007 and peaking in 2014 at 24%.

1984 Engagement in health and climate change continues to be led by the small island developing
1985 states (SIDS), particularly in the Western Pacific Region. In contrast, engagement remained
1986 low among the more powerful global actors, particularly those with the highest CO₂
1987 emissions (USA, China, and the EU). For the third consecutive year, President Donald
1988 Trump's statement on behalf of the USA failed to make a single reference to climate change,
1989 let alone to climate change and health linkages. However, 2019 did see growing
1990 engagement with climate change and health by other high-income nations (including
1991 Australia, Canada, Germany, and Spain) and by low-income countries, particularly in the
1992 African Region (for example Burkina Faso, Botswana, Côte d'Ivoire, Niger, and Togo).

1993 At the 2019 UNGD, the majority of health and climate change references focused on the
1994 health impacts of climate change. For example, Dominica highlighted the impacts of climate
1995 change on SIDS', including "rising sea levels, violent tropical storms and hurricanes, periods
1996 of severe drought alternating with floods and forest fires, new plant diseases, and vector-
1997 borne disease such as chikungunya and Zika present an existential threat." Similarly, Tonga's
1998 UNGD statement discussed how extreme weather events linked to climate change "are
1999 increasingly more intense, inflicting damage and destruction on our communities and
2000 ecosystems and putting the health of our peoples at risk."

2001 The 2019 UNGD also saw discussion of adaptation and resilience to "upgrade and climate-
2002 proof our health-care facilities" (Nauru), improve "the quality of health care and the
2003 durability of health-care systems in the face of the climate crisis" (Palau) and build "climate
2004 change resilience in our sectoral policies and strategies for health, transport, agriculture and
2005 pastoral production" (Niger).

2006 The second part of this indicator focuses on health within the NDCs, assessing both the
2007 references and their prominence within the text. Here, some 73% of NDCs included
2008 considerations of public health. At the WHO regional level, all countries in the South East
2009 Asian and Eastern Mediterranean Regions discuss these links (Figure 28). At the country
2010 level, references to health are particularly common among Least Developed Countries
2011 (95%). In contrast, the European Union (representing the contributions of 28 countries) and
2012 the USA NDCs have none.

2013




Figure 28: Reference to health in the NDCs by WHO region. The European region (which consists of 53 countries) is adjusted for the single NDC representing 28 EU countries; treating the EU as one country would increase the regional proportion to 60%.

A range of health dimensions were highlighted in the NDCs, including the direct impacts of climate change on health and health-related infrastructure. For example, in their respective NDCs, Morocco notes that climate change would increase deaths “by 250,000 annually between 2030 and 2050 due to malnutrition, malaria, diarrhea and heat-related stress” and Cambodia discusses the effects of climate change on “death, injury, psychological disorders and damage to public health infrastructure”. There are also references to the co-benefits of interventions; for example, Saint Lucia refers to “human health benefits” among “co-benefits associated with its mitigation efforts”.

Among the NDCs considering health and climate change, extreme weather events (e.g. floods, drought) and food security were most commonly cited, with 52% discussing these links. The proportion was highest in the NDCs from countries in South East Asia, and lowest in Europe. Examples include Sri Lanka’s NDC, which warns of its “water borne diseases” which “can increase due to extreme heat and drought” and Nepal’s NDC which describes “an increased frequency of extreme weather events such as landslides, floods and droughts resulting to the loss of human lives”.

2036 Indicator 5.5: Corporate Sector Engagement in Health and Climate change


2037 *Headline finding: engagement in health and climate change increased to 24% in 2019*
2038 *among healthcare companies in the UN Global Compact, although this engagement*
2039 *continues to lag behind other sectors.*

2040 The UN Global Compact (UNGC) is a UN-supported platform, created to promote
2041 environmental and social responsibility in the business sector.²⁵⁸ It represents over 10,000
2042 companies from more than 160 countries.²⁴¹ Focusing on the healthcare sector, Figure 29
2043 tracks engagement in health and climate change in the UNGC Communication on Progress
2044 reports that companies submit each year.

2045 Analysis was based on key word searches of health-related and of climate change-related
2046 terms in 20,775 annual reports in the UNGC database, and engagement in health and
2047 climate change was identified using natural language processing.²⁴¹ Methods, data sources
2048 and further analyses are described in the Appendix.

2049 This indicator points to an increase in healthcare sector engagement in 2019, with 24% of
2050 companies referring to the links between climate change and health (Figure 29). However,
2051 other sectors have higher levels of engagement, including the energy sector and real estate
2052 investment sector.

2053



2054 *Figure 29: Proportion of healthcare sector companies referring to climate change, health, and the*
2055 *intersection of health and climate change in Communication on Progress reports, 2011-2019.*
2056

2057 Conclusion

2058 Public and political engagement is essential to curb fossil fuel consumption and hold global
2059 temperature rise to below 1.5°C.²⁵⁹ Section Five has examined indicators of engagement
2060 relating to the media, the public, the scientific community, national government and the
2061 corporate sector. Taken together, the analyses point to two broad trends.

2062 Firstly, engagement with health and climate change continues to increase. Between 2007
2063 and 2019, newspaper coverage increased by over 50% and scientific journal output by over
2064 500%. Across 2018 and 2019, the proportion of Wikipedia users searching for articles that
2065 linked health and climate change also increased. There is evidence of dynamic and
2066 reinforcing relationships between these domains. Media coverage increased at times of
2067 heightened political engagement and public engagement. September 2019, and Greta
2068 Thunberg's speech at the UN Climate Action Summit in particular, also saw a spike in
2069 individual engagement in health and climate change, as captured by Wikipedia use.

2070 However, beneath these trends are persisting inequalities in wealth and political influence.
2071 In both the UNGD and the NDCs, engagement in health and climate change is led by
2072 countries and regions that are suffering most from a changing climate to which they have
2073 contributed least. At the same time, the science of health and climate change continues to
2074 be led by higher-income, high-emitting countries, which are the most responsible for
2075 climate change.^{218,260}

2076 Secondly, in absolute terms, climate change continues to be framed in ways that pay little
2077 attention to its health dimensions. One in six newspaper articles on climate change discuss
2078 its health dimensions; less than one in ten scientific articles do so; as do less than one in
2079 four healthcare companies signed up to sustainable business practices. In the political
2080 domain, health and climate change are rarely connected by government leaders in their
2081 speeches at the UN's major global forum and, while most NDCs refer to health, countries
2082 with high per capita carbon emissions – including EU countries and the USA – do not.
2083 Nonetheless, in key domains of engagement, the health dimensions of climate change are
2084 increasingly recognised, with media and scientific coverage increasing more rapidly than for
2085 climate change as a whole.

2086 In conclusion, despite the fact that underlying inequalities in the drivers and impacts of
2087 climate change remain, there is evidence that health is becoming increasingly central to
2088 public and political engagement.

2089 Conclusion: The 2020 Report of the Lancet Countdown

2090 With global average temperature rise having reached 1.2°C above pre-industrial times, the
2091 indicators contained in the 2020 report provide insights into the health impacts of climate
2092 change today, and in the future. Extremes of heat hit vulnerable populations the hardest,
2093 with some 296,000 deaths occurring as a result of high temperatures in 2018 (Indicator
2094 1.1.3)

2095 The climate suitability for the transmission of a range of infectious diseases – dengue fever,
2096 malaria, and *Vibrio* bacteria– have demonstrated sustained rises across the world (Indicator
2097 1.3.1). This is occurring at the same time as crop yield potential is falling for each of the
2098 major crops tracked, with dire consequences anticipated for food-insecure populations
2099 (Indicator 1.4.1).

2100 And yet, the global response has remained muted. The carbon intensity of the global energy
2101 system has remained flat over the past three decades, and global coal use for energy has
2102 increased by 74% over the same period (Indicators 3.1.1 and 3.1.2). This has resulted in an
2103 estimated 390,000 deaths from particulate air pollution generated by coal fired power, with
2104 total global deaths for all ambient sources exceeding 3.01 million in 2018 (Indicator 3.3). In
2105 the agricultural sector, emissions from livestock grew by 16% from 2000 to 2017, with some
2106 990,000 deaths occurring globally from excess red meat consumption in 2017 (Indicators
2107 3.5.1 and 3.5.2).

2108 In the face of this, the response from the health profession continues to gain momentum.
2109 Spending on health system adaptation continued its previous upward trend, rising by 5.3%
2110 in 2019, to \$18.4 billion (Indicator 2.4). A nine-fold increase in original research on health
2111 and climate change has occurred in just over 10 years, and, in half that time, health
2112 institutions with total assets of \$42 billion have divested their holdings from fossil fuel
2113 industries (Indicators 5.3 and 4.2.3). Led by low-income countries, more governments are
2114 linking health and climate change in their annual UN General Debate speeches and their
2115 NDCs under the Paris Agreement.

2116 The public health and financial effects of COVID-19 will be felt for years to come, and efforts
2117 to protect and rebuild local communities and national economies will need to be robust and
2118 sustained. Despite concerning indicators across each section of this report, the 2021 UN
2119 climate change conference presents an opportunity for course correction, and revitalised
2120 Nationally Determined Contributions. The window of opportunity is narrow, and if the
2121 response to COVID-19 is not fully and directly aligned with countries' national climate
2122 change strategies, the world will be unable to meet its commitments under the Paris
2123 Agreement, damaging health and health systems today, and in the future.

2124

References

1. McMichael AJ, Haines JA, Slooff R, et al. Climate change and human health: an assessment / prepared by a Task Group on behalf of the World Health Organization, the World Meteorological Association and the United Nations Environment Programme; editors: A. J. McMichael ... [et al.]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1996.
2. NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies. GISS Surface Temperature Analysis (GISTEMP v4). 2020. <https://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/> (accessed 28 April 2020).
3. Smith KR, Woodward A, Campbell-Lendrum D, et al. Human Health: Impacts, Adaptation, and Co-Benefits. Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014: 709-54.
4. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Decision1/CP.21, Adoption of the Paris Agreement, FCCC/CP/2015/10/Add.1 (January 29, 2016), paras 23 and 24. 2016. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cop21/eng/10a01.pdf> (accessed April 6, 2020).
5. Herring SC, Christidis N, Hoell A, Hoerling MP, Stott PA. Explaining Extreme Events of 2017 from a Climate Perspective. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 2019; **100**(1): S1-S117.
6. Herring SC, Christidis N, Hoell A, Hoerling MP, Stott PA. Explaining Extreme Events of 2018 from a Climate Perspective. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 2020; **101**(1): S1-S128.
7. Herring SC, Christidis N, Hoell A, Kossin JP, III CJS, Stott PA. Explaining Extreme Events of 2016 from a Climate Perspective. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 2018; **99**(1): S1-S157.
8. Herring SC, Hoell A, Hoerling MP, Kossin JP, III CJS, Stott PA. Explaining Extreme Events of 2015 from a Climate Perspective. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 2016; **97**(12): S1-S145.
9. World Economic Forum. The Global Risks Report 2020. Cologny, Switzerland: World Economic Forum, 2020.
10. Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Current State and Trends, Volume 1. In: Hassan R, Scholes R, Ash N, eds. The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment Series. Washington, DC and Covelo, CA, USA, and London, UK: Island Press; 2005.
11. United Nations General Assembly. Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 25 September 2015 Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development New York, NY, USA: United Nations; 2015.
12. Wei Y-M, Han R, Wang C, et al. Self-preservation strategy for approaching global warming targets in the post-Paris Agreement era. *Nature communications* 2020; **11**(1): 1-13.
13. Kjellstrom T, Briggs D, Freyberg C, Lemke B, Otto M, Hyatt O. Heat, Human Performance, and Occupational Health: A Key Issue for the Assessment of Global Climate Change Impacts. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2016; **37**: 97-112.
14. Sampedro J, Smith SJ, Arto I, et al. Health co-benefits and mitigation costs as per the Paris Agreement under different technological pathways for energy supply. *Environ Int* 2020; **136**: 105513.
15. Vandyck T, Keramidas K, Kitous A, et al. Air quality co-benefits for human health and agriculture counterbalance costs to meet Paris Agreement pledges. *Nat Commun* 2018; **9**(1): 4939.
16. Watts N, Adger WN, Ayeb-Karlsson S, et al. The Lancet Countdown: tracking progress on health and climate change. *The Lancet* 2017; **389**(10074): 1151-64.
17. Johns Hopkins Center for Systems Science and Engineering. COVID-19 Dashboard. 2020. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html> (accessed 31 July 2020).
18. Strauss D. BoE is financing UK's coronavirus measures, Bailey acknowledges. Financial Times. 2020.
19. Hopman J, Allegranzi B, Mehtar S. Managing COVID-19 in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *JAMA* 2020; **323**(16): 1549-50.

2173 20. Ji Y, Ma Z, Peppelenbosch MP, Pan Q. Potential association between COVID-19 mortality and
2174 health-care resource availability. *The Lancet Global Health* 2020; **8**(4): e480.

2175 21. Raju E, Ayeb-Karlsson S. COVID-19: How do you self-isolate in a refugee camp? *International*
2176 *Journal of Public Health* 2020.

2177 22. IEA. Global Energy Review 2020. Paris, France: International Energy Agency, 2020.

2178 23. Hallegatte S, Hammer S. Thinking ahead: For a sustainable recovery from COVID-19. 2020.
2179 <https://www.preventionweb.net/news/view/71103> (accessed 23 May 2020).

2180 24. WHO. Operational framework for building climate resilient health systems. Geneva,
2181 Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2015.

2182 25. Audia C, Visman E, Fox G, et al. Decision-making heuristics for managing climate-related risks:
2183 The FREE framework. In: Conway D, ed. Climate Risk in Africa: Adaptation and Resilience. London, UK:
2184 Palgrave Macmillan; 2020.

2185 26. Ranger N, Reeder T, Lowe J. Addressing ‘deep’ uncertainty over long-term climate in major
2186 infrastructure projects: four innovations of the Thames Estuary 2100 Project. *EURO Journal on*
2187 *Decision Processes* 2013; **1**(3-4): 233-62.

2188 27. IPCC. Climate Change 2014. Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Working Group II
2189 Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
2190 Cambridge and New York, 2014.

2191 28. Lord Deben, Baroness Brown of Cambridge. Building a resilient recovery from the COVID-19
2192 crisis. 2020 (accessed 23 March 2020).

2193 29. NHS. GP online consultations. 2020. [https://www.nhs.uk/using-the-nhs/nhs-services/gps/gp-](https://www.nhs.uk/using-the-nhs/nhs-services/gps/gp-online-and-video-consultations/)
2194 [online-and-video-consultations/](https://www.nhs.uk/using-the-nhs/nhs-services/gps/gp-online-and-video-consultations/) (accessed 23 May 2020).

2195 30. Watts N, Amann M, Arnell N, et al. The 2019 report of The *Lancet* Countdown on health and
2196 climate change: ensuring that the health of a child born today is not defined by a changing climate.
2197 *The Lancet* 2019; **394**(10211): 1836-78.

2198 31. Szekely M, Carletto L, Garami A. The pathophysiology of heat exposure. *Temperature (Austin,*
2199 *Tex)* 2015; **2**(4): 452.

2200 32. Xu Z, FitzGerald G, Guo Y, Jalaludin B, Tong S. Impact of heatwave on mortality under different
2201 heatwave definitions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environment International* 2016; **89-90**:
2202 193-203.

2203 33. Campbell S, Remenyi TA, White CJ, Johnston FH. Heatwave and health impact research: A
2204 global review. *Health & place* 2018; **53**: 210-8.

2205 34. NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC) Gridded Population of the World
2206 (GPWv4). Available at <https://beta.sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/collection/gpw-v4>. 2020.

2207 35. The Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMP). Input data set: Historical,
2208 gridded population. Available at [https://www.isimip.org/gettingstarted/input-data-bias-](https://www.isimip.org/gettingstarted/input-data-bias-correction/details/31/)
2209 [correction/details/31/](https://www.isimip.org/gettingstarted/input-data-bias-correction/details/31/). 2020.

2210 36. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S). ERA5 hourly data on single levels from 1979 to
2211 present. Available at <https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.adbb2d47>. 2020.

2212 37. Honda Y, Kondo M, McGregor G, et al. Heat-related mortality risk model for climate change
2213 impact projection. *Environ Health Prev Med* 2014; **19**(1): 56-63.

2214 38. WHO. Quantitative risk assessment of the effects of climate change on selected causes of
2215 death, 2030s and 2050s. 2014.

2216 39. Guo Y, Gasparrini A, Armstrong BG, et al. Temperature Variability and Mortality: A Multi-
2217 Country Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2016; **124**(10): 1554-9.

2218 40. Sera F, Armstrong B, Tobias A, et al. How urban characteristics affect vulnerability to heat and
2219 cold: a multi-country analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2019; **48**(4): 1101-12.

41. Kjellstrom T, Freyberg C, Lemke B, Otto M, Briggs D. Estimating population heat exposure and impacts on working people in conjunction with climate change. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 2018; **62**(3): 291-306.

42. ILO. ILOSTAT database. Geneva, Switzerland: International Labour Organization; 2020.

43. Hempel S, Frieler K, Warszawski L, Schewe J, Piontek F. A trend-preserving bias correction—the ISI-MIP approach. 2013.

44. Lange S. Earth2Observe, WFDEI and ERA-Interim data Merged and Bias-corrected for ISIMIP (EWEMBI). *GFZ Data Services* 2016.

45. Lange S. Bias correction of surface downwelling longwave and shortwave radiation for the EWEMBI dataset. 2018.

46. Black C, Tesfaigzi Y, Bassein JA, Miller LA. Wildfire smoke exposure and human health: Significant gaps in research for a growing public health issue. *Environmental toxicology and pharmacology* 2017; **55**: 186-95.

47. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S). Fire danger indices historical data from the Copernicus Emergency Management Service. Available at <https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.0e89c522>. 2020.

48. NASA EarthData. Active Fire Data. Available at <https://earthdata.nasa.gov/earth-observation-data/near-real-time/firms/active-fire-data>. 2020.

49. Dai A. Drought under global warming: a review. *WIREs Climate Change* 2011; **2**(1): 45-65.

50. Stanke C, Kerac M, Prudhomme C, Medlock J, Murray V. Health effects of drought: a systematic review of the evidence. *PLoS currents* 2013; **5**.

51. Du W, FitzGerald GJ, Clark M, Hou X-Y. Health Impacts of Floods. *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine* 2010; **25**(3): 265-72.

52. Mukherjee S, Mishra A, Trenberth KE. Climate Change and Drought: a Perspective on Drought Indices. *Current Climate Change Reports* 2018; **4**(2): 145-63.

53. Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters. EM-DAT The International Disaster Database. Available at <https://emdat.be/>. 2020.

54. World Health Organization. Global Health Observatory. Available at <https://apps.who.int/nha/database/Select/Indicators/en>. 2020.

55. World Weather Attribution. European heatwave, July 2015. 2015. <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/european-heat-wave-july-2015/> (accessed 27 April 2020).

56. World Weather Attribution. 2015 – a record breaking hot year. 2015. <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/record-hot-year-2015/> (accessed 27 April 2020).

57. King A, Kirkpatrick S, Oldenborgh GJv. Extreme heat in southeast Australia, February 2017. 2017. <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/extreme-heat-australia-february-2017/> (accessed 16 April 2020).

58. Otto F, Oldenborgh GJv, Vautard R, Schwierz C. Record June temperatures in western Europe. 2017. <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/european-heat-june-2017/> (accessed 2020 2020).

59. Oldenborgh GJv, Philip S, Kew S, et al. Human contribution to record-breaking June 2019 heatwave in France. 2019. <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/human-contribution-to-record-breaking-june-2019-heatwave-in-france/> (accessed 16 April 2020).

60. Vautard R, Boucher O, Oldenborgh GJv, et al. Human contribution to the record-breaking July 2019 heatwave in Western Europe. 2019. <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/human-contribution-to-the-record-breaking-july-2019-heat-wave-in-western-europe/> (accessed 16 April 2020).

61. van Oldenborgh GJ, Krikken F, Lewis S, et al. Attribution of the Australian bushfire risk to anthropogenic climate change. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci Discuss* 2020; **2020**: 1-46.

- 2267 62. World Weather Attribution. Record high temperatures in India, 2016. 2016.
2268 <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/india-heat-wave-2016/> (accessed 27 April 2020).
- 2269 63. Oldenborgh GJv, Vries Hd, Vecchi G, Otto F, Tebaldi C. A cold winter in North America,
2270 December 2017 to January 2018. 2018. [https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/winter-in-north-](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/winter-in-north-america-is-cold-dec-2017-jan-2018/)
2271 [america-is-cold-dec-2017-jan-2018/](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/winter-in-north-america-is-cold-dec-2017-jan-2018/) (accessed 16 April 2020).
- 2272 64. Otto FEL, Wolski P, Lehner F, et al. Likelihood of Cape Town water crisis tripled by climate
2273 change. 2018. [https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/the-role-of-climate-change-in-the-2015-](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/the-role-of-climate-change-in-the-2015-2017-drought-in-the-western-cape-of-south-africa/)
2274 [2017-drought-in-the-western-cape-of-south-africa/](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/the-role-of-climate-change-in-the-2015-2017-drought-in-the-western-cape-of-south-africa/) (accessed 16 April 2020).
- 2275 65. Otto FEL, Haustein K, Uhe P, et al. Factors Other Than Climate Change, Main Drivers of
2276 2014/15 Water Shortage in Southeast Brazil. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 2015;
2277 **96**(12): S35-S40.
- 2278 66. World Weather Attribution. Ethiopia drought, 2015 – a livelihood crisis. 2015.
2279 <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/ethiopia-drought-2015/> (accessed 27 April 2020).
- 2280 67. Oldenborgh GJv, Wiel Kvd, Philip S, et al. Rapid analysis of drought in Somalia, 2016. 2017.
2281 <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/somalia-drought-2016-2017/> (accessed 27 April 2020).
- 2282 68. Uhe P, Philip S, Kew S, et al. Attributing drivers of the 2016 Kenyan drought. *International*
2283 *Journal of Climatology* 2018; **38**(S1): e554-e68.
- 2284 69. van Oldenborgh GJ, Philip S, Aalbers E, et al. Rapid attribution of the May/June 2016 flood-
2285 inducing precipitation in France and Germany to climate change. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci Discuss* 2016;
2286 **2016**: 1-23.
- 2287 70. van der Wiel K, Kapnick SB, van Oldenborgh GJ, et al. Rapid attribution of the August 2016
2288 flood-inducing extreme precipitation in south Louisiana to climate change. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 2017;
2289 **21**(2): 897-921.
- 2290 71. Oldenborgh GJv, Otto F, Singh R, Tebaldi C, Kew S, Philip S. Extreme rainfall in Japan, 2018 – a
2291 quick look. 2018. [https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/a-quick-look-at-the-extreme-rainfall-in-](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/a-quick-look-at-the-extreme-rainfall-in-japan/)
2292 [japan/](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/a-quick-look-at-the-extreme-rainfall-in-japan/) (accessed 16 April 2020).
- 2293 72. Philip S, Sparrow S, Kew SF, et al. Attributing the 2017 Bangladesh floods from meteorological
2294 and hydrological perspectives. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 2019; **23**(3): 1409-29.
- 2295 73. Mishra V, Shah HL. Hydroclimatological Perspective of the Kerala Flood of 2018. *Journal of the*
2296 *Geological Society of India* 2018; **92**(5): 645-50.
- 2297 74. Otto FEL, Wiel Kvd, Oldenborgh GJv, et al. Climate change increases the probability of heavy
2298 rains in Northern England/Southern Scotland like those of storm Desmond—a real-time event
2299 attribution revisited. *Environmental Research Letters* 2018; **13**.
- 2300 75. Zhang W, Vecchi GA, Murakami H, et al. Influences of Natural Variability and Anthropogenic
2301 Forcing on the Extreme 2015 Accumulated Cyclone Energy in the Western North Pacific. *Bulletin of*
2302 *the American Meteorological Society* 2016; **97**(12): S131-S5.
- 2303 76. van Oldenborgh GJ, van der Wiel K, Sebastian A, et al. Attribution of extreme rainfall from
2304 Hurricane Harvey. *Environmental Research Letters* 2017; **12**.
- 2305 77. Reed KA, Stansfield AM, Wehner MF, Zarzycki CM. Forecasted attribution of the human
2306 influence on Hurricane Florence. *Science Advances* 2020; **6**(1): eaaw9253.
- 2307 78. Oldenborgh GJv, Wiel Kvd, Philip S, et al. Rapid attribution of the extreme rainfall in Texas
2308 from Tropical Storm Imelda. 2019. [https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/rapid-attribution-of-](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/rapid-attribution-of-the-extreme-rainfall-in-texas-from-tropical-storm-imelda/)
2309 [the-extreme-rainfall-in-texas-from-tropical-storm-imelda/](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/rapid-attribution-of-the-extreme-rainfall-in-texas-from-tropical-storm-imelda/) (accessed 16 April 2020).
- 2310 79. Vautard R, Oldenborgh GJv, Otto F, et al. Stormy January over western Europe, 2018. 2018.
2311 [https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/the-stormy-month-of-january-2018-over-western-](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/the-stormy-month-of-january-2018-over-western-europe/)
2312 [europe/](https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/the-stormy-month-of-january-2018-over-western-europe/) (accessed 16 April 2020).

2313 80. World Weather Attribution. Great Barrier Reef bleaching, 2016. 2016.
2314 <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/great-barrier-reef-bleaching-march-2016/> (accessed 18
2315 May 2020).

2316 81. Oldenborgh GJv, Macias-Fauria M, King A, et al. Unusually high temperatures at the North
2317 Pole, winter 2016. 2016. <https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/north-pole-nov-dec-2016/>
2318 (accessed 28 April 2020).

2319 82. Bindoff N, PA S, AchutaRao KM ea. Detection and Attribution of Climate Change: from Global
2320 to Regional. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis Contribution of Working Group I to the
2321 Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; 2013.

2322 83. Ebi KL, Ogden NH, Semenza JC, Woodward A. Detecting and attributing health burdens to
2323 climate change. *Environmental health perspectives* 2017; **125**(8): 085004.

2324 84. Stone D, Auffhammer M, Carey M, et al. The challenge to detect and attribute effects of
2325 climate change on human and natural systems. *Climatic Change* 2013; **121**(2): 381-95.

2326 85. IPCC. Global warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of
2327 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context
2328 of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change. Geneva, Switzerland: World
2329 Meteorological Organization, 2018.

2330 86. Stabeno PJ, Bell SW. Extreme Conditions in the Bering Sea (2017–2018): Record-Breaking Low
2331 Sea-Ice Extent. *Geophysical Research Letters* 2019; **46**(15): 8952-9.

2332 87. Thoman RL, Bhatt US, Bieniek PA, et al. The Record Low Bering Sea Ice Extent in 2018: Context,
2333 Impacts, and an Assessment of the Role of Anthropogenic Climate Change. *Bulletin of the American
2334 Meteorological Society* 2020; **101**(1): S53-S8.

2335 88. Bethel Search and Rescue Report. 2017.

2336 89. Macarthur A. Father's body recovered, five rescued after family falls through Kuskokwim on
2337 New Year's Eve. 2018.

2338 90. Waldholz R. In western Alaska, there's water where there should be ice. 2018.

2339 91. World Weather Attribution. Heatwave in northern Europe, summer 2018. 2018.

2340 92. Åström C, Bjelkmar P, Forsberg B. High mortality during the 2018 heatwave in Sweden.
2341 *Lakartidningen* 2019; **116**.

2342 93. BBC. Summer heat killed nearly 1,500 in France, officials say. 2019.
2343 <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-49628275> (accessed 20 May 2020).

2344 94. Meijer B. Heatwave caused nearly 400 more deaths in Netherlands: stats agency. 2019.
2345 [https://www.reuters.com/article/us-weather-netherlands/heatwave-caused-nearly-400-more-
2346 deaths-in-netherlands-stats-agency-idUSKCN1UZ0GA?il=0](https://www.reuters.com/article/us-weather-netherlands/heatwave-caused-nearly-400-more-deaths-in-netherlands-stats-agency-idUSKCN1UZ0GA?il=0) (accessed 20 May 2020).

2347 95. Imada Y, Watanabe M, Kawase H, Shiogama H, Arai M. The July 2018 high temperature event
2348 in Japan could not have happened without human-induced global warming. *SOLA* 2019: 15A-002.

2349 96. Shimpo A, Takemura K, Wakamatsu S, et al. Primary factors behind the heavy rain event of
2350 July 2018 and the subsequent heat wave in Japan. *SOLA* 2019: 15A-003.

2351 97. Harris I, Osborn TJ, Jones P, Lister D. Version 4 of the CRU TS monthly high-resolution gridded
2352 multivariate climate dataset. *Scientific Data* 2020; **7**(1): 109.

2353 98. Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut. KNMI Climate Explorer. Available at
2354 <https://climexp.knmi.nl/>. 2020.

2355 99. Lyon B, Dinku T, Raman A, Thomson MC. Temperature suitability for malaria climbing the
2356 Ethiopian Highlands. *Environmental Research Letters* 2017; **12**(6): 064015.

2357 100. Martinez-Urtaza J, Trinanès J, Abanto M, et al. Epidemic Dynamics of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*
2358 Illness in a Hotspot of Disease Emergence, Galicia, Spain. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 2018; **24**(5):
2359 852-9.

2360 101. Martinez-Urtaza J, van Aerle R, Abanto M, et al. Genomic Variation and Evolution of *Vibrio*
2361 *parahaemolyticus* ST36 over the Course of a Transcontinental Epidemic Expansion. *mBio* 2017; **8**(6).
2362 102. Wang H, Tang X, Su YC, Chen J, Yan J. Characterization of clinical *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*
2363 strains in Zhoushan, China, from 2013 to 2014. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**(7): e0180335.
2364 103. Ebi KL, Nealon J. Dengue in a changing climate. *Environmental Research* 2016; **151**: 115-23.
2365 104. Semenza JC, Sewe MO, Lindgren E, et al. Systemic Resilience to Cross-border Infectious
2366 Disease Threat Events in Europe. *Transboundary and emerging diseases* 2019.
2367 105. WHO. International Health Regulations (2005): implementation status of IHR core capacities,
2368 2010-2017. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2018.
2369 106. FAO. The state of food security and nutrition in the world. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture
2370 Organization of the United Nations, 2020.
2371 107. Porter JR, Xie L, Challinor AJ, et al. Food Security and Food Production Systems. Climate
2372 Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects Contribution
2373 of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
2374 Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA; 2014.
2375 108. Craufurd PQ, Wheeler TR. Climate change and the flowering time of annual crops. *Journal of*
2376 *Experimental Botany* 2009; **60**(9): 2529-39.
2377 109. FAO. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018 - Meeting the sustainable
2378 development goals. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2018.
2379 110. GBD 2017 Diet Collaborators, Afshin A, Sur PJ, et al. Health effects of dietary risks in 195
2380 countries, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet*
2381 *(London, England)* 2019; **0**(0).
2382 111. FAO. Impact of climate change on fisheries and aquaculture: synthesis of current knowledge,
2383 adaptation and mitigation options. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
2384 Nations, 2018.
2385 112. FAO. New Food Balance Sheets. 2020. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/FBS> (accessed
2386 19 February 2020).
2387 113. NASA NEO NEO. Sea surface temperature (1 month – AQUA/MODIS). 2017.
2388 <https://neo.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/view.php?datasetId=MYD28M> (accessed 23 September 2019).
2389 114. NOAA. NOAA Coral Reef Watch Version 3.1 Daily Global 5-km Satellite Coral Bleaching Degree
2390 Heating Week Product. Washington DC: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; 201.
2391 115. McMichael C. Climate change-related migration and infectious disease. *Virulence* 2015; **6**(6):
2392 548-53.
2393 116. Schwerdtle P, Bowen K, McMichael C. The health impacts of climate-related migration. *BMC*
2394 *medicine* 2018; **16**(1): 1.
2395 117. Kulp SA, Strauss BH. New elevation data triple estimates of global vulnerability to sea-level
2396 rise and coastal flooding. *Nature communications* 2019; **10**(1): 1-12.
2397 118. Lindsey R. Climate Change: Global Sea Level. 2019.
2398 119. Bright EA, Rose AN, Urban ML, McKee J. LandScan 2017 High-Resolution Global Population
2399 Data Set: Oak Ridge National Lab.(ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN (United States), 2018.
2400 120. Kulp SA, Strauss BH. CoastalDEM: a global coastal digital elevation model improved from SRTM
2401 using a neural network. *Remote sensing of environment* 2018; **206**: 231-9.
2402 121. Hauer ME, Fussell E, Mueller V, et al. Sea-level rise and human migration. *Nature Reviews*
2403 *Earth & Environment* 2019: 1-12.
2404 122. Luber G, Knowlton K, Balbus J, et al. Human health. *Climate change impacts in the United*
2405 *States: the third National Climate Assessment* 2014: 220-56.

2406 123. Ayeb-Karlsson S, Kniveton D, Cannon T. Trapped in the prison of the mind: Notions of climate-
2407 induced (im)mobility decision-making and wellbeing from an urban informal settlement in
2408 Bangladesh. *Palgrave Communications* 2020: forthcoming.

2409 124. Dannenberg AL, Frumkin H, Hess JJ, Ebi KL. Managed retreat as a strategy for climate change
2410 adaptation in small communities: public health implications. *Climatic change* 2019; **153**(1-2): 1-14.

2411 125. Schütte S, Gemenne F, Zaman M, Flahault A, Depoux A. Connecting planetary health, climate
2412 change, and migration. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2018; **2**(2): e58-e9.

2413 126. Page LA, Hajat S, Kovats RS. Relationship between daily suicide counts and temperature in
2414 England and Wales. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 2007; **191**(2): 106-12.

2415 127. Thompson R, Hornigold R, Page L, Waite T. Associations between high ambient temperatures
2416 and heat waves with mental health outcomes: a systematic review. *Public health* 2018; **161**: 171-91.

2417 128. Cunsolo A, Ellis NR. Ecological grief as a mental health response to climate change-related
2418 loss. *Nature Climate Change* 2018; **8**(4): 275.

2419 129. Legido-Quigley H, Asgari N, Teo YY, et al. Are high-performing health systems resilient against
2420 the COVID-19 epidemic? *The Lancet* 2020; **395**(10227): 848-50.

2421 130. Phillips CA, Caldas A, Cleetus R, et al. Compound climate risks in the COVID-19 pandemic.
2422 *Nature Climate Change* 2020; **10**(7): 586-8.

2423 131. UNEP. The Adaptation Gap Report 2018. Health Report. Nairobi: United Nations Environment
2424 Program, 2018.

2425 132. Mimura N, Pulwarty RS, Duc DM, et al. 2014:Adaptation planning and implementation. In:
2426 Field CB, Barros VR, Dokken DJ, et al., eds. Climate Change 2014: Impacts,Adaptation, and Vulnerability
2427 Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report
2428 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
2429 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press; 2014: 869-98.

2430 133. CDP. Annual Cities Survey Data. In: CDP, editor. London, UK; 2020.

2431 134. WHO. WHO Health and Climate Change Survey Report: Tracking Global Progress. Geneva,
2432 Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2019.

2433 135. WBG. Urban Development. 2020.
2434 <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/urbandevelopment/overview> (accessed 28 April 2020).

2435 136. Watts N, Amann M, Arnell N, et al. The 2018 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and
2436 climate change: shaping the health of nations for centuries to come. *The Lancet* 2018; **392**(10163):
2437 2479-514.

2438 137. WMO. Country Profile Database. 2019.

2439 138. Kandel N, Chungong S, Omaar A, Xing J. Health security capacities in the context of COVID-19
2440 outbreak: an analysis of International Health Regulations annual report data from 182 countries. *The*
2441 *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10229): 1047-53.

2442 139. Bouchama A, Dehbi M, Mohamed G, Matthies F, Shoukri M, Menne B. Prognostic factors in
2443 heat wave related deaths: a meta-analysis. *Archives of internal medicine* 2007; **167**(20): 2170-6.

2444 140. Salamanca F, Georgescu M, Mahalov A, Moustauoui M, Wang M. Anthropogenic heating of the
2445 urban environment due to air conditioning. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 2014;
2446 **119**(10): 5949-65.

2447 141. Waite M, Cohen E, Torbey H, Piccirilli M, Tian Y, Modi V. Global trends in urban electricity
2448 demands for cooling and heating. *Energy* 2017; **127**: 786-802.

2449 142. Abel DW, Holloway T, Harkey M, et al. Air-quality-related health impacts from climate change
2450 and from adaptation of cooling demand for buildings in the eastern United States: An interdisciplinary
2451 modeling study. *PLOS Medicine* 2018; **15**(7): e1002599.

2452 143. Hospers L, Smallcombe JW, Morris NB, Capon A, Jay O. Electric fans: A potential stay-at-home
2453 cooling strategy during the COVID-19 pandemic this summer? *Science of The Total Environment* 2020;
2454 141180.

2455 144. Miettinen OS. Proportion of disease caused or prevented by a given exposure, trait or
2456 intervention. *American journal of epidemiology* 1974; **99**(5): 325-32.

2457 145. Markevych I, Schoierer J, Hartig T, et al. Exploring pathways linking greenspace to health:
2458 Theoretical and methodological guidance. *Environmental Research* 2017; **158**: 301-17.

2459 146. Fong KC, Hart JE, James P. A Review of Epidemiologic Studies on Greenness and Health:
2460 Updated Literature Through 2017. *Current Environmental Health Reports* 2018; **5**(1): 77-87.

2461 147. Sreetheran M, Van Den Bosch CCK. A socio-ecological exploration of fear of crime in urban
2462 green spaces—A systematic review. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* 2014; **13**(1): 1-18.

2463 148. Wolch JR, Byrne J, Newell JP. Urban green space, public health, and environmental justice:
2464 The challenge of making cities ‘just green enough’. *Landscape and urban planning* 2014; **125**: 234-44.

2465 149. NASA LP DAAC. MOD13Q1.006 Terra Vegetation Indices 16-Day Global 250m.

2466 150. Florczyk AJ, Melchiorri M, Corbane C, et al. Description of the GHS Urban Centre Database
2467 2015. Brussels, Belgium: European Commission - DG Joint Research Centre, 2019.

2468 151. kMatrix Ltd. Adaptation and Resilience to Climate Change dataset. 2020.

2469 152. Fisk M, Livingstone A, Pit SW. Telehealth in the Context of COVID-19: Changing Perspectives
2470 in Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States. *J Med Internet Res* 2020; **22**(6): e19264.

2471 153. UNEP. Emissions Gap Report 2019. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme, 2019.

2472 154. WBG. Global Economic Prospects: Slow Growth, Policy Challenges. Washington, DC, USA:
2473 World Bank Group; 2020.

2474 155. Le Quéré C, Jackson RB, Jones MW, et al. Temporary reduction in daily global CO2 emissions
2475 during the COVID-19 forced confinement. *Nature Climate Change* 2020.

2476 156. Lelieveld J, Evans JS, Fnais M, Giannadaki D, Pozzer A. The contribution of outdoor air pollution
2477 sources to premature mortality on a global scale. *Nature* 2015; **525**(7569): 367.

2478 157. Sellers S, Ebi KL, Hess J. Climate change, human health, and social stability: addressing
2479 interlinkages. *Environmental health perspectives* 2019; **127**(04): 045002.

2480 158. IEA. World Energy Outlook 2019. Paris: IEA, 2019.

2481 159. IEA. IEA Statistical Report. Paris: IEA, 2020.

2482 160. Peters GP, Marland G, Le Quéré C, Boden T, Canadell JG, Raupach MR. Rapid growth in CO2
2483 emissions after the 2008–2009 global financial crisis. *Nature Climate Change* 2012; **2**(1): 2-4.

2484 161. IEA. World Extended Energy Balances. UK Data Service; 2020.

2485 162. Bergen T. Sweden and Austria close their last coal plants. 2020.
2486 <https://inhabitat.com/sweden-and-austria-close-their-last-coal-plants/>.

2487 163. IEA. Sustainable Recovery: World Energy Outlook Special Report. Paris, France: International
2488 Energy Agency, 2020.

2489 164. Roth GA, Abate D, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for
2490 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global
2491 Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet* 2018; **392**(10159): 1736-88.

2492 165. WHO. Burden of disease from Household Air Pollution for 2016. Geneva, Switzerland: World
2493 Health Organization, 2018.

2494 166. Hajat A, Hsia C, O'Neill MS. Socioeconomic Disparities and Air Pollution Exposure: a Global
2495 Review. *Current environmental health reports* 2015; **2**(4): 440-50.

2496 167. WHO. Ambient air pollution database, 2018 update. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health
2497 Organization, 2018.

2498 168. Amann M, Bertok I, Borken-Kleefeld J, et al. Cost-effective control of air quality and
2499 greenhouse gases in Europe: Modeling and policy applications. *Environmental Modelling & Software*
2500 2011; **26**(12): 1489-501.

2501 169. IEA. World Energy Outlook 2018. Paris, France: International Energy Agency, 2018.

2502 170. Zhang Q, Zheng Y, Tong D, et al. Drivers of improved PM_{2.5} air quality in China from 2013 to
2503 2017. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2019; **116**(49): 24463-9.

2504 171. IEA. SDG7: Data and Projections. Paris: International Energy Institute, 2019.

2505 172. Milner J, Hamilton I, Woodcock J, et al. Health benefits of policies to reduce carbon emissions.
2506 *BMJ* 2020; **368**: l6758.

2507 173. International Transport Forum. Income Inequality, Social Inclusion and Mobility. Paris, France:
2508 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2017.

2509 174. IEA. Global EV Outlook. Paris: International Energy Institute, 2019.

2510 175. Food Climate Research Network Foodsource: Food systems and greenhouse gas emissions.
2511 2020. [https://foodsource.org.uk/31-what-food-system%E2%80%99s-contribution-global-ghg-](https://foodsource.org.uk/31-what-food-system%E2%80%99s-contribution-global-ghg-emissions-total)
2512 [emissions-total](https://foodsource.org.uk/31-what-food-system%E2%80%99s-contribution-global-ghg-emissions-total) (accessed 30 April 2020).

2513 176. Carlson KM, Gerber JS, Mueller ND, et al. Greenhouse gas emissions intensity of global
2514 croplands. *Nature Climate Change* 2017; **7**(1): 63-8.

2515 177. FAO. FAOSTAT. 2020.

2516 178. Herrero M, Havlík P, Valin H, et al. Biomass use, production, feed efficiencies, and greenhouse
2517 gas emissions from global livestock systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2013;
2518 **110**(52): 20888-93.

2519 179. Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition. GAIN Briefing Paper Series 2 - Animal-source foods for
2520 human and planetary health. Geneva, Switzerland: Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN),
2521 2020.

2522 180. Springmann M, Clark M, Mason-D'Croz D, et al. Options for keeping the food system within
2523 environmental limits. *Nature* 2018; **562**(7728): 519-25.

2524 181. Willett W, Rockstrom J, Loken B, et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission
2525 on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *The Lancet* 2019; **393**(10170): 447-92.

2526 182. FAO. Food balance sheets: a handbook. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the
2527 United Nations; 2001.

2528 183. Dietzenbacher E, Los B, Stehrer R, Timmer M, De Vries G. The construction of world input–
2529 output tables in the WIOD project. *Economic Systems Research* 2013; **25**(1): 71-98.

2530 184. Fullman N, Yearwood J, Abay SM, et al. Measuring performance on the Healthcare Access and
2531 Quality Index for 195 countries and territories and selected subnational locations: a systematic
2532 analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet* 2018; **391**(10136): 2236-71.

2533 185. Stadler K, Wood R, Bulavskaya T, et al. EXIOBASE 3: Developing a time series of detailed
2534 environmentally extended multi-regional input-output tables. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 2018;
2535 **22**(3): 502-15.

2536 186. WBG. Consumer price index (2010 = 100). 2020.
2537 <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/FP.CPI.TOTL?end=2017&locations=US&start=2000>.

2538 187. WHO. Current health expenditure by financing schemes, in Global Health Expenditure
2539 Database. In: Organization WH, editor.; 2020.

2540 188. NHS England, Public Health England. Reducing the use of natural resources in health and social
2541 care. London: NHS England, 2018.

2542 189. NHS England. Greener NHS campaign to tackle climate 'health emergency'. 2020.
2543 <https://www.england.nhs.uk/2020/01/greener-nhs-campaign-to-tackle-climate-health-emergency/>
2544 (accessed 26 April 2020).

2545 190. Swiss Re Institute. Sigma explorer. Zurich, Switzerland: Swiss Re; 2020.

- 2546 191. WBG. World Development Indicators. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank Group, 2020.
- 2547 192. NatCatSERVICE. Relevant weather-related loss events worldwide 1990-2018. Munich,
- 2548 Germany: Munich Re, 2020.
- 2549 193. OECD. Mortality Risk Valuation in Environment, Health and Transport Policies. OECD
- 2550 Publishing; 2012.
- 2551 194. WBG. GNI (current US\$). Washington, DC, USA: World Bank Group; 2020.
- 2552 195. European Commission. Part III: Annexes to Impact Assessment Guidelines. Brussels, Belgium:
- 2553 European Commission, 2009.
- 2554 196. IEA. World Energy Investment 2020. In: IEA, editor. Paris, France; 2020.
- 2555 197. IRENA. Transforming the energy system. Abu Dhabi: International Renewable Energy Agency,
- 2556 2019.
- 2557 198. Balise VD, Meng C-X, Cornelius-Green JN, Kassotis CD, Kennedy R, Nagel SC. Systematic review
- 2558 of the association between oil and natural gas extraction processes and human reproduction. *Fertility*
- 2559 *and Sterility* 2016; **106**(4): 795-819.
- 2560 199. Cortes-Ramirez J, Naish S, Sly PD, Jagals P. Mortality and morbidity in populations in the
- 2561 vicinity of coal mining: a systematic review. *BMC public health* 2018; **18**(1): 721.
- 2562 200. IBISWorld. IBISWorld Industry Report: Global Coal Mining. Los Angeles, CA: IBISWorld, 2019.
- 2563 201. IBISWorld. IBISWorld Industry Report: Global Oil & Gas Exploration & Production. Los Angeles,
- 2564 CA: IBISWorld, 2020.
- 2565 202. IRENA. Renewable Energy and Jobs: Annual Review 2020. Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates:
- 2566 International Renewable Energy Agency, 2020.
- 2567 203. Halcoussis D, Lowenberg AD. The effects of the fossil fuel divestment campaign on stock
- 2568 returns. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 2019; **47**: 669-74.
- 2569 204. Hunt C, Weber O. Fossil fuel divestment strategies: Financial and carbon-related
- 2570 consequences. *Organization & Environment* 2019; **32**(1): 41-61.
- 2571 205. 350.org. Divestment Commitments. 2020.
- 2572 <https://gofossilfree.org/divestment/commitments/> (accessed 14 April 2019).
- 2573 206. Stiglitz JE. Addressing climate change through price and non-price interventions. *European*
- 2574 *Economic Review* 2019; **119**: 594-612.
- 2575 207. Zapf M, Pengg H, Weindl C. How to Comply with the Paris Agreement Temperature Goal:
- 2576 Global Carbon Pricing According to Carbon Budgets. *Energies* 2019; **12**(15): 2983.
- 2577 208. Coady D, Parry I, Le N, Shang B. Global fossil fuel subsidies remain large: an update based on
- 2578 country-level estimates: International Monetary Fund, 2019.
- 2579 209. Gençsü I, McLynn M, Runkel M, et al. Phase-out 2020: Monitoring Europe's fossil fuel
- 2580 subsidies: ODI and Climate Action Network,, 2017.
- 2581 210. IEA. Fossil fuel subsidies. 2019. <https://www.iea.org/weo/energysubsidies/> (accessed 25th
- 2582 November 2019).
- 2583 211. OECD. OECD Companion to the Inventory of Support Measures for Fossil Fuels 2018. Paris,
- 2584 France: OECD Publishing; 2018.
- 2585 212. WBG. Carbon Pricing Dashboard. 2019. <https://carbonpricingdashboard.worldbank.org/>
- 2586 (accessed 25th November 2019).
- 2587 213. Berkes F. Sacred ecology. New York, NY: Routledge; 2008.
- 2588 214. Duyck S, Lennon E. National Human Rights Institutions and the 2018 Talanoa Dialogue:
- 2589 showcasing that climate action should be human rights-based. 2018. [https://nbn-](https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-59529-7)
- 2590 [resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-59529-7](https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-59529-7) (accessed April 5, 2020).
- 2591 215. Jamison A. Climate change knowledge and social movement theory. *Wiley Interdisciplinary*
- 2592 *Reviews: Climate Change* 2010; **1**(6): 811-23.

2593 216. Pew Research Center. Climate change still seen as the top global threat, but cyberattacks a
2594 rising concern. 2019. [https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2019/02/10/climate-change-still-seen-as-](https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2019/02/10/climate-change-still-seen-as-the-top-global-threat-but-cyberattacks-a-rising-concern/)
2595 [the-top-global-threat-but-cyberattacks-a-rising-concern/](https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2019/02/10/climate-change-still-seen-as-the-top-global-threat-but-cyberattacks-a-rising-concern/) (accessed April 5, 2020).

2596 217. Poortinga W, Whitmarsh L, Steg L, Böhm G, Fisher S. Climate change perceptions and their
2597 individual-level determinants: A cross-European analysis. *Global environmental change* 2019; **55**: 25-
2598 35.

2599 218. Ripple WJ, Wolf C, Newsome TM, Barnard P, Moomaw WR. World scientists' warning of a
2600 climate emergency. *BioScience* 2019; **70**(1): 8-12.

2601 219. Thackeray SJ, Robinson SA, Smith P, et al. Civil disobedience movements such as School Strike
2602 for the Climate are raising public awareness of the climate change emergency. *Global Change Biology*,
2603 2020; **26**: 1042-4.

2604 220. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Local communities and indigenous
2605 peoples platform: Proposals on operationalization based on the open multi-stakeholder dialogue and
2606 submissions [online]. 2017. <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2017/sbsta/eng/06.pdf> (accessed April 5,
2607 2020).

2608 221. Boykoff MT. Who speaks for the climate?: Making sense of media reporting on climate
2609 change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2011.

2610 222. Carvalho A, Burgess J. Cultural circuits of climate change in UK broadsheet newspapers, 1985–
2611 2003. *Risk Analysis: An International Journal* 2005; **25**(6): 1457-69.

2612 223. Gavin NT. Addressing climate change: a media perspective. *Environmental Politics* 2009; **18**(5):
2613 765-80.

2614 224. Happer C, Philo G. The role of the media in the construction of public belief and social change.
2615 *Journal of social and political psychology* 2013; **1**(1): 321-36.

2616 225. Hassid J. Controlling the Chinese Media: An Uncertain Business. *Asian Survey* 2008; **48**(3): 414-
2617 30.

2618 226. Wang H, Sparks C, Huang Y. Measuring differences in the Chinese press: A study of People's
2619 Daily and Southern Metropolitan Daily. *Global Media and China* 2018; **3**(3): 125-40.

2620 227. Alexander DD. The top 500 sites on the Web. 2018. <https://www.alexa.com/topsites>.

2621 228. Bornmann L. Scientific peer review. *Annual review of information science and technology*
2622 2011; **45**(1): 197-245.

2623 229. Mesgari M, Okoli C, Mehdi M, Nielsen FÅ, Lanamäki A. "The sum of all human knowledge": A
2624 systematic review of scholarly research on the content of Wikipedia. *Journal of the Association for*
2625 *Information Science and Technology* 2015; **66**(2): 219-45.

2626 230. Schroeder R, Taylor L. Big data and Wikipedia research: social science knowledge across
2627 disciplinary divides. *Information, Communication & Society* 2015; **18**(9): 1039-56.

2628 231. Wikimedia Statistics. <https://stats.wikimedia.org/v2/#/all-projects> (accessed April 5, 2020).

2629 232. Lewis J, Williams A, Franklin B. A compromised fourth estate? UK news journalism, public
2630 relations and news sources. *Journalism studies* 2008; **9**(1): 1-20.

2631 233. Molek-Kozakowska K. Popularity-driven science journalism and climate change: A critical
2632 discourse analysis of the unsaid. *Discourse, Context & Media* 2018; **21**: 73-81.

2633 234. General Assembly of the United Nations. United Nations General Debate of the 74th session
2634 of the General Assembly 24-27 September 2019. 2019. <https://gadebate.un.org/generaldebate74/en/>
2635 (accessed April 7, 2020).

2636 235. Peterson MJ. General Assembly. In: Weiss TG, Daws S, eds. The Oxford Handbook on the
2637 United Nations. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2018.

2638 236. Brandi C, Dzebo A, Janetschek H, Lambert C, Savvidou G. NDC-SDG Connections. 2017.
2639 <https://klimalog.die-gdi.de/ndc-sdg> (accessed April 5, 2020).

2640 237. Wiley E, Tcholakov Y, Pétrin-Desrosiers C, Al-Qodmani L. Health in intended nationally
2641 determined contributions (INDCS). 2015.
2642 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289451213_health_in_intended_nationally_determined](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289451213_health_in_intended_nationally_determined_contributions_indcs_executive_summary/citation/download)
2643 [_contributions_indcs_executive_summary/citation/download](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289451213_health_in_intended_nationally_determined_contributions_indcs_executive_summary/citation/download) (accessed April 5, 2020).
2644 238. Jeswani HK, Wehrmeyer W, Mulugetta Y. How warm is the corporate response to climate
2645 change? Evidence from Pakistan and the UK. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 2008; **17**(1): 46-
2646 60.
2647 239. World Economic Forum. Two Degrees of Transformation. Businesses are coming together to
2648 lead on climate change. Will you join them? 2019. [https://www.weforum.org/reports/two-degrees-](https://www.weforum.org/reports/two-degrees-of-transformation-businesses-are-coming-together-to-lead-on-climate-change-will-you-join-them)
2649 [of-transformation-businesses-are-coming-together-to-lead-on-climate-change-will-you-join-them.](https://www.weforum.org/reports/two-degrees-of-transformation-businesses-are-coming-together-to-lead-on-climate-change-will-you-join-them)
2650 240. Wright C, Nyberg D. Climate change, capitalism, and corporations: Cambridge University
2651 Press; 2015.
2652 241. United Nations Global Compact. <https://www.unglobalcompact.org/> (accessed 13.04.19).
2653 242. State Council of China. Air pollution prevention and control action plan. 2013.
2654 http://www.gov.cn/jrzq/2013-09/12/content_2486918.htm (accessed April 1, 2020).
2655 243. Auerbach Y, Bloch-Elkon Y. Media framing and foreign policy: The elite press vis-a-vis US policy
2656 in Bosnia, 1992–95. *Journal of Peace Research* 2005; **42**(1): 83-99.
2657 244. Billett S. Dividing climate change: global warming in the Indian mass media. *Climatic change*
2658 2010; **99**(1-2): 1-16.
2659 245. Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM. Balance as bias: global warming and the US prestige press. *Global*
2660 *environmental change* 2004; **14**(2): 125-36.
2661 246. Nagarathinam S, Bhatta A. Coverage of climate change issues in Indian newspapers and policy
2662 implications. *Current Science* 2015; **108**(11): 1972-3.
2663 247. Schäfer MS, Ivanova A, Schmidt A. What drives media attention for climate change? Explaining
2664 issue attention in Australian, German and Indian print media from 1996 to 2010. *International*
2665 *Communication Gazette* 2014; **76**(2): 152-76.
2666 248. Shehata A, Hopmann DN. Framing Climate Change. *Journalism Studies* 2012; **13**(2): 175-92.
2667 249. Brooks J, McCluskey S, Turley E, King N. The Utility of Template Analysis in Qualitative
2668 Psychology Research. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 2015; **12**(2): 202-22.
2669 250. Segev E, Sharon AJ. Temporal patterns of scientific information-seeking on Google and
2670 Wikipedia. *Public Understanding of Science* 2017; **26**(8): 969-85.
2671 251. Yoshida M, Arase Y, Tsunoda T, Yamamoto M. Wikipedia page view reflects web search trend.
2672 Proceedings of the ACM Web Science Conference; 2015; 2015. p. 1-2.
2673 252. Wulczyn E, Taraborelli D. Wikipedia clickstream. figshare. 2015.
2674 253. Zachte E. WikiStats. Page Views for Wikipedia, Both Sites, Normalized. 2019.
2675 <https://stats.wikimedia.org/EN/TablesPageViewsMonthlyCombined.htm>. (accessed April 5, 2020).
2676 254. United Nations. UN Climate Action Summit 2019. 2019.
2677 <https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/un-climate-summit-2019.shtml> (accessed April 5, 2020).
2678 255. Baturo A, Dasandi N, Mikhaylov SJ. Understanding state preferences with text as data:
2679 Introducing the UN General Debate corpus. *Research & Politics* 2017; **4**(2): 2053168017712821.
2680 256. Jankin Mikhaylov S, Baturo A, Dasandi N. United Nations General Debate Corpus. In: Jankin
2681 Mikhaylov S, editor. V5 ed: Harvard Dataverse; 2017.
2682 257. World Health Organization. Health in the NDCs. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health
2683 Organization, 2019.
2684 258. United Nations Global Compact. Corporate sustainability in the world economy. New York:
2685 UN Global Compact, 2008.

- 2686 259. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies Allto University, D-mat Ltd. 1.5-Degree
2687 Lifestyles: Targets and options for reducing lifestyle carbon footprints. Technical Report. 2019.
2688 <https://www.iges.or.jp/en/pub/15-degrees-lifestyles-2019/en> (accessed April 5, 2020).
2689 260. Pretty J. The consumption of a finite planet: well-being, convergence, divergence and the
2690 nascent green economy. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 2013; **55**(4): 475-99.
- 2691