

# Tracing viral transmission and evolution of Bovine leukemia virus through long read Oxford nanopore sequencing of the proviral genome

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

**Open Access** 

Pavliscak, L. A., Nirmala, J., Singh, V. K. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4255-7352, Sporer, K. R. B., Taxis, T. M. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4310-9570, Kumar, P., Goyal, S. M. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7781-3080, Mor, S. K. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1112-088X, Schroeder, D. C. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5991-2838, Wells, S. J. and Droscha, C. J. (2021) Tracing viral transmission and evolution of Bovine leukemia virus through long read Oxford nanopore sequencing of the proviral genome. Pathogens, 10 (9). 1191. ISSN 2076-0817 doi: 10.3390/pathogens10091191 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/100292/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10091191

Publisher: MDPI



All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

### www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

### CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online





### Article Tracing Viral Transmission and Evolution of Bovine Leukemia Virus through Long Read Oxford Nanopore Sequencing of the Proviral Genome

Laura A. Pavliscak <sup>1,†</sup>, Jayaveeramuthu Nirmala <sup>2,3,†</sup>, Vikash K. Singh <sup>2</sup>, Kelly R. B. Sporer <sup>1</sup>, Tasia M. Taxis <sup>4</sup>, Pawan Kumar <sup>3</sup>, Sagar M. Goyal <sup>2</sup>, Sunil Kumar Mor <sup>2,3</sup>, Declan C. Schroeder <sup>3,5,\*</sup>, Scott J. Wells <sup>3</sup> and Casey J. Droscha <sup>1,4,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> CentralStar Cooperative, Lansing, MI 48910, USA; Laura.Pavliscak@mycentralstar.com (L.A.P.); kelly.sporer@mycentralstar.com (K.R.B.S.)
- <sup>2</sup> Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA; jnirmala@umn.edu (J.N.); vsingh@umn.edu (V.K.S.); goyal001@umn.edu (S.M.G.); kumars@umn.edu (S.K.M.)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Veterinary Population Medicine, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA; pkumar@umn.edu (P.K.); wells023@umn.edu (S.J.W.)
- Department of Large Animal Clinical Science, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA; taxistas@msu.edu
- 5 School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AS, UK
- Correspondence: dcschroe@umn.edu (D.C.S.); Casey.Droscha@myCentralStar.com (C.J.D.)
- + Authors contributed equally.

**Abstract:** Bovine leukemia virus (BLV) causes Enzootic Bovine Leukosis (EBL), a persistent life-long disease resulting in immune dysfunction and shortened lifespan in infected cattle, severely impacting the profitability of the US dairy industry. Our group has found that 94% of dairy farms in the United States are infected with BLV with an average in-herd prevalence of 46%. This is partly due to the lack of clinical presentation during the early stages of primary infection and the elusive nature of BLV transmission. This study sought to validate a near-complete genomic sequencing approach for reliability and accuracy before determining its efficacy in characterizing the sequence identity of BLV proviral genomes collected from a pilot study made up of 14 animals from one commercial dairy herd. These BLV-infected animals were comprised of seven adult dam/daughter pairs that tested positive by ELISA and qPCR. The results demonstrate sequence identity or divergence of the BLV genome from the same samples tested in two independent laboratories, suggesting both vertical and horizontal transmission in this dairy herd. This study supports the use of Oxford Nanopore sequencing for the identification of viral SNPs that can be used for retrospective genetic contact tracing of BLV transmission.

**Keywords:** bovine leukemia virus; retroviral evolution; proviral load; Oxford Nanopore Sequencing; phylogenetics; targeted sequencing

#### 1. Introduction

Enzootic bovine leukosis (EBL) is a disease caused by the retrovirus bovine leukemia virus (BVL) and is a major economic detriment to the U.S. dairy industry. Most infected cattle remain asymptomatic but approximately 30% develop persistent lymphocytosis and elevated number of B-cells, while 5% of them develop B-cell leukemia/lymphosarcoma [1]. BLV pathogenesis compromises the host's immune system, leaving the cows susceptible to several other opportunistic pathogens, all of which might serve as a potential disease reservoir within the herd and ultimately shorten the lifespan and productivity of the cows.

Many European countries have successfully eradicated BLV using bulk tank surveillance. However, our group has found that 46% of dairy cows in 94% of dairy farms in the



Citation: Pavliscak, L.A.; Nirmala, J.; Singh, V.K.; Sporer, K.R.B.; Taxis, T.M.; Kumar, P.; Goyal, S.M.; Mor, S.K.; Schroeder, D.C.; Wells, S.J.; et al. Tracing Viral Transmission and Evolution of Bovine Leukemia Virus through Long Read Oxford Nanopore Sequencing of the Proviral Genome. *Pathogens* 2021, *10*, 1191. https:// doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10091191

Academic Editor: Marceline Côté

Received: 31 July 2021 Accepted: 2 September 2021 Published: 14 September 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). United States are infected with BLV [2], which is consistent with the reports from USDA APHIS (https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal\_health/nahms/dairy/downloads/dairy0 7/Dairy07\_is\_BLV.pdf accessed on 6 January 2021). In addition to affecting the longevity and overall health of the cows, BLV has also been found to affect milk production, which accounts to a loss of approximately 380 USD per cow per year [3]. Detection of BLV DNA in human blood or tumor samples has been linked to potential human health concerns, including breast cancer [4,5].

BLV primarily infects B-cell lymphocytes, and following proviral integration, is propagated through mitosis of immature B-cell progenitors. Transmission of BLV is thought to occur through the introduction of an infected lymphocyte to the bloodstream of the uninfected animal through milk/colostrum and blood-borne routes, including biting flies and iatrogenic means such as shared needles and rectal palpation sleeves [6]. However, the use of best management practices has proven to reduce within-herd prevalence of BLV significantly [7]. Vertical transmission, in utero, during parturition, or postnatally via colostrum administration, has also been found to contribute to 4–18% of new BLV infections [8,9]. Feeding BLV-infected unpasteurized colostrum [10] and contact with bodily fluids in the birth canal during the delivery [11] have all been found as potential routes of BLV transmission in neonatal calves. Lastly, viral transmission through the placenta could result in a positive calf at birth [11].

The proviral load of infected animals may be directly correlated with the animal's ability to infect others, as with other retroviral diseases such as HIV [12]. In fact, a multiherd BLV control field trial [13] showed a reduction in the within-herd incidence of BLV infection associated with the removal of high proviral load cattle from the herd. Antibody detection via Agar Gel Immunodiffusion (AGID) and Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) are currently accepted methods used to diagnose cows but are poorly correlated to an animal's proviral load.

Detection of BLV proviral DNA can be accomplished through endpoint polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with varying levels of specificity and sensitivity, depending on the design of primer sequences. Nested end-point PCRs are commonly used as a highly sensitive method of to detect BLV proviral DNA, despite their poor specificity [12,14–16]. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) allows for the relative and simultaneous quantification of provirus and host DNA with a high degree of specificity and sensitivity when used with TaqMan<sup>®</sup> fluorophores. Our group developed the SS1 BLV proviral load qPCR multiplex assay (CentralStar Cooperative, Lansing, MI, USA) consisting of three TaqMan<sup>TM</sup> primer/probe assays that detect the BLV *pol* gene, bovine  $\beta$ -*Actin*, and an internal amplification control [17–19]. Through qPCR calibration using digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) normalized DNA standards, the SS1 assay has 100% specificity and sensitivity down to a limit of detection of 10 BLV copies. We therefore can precisely compare the relative infectiousness between animals over time, using the SS1 BLV proviral load qPCR multiplex assay.

To date, genetic diversity of the BLV proviral DNA has been characterized mostly based on sequencing the envelope gene (*env*) either by Sanger or short-read next-generation sequencing (NGS, Illumina) methods. Ten different genotypes have been identified so far based on the variations within the *env* gene and whole genome analysis via tiled PCR based NGS sequencing [20,21]. Previous studies agree that BLV genotypes associate with the global geographical origin [21,22]. However, it is precarious to draw on international BLV genetic relationships based on short and conserved genomic regions, like the *env* gene. Sanger sequencing and short-read NGS have established important geographical and molecular relationships between different viral variants, but the utility of these approaches for elucidating the genetic structure and high-resolution genetic relationships of BLV variants within confined populations is limited.

Single-molecule long-read sequencing methods, such as Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT), have enabled the sequencing of large genetic elements spanning across repetitive regions and eliminate common sequencing issues encountered with other technologies, such as high G/C content and palindromic regions. The real-time nature of ONT significantly reduces the long turnaround times involved in Illumina sequencing and is considerably less expensive [23]. This methodology would also enable accurate and timely identification of novel genotypes, which can be critical to effectively identify emerging pathogens. This pilot study aimed to develop a molecular sequencing tool that can be used to accurately identify new BLV variants and discern routes of transmission while simultaneously assessing the origin, evolution, and genetic diversity of BLV within herds.

#### 2. Results

# 2.1. ONT Sequencing of Long-Range PCR Amplicons from TOPO $^{\textcircled{B}}$ Vectors Containing Bacterial Inserts

Prior to drawing conclusions on genetic variability among BLV sequences from related animals, it was important to determine the feasibility and accuracy of the experimental workflow and bioinformatics pipeline. PCR amplicons derived from mastitis-causing microorganisms, ligated into TOPO <sup>®</sup> plasmids, and verified by Sanger sequencing, were used to evaluate the fidelity of the developed workflow. Approximately 4 kb PCR products were generated using primers with 5' overhangs containing the BLV\_CS forward and reverse primer sequences (Table 1, Figure S1). Secondary TOPO <sup>®</sup> PCR products produced from BLV\_CS primers were sequenced on the ONT GridION. The resulting sequences were compared to their corresponding Sanger sequence-verified vectors to confirm sequencing accuracy. Both sets of vectors with their associated inserts, either a 16s rDNA sequence from *Corynebacterium bovis* or Thioredoxin (*TrxA*) from *Mycoplasma bovis*, were found to be 100% identical (Figure 1) with an average 2900 × coverage (Figure S1C).



**Figure 1.** DNA dot plot matrix showing 100% similarity between sequences derived from Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) and Scheme 16s rDNA (**A**) or Thioredoxin gene (**B**) from two different mastitis-causing pathogens. (**A**): *Corynebacterium bovis* and (**B**): *Mycoplasma bovis*.

<b>Table 1.</b> Sequences of primers used for amplifying the sequencing controls and BLV whole genomes. Sequences in bold
represent the BLV primer tags that were incorporated into the plasmids for use as ONT sequencing positive controls.

Primer	Direction	Sequence	Length	Tm	%GC	Accession
BLV_CS -	Forward	5'-AACCTTCTGCAAAGCGCGCAAA-3'	22	68	50	AF033818
	Reverse	5'-AAGGCGGGAGAGCCATTCATTTTC-3	24	67	50	AF055616
BLV_UMN -	Forward	5'-ATTGATCACCCCGGAACCCTAAC-3'	23	66	52	A D010E00 1
	Reverse	5'-CTCAAAAAAGGCGGGAGAGCCATTC-3'	25	68	52	AP019598.1

Primer	Direction	Sequence	Length	Tm	%GC	Accession
Topo-BLV	Forward	5'- <b>AACCTTCTGCAAAGCGCGCAAA</b> GCGGCCAACTTACTTCTGACAAC-3'	45	70.1	51.1	- PCR <sup>®</sup> 2.1-TOPO <sup>®</sup>
1000 000	Reverse	5'- <b>AAGGCGGGAGAGCCATTCATTT</b> AATAGTGTATGCGGCGACCGAGT-3'	45	69.7	51.1	- FCK *2.1-10F0 *
M. bovis	Forward	5'-GGTTAATTCTATGCCCAGCATT-3'	22	60	41	– CP058514
IVI. 0001S	Reverse	5'- TTCAGCTTCAATTGCATCCAC-3'	21	60	43	- CP058514
C. bovis	Forward	5'-GTGCTTTAGTGTGTGCGGTGG-3'	21	62	57	– NZ AENJ01000027
C. 00015	Reverse	5'-CGTGTCTCAGTCCCAATGTGG-3'	21	60	57	- INZ_ABINJ01000027

Table 1. Cont.

#### 2.2. ONT Sequencing of BLV Whole Genome Sequences

Blood samples were collected on a single date from sixteen BLV ELISA-positive adult dam and daughter Holstein pairs from a commercial dairy farm. BLV proviral load was determined by the SS1 BLV proviral qPCR multiplex assay (CentralStar Cooperative, Lansing, MI, USA; Table 2) [14]. Following organic phase separation and a modified high molecular weight (HMW) DNA extraction [24], 15 DNA samples were split into two aliquots for PCR amplification of the BLV proviral genome. Approximately 7.5 kb PCR products were amplified using two different sets of primer pairs developed by two independent laboratories (Figure 2). The negative BLV SS1 sample (15.1) was consequently removed from the study. Electrophoretograms of the amplicons generated from the two laboratories were compared to evaluate the PCR efficacy of two different primer sets (Figure 3). The number of trimmed reads per corresponding sequencing adaptor and the coverage of each amplicon were evaluated to determine amplicon quality and infer the accuracy of subsequent analyses. The differences seen with a cross-laboratory comparison of bands could be due to read depth variability caused by amplicon quality which can confound phylogenetic interpretation. The minimal number of reads generated from the NTC (Non-Template Control) are likely due to barcode bleed-through resulting from the use of single barcodes as opposed to dual barcodes [25].



**Figure 2.** Experimental workflow for inter-laboratory technical replication of long-range BLV genome PCR amplification and Oxford Nanopore Sequencing.



**Figure 3.** Electrophoretograms showing the amplification, size, and integrity of BLV amplicons used for Oxford Nanopore Sequencing developed by the UMN and CentralStar methods. The coverage and number of trimmed reads used for mapping to the BLV genome is provided below each lane corresponding to the sample. Samples from CentralStar and UMN laboratories are appended with the letters "CS" and "UMN" respectively following the dam or daughter pair number. NTC: Non-Template Control.

**Table 2.** BLV Diagnostic results of animals enrolled in this study. BLV was detected from 7 dam-daughter pairs (1–7) by SS1 qPCR. Dam 8 was BLV negative and hence was removed from subsequent sequencing.

Pair	Relationship	Animal ID	Date of Birth	Age (MO)	Lact	Pvl	Pvl Category	ELISA OD
Daughter 1437		1437	25 June 2017	39	1	0.91	High	2.799
1	Dam	1182	11 June 2015	63	3	1.02	High	2.626
2	Daughter	1479	11 November 2017	34	1	0.37	Low	2.5
2	Dam	1118	29 November 2014	70	4	0.71	Low	1.287
2	Daughter	1503	21 December 2017	33	1	0.39	Low	1.704
3	Dam	980	6 October 2013	84	5	0.41	Low	2.266

Pair	Relationship	Animal ID	Date of Birth	Age (MO)	Lact	Pvl	Pvl Category	ELISA OD
4	Daughter	1283	10 February 2016	55	2	1.18	High	1.56
4	Dam	909	27 February 2013	91	5	0.58	Low	2.559
		1523	2 February 2018	32	1	0.86	High	1.48
5	Dam	1280	2 February 2016	56	3	1.18	High	0.705
(	Daughter	1560	22 May 2018	28	0	0.41	Low	0.893
6	Dam	1303	27 March 2016	54	2	0.01	Low	0.5
7	Daughter	1513	3 January 2018	33	1	0.04	Low	0.768
/	Dam	1209	15 August 2015	61	3	0.87	High	2.335
8	Daughter	1606	4 April 2018	25	0	0.32	Low	2.793
8	Dam	1176	23 May 2015	64	3	N/A	Negative	2.476

Table 2. Cont.

#### 2.3. Phylogenetic Analysis of BLV Whole Genome Amplicons

Phylogenetic analysis of the ONT consensus sequences confirmed the fidelity of the independent PCR approaches and served to assess the genetic diversity of the BLV found within a herd. Ten out of the 14 pairs, dams 1–7 and daughters 5–8 of technical replicates from the two laboratories matched on taxa location on the tree, solidifying the accuracy of both PCR techniques along with the sequencing of generated amplicons (Figure 4). The outlying samples, daughters 1, 2 and 3\_UMN, were concluded as resulting from poor coverage of those amplicons (Figure S3).



**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic tree of BLV genomes derived from amplicons generated in the CentralStar and University of Minnesota laboratories displaying vertical and horizontal transmission between the dam-daughter pairs. Trees were inferred using maximum likelihood methods and supported with bootstrap value above 70. Different pairs are shown in different colors. Samples from CentralStar and UMN laboratories are appended with the letters "CS" and "UMN" respectively following the dam or daughter pair number.

When analyzing samples based on familial relationships, the distance between proviral sequences from dams and daughters varies. Pair five, for example, shows sequence identity matching based on clade location. This phylogenetic analysis also displayed viral divergence of BLV strains in one generation. In dam/daughter pair two, the strain found in the daughter branches off of the node shared by the dam, representing viral evolution. The fidelity of the sequencing was confirmed via the bacterial TOPO <sup>®</sup> plasmids, confirming viral evolution rather than sequencing error. These results indicate that this tool is capable of discerning the route of infection while capturing viral diversity and evolution with herds over time.

Historically, the majority of BLV phylogenetic analyses is based solely on the *env* gene sequences alone (18–20) [20–22]. Analysis of the *env* gene sequences extracted from the ONT reads within this study (Figure 5) identified only six different nucleotide substitutions which were synonymous at the amino acid level. (Figure S2). Env polyprotein was completely conserved between the animals in the herd studied, which would significantly limit the understanding of genetic variability between the animals in this herd if only this BLV gene was sequenced.



**Figure 5.** Phylogenetic tree of *env* gene derived from sequences generated from the CentralStar laboratory. Different pairs are shown in different colors. Trees were inferred using maximum likelihood methods and supported with bootstrap value above 70.

#### 2.4. Amino Acid Substitution among BLV Positive Animals

To further compare the dam and daughter pair relationship, we performed a comparative amino acid analysis of six well defined proteins that might play a role in disease dynamics (Table 3. Only the sequences generated from the BLV\_CS primers were used due to the variability in read depth and coverage seen in the BLV\_UMN amplicons. Amino acid profiles from five dams, 71%, (1, 3, 4, 6 and 7) diverged from their daughters. On the other hand, only 2/7 (29%) of the dams and daughters shared identical amino acid profiles. The highest number of amino acid changes was observed between dam/ daughter pair 1 (4 AA substitutions), followed by the pair 7 (4 AA substitutions). At the individual protein level, no functional SNPs could be observed in the R3 protein. Gag Pro Pol protein was the most polymorphic with the highest number of functional SNPs (4 AA substitutions) followed by the *env* polyprotein (3 AA substitutions). Despite the variation observed with the *env* polyprotein, it is very interesting to note that the env protein was absolutely conserved among the animals investigated from this herd. These results clearly show a significant level of functional variations/modulation between the proteins and the dam daughter pairs investigated, even within a small set of animals investigated from a single herd.

**Table 3.** Summary of significant amino acid changes observed in six different proteins encoded by the BLV genome among the dam-daughter pairs sequenced using primers and conditions developed by the CentralStar laboratory. Yellow: Conserved amino acid. Blue: Amino acid change.

Protein and Amino Acid Locations																
	Position	Daughter 1_CS	Dam 1_CS	Daughter 8_CS	Daughter 2_CS	Dam 2_CS	Daughter 3_CS	Dam 3_CS	Daughter 4_CS	Dam 4_CS	Daughter 5_CS	Dam 5_CS	Daughter 6_CS	Dam 6_CS	Daughter 7_CS	Dam 7_CS
G4 (26-105)	71	D	Ν	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
GAG PRO -	465	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	R	W	W	W	W
POLY	642	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	V
PROTEIN (31-1417)	1162	E	G	E	Е	E	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е
	1173	G	G	G	R	R	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G
REX (1-56) -	48	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	F	S	F
KEX (1-50)	140	L	R	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	R	L	R
R3 (1-44)	No SNPS															
	31	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	Т	I	I	I
EPP (1-515)	475	Н	Н	Н	Р	Р	Н	Н	Н	Р	Р	Р	Н	Н	Н	н
-	479	L	L	v	L	L	L	L	V	L	L	L	L	L	V	L
TAX 1-309	182	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	А	А	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
AC1 1 07	3	Р	S	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
AS1 1-87	31	G	G	G	Е	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G

#### 3. Discussion

This study successfully utilized a bioinformatics pipeline, which included Longshot, to call single nucleotide variants with high accuracy using whole-genome ONT data and assemble near complete BLV proviral consensus genomes from field-collected samples. The use of vectors with inserts verified by the Sanger sequence was able to support the integrity of the sequencing reads developed by the ONT workflow. The BLV genome from the DNA of whole blood samples were independently amplified twice, in two different laboratories, following proviral load testing. Phylogenetic analysis of all resulting consensus genomes highlighted the accuracy of the independent amplification of the proviral genomes due to the location of the duplicated samples. Using this study data, we also defined differing transmission routes of BLV within the herd, demonstrating the value of this tool to characterize primary transmission pathways in tested populations. The utilization of this tool also enables further research into the tracing of genetic elements, such as the proviral genomes of retroviruses infecting cattle.

#### 3.1. Fidelity of Approach

Development of long-read BLV genome PCR occurred independently between the two participating laboratories with similar objectives, to assess BLV viral transmission. Therefore, primer design criteria, including BLV reference sequences, and PCR condition considerations were individually optimized respective to the polymerase used. This approach allowed for the evaluation of the robustness of the sequencing workflow and downstream interpretation. The efficiency of PCR reactions differed resulting in variation seen in Figure 3, corresponding to coverage achieved. However, the sequencing workflow was able to overcome PCR inefficiencies and generate BLV proviral genome sequences.

Due to the fact that a novel library preparation and bioinformatics pipeline were used, the fidelity of the generated reads needed to be evaluated. Oxford Nanopore Technology sequencing has been previously criticized for its high error rates [26,27] so it was crucial to confirm the accuracy of the resulting sequences. When comparing the sequences of the

TOPO<sup>®</sup> vectors with the known bacterial inserts generated by both Sanger sequencing and ONT, 100% sequence identity was found (Figure 1). Along with proven identical sequences, the read depth generated by our developed workflow helps overcome the high error rate, as seen in other studies as well [28]. This is a significant obstacle to have overcome and allows for greater insights into nucleotide substitution of large cis-acting genetic elements, such as viral genomes.

A stepwise validation was used as a quality control check at each point along the pipeline. A time intensive HMW DNA extraction was used to ensure high-quality DNA and was confirmed via Nanodrop quantification and gel electrophoresis (Data not shown). Success of the BLV PCR was evaluated with gel electrophoresis and the use of the bacterial amplicons as positive sequencing controls. Following sequencing, reads were trimmed and filtered with our developed bioinformatics protocol. After visualizing the generated genomes on a phylogenetic tree and sequencing coverage plots, unreliable samples with poor PCR product quality and low coverage were removed from future analysis to increase the confidence of generated results (Figure S3).

#### 3.2. Reflection of Findings and Implications for the BLV Field

Despite the high estimated prevalence of BLV within the United States and the asymptomatic nature of the retrovirus infection, disease control is challenging for dairy cattle producers. Without availability of effective treatment or vaccination programs, effective herd management practices to break the chain of transmission is critical. Various transmission routes of BLV have been reported [19–23], but it has been challenging to find direct evidence to prove vertical transmission of the virus. We sought to develop a tool to accurately analyze the majority of the proviral BLV genome to aid in the detection of transmission among United States dairy farms. This method is likely to be important for comparisons among a larger scale population of samples from varying geographical locations. To test this approach, we selected dam and daughter pairs that were both BLV-positive to assess the genetic identity of the proviral genomes.

On a local level, this phylogenetic analysis tool can help dairy farmers identify patterns of BLV transmission in their herds. Due to the assortment of viral variants, dam-daughter pairs with identical genetic sequences were concluded to likely be a result of vertical transmission (Figure 4). However, it could be possible that another animal passed on that exact sequence to both dam and daughter. In this study herd, only milk replacer is used for dairy replacement calves and not colostrum. This increased the likelihood of vertical transmission from prenatal or perinatal infection and not transmission post-calving. Contrastingly, daughter 6, for example, was likely infected horizontally due to the greater genetic distance between her and her mother's strain (Figure 4). Increased rates of vertical transmission could be decreased with do not breed orders implemented on BLV positive dams or screening practices established before artificial insemination to ensure all bred cows are BLV negative. Separately, increased rates of horizontal transmission could be reduced with improved bloodborne biosecurity and better isolation practices used for BLV-positive cattle.

Globally, BLV sequences have been mostly categorized into 10 different genotypes based on the analysis of the *env* gene. The use of small proviral fragments heightens the difficulty in drawing phylogenetic and evolutionary conclusions. In our study, an amino acid alignment of just the *env* gene sequences resulted in 100% identical peptide sequences among all samples (Figure S2). The utilization of only the *env* gene would not have provided the level of resolution required to discern different routes of transmission gained when using whole-genome sequence data. The use of entire proviral genomes would aid in the comparison of international strains, compared to conclusions drawn from analysis of a singular gene. Amino acid analysis of these genomes could increase awareness of BLV pathogenesis and virulence differences among genotypes. Functional changes resulting from mutations in Tax, Rex, and G4-along with multiple other proteins have been previously studied, but do not align with the mutations detected in our sample set [29–33]. More research is needed to see if the amino acid changes listed in Table 3 impact BLV pathogenicity and transmissibility.

#### 3.3. Application

We have developed a tool to accurately determine full-length BLV proviral genome sequences. Within the field of BLV research in cattle, Oxford Nanopore sequencing of whole targeted BLV whole-genome amplicons will aid in tracing spatial and temporal modeling of infections within different herds and elucidate how cattle movement and farm management practices affect transmission. This approach can also be used to help resolve genetic relationships of dynamic viral populations of other pathogens such as SARS-CoV-2. Li et al. used a similar ONT workflow with SARS-CoV-2 samples to identify various sources and transmission patterns in China [34]. The developed workflow could also be a valuable tool for resolving transmission patterns of Human T Lymphotropic Virus type 1 (HTLV-1) in the central regions of Australia where disease prevalence has been estimated at 33% within indigenous populations [35] as this virus most similarity related to BLV. Furthermore, this tool could be used to resolve structural variation within several genomic loci at once to extend GWAS-based approaches that identify highly associated SNPs with a given phenotype within humans and livestock [36,37].

#### 3.4. Limitations to This Pilot Study

The sample set used in this study is relatively small and does not represent all dairy herds within the United States. The data collected on selected animals only displays one snapshot within their lifetimes. A longitudinal study, including BLV-positive neonate samples is needed to evaluate direct and temporally relevant transmission patterns. This sampling strategy could also identify changes in the genetic diversity of the viruses within a herd and draw more concrete conclusions on the routes of transmission.

The proviral DNA was the main sample source used, not RNA. Future research could analyze BLV RNA transcripts to analyze strain functionality differences. The proviral DNA was extracted using a time-intensive high molecular weight protocol. The efficacy of this tool with extracts derived from other extraction protocols, such as column-based, needs to be evaluated in the future. The use of less time-consuming DNA extractions would lead to the better universal application of this tool. However, the use of the HMW protocol allows for future direct DNA sequencing, without PCR amplification, to potentially evaluate the differential states of DNA methylation of the BLV proviral genome, its transcription and resulting disease dynamics [38].

#### 4. Materials and Methods

#### 4.1. Sample Collection

Whole blood was collected in K2 EDTA Vacutainer <sup>®</sup> tubes (BD Vacutainer, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) via coccygeal vein of eight BLV ELISA-positive dam-daughter pairs of Holstein cows on a single date (Table 1). Samples were collected from these adult cattle ranging from 25 to 91 months of age. Procedures for this study were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (A-3955-01, PROTO201900271) at Michigan State University.

#### 4.2. BLV Antibodies

Individual DHI milk samples were tested on the same date, with the Leukosis Serum  $\times$  2 Ab test (IDEXX, Westbrook, ME, USA) to identify the BLV serostatus of the animals at the time of bleeding. In short, milk samples were diluted in sample buffer and pipetted into 96-well plates coated with BLV-GP51 antigen per the manufacturer's instructions. Horseradish peroxidase-labeled bovine anti-immunoglobulin was added followed by incubation at room temperature for 5 min. Plates were washed after each incubation and before adding the enzyme-substrate. Reaction times were standardized using color development of positive controls and stopped by adding 0.5 mL Sulfoamino oxidanide

( $H_2NO_4S$ ). Results were reported as corrected 450 nm optical density (OD) measurements with a corrected OD > 0.5 being considered antibody positive.

#### 4.3. Proviral Load Diagnosis of BLV-Infected Animals

Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used to extract genomic DNA from whole blood collected from previously ELISA-positive cows one week following milk ELISA screening. The SS1 qPCR assay, developed by CentralStar Cooperative Inc., is a multiplex probe-based quantitative PCR assay that targets the BLV proviral polymerase gene, bovine  $\beta$ -Actin gene, and an internal amplification spike-in control ultramer to quantify proviral load. Briefly, 3  $\mu$ L of extracted DNA, 12.5  $\mu$ L of  $2 \times$  PrimeTime Gene Expression Master Mix (ThermoFisher, Austin, TX, USA), 1.25  $\mu$ L of a 20  $\times$  primer mix, 1 µL of internal spike-in control (10,000 copies/µL), and 7.25 µL of DNA-free water were combined for each qPCR reaction. All SS1 qPCR was performed on Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (FAST Real-Time PCR, Foster City, IA, USA) with qPCR conditions as follows: 95 °C for 10 min,  $40 \times (95$  °C for 15 sec, 60 °C for 1 min). BLV and bovine  $\beta$ -Actin (a measure of host DNA) copy numbers were derived using a standard curve consisting of linearized plasmids containing respective target sequences previously quantified and normalized by digital droplet PCR. Amplification efficiency and manual thresholds were established from initial qPCR machine calibration. Proviral Load was calculated and expressed as the ratio between proviral BLV copies and bovine  $\beta$ -Actin copies.

#### 4.4. High Molecular Weight DNA Isolation

Frozen aliquots containing 500  $\mu$ L of whole blood were thawed to room temperature and added to 1 mL of 1 × ChemCruz red cell lysis buffer (RBC: Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), mixed via inverting, and incubated at room temperature on a rotisserie tube rotator for 10 min. Samples were centrifuged at  $4700 \times g$  for 5 min and the supernatant was removed. This was repeated once more with 500  $\mu$ L of RBC lysis buffer. White blood cell pellets were resuspended in 1.5 mL of modified mammalian cell lysis buffer [26] and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h prior to adding 100 µg/mL of Proteinase K (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) and mixing with a wide bore pipette. The samples were incubated at 55  $^{\circ}$ C for an hour with occasional agitation. 500 µL of buffer-saturated phenol (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) was added at room temperature and mixed on the tube rotator for 20 min. Organic phase separation was accomplished via centrifugation at  $5000 \times g$  for 15 min. The aqueous phase was transferred to a fresh tube and 500 µL of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (Acros Organics, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA) was added. After an additional phase separation step, 0.266 volumes of 7.5 mM ammonium acetate were added to the aqueous phase. Following a 5 min incubation at room temperature, 100% ethanol was added followed by thorough mixing. The precipitate was collected via centrifugation at  $8000 \times g$ for 8 min at room temperature. Pellets were then dehydrated in a succession of 90% and 70% ethanol washes followed by centrifugation at  $8000 \times g$  for 8 min. DNA pellets were air-dried, eluted in 50 µL of 7.5 pH Tris-EDTA (10 mM Tris, 0.1 mM EDTA), and incubated overnight at 4 °C. DNA was quantified using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and stored at −20 °C.

#### 4.5. BLV Whole Genome PCR Amplification 4.5.1. CentralStar BLV Whole Genome PCR

To summarize, 1  $\mu$ L of extracted HMW-DNA, 10  $\mu$ L 5 × LongAmp Taq reaction buffer (New England Biosystems, Ipswich, MA, UK), 1.5  $\mu$ L 10 mM dNTPs, 5  $\mu$ L 0.5 uM forward and reverse primers (Table 1: BLV\_CS), 2  $\mu$ L LongAmp Taq DNA Polymerase, and 22.5  $\mu$ L of DNA-free water were combined for each PCR reaction. PCR was run on an Applied Biosystems 2720 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) with conditions as follows: 94 °C for 30 s, 29 × (94 °C for 20 s, 64 °C for 25 s, 65 °C for 6:45), 65 °C for 10 min. Amplicons were run out on a 1% agarose gel at 100V for an hour to confirm amplification before library preparation.

## 4.5.2. University of Minnesota Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (MVDL) BLV Whole Genome PCR

High molecular weight DNA extracted (as described above) was used as a template to amplify a 7604 bp amplicon corresponding to the region, 550–8154 bp of BLV whole genome. A 50  $\mu$ L PCR reaction mixture containing 10  $\mu$ L of 5 × Primestar GXL buffer, 4  $\mu$ L of dNTPs (2.5 mM each), 1.5  $\mu$ L (15 pm) of each primer (Table 1: BLV\_UMN), 1  $\mu$ L of GXL Primestar Polymerase (Takara Bio USA Inc., Mountain view, CA, USA), 28  $\mu$ L of nuclease-free water (Ambion), along with 4  $\mu$ L of the template was prepared. PCR mixture was initially incubated for 10 s at 98 °C for denaturation, followed by 30 cycles of 60 °C for 15 s and 68°C for 2.5 min in a MiniAmp Plus Thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA)

#### 4.6. Use of Vectors Containing Bacterial Inserts as Positive Sequencing Controls

To measure the fidelity of the sequencing approach, previously generated linearized TOPO2.1<sup>®</sup> (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Austin, TX, USA) vectors containing bacterial genes (*Mycoplasma bovis -TrxA, Corynebacterium bovis-16 s*) were used as PCR templates. Briefly, the TOPO2.1<sup>®</sup> vector containing 123 bp insertion of *M. bovis* thioredoxin DNA was linearized using the *Sca* I restriction enzyme. Topo-BLV primers (Table 1) were used to target the 5' and 3' ends of the linearized vector producing a 3945 bp amplicon. The linearized vector containing the 143 bp insert of *C. bovis* 16s rDNA resulted in a 3967 bp amplicon (Figure S1B). BLV\_CS primers were used to amplify the primary TOPO<sup>®</sup> amplicons prior to Oxford Nanopore sequencing.

#### 4.7. Bead Clean Up and Library Preparation for Oxford Nanopores Sequencing

One  $\mu$ L of the BLV or positive control PCR amplicon/s were electrophoretically separated and visualized on a genomic DNA tape screen using a 4200 TapeStation (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for size and integrity. All amplicons, irrespective of size, served as templates for ONT GridION sequencing. The remaining BLV and positive control PCR amplicons were subjected to a 2 × bead clean-up using Sera-Mag Select beads (GE HealthCare Life Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA) and eluted in a final volume of 12  $\mu$ L of nuclease-free water. The purified PCR product was finally quantified using the Qubit 1X dsDNA HS assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using a Qubit 4 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and then used for library preparation.

Library preparation was performed using the Rapid Barcoding Sequencing Kit (SQK-RBK004) (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, New York, NY, USA). Briefly, 7.5  $\mu$ L of the purified BLV amplicons were mixed with 2.5  $\mu$ L of a fragmentation mix barcode individually (1 barcode for each sample; 12 samples were barcoded and used on a single flow cell, wherever possible), incubated at 30 °C for 1 min and 80 °C for 1 min, followed by rapid cooling on ice. One  $\mu$ L each of the 12 barcoded libraries were pooled, mixed gently with 1 $\mu$ L of RAP (Rapid Adapter), and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. This final library pool (13  $\mu$ L) was combined with 34  $\mu$ L of sequencing buffer, 25.5  $\mu$ L of loading buffer, 2.5  $\mu$ L nuclease-free water (total of 75  $\mu$ L) and loaded onto a primed Flow Cell R9.4 (FLO-MIN106D) on a GridION device and run with the SQK-RBK004\_plus\_Basecaller script of MinKNOW1.5.12 software. The run was stopped after 4 h, and the flow cell was washed with a Wash Kit (EXP-WSH002) (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, New York, NY, USA) according to the manufacturer's recommendation and stored at 4 °C for later use.

#### 4.8. Bioinformatic Analysis of Oxford Nanopore Sequencing and Consensus Sequence Generation

The sequenced reads were base called using *Guppy* (4.0.14) and demultiplexed and filtered with *qcat* v1.1.0 (ONT, https://github.com/nanoporetech/qcat accessed on 2 June 2021) with parameters *-detect-middle -min-read-length* 250 *-trim*. Reads were

aligned to the BLV reference genome (AP019598.1) using *minimap2* v2.17 [39] and primer sequences were trimmed from the termini of read alignments using *iVAR* v1.3.1 [40] with parameter -*p trim -q 1 -m 20 -s 4 -e*. Consensus-level variant candidates were identified using *Medaka* v1.2.2 (https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka accessed on 2 June 2021) using default parameter and evaluated by *LongShot* v0.4.1 [41] with parameter -*P 0 -F -A* –*no\_haps* before filtering with bcftools. The final consensus was generated from a filtered VCF file and depth of coverage extracted from mapping file with samtools depth with parameter -*a -d 0*.

#### 4.9. Phylogenetic and Amino Acid Analysis of BLV Whole-Genome Consensus Sequences

A comparative phylogenetic analysis was performed to investigate the genetic relatedness of BLV genomes within related Holstein dams and adult daughters within a Michigan dairy herd All the sequences were aligned with high accuracy and high throughput using MUSCLE (MUltiple Sequence Comparison by Log- Expectation) with 64 iterations [42] (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, Maryland, USA). Phylogenetic trees were constructed using maximum-likelihood (ML) methods [43] for each segment with 1000-bootstrap replications and GTR Gamma nucleotide substitution in RAXML v7.6.8, utilizing the resources available at the Minnesota SuperComputing Institute. Trees were rooted to the midpoint, with the increasing order of nodes. Tree topology was supported by >70 bootstrap values. Bootstrap values below 70 were manually removed from the trees using Adobe Illustrator CC 2018 (version 22.0.1 accessed on 7 March 2021). Trees were visualized and depicted using FigTree (version 1.4.3 accessed on 7 March 2021). BLV nucleotide sequences, translated to amino acids using Geneious Prime11.0.6 + 10, were used to identify nonsynonymous mutations, if any, among the major proteins of BLV using AP019598.1 as a reference genome.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/pathogens10091191/s1, Figure S1A: Map of the TOPO<sup>®</sup> vector used with Corynebacterium bovis and Mycoplasma bovis inserts, Figure S1B: Primary amplicon of the TOPO<sup>®</sup> plasmids, generated from Topo-BLV primers (Table 1) used as a template for controls. Amplicon contains BLV seed sequence for use as a positive control in the BLV PCR, Figure S1C: Electrophoretogram showing the amplification, size, and integrity of TOPO<sup>®</sup> plasmids encoding the 16s rDNA gene and Thioredoxin gene from two different pathogens, respectively, used for Oxford Nanopore Sequencing. Figure S2: Amino acid alignment of the ENV protein showing 100% identity between the animals studied. Only sequences derived from BLV amplicons generated by CentralStar Laboratories were used for this analysis. AP019598 is the Genbank accession number of the reference genome used for comparison. Figure S3: Coverage plots generated on samples from Daughter 1 used to filter samples for further data analysis. A: UMN generated amplicon. B: CentralStar group generated amplicon. Yellow areas signify low coverage, and the colored vertical lines are SNPs when compared to the AP019598.1 reference genome.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.J.D., D.C.S., and S.J.W.; methodology, L.A.P., J.N, and S.K.M.; software, V.K.S. and S.M.G.; validation, L.A.P., J.N., and P.K.; formal analysis, J.N., V.K.S., and S.M.G.; investigation, L.A.P. and J.N.; resources, K.R.B.S. and T.M.T.; data curation, V.K.S., L.A.P., and J.N.; writing-original draft preparation, L.A.P. and J.N.; writing-review and editing, L.A.P., J.N., C.J.D., and S.J.W.; visualization, L.A.P. and J.N.; supervision, C.J.D., D.C.S., and S.J.W.; project administration, C.J.D. and D.C.S.; funding acquisition, N/A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Procedures for this study were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (A-3955-01, PROTO201900271) at Michigan State University.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to acknowledge the support and guidance of Paul Bartlett, MPH, DVM, as well as the invitation to submit our research into the BLV special issue.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Gutiérrez, G.; Rodríguez, S.M.; De Brogniez, A.; Gillet, N.; Golime, R.; Burny, A.; Jaworski, J.P.; Alvarez, I.; Vagnoni, L.; Trono, K.; et al. Vaccination against δ-Retroviruses: The Bovine Leukemia Virus Paradigm. *Viruses* 2014, *6*, 2416–2427. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Ladronka, R.M.; Ainsworth, S.; Wilkins, M.J.; Norby, B.; Byrem, T.M.; Bartlett, P.C. Prevalence of Bovine Leukemia Virus Antibodies in US Dairy Cattle. *Vet. Med. Int.* **2018**, 33–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Bartlett, P.; Michigan State University. Bovine Leukemia Virus in the U.S.: Impact and Options for Control #1 What Is It? Why Does It Matter? What Options for Control? Where to Start? What Can USAHA Do? Available online: https://blv.msu.edu/resources/USAHA-Oct-16-2017.pdf (accessed on 14 September 2021).
- 4. Gao, A.; Kouznetsova, V.L.; Tsigelny, I.F. Bovine Leukemia Virus Relation to Human Breast Cancer: Meta-Analysis. *Microb. Pathog.* **2020**, *149*, 104417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Buehring, G.C.; Delaney, A.; Shen, H.; Chu, D.L.; Razavian, N.; Schwartz, D.A.; Demkovich, Z.R.; Bates, M.N. Bovine Leukemia Virus Discovered in Human Blood. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2019**, *19*, 297. [CrossRef]
- 6. Aida, Y.; Murakami, H.; Takahashi, M.; Takeshima, S.N. Mechanisms of Pathogenesis Induced by Bovine Leukemia Virus as a Model for Human T-Cell Leukemia Virus. *Front. Microbiol.* **2013**, *4*, 328. [CrossRef]
- 7. Kuczewski, A.; Mason, S.; Orsel, K.; van der Meer, F. Pilot Implementation of a Newly Developed Bovine Leukemia Virus Control Program on 11 Alberta Dairy Farms. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2021, 104, 4549–4560. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ruiz, V.; Porta, N.G.; Lomónaco, M.; Trono, K.; Alvarez, I. Bovine Leukemia Virus Infection in Neonatal Calves. Risk Factors and Control Measures. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 2018, 5, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- Sajiki, Y.; Konnai, S.; Nishimori, A.; Okagawa, T.; Maekawa, N.; Goto, S.; Nagano, M.; Kohara, J.; Kitano, N.; Takahashi, T.; et al. Intrauterine Infection with Bovine Leukemia Virus in Pregnant Dam with High Viral Load. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 2017, 79, 2036–2039. [CrossRef]
- 10. Watanuki, S.; Takeshima, S.N.; Borjigin, L.; Sato, H.; Bai, L.; Murakami, H.; Sato, R.; Ishizaki, H.; Matsumoto, Y.; Aida, Y. Visualizing Bovine Leukemia Virus (BLV)-Infected Cells and Measuring BLV Proviral Loads in the Milk of BLV Seropositive Dams. *Vet. Res.* **2019**, *50*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 11. Mekata, H.; Sekiguchi, S.; Konnai, S.; Kirino, Y.; Honkawa, K.; Nonaka, N.; Horii, Y.; Norimine, J. Evaluation of the Natural Perinatal Transmission of Bovine Leukaemia Virus. *Vet. Rec.* **2015**, *176*, 254. [CrossRef]
- 12. Juliarena, M.A.; Gutierrez, S.E.; Ceriani, C. Determination of proviral load in bovine leukemia virus–infected cattle with and without lymphocytosis. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 2007, *68*, 1220–1225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Ruggiero, V.J.; Norby, B.; Benitez, O.J.; Hutchinson, H.; Sporer, K.R.B.; Droscha, C.; Swenson, C.L.; Bartlett, P.C. Controlling Bovine Leukemia Virus in Dairy Herds by Identifying and Removing Cows with the Highest Proviral Load and Lymphocyte Counts. J. Dairy Sci. 2019, 102, 9165–9175. [CrossRef]
- Rola-Łuszczak, M.; Finnegan, C.; Olech, M.; Choudhury, B.; Kuźmak, J. Development of an Improved Real Time PCR for the Detection of Bovine Leukaemia Provirus Nucleic Acid and Its Use in the Clarification of Inconclusive Serological Test Results. J. Virol. Methods 2013, 189, 258–264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Jimba, M.; Takeshima, S.; Matoba, K.; Endoh, D.; Aida, Y. BLV-CoCoMo-QPCR: Quantitation of Bovine Leukemia Virus Proviral Load Using the CoCoMo Algorithm. *Retrovirology* **2010**, *7*, 91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jaworski, J.P.; Pluta, A.; Rola-Łuszczak, M.; McGowan, S.L.; Finnegan, C.; Heenemann, K.; Carignano, H.A.; Alvarez, I.; Murakami, K.; Willems, L.; et al. Interlaboratory Comparison of Six Real-Time PCR Assays for Detection of Bovine Leukemia Virus Proviral DNA. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2018, 56. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hutchinson, H.C.; Norby, B.; Droscha, C.J.; Sordillo, L.M.; Coussens, P.M.; Bartlett, P.C. Bovine Leukemia Virus Detection and Dynamics Following Experimental Inoculation. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 2020, *133*, 269–275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Taxis, T.M.; DeJong, T.N.; Swenson, C.L.; Sporer, K.R.; Droscha, C.; Niles, D.; Bartlett, P.C. Reducing Bovine Leukemia Virus Prevalence on a Large Midwestern Dairy Farm by Using Lymphocyte Counts, ELISA Antibody Testing, and Proviral Load. *Bov. Pract.* **2020**, *54*, 136–144.
- 19. John, E.E.; Droscha, C.; Cameron, M.; Stryhn, H.; Keefe, G.; McClure, A. Predict. Model for Bov. Leuk. Virus Proviral Load. *J.T. Dev.* in press.
- 20. Yu, C.; Wang, X.; Zhou, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Zheng, Y. Genotyping Bovine Leukemia Virus in Dairy Cattle of Heilongjiang, Northeastern China. *BMC Vet. Res.* **2019**, *15*. [CrossRef]
- 21. Polat, M.; Takeshima, S.S.; Hosomichi, K.; Kim, J.; Miyasaka, T.; Yamada, K.; Arainga, M.; Murakami, T.; Matsumoto, Y.; Barra Diaz, V.; et al. A New Genotype of Bovine Leukemia Virus in South America Identified by NGS-Based Whole Genome Sequencing and Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis. *Retrovirology* **2016**, *13*, 1–23. [CrossRef]
- 22. Rola-Łuszczak, M.; Sakhawat, A.; Pluta, A.; Ryło, A.; Bomba, A.; Bibi, N.; Kuźmak, J. Molecular Characterization of the Env Gene of Bovine Leukemia Virus in Cattle from Pakistan with NGS-Based. *Evid. Virus Heterog.* **2021**, *10*, 910. [CrossRef]
- Taylor, T.L.; Volkening, J.D.; DeJesus, E.; Simmons, M.; Dimitrov, K.M.; Tillman, G.E.; Suarez, D.L.; Afonso, C.L. Rapid, Multiplexed, Whole Genome and Plasmid Sequencing of Foodborne Pathogens Using Long-Read Nanopore Technology. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 16350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 24. Green, M.R.; Sambrook, J. Isolation of High-Molecular-Weight DNA from Mammalian Blood Using Proteinase K and Phenol. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2017, 2017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bharucha, T.; Oeser, C.; Balloux, F.; Brown, J.R.; Carbo, E.C.; Charlett, A.; Chiu, C.Y.; Claas, E.C.J.; de Goffau, M.C.; de Vries, J.J.C.; et al. STROBE-Metagenomics: A STROBE Extension Statement to Guide the Reporting of Metagenomics Studies. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2020, 20, e251–e260. [CrossRef]
- 26. Goodwin, S.; Gurtowski, J.; Ethe-Sayers, S.; Deshpande, P.; Schatz, M.C.; McCombie, W.R. Oxford Nanopore Sequencing, Hybrid Error Correction, and de Novo Assembly of a Eukaryotic Genome. *Genome Res.* **2015**, *25*, 1750–1756. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Wang, L.; Qu, L.; Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhu, H. NanoReviser: An Error-Correction Tool for Nanopore Sequencing Based on a Deep Learning Algorithm. *Front. Genet.* **2020**, *11*, 900. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Magi, A.; Giusti, B.; Tattini, L. Characterization of MinION Nanopore Data for Resequencing Analyses. *Brief. Bioinform.* 2017, 18, 940–953. [CrossRef]
- 29. Pluta, A.; Willems, L.; Douville, R.N.; Kuźmak, J. Effects of Naturally Occurring Mutations in Bovine Leukemia Virus 5'-ltr and Tax Gene on Viral Transcriptional Activity. *Pathogens* **2020**, *9*, 836. [CrossRef]
- 30. Mcgirr, K.M.; Buehring, G.C. Tax and Rex Sequences of Bovine Leukaemia Virus from Globally Diverse Isolates: Rex Amino Acid Sequence More Variable than Tax. J. Vet. Med. B Infect. Dis. Vet. Public Health 2010, 52, 8–16. [CrossRef]
- Tajima, S.; Aida, Y. The Region between Amino Acids 245 and 265 of the Bovine Leukemia Virus (BLV) Tax Protein Restricts Transactivation Not Only via the BLV Enhancer but Also via Other Retrovirus Enhancers. J. Virol. 2000, 74, 10939–10949. [CrossRef]
- Pluta, A.; Blazhko, N.V.; Ngirande, C.; Joris, T.; Willems, L.; Kuźmak, J. Analysis of Nucleotide Sequence of Tax, Mirna and Ltr of Bovine Leukemia Virus in Cattle with Different Levels of Persistent Lymphocytosis in Russia. *Pathogens* 2021, 10, 246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moratorio, G.; Fischer, S.; Bianchi, S.; Tomé, L.; Rama, G.; Obal, G.; Carrión, F.; Pritsch, O.; Cristina, J. A Detailed Molecular Analysis of Complete Bovine Leukemia Virus Genomes Isolated from B-Cell Lymphosarcomas. *Vet. Res.* 2013, 44, 19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Li, J.; Wang, H.; Mao, L.; Yu, H.; Yu, X.; Sun, Z.; Qian, X.; Cheng, S.; Chen, S.; Chen, J.; et al. Rapid Genomic Characterization of SARS-CoV-2 Viruses from Clinical Specimens Using Nanopore Sequencing. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 17492. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Einsiedel, L.; Woodman, R.J.; Flynn, M.; Wilson, K.; Cassar, O.; Gessain, A. Human T-Lymphotropic Virus Type 1 Infection in an Indigenous Australian Population: Epidemiological Insights from a Hospital-Based Cohort Study. *BMC Public Health* 2016, 16, 266–281. [CrossRef]
- 36. Beyter, D.; Ingimundardottir, H.; Oddsson, A.; Eggertsson, H.; Bjornsson, E.; Jonsson, H.; Atlason, B.; Kristmundsdottir, S.; Mehringer, S.; Hardarson, M.; et al. Long Read Sequencing of 3,622 Icelanders Provides Insight into the Role of Structural Variants in Human Diseases and Other Traits. *Nat Genet.* 2021, 53, 779–786. [CrossRef]
- 37. Lamb, H.J.; Hayes, B.J.; Nguyen, L.T.; Ross, E.M. The Future of Livestock Management: A Review of Real-Time Portable Sequencing Applied to Livestock. *Genes* 2020, *11*, 1478. [CrossRef]
- Franco-Sierra, N.D.; Díaz-Nieto, J.F. Rapid Mitochondrial Genome Sequencing Based on Oxford Nanopore Sequencing and a Proxy for Vertebrate Species Identification. *Ecol. Evol.* 2020, 10, 1–17. [CrossRef]
- 39. Li, H. Minimap2: Pairwise Alignment for Nucleotide Sequences. Bioinformatics 2018, 34, 3094–3100. [CrossRef]
- 40. Grubaugh, N.D.; Gangavarapu, K.; Quick, J.; Matteson, N.L.; de Jesus, J.G.; Main, B.J.; Tan, A.L.; Paul, L.M.; Brackney, D.E.; Grewal, S.; et al. An Amplicon-Based Sequencing Framework for Accurately Measuring Intrahost Virus Diversity Using PrimalSeq and IVar. *Genome. Biol.* **2019**, *20*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- 41. Edge, P.; Bansal, V. Longshot Enables Accurate Variant Calling in Diploid Genomes from Single-Molecule Long Read Sequencing. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 42. Edgar, R.C. MUSCLE: A Multiple Sequence Alignment Method with Reduced Time and Space Complexity. *BMC Bioinform*. 2004, *5*, 113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Guindon, S.; Dufayard, J.F.; Lefort, V.; Anisimova, M.; Hordijk, W.; Gascuel, O. New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* **2010**, *59*, 307–321. [CrossRef] [PubMed]